1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	=======================================
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION HP14-002 OF DAKOTA ACCESS, LLC FOR AN
5	ENERGY FACILITY PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT THE DAKOTA ACCESS PIPELINE
6	=======================================
7	Transcript of Proceedings
8	May 14, 2015 12 o'clock p.m.
9	
10	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
11	
12	CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER (telephonically) RICH SATTGAST, ACTING COMMISSIONER
13	COMMISSION STAFF
14	John Smith
15	Kristen Edwards Greg Rislov
16	Brian Rounds
17	Darren Kearney Katlyn Gustafson
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, Room 413, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 14th day of May, 2015. 

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to call the Public 2 Utilities Commission Ad Hoc Meeting to order. This is Chairman Nelson. I have with me in the 3 4 meeting room Acting Commissioner Sattgast. We have 5 Commissioner Hanson on the phone line. 6 We are here in the matter of Docket HP14-002, In 7 the Matter of the Application of Dakota Access, LLC for 8 an Energy Facility Permit to Construct the Dakota Access Pipeline. 10 In particular on May 12, 2015, Yankton Sioux Tribe, Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Indigenous Environmental 11 Network, and Dakota Rural Action filed a Joint Motion for 12 13 Reconsideration of our Order dated May 11, 2015. 14 And the question today then, shall the 15 Commission reconsider its Order for and Notice of Motion 16 Hearing dated May 11, 2015, and if so, when should the 17 Commission hear the Joint Motion? I'm going to go back to the phone lines. 18 19 Glenn Boomsma, have you joined us? 20 Not hearing Glenn. 21 Kimberly Craven, have you joined us? 22 Not hearing Kimberly. 2.3 Thomasina Real Bird, have you joined us? 24 MS. REAL BIRD: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'm here. 25 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Excellent.

Again, I'd remind everybody if you're not speaking, please have your phones on mute.

2.3

With that, I am going to turn it over to Ms. Real Bird.

MS. REAL BIRD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission.

We brought the Motion for Reconsideration because it seems that the point of the Motion to Amend the Procedural Schedule in part was to bring to the Commission's attention the deadlines that are -- we feel need to be reset.

In particular, there's a deadline for May 22 for parties to submit their second round of discovery. And when the Commission set the hearing on the Motion to Amend the Procedural Schedule we understand the Commission did not waive the 10-day notice requirement and instead set the hearing for May 26 and set a short schedule for a response deadline.

We're asking the Commission to reconsider the May 26 date because it falls after the May 22 date for parties to submit their second round. And, you know, we still -- the Commission granted the Motion to Compel in part from the Yankton Sioux Tribe, and we still aren't in receipt of those documents or information from the Applicant. And so now we're faced with this May 22

deadline, but the hearing on whether to amend that date falls after.

And so that's from our perspective a very -that's really why we're here today, I think, to
reconsider the setting of that May 26 date.

And also -- and I know that we didn't put this in Motion form, but we noticed in the Order Granting the Motion to Compel in part that there was no deadline for the Applicant to provide the answers. And so figuring out how that all fits in, we think it might be relevant today for the Commission to discuss and consider.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. I appreciate that.

And on your very last point I will admit to you that was my oversight, not including a deadline date. I actually had one written on my paper but it didn't get enunciated in the Motion and that was my error. And hopefully we can come to an agreement on that today also.

With that, others that are part of this Motion.

Mr. Rappold, anything to add?

MR. RAPPOLD: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.

Matt Rappold on behalf of Rosebud.

We would echo Ms. Real Bird's concerns regarding the Procedural Schedule and then add just a little bit to

that concerning the overall schedule. And one of our concerns is the way the schedule is set up, and we ran into these concerns in another Docket as it relates to the requirement to prefile direct and rebuttal testimony prior to discovery actually being complete.

If you'll notice in the Procedural Schedule parties are not required to file their final discovery responses until September 24, which is about almost three weeks after the final prefiled rebuttal testimony is due and then several months after the prefiled direct testimony would be due under the current schedule.

So we're asking substantively to kind of rearrange some of those dates so that we can have prefiled, direct, and rebuttal testimony be filed with the Commission and all the parties after the discovery period has been fully resolved.

So that's one of the things that we would ask
the court -- sorry. Not the court -- the Commission to
consider is to kind of move some of those dates around a
little bit so that discovery is done, we have a
sufficient time period to review, respond, and file
Motions to Compel if it comes to that, prior to actually
being required to file our prefiled and rebuttal
testimony.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. I'm going to go to Ms. Edwards with Staff.

2.3

MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. Kristen Edwards for Staff.

Staff does in this circumstance support the Motion to Reconsider. I typically would not support a motion on less than 10 days' notice because as the Commission's well aware we work with one of the smallest staffs in the nation so having a motion on less than 10 days' notice puts an incredible amount of stress on us.

However, we are very dedicated to effectively processing this docket and are more than prepared to hear the underlying Motion as soon as the Commission prefers. And, in fact, we plan to submit our written response as early as this afternoon or right after the Commission meeting.

In addition, as I'm sure the Commission is aware, the 26th meeting when this is currently scheduled is going to be quite a long meeting so this would actually take some pressure off the Staff and Commission to have this heard on a different date.

As far as the comments by Mr. Rappold, I'm not going to get into at this time Staff's position on moving all of those dates because that was not noticed for

```
1
     hearing today. However, if the Commission does grant
2
     this Motion, Staff does stand prepared to offer up
     alternative dates. We have discussed the matter with
 3
 4
     administrative staff so that we can move into that
 5
     quickly, if necessary.
 6
              Thank you.
7
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
8
              At this point we will turn it over to
     Mr. Koenecke and Ms. Semmler with the Applicant.
10
              MR. KOENECKE: We are going to take no position
11
     on the question here today.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Wow. Which means then we need
13
     no rebuttal. Thank you.
14
              With that, questions from the Commission.
15
              I'm going to start with one for the Applicant.
16
     As Ms. Real Bird noted, it was my oversight in not
17
     establishing a date for you to comply with the Motions to
18
     Compel.
19
              Can you tell us when that will be accomplished?
20
              MR. KOENECKE: Thanks for asking that question,
21
     Commissioner.
                    I appreciate it.
22
              I should point out here the Intervenors have
2.3
     done a great job of telling the Commission and everybody
24
     else involved in this what they didn't get by way of
```

discovery from us. And I want to point out here today we

25

answered a lot of questions, and we provided a lot of information.

2.3

Numerous questions were asked. I would say next time I'm going to ask for a limit on the number of discovery questions that can be asked if there is another Docket.

But we've answered a bunch of them. They've got a lot of discovery and a lot of places to go to start putting together their testimony.

We now have a lot of information to give back to them. It's not going to come all at once. People have started -- as of Tuesday afternoon 2 o'clock already we were talking about gathering information. It's going to best come out as we get it.

I think the smartest thing to do is to send it out as it comes in and keep it moving forward. We don't want to prejudice the interest of the Intervenors or the Docket and the schedule.

But to put a hard date on when it's going to be all done, that is -- I can't tell you that here today.

But I'm willing to work it out. And if you want to give me a date, then I'll tell them that's what the date is.

But I can't offer one up and say it's definitely going to be done by Tuesday next or Thursday next.

My plan would be -- and it's a practical plan --

is to start getting it out in their hands as soon as it gets through mine and put it in theirs.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. I'll be honest.

That was more of an oblique answer than I was hoping for.

But I understand what you are saying.

Just so that everybody knows, the date that I had written on my sheet which should have been enunciated was May 18. But that's not part of the Order, but that I think would have kept us on track.

And so I guess at this point based on your answer that's an open question, but it may come back around if this particular Motion passes and we end up meeting on the changing of the Procedural Schedule. So won't be the last time I ask the question.

Other Commissioner questions.

Not hearing any Commissioner questions. Is there a Motion?

I will move that in HP14-002 that the Commission grant the request from Yankton Sioux Tribe, Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Indigenous Environmental Network, and Dakota Rural Action for reconsideration of our Order dated May 11, 2015, and should this Motion pass, we anticipate a date immediately as to when that would occur.

Discussion on the Motion.

I will say to everybody, I'm not convinced we

```
1
     need to do this, but by the same token I don't think it
 2
     hurts anything. And as Ms. Edwards has indicated, the
 3
     agenda that we have got already for May 26 is going to be
 4
     lengthy anyway and perhaps taking this much off of that
 5
     agenda would be helpful to us as well. And so, hence, my
 6
     Motion.
              Additional discussion.
7
8
              Hearing no discussion, all those in favor of the
     Motion will vote aye, those opposed, nay.
10
              Commissioner Sattgast.
11
              ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST:
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson.
13
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And Nelson votes ave.
                                                        The
15
     Motion carries.
                      The Motion is granted.
16
              At this point then I'm going to turn to
17
     Ms. Edwards for possible dates for scheduling.
18
              MS. EDWARDS: Monday the 18th is available all
19
     day, or we could do May 19 on Tuesday after 1:30. And I
20
     guess I might suggest checking with Cheri as well since
21
     she's here.
22
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Ms. Edwards dates for --
2.3
     her voice was rather soft. I would like to have her
24
     repeat those, please.
```

MS. EDWARDS: Monday the 18th, any time that day

25

```
1
     or Tuesday the 19th in the afternoon after 1:30.
2
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Thank you.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm sorry. I was having a
4
     side bar conversation with our counsel.
5
              Commissioner Hanson, did you have a preference?
 6
              Commissioner Sattgast?
7
              ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: I'm good either
8
     date.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Applicants?
10
              MS. SEMMLER: Whatever works for the Commission.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Ms. Real Bird, do either of
12
    those work for you?
13
              MS. REAL BIRD: Either are fine with me,
14
    Mr. Chairman.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: We are going to take a
16
     five-minute recess so our court reporter can check her
17
     schedule, and we will be right back.
18
                      (A short recess is taken)
19
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Welcome back into session.
20
     Our court reporter has told us that either of those work
21
     for her. So it looks like it's good to go.
22
              If nobody has any objection, I would suggest we
2.3
     do 1:30 on Tuesday. And I'm looking around, and it looks
24
     like that's going to work for folks here. So we will
25
     plan on 1:30 on the 19th.
```

```
Anything further for the good of the order?
 1
 2
              Seeing none, is there a motion to adjourn?
              ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: So moved.
 3
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Moved to adjourn.
 5
              All those in favor will vote aye. Those
 6
     opposed, nay.
 7
              Commissioner Sattgast.
 8
              ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST:
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson.
10
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye. We are
     adjourned.
12
13
              (The proceeding concluded at 12:19 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY )
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 14th day of May,
11	2015, and that the attached is a true and correct
12	transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 17th day of
14	June, 2015.
15	
16	
17	
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
20	dererried Redreime Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	