RECEIVED

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

JAN 1 1 2001

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC TITLITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING FOR APPROVAL OF A TYPE 2 WIRELESS INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN QWEST CORPORATION AND WWC LICENSE, LLC

TC00-145

Transcript of Proceedings
January 4, 2001

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,
JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN
PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN
LASKA SCHOENFELDER, COMMISSIONER

COMMISSION STAFF

Rolayne Ailts Wiest
Karen Cremer
Kelly Frazier
Leni Healy
Charlene Lund
Mary Giddings
Harlan Best
Dave Jacobsen
Michele Farris
Gregory A. Rislov
Heather Forney
Martin Bettmann
William Bullard Jr.
Sue Cichos

Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR



1	APPEARANCES
2	Greg Deen, SDITC R.H. Miller, South Dakota Electric Utility Cos.
3	David A. Gerdes, Xcel, MDU, Worldcom Gordy Woods, SDIP Wade Reimers, SDIP
4	Ed Anderson, SDREA
5	Jim Wilcox, Xcel Energy Brad Schardin, Southeast Electric Corp.
6	APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE Sandy Hofstetter, AT&T
7	Mary Tribby, AT&T
8	Mary Lohnes, Midcontinent Cable Colleen Sevold, Qwest
9	Tom Welk, Qwest Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation Robert Christopson, Christopson Law Office
10	Robert Christenson, Christenson Law Office Dave Saville, Martin & Associates Reid Presson, ILD Telecommunications
11	Alan Dietrich, NWPS Tom Hitchcock, NWPS
12	Barb Berkenpas, McLeod USA
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
15	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
16	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
17	South Dakota, on the 4th day of January 2001,
18	commencing at 1:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-145, In the matter of the filing for approval of a type 2 wireless Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation and WWC License, LLC. Today shall the Commission approve the Interconnection Agreement. Have you got that, Karen?

MS. CREMER: Yeah. I'll take that,
Mr. Chairman. Mr. DeJordy is on the phone and I
guess I had asked you this right before I came up
to the meeting and I'm not sure I got an answer.

So before I make a recommendation I would ask if this Interconnection Agreement is to be used for traffic that is terminated to or received from your fixed wireless service. And did you ever give me an answer on that?

MR. DEJORDY: I guess we haven't fully discussed that. If the question is, you know, could it be used -- could the Interconnection Agreement be used to provide fixed wireless services, I think the question then turns upon is the fixed wire service a CRMS type service or is it not.

And if it's a non-CMRS service, it would be a violation of the agreement under Section B 211 where it says that the agreement essentially covers

wireless interconnection for CMRS carriers in association with CMRS two-way traffic.

So I'm not sure if that answers your question.

MS. CREMER: I'm not either. If we were to strike -- you and I discussed this. If we were to strike Parts C and D from what you have filed, then I guess I would ask the question again, would that agreement as it stands then -- would you then -- could it be used for traffic that's terminated to or received from your fixed wireless service?

MR. DEJORDY: Excuse me. Parts C and D?

MS. CREMER: Right. Didn't we agree?

MR. DEJORDY: Yes. I have no problem striking Parts C and D. If you look at Parts C and D, Chairman and Commissioners, it essentially says if a party wants to establish a collocation arrangement under Part C or union type arrangement under Part D, it would need to execute an amendment to the agreement and that amendment to the agreement would need to be filed with the Commission and there would need to be approval by

the Commission of, you know, an amendment that would govern collocation and UNEs.

To the extent that Part C and D gives the Commission any concern, I would have no problem with, you know, deleting those two sections.

MS. CREMER: Staff's recommendation would be that you approve the agreement if you strike Part C and D, but I think the Order needs to be very clear that WWC License, LLC cannot provide fixed wireless service unless they come back to this Commission for a Certificate of Authority and that the Order clearly state that the agreement is for transport and termination of cellular telecommunication traffic between WWC License, LLC and Qwest.

My concern is just that, you know, if this is truly just a transport and termination

Interconnection Agreement, then they should file that type of Interconnection Agreement. And they didn't here. And I think by striking Parts C and D we're covered. But I think, you know, we make it very clear in the Order.

COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: Would you have a problem with just deferring this until you're definitive about what you're going to do?

Thank

1 MS. CREMER: The problem is the 90 days runs out today. And unless they would 2 3 agree to waive that 90 days -- and that's my problem. 5 COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: 6 you. 7 MS. AILTS WIEST: I would recommend 8 approving it based on the striking of Parts C and 9 D. 10 CHAIRMAN BURG: And I understand, 11 but did your language that you're requesting in the 12 Order go beyond striking C and D? 13 MS. CREMER: In case there's 14 something in A, B, and E, and F that would allow 15 them to provide fixed wireless without coming to us 16 for a Certificate of Authority, until that issue 17 has been decided by the FCC or you guys or 18 whoever's going to ultimately decide it, I want it 19 clear that this Interconnection Agreement doesn't 20 allow them to provide that. I think by striking C 21 and D and E we do that. 22 MS. AILTS WIEST: I guess I would 23 prefer that we just strike C and D. The Commission 24 has not actually decided the whole issue on fixed 25 wireless. And I think in order to decide that

1 issue the Commission -- and it is before the FCC, I 2 know, in another form right now. 3 But it appears to be a factual type issue that the Commission would probably need some sort of 4 5 evidence on. So I would feel more comfortable just 6 approving the agreement, and Mr. DeJordy has agreed 7 they would strike Parts C and D and leaving it at 8 that. 9 CHAIRMAN BURG: C and D in what? 10 MS. CREMER: In the Interconnection 11 Agreement that was originally filed. 12 CHAIRMAN BURG: Any other questions 13 or comments? 14 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I quess I 15 would so move as Rolayne requested, that we strike 16 C and D and the Interconnection Agreement as 17 proposed. 18 CHAIRMAN BURG: I'll second that. 19 COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: I'll 20 concur. 21 CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-145 has been 22 approved with the striking of Sections C and D in 23 the Interconnection Agreement. 24 MR. WELK: Mr. Chairman, this is 25 Tom Welk. In order to implement the Commission's

decision as well, does the Chairman strike that, or 1 2 do we need to resubmit another agreement? What is 3 the preference of Commission and Counsel? 4 MS. AILTS WIEST: It would probably 5 be preferable to submit another agreement after 6 this, but the Order will also state that it was 7 stricken. 8 MR. WELK: Okay with you, 9 Mr. DeJordy? 10 MR. DEJORDY: That's fine. From 11 what I understand, the agreement doesn't go through 12 this review process again. We're subject to, you 13 know, the staff or the Commission's review of C and 14 D in fact has been deleted? 15 MS. AILTS WIEST: Exactly. 16 MR. WELK: We'll have to resubmit an 17 agreement with those two sections gone. 18 MS. AILTS WIEST: Right. 19 CHAIRMAN BURG: You'll also clarify 20 that in the Order; correct? 21 MS. AILTS WIEST: Yeah. That will 22 be in the Order. 23 24 25

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 4th day of
11	January 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 11th day
14	of January 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chui M. Wittle
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 2 OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA 3 4 IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING FOR APPROVAL OF A FOURTH 5 AMENDMENT TO AN INTERCONNECTION TC00-180 AGREEMENT BETWEEN QWEST CORPORATION AND MCLEODUSA TELECOMMUNICATIONS 6 SERVICES, INC. 7 Transcript of Proceedings 8 January 4, 2001 9 10 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, 11 JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN 12 PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN LASKA SCHOENFELDER, COMMISSIONER 13 COMMISSION STAFF 14 Rolayne Ailts Wiest Karen Cremer 15 Kelly Frazier Leni Healy 16 Charlene Lund Mary Giddings 17 Harlan Best Dave Jacobsen 18 Michele Farris Gregory A. Rislov 19 Heather Forney Martin Bettmann 20 William Bullard Jr. Sue Cichos 21 22 23 Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR 24 25

1	APPEARANCES
2	Greg Deen, SDITC R.H. Miller, South Dakota Electric Utility Cos.
3	David A. Gerdes, Xcel, MDU, Worldcom Gordy Woods, SDIP
4	Wade Reimers, SDIP Ed Anderson, SDREA
5	Jim Wilcox, Xcel Energy Brad Schardin, Southeast Electric Corp.
6	APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE
7	Sandy Hofstetter, AT&T Mary Tribby, AT&T Mary Labras Midwarting to C.1.
8	Mary Lohnes, Midcontinent Cable Colleen Sevold, Qwest
9	Tom Welk, Qwest Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation
10	Robert Christenson, Christenson Law Office Dave Saville, Martin & Associates
11	Reid Presson, ILD Telecommunications Alan Dietrich, NWPS
12	Tom Hitchcock, NWPS Barb Berkenpas, McLeod USA
13	=======================================
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
15	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
16	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
17	South Dakota, on the 4th day of January 2001,
18	commencing at 1:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-180, In the matter of the filing for approval of a fourth amendment to the Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation and McLeod Telecommunications Services, Incorporated. Today shall the Commission approve the amendment to the Interconnection Agreement.

McLeod requested the interconnection; is that right?

MR. FRAZIER: Yes. This interconnection appears to have been properly filed under Administrative Rule 20:10:32:21. The underlying Interconnection Agreement approved by this Commission was TC99-057, which was approved July 23 of 1999.

That original agreement obviously the Commission had found that the Interconnection Agreement was nondiscriminatory and that it was in the public interest in that it intended to promote competition.

My review of the notes, the application has been executed properly. The agreement between the parties, the laws of the State of South Dakota will be applied to any disputes arising from the Interconnection Agreement according to 20:10:32:21.

There has been a 20-day response period without comment. And on that basis, I would recommend approval of the Interconnection Agreement. CHAIRMAN BURG: Any other questions, comments? If not, I will move then we approve the amendment to the Interconnection Agreement at TC00-180. COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: Second. This is an amendment, though, and not an Interconnection Agreement; right? CHAIRMAN BURG: Amendment to Interconnection Agreement, yes.

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 4th day of
11	January 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 11th day
14	of January 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chui m. Witten
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 1 OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA 2 3 4 IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING FOR APPROVAL OF A FIRST TC00-181 AMENDMENT TO AN INTERCONNECTION 5 AGREEMENT BETWEEN OWEST 6 CORPORATION AND SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P. 7 8 Transcript of Proceedings January 4, 2001 9 10 11 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN 12 PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN 13 LASKA SCHOENFELDER, COMMISSIONER 14 COMMISSION STAFF Rolayne Ailts Wiest 15 Karen Cremer Kelly Frazier Leni Healy 16 Charlene Lund Mary Giddings 17 Harlan Best Dave Jacobsen 18 Michele Farris Gregory A. Rislov 19 Heather Forney 20 Martin Bettmann William Bullard Jr. 21 Sue Cichos 22 23 Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR 24 25

1	APPEARANCES
2	Greg Deen, SDITC R.H. Miller, South Dakota Electric Utility Cos. David A. Gerdes, Xcel, MDU, Worldcom
3	Gordy Woods, SDIP Wade Reimers, SDIP
4	Ed Anderson, SDREA Jim Wilcox, Xcel Energy
5	Brad Schardin, Southeast Electric Corp.
6	APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE Sandy Hofstetter, AT&T
7	Mary Tribby, AT&T Mary Lohnes, Midcontinent Cable
8	Colleen Sevold, Qwest Tom Welk, Qwest
9	Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation Robert Christenson, Christenson Law Office
10	Dave Saville, Martin & Associates Reid Presson, ILD Telecommunications
11	Alan Dietrich, NWPS Tom Hitchcock, NWPS
12	Barb Berkenpas, McLeod USA
13	=======================================
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
15	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
16	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
17	South Dakota, on the 4th day of January 2001,
18	commencing at 1:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-181, In the matter of filing of approval of a first amendment to an Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation and Sprint Communications Company L.P. Today shall the Commission approve the amendment to the Interconnection Agreement. Kelly.

MR. FRAZIER: Yes. This application for an amendment again was filed properly under 20:10:32:21. The original Interconnection Agreement was approved by this Commission in TC97-149 on 11-21 of '97. In that original Interconnection Agreement it was found to be nondiscriminatory and in the public interest.

I would note that though this has been executed, there were a couple of blank lines under Elizabeth Stamp's (phonetic) name where she didn't print her name and title. I spoke with the gentleman from Sprint who's on the line today.

MR. WELK: No. This is Tom Welk.

MR. FRAZIER: Did I speak with you about this, Tom?

MR. WELK: Yes.

MR. FRAZIER: This had come up on another matter where Elizabeth neglected to put the information in, and she authorized someone to put

in her name and title. 1 Other than the fact her name and title isn't 2 typed on the execution, it appears to be properly 3 executed. I see no legal reasons why that wouldn't be sufficient as far as an indication of the 5 agreement itself for the agreement. 6 The 20-day period has passed according to 7 20:10:32:21, and I would recommend approval of the 8 9 amendment. 10 CHAIRMAN BURG: Okay. Any comments 11 or questions for Kelly? COMMISSIONER NELSON: I'd move the 12 13 Commission approve the amendment to the 14 Interconnection Agreement proposed in TC00-181. 15 COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN BURG: Concur. 17 CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-181 has been 18 approved. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 4th day of
11	January 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 11th day
14	of January 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chuim. Witten
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 1 2 OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA 3 4 IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING FOR APPROVAL OF A FIRST AMENDMENT TO AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT 5 TC00-182 BETWEEN OWEST CORPORATION AND 6 NEW EDGE NETWORK, INC. D/B/A NEW EDGE NETWORKS 7 8 Transcript of Proceedings 9 January 4, 2001 10 11 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, 12 JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN 13 LASKA SCHOENFELDER, COMMISSIONER 14 COMMISSION STAFF Rolayne Ailts Wiest 15 Karen Cremer Kelly Frazier 16 Leni Healy Charlene Lund 17 Mary Giddings Harlan Best Dave Jacobsen 18 Michele Farris 19 Gregory A. Rislov Heather Forney 20 Martin Bettmann William Bullard Jr. 21 Sue Cichos 22 23 Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR 24 25

1	APPEARANCES
2	Greg Deen, SDITC R.H. Miller, South Dakota Electric Utility Cos.
3	David A. Gerdes, Xcel, MDU, Worldcom Gordy Woods, SDIP
4	Wade Reimers, SDIP Ed Anderson, SDREA
5	Jim Wilcox, Xcel Energy Brad Schardin, Southeast Electric Corp.
6	APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE
7	Sandy Hofstetter, AT&T Mary Tribby, AT&T
8	Mary Lohnes, Midcontinent Cable Colleen Sevold, Qwest
9	Tom Welk, Qwest Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation
10	Robert Christenson, Christenson Law Office Dave Saville, Martin & Associates
11	Reid Presson, ILD Telecommunications Alan Dietrich, NWPS
12	Tom Hitchcock, NWPS Barb Berkenpas, McLeod USA
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
15	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
16	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
17	South Dakota, on the 4th day of January 2001,
18	commencing at 1:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

TC00-182, In the CHAIRMAN BURG: 1 matter of the filing for approval of a first 2 amendment to an interconnection agreement between 3 Qwest Corporation and New Edge Network, Inc. doing 4 business as New Edge Networks. Kelly. 5 MR. FRAZIER: Yes. This one appears 6 to be properly filed. The original Interconnection 7 Agreement was approved by the Commission on 1-12-00 8 in TC99-109 where it was found to be 9 nondiscriminatory and in the public interest. 10 This one has been properly executed. 11 20-day period has passed without comment. I would 12 recommend that the Commission approve the 13 14 amendment. CHAIRMAN BURG: Any questions for 15 Kelly? If not, I'll move that we approve the 16 amendment to the Interconnection Agreement in 17 TC00-182. 18 19 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I'd second. COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: 20 Concur. CHAIRMAN BURG: The amendment to the 21 22 Interconnection Agreement has been approved in 23 TC00-182. 24 25

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 4th day of
11	January 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 11th day
14	of January 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chui M. Witten
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	24
1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	=======================================
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING FOR APPROVAL OF A FIRST AMENDMENT
5	TO AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT TC00-185 BETWEEN QWEST CORPORATION AND
6	NEWPATH HOLDINGS, INC.
7	
8	Transcript of Proceedings January 4, 2001
9	
10	
11	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN
12	PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN LASKA SCHOENFELDER, COMMISSIONER
13	COMMISSION STAFF
14	Rolayne Ailts Wiest Karen Cremer
15	Kalen Clemer Kelly Frazier Leni Healy
16	Charlene Lund Mary Giddings
17	Harlan Best Dave Jacobsen
18	Michele Farris Gregory A. Rislov
19	Heather Forney Martin Bettmann
20	William Bullard Jr. Sue Cichos
21	
22	
23	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	Greg Deen, SDITC R.H. Miller, South Dakota Electric Utility Cos. David A. Gerdes, Xcel, MDU, Worldcom
3	Gordy Woods, SDIP
4	Wade Reimers, SDIP Ed Anderson, SDREA
5	Jim Wilcox, Xcel Energy Brad Schardin, Southeast Electric Corp.
6	APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE
7	Sandy Hofstetter, AT&T Mary Tribby, AT&T
8	Mary Lohnes, Midcontinent Cable Colleen Sevold, Qwest
9	Tom Welk, Qwest Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation
10	Robert Christenson, Christenson Law Office Dave Saville, Martin & Associates
11	Reid Presson, ILD Telecommunications Alan Dietrich, NWPS
12	Tom Hitchcock, NWPS Barb Berkenpas, McLeod USA
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
15	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
16	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
17	South Dakota, on the 4th day of January 2001,
18	commencing at 1:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-185, In the 1 matter of filing for approval of a first amendment 2 to an Interconnection Agreement between Qwest 3 Corporation and Newpath Holdings, Inc. Today shall 4 5 the Commission approve the amendment to the 6 Interconnection Agreement. Kelly. MR. FRAZIER: Yes. I'm on kind of a 7 roll with these. The original Interconnection 8 9 Agreement was approved by this Commission in TC00-099 on August 16 of 2000. It was found to be 10 nondiscriminatory, in the public interest. 11 I've checked the execution. It has been 12 properly executed, and the 20-day response period 13 has passed. And on that basis I would recommend 14 15 approval of the amendment. 16 CHAIRMAN BURG: Any questions for 17 Kelly? I will move that we approve the amendment 18 to the Interconnection Agreement in TC00-185. COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: Second. 19 20 COMMISSIONER NELSON: Concur. 21 CHAIRMAN BURG: The Interconnection 22 Agreement -- the amendment to the Interconnection 23 Agreement has been approved in TC00-185. 24 25

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 4th day of
11	January 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 11th day
14	of January 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chui M. Witten
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 1 OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING 4 FOR APPROVAL OF SECOND AND THIRD AMENDMENTS TO AN INTERCONNECTION TC00-186 5 AGREEMENT BETWEEN QWEST CORPORATION AND NEW EDGE NETWORK, INC. D/B/A 6 NEW EDGE NETWORKS 7 8 Transcript of Proceedings January 4, 2001 9 10 11 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN 12 PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN LASKA SCHOENFELDER, COMMISSIONER 13 COMMISSION STAFF 14 Rolayne Ailts Wiest 15 Karen Cremer Kelly Frazier 16 Leni Healy Charlene Lund 17 Mary Giddings Harlan Best 18 Dave Jacobsen Michele Farris Gregory A. Rislov 19 Heather Forney Martin Bettmann 20 William Bullard Jr. 21 Sue Cichos 22 23 Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR 24 25

1	APPEARANCES
2	Greg Deen, SDITC R.H. Miller, South Dakota Electric Utility Cos. David A. Gerdes, Xcel, MDU, Worldcom
3	Gordy Woods, SDIP Wade Reimers, SDIP
4	Ed Anderson, SDREA Jim Wilcox, Xcel Energy
5	Brad Schardin, Southeast Electric Corp.
6	APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE Sandy Hofstetter, AT&T
7	Mary Tribby, AT&T Mary Lohnes, Midcontinent Cable
8	Colleen Sevold, Qwest Tom Welk, Qwest
9	Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation Robert Christenson, Christenson Law Office
10	Dave Saville, Martin & Associates Reid Presson, ILD Telecommunications
11	Alan Dietrich, NWPS Tom Hitchcock, NWPS
12	Barb Berkenpas, McLeod USA
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
15	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
16	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
17	South Dakota, on the 4th day of January 2001,
18	commencing at 1:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-186, In the 1 matter of the filing for approval of the second and third amendments to an Interconnection Agreement 3 between Qwest Corporation and New Edge Network, 4 Inc. doing business as New Edge Networks. 5 today shall the Commission approve the amendment to 6 the Interconnection Agreement. Kelly. 7 MR. FRAZIER: Yes. Again, this 8 original Interconnection Agreement was approved by 9 the Commission on 12th of January 2000 in TC99-109. 10 11 I found it to be nondiscriminatory, in the public interest, appears to be properly executed, and the 12 20-day period has passed, again, without any 13 comment from any other parties. 14 On that basis I'd recommend approval of the 15 16 amendments. 17 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I move approval of the amendment to the Interconnection 1.8 19 Agreement proposed in TC00-186. COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: 20 Second. CHAIRMAN BURG: Concur. TC00-186 21 22 has been approved. 23 24 25

I	
1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 4th day of
11	January 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 11th day
14	of January 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chui M. Wittle
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 1 OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA 2 ___________ 3 IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING 4 FOR APPROVAL OF A SECOND AMENDMENT TC00-187 TO AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT 5 BETWEEN OWEST CORPORATION AND AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MIDWEST, 6 INC. 7 8 Transcript of Proceedings January 4, 2001 9 10 11 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN 12 PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN LASKA SCHOENFELDER, COMMISSIONER 13 14 COMMISSION STAFF Rolayne Ailts Wiest 15 Karen Cremer Kelly Frazier 16 Leni Healy Charlene Lund 17 Mary Giddings Harlan Best Dave Jacobsen 18 Michele Farris 19 Gregory A. Rislov Heather Forney Martin Bettmann 20 William Bullard Jr. Sue Cichos 21 22 23 Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR 24 25

1	APPEARANCES
2	Greg Deen, SDITC R.H. Miller, South Dakota Electric Utility Cos.
3	David A. Gerdes, Xcel, MDU, Worldcom Gordy Woods, SDIP
4	Wade Reimers, SDIP Ed Anderson, SDREA
5	Jim Wilcox, Xcel Energy Brad Schardin, Southeast Electric Corp.
6	APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE Sandy Hofstetter, AT&T
7	Mary Tribby, AT&T
8	Mary Lohnes, Midcontinent Cable Colleen Sevold, Qwest
9	Tom Welk, Qwest Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation
10	Robert Christenson, Christenson Law Office Dave Saville, Martin & Associates
11	Reid Presson, ILD Telecommunications Alan Dietrich, NWPS
12	Tom Hitchcock, NWPS Barb Berkenpas, McLeod USA
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
15	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
16	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
17	South Dakota, on the 4th day of January 2001,
18	commencing at 1:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-187, In the 1 matter of the filing for approval of a second 2 amendment to an Interconnection Agreement between 3 Qwest Corporation and AT&T Communications of the Midwest, Inc. Today shall the Commission approve 5 the amendments to the Interconnection Agreement. 6 Again, Kelly. MR. FRAZIER: Yes. 8 Interconnection Agreement was approved in TC96-184 9 by the Commission. This one too had been -- I 10 caught this one where the execution page wasn't 11 completely filled out. 12 I contacted the parties, and they did supply 13 me with a properly executed page as far as the 14 signatures and typed in names go. I could hand 15 that out for the completion of the file if the 16 Commission would like. 17 The 20-day period has passed. All parties 18 appear to be in agreement, and I would recommend 19 approval on that basis. 20 CHAIRMAN BURG: Any questions for 21 Kelly? 22 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I'd move 23 approval of the amendment to the Interconnection 24 Agreement in TC00-187. 25

1	COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN BURG: I'll concur.
3	TC00-187 has been approved.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 4th day of
11	January 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 11th day
14	of January 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chie M. Witten
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING BY QWEST CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TC00-191
5	OF ITS STATEMENT OF GENERALLY AVAILABLE TERMS
6	=======================================
7	Transcript of Proceedings
8	January 4, 2001
9	
10	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,
11	JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN
12	LASKA SCHOENFELDER, COMMISSIONER
13	COMMISSION STAFF Rolayne Ailts Wiest
14	Karen Cremer Kelly Frazier
15	Leni Healy Charlene Lund
16	Mary Giddings Harlan Best
17	Dave Jacobsen Michele Farris
18	Gregory A. Rislov Heather Forney
19	Martin Bettmann William Bullard Jr.
20	Sue Cichos
21	
22	
23	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	Greg Deen, SDITC R.H. Miller, South Dakota Electric Utility Cos.
3	David A. Gerdes, Xcel, MDU, Worldcom Gordy Woods, SDIP
4	Wade Reimers, SDIP Ed Anderson, SDREA
5	Jim Wilcox, Xcel Energy Brad Schardin, Southeast Electric Corp.
6	APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE
7	Sandy Hofstetter, AT&T Mary Tribby, AT&T
8	Mary Lohnes, Midcontinent Cable Colleen Sevold, Qwest
9	Tom Welk, Qwest Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation
10	Robert Christenson, Christenson Law Office Dave Saville, Martin & Associates
11	Reid Presson, ILD Telecommunications Alan Dietrich, NWPS
12	Tom Hitchcock, NWPS Barb Berkenpas, McLeod USA
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
15	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
16	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
17	South Dakota, on the 4th day of January 2001,
18	commencing at 1:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-191, In the matter of the filing by Qwest Corporation for approval of its statement of generally available terms. Today how shall the Commission proceed on this Docket? Do we need --

MS. AILTS WIEST: I asked that this be put on. What I would like to do is hear from the parties on how they will recommend that the Commission proceed.

Qwest and AT&T have put in written comments and so they can either state any further oral comments, or we can go to the other parties who have not given any comments. But I would start with Qwest to see what they have to say.

CHAIRMAN BURG: Does anybody from Qwest have comments on how we should proceed in TC00-191?

MR. WELK: Mr. Chairman, this is

Tom Welk. As your general counsel indicated, we
have filed comments both with the filing of the
statement back in November and today, and
essentially what we request the Commission to do is
to allow the statement of general terms and
conditions to go into effect, then create a
procedural order to deal with that.

We have suggested that we wait until the end of April until the other workshops in other states have been completed, and hopefully any issues that may exist may be resolved and then the Commission will deal with an issue list.

It's clear under the Telecommunications Act, and AT&T acknowledges it, that the Commission by letting the statement go into effect does not impair its ability to review and essentially the reason why we think it's in the public (Inaudible) to go and to let it be in effect --

There is two principal reasons. Collocation deadlines that have been required to be in place by the FCC, as we have stated. We need to have rules in effect that are in the SGAT that has been filed. And, secondly, other existing and new LECs will have the opportunity when the statement goes into effect to pick and chose and adopt the agreement without having official Interconnection Agreement.

We think it's in the public interest to allow it to go into effect and deal with the procedural schedule that's consistent with the Commission and AT&T and Qwest's schedules to review any issues that may exist.

MS. AILTS WIEST: I did have one

question for you, Mr. Welk. In AT&T's comments one of their recommendations was that if the Commission does allow Qwest SGAT to go into effect without approving or disapproving it, they stated the Commission should undertake a review of it only after Qwest files an actual 271 in South Dakota.

And I wanted to know Qwest's position on that.

MR. WELK: Thank you for the question, General Counsel. We want to deal with the SGAT issues separately. Our position is that the SGATs are under review in other states as well.

And we want to deal with those issues now.

Our 271 application in South Dakota, there has not been a precise date, but we're looking at sometime in the last quarter, our third quarter, and in the back of our minds is when we get to 271 we want to deal with some of the performance issues and other issues that exist on the checklist and we want the SGAT issues to be determined in a separate proceeding and then roll into the 271 rather than wait and load all of this on the Commission at one time.

MS. AILTS WIEST: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BURG: Okay. Mary, are you

representing AT&T?

MS. TRIBBY: I am, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you. AT&T has filed comments in this case.

AT&T's recommendation is that the Commission reject the SGAT at this point in time.

The Commission if it's going to approve the SGAT and say that it's compliant with the federal act, would have to undertake a review and complete that review within 60 days.

The other alternative it has is simply reject the SGAT. At this point in time we think that's appropriate because we think the SGAT is legally deficient, and we've laid out a number of reasons why in our briefing papers to the Commission.

We also believe that, as General Counsel indicated, that the Commission should not undergo a review of the SGAT until an actual application has been filed. That will ensure that there is a sufficiently current record and not a stale record that exists on the SGAT.

Also, as Mr. Welk indicated, because the SGAT is being reviewed in a number of other states, the longer the Commission waits to start its review, hopefully the more issues will be resolved.

With respect to the time of undertaking a review of this, A, we think that you should wait,

as I said, until Qwest's filing of its actual application. B, if you don't go that route, we think that April is too soon. The other states -- Qwest indicates in its comments they want to wait until most of the workshops have been completed in other states.

Right now the workshops in Arizona go through June. In Washington they go through August, and in the multi-state they go at least through March or April. In order to conserve resources and ensure that as many issues have been dealt with as could be, as Mr. Welk suggests in his papers, we think you should wait at least until the end of the summer to start that process if you do decide to undertake an SGAT review prior to the actual application being filed.

Let me address real quickly Mr. Welk's comments about his two reasons why the SGAT should go into effect. First of all, his argument that the collocation deadline as set forth by the FCC and reflected in the recently filed SGAT need to be allowed to go into effect by January 21, actually what the FCC said is that RBOC should file with the State Commission any amendment necessary to bring a tariff or SGAT into compliance with the

1 national standard.

That language suggests to me that that's only if there is currently an SGAT or a tariff in effect in the state that needs to be modified to reflect the new intervals. It doesn't suggest that you need to go forward now and approve a new document that never existed in the state so that there are some collocation intervals in the state where none existed prior to today or prior to January 21.

Secondly, with respect to his argument that the SGAT is a good tool for CLECs who don't otherwise have an ICA, we've put it out in our comments we think the SGAT is legally deficient.

We don't know of any CLEC in any state that has chosen to operate under this unilateral contract offered by US West. So we don't think this needs to go into effect for that reason.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURG: Thank you.

Barb Berkenpas, do you have anything to comment from McLeod's standpoint?

MS. BERKENPAS: Yes, I do

Mr. Chairman. McLeod at this point has made a

decision we are going to remain neutral on this
issue.

1	CHAIRMAN BURG: Thank you.
2	Mary Lohnes, do you have anything from
3	Midcontinent?
4	MS. LOHNES: Midcontinent also
5	remains neutral.
6	CHAIRMAN BURG: You recommend the
7	Commission stay neutral too?
8	MS. AILTS WIEST: At this point the
9	60 days isn't up for another couple of weeks, and
10	we do have another Commission meeting in two weeks
11	so I put this on the agenda just to get the
12	parties' comments, and I don't think the Commission
13	needs to take any action. We'll put it back on the
14	agenda next meeting.
15	CHAIRMAN BURG: Thank you. We will
16	consider it at that time.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 4th day of
11	January 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 11th day
14	of January 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chuim Wittle
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 1 OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING 4 FOR APPROVAL OF AN OPT-IN TC00-192 INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT 5 BETWEEN QWEST CORPORATION AND NEW-CELL, INC. 6 7 Transcript of Proceedings 8 January 4, 2001 9 10 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, 11 JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN 12 LASKA SCHOENFELDER, COMMISSIONER 13 COMMISSION STAFF Rolayne Ailts Wiest 14 Karen Cremer Kelly Frazier 15 Leni Healy Charlene Lund 16 Mary Giddings 17 Harlan Best Dave Jacobsen Michele Farris 18 Gregory A. Rislov Heather Forney 19 Martin Bettmann William Bullard Jr. 20 Sue Cichos 21 22 Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR 23 24 25

1	APPEARANCES CRIMC
2	Greg Deen, SDITC R.H. Miller, South Dakota Electric Utility Cos. David A. Cordon, Yould MDH, Worldgom
3	David A. Gerdes, Xcel, MDU, Worldcom Gordy Woods, SDIP Wade Reimers, SDIP
4	Ed Anderson, SDREA Jim Wilcox, Xcel Energy
5	Brad Schardin, Southeast Electric Corp.
6	APPEARANCE BY TELEPHONE Sandy Hofstetter, AT&T
7	Mary Tribby, AT&T Mary Lohnes, Midcontinent Cable
8	Colleen Sevold, Qwest Tom Welk, Qwest
9	Gene DeJordy, Western Wireless Corporation Robert Christenson, Christenson Law Office
10	Dave Saville, Martin & Associates Reid Presson, ILD Telecommunications
11	Alan Dietrich, NWPS Tom Hitchcock, NWPS
12	Barb Berkenpas, McLeod USA
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
15	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
16	Capitol, Room 412, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
17	South Dakota, on the 4th day of January 2001,
18	commencing at 1:30 p.m.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-192, In the 1 matter of the filing for approval of an opt-in 2 interconnection agreement between Qwest Corporation 3 and New-Cell, Inc. Today shall the Commission 4 approve the proposed Interconnection Agreement. 5 Kelly. 6 MR. FRAZIER: Yes. Again, this one 7 was properly filed under 20:10:32:21, found to be 8 nondiscriminatory, and in the public interest. 9 20-day response period has passed pursuant to 10 20:10:32:21. 11 The agreement appears to be properly executed. 12 All parties are in agreement. I would recommend 13 approval on that basis. 14 CHAIRMAN BURG: Any questions for 15 Kelly? I will move we approve the proposed 16 Interconnection Agreement in TC00-192. 17 COMMISSIONER NELSON: Second. 18 COMMISSIONER SCHOENFELDER: Concur. 19 CHAIRMAN BURG: TC00-192 has been 20 approved. 21 22 23 24 25

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 4th day of
11	January 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 11th day
14	of January 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chui m. Witter
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	