PECEVED

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

APR 0 7 2005

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF MCLEODUSA TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC. FOR ENFORCEMENT OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH OWEST CORPORATION

TC05-057

Transcript of Proceedings
March 31, 2005



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,
GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN (by telephone)
BOB SAHR, VICE CHAIRMAN
DUSTY JOHNSON, COMMISSIONER

COMMISSION STAFF
Rolayne Ailts Wiest
John J. Smith
Greg Rislov
Harlan Best
Keith Senger
Martin Bettmann
Heather Forney

APPEARANCES

Brett Koenecke, McLeodUSA Melissa Thompson, Qwest Corporation Thomas Welk, Qwest Corporation

Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR



	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION		
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA	,	WOL OHALDMAN CARD II. T
3			VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: It is Thursday,
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF	2	March 31, 2005 at approximately 4:15 p.m. This is
	MCLEODUSA TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, TC05-057	3	the time and place for the ad hoc meeting of the
5	INC. FOR ENFORCEMENT OF INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH QWEST CORPORATION	4	South Dakota Public Utilities Commission. We are
3		5	here in LRC Rooms 1 and 2 in the State Capitol
7	Transcript of Proceedings	6	Building in Pierre, and the item that we are here
3	March 31, 2005	7	to take up is TC05-057, In the Matter of the
9		8	Petition of McLeodUSA Telecommunications Service
0	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,	9	Inc. for Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement
1	GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN (by telephone) BOB SAHR, VICE CHAIRMAN	10	with Qwest Corporation.
2	DUSTY JOHNSON, COMMISSIONER	11	And the question today is shall the Commission
3	COMMISSION STAFF Rolayne Ailts Wiest	12	
	John J. Smith		grant McLeodUSA's Motion For Emergency Relief.
4	Greg Rislov Harlan Best	13	My name is Bob Sahr. I am Vice Chairman of
5	Keith Senger Martin Bettmann	14	the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission. With
6	Heather Forney	15	me here in Pierre is Commissioner Dusty Johnson,
7	APPEARANCES	16	and joining us via telephone is Chairman
В	Brett Koenecke, McLeodUSA	17	Gary Hanson.
9	Melissa Thompson, Qwest Corporation Thomas Welk, Qwest Corporation	18	And with that, Mr. Koenecke, I believe as
0	•	19	moving party we would hear from McLeod first.
1		20	Please do use the mike.
2		21	MR. KOENECKE: Thanks, Commissione
		22	·
3	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR	i i	I hope one of these three is working.
4		23	Brett Koenecke from Pierre, representing McLeod.
25		24	appreciate very much the Commission and staff
		25	making arrangements this afternoon to hear from m
1	2		
	APPEARANCES BY TELEPHONE		
	APPEARANCES BY TELEPHONE	1	on this matter.
2	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel	1 2	
2	Colleen Sevold	1 2 3	The papers which we have filed and put on
2	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston	1 2 3	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the
2 3 4	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes	3 4	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments
2 3 4 5	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes	3 4 5	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move
2 3 4 5 6	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes	3 4	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the	3 4 5 6 7	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers
2 3 4 5 6 7	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State	3 4 5 6 7 8	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005,	3 4 5 6 7	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue,	3 4 5 6 7 8	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids.
2 3 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 0 1 1	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIFT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm
2 3 3 4 5 5 6 7 7 8 8 9 0 1 2 3	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M Q R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up.
2 3 3 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 0 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 1 4 1 3 1 3 1 1 1 2 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone
2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 3 3 1 4 4 5 1 3 1 4 1 2 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 3 3 3 4 4 4 5 3 3 3 4 4 5 3 3 4 4 5 3 3 4 4 5 3 3 4 3 4	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 0 1 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is that better?
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is that better?
2 3 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 13 14 11 15 16 11 17 18	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is that better? MR. WELK: A little bit better, yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is that better? MR. WELK: A little bit better, yeah. MR. KOENECKE: I'll just get up and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is that better? MR. WELK: A little bit better, yeah. MR. KOENECKE: I'll just get up and move.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is that better? MR. WELK: A little bit better, yeah. MR. KOENECKE: I'll just get up and move. (Mr. Koenecke moves to different microphone)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is that better? MR. WELK: A little bit better, yeah. MR. KOENECKE: I'll just get up and move. (Mr. Koenecke moves to different microphone) MS. THOMPSON: Brett, if you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 11 13 11 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is that better? MR. WELK: A little bit better, yeah. MR. KOENECKE: I'll just get up and move. (Mr. Koenecke moves to different microphone) MS. THOMPSON: Brett, if you're speaking, we can't hear you at all now.
' 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Colleen Sevold Pat Mastel Bill Heaston Mary Lohnes TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol, LRC Rooms 1 and 2, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 31st day of March 2005, commencing at 4:15 p.m. INDEX Exhibits Nos. M O R 1 - Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of Action To United States District Court For The District Of Colorado 12 12 12	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The papers which we have filed and put on record I think are to the point with respect to the matter and perhaps given the further developments of today and this afternoon I'll probably just move straight to that and where we're at this afternoon. I have been part of a group of lawyers nationwide and I presume Qwest has a group also working on the same matter. And we've gotten our directives, of course, from people in McLeod headquarters in Cedar Rapids. MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'm sorry. I can't hear you. You're breaking up. MR. KOENECKE: Well, I'm microphone number 3, and I'm at the end of my rope, Tom. Is that better? MR. WELK: A little bit better, yeah. MR. KOENECKE: I'll just get up and move. (Mr. Koenecke moves to different microphone) MS. THOMPSON: Brett, if you're

Qwest.

21

22

23

24

25

VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Thank you.

Commissioners. This is Melissa Thompson calling in

on behalf of Qwest. And I want to come back and

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. Good afternoon,

21

22

23

24

25

Withdrawal of its Motion For Emergency Relief, and

I'd like to read some of that notice to you. And

notice to John Smith and Rolayne Wiest about

actually I faxed a -- or rather I e-mailed this

40 minutes ago.

Case Compress

11 1 The Notice says, McLeodUSA Telecommunications 1 MR. WELK: So we would move to have 2 2 Services, Inc. through its undersigned counsel those marked as Exhibits 1 and 2 in connection with 3 hereby provides notice that it may now withdraw its 3 these proceedings. 4 4 Motion seeking emergency relief from this VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Mr. Koenecke, 5 5 Commission in connection with its Complaint filed do you have any objections? Have you had a chance 6 in this Docket. And it goes on to say that, Based 6 to look at the documents? 7 7 upon Qwest's representations and conditional upon MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, 8 8 written receipt of those representations Qwest said Commissioner. I have a copy of the Notice of 9 9 McLeod agreed to file the withdrawal. Withdrawal. I'm not exactly sure what Tom -- or 10 10 Mr. Welk is calling the Report. And in the last paragraph counsel for McLeod 11 11 says, While the need for immediate Commission MS. THOMPSON: I think I heard you, 12 intervention has been averted, McLeod will need to 12 Mr. Koenecke. This is Melissa Thompson. The 13 13 seek additional relief from this Commission. And Report is the first document that I e-mailed you 14 14 it goes on from there. So even McLeod has this morning at about 10 or 11 o'clock. 15 15 acknowledged the Report that Qwest has filed before MR. SMITH: That's the document 16 16 the Iowa Federal District Court and has entitled Report To Court Regarding Transfer Of 17 17 acknowledged at least in Colorado that there is no Actions To United States District Court For The 18 need to seek emergency relief. Indeed, they've 18 District Of Colorado filed with the United States 19 withdrawn their Motion. And there are no material 19 District Court in Cedar Rapids. 20 20 differences between Colorado and South Dakota. The MS. THOMPSON: Yes. 21 21 TRO entered in Iowa, any agreement that Qwest has MR. SMITH: And you want to call 22 22 made there applies to services in South Dakota. that, what, Exhibit 1? 23 23 If the Commission would, you know, feel at all MS. THOMPSON: Yeah, Sure, We can 24 24 reassured by what other states are doing in call the Report Exhibit 1. 25 25 addition to Colorado, in North Dakota about MR. SMITH: And we'll call the other 10 12 1 1 30 minutes ago I received an e-mail from their document that you're referencing is McLeod's Notice 2 2 Executive Director, and all that North Dakota has of Withdrawal of its Motion For Emergency Relief; 3 3 requested is an affirmative representation from is that correct? Is that the one you were 4 4 Qwest that it will not discontinue services. So, referencing? 5 5 you know, I'm simply sending the Executive Director MS. THOMPSON: Yes, sir. 6 6 an e-mail with the Report attached to it, and MR. SMITH: And that will be 7 7 they're setting this matter for the next Commission Exhibit 2. 8 8 (Exhibits 1 and 2 are marked for identification) hearing to determine whether there's a prima facie 9 9 MS. THOMPSON: I also -- I know you case. 10 10 So really McLeod cannot demonstrate any harm may have been on your way to the hearing, 11 11 with respect to the emergency relief that it seeks. Mr. Koenecke, but I did copy you on the e-mail, 12 12 It is not entitled to that relief. This case distributing that. I assumed you might have it. 13 13 MR. KOENECKE: I do have that. should proceed on the basis of McLeod's Petition. 14 14 Owest has 20 days to respond to that Petition, Thank you. 15 15 20 days from the date of filing, and Qwest would MR. SMITH: Is there an objection to 16 16 respectfully ask the Commission to deny McLeod's the --17 17 request for emergency relief. MR. KOENECKE: None, no. 18 18 MR. WELK: This is Tom Welk. I'd MR. SMITH: -- admission of these? 19 19 like to add that I assume that the Report, Melissa, I mean, these are -- okay. I'm going to admit them 20 20 unless there's a disagreement from the Commission. has been filed with the Commission and the Notice 21 21 and we'd ask that those be made part of the record VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Ms. Thompson, 22 22 did you have anything else? that's being made in this proceeding. 23 23 MS. THOMPSON: Yes, Tom. That's MS. THOMPSON: No. That's all. 24 24 correct. Both John Smith and Rolayne Wiest VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Mr. Welk?

received copies of those earlier today.

25

25

Mr. Welk, did you have anything else?

Case C	om	pre	ess
--------	----	-----	-----

Case Co	······································	LAW - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -	
	13		. 15
1	MR. WELK: Nothing other than a	1	would commit to not disconnecting any customers.
2	procedural matter that we have in deference to	2	MS. THOMPSON: Up to and through
3	McLeod's filing. You know, we have appeared here	3	whatever decision is made by the lowa and Colorado
4	today, but we are not waiving any objections that	4	Federal District Courts.
5	we may have by the appearance here in regard to any	5	MS. AILTS WIEST: What do you mean
6	of the procedural irregularities that have happened	6	up to and through whatever decision is made?
7	regarding this emergency hearing or the	7	MS. THOMPSON: Let me just back up.
8	Commission's jurisdiction regarding this matter.	8	And I apologize for repeating things that the folks
9	But in deference to the plight that is exposed by	9	there may already understand. We got this Motion
10	McLeod, we are appearing today and responding as	10	pending in Iowa Federal District Court with regard
11	best we can, but the first time I heard about this	11	to removing the case to Colorado based on the first
12	was near 5 o'clock last night. So I just wanted	12	filed rule. What McLeod has agreed to in
13	the Commission to know that.	13	conjunction with that Motion is if the Iowa Federal
14	VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Thank you. Did	14	District Court sends the case back to Colorado,
15	we have any comments from either PrairieWave or	15	which is what we anticipate, then McLeod has agreed
16	Midcontinent?	16	to expeditiously file for another TRO and another
17	MR. HEASTON: This is Bill Heaston	17	Complaint in Colorado. And we would basically have
18	on behalf of PrairieWave. We want to be sure that	18	another hearing on the same matter that was already
19	we the Commission understands that PrairieWave	19	conducted in Iowa. And Qwest has agreed to comply
20	is a customer both of McLeod and Qwest. Regardless	20	with the Iowa Federal District Court's TRO up
21	of how this thing comes out we our interest is	21	through and including the Colorado proceeding.
22	ensuring that we continue to have service and	22	Normally under the law once the lowa Federal
23	because both of them provide substantial service to	23	District Court transferred the case back to
24	us and that's why we made an appearance at this	24	Colorado, the TRO issued by that court would
25	hearing.	25	dissolve. But Qwest has agreed that that will not
25		20	
` .	14	,	16
1 1	Thank you.	1	be the case in this instance, that it will continue
2	VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Thank you.	2	to honor and comply with the terms of the TRO up to
3	Mr. Heaston, I do appreciate those comments and	3	and through any decision by the Colorado Federal
4	perspective. Do you have any particular position	4	District Court.
5	on the Motion that's before the Commission?	5	MS. AILTS WIEST: A decision on the
6	MR. HEASTON: No. We're not a part	6	new TRO that
7	of the dispute, underlying dispute, and we're just	7	MS. THOMPSON: New Motion for
8	here as a customer that wants to be sure we have	8	Temporary Restraining Order and Complaint.
9	continued service.	9	MS. AILTS WIEST: And if that isn't
10	VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Thank you.	10	granted, then Qwest would then possibly start to
11	Ms. Lohnes.	11	disconnect services.
12	MS. LOHNES: Midcontinent's position	12	MS. THOMPSON: It would just depend
13	would be much the same as PrairieWave.	13	on what the Court decided.
14	VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Thank you very	14	MS. AILTS WIEST: So when you
15	much. Why don't we go to staff, and then we'll	15	mention that you with respect to this proceeding
16	give Mr. Koenecke the option to make additional	16	I think you talked about, you know, the original
17	comments.	17	Petition filed with McLeod and that you would file
18	MS. AILTS WIEST: Would it be okay	18	an Answer or something in 20 days. Is that what
19	if I asked a question?	19	you stated earlier?
20	VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Please, go	20	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
21	ahead. You may just for the record want to note	21	MS. AILTS WIEST: And so there's no
22	who you are.	22	guarantee then that that answer would be filed
1 23	MS. AILTS WIEST: This is	23	prior to the time a TRO might be dissolved?
24	Rolayne Wiest. I had a question for Ms. Thompson.	24	MS. THOMPSON: I'm not sure
25	That would be I think you you stated that you	25	MS. AILTS WIEST: It could be
		945-0573	Page 13 to Page 16

Case Compress

C	ase Compre	·		
		17		
-	1	dissolved any time after April, what was it, 12 or	-1	therein.
	2	13?	2	MS. THOMPSON: Brett, I'm sorry.
	3	MS. THOMPSON: No. There's going to	3	This is Melissa Thompson. I can't hear you.
Ì	4	be a decision before then with respect to the	4	MR. KOENECKE: You've said that
	5	Motion that's pending in Iowa Federal District	5	you're not willing to tell me in writing that
	6	Court as to which court is going to hear the	6	you're willing to follow the terms of the
	7	matter.	7	Interconnection Agreement with respect to dispute
1	8	MS. AILTS WIEST: Go ahead.	8	resolution, processes the arbitration, or with
	9	MS. THOMPSON: Yeah. So at that	9	respect with you're not going to ask for a
	10	point if it stays in Iowa, I assume the Court is	10	security deposit. So I'm left with if you turn
	11	going to revisit the TRO as April 12 approaches.	11	me down this afternoon, when am I coming back
	12	If it's moved to Colorado, there will be a new	12	asking for the same thing? Next week, two weeks?
١	13	hearing on the Motion with respect to the TRO and	13	What's the difference going to be then? I don't
	14	then if the Court grants McLeod's TRO, at that	14	know the answer to that.
	15	point then we'd have a new date.	15	It seems to me that we're going to be talking
	16	MS. AILTS WIEST: And you wouldn't	16	about the same questions either now or then and do
	17	agree to orally commit to not disconnect services	17	you let the Federal District Courts in two other
	18	or discontinue the taking of orders until the	18	states decide it or not. That's kind of where I'm
	19	Commission has had time this Commission has had	19	left this afternoon. I wish that I had been more
	20	time to hear McLeod's Petition?	20	able to reflect on what happened this afternoon,
	21	MS. THOMPSON: No. This matter is	21	the breakdown of discussions between Qwest and
	22	properly before the Federal District Courts in Iowa	22	McLeod. I didn't have that luxury, thanks to your
	23	and Colorado. The matter to be decided today is	23	speediness in organizing this meeting this
	24	whether McLeod is entitled to emergency relief.	24	afternoon.
	25	That is the only decision on the table for this	25	But, as I sit here, I'm just left to think is
.			1 20	
,		18		20
ł	1	afternoon.	1	it going to be next week or when is it going to be
	2	It's not fair to Qwest to consider any other	2	that I'm in here asking for the same thing, for you
١	3	substantive matter. I mean, I got notice of this	3	to enforce those terms that I showed you in writing
	4	at about 8 o'clock last night. I didn't even see	4	yesterday afternoon.
	5	the filing until this morning. So the only issue	5	So with that, thank you very much for hearing
١	6	is, is McLeod entitled to emergency relief, is	6	me this afternoon. I appreciate your indulgence.
	7	there any justification for that emergency relief?	7	VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Yes, Ms. Wiest.
	8	No, there is not because Qwest has agreed it	8	MS. AILTS WIEST: I guess I have a
١	9	is not going to disconnect any customers or any	9	proposal or a recommendation from staff, and I
	10	services.	10	think the fact that this has been heard on very
	11	MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have	11	little notice and I understand Mr. Koenecke's
	12	right now.	12	point about coming back here in two weeks with
	13	VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Thank you.	13	perhaps the same Motion, but at this point it is
	14	Mr. Koenecke.	14	pending in Federal Court, though I do understand
	15	MR. KOENECKE: Thank you,	15	Mr. Koenecke's point from the Petition that it may
	16	Commissioner. I'm struck and I'm left this	16	not exactly be the exact same matter but based on
ĺ	17	afternoon to say what's imminent and what's	17	Qwest's statements that it will not discontinue
	18	immediate. We've heard Qwest say that apparently,	18	and I'm reading this from the Colorado Motion to
	19	as I understand it, they're not willing to agree	19	Withdraw, discontinue the taking of orders or
	20	not to do what they say they're going to do before	20	disconnecting services at this point in time, and I
	20	the time to answer the Petition runs. They are not	21	would think that would be up until well, until
	22		22	•
1		willing to tell me in writing that they'll agree to	23	the next court action, whether it be the lowa or
	23	follow the terms of the Interconnection Agreement	1	the Colorado Court.
	24	with respect to this demand for security deposit or	24	At this point in time I would say that the
	-25	for the dispute resolution process as contained	25	Commission should deny the Motion For Emergency
	PRECISION	N REPORTING, LTD. (605)	945-057	3 Page 17 to Page 20

Case C	omr	ress
--------	-----	------

21 23 1 1 Relief, fully understanding that the Commission Iowa Federal District Court case, you know, 2 2 certainly could be back here in the next two weeks. within -- I would think within a week or two. 3 3 VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: And maybe this VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Mr. Koenecke, 4 4 isn't a question for Mr. Koenecke or maybe it's for do you have any perspective from your clients about 5 5 Ms. Thompson, but if one of the Courts rules what sort of time frame we're looking at for that 6 6 against McLeod, what sort of time frame are you decision? 7 7 talking about for actually having services turned And I guess what I'm getting at is if we do 8 8 off? I mean, is Mr. Koenecke going to have an follow staff's recommendation, are you going to 9 9 adequate opportunity to come back and file before have an opportunity to come back and file and make 10 10 this Commission? your argument again, or do we need to do something 11 11 And maybe that is more of a question for today or else we're looking at a decision comes 12 12 Owest. I mean, is it going to be the decision down and service is shut off, maybe foregoing your 13 13 comes out, a switch is flipped, and service is off? opportunity to state your case? 14 14 Or is there going to be a little bit of a time MR. KOENECKE: Thanks for the 15 frame in which Mr. Koenecke might be able to refile 15 question. And I appreciate it. My understanding and come before the Commission? 16 16 from my client was that they're expecting a 17 17 So I guess I'll pose that to Ms. Thompson. decision next week, and the answer I think if I 18 MS. THOMPSON: Commissioner Sahr. 18 understand your question correctly is -- and if I'm 19 19 restating it right, is how fast can Qwest shut us yeah, I mean, I think the answer is yes. And I 20 20 believe that any court order ruling against McLeod off? 21 will in all likelihood provide that kind of time 21 Physically I don't know the answer to that. I 22 22 period. And Qwest is not going to be unreasonable. don't know. But that's something that's certainly 23 23 Owest doesn't want to disconnect services to a great deal of concern to me and my client. 24 24 customers itself so --VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Do we have any 25 25 You know, a lot of this, for the Commission's other questions from Commissioners? 22 24 1 1 information, is taking place in the context of a Commissioner Hanson? 2 2 threatened bankruptcy filing by McLeod. And 10-K CHAIRMAN HANSON: No. Thank you 3 3 filing, very recently McLeod mentioned seeking very much. 4 4 VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Mr. Smith. relief. So, you know, there's a larger context 5 5 here. There's a lot of things going on behind the MR. SMITH: Ms. Thompson, I guess 6 6 scenes and behind this hearing. So, you know, I the question I have, just to clarify it for me, is 7 7 is it the position of Qwest that the proceeding think I can answer with a lot of assurance 8 8 affirmatively to your question. that's occurring in lowa and the proceeding that is 9 9 VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Just to remind occurring in Colorado encompass --10 10 me, I'm sure I can have the court reporter read it Let me put it this way. Are the decisions 11 back, but, Ms. Thompson, what was the time period 11 that are made there binding on Qwest with respect you were going to assure us that McLeod would not 12 12 to its Interconnection Agreements here in 13 13 South Dakota? be turned off? 14 14 MS. THOMPSON: Yes. Qwest has MS. THOMPSON: Yes. And to kind of 15 15 use the wording that you were using in your first agreed to comply with the TRO up through any 16 16 proceedings that may take place in Colorado, and in question, Qwest does believe that those proceedings 17 exchange for that McLeod agreed if and when the 17 encompass, for example, South Dakota, North Dakota, 18 18 case is transferred to Colorado to expeditiously et cetera. 19 19 file a Motion for a TRO and Complaint just as it MR. SMITH: And they not only 20 20 did in Iowa but revisiting that here in Colorado. encompass access charges, which seem to be at the 21 21 VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Do you have any root of the matter, but they also encompass 22 22 idea what the earliest time frame would be that Interconnection Agreement --23 23 potentially you might be moving towards turning --MS. THOMPSON: Yes. Exactly. 24 24 MS. THOMPSON: I'm guessing, okay, MR. SMITH: -- issues. And maybe 25 25 but I think we are anticipating a decision from the I'll address this question to Mr. Koenecke. If

Case Compress

what has happened in the other states so you don't the immediate and irreparable harm criteria -- and, 1 2 2 have to search it out and the staff doesn't have to again, I don't know that those -- the standards of 3 3 that there will be a requirement that those the Commission -- there's no explicit equitable 4 4 material events be advised to all parties of the jurisdiction of the Commission, you know, so I 5 5 think our legal standards we rely on are more our proceeding. 6 6 VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: This is regulatory authority which speaks in language like 7 7 unreasonable conduct and that kind of thing. Commissioner Sahr. I have a follow-up question for 8 8 Qwest. If we had a situation where either by Now I would certainly think the Court's 9 9 granting the TRO or through some type of agreement criteria for equitable relief are a very good 10 indicator of what's reasonable or unreasonable. 10 from you --11 But what I thought may be -- and instead of 11 Well, here's what my question is. If the 12 12 ordering something that is a contingent irreparable court order comes down and we have some sort of 13 requirement that you have to wait 24 or 48 hours 13 harm order, would be to either accept Qwest --14 Ms. Thompson's representation to us that she will 14 before turning off McLeod, thereby giving them the 15 15 opportunity to file something or to refile, if you give us some minimal level of notice and I wouldn't 116 will, what -- you know, obviously there is a 16 mind getting how much, and I think, you know, I 17 think something like three days so that we can make 17 potential you don't get paid for that time period. 18 sure we at least comply nicely with, you know, the 18 Is that the harm that you would suffer under 19 19 those circumstances or are there some other harms kind of procedural kind of notice that is likely to 20 20 hold up as having been adequate is available to us. or am I missing something when I'm trying to 21 21 And whether we do that by order or whether balance the potential harm to McLeod, other 22 22 carriers, and to customers out there across the Owest would prefer just to stipulate on the record 23 23 that you'll do that. But what we don't want is to state? 24 24 MS. THOMPSON: No. And I understand be caught between a rock and a hard place, and I 25 25 your question, Commissioner Sahr. I think Qwest think we have a right not to be. 32 30 1 could live with that. 1 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: 2 2 Mr. Smith, what is the binding effect, if any, on VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Mr. Koenecke, 3 3 Owest if they make such representation on the how fast can you write? 4 record? 4 MS. THOMPSON: Well, he wrote pretty 5 5 MR. SMITH: Well, I think if they darn fast this time. 6 6 make that representation to us on the record, as MR. KOENECKE: You know me, 7 7 far as I'm concerned, it's a stipulation. Commissioner. I'm Johnny-on-the-spot. 8 MS. THOMPSON: We could -- you know, 8 VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Well, we need 9 9 I could send a cover letter confirming the 24-hour notice. We all know that. 10 10 MR. KOENECKE: 36 to 48 seems to stipulation in the record if Mr. Koenecke would be 11 more comfortable with something like that, but 11 allow for the necessities. 12 12 VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Thank you. I certainly not going to contravene something we've 13 13 mean, my inclination would be, and I'll just see if agreed to before this Commission. 14 14 Qwest has any big heartburn on this, would be to MR. SMITH: Can I get a stipulation 15 15 grant the Request For Emergency Relief and to allow right now -- can the Commission get a stipulation 16 16 it to go forward 48 hours after any court decision that Owest will provide this Commission with at that might come down that would permit Qwest in its 17 least 36 hours' notice following the dissolution of 17 18 any applicable federal TRO or other follow-on 18 opinion to turn off service to McLeod. 19 19 preliminary injunction prior to disconnecting MS. THOMPSON: Commissioner Sahr, 20 20 service or impairing order activity? did you say grant the Motion For Emergency Relief? 21 MS. THOMPSON: Yes. So stipulated. 21 VICE CHAIRMAN SAHR: Well, I think 22 we could grant it, and I'm going to look at our 22 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Mr. Smith. 23 23 Commissioner Johnson again. Is there a reason the General Counsel. I want to make sure I tee up the

Motion correctly.

MR. SMITH: I think because of maybe

24

25

24

25

36 is a better number than 48?

MR. SMITH: No. It's just one more

Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and

Registered Professional Reporter

procedural aspects, but that would be my recommendation on the Order. COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ms. Thompso

the appropriate -- I don't have the authority, she

does, on what those are. I'm just getting the

MS. THOMPSON: Yes. That certainly

MR. WELK: Whatever Melissa thinks

19

20

21

122

23

24

25

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

Nord Index	[2] [0.20 21.15 26.11	[1] 25.24	[2] 22.5 22.6
1		[1] 25:24 Anticipate	[2] 22:5 22:6 Benefit
		[1] 15:15	[1] 28:12
7] 2:8 2:14 3:5 11:2 11:22 11:24 12:8	Accept	Anticipating	Best
.0		[1] 22:25 Apologize	[3] 1:14 13:11 26:13 Better
1] 11:14	[2] 26:19 35:18	[2] 15:8 34:10	[4] 4:16 4:17 32:24 33:25
.0 - K 1] 22:2	Access	Appearance	Bettmann
1		[2] 13:5 13:24	[1] 1:15
l] 11:14		APPEARANCES [2] 1:17 2:1	Between [4] 9:20 19:21 27:4 31:24
.2 9] 2:15 2:15 2:15 2:17 2:17 2:17 5:		Appeared	Beyond
1 17:1 17:11		[1] 13:3	[1] 27:16
.3	Action [6] 2:15 6:12 7:19 7:20 20:22 27:13	Appearing [1] 13:10	Big [1] 30:14
1] 17:2		Applicable	Bill
L4 1] 26:3	[1] 11:17	[1] 32:18	[2] 2:3 13:17
15	Activities	Applies	Binding
1] 27:4		[Î] 9:22 Apply	[4] 24:11 25:12 25:14 32:2 Bit
2	[1] 32:20	[1] 5:20	[3] 4:17 7:1 21:14
	Ad	Appreciate	Bob
2 [6] 2:8 2:16 3:5 11:2 12:7 12:8		[4] 3:24 14:3 20:6 23:15	[2] 1:11 3:13
0] 2:8 2:10 3:3 11:2 12:7 12:8	Add [1] 10:19	Approaches	Bona [1] 33:21
[4] 10:14 10:15 16:18 27:4		Appropriate	Breakdown
2005	[1] 9:25	[2] 28:14 34:20	[1] 19:21
[5] 1:8 2:9 3:2 36:11 36:14 23		April	Breaking
23 [1] 6:2		[4] 5:21 17:1 17:11 36:14 Arbitration	[1] 4:13 Brett
24	[1] 24:25	[1] 19:8	[5] 1:18 3:23 4:22 19:2 25:9
[3] 27:25 29:13 34:2	Adequate	Argue	Building
24-hour	[2] 21:9 31:20	[1] 34:9	[1] 3:6
[1] 30:9	ADMINISTRATIVE [1] 32:1	Arguing [1] 7:24	С
3	Admission	Argument	
3	[1] 12:18	[2] 6:19 23:10	Cannot [2] 7:15 10:10
[1] 4:15	Admit	Arrangements	Capitol
30	[1] 12:19 Advised	[1] 3:25 Aspects	[3] 2:8 2:8 3:5
[1] 10:1 31	[2] 26:18 29:4	[1] 34:22	Carriers
[2] 1:8 3:2	Affirmatively	Assume	[1] 29:22 Case
31st	[2] 22:8 28:8	[2] 10:19 17:10	[13] 7:18 7:25 8:13 10:9 10:12 15:11
[2] 2:9 36:10	Afternoon [22] 3:25 4:5 4:6 5:14 5:24 6:6 6:7 6:	Assumed [1] 12:12	15:14 15:23 16:1 22:18 23:1 23:13 28:
36 [5] 30:10 32:17 32:24 33:12 33:17			23
36-hour	19 19:20 19:24 20:4 20:6 25:3 25:23	[2] 25:11 28:17	Cast [1] 7:16
[1] 34:10	26:12 Afternoon's	Assurance	Caught
4	[2] 7:3 26:21	[1] 22:7 Assure	[1] 31:24
	Ago	[1] 22:12	Cedar
4	[2] 8:25 10:1	Attached	[2] 4:11 11:19 Certainly
[2] 25:22 27:4 4 0	Agree [5] 5:25 6:2 17:17 18:19 18:22	[2] 10:6 36:11	[8] 5:17 21:2 23:22 26:22 27:1 31:8
[1] 8:25	Agreed	Attorney [1] 8:19	32:12 34:25
48	[12] 5:16 8:7 8:9 9:9 15:12 15:15 15:	Authority	CERTIFICATE
[8] 29:13 30:10 30:16 32:24 33:12 33: 25 34:16 35:3		[3] 27:17 31:6 34:20	[1] 36:2 CERTIFY
4:15	Agreement [16] 1:5 3:9 5:7 5:8 6:5 6:5 6:11 7:5	Available	[1] 36:8
[2] 2:10 3:2	9:21 18:23 19:7 24:22 25:15 26:2 26:	[1] 31:20 Avenue	Cetera
	25 29:9	Avenue [1] 2:8	[1] 24:18
5	Agreements [3] 5:6 24:12 26:4	Averted	Chairman [33] 1:10 1:11 3:1 3:13 3:16 6:21 11:
5	Ahead	[1] 9:12	4 12:21 12:24 13:14 14:2 14:10 14:14
[3] 5:12 13:12 35:23 500	[2] 14:21 17:8	D	14:20 18:13 20:7 21:3 22:9 22:21 23: 3 23:24 24:2 24:4 27:8 29:6 30:2 30:
500 [1] 2:8	Ailts	В	8 30:12 30:21 35:5 35:14 35:15 35:16
5th	[13] 1:13 14:18 14:23 15:5 16:5 16:9 16:14 16:21 16:25 17:8 17:16 18:11	Balance	Chance
[1] 36:13	20:8	[1] 29:21 Bankruptcy	[1] 11:5
7	Alleged	Bankruptcy [1] 22:2	Charge [1] 25:15
	[1] 7:8	Based	Charges
72 [6] 33:17 33:19 33:25 34:17 35:3 35:	Allow [4] 30:11 30:15 33:11 34:16	[3] 9:6 15:11 20:16	[1] 24:20
[10] 55:17 55:19 55:25 54:17 55:5 55: [11]	Amendment	Basic	Cheri
	[1] 35:7	[1] 25:2 Basis	[3] 1:23 36:5 36:18 Circumstances
8	Amount 521.24.5.24.7	[3] 6:6 10:13 25:1	[1] 29:19
8	[2] 34:5 34:7 Answer	Bearing	Clarify
		[1] 26:6	
[1] 18:4	[10] 6:16 16:18 16:22 18:21 19:14 21:	Dobo1#	[1] 24:6
[1] 18:4	[10] 6:16 16:18 16:22 18:21 19:14 21: 19 22:7 23:17 23:21 25:21	Behalf	Client
	[10] 6:16 16:18 16:22 18:21 19:14 21:	Behalf [3] 6:25 13:18 33:6 Behind	

Vord Index	[1] 26.17	[03.7,14.10,10	14 8-21 0 4 0 10 10 11 10 17 10 21
1] 27:2		[2] 7:14 10:10 Denies	14 8:21 9:4 9:18 10:11 10:17 12:2 1 7 17:24 18:6 18:7 20:25 30:15 30:26
lients 1] 23:4		[1] 33:8	33:8 35:9
Colleen	(Encompass
1] 2:2		Deny [4] 10:16 20:25 34:13 35:8	[4] 24:9 24:17 24:20 24:21
olorado			End
		Deposit [4] 5:4 5:10 18:24 19:10	[1] 4:15
33] 2:15 5:23 7:18 7:25 8:1 8:13 8: 9 9:17 9:20 9:25 11:18 15:3 15:11		Determine	Enforce
5:14 15:17 15:21 15:24 16:3 17:12		[1] 10:8	[1] 20:3
7:23 20:18 20:23 22:16 22:18 22:20	[3] 13:22 10:1 33:17		Enforcement
4:9 25:4 25:12 25:19 26:2 26:10 34:		Developments	[3] 1:5 3:9 7:4
8 35:13	[1] 14:9	Difference	Ensuring
omfortable			[1] 13:22
1] 32:11	[2] 32:12 33:5	[1] 19:13 Differences	Enter
oming		[1] 9:20	[1] 33:7
2] 19:11 20:12			Entered
Commencing	Copy [2] 11:8 12:11	Different	[1] 9:21
1] 2:10		[2] 4:21 26:5	Entitled
Comments	Corporation	Directives	[6] 7:12 7:13 10:12 11:16 17:24 18
31 13:15 14:3 14:17	[4] 1:5 1:18 1:19 3:10	[1] 4:10	Equitable
Commission	Correct	Director	[2] 31:3 31:9
[38] 1:1 1:10 1:12 3:4 3:11 3:14 3:24	[3] 10:24 12:3 36:12	[2] 10:2 10:5	Et
5:8 7:2 7:11 9:5 9:11 9:13 9:23 10:7	Correctly	Disagreement	
10:16 10:20 12:20 13:13 13:19 14:5	[3] 6:2 23:18 30:24	[1] 12:20	[1] 24:18
17:19 17:19 20:25 21:1 21:10 21:16	Counsel	Disconnect	Evening
27:12 31:3 31:4 32:13 32:15 32:16 33:		[6] 8:10 16:11 17:17 18:9 21:23 27:13	
9 34:8 34:13 34:15 35:10	COUNTY	Disconnecting	Events
Commission's	[1] 36:3	[3] 15:1 20:20 32:19	[2] 6:9 29:4
[2] 13:8 21:25	Course	Disconnections	Exact
Commissioner	[3] 4:10 6:9 25:11	[1] 33:12	[1] 20:16
[16] 1:11 3:15 3:21 11:8 18:16 21:18	Court	Discontinue	Exactly
24:1 29:7 29:25 30:7 30:19 32:22 32:	[37] 2:14 2:15 5:20 7:17 7:19 7:20 7:	[5] 5:12 10:4 17:18 20:17 20:19	[3] 11:9 20:16 24:23
23 34:11 34:24 35:4	22 8:4 8:9 8:12 8:12 9:16 11:16 11:	Discussed	Example
Commissioners	17 11:19 15:10 15:14 15:23 15:24 16:	[1] 35:1	[1] 24:17
[4] 6:17 6:24 23:25 35:20	4 16:13 17:6 17:6 17:10 17:14 20:14	Discussing	Exchange
Commissions	20:22 20:23 21:20 22:10 23:1 29:12 30:16 34:15 34:17 35:10 35:12	[2] 5:24 26:4	[1] 22:17
[1] 28:18	Court's	Discussions	Executive
Commit	[2] 15:20 31:8	[1] 19:21	[2] 10:2 10:5
[2] 15:1 17:17		Dispute	Exhibit
Company	Courts [6] 15:4 17:22 19:17 21:5 28:15 33:10	[6] 6:3 7:16 14:7 14:7 18:25 19:7	[3] 11:22 11:24 12:7
[2] 27:18 33:6	Cover		Exhibits
Complaint	[1] 32:9	[1] 32:17	[3] 2:13 11:2 12:8
[5] 7:21 9:5 15:17 16:8 22:19	Criteria	Dissolve	Expecting
Comply	[2] 31:1 31:9	[1] 15:25	[1] 23:16
[6] 8:7 15:19 16:2 22:15 31:18 33:15	1	Dissolved	Expeditiously
Complying	Customer	[2] 16:23 17:1	[2] 15:16 22:18
[1] 8:16	[2] 13:20 14:8	Distributing	Explicit
Concern	Customers [6] 6:10 15:1 18:9 21:24 27:14 29:22	[1] 12:12	[1] 31:3
[1] 23:23	[0] 0:10 13.1 18.9 21.24 27.14 29.22	District	Exposed
Concerned	T)	[21] 2:15 2:15 7:19 7:20 7:22 8:9 8:	[1] 13:9
[1] 32:7	D	12 9:16 11:17 11:18 11:19 15:4 15:10	
Concerns	Dakota	15:14 15:20 15:23 16:4 17:5 17:22 19:	[1] 8:4
[1] 8:3	[20] 1:2 2:7 2:9 3:4 3:14 5:8 6:10 9:	17 23:1	Extent
Concluded	20 9:22 9:25 10:2 24:13 24:17 24:17	Docket	[1] 26:11
[1] 35:23	25:12 25:17 26:25 36:1 36:7 36:13	[1] 9:6	
Concur	Darn	Document	F
[1] 35:15	[1] 30:5	[4] 11:13 11:15 12:1 26:12	
Conditional	Date	Documents	Facie
[1] 9:7	[2] 10:15 17:15	[2] 5:15 11:6	[1] 10:8
Conduct	Dated	Down	Facing
[1] 31:7	[2] 6:2 36:13	[4] 19:11 23:12 29:12 30:17	[1] 25:8
Conducted	Days	Duly-appointed	Fact
[1] 15:19	[5] 10:14 10:15 16:18 31:17 33:19	[1] 36:8	[2] 8:18 20:10
Confer	Deal	Dusty	Faded
[1] 27:3	[1] 23:23	[2] 1:1 1 3:15	[1] 25:7
Confirm	Decide		Failed
[1] 6:18	[3] 19:18 28:19 28:19	\mathbf{E}	[1] 6:5
Confirming	Decided		Fair
[1] 32:9	[2] 16:13 17:23	E-mail	[3] 5:18 18:2 35:3
Conjunction	Decision	[4] 10:1 10:6 12:11 27:21	Far
[1] 15:13	[15] 7:11 8:3 15:3 15:6 16:3 16:5 17:	E-mailed	[1] 32:7
Connection	4 17:25 21:12 22:25 23:6 23:11 23:17	[2] 0:23 11:13	Fast
[2] 9:5 11:2	28:14 30:16	Earliest	[3] 23:19 30:3 30:5
Consider	Decisions	[1] 22:22	Faxed
[2] 6:8 18:2	[2] 6:14 24:10	East	[1] 8:23
Consideration	Defects	[1] 2:8	Federal
[1] 8:11	[1] 33:2	Effect	[23] 5:20 5:22 6:15 7:18 7:20 7:23
	1	[1] 32:2	9 8:11 9:16 15:4 15:10 15:13 15:2
	IDeterance		15:22 16:3 17:5 17:22 19:17 20:1
Considering	Deference	Either	
Considering [1] 5:9	[2] 13:2 13:9	Either [8] 13:15 19:16 26:10 27:13 29:8 31:	1 26:9 28:18 32:18
Considering [1] 5:9 Consistent	[2] 13:2 13:9 Demand		1 26:9 28:18 32:18 Fide
Considering [1] 5:9	[2] 13:2 13:9	[8] 13:15 19:16 26:10 27:13 29:8 31:	1 26:9 28:18 32:18 Fide [1] 33:21

DDECISION DEDODTING IT

M [5] 1:8 2:9 3:2 6:2 36:11 [18] 1:4 2:7 3:7 4:1 4:4 4:9 10:7 13:2 13:8 15:18 17:7 17:21 17:23 18:3 20: 16 24:21 33:11 36:10 [3] 1:23 36:5 36:18 [6] 19:18 21:21 24:14 31:7 31:19 31: McLeod [39] 3:19 3:23 4:10 5:4 5:5 5:7 7:2 7: 12 7:14 7:19 8:2 9:9 9:10 9:12 9:14 [32] 1:18 3:18 3:21 3:23 4:14 4:19 4: 10:10 13:10 13:20 15:12 15:15 16:17 21 5:3 8:6 11:4 11:7 11:12 12:11 12: 17:24 18:6 19:22 21:6 21:20 22:2 22: From File to McL end

[6] 6:13 20:8 21:17 23:7 24:5 34:8

Included

Including

Koenecke

[1] 7:20

[1] 15:21

[1] 16:22

Guess

[1] 22:24

Guessing

Word Index 3 22:12 22:17 25:1 25:17 26:4 29:14 29:21 30:18 34:14 35:8 35:12 McLeod's 17:20 Mean Meant [1] 22:3 [1] 13:16 [1] 14:12

[7] 8:19 10:13 10:16 12:1 13:3 17:14 McLeodUSA [4] 1:4 1:18 3:8 9:1 McLeodUSA's [2] 2:16 3:12 [10] 12:19 15:5 18:3 21:8 21:12 21:19 30:13 33:6 33:16 33:22 [2] 33:17 33:18 Meeting [2] 3:3 19:23 Melissa [8] 1:18 4:25 6:24 10:19 11:12 19:3 28:17 34:19 Mention [2] 5:9 16:15 Mentioned Microphone [2] 4:14 4:21 Midcontinent Midcontinent's Might [8] 6:17 12:12 16:23 21:15 22:23 26: 18 26:19 30:17 Mike [1] 3:20 Mind [3] 27:1 31:16 33:3 Minimal [2] 27:11 31:15 Minutes [3] 8:25 10:1 27:4 Missing [1] 29:20 Moment [2] 5:2 8:16 Morning [2] 11:14 18:5

Most [1] 33:7 Motion

[30] 2:17 3:12 5:13 6:8 7:6 7:21 7:22 7:24 8:3 8:11 8:21 9:4 9:19 12:2 14:5 15:9 15:13 16:7 17:5 17:13 20:13 20: 18 20:25 22:19 30:20 30:24 34:14 35: 6 35:8 35:8 Mouth [2] 5:17 25:9

Move [4] 4:5 4:20 11:1 34:13 Moved [1] 17:12 Moves

[1] 4:21 Moving [3] 3:19 5:1 22:23 Must [1] 7:14 Mutually

[1] 35:18

N

Name [1] 3:13 Nationwide [2] 4:8 5:5 Near [1] 13:12 Necessary [1] 28:5 Necessities

[1] 30:11

Need

[5] 9:11 9:12 9:18 23:10 30:8 New [4] 16:6 16:7 17:12 17:15

Next [6] 10:7 19:12 20:1 20:22 21:2 23:17 Nicely

[1] 31:18 Niceties [1] 27:24 Night [2] 13:12 18:4 None [1] 12:17

Normally [1] 15:22 North [3] 9:25 10:2 24:17

Nos [1] 2:13 Notary [2] 36:6 36:18 Note [1] 14:21 Nothing [1] 13:1 Notice

[19] 2:16 5:3 8:20 8:22 8:24 9:1 9:3 10:20 11:8 12:1 18:3 20:11 25:22 27: 12 28:25 30:9 31:15 31:19 32:17

Notices [1] 33:21 Notifying [1] 28:18 Number [2] 4:15 32:24

0

0'clock [5] 11:14 13:12 18:4 25:22 35:23 Objection [1] 12:15 Objections

[2] 11:5 13:4 Obviously [2] 29:16 33:3 Occur [1] 33:13 Occurring [2] 24:8 24:9

Once

[1] 15:22 One

[7] 3:22 7:4 12:3 21:5 27:7 28:11 32:

Operating [1] 26:14 Opinion [1] 30:18 Opportunity

[5] 21:9 23:9 23:13 27:3 29:15

Option [1] 14:16 orally [1] 17:17 Örder

[14] 5:19 8:17 16:8 21:20 27:13 28:23 29:12 31:13 31:21 32:20 33:7 33:14 33:23 34:23

Ordering [1] 31:12 Orders

[4] 17:18 20:19 33:10 33:13 Organizing

[1] 19:23 Original [1] 16:16 Originally [1] 7:16 Otherwise [1] 8:18

Outcome

[1] 8:10 Outlined [1] 6:4

Own

[1] 6:15

P

P.m. [3] 2:10 3:2 5:12 Paid [1] 29:17 Papers [1] 4:2 Paragraph [1] 9:10

Part [6] 4:7 10:21 14:6 28:16 28:22 28:24 Participated

[1] 28:25 Particular [1] 14:4 Parties

[5] 28:25 29:4 33:9 33:21 35:17 Party

[1] 3:19 Past [1] 5:21 Pat [1] 2:2 Pending

[7] 6:14 8:2 8:10 8:14 15:10 17:5 20:

People [1] 4:10 Perhaps [4] 4:4 5:21 20:13 25:24

Period [3] 21:22 22:11 29:17

Permit [1] 30:17 Perspective [2] 14:4 23:4 Petition

[11] 1:4 3:8 7:4 7:7 7:8 10:13 10:14 16:17 17:20 18:21 20:15

Physically [1] 23:21 Pierre [5] 2:9 3:6 3:15 3:23 36:13

Place [8] 3:3 5:6 6:9 6:11 22:1 22:16 31:24

33:14 Pleadings [1] 7:17 Plight [1] 13:9 PM [1] 35:23

Point [10] 4:3 6:17 17:10 17:15 20:12 20:13 20:15 20:20 20:24 34:9

Pose [1] 21:17 Position [4] 14:4 14:12 24:7 28:1 Possible [3] 26:16 26:16 26:20

Possibly [1] 16:10 Potential [2] 29:17 29:21 Potentially

[1] 22:23 Practical [1] 33:7

PrairieWave [4] 13:15 13:18 13:19 14:13

Prefer [2] 31:22 35:2 Preliminary [1] 32:19

Prepared [1] 33:21 Present

[1] 8:16 Presiding [1] 35:4 Presume

[1] 4:8 Pretty [1] 30:4 Prima

[1] 10:8 Problem [1] 28:17 Procedural

[6] 13:2 13:6 27:24 31:19 33:2 34:22

Procedurally [1] 28:12

Proceed 111 10:13 Proceeding

[9] 10:22 15:21 16:15 24:7 24:8 25:11 27:21 28:5 29:5

Proceedings

[12] 1:7 2:6 8:14 11:3 22:16 24:16 34: 15 34:17 35:10 35:13 36:9 36:12

Process [2] 6:3 18:25 Processes [1] 19:8 Professional [2] 36:6 36:19

Prohibit [1] 6:12 Proper [1] 34:13

Properly [2] 7:8 17:22 Proposal [1] 20:9 Prospective

T11 28:23 Protect **[1] 28:6**

Provide [3] 13:23 21:21 32:16 Provides [1] 9:3

Public [6] 1:1 1:10 3:4 3:14 36:6 36:18

Put

[8] 4:2 5:15 5:17 6:8 24:10 27:1 28:8 28:24 Puts

[1] 27:25

О

Ouestions [3] 6:16 19:16 23:25 Quite

[1] 35:5 Owest

[59] 1:5 1:18 1:19 3:10 4:8 5:3 5:15 5: 16 5:18 5:25 6:12 6:18 6:22 6:25 7: 17 7:24 8:1 8:4 8:5 8:7 8:9 8:16 9:8 9: 15 9:21 10:4 10:14 10:15 13:20 15:19 15:25 16:10 18:2 18:8 18:18 19:21 21: 12 21:22 21:23 22:14 23:19 24:7 24: 11 24:16 25:3 27:10 28:2 28:14 29:8 29:25 30:14 30:17 31:13 31:22 32:3 32:16 34:14 35:9 35:11

Owest's [3] 9:7 20:17 26:23

R

Raised [2] 27:22 33:1 Rapids [2] 4:11 11:19 Rather [2] 7:25 8:23

From Mcl and to Rather

DDECISION DEDODTING I TO

Reach [2] 28:8 30:7 Request Search [1] 6:5 [2] 10:17 30:15 [1] 29:2 SS Reached Requested Second [1] 36:2 [1] 28:15 [1] 10:3 [1] 35:14 Staff Read Security [5] 1:12 3:24 14:15 20:9 29:2 Requesting [2] 8:22 22:10 [4] 5:4 5:10 18:24 19:10 [1] 5:4 Staff's Reading Require T11 23:8 See [1] 20:18 [5] 28:14 28:23 34:14 35:9 35:10 [5] 18:4 26:12 27:6 30:13 35:2 Standards Really Required [2] 31:2 31:5 Seek [2] 10:10 28:7 [2] 5:10 35:7 [2] 9:13 9:18 Start Seeking Reason Requirement [1] 16:10 [1] 32:23 [2] 29:3 29:13 [2] 9:4 22:3 Started [1] 27:5 Reasonable Requires Seeks [2] 31:10 33:22 [1] 33:8 [1] 10:11 State Reassured Resolution [9] 1:2 2:7 3:5 5:18 7:17 23:13 29:23 Seem [1] 9:24 [3] 6:3 18:25 19:8 [3] 24:20 26:3 26:5 36:1 36:7 Statements Receipt Respect Send [12] 4:3 8:12 8:14 10:11 16:15 17:4 17:13 18:24 19:7 19:9 24:11 25:14 [1] 20:17 [1] 9:8 [1] 32:9 States Received Sending Respectfully [2] 10:1 10:25 [8] 2:15 6:15 9:24 11:17 11:18 19:18 [1] 10:5 [1] 10:16 26:3 29:1 Recently Sends Stays [1] 22:3 Respective [1] 15:14 [1] 17:10 [1] 33:10 Recommendation Senger Stipulate [3] 20:9 23:8 34:23 Respond [1] 1:15 [1] 31:22 [1] 10:14 Record Served [10] 4:3 10:21 14:21 27:11 28:16 28: 24 31:22 32:4 32:6 32:10 Stipulated Responding [1] 5:3 [1] 32:21 [1] 13:10 Service Referencing Response [11] 5:12 13:22 13:23 14:9 21:13 23: Stipulation [2] 12:1 12:4 [4] 32:7 32:10 32:14 32:15 [1] 5:22 12 30:18 32:20 33:13 34:16 35:12 Refile Stipulations Restating Services [2] 21:15 29:15 [12] 1:4 3:8 8:10 9:2 9:22 10:4 16:11 [1] 33:5 [1] 23:19 17:17 18:10 20:20 21:7 21:23 Reflect Straight Restraining [1] 4:6 [1] 19:20 [3] 5:19 8:17 16:8 Set. Reflects [2] 6:19 25:2 Struck Result [1] 18:16 [1] 35:1 Setting [1] 8:3 Refusal Revisit [1] 10:7 Stuff **[1]** 33:20 [1] 17:11 Sevold [1] 26:23 Revisiting Subject Regard [1] 2:2 [1] 22:20 Shall [2] 7:3 7:9 [2] 13:5 15:10 Regarding Risk [1] 3:11 Subsequently [5] 2:14 11:16 13:7 13:8 27:9 [1] 7:15 Shape [1] 7:24 Substantial Regardless Rislov [**1**] 34:6 [1] 13:20 Shorter [1] 13:23 [1] 1:14 Registered Rock [1] 35:2 Substantive [2] 7:7 18:3 [1] 31:24 Shorthand [2] 36:5 36:19 Suffer Regulatory Rolayne [2] 36:9 36:9 [4] 1:13 8:24 10:24 14:24 Showed [1] 29:18 [1] 31:6 Sufficient Relief Rooms [1] 20:3 [23] 2:17 3:12 7:6 7:12 7:14 8:21 9:4 9:13 9:18 10:11 10:12 10:17 12:2 17: [2] 2:8 3:5 Shut [2] 28:4 35:3 Root [3] 23:12 23:19 34:16 Suggested 24 18:6 18:7 21:1 22:4 30:15 30:20 [1] 34:12 [1] 24:21 Similar 31:9 33:8 35:9 [2] 26:18 28:4 Summarize Rope Rely [1] 7:1 $[1] \overline{4}:15$ Simply [1] 31:5 RPR [1] 10:5 Supplement Remind Sit [1] 28:15 [1] 1:23 [1] 22:9 Suspend Rule [1] 19:25 Removed [1] 27:13 [1] 15:12 Situation [1] 7:18 Switch Rules [1] 29:8 Removing [1] 21:5 [1] 21:13 Smith [1] 15:11 Ruling [30] 1:13 5:1 8:24 10:24 11:15 11:21 Т Repeating [1] 21:20 11:25 12:6 12:15 12:18 24:4 24:5 24: [1] 15:8 19 24:24 25:6 25:8 26:15 27:6 27:19 Runs Table 28:10 30:25 32:2 32:5 32:14 32:22 32: Report [1] 18:21 [2] 7:10 17:25 25 33:16 33:19 34:1 34:7 [13] 2:14 8:4 8:5 9:15 10:6 10:19 11: TC05-057 10 11:13 11:16 11:24 33:9 34:14 35:9 Somewhat S [2] 1:4 3:7 [1] 6:14 Reported Tee Sorry [1] 1:23 Sahr [1] 30:23 [4] 4:13 19:2 25:8 33:17 [31] 1:11 3:1 3:13 6:21 11:4 12:21 12: 24 13:14 14:2 14:10 14:14 14:20 18: Reporter Telecommunications [4] 22:10 36:6 36:9 36:19 Sort [3] 1:4 3:8 9:1 13 20:7 21:3 21:18 22:9 22:21 23:3 [3] 21:6 23:5 29:12 Repose 23:24 24:4 29:6 29:7 29:25 30:2 30:8 Telephone [1] 6:14 South 30:12 30:19 30:21 35:14 35:16 [17] 1:2 2:7 2:9 3:4 3:14 5:8 6:10 9: 20 9:22 24:13 24:17 25:12 25:17 26: 25 36:1 36:7 36:13 [3] 1:10 2:1 3:16 Represent Sahr's Telephones [1] $\overline{27}$:10 [1] 27:8 [1] 6:10 Representation Scenes Speaking Temporary [5] 10:3 27:17 31:14 32:3 32:6 [1] 22:6 [2] 4:23 4:25 [3] 5:19 8:17 16:8 Representations Speaks Scope Terms [6] 6:18 8:5 9:7 9:8 25:16 26:6 [1] 27:17 [1] 31:6 [11] 5:5 5:19 6:3 8:8 8:17 16:2 18:23 Represented Scramble Speediness 19:6 20:3 26:1 26:24 [1] 25:3 Thereby [1] 34:2 [1] 19:23 Representing Scrambling [1] 29:14 Spot

[1] 27:23

[1] 3:23

Therein

Word Index [1] 19:1 [1] 14:7 Y They've [2] 5:11 9:18 Undersigned [1] 9:2 Yesterday Thinking Undertake [1] 20: 4 [1] 28:11 [1] 27:12 Thinks Unilateral [1] 34:19 [1] 6:12 Thomas United [1] 1:19 [3] 2:15 11:17 11:18 Thompson Unless [50] 1:18 4:22 6:23 6:24 10:23 11:11 [1] 12:20 11:12 11:20 11:23 12:5 12:9 12:21 12: 23 14:24 15:2 15:7 16:7 16:12 16:20 16:24 17:3 17:9 17:21 19:2 19:3 21:5 Unreasonable [3] 21:22 31:7 31:10 σŪ 21:17 21:18 22:11 22:14 22:24 24:5 [16] 3:7 4:13 4:19 6:19 15:2 15:6 15: 24:14 24:23 25:13 27:7 27:15 28:7 28: 20 29:24 30:4 30:19 32:8 32:21 33:5 7 15:20 16:2 20:21 22:15 25:23 27:8 33:24 34:4 34:24 34:25 35:21 30:23 31:20 34:8 Thompson's Utilities [1] 31:14 [4] 1:1 1:10 3:4 3:14 Threatened [1] 22:2 Threats Via [1] 5:11 [1] 3:16 Three Vice [3] 3:22 31:17 33:19 [28] 1:11 3:1 3:13 6:21 11:4 12:21 12: Thursday 24 13:14 14:2 14:10 14:14 14:20 18: [1] 3:1 13 20:7 21:3 22:9 22:21 23:3 23:24 Today 24:4 27:8 29:6 30:2 30:8 30:12 30:21 [7] 3:11 4:5 10:25 13:4 13:10 17:23 35:14 35:16 Today's \mathbf{w} [1] 7:9 Together Wait [1] 5:15 [2] 29:13 35:11 Tom Waiving [7] 4:12 4:15 10:18 10:23 11:9 33:3 [1] 13:4 Wants Tomorrow [1] 14:8 [1] 5:12 Week Took [4] 19:12 20:1 23:2 23:17 [1] 36:9 Weeks Tough [3] 19:12 20:12 21:2 [1] 27:23 Welk Toward [23] 1:19 4:12 4:12 4:17 4:24 10:18 [1] 25:9 10:18 11:1 11:10 12:24 12:25 13:1 25: Towards 6 25:7 27:22 28:10 28:11 28:22 33:1 [2] 22:23 35:18 33:4 33:18 34:19 35:22 Transcript Wiest [2] 1:7 2:6 **[17]** 1:13 8:24 10:24 14:18 14:23 14: Transcription 24 15:5 16:5 16:9 16:14 16:21 16:25 [1] 36:12 17:8 17:16 18:11 20:7 20:8 Transfer Willing [21 2:14 11:16 [9] 18:19 18:22 19:5 19:6 25:1 25:4 Transferred 25:18 27:10 28:3 [2] 15:23 22:18 Wish TRO [3] 19:19 25:21 27:3 [17] 7:21 7:23 8:8 9:21 15:16 15:20 Wishes 15:24 16:2 16:6 16:23 17:11 17:13 17: [1] 6:13 14 22:15 22:19 29:9 32:18 Withdraw True [3] 6:1 9:3 20:19 [1] 36:11 Withdrawal Trying [7] 2:16 8:21 9:9 11:9 12:2 25:4 25:22 [1] 29:20 Withdrawn Turn [1] 9:19 [2] 19:10 30:18 Wittler Turned [3] 1:23 36:5 36:18 [2] 21:7 22:13 Word Turning [1] 35:5 [3] 22:23 29:14 35:11 Wording Twice [1] 24:15 [2] 34:4 34:7 Words Two [7] 6:15 7:2 19:12 19:17 20:12 21:2 [1] 5:17 Write Type [1] 30:3 [2] 7:14 29:9 Writing [4] 18:22 19:5 20:3 26:23 U Written [1] 9:8 Under

[5] 5:5 8:11 15:22 26:14 29:18

Underlying

Wrote

[1] 30:4



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA CEDAR RAPIDS DIVISION

MCLEOD USA TELECOMMUNICATIONS) SERVICES, INC.	CASE NO. 1:05-cv-00039-MWB
Plaintiff, v. QWEST CORPORATION AND QWEST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, Defendant.	REPORT TO COURT REGARDING TRANSFER OF ACTION TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

REPORT TO COURT REGARDING TRANSFER OF ACTION TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

As requested by this Court during the March 30, 2005 telephonic hearing on Defendant Qwest's Motion to Stay or Dismiss, Qwest submits the following Report on its position regarding transfer to the United States District Court for the District of Colorado:

- Qwest and McLeod are currently subject to the terms of the temporary restraining order ("TRO") issued by this Court on March 23, 2005. This TRO is scheduled to expire on April 12, 2005.
- 2. Through its Motion to Stay or Dismiss filed with this Court on March 24, 2005, Qwest has requested that this action be dismissed or stayed pursuant to the ruling of the United States District Court for the District of Colorado in Qwest's first-filed parallel action, Civil Action No. 05-WM-506-OES.
- 3. In the March 30, 2005 telephonic hearing on Qwest's Motion to Stay or Dismiss, this Court requested Qwest's position on the following issue: whether, if this Court decides to

transfer this action to the District of Colorado, Qwest agrees to let the TRO issued by this Court on March 23, 2005 to remain in effect until the TRO is modified, extended, or rescinded by the Colorado court.

4. Through this Report, Qwest agrees that, if this Court stays this action or transfers this action to the District of Colorado, the TRO issued by this Court on March 23, 2005 will remain in effect until the TRO is modified, extended, or rescinded by the District of Colorado. Qwest also requests that, as a condition of this agreement, Plaintiff McLeodUSA be required to cooperate with Qwest and to use its best efforts to ensure that a hearing on the existing TRO is quickly and expeditiously scheduled in the Colorado court.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 30, 2005

/s/ Amy L. Benson

Sheila K. Tipton (PK0005551) Dennis W. Johnson (PK0002613) Amy M. Omvig (PK0018363) DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

801 Grand Avenue, Suite 3900

Des Moines, Iowa 50309

Tel: (515) 283-1000 Fax: (515) 283-1060

Email: tipton.sheila@dorsey.com johnson.dennis@dorsey.com bjork.amy@dorsey.com

Amy L. Benson (admitted pro hac vice)
Timothy R. Beyer (admitted pro hac vice)
Brownstein Hyatt & Farber, P.C.
410 17th Street, 22nd Floor
Denver, Colorado 80202

Tel: (303) 223-1100 Fax: (303) 223-1111

Email: abenson@bhf-law.com tbeyer@bhf-law.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on March 30, 2005, the foregoing instrument was electronically filed with the Court using the CM/ECF system and served upon all parties to the above case and/or to each of the attorneys of record herein at their respective addresses disclosed on the pleadings:

By:	Electronic Service	AND/OR
By:	X U.S. Mail	FAX
-	Hand	Overnight
	Delivered	Courier
	E-mail	Other
/S/	Amy M. Omvig	

COPIES TO:

Diane Kutzko Mark L Zaiger Richard S. Fry Shuttleworth & Ingersoll 115 Third Street, SE, Suite 500 P.O. Box 2107 Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52405-2107

Ky E. Kirby Richard M. Rindler Jon Frankel Swidler Berlin LLP 3000 K. Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 2007

Alan E. Fredregill Heidman, Redmond, Fredregill, Patterson, Plaza, Dykstra & Prahl, L.L.P. 701 Pierce St., Suite 200 PO Box 3086 Sioux City, IA 51101

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

τ	ock	. <i></i> .	N T -
	$\cap \cap V$	PΤ	NA

IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT OF MCLEODUSA TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC., FOR ENFORCEMENT OF AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH QWEST CORPORATION

MCLEODUSA'S NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF ITS MOTION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF

McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc. ("McLeodUSA"), through its undersigned counsel, hereby provides notice that it may now withdraw its Motion seeking emergency relief from this Commission in connection with its Complaint filed in this docket. However, McLeodUSA also provides notice through this pleading that it will be required to seek separate interim relief from this Commission, albeit on a somewhat less expedited basis.

- 1. On March 30, 2005, shortly after the Complaint was filed in this docket, a brief telephone conference/hearing was held, attended by the chief Administrative Law Judge, counsel for Qwest, and the undersigned counsel for McLeodUSA. During that telephone call, counsel for Qwest acknowledged that the Temporary Restraining Order issued by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa ("Iowa TRO") prevented Qwest from taking the actions threatened in its March 21, 2005 letter, including the disconnection of Colorado subscribers served by McLeodUSA. Based upon this representation, and conditional upon receipt of written confirmation of these representations, McLeodUSA agreed to file this withdrawal.
- 2. On the morning of March 31, 2005, an additional telephonic hearing was held between the parties and the chief Administrative Law Judge. During that hearing, Qwest's

counsel reported that Qwest had made assurances to the U.S. District Court Judge presiding over the federal case in Iowa, the Hon. Mark W. Bennett, that Qwest would continue to honor the terms of the Iowa TRO should a decision issue to transfer the Iowa federal case to Colorado, at least until such time as the U.S. District Court in Colorado has an opportunity to rule on a motion for a new temporary restraining order filed by McLeodUSA. Qwest's counsel reiterated that a letter confirming his statements made at the previous afternoon's hearing, as well as this new information, would be forthcoming.

- 3. The undersigned received the letter from Qwest via fax just before noon today. A copy of that letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A. While the letter accurately reflects the commitments made by Qwest to the lowa Court in connection with its request to transfer the federal case in Iowa to Colorado, it contains no mention of the commitments made orally to this Commission by Qwest counsel yesterday afternoon. Most notably, an oral commitment was made that Qwest acknowledged not only the existence of the Iowa TRO, but that the scope of the Iowa TRO prevented Qwest from taking any action to discontinue the taking of orders from McLeodUSA or disconnecting services under the parties' Colorado Interconnection Agreement ("Agreement"). The letter contains no mention of this key commitment.
- 4. Notwithstanding this deficiency in the written confirmation provided by Qwest, McLeodUSA will nevertheless withdraw its Motion for Emergency Relief. Qwest counsel's verbal commitments were clear, and as he correctly pointed out, those commitments were made by a licensed attorney authorized to bind Qwest to those commitments. While the non-responsiveness of the letter is frustrating, it is inconceivable that Qwest would willfully violate the Iowa TRO and the commitments made to this Commission, and intentionally disconnect service to thousands of Colorado homes and businesses after assuring the Commission it would

not do so.

5. While the need for immediate Commission intervention has been averted, McLeodUSA will need to seek additional relief from this Commission, albeit on a less expedited basis, to ensure that any claim of default made by Qwest can be disputed and resolved under the terms of the agreement. McLeodUSA's concern is that Qwest may claim default relating back to its original security deposit demand, and attempt to circumvent the dispute resolution provisions of the Agreement and this Commission's jurisdiction to protect Colorado subscribers from disconnection without notice. Such a pleading will be filed as soon as practically possible.

Respectfully submitted,

MCLEODUSA TELECOMMUNICATIONS

SERVICES, INC

Bv:

Andrew R. Newell (#31121)

KRYS BOYLE, P.C.

600 Seventeenth Street Suite 2700, South Tower

Denver, Colorado 80202

(720) 889-2237

(303) 893-2882

anewell@krysboyle.com

Counsel for McLeodUSA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that an original and 15 copies of the foregoing MCLEODUSA'S NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF ITS MOTION FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF was hand delivered this 31st day of March, 2005, to the following addressee:

Mr. Doug Dean, Director COLORADO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Logan Tower, Office Level 2 1580 Logan Street Denver, CO 80203

and a copy of the foregoing was mailed by depositing same in the U.S. Mail, postage prepaid this 31st day of March, 2005, with additional electronic courtesy copies to the chief Administrative Law Judge, as well as to the following addressees:

David McGann, Esq. Qwest Corporation 1005 17th St., Suite 200 Denver, CO 80202

Steven Southwick G. Harris Adams First Assistant Attorney General 1525 Sherman St. Denver, CO 80203 James Greenwood, Director Colorado Office of Consumer Counsel 1580 Logan Street, Office Level 7 Denver, CO 80203

Paul Gomez Gary Witt Assistant Attorneys General 1525 Sherman St. Denver, CO 80203