1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION HP14-002 OF DAKOTA ACCESS, LLC FOR AN
5	ENERGY FACILITY PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT THE DAKOTA ACCESS PIPELINE
6	
7	Transgript of Dragoodings
8	Transcript of Proceedings May 12, 2015 9:45 a.m.
9	
10	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
11	
12	CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER
13	RICH SATTGAST, ACTING COMMISSIONER
14	COMMISSION STAFF
15	John Smith Rolayne Wiest
	Karen Cremer
16	Kristen Edwards Greg Rislov
17	Brian Rounds Darren Kearney
18	Joseph Rezac Katlyn Gustafson
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, Room 413, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 12th day of May, 2015.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: This is Docket HP14-002, In the Matter of the Application of Dakota Access, LLC for an Energy Facility Permit to Construct the Dakota Access Pipeline.

2.3

The question we're going to deal with today is shall the Commission grant Yankton Sioux Tribe's Motion to Compel? And if so, shall the Commission award reasonable attorneys fees and expenses associated with the Motion to Compel?

The first thing I want to deal with is we do have our court reporter with us so I need to slow down.

There was a request from Mr. Koenecke with Dakota Access that we prohibit telephonic presentations from attorneys representing the various Intervenors and parties.

That is not something that we have done. I don't intend to limit telephonic participation unless I see otherwise from my fellow Commissioners.

Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I would represent the same remarks that you just made. However, I would like to hear why, and if there is a good reason for it, then I could find myself supporting it. However, I come from the same footings that you do, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Fair enough.

Before I turn it over to Mr. Koenecke, I'm just going to say again to the folks on the phone line please put your phones on mute if you're not talking. We're still getting some clicking coming across.

Mr. Koenecke, would you tell us why you asked for this limitation.

MR. KOENECKE: Certainly, Commissioner. Good morning and happy birthday.

It is my feeling that the process so far has been characterized by e-mails, a lack of telephone calls, a lack of personal interaction. I don't see that changing going forward. And I think that it's something to -- it would aid the process considerably if people would act in person and see the nonverbal reactions to the things that they say, the things that they ask for, the responses that are given. I think it would add a lot of genuine character to the entire proceeding.

I'm thankful that Commissioner Hanson asked me this morning. I think the discourse would be helped immeasurably if people would act in person and not in writing. Limit the impersonal conversations and start interacting as individuals in a public open setting face to face would act. So that's why I said that.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Anything else from either of the fellow Commissioners?

Commissioner Hanson.

2.3

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I could entertain discussion from the other parties as well. But, Mr. Koenecke, it would seem that it would be more beneficial to the individuals who are not here to be here. I think it's an advantage for someone to be here present if there is an advantage to be had. So it surprises me that you'd ask for it.

Has there been some -- has there been some activity that you would consider egregious to the process by not being able to -- the phone calls work both ways.

The e-mails work both ways. I'm just curious what -- your argument this morning doesn't sway me, but I'm just curious if there's something you're not telling us.

Are you trying to be diplomatic here, or what's going on?

MR. KOENECKE: No, Commissioner. I'm not. I didn't expect to be swayed -- to sway the Commission at all, and I didn't expect the Commission would grant such a request. But I did want to make the point that there's been a tremendous amount of I would call it posturing, from my standpoint, in writing, and I'd like to get to the meat of the matter.

We sent out discovery to everybody simply asking them what are your issues? We'd like to know what your issues are. I got back a lot of discovery from a lot of the Intervenors. Some I didn't hear back from at all.

Some I heard from late. Mostly it was very polite and very courteous and told me what I wanted to know; what are your issues so we can speak to them.

2.3

In some cases that hasn't been the case.

There's been nothing impolite. It's just been very -
not what I'm used to in front of the Commission so that's

why I brought it up.

I don't want to impugn or malign anybody here this morning. I just think we'd be better off if we were acting personally.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I don't see the need to hear from other persons on this
matter.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. I think if there's nothing further, we will proceed as is. And thank you for your comments, Mr. Koenecke.

With that, Ms. Real Bird has brought this Motion so, Thomasina, I'm going to turn it over to you.

MS. REAL BIRD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Commission. Thomasina Real Bird for the Yankton Sioux Tribe.

The Yankton Sioux Tribe brought the Motion to Compel. And I do want to reiterate a couple of the items in the events section and also apprise the Commission of a couple new events following the filing of the Motion.

2.3

So on April 1 the Tribe did serve Dakota Access with our First Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Production. Those were due April 1, and so they were timely.

On May 1, the date that the Answers were due, I along with a few other parties received an e-mail from Dakota Access's counsel indicating that they would not be serving responses by the May 1 deadline, that they're working on them, and asked us for our thoughts.

So that was an e-mail format. That wasn't a telephone call. So I responded in writing that, you know, the Tribe would be happy to stipulate to Dakota Access providing late responses as late as May 8, which is a week, if Dakota Access would be amenable to amending the schedule because obviously that would cut into our time to review the discovery. And we asked Dakota Access to let us know. In the absence of any Stipulation we would have to file a Motion to Compel.

And so I did not hear back from that letter. On May 2, however, I did receive what I would describe as draft responses from Dakota Access's counsel and they

were attached to the Motion, for the Commission's benefit. And then along with the draft responses was a note that the complete discovery answers would be provided early the next week.

2.3

And so we received those. We didn't respond either way. We reviewed them.

So early next week -- I would consider Monday or Tuesday. However, Monday and Tuesday came and passed. Wednesday it was in the afternoon, and it looked like, you know, Wednesday was going to come and go without the complete discovery responses that Dakota Access had indicated were forthcoming.

So I went ahead and sent a letter. Since all communication to date has been in writing, I sent a letter saying, you know, are you going to provide them? You know, they're still late. We haven't received a complete set. We did take the opportunity to go over what we thought were insufficient and deficient responses as far as substance as opposed to the timing in the same letter and said let us know your intentions. If you're going to provide them, please provide them by the morning, or we're going to -- we're going to file a Motion to Compel.

And so on Thursday morning there were still no -- we had no phone call, no e-mail, no letter back.

So we prepared a Motion to Compel and filed it on
Thursday. And then Friday we did get the discovery
responses.

2.3

And so it seems like Dakota Access has resolved some of the reasons for the Motion to Compel. You know, the answers were a week late so in another pending Motion that will be taken up later by the Commission we have asked for the schedule to be amended.

But I can go ahead and go over the

Interrogatories and Requests for Production that we still
believe are deficient so we can cover those today in the

Motion to Compel.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: This is Chairman Nelson, and I think it would be good for you to, yeah, go through each one and tell us which ones have been resolved and then which ones have not. That would be helpful to us.

MS. REAL BIRD: Okay. Sorry. I had it handy, and then I didn't. Okay.

So Interrogatory No. 10 -- I'm sorry. If you'll just give me a moment. Thank you to the Commission for bearing with me.

We'll start with Interrogatory No. 10. We asked for the address, phone number, and e-mails and names for persons responsible for conducting surveys, addressing property-specific issues, and civil survey information.

So that would have been pending in the first draft.

2.3

In the actual responses that was received on May 8 the objection was the question is vague, overbroad, and outside the scope. And without waiving that objection, Dakota Access states that surveys were conducted starting in 2014 and numerous individuals participated and if the Tribe had questions about specific tracts, we may be able to provide specific information.

And the Tribe would submit that it's entitled to an answer to our questions, including the date, addresses, phone numbers, e-mails, and names responsible for the surveys, not just specific tracts.

And so Dakota Access says that surveys were conducted starting last year, and so we would like that information. So that's Interrogatory No. 10. And we feel we're entitled to that information.

Interrogatory No. 20 is similar. And we feel it's still not completely answered. And the name, address, phone number, and e-mails of all persons involved in any cultural or historic surveys. In addition, please provide a detailed description of all pertinent professional training that qualifies the surveyor as a professional who meets the standards of the Secretary of Interior Historic Preservation Professional

Qualification Standards.

2.3

And the answer was an objection that the question was vague, overbroad, and outside the scope. However, without waiving objection, the Applicant states that, again, surveys were started in 2014. And so the Tribe would submit that's a partial answer, and we are entitled to an answer to our Interrogatory.

Interrogatory No. 25 and 26 we're okay with the Applicant in its answer on May 8 answered those, and so we're no longer asking the Commission to compel those. They've been resolved since the filing of the Motion.

Interrogatory No. 11 we asked if the Applicant recognizes the Tribe as a local government unit, and we still didn't get an answer to that. We got an answer that they recognize the Tribe as a federally recognized Tribe but not whether it's a local government unit as the South Dakota Codified Laws define that. So that's still pending in our mind.

Interrogatory 19 we asked whether Dakota Access uncovered any cultural or historic sites by the surveys and please provide a detailed description of those sites including locations of the same. And the Applicant stated that -- they referred us to the section of the Application, and the descriptions and locations are privileged and confidential citing South Dakota Codified

Law 1-20-21.2 and that the Applicant recommends the Tribe contact the South Dakota State Historic Preservation

Office.

2.3

And this was also brought up in Staff's response to the Motion to Compel. And I do want to take a couple of minutes to discuss these provisions of the South Dakota Codified Laws.

1-20-21, a plain reading of it applies to the state archeologist surveys. It says "The state archeologists on behalf of the State Historic Society Board of Trustees shall conduct, as part of that Board's statewide surveys of historical properties, a survey of archaeological sites located within the state and obtained records of such sites."

So 1-20-21 applies to the State employee. It doesn't provide for the surveys that are conducted by an Applicant or its contractors in this case. So the surveys that the Applicant described as starting in 2014, we believe we're entitled to those as well as the information requested in our Interrogatories. And we don't believe it's covered by 1-20-21 or 21.2 as that in plain terms applies to the State party.

So the State thinks Interrogatory 19 is still pending, and we are entitled to that information.

Interrogatory No. 40 and 18 -- so it looks like

the answers that were provided on May 8 resolved the -we thought there was a conflict in the draft answers, the
way the draft was submitted to us on May 2. However,
when it was submitted on May 8 it appears that the final
answer resolved our concern with those draft answers.

2.3

And Request For Production No. 4, the Tribe requested all documents relating to required permit, both in South Dakota and outside of South Dakota, including permit applications which were denied, revoked, or suspended for the Dakota Access Pipeline Project or any other project constructed by Dakota Access since 2007 -- or 2010. Excuse me.

And the answer stated -- it was an objection, and they said the request seeks irrelevant information, overly broad and burdensome, such matters occurred out of state, are irrelevant, overly burdensome to produce, and if any exists would be located on other state regulatory body websites. Without waiving objections, no permits have been denied or revoked relating to Dakota Access, and there are websites provided to the other states.

And we still think that the document request is incomplete. We asked for all documents relating to required permits both in South Dakota. So we'd like that answered, and the outside South Dakota we have those links.

And then we also didn't receive an answer regarding suspended -- documents relating to suspended permits. So that portion of the document request is still unanswered. And the Applicant states that the request for all information presumably includes e-mails, letters, applications, correspondence, notes, and other internal communications. And so, yes, that's what the request is for all documents related to.

2.3

And so if they exist and they're not, for example, attorney-client privilege or work product, we believe we're entitled to them through this discovery process.

The Request For Production No. 7 is still pending and unanswered in our mind. And it's very similar. We asked for all documents, and the objection was that the Request for Production is overbroad and outside the scope of discovery.

And we believe it's not. We believe it's important to the case to have the breeding time of the South Dakota species and have a map showing migration of those South Dakota species.

So those are sort of a summary of what we still feel is pending in our mind. It looks like about four Interrogatories were resolved when we received the May 8 discovery responses to us, and we would like the

```
1
     Applicant to provide the other outstanding items so that
2
     we can, you know, finish our review and get prepared for
     the next round of discovery.
 3
 4
              Thank you.
 5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
                                             That was helpful
 6
     to us. I'm going to go to each of the Intervenors now.
7
     And realize this is not your Motion. So if you have
8
     anything to add that is pertinent, you're able to do
     that, but if not, let's see if we can keep this moving
10
     along.
11
              Mr. Boomsma.
12
              MR. BOOMSMA: I have nothing to add.
13
                                Thank you.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
14
              Mr. Rappold.
15
              MR. RAPPOLD:
                            I have nothing substantively to
16
           I would just support Yankton Sioux Tribe's Motion
17
     to resolve this discovery issue.
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. One Intervenor
19
     lawyer in the room.
20
              Anything to add?
                                No.
21
              Staff.
22
              MS. EDWARDS:
                            Thank you.
2.3
              Staff filed a Brief in this matter stating what
24
     our motion is on the law. We stand by that Brief and
25
     have nothing to add, but if there are any questions, we
```

will answer those.

2.3

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: With that, we will turn to Dakota Access for your response.

MR. KOENECKE: Thanks, Commissioners and Intervenors. I'll take them in order going down through the document.

Interrogatory No. 10 asks us to identify the dates, addresses, phone numbers, and e-mails and names of persons responsible for conducting surveys.

I point out initially that I don't think it's proper or appropriate under the rules for these witnesses to be personally contacted by the Intervenors anyways. I would have -- I don't intend to contact their witnesses personally at their own homes, at their own phone numbers and e-mail addresses without going through counsel so I don't think it's appropriate any other way.

We've had a number of surveys done on hundreds of tracts of properties, and this answer would run into numerous individuals. Property-specific issues in civil survey information is vague to me and overbroad. We offered to -- if there were specific tracts that they had questions on, we'd do consultations with those. But we think to provide the names, e-mails, and personal contact information of people in the public setting --

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Koenecke, I'm going to stop you for a moment.

Folks that are on the phone, please put your phones on mute. We're getting a lot of background noise.

Thank you.

2.3

Brett, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

MR. KOENECKE: No problem, Commissioner.

So we answered the question as we did. If the Commission orders us to do otherwise, then so be it. But I don't think people by virtue of acting as a surveyor on this project should be subject to having their personal contact information made public. Especially not in light of any perceived deficiency in how they went about their job.

I should have probably objected that this is vexatious and harassing. I don't know that that's the case, but I have suspicions. I could be wrong, and I hope to be wrong.

But we've acted very assiduously in keeping the contact information of the landowners involved in this project confidential. I don't think that's on the website available for anybody to see for good reasons, and I don't think the contractors working on the project should be subjected to the same thing.

We'd be glad to consult on individual tracts, but we don't see the purpose of this question. So that's our position, Commissioner.

Would you like me to go through all of them at one time?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yeah. Go ahead.

MR. KOENECKE: Okay.

2.3

Interrogatory No. 11, I don't understand the quotation remarks around local governmental unit. It appears to be a legal question to me and not a fact question.

We consider them to be a federally recognized Tribe, which I believe them to be. I don't know the significance of me doing the research to find out whether they're a local governmental unit or not. And so we answered the question as best we could.

I don't mean to be argumentative about it, but I certainly don't understand the point of the question.

And so I would -- if we said yes, no, or whatever the case may be, it's a legal question and not a fact question, to my way of thinking. And so --

Interrogatory 19, this is not my first project in front of the Commission. We're dealing with historic, cultural, and archaeological sites. And it's been my practice that has been forced on me by the Commission and

others in the past to keep such things as this confidential.

2.3

It's been told to me by the SHPO office, among others, that we don't want locations of sites out in the public domain. And I would leave it to them to do that. I don't mean to withhold this information from the Intervenor for my own purposes. I understand that to be the way the society or the group of people involved with that seek to have that information be treated.

And I cited to the law on that, both federal and state. We don't have any particular reason ourselves not to give that information out, but I've simply understood that to be the way that the practice is, that those sites are not to be made public.

Again, with Interrogatory No. 20 we're seeking the name, address, phone number, and e-mail of all persons involved in any cultural historic survey. That's a number of people. And, again, I don't want to reiterate further. Their public -- or their contact information, to my way of thinking, need not be made either public or given out to anybody.

Document Request No. 4, on page 11 of my answer, I should say, there are a number of documents related to any filing. They are in some cases relevant to the proceeding and a lot of cases not.

To bring in and make such a broad request would require us, I think, to devote a substantial amount of resources to answering a request which would be voluminous and unlikely to be useful to anyone. That's my own personal opinion.

2.3

2.4

I think that it's worth pointing out to the Commission that we answered hundreds of questions both from Staff and the Intervenors in the 30 days in April leading into May. We didn't try to withhold anything from anybody untowardly. We tried to be open and give the information that was useful to people.

To ask us to produce volumes and boxes of documents or perhaps CDs or what have you is to my way of thinking incredibly burdensome to the project to provide -- it's a herculean task and would require a lot of devotion that I don't see the -- I don't see the point. And perhaps it's not for me to make. A lot of it would be irrelevant to the proceeding.

I can state that to my knowledge none of the permits have been suspended relating to Dakota Access.

Finally, I would state that a number of the documents which were requested are available publicly with the click of a mouse.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Ms. Real Bird, any brief rebuttal? Oh, just a

1 moment. 2 MR. KOENECKE: I failed to address No. 7. I'm sorry. A table to show breeding times of sensitive 3 4 species and a map to show migration pathways. think discovery requires me to produce that at the 6 request of a party. If we have it, I think we can 7 certainly produce it, but to require me to have one 8 produced I think is going too far. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 10 Ms. Real Bird. MS. REAL BIRD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 12 would just say that, you know, the Yankton Sioux Tribe 13 has no vexatious intent. We're certainly seeking 14 information we believe we're entitled to under the 15 statutes within the scope of discovery. And to the 16 extend the Applicant hasn't answered those, we'd like the 17 Commission to compel those answers and those document 18 requests. 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 21 Questions from the Commission. Seeing none --22 Just a moment. 2.3 Let me just -- Commissioner Hanson first. 2.4 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 25 Mr. Koenecke, on Interrogatory 10 and 20 in the

```
1
     request for information on different persons conducting
2
    different activities, will any of those persons be
 3
    appearing as witnesses for you?
 4
              MR. KOENECKE:
                            Thank you, Commissioner. I don't
 5
    know at this time. I suspect not. But I don't know at
 6
    this time.
7
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. And on Document
8
    Request No. 7 you intimated that it appears that you
     don't know whether you have that information or not?
10
              MR. KOENECKE: I don't know as I sit here
11
    whether there is existing a table or a map to show those
12
     specific requests. I don't know that we have those
13
     things. I don't think we do.
14
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: I quess that surprises me
15
           I would think that since it was a document
16
     request you would have checked that out to see.
17
              Do you know if there's an agency that you've
18
    worked with to try to find out that information, or is it
19
     germane to the project in your mind?
20
              MR. KOENECKE: I think that --
21
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Excuse me for asking two
22
    questions.
2.3
              MR. KOENECKE: Is it germane to the project?
                                                             Ιt
24
    certainly is germane to the project to know what the
25
     sensitive species are. Breeding times, I have less
```

1 confidence in the germaneness. And the map of 2 migrations, I have even less confidence in that. I'm not 3 certain sensitive species even migrate. The only 4 sensitive species I've heard discussed is the Topeka Shiner. I don't think that it does. I think it's 6 resident. 7 Breeding times no one's said yes, I have a table 8 or a map. That's where I'm at with that one. I hope I'm being responsive, Commissioner. 10 not trying to be coy. 11 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Certainly. Document 12 Request No. 4, would you be able to -- you argued that it 13 would be a significant challenge. Would it be a 14 significant challenge to provide just the crude oil 15 pipeline permits? 16 And you've basically testified before us that no permits have been declined or revoked so it would just be 17 18 permits that have been granted. 19 Forgive me. I assume there are a lot of permits 20 from my experience on a pipeline, but for just crude oil 21 pipelines, would that be such a challenge? 22 MR. KOENECKE: Thank you for the question, 2.3 Commissioner. It would be in some respects. All 24 documents related to those permits reaches down -- to my

way of thinking, into the employment records of the

25

people who worked on the project, the bills that were involved, the payments back and forth.

2.3

All documents related is what I think is overbroad. I think that the permit applications for crude oil pipelines is probably not overbroad. And, as I said, they're available with the click of a mouse.

But I think to go in the direction of the crude oil permits is a good step, but the all documents related, to my way of thinking, needs to be limited.

Where do we start and stop with all documents related?

Because to me that means every scrap of paper that you kept. And I don't think they want that. I certainly wouldn't. I would want only things that are really critical to whatever case they intend to make.

I don't know what the thrust of the Intervenor is going to be at the hearing with respect to this matter, but I would guess that there's only really a few pieces of information that they really want. But to ask me to produce all documents related is essentially -- my first blush reaction is duplicate everything you've got and ship it here. We can't do that.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I fully understand that, having participated -- the Commissioners all and the Staff understand the challenge there.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to need to follow up 2 on Commissioner Hanson's question regarding Request For Production No. 7. 3 4 I thought I understood this, but perhaps not. 5 I'm reading from Mr. Koenecke from your response to this 6 Motion to Compel, and you say and I quote "The discovery 7 process is used to obtain information or documents which 8 It is not intended to cause parties to create It seems the Yankton Sioux Tribe would like documents. 10 Dakota Access to create documents which do not exist." 11 So you've made a statement to us that they don't 12 exist. But now today you're telling us you're not sure. 13 Help us out there. 14 MR. KOENECKE: I don't -- the project does not 15 have a table or a map as has been requested. To whether 16 somebody else, a third party, a graduate student perhaps 17 who might have made a map or a table, those probably do 18 exist. I don't have them. They're not in my possession. 19 I hope that's helpful. 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: It is. Thank vou. 21 Any other questions? Mr. Smith? 22 Any further questions? 2.3 Seeing none, is there a Motion? 2.4 Commissioner Hanson. 25 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER HANSON:

I move that the Commission deny Yankton Sioux Tribe's Motion to Compel.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.

Commissioner Hanson.

2.3

and reading into the requests both for Interrogatories and for the Document Requests I can see that -- I'm vacillating a little bit on one of them, on Document Request No. 4, and for that reason I guess I'm leaning even against that. I don't see the value in obtaining those -- that -- those permits.

Certainly I cannot see requesting all of the documents that relate to those permits. As we know from our own experience in these type of dockets, there are just truckloads of information. And to have -- to place that on the shoulders of the Applicant is just truly burdensome. I can't imagine the amount of information that they would have to provide to them.

I think that if the Yankton Sioux Tribe were asking for specific information such as just the permits that exist for crude oil, not all of the documents that relate to those permits but just asking for what permits have you received for what other crude oil pipelines, I could certainly see going for that. But I think it goes way too far.

It appears to me that Interrogatory No. 11 has been answered. And 10 and 20 I fully agree with the Applicant. And 19, we have always been extremely careful to protect information of this nature. It is confidential. I don't see why a specific location is necessary.

2.3

2.4

What is necessary is to know that the Applicant is going to follow the law, they're going to do everything they can to protect these areas.

I really think that what we have to do is be especially careful to make certain that that information is limited to as few people as possible.

As a Commissioner I've had the opportunity to know where a considerable amount of this information is on previous dockets. I have chosen not to. And we have historically been opposed to spreading out anymore information on those locations. It's possible simply from the standpoint of being able to protect them.

No. 7 I think has been answered from the standpoint of the Applicant, and if there's an agency that has that information, it may come to fruition later on. The Applicant doesn't have it. So I can't see that they would be even able to share it at this juncture.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion on the

Motion. 2 I'm not going to support the Motion. I believe 3 there are certain of these items that should, in fact, be 4 compelled. 5 Do I share Commissioner Hanson's concern that 6 some of this goes too far? Yeah. I do. 7 So far as the confidential information, as we 8 have done in other dockets, I think that can be adequately protected with the appropriate protective 10 orders. And so based on that, I'm not going to support 11 the broad Motion to Deny in its entirety. 12 Additional discussion. 13 Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye. 14 Those opposed, nay. 15 Commissioner Sattgast. 16 ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. 17 18 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. CHAIRMAN NELSON: And Nelson votes nay. Motion 19 fails. 20 21 Additional motions. 22 I move that we grant the Motion to Compel for 2.3 Interrogatory No. 10, 19, 20, and Request For Production 24 No. 3 and 4, with an any information which is

confidential or should remain confidential be covered

25

with a protective order identical to what was recently
issued by this Commission in another Docket. As amended,
yes.

ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: Mr. Chairman, could you restate those?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Yes. I'd move to grant Motion to Compel for Interrogatory No. 10, 19, and 20, and Request For Production No. 3 and 4.

Discussion on the Motion.

2.3

Let me just say a number of these the Applicant has expressed concerns about confidentiality, and those concerns are absolutely accurate. But I believe that that concern can be adequately covered with the appropriate protective order that will accompany this.

Am I concerned that Request For Production No. 4 is too broad? I am concerned about that. And I'm simply going to say to Ms. Real Bird, I think you need to get together with the Applicant's attorneys and come to an agreement as to what is really necessary there. I mean, it does not need to be as broad as what, you know, Mr. Koenecke fears that it is.

But beyond that, I think the items that I have enunciated are appropriate for discovery and would move to compel those.

Additional discussion.

ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: Mr. Chairman, this is Acting Commissioner Sattgast. I do have a question, I guess, for Staff on Interrogatory No. 10. I have not been involved in what type of protection orders that would entail.

2.3

If there could be some explanation of what type of information would be blocked and who would it be blocked from.

MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards from Staff.

The Protection Order drafted and ordered by the Commission in HP14-001 made all information which was submitted as confidential viewable only by attorneys, expert witnesses, and experts relied upon by the parties for the purposes of examining that information. And all parties -- or all persons viewing that information were required to sign the nondisclosure agreement provided as an attachment before being granted access to that information.

ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.

Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, obviously I cannot support the Motion for a number of reasons. In Document Request No. 4 we all agree or at least you and I

agree that it's too broad. But the Motion will require all documents relating -- and as much as we may request the parties to come to some agreement, it nevertheless places a hammer in the hands of one party because the Motion requires all documents.

2.3

And as we are well-aware from our previous -well, from our other existing pipeline dockets, there is
just a huge amount of information from every one of
those. And I can't imagine -- well, in another Docket
before us the party complained that they received too
much information. And that's just one.

And so I just -- I just don't think this is workable to give that power to them.

We have really done everything we can to protect the confidential -- confidentiality of sites. And marking things confidential is one thing; protecting the site is another.

The information exists long after the Docket is even completed. Confidential information is leaked all of the time, and as much as that confidentiality is placed on papers, it has to be shared to other people. And that information then is usually asked for by other Applicants -- excuse me. By other parties to the Docket, and it spreads rapidly and regardless of who it's being shared with.

I see years ago within our own PUC that confidential information was placed in the garbage, and that then is available to whoever accesses the garbage. And we have taken measures from that standpoint. I can't be assured that this information is being destroyed from every party that receives it. And this will spread considerably.

Again, I do not understand why a party needs to know where the site is. They need to know that it's being protected. They need to know that the law is being followed in every case.

And, frankly, if I were Yankton Sioux Tribe, I would want to be assured that the information is not shared anywhere further. And by asking for it, other parties to this hearing are going to be able to ask for that information as well. And historically we've shared information when one party receives it.

So I'm very, very concerned about the confidentiality. And I can certainly go along with 10 and 20. That was a challenge for me. But 19 and 4 I just cannot support at all.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. I appreciate your comments, particularly on Request No. 4.

So I'm going to ask Ms. Real Bird, as we are

speaking here now there's part of me that maybe wants to remove that from my Motion.

2.3

Can you limit that? Can you tell me how we might limit that to still allow you to accomplish what you believe you have a right to access but not as expansive as what you've asked for.

MS. REAL BIRD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

So as we sit here now we understand even in our definition "all documents" can include a lot of information. So what we are really after is for the applications themselves, the correspondence to and from whatever entity the applications were submitted to, the correspondence — the applications themselves, including any parts, attachments, et cetera, any memorandums that are not confidential, meaning like attorney-client work product, for example, or attorney-client communication to the Applicant and its attorneys.

But we really do want the correspondence to and from the agencies related to the Application. And so, yeah, we'd be happy to limit it to that, as long as the correspondence includes both written and electronic form. All forms of correspondence.

So as we sit here, I'd say we'd be happy with that. We're really interested in the company's interaction with whomever is receiving these permit

```
1
     applications.
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. I am going to,
     with the permission of my two fellow Commissioners, amend
 3
 4
     my Motion to peel it back to -- for Request No. 4 to what
     has just been enunciated to limit the scope.
 6
              Additional discussion.
7
              Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye.
8
     Those opposed, nay.
              Commissioner Sattgast.
10
              ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST:
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson.
12
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    No.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Nelson votes aye.
14
    Motion carries.
15
              Is there anything else for the good of the
16
     order?
17
              I think as far as announcements are concerned --
18
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I would move
19
     that the Commission do not award reasonable attorney fees
20
     and expenses associated with the Motion to Compel.
21
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
22
              Discussion on the Motion.
2.3
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, obviously the reason
24
     for that is that there was -- it was not a simple up and
25
     down, yes or no obvious situation to provide these items,
```

```
and it was a divided Commission on them. And we did not
1
2
     grant some of the requests. So it doesn't comply with
3
     what we would have for providing those funds.
4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.
5
              Seeing none, all those in favor of the Motion
6
    will vote aye. Those opposed, nay.
7
              Commissioner Sattgast.
              ACTING COMMISSIONER SATTGAST:
8
                                             Aye.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson.
10
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
12
              Motion carries. The fees are denied.
13
              (The proceeding concludes at 10:43 a.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 12th day of May,
11	2015, and that the attached is a true and correct
12	transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 16th day of
14	June, 2015.
15	
16	
17	
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
19	Notary Public and Registered Professional Reporter
20	Certified Realtime Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	7	28:12, 28:21, 29:25,	11:24, 33:19	based [1] - 28:10 1
·	•	30:21, 34:6, 35:4	APPLICATION [1] -	bearing [1] - 9:21
	_ ,,,,,	address [4] - 9:23,	1:4	BEFORE [1] - 1:10
1 [4] - 7:5, 7:7, 7:9,	7 [5] - 14:13, 21:2,	10:20, 19:16, 21:2	applications [7] -	behalf [1] - 12:10
7:12	22:8, 25:3, 27:19	addresses [3] - 10:12,	13:9, 14:6, 24:4,	beneficial [1] - 5:7
1-20-21 [3] - 12:8,	_	16:9, 16:16	33:11, 33:12, 33:13,	benefit [1] - 8:2
12:15, 12:21	8	addressing [1] - 9:24	34:1	best [1] - 18:16
1-20-21.2 [1] - 12:1		adequately [2] - 28:9,	applies [3] - 12:8,	better [1] - 6:13
10 [10] - 9:19, 9:22,	0 7:47 40:0 44:0	29:13	12:15, 12:22	beyond [1] - 29:22
10:16, 16:8, 21:25,	8 [6] - 7:17, 10:3, 11:9,	advantage [2] - 5:8,	appointed [1] - 36:8	bills [1] - 24:1
27:2, 28:23, 29:7,	13:1, 13:4, 14:24	5:9	appreciate [1] - 32:23	Bird [6] - 6:21, 6:24,
30:3, 32:19		afternoon [1] - 8:9	apprise [1] - 7:3	20:25, 21:10, 29:17,
10:43 [1] - 35:13	9	agencies [1] - 33:19	appropriate [5] -	32:25
11 [4] - 11:12, 18:8,		agency [2] - 22:17,	16:12, 16:17, 28:9,	BIRD [4] - 6:23, 9:17,
19:22, 27:1	9:45 [1] - 1:8	27:20	29:14, 29:23	21:11, 33:7
12 [1] - 1:8	3.45[i] - 1.0	ago [1] - 32:1	April [3] - 7:5, 7:7,	•
12th [2] - 2:4, 36:10	Δ.	•	20:8	birthday [1] - 4:8
16th [1] - 36:13	Α	agree [3] - 27:2,		bit [2] - 22:15, 26:8
18 [1] - 12:25		30:25, 31:1	archaeological [2] -	blocked [2] - 30:7,
19 [7] - 11:19, 12:23,	a.m [2] - 1:8, 35:13	agreement [3] - 29:19,	12:13, 18:24	30:8
18:22, 27:3, 28:23,	able [7] - 5:13, 10:8,	30:17, 31:3	archeologist [1] - 12:9	blush [1] - 24:20
	15:8, 23:12, 27:18,	ahead [4] - 8:13, 9:9,	archeologists [1] -	board [1] - 12:11
29:7, 32:20	27:23, 32:15	17:7, 18:6	12:10	board's [1] - 12:11
^	above-entitled [2] -	aid [1] - 4:13	areas [1] - 27:9	body [1] - 13:18
2	2:2, 36:10	allow [1] - 33:4	argued [1] - 23:12	Boomsma [1] - 15:11
	absence [1] - 7:21	amenable [1] - 7:18	argument [1] - 5:15	BOOMSMA [1] - 15:12
2 [2] - 7:24, 13:3		amend [1] - 34:3	argumentative [1] -	boxes [1] - 20:12
20 [7] - 10:18, 19:15,	absolutely [1] - 29:12	amended [2] - 9:8,	18:17	breeding [4] - 14:19,
21:25, 27:2, 28:23,	ACCESS [2] - 1:4, 1:5	29:2	assiduously [1] -	21:3, 22:25, 23:7
29:7, 32:20	access [2] - 30:18,	amending [1] - 7:18	17:20	Brett [1] - 17:7
2007 [1] - 13:11	33:5	amount [5] - 5:23,	associated [2] - 3:8,	brian [1] - 1:17
2010 [1] - 13:12	Access [18] - 3:2, 3:3,	20:2, 26:17, 27:14,	34:20	brief [3] - 15:23,
	3:13, 7:5, 7:17, 7:18,	31:8	assume [1] - 23:19	15:24, 20:25
2014 [3] - 10:6, 11:5, 12:18	7:20, 8:11, 9:4, 10:5,	AN [1] - 1:4	assured [2] - 32:5,	bring [2] - 4:18, 20:1
-	10:14, 11:19, 13:10,	announcements [1] -	32:13	broad [6] - 13:15,
2015 [4] - 1:8, 2:4,	13:11, 13:19, 16:4,	34:17	attached [2] - 8:1,	20:1, 28:11, 29:16,
36:11, 36:14	20:20, 25:10	answer [13] - 10:11,	36:11	29:20, 31:1
21.2 [1] - 12:21	Access's [2] - 7:11,	11:2, 11:6, 11:7,	attachment [1] - 30:18	brought [4] - 6:11,
25 [1] - 11:8	7:25	11:9, 11:14, 13:5,	attachments [1] -	6:21, 7:1, 12:4
26 [1] - 11:8	accesses [1] - 32:3	13:13, 14:1, 16:1,	33:14	
	accompany [1] -	16:19, 19:22	attorney [4] - 14:10,	Building [1] - 2:3
3	29:14	answered [9] - 10:19,	33:15, 33:16, 34:19	burdensome [4] -
	accomplish [1] - 33:4	11:9, 13:24, 17:9,	attorney-client [3] -	13:15, 13:16, 20:14,
3 rat 20:24 20:0	accurate [1] - 29:12	18:16, 20:7, 21:16,	14:10, 33:15, 33:16	26:17
3 [2] - 28:24, 29:8	act [3] - 4:14, 4:21,			
30 [1] - 20:8	4:24	27:2, 27:19	attorneys [5] - 3:8,	С
_	acted [1] - 17:20	answering [1] - 20:3	3:14, 29:18, 30:13,	
4	acting [2] - 6:14,	answers [7] - 7:9, 8:3,	33:17	cannot [3] - 26:12,
	17:11	9:6, 13:1, 13:2, 13:5,	available [4] - 17:23,	30:24, 32:21
4 [11] - 13:6, 19:22,	ACTING [7] - 1:12,	21:17	20:22, 24:6, 32:3	
23:12, 26:9, 28:24,	28:16, 29:4, 30:1,	anyways [1] - 16:13	Avenue [1] - 2:3	Capitol [2] - 2:2, 2:3
1 ' '	30:20, 34:10, 35:8	appearing [1] - 22:3	award [2] - 3:7, 34:19	careful [2] - 27:3,
29:8, 29:15, 30:25,	Acting [1] - 30:2	Applicant [17] - 11:4,	aware [1] - 31:6	27:11
32:20, 32:24, 34:4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11:9, 11:12, 11:22,	aye [9] - 28:13, 28:18,	carries [2] - 34:14,
40 [1] - 12:25	activities [1] - 22:2	12:1, 12:17, 12:18,	34:7, 34:10, 34:13,	35:12
413 [1] - 2:3	activity [1] - 5:12	14:4, 15:1, 21:16,	35:6, 35:8, 35:10,	case [7] - 6:8, 12:17,
_	actual [1] - 10:2	26:16, 27:3, 27:7,	35:11	14:19, 17:18, 18:20,
5	add [6] - 4:16, 15:8,	27:20, 27:22, 29:10,		24:14, 32:11
	15:12, 15:16, 15:20,	33:17	В	cases [3] - 6:8, 19:24,
500 [1] - 2:3	15:25	Applicant's [1] - 29:18	_	19:25
- 2.5 	addition [1] - 10:22	Applicants [1] - 31:23		CDs [1] - 20:13
	additional [7] - 27:25,	Application [3] - 3:2,	background [1] - 17:4	certain [3] - 23:3,

27:11, 28:3 certainly [10] - 4:7, 18:18, 21:7, 21:13, 22:24, 23:11, 24:13, 26:12, 26:24, 32:19 CERTIFICATE [1] -36:2 Certified [2] - 36:6, 36:19 **CERTIFY** [1] - 36:8 cetera [1] - 33:14 chair [1] - 24:25 CHAIRMAN [31] -1:11, 3:1, 3:25, 5:1, 6:18, 9:13, 15:5, 15:13, 15:18, 16:3, 17:1, 18:6, 20:24, 21:9, 21:20, 25:1, 25:20, 26:3, 27:25, 28:17, 28:19, 29:6, 30:21, 32:23, 34:2, 34:11, 34:13, 34:21, 35:4, 35:9, 35:11 Chairman [15] - 3:24, 6:15, 6:23, 9:13, 21:11, 21:24, 25:25, 26:5, 27:24, 29:4, 30:1, 30:23, 32:22, 33:7, 34:18 challenge [5] - 23:13, 23:14, 23:21, 24:24, 32:20 changing [1] - 4:12 character [1] - 4:17 characterized [1] -4:10 checked [1] - 22:16 CHERI [1] - 36:5 Cheri [2] - 1:24, 36:18 chosen [1] - 27:15 CHRIS [1] - 1:11 cited [1] - 19:10 citing [1] - 11:25 civil [2] - 9:25, 16:20 click [2] - 20:23, 24:6 clicking [1] - 4:4 client [3] - 14:10, 33:15, 33:16 Codified [3] - 11:17, 11:25, 12:7 coming [1] - 4:4 comments [2] - 6:20, 32:24 COMMISSION [3] -1:1, 1:10, 1:13 Commission [21] -3:6, 3:7, 5:20, 5:21, 6:10, 6:24, 7:3, 9:7, 9:20, 11:10, 17:10,

18:23, 18:25, 20:7,

21:17, 21:21, 26:1, 29:2, 30:12, 34:19, Commission's [1] -8:1 Commissioner [25] -3:19, 4:7, 4:19, 5:3, 5:19, 17:8, 18:3, 21:23, 22:4, 23:9, 23:23, 25:2, 25:24, 26:4, 27:13, 28:5, 28:15, 28:17, 30:2, 30:22, 34:9, 34:11, 34:13, 35:7, 35:9 COMMISSIONER [25] - 1:12, 1:12, 3:20, 5:4, 6:15, 21:24, 22:7, 22:14, 22:21, 23:11, 24:22, 25:25, 26:5, 28:16, 28:18, 29:4, 30:1, 30:20, 30:23, 34:10, 34:12, 34:18, 34:23, 35:8, 35:10 Commissioners [5] -3:18, 5:2, 16:5, 24:23, 34:3 communication [2] -8:14, 33:16 communications [1] -14:7 company's [1] - 33:24 compel [4] - 7:2, 11:10, 21:17, 29:24 Compel [13] - 3:7, 3:9, 7:22, 8:23, 9:1, 9:5, 9:12, 12:5, 25:6, 26:2, 28:22, 29:7, 34:20 compelled [1] - 28:4 complained [1] -31:10 complete [3] - 8:3, 8:11, 8:17 completed [1] - 31:19 completely [1] - 10:19 comply [1] - 35:2 concern [3] - 13:5, 28:5, 29:13 concerned [4] - 29:15, 29:16, 32:18, 34:17 concerns [2] - 29:11, 29:12 concludes [1] - 35:13 conduct [1] - 12:11 conducted [3] - 10:6, 10:15, 12:16 conducting [3] - 9:24, 16:10, 22:1

confidence [2] - 23:1,

23:2 confidential [13] -11:25, 17:22, 19:2, 27:5. 28:7. 28:25. 30:13. 31:15. 31:16. 31:19, 32:2, 33:15 confidentiality [4] -29:11, 31:15, 31:20, 32.19 conflict [1] - 13:2 consider [3] - 5:12, 8:7, 18:12 considerable [1] -27:14 considerably [2] -4:13, 32:7 construct [1] - 3:3 **CONSTRUCT** [1] - 1:5 constructed [1] -13:11 consult [1] - 18:1 consultations [1] -16:23 contact [6] - 12:2, 16:14, 16:24, 17:13, 17:21, 19:19 contacted [1] - 16:13 contractors [2] -12:17, 17:24 conversations [1] -4:22 correct [1] - 36:11 correspondence [6] -14:6, 33:11, 33:13, 33:18, 33:21, 33:22 counsel [3] - 7:11, 7:25, 16:16 **COUNTY** [1] - 36:3 couple [3] - 7:2, 7:4, court [1] - 3:11 **courteous** [1] - 6:6 cover[1] - 9:11 covered [3] - 12:21, 28:25, 29:13 coy [1] - 23:10 create [2] - 25:8, 25:10 Cremer [1] - 1:15 critical [1] - 24:14 CRR [1] - 1:24 crude [6] - 23:14, 23:20, 24:5, 24:7, 26:21, 26:23 cultural [4] - 10:21, 11:20, 18:24, 19:17 curious [2] - 5:14, 5:16 cut [1] - 7:19

D

Dakota [34] - 2:2, 2:4, 3:2, 3:3, 3:13, 7:5, 7:11, 7:16, 7:18, 7:20, 7:25, 8:11, 9:4, 10:5, 10:14, 11:17, 11:19, 11:25, 12:2, 12:7, 13:8, 13:10, 13:11, 13:19, 13:23, 13:24, 14:20, 14:21, 16:4, 20:20, 25:10, 36:7, 36:13 **DAKOTA** [4] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:5, 36:1 Darren [1] - 1:17 date [3] - 7:9, 8:14, 10:11 Dated [1] - 36:13 dates [1] - 16:9 days [1] - 20:8 deadline [1] - 7:12 deal [2] - 3:5, 3:10 dealing [1] - 18:23 declined [1] - 23:17 deficiency [1] - 17:14 deficient [2] - 8:18, 9:11 define [1] - 11:17 **definition** [1] - 33:9 denied [3] - 13:9, 13:19, 35:12 deny [2] - 26:1, 28:11 describe [1] - 7:24 described [1] - 12:18 description [2] -10:22, 11:21 descriptions [1] -11:24 destroyed [1] - 32:5 detailed [2] - 10:22, 11:21 devote [1] - 20:2 devotion [1] - 20:16 different [2] - 22:1, 22.2 diplomatic [1] - 5:17 direction [1] - 24:7

discourse [1] - 4:20

discovery [15] - 6:1,

6:3, 7:20, 8:3, 8:11,

9:2, 14:11, 14:17,

14:25, 15:3, 15:17,

21:5, 21:15, 25:6,

discuss [1] - 12:6

discussed [1] - 23:4

discussion [10] - 5:5,

26:3, 27:25, 28:12,

29:23

29:9, 29:25, 30:21, 34:6, 34:22, 35:4 divided [1] - 35:1 **DO**[1] - 36:8 Docket [5] - 3:1, 29:2, 31:9, 31:18, 31:23 dockets [4] - 26:14, 27:15, 28:8, 31:7 document [6] - 13:21, 14:3, 16:7, 21:17, 22:15, 26:7 Document [5] - 19:22, 22:7, 23:11, 26:8, 30:25 documents [21] -13:7, 13:22, 14:2, 14:8, 14:15, 19:23, 20:13, 20:22, 23:24, 24:3, 24:8, 24:10, 24:19, 25:7, 25:9, 25:10, 26:13, 26:21, 31:2, 31:5, 33:9 domain [1] - 19:5 done [4] - 3:16, 16:18, 28:8, 31:14 down [4] - 3:11, 16:6, 23:24, 34:25 draft [6] - 7:25, 8:2, 10:1, 13:2, 13:3, 13:5 drafted [1] - 30:11 due [2] - 7:7, 7:9 **duly** [1] - 36:8 duly-appointed [1] -36:8 duplicate [1] - 24:20

Ε

e-mail [5] - 7:10, 7:14, 8:25, 16:16, 19:16 e-mails [8] - 4:10, 5:14, 9:23, 10:12, 10:20, 14:5, 16:9, 16:24 early [2] - 8:4, 8:7 East [1] - 2:3 Edwards [2] - 1:16, 30:10 EDWARDS [2] - 15:22, egregious [1] - 5:12 either [3] - 5:2, 8:6, 19:21 **electronic** [1] - 33:21 employee [1] - 12:15 employment [1] -23:25 energy [1] - 3:3

ENERGY [1] - 1:5 entail [1] - 30:5 entertain [1] - 5:4 entire [1] - 4:17 entirety [1] - 28:11 entitled [9] - 2:2, 10:10, 10:17, 11:7, 12:19, 12:24, 14:11, 21:14, 36:10 entity [1] - 33:12 enunciated [2] -29:23, 34:5 especially [2] - 17:13, 27:11 essentially [1] - 24:19 et [1] - 33:14 events [2] - 7:3, 7:4 **examining** [2] - 26:5, 30:15 example [2] - 14:10, 33:16 excuse [3] - 13:12, 22:21, 31:23 exist [6] - 14:9, 25:8, 25:10, 25:12, 25:18, 26:21 existing [2] - 22:11, 31:7 exists [2] - 13:17, 31:18 expansive [1] - 33:6 expect [2] - 5:20, 5:21 expenses [2] - 3:8, 34:20 experience [2] -23:20, 26:14 expert [1] - 30:14 experts [1] - 30:14 explanation [1] - 30:6 expressed [1] - 29:11 extend [1] - 21:16 extremely [1] - 27:3

federal [1] - 19:10 federally [2] - 11:15, 18:12 fees [3] - 3:8, 34:19, 35:12 fellow [3] - 3:18, 5:2, 34:3 **few** [3] - 7:10, 24:17, 27:12 file [2] - 7:22, 8:22 filed [2] - 9:1, 15:23 filing [3] - 7:4, 11:11, 19:24 final [1] - 13:4 finally [1] - 20:21 finish [1] - 15:2 **First** [1] - 7:6 first [5] - 3:10, 10:1, 18:22, 21:23, 24:20 folks [2] - 4:2, 17:3 **follow** [2] - 25:1, 27:8 followed [1] - 32:11 **following** [1] - 7:4 footings [1] - 3:24 FOR [1] - 1:4 forced [1] - 18:25 forgive [1] - 23:19 form [1] - 33:21 format [1] - 7:14 forms [1] - 33:22 forth [1] - 24:2 forthcoming [1] - 8:12 forward [1] - 4:12 four [1] - 14:23 frankly [1] - 32:12 Friday [1] - 9:2 front [2] - 6:10, 18:23 fruition [1] - 27:21 fully [2] - 24:22, 27:2 funds [1] - 35:3

G

F

face [2] - 4:23, 4:24 **FACILITY** [1] - 1:5 facility [1] - 3:3 fact [3] - 18:10, 18:20, 28:3 failed [1] - 21:2 fails [1] - 28:20 fair [1] - 3:25 far [7] - 4:9, 8:19, 21:8, 26:25, 28:6, 28:7, 34:17 favor [3] - 28:13, 34:7, 35:5 fears [1] - 29:21

garbage [2] - 32:2, 32:3 **GARY** [1] - 1:12 genuine [1] - 4:17 germane [3] - 22:19, 22:23, 22:24 germaneness [1] -23.1 given [2] - 4:16, 19:21 glad [1] - 18:1 government [2] -11:13, 11:16 governmental [2] -18:9, 18:15 graduate [1] - 25:16 grant [5] - 3:6, 5:21,

28:22, 29:6, 35:2 granted [2] - 23:18, 30:18 Greg [1] - 1:16 group [1] - 19:8 guess [4] - 22:14, 24:17, 26:9, 30:3 **Gustafson** [1] - 1:18 Н hammer [1] - 31:4

impersonal [1] - 4:22 impolite [1] - 6:9 important [1] - 14:19 impugn [1] - 6:12 hands [1] - 31:4 **IN** [1] - 1:4 handy [1] - 9:17 include [1] - 33:9 Hanson [10] - 3:19, includes [2] - 14:5, 4:19, 5:3, 21:23, 33:21 25:24, 26:4, 28:17, including [4] - 10:11, 30:22, 34:11, 35:9 11:22, 13:8, 33:13 HANSON [18] - 1:12. incomplete [1] - 13:22 3:20. 5:4. 6:15. incredibly [1] - 20:14 21:24, 22:7, 22:14, indicated [1] - 8:12 22:21, 23:11, 24:22, indicating [1] - 7:11 25:25, 26:5, 28:18, individual [1] - 18:1 30:23, 34:12, 34:18, individuals [4] - 4:23, 34:23, 35:10 5:7, 10:6, 16:20 Hanson's [2] - 25:2, information [49] -28:5 9:25, 10:9, 10:16, happy [4] - 4:8, 7:16, 10:17, 12:20, 12:24, 33:20, 33:23 13:14, 14:5, 16:21, harassing [1] - 17:17 16:25, 17:13, 17:21, hear [4] - 3:22, 6:4, 19:6, 19:9, 19:12, 6:16, 7:23 19:20, 20:11, 21:14, heard [2] - 6:5, 23:4 22:1, 22:9, 22:18, hearing [2] - 24:16, 24:18, 25:7, 26:15, 32:15 26:17, 26:20, 27:4, held [1] - 2:1 27:11, 27:14, 27:17, help[1] - 25:13 27:21, 28:7, 28:24, helped [1] - 4:20 30:7, 30:12, 30:15, helpful [3] - 9:16, 30:16, 30:19, 31:8, 15:5, 25:19 31:11, 31:18, 31:19, herculean [1] - 20:15 31:22, 32:2, 32:5, **HEREBY** [1] - 36:8 32:13, 32:16, 32:17, historic [6] - 10:21, 33:10 10:25, 11:20, 12:10, insufficient [1] - 8:18 18:23, 19:17 intend [3] - 3:17, Historic [1] - 12:2 16:14, 24:14 historical [1] - 12:12 intended [1] - 25:8 historically [2] intent [1] - 21:13 27:16, 32:16 intentions [1] - 8:20 homes [1] - 16:15 interacting [1] - 4:23 hope [3] - 17:19, 23:9, interaction [2] - 4:11, 25:19 33:25 **HP14-001** [1] - 30:12 interested [1] - 33:24 HP14-002 [2] - 1:4, 3:1 Interior [1] - 10:25 huge [1] - 31:8 internal [1] - 14:7 hundreds [2] - 16:18, Interrogatories [5] -20:7

7:6, 9:10, 12:20,

Interrogatory [19] -

14:24, 26:6

9:19, 9:22, 10:16, 10:18, 11:7, 11:8, 11:12, 11:19, 12:23, 12:25, 16:8, 18:8, 18:22, 19:15, 21:25, 27:1, 28:23, 29:7, 30:3 Intervenor [3] - 15:18, 19:7, 24:15 Intervenors [6] - 3:14, 6:4, 15:6, 16:6, 16:13, 20:8 intimated [1] - 22:8 involved [6] - 10:21, 17:21, 19:8, 19:17, 24:2, 30:4 irrelevant [3] - 13:14, 13:16, 20:18 issue [1] - 15:17 issued [1] - 29:2 issues [5] - 6:2, 6:3, 6:7, 9:25, 16:20 items [5] - 7:2, 15:1,

ı

identical [1] - 29:1

identify [1] - 16:8

31:9

4:21

imagine [2] - 26:17,

immeasurably [1] -

28:3, 29:22, 34:25 J

job [1] - 17:15 John [1] - 1:14 Joseph [1] - 1:18 juncture [1] - 27:23 June [1] - 36:14

K

Karen [1] - 1:15 Katlyn [1] - 1:18 Kearney [1] - 1:17 keep [2] - 15:9, 19:1 keeping [1] - 17:20 kept [1] - 24:12 knowledge [1] - 20:19 Koenecke [9] - 3:12, 4:1, 4:5, 5:6, 6:20, 17:1, 21:25, 25:5, 29:21 **KOENECKE** [12] - 4:7, 5:19, 16:5, 17:8, 18:7, 21:2, 22:4, 22:10, 22:20, 22:23, 23:22, 25:14

Kristen [2] - 1:16, 30:9 L

lack [2] - 4:10, 4:11 landowners [1] -17:21

last [1] - 10:15 late [5] - 6:5, 7:17, 8:16, 9:6 law [4] - 15:24, 19:10, 27:8, 32:10 Law [1] - 12:1 Laws [2] - 11:17, 12:7 lawyer [1] - 15:19 leading [1] - 20:9 leaked [1] - 31:19 leaning [1] - 26:9 least [1] - 30:25 leave [1] - 19:5 legal [2] - 18:10, 18:20 less [2] - 22:25, 23:2 letter [5] - 7:23, 8:13, 8:15, 8:20, 8:25 **letters** [1] - 14:6 light [1] - 17:13 limit [6] - 3:17, 4:22, 33:3, 33:4, 33:20, 34:5 **limitation** [1] - 4:6 limited [2] - 24:9, 27:12 line [1] - 4:2 links [1] - 13:25 LLC [2] - 1:4, 3:2 local [4] - 11:13, 11:16, 18:9, 18:15 located [2] - 12:13, 13:17 location [1] - 27:5 locations [4] - 11:22, 11:24, 19:4, 27:17 looked [1] - 8:9 looks [2] - 12:25, 14:23

M

mail [5] - 7:10, 7:14, 8:25, 16:16, 19:16 mails [8] - 4:10, 5:14, 9:23, 10:12, 10:20, 14:5, 16:9, 16:24 malign [1] - 6:12 map [7] - 14:20, 21:4, 22:11, 23:1, 23:8, 25:15. 25:17 marking [1] - 31:16 **MATTER** [1] - 1:4 matter [7] - 2:2, 3:2, 5:25, 6:17, 15:23, 24:17, 36:10 matters [1] - 13:15 MCCOMSEY [1] - 36:5 McComsey [2] - 1:24, 36:18

mean [3] - 18:17, 19:6, 29:19 meaning [1] - 33:15 means [1] - 24:11 measures [1] - 32:4 meat [1] - 5:25 meets [1] - 10:24 members [1] - 6:24 memorandums [1] -33:14 might [2] - 25:17, 33:4 migrate [1] - 23:3 migration [2] - 14:20, 21.4 migrations [1] - 23:2 mind [4] - 11:18, 14:14, 14:23, 22:19 minutes [1] - 12:6 moment [4] - 9:20, 17:2, 21:1, 21:22 Monday [2] - 8:7, 8:8 morning [6] - 4:8, 4:20, 5:15, 6:13, 8:22, 8:24 mostly [1] - 6:5 Motion [36] - 3:6, 3:9, 6:21, 7:1, 7:4, 7:22, 8:1, 8:23, 9:1, 9:5, 9:6, 9:12, 11:11, 12:5, 15:7, 15:16, 25:6, 25:23, 26:2, 26:3, 28:1, 28:2, 28:11, 28:22, 29:6, 29:9, 30:24, 31:1, 31:5, 33:2, 34:4, 34:14, 34:20, 34:22, 35:5, 35:12 motion [2] - 15:24, 28:19 motions [1] - 28:21 mouse [2] - 20:23, 24.6 move [5] - 26:1, 28:22, 29:6, 29:23, 34:18 moving [1] - 15:9 MR [14] - 4:7, 5:19, 15:12, 15:15, 16:5,

Ν

17:8, 18:7, 21:2,

MS [6] - 6:23, 9:17,

mute [2] - 4:3, 17:4

33.7

22:4, 22:10, 22:20,

22:23, 23:22, 25:14

15:22, 21:11, 30:9,

name [2] - 10:19, 19:16

names [4] - 9:23, 10:12, 16:9, 16:24 nature [1] - 27:4 nay [5] - 28:14, 28:16, 28:19, 34:8, 35:6 necessary [3] - 27:6, 27:7, 29:19 need [8] - 3:11, 6:16, 19:20, 25:1, 29:17, 29:20, 32:9, 32:10 needs [2] - 24:9, 32:8 Nelson [4] - 9:13, 28:19, 34:13, 35:11 **NELSON** [31] - 1:11. 3:1. 3:25. 5:1. 6:18. 9:13, 15:5, 15:13, 15:18, 16:3, 17:1, 18:6, 20:24, 21:9, 21:20, 25:1, 25:20, 26:3, 27:25, 28:17, 28:19, 29:6, 30:21, 32:23, 34:2, 34:11, 34:13, 34:21, 35:4, 35:9, 35:11 nevertheless [1] -31:3 new [1] - 7:4 **next** [3] - 8:4, 8:7, 15:3 **noise** [1] - 17:5 nondisclosure [1] -30:17 none [6] - 20:19, 21:21. 25:23. 28:13. 34:7, 35:5 nonverbal [1] - 4:14 Notary [2] - 36:7, 36:18 **note** [1] - 8:3 **notes** [1] - 14:6 **nothing** [5] - 6:9, 6:19, 15:12, 15:15, 15:25 number [9] - 9:23, 10:20, 16:18, 19:16, 19:18, 19:23, 20:21, 29:10, 30:24 numbers [3] - 10:12, 16:9, 16:15 numerous [2] - 10:6,

0

16:20

objected [1] - 17:16 objection [6] - 10:3, 10:5, 11:2, 11:4, 13:13, 14:15 objections [1] - 13:18 obtain [1] - 25:7 obtained [1] - 12:14 obtaining [1] - 26:10 obvious [1] - 34:25 obviously [3] - 7:19, 30:23, 34:23 occurred [1] - 13:15 **OF** [7] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:4, 2:1, 36:1, 36:3 offered [1] - 16:22 Office [1] - 12:3 office [1] - 19:3 oil [6] - 23:14, 23:20, 24:5, 24:8, 26:21, 26:23 one [11] - 9:15, 15:18, 18:5, 21:7, 23:8, 26:8, 31:4, 31:8, 31:11, 31:16, 32:17 one's [1] - 23:7 ones [2] - 9:15, 9:16 Onida [1] - 36:13 open [2] - 4:23, 20:10 opinion [1] - 20:5 opportunity [2] - 8:17, 27:13 opposed [5] - 8:19, 27:16, 28:14, 34:8, 35:6 order [6] - 4:18, 16:6, 29:1, 29:14, 30:11, 34:16 ordered [1] - 30:11 orders [3] - 17:10, 28:10, 30:4 otherwise [2] - 3:18, 17:10 ourselves [1] - 19:11 outside [5] - 10:4, 11:3. 13:8. 13:24. 14:17 outstanding [1] - 15:1 overbroad [6] - 10:3, 11:3, 14:16, 16:21, 24:4, 24:5 overly [2] - 13:15, 13:16 own [6] - 16:15, 19:7, 20:5, 26:14, 32:1

Р

page [1] - 19:22 paper [1] - 24:11 papers [1] - 31:21 part [2] - 12:11, 33:1 partial [1] - 11:6 participated [2] - 10:7, 24:23 participation [1] - 3:17 particular [1] - 19:11 particularly [1] - 32:24 parties [9] - 3:15, 5:5, 7:10, 25:8, 30:14, 30:16, 31:3, 31:23, 32.15 parts [1] - 33:14 party [8] - 12:22, 21:6, 25:16, 31:4, 31:10, 32:6, 32:8, 32:17 passed [1] - 8:8 past [1] - 19:1 pathways [1] - 21:4 payments [1] - 24:2 peel [1] - 34:4 pending [6] - 9:6, 10:1, 11:18, 12:24, 14:14, 14:23 people [10] - 4:13, 4:21, 16:25, 17:11, 19:8, 19:18, 20:11, 24:1, 27:12, 31:21 perceived [1] - 17:14 perhaps [4] - 20:13, 20:17, 25:4, 25:16 **permission** [1] - 34:3 **PERMIT** [1] - 1:5 permit [5] - 3:3, 13:7, 13:9, 24:4, 33:25 permits [15] - 13:18, 13:23, 14:3, 20:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:18, 23:19, 23:24, 24:8, 26:11, 26:13, 26:20, 26:22 person [2] - 4:14, 4:21 personal [4] - 4:11, 16:24, 17:12, 20:5 personally [3] - 6:14, 16:13, 16:15 persons [8] - 6:16, 9:24, 10:20, 16:10, 19:17, 22:1, 22:2, 30:16 pertinent [2] - 10:23, 15.8 **phone** [10] - 4:2, 5:13, 8:25, 9:23, 10:12, 10:20, 16:9, 16:15, 17:3, 19:16 phones [2] - 4:3, 17:4 pieces [1] - 24:18 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pipeline [3] - 23:15,

23:20, 31:7

24:5, 26:23

13:10

Pipeline [2] - 3:4,

PIPELINE [1] - 1:5

pipelines [3] - 23:21,

place [1] - 26:15 placed [2] - 31:21, 32:2 places [1] - 31:4 plain [2] - 12:8, 12:22 point [4] - 5:22, 16:11, 18:18, 20:17 pointing [1] - 20:6 polite [1] - 6:5 portion [1] - 14:3 position [1] - 18:3 possession [1] -25:18 possible [2] - 27:12, 27:17 **posturing** [1] - 5:23 power [1] - 31:13 practice [2] - 18:25, 19:13 prepared [2] - 9:1, 15:2 present [1] - 5:9 presentations [1] -3.13 preservation [1] -10:25 Preservation [1] -12.2 presumably [1] - 14:5 previous [2] - 27:15, privilege [1] - 14:10 privileged [1] - 11:25 problem [1] - 17:8 proceed [1] - 6:19 proceeding [4] - 4:17, 19:25, 20:18, 35:13 Proceedings [1] - 1:7 proceedings [2] -36:9, 36:12 PROCEEDINGS [1] process [5] - 4:9, 4:13, 5:12, 14:12, 25:7 produce [5] - 13:16, 20:12, 21:5, 21:7, 24:19 produced [1] - 21:8 product [2] - 14:10, 33:16 **Production** [8] - 7:7, 9:10, 13:6, 14:13, 25:3, 28:23, 29:8, 29:15 production [1] - 14:16 professional [3] -10:23, 10:24, 10:25 Professional [2] -36:6, 36:19

prohibit [1] - 3:13 Project [1] - 13:10 project [11] - 13:11, 17:12, 17:22, 17:24, 18:22, 20:14, 22:19, 22:23, 22:24, 24:1, 25.14 proper [1] - 16:12 properties [2] - 12:12, 16:19 property [2] - 9:25, 16:20 property-specific [2] -9:25, 16:20 protect [4] - 27:4, 27:9, 27:18, 31:14 protected [2] - 28:9, 32:10 protecting [1] - 31:16 protection [2] - 30:4, 30:11 protective [3] - 28:9, 29:1, 29:14 provide [13] - 8:15, 8:21, 10:8, 10:22, 11:21, 12:16, 15:1, 16:24, 20:15, 23:14, 26:18, 34:25 provided [4] - 8:4, 13:1, 13:20, 30:17 providing [2] - 7:17, 35:3 provisions [1] - 12:6 Public [2] - 36:7, 36:18 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:1, 1:10 public [7] - 4:23, 16:25, 17:13, 19:5, 19:14, 19:19, 19:21 publicly [1] - 20:22 PUC [1] - 32:1 purpose [1] - 18:2

Q

purposes [2] - 19:7,

put [2] - 4:3, 17:3

30:15

qualification [1] - 11:1 qualifies [1] - 10:23 questions [9] - 10:7, 10:11, 15:25, 16:23, 20:7, 21:21, 22:22, 25:21, 25:22 quotation [1] - 18:9 quote [1] - 25:6

R

rapidly [1] - 31:24

Rappold [1] - 15:14

reaches [1] - 23:24

reaction [1] - 24:20

reactions [1] - 4:14

reading [3] - 12:8,

25:5, 26:6

RAPPOLD [1] - 15:15

33:19

relating [6] - 13:7,

Real [6] - 6:21, 6:24, 20:25, 21:10, 29:17, 32:25 **REAL** [4] - 6:23, 9:17, 21:11, 33:7 realize [1] - 15:7 really [9] - 24:14, 24:17, 24:18, 27:10, 29:19, 31:14, 33:10, 33:18, 33:24 Realtime [2] - 36:6, 36:19 reason [4] - 3:22, 19:11. 26:9. 34:23 reasonable [2] - 3:8, 34.19 reasons [3] - 9:5, 17:23, 30:24 rebuttal [1] - 20:25 receive [2] - 7:24, 14:1 received [7] - 7:10, 8:5, 8:16, 10:2, 14:24, 26:23, 31:10 receives [2] - 32:6, 32:17 receiving [1] - 33:25 recently [1] - 29:1 recognize [1] - 11:15 recognized [2] -11:15, 18:12 recognizes [1] - 11:13 recommends [1] records [2] - 12:14, 23:25 referred [1] - 11:23 regarding [2] - 14:2, 25:2 regardless [1] - 31:24 **Registered** [2] - 36:5, 36:19 regulatory [1] - 13:17 reiterate [2] - 7:2, 19:19 relate [2] - 26:13, 26:22 related [8] - 14:8, 19:23, 23:24, 24:3, 24:9, 24:10, 24:19,

13:19, 13:22, 14:2, 20:20. 31:2 relevant [1] - 19:24 relied [1] - 30:14 remain [1] - 28:25 remarks [2] - 3:21, 18.9 remove [1] - 33:2 Reported [1] - 1:24 reporter [2] - 3:11, 36:9 Reporter [4] - 36:6. 36:19, 36:19 represent [1] - 3:20 representing [1] -3:14 Request [12] - 13:6, 14:13, 19:22, 22:8, 23:12, 25:2, 26:9, 28:23, 29:8, 29:15, 30:25, 34:4 request [15] - 3:12, 5:22, 13:14, 13:21, 14:3, 14:5, 14:8, 14:16, 20:1, 20:3, 21:6, 22:1, 22:16, 31:2, 32:24 requested [4] - 12:20, 13:7, 20:22, 25:15 requesting [1] - 26:12 Requests [2] - 7:6, 9.10 requests [5] - 21:18, 22:12, 26:6, 26:7, 35:2 require [4] - 20:2, 20:15, 21:7, 31:1 required [3] - 13:7, 13:23, 30:17 requires [2] - 21:5, 31:5 research [1] - 18:14 resident [1] - 23:6 resolve [1] - 15:17 resolved [6] - 9:4, 9:15, 11:11, 13:1, 13:5, 14:24 resources [1] - 20:3 respect [1] - 24:16 respects [1] - 23:23 respond [1] - 8:5 responded [1] - 7:15 response [3] - 12:5, 16:4, 25:5 responses [10] - 4:16, 7:12, 7:17, 7:25, 8:2,

8:11, 8:18, 9:3, 10:2,

14:25

responsible [3] - 9:24, 5 10:12, 16:10 responsive [1] - 23:9 restate [1] - 29:5 review [2] - 7:20, 15:2 reviewed [1] - 8:6 revoked [3] - 13:9, 13:19, 23:17 Rezac [1] - 1:18 **RICH** [1] - 1:12 **Rislov** [1] - 1:16 Rolayne [1] - 1:15 room [1] - 15:19 Room [1] - 2:3 round [1] - 15:3 Rounds [1] - 1:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rules [1] - 16:12 run [1] - 16:19

S

SATTGAST [7] - 1:12, 28:16, 29:4, 30:1, 30:20. 34:10. 35:8 Sattgast [4] - 28:15, 30:2, 34:9, 35:7 schedule [2] - 7:19, 9:8 **scope** [5] - 10:4, 11:3, 14:17, 21:15, 34:5 scrap [1] - 24:11 Secretary [1] - 10:25 section [2] - 7:3, 11:23 see [17] - 3:18, 4:11, 4:14, 6:16, 15:9, 17:23, 18:2, 20:16, 22:16, 26:7, 26:10, 26:12, 26:24, 27:5, 27:22, 32:1 seeing [5] - 21:21, 25:23, 28:13, 34:7, 35:5 seek [1] - 19:9 seeking [2] - 19:15, 21:13 seeks [1] - 13:14 seem [1] - 5:6 sensitive [4] - 21:3, 22:25, 23:3, 23:4 sent [3] - 6:1, 8:13, 8:14 serve [1] - 7:5 serving [1] - 7:12 set [1] - 8:17 Set [1] - 7:6 setting [2] - 4:23, 16:25

shall [3] - 3:6, 3:7, 12:11
share [2] - 27:23, 28:5
shared [4] - 31:21,
31:25, 32:14, 32:16
Shiner [1] - 23:5 ship [1] - 24:21
shorthand [2] - 36:9
shoulders [1] - 26:16
show [3] - 21:3, 21:4, 22:11
showing [1] - 14:20
SHPO [1] - 19:3
sign [1] - 30:17
significance [1] - 18:14
significant [2] - 23:13, 23:14
similar [2] - 10:18,
14:15
simple [1] - 34:24
simply [4] - 6:1, 19:12,
27:17, 29:16 Sioux [9] - 3:6, 6:25,
7:1, 15:16, 21:12,
25:9, 26:1, 26:19,
32:12
sit [3] - 22:10, 33:8, 33:23
site [2] - 31:17, 32:9
sites [8] - 11:20, 11:21, 12:13, 12:14,
18:24, 19:4, 19:13,
31:15
situation [1] - 34:25
slow [1] - 3:11
Smith [2] - 1:14, 25:21 society [2] - 12:10,
19:8
someone [1] - 5:8
sorry [4] - 9:17, 9:19, 17:7, 21:3
sort [1] - 14:22
South [14] - 2:2, 2:4,
11:17, 11:25, 12:2, 12:7, 13:8, 13:23,
13:24, 14:20, 14:21,
36:7, 36:13
SOUTH [2] - 1:2, 36:1
speaking [1] - 33:1 species [6] - 14:20,
species [6] - 14:20, 14:21, 21:4, 22:25,
23:3, 23:4
specific [9] - 9:25,
10:8, 10:13, 16:20,
16:22, 22:12, 26:20, 27:5
spread [1] - 32:6
spreading [1] - 27:16
spreads [1] - 31:24

SS [1] - 36:2
Staff [6] - 15:21,
15:23, 20:8, 24:24,
30:3, 30:10
STAFF [1] - 1:13
Staff's [1] - 12:4
stand [1] - 15:24
standards [2] - 10:24,
11:1
standpoint [4] - 5:24,
27:18, 27:20, 32:4 start [3] - 4:22, 9:22,
24:10
started [1] - 11:5
starting [3] - 10:6,
10:15, 12:18
state [9] - 12:9, 12:10,
12:13, 13:16, 13:17,
19:11, 20:19, 20:21
State [6] - 2:2, 12:2,
12:15, 12:22, 12:23,
36:7
STATE [2] - 1:2, 36:1 statement [1] - 25:11
states [4] - 10:5, 11:4,
13:20, 14:4
statewide [1] - 12:12
stating [1] - 15:23
statutes [1] - 21:15
step [1] - 24:8
still [13] - 4:4, 8:16,
8:24, 9:10, 10:19,
11:14, 11:17, 12:23,
13:21, 14:4, 14:13, 14:22, 33:4
stipulate [1] - 7:16
Stipulation [1] - 7:21
stop [2] - 17:2, 24:10
student [1] - 25:16
subject [1] - 17:12
subjected [1] - 17:25
submit [2] - 10:10,
11:6
submitted [4] - 13:3,
13:4, 30:13, 33:12
substance [1] - 8:19 substantial [1] - 20:2
substantively [1] -
15:15
SULLY [1] - 36:3
summary [1] - 14:22
support [5] - 15:16,
28:2, 28:10, 30:24,
32:21
supporting [1] - 3:23
surprises [2] - 5:10,
22:14
survey [4] - 9:25, 12:12, 16:21, 19:17
, 10.21, 10.11

surveyor [2] - 10:24,

17:11 surveys [13] - 9:24, 10:5, 10:13, 10:14, 10:21, 11:5, 11:20, 12:9, 12:12, 12:16, 12:18, 16:10, 16:18 suspect [1] - 22:5 suspended [4] -				
13:10, 14:2, 20:20 suspicions [1] - 17:18 sway [2] - 5:15, 5:20 swayed [1] - 5:20				
T				
table [5] - 21:3, 22:11, 23:7, 25:15, 25:17 task [1] - 20:15				

telephone [2] - 4:10, 7:15 telephonic [2] - 3:13, 3:17 terms [1] - 12:22 testified [1] - 23:16 thankful [1] - 4:19 **THE** [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:5, 1:10 themselves [2] -33:11, 33:13 they've [1] - 11:11 thinking [5] - 18:21, 19:20, 20:14, 23:25, 24:9 thinks [1] - 12:23 third [1] - 25:16 Thomasina [2] - 6:22, 6:24 thoughts [1] - 7:13 thrust [1] - 24:15 Thursday [2] - 8:24, 9:2 timely [1] - 7:8 timing [1] - 8:19 **TO** [1] - 1:5 today [3] - 3:5, 9:11, 25:12

together [1] - 29:18

10:13, 16:19, 16:22,

took [1] - 36:9

Topeka [1] - 23:4

training [1] - 10:23

Transcript [1] - 1:7

transcription [1] -

treated [1] - 19:9

TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:1

tremendous [1] - 5:23

tracts [5] - 10:8,

18:1

36:12

7:5, 7:16, 10:7, 10:10, 11:6, 11:13, 11:15, 11:16, 12:1, 13:6, 18:13, 21:12, 25:9, 26:19, 32:12 **Tribe's** [3] - 3:6, 15:16, 26:2 tried [1] - 20:10 truckloads [1] - 26:15 true [1] - 36:11 truly [1] - 26:16 trustees [1] - 12:11 try [2] - 20:9, 22:18 trying [2] - 5:17, 23:10 **Tuesday** [2] - 8:8 turn [3] - 4:1, 6:22, 16:3 two [2] - 22:21, 34:3 **type** [3] - 26:14, 30:4, 30:6

Tribe [17] - 6:25, 7:1,

U

unanswered [2] -14:4, 14:14 uncovered [1] - 11:20 under [2] - 16:12, 21:14 understood [2] -19:12, 25:4 unit [4] - 11:13, 11:16, 18:9, 18:15 unless [1] - 3:17 unlikely [1] - 20:4 untowardly [1] - 20:10 up [6] - 4:18, 6:11, 9:7, 12:4, 25:1, 34:24 useful [2] - 20:4, 20:11 **UTILITIES** [2] - 1:1, 1:10 V vacillating [1] - 26:8

vacillating [1] - 26:8 vague [3] - 10:3, 11:3, 16:21 value [1] - 26:10 various [1] - 3:14 vexatious [2] - 17:17, 21:13 viewable [1] - 30:13 viewing [1] - 30:16 virtue [1] - 17:11 volumes [1] - 20:12 voluminous [1] - 20:4 vote [3] - 28:13, 34:7, 35:6 6 **votes** [3] - 28:19, 34:13, 35:11

W

waiving [3] - 10:4,

11:4, 13:18

wants [1] - 33:1 ways [2] - 5:13, 5:14 website [1] - 17:23 websites [2] - 13:18, 13:20 Wednesday [2] - 8:9, 8:10 week [4] - 7:18, 8:4, 8:7, 9:6 well-aware [1] - 31:6 Wiest [1] - 1:15 withhold [2] - 19:6, 20:9 witnesses [4] - 16:12, 16:14, 22:3, 30:14 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 36:18 **WITTLER** [1] - 36:5 workable [1] - 31:13 worth [1] - 20:6 writing [4] - 4:22, 5:24, 7:15, 8:14 written [1] - 33:21

Υ

Yankton [9] - 3:6, 6:25, 7:1, 15:16, 21:12, 25:9, 26:1, 26:19, 32:12 year [1] - 10:15 years [1] - 32:1