THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE GRAIN DEALER'S AND PUBLIC WAREHOUSE LICENSES OF FREEMAN FERTILIZER CO., INC. D/B/A MCCOOK FEED AND FERTILIZER

GD01-001

Transcript of Proceedings
June 19, 2001



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,
JIM BURG, CHAIRMAN
PAM NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN

COMMISSION STAFF

Rolayne Ailts Wiest
Karen Cremer
Kelly Frazier
Mary Healy
Charlene Lund
Mary Giddings
Harlan Best
Keith Senger
Dave Jacobson
Michele Farris
Bob Knadle
Gregory A. Rislov
Heather Forney

Sue Cichos

RECEIVED

JUL 0 2 2001

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR



1	APPEARANCES BY TELEPHONE
2	Becky Gibson, US Republic Communications Alvin Scott
3	Brad Schardin, Southeastern Electric Coop
4	Debra Sittig, Woods, Fuller, Schultz & Smith Dave Prazak, Ottertail Corporation
5	Colleen Sevold, Qwest Corporation Tom Jazz
6	Tim Jensen David Schwietert
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
9	Capitol, Room 464, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 19th day of June 2001, commencing
10	at 1:30 p.m.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1.5

CHAIRMAN BURG: In matter of the grain dealer's and public warehouse licenses of Freeman Fertilizer Company, Incorporated doing business as McCook Feed and Fertilizer.

The question today is shall the Commission grant staff's motion to reopen for further evidence and how shall the Commission proceed in this matter.

I'm going to turn to staff to give us support for their reopening for further evidence.

MR. FRAZIER: Yes, Chairman Burg.

ARSD 20:10:01:27.01 states that anytime after any matter is taken under advisement and before a decision of the Commission is entered the Commission may on its own motion or for good cause shown by a party to the proceeding order that the record be reopened and the matter set for further hearing.

In the process of preparing my brief, as I've already submitted, one issue came up as to regarding rescission of the contracts. One question that obviously I needed answered was whether the parties -- the farmers who are affected by these contracts really wanted their contracts rescinded.

I'm not a party so I can't recommend their contracts be rescinded, although there's some indication that's their intent. So I contacted them and as a result of those contacts received a series of affidavits from the farmers saying, yes, it would be the wishes to have the contracts rescinded if it were legal to do so, which is what I argued in the brief.

So all I merely wanted to do is reopen the record for the purpose of entering the original affidavits just into the Docket. The good cause being what is the intent of these farmers now that this elevator has gone insolvent.

I think that's a good question to have answered. These affidavits answer the question, and all I want is the record open merely for the purpose of submitting those affidavits --

CHAIRMAN BURG: What would be the effect if they were submitted to us?

MR. FRAZIER: It would give a foundation for making a recommendation to Circuit Court so the contracts could be rescinded. Without an affidavit from them stating that's what they want, without something in the record indicating that that's their intent, I don't think

we can make that recommendation to Circuit Court, 1 2 if you choose to do so. CHAIRMAN BURG: Could they go to 3 Circuit Court without an affidavit to us? 4 MR. FRAZIER: I don't believe. 5 way statutes read under rescission is upon request 6 of one of the parties that a contract may be 7 rescinded and then it goes into a series of as to 8 why it may be rescinded. But without something in 9 the record, we would have absolutely nothing on the 10 record to indicate that they actually did want the 11 contracts rescinded. . 12 13 It wasn't addressed at the hearing. They didn't take the stand and testify to that. 14 15 figured it seems obvious, but just for the sake of 16 completeness of the record, I just don't feel that 17 if the Commission chooses to make that recommendation they can do so to Circuit Court 18 without some evidence that that's what these 19 20 farmers would want. 21 CHAIRMAN BURG: Tim, do you have any 22 comments on this? 23 MS. SITTIG: Could I be heard? This 24 is Deb Sittiq. 25 CHAIRMAN BURG: I will, Deb.

1	talking to Tim Jensen, one of the farmers. Are you
2	on yet, Tim?
3	MR. JENSEN: Yes. I guess if it's
4	the right way to go, let's go.
5	CHAIRMAN BURG: Okay. So you
6	support, you know, being able to submit the
7	affidavits?
8	MR. JENSEN: Right.
9	CHAIRMAN BURG: Okay. Deb is
10	there anybody else, any other are there farmers
11	on?
12	MR. SCOTT: I'm on.
13	CHAIRMAN BURG: Do you have any
14	comments you want to make?
15	MR. SCOTT: I also wish to have my
16	contract rescinded and the grain go to an open
17	storage category.
18	CHAIRMAN BURG: Anybody else? Any
19	other farmers on?
20	MR. JAZZ: Tom Jazz is on.
21	CHAIRMAN BURG: Okay.
22	MR. JAZZ: I have several affidavits
23	in there for all the clients Farmers National
24	Company represents. We filed those affidavits to
25	rescind the contracts.

CHAIRMAN BURG: Okay. Anyone else? 1 Okav. Debra Sittig from Woods Fuller, you're 2 representing the bank; is that right, Debra? 3 MS. SITTIG: Home Federal, that's 4 correct, and I'm appearing for Roger Damgaard. And 5 I apologize for interrupting and being late, but I 6 apparently was cut off or not on the list. 7 Our position is is that we would not have any 8 objection to those affidavits being admitted, but 9 we would then also like to submit an affidavit from 10 11 Dr. Richard Shane, who is a professor at South Dakota State University, simply setting out 12 the alternative methods available to sell grain and 13 the advantages and disadvantages of each and the 14 15 common practices that are involved. 16 CHAIRMAN BURG: Okay. That raises 17 another question --18 MS. AILTS WIEST: Oh, yeah. 19 CHAIRMAN BURG: -- with the 20 affidavit requests. 21 MS. AILTS WIEST: I don't think the 22 Commission can consider that because I don't 23 have -- that wasn't noticed for this meeting, and I 24 believe that any of the other parties involved, you know, should have an opportunity to comment on that 25

1 request. 2 MS. SITTIG: Then I guess we would 3 object because we don't have a chance to cross-examine the people when it's submitted by affidavit, and we would request then that a hearing 5 be reopened instead. 6 7 MS. AILTS WIEST: Then I guess the 8 only other possibility is for the Commission to not rule on the current staff motion to reopen at this 9 10 point, and if you would also like to have a motion 11 requesting the affidavit of the other person, we 12 could consider both of them at the same time. 13 Would that be possible? MS. SITTIG: That would be fine. 14 15 think we just weren't able to get the affidavit 16 signed in time for today and get it noticed. 17 MS. AILTS WIEST: Does anyone object 18 to that course of action? 19 MR. FRAZIER: No objection. 20 CHAIRMAN BURG: So today we will 21 accept the --22 MS. AILTS WIEST: No. Just defer 23 everything because they're linking the two. 24 CHAIRMAN BURG: Okay. We'll just 25 defer action on GD01-001. How long?

1	MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, I think
2	we'll do it as soon as we get the request and the
3	affidavit in from the bank. Then it's just a
4	matter of our scheduling to be heard.
5	MS. SITTIG: We'll get that in as
6	soon as we can.
7	CHAIRMAN BURG: Is it my
8	understanding, Debra, if you can submit your
9	affidavit, you don't oppose their affidavits?
10	MS. SITTIG: That's correct.
11	CHAIRMAN BURG: We can probably do
12	ad hoc because I do think we want to move forward
13	on this as fast as we can.
14	MS. SITTIG: We know time is
15	something you're considering so we would do it
16	really quickly.
17	CHAIRMAN BURG: We will just defer
18	action completely on GD01-001 and give you that
19	opportunity.
20	MS. SITTIG: Thank you very much.
21	CHAIRMAN BURG: Thank you.
22	(The hearing concluded at 2:18 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
7	State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 19th day of
11	June 2001, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 2nd day
14	of July 2001.
15	
16	
17	Chuim Wittle
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	