OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT FILED)	ORDER DISMISSING
BY KEITH ENSTAD, WATERTOWN, SOUTH)	COMPLAINT AND CLOSING
DAKOTA, AGAINST OLS, INC. REGARDING)	DOCKET
SWITCHING TELECOMMUNICATIONS)	
SERVICES THROUGH DECEPTIVE TACTICS	ĺ	CT99-080

On December 15, 1999, the Public Utilities Commission (Commission) received a complaint filed by Keith Enstad, Watertown, South Dakota (Complainant), against OLS, Inc. (OLS) regarding alleged switching telecommunications services through deceptive tactics. Complainant requests full credit of all OLS charges.

Pursuant to ARSD 20:10:01:08.01 and 20:10:01:09, if a complaint cannot be settled without formal action, the Commission shall determine if the complaint shows probable cause of an unlawful or unreasonable act, rate, practice or omission to go forward with the complaint.

On December 28, 1999, at a duly noticed meeting, Commission Staff recommended a finding of probable cause due to unresolved factual issues. The Commission voted unanimously to find probable cause. On February 12, 2000, the Complainant notified Commission Staff that the matter had been resolved.

The Commission finds that it has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to SDCL Chapters 1-26, 49-13, including 49-13-1 through 49-13-14, inclusive, and SDCL Chapter 49-31, including 49-31-3, 49-31-7, 49-31-7.1, 49-31-7.2, 49-31-7.3, 49-31-7.4, 49-31-10, 49-31-11, 49-31-38, 49-31-38.1, 49-31-38.2, 49-31-38.3, 49-31-89 through 49-31-97, inclusive, and ARSD Chapters 20:10:01 and 20:10:34.

On February 29, 2000, at a regularly scheduled meeting, the Commission considered this matter. Upon recommendation of Commission Staff, the Commission voted to dismiss the complaint and close the docket. It is therefore

ORDERED, that the complaint shall be dismissed and the docket closed.

Dated at Pierre, South Dakota, this _____ day of March, 2000.