1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	=======================================
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION EL13-028 OF MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO.
5	AND OTTER TAIL POWER COMPANY FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT THE BIG STONE
6	SOUTH TO CONSTRUCT THE BIG STONE SOUTH TO ELLENDALE 345 kV TRANSMISSION LINE
7	
8	Transcript of Recorded Proceedings
9	August 6, 2014
10	=======================================
11	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
12	GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN CHRIS NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN
13	KRISTIE FIEGEN, COMMISSIONER
14	COMMISSION STAFF
15	John Smith Karen Cremer
16	Brian Rounds
17	
18	APPEARANCES
19	Thomas Welk and Jason Sutton, Applicants
20	Bob Pesall, Intervener Randall Schuring, Intervener
21	Bradley Morehouse, Intervener
22	
23	
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 6th day of August, 2014.

```
1
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Docket EL13-028, In The
2
     Matter Of The Application Of Montana-Dakota Utilities
 3
     And Otter Tail Power Company For A Permit To Construct
 4
     The Big Stone South To Ellendale 345 kV Transmission
     Line.
 6
              The questions before the Commission are today
7
     shall the Commission grant the Motion For Leave To Submit
8
     Documentary Evidence?
              And shall the Commission grant the Joint Motion
10
     For Approval of Settlement Stipulation and approve the
     Amended Settlement Stipulation as the basis for its final
11
12
     decision and order?
13
              Or how shall the Commission proceed?
14
              We will hear from all of the parties, including
15
     those who are Interveners or represented. And we will
16
     begin with MDU and OTP. And we have -- good afternoon.
17
              We also have folks who have phoned in.
18
     Mr. Welk, are you lead counsel --
19
              MR. WELK: Yes. I'm representing --
20
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Lead counsel.
21
              MR. WELK:
                        (Inaudible).
22
              MR. SMITH: Is your mic. on, Tom?
2.3
              MR. WELK:
                         Better? All right. Thank you.
24
              The first Motion is the Motion For Leave To
25
     Submit Documentary Evidence.
```

We ask that the Commission consider as part of the administrative record in here Exhibits 26 and 26A and Exhibit 301A.

2.3

2.4

Exhibit 26 and 26A relate to Intervener
Brad Morehouse's request of a route change. If you
recall, at the administrative hearing there was testimony
about several proposed route changes. The project was
uncertain as to what these changes were, and so they went
out and spoke with him, Mr. Schuring, and you'll see that
there was a letter that was written to him, which is
Exhibit 26 dated July 15, 2014, to both Mr. Schuring and
Mr. Morehouse, along with 26A, which was a map that was
signed by Mr. Schuring and Mr. Morehouse as to confirming
what their proposed reroutes were.

And after that the process that we described in the evidentiary hearing of looking at the reroutes was undertaken by the project. So these represent the actions by the project in regard to Exhibits 26 and 26A.

Exhibit 301A is the Amended Settlement
Stipulation with the Staff. At the evidentiary hearing
there were questions asked by the Commissioners regarding
the Settlement Stipulation that was originally filed with
the Joint Motion For Approval.

Subsequent to the Commission's evidentiary hearing we prepared an Amended Stipulation, which we

```
1
    believed was consistent with what -- the discussion that
2
    happened at the evidentiary hearing, provided a red line
 3
     copy of the changes to the original Stipulation and ask
     that 301A be included as part of the record.
 4
              So I would move for the admission of
 5
 6
    Exhibits 26, 26A, and 301A.
7
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Is there any objection from
8
    any of the parties for these items to be entered as
     exhibits?
10
              MR. PESALL:
                           No.
11
                                Thank you. Hearing no
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
     objections, they are accepted as exhibits.
12
13
              MR. WELK: Mr. Morehouse is on the line. I
14
    think he should be asked if he had any objections.
15
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: I thought I was doing that as
16
     I was.
17
              MR. MOREHOUSE: Excuse me. This is Brad
18
    Morehouse.
19
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Go ahead, Mr. Morehouse.
20
              MR. MOREHOUSE: Thank you. I'm not really
    understanding what you're actually trying to do today
21
22
    with the exhibit or if you're voting on approval of the
2.3
    project or what. But my thought -- and they were out and
24
     they looked at different routes --
```

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Morehouse. Mr. Morehouse.

MR. MOREHOUSE: Go ahead.

2.3

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Just to help you out there a little bit, Mr. Welk is just simply asking that the map -- three different items, a letter, a map, and another item be allowed to be a part of the exhibits that are used in this hearing as evidence in the hearing process. And we're just curious whether you have any objection to -- if it's okay with you if those items are included as part of this hearing process.

MR. MOREHOUSE: I have no problem with that.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. Thank you very much. We will give you -- and forgive me for assuming that you knew what was taking place there when I asked that question earlier. I'll be reminded of that and be certain to make sure that you have the opportunity to express any concerns as we go through this process.

Thank you.

MR. MOREHOUSE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

Mr. Welk.

MR. WELK: The other Motion that's pending is the Joint Motion For Approval of the Settlement Stipulation that was filed at the time of the evidentiary hearing. My recollection was that the Commission did not think it was appropriate to consider that Motion until

```
1
     after the evidentiary record was concluded, which has now
2
     been concluded and closed. And so we would ask the
 3
     Commission to approve what is now Exhibit 301A, which is
 4
     the Amended Settlement Stipulation pursuant to the Joint
     Motion of the Staff and the project.
 6
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Thank you.
7
              Is there anyone who has any objection to 301A
8
     being allowed as an exhibit?
              Mr. Morehouse, this is another item that
10
     Mr. Welk is asking to be included in the evidence as an
11
     exhibit. Do you have any objection to that?
12
              MR. MOREHOUSE: I do not.
13
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Thank you, sir.
14
              MR. PESALL: No objection from Mr. Pesall.
15
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Thank you. Mr. Pesall is
16
     represented by counsel, and counsel does not object to
17
     it.
18
              Please continue.
              MR. WELK: Well, that concludes the two motions
19
20
     that were set as part of the agenda item.
21
     discussion, not the voting.
                                  The discussion.
22
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Correct.
                                          Thank you.
23
              I'm reading to see whether or not I've
24
     trespassed my position as Chairman here.
```

The first question being shall the Commission

```
1
     grant the Motion For Leave To Submit documentary
2
     Evidence. And does that have to do with the three items
 3
     that -- the way you phrased that, counsel?
 4
              MR. WELK:
                         What's that?
 5
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Serious matter here.
 6
              MR. SMITH: I'm looking for my agenda.
7
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                The question -- it's phrased
8
     differently than what I would anticipate.
                                                 It states
     shall the Commission grant the Motion For Leave To Submit
10
     Documentary Evidence.
              And as the Chair, normally we turn over the
11
12
     process to counsel to run it when we're going through
13
     a -- an evidentiary hearing. And having presented the
14
     evidence, I took it upon myself to accept that since
15
     there was no objection.
16
              I'm wondering, that question that was placed
17
     there, did I overstep my bounds as Chairman? Do we need
18
     to --
19
              MR. SMITH: I don't think so. I mean, if the
20
     Commission feels uncomfortable about it, go ahead and
21
     vote on it. But I'm not hearing anyone step and say --
22
     usually when I rule in the hearing, the ruling I make
2.3
     stands unless the Commissioners object to my ruling.
2.4
              So that said, I think your actions --
25
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Thank you.
```

```
1
              MR. SMITH: You've already taken action.
2
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Just want to make sure we do
 3
     it properly. And I don't see either one of my
 4
     Commissioners objecting to it.
 5
              So without objection, they are accepted as
 6
     exhibits.
              Thank you. That will turn to the second
7
8
     question. And, Mr. Welk.
              MR. WELK: That's the approval of the
10
     Stipulation?
11
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Correct.
12
              MR. WELK: We went into quite detail on this at
13
     the evidentiary hearing. This Stipulation as amended by
14
     the Commissioners' questions represent the agreement
15
     between the project and the Staff as to additional terms
16
     and conditions that would be placed on the project should
17
     the Commission grant the facility permit.
18
              The questions were asked at the evidentiary
19
     hearing about all of those terms and conditions, and so
20
     we would ask that if the Commission does grant the
21
     permit, that these terms and conditions be included.
22
                                Thank you. And I will hear
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
2.3
     from Interveners at this juncture on that question.
2.4
              MR. PESALL: Excuse me.
25
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Did he leave his notes for
```

you?

2.3

MR. PESALL: He did actually. They look like ones that I've already been served with and printed many copies in the office.

This is Bob Pesall. I'm an attorney for Gerald Pesall. As the Commissioners know, he's my uncle. He has throughout this process been very happy with the thoroughness that the Commission has applied to this and the other proceedings that he's had the opportunity to witness.

Mr. Welk has not burdened the Commission with a lengthy argument, and I don't anticipate doing so either. I would ask the Commission on behalf of Mr. Pesall to deny the Motion that's pending and to deny the permit at this point.

The reasons behind it primarily are that we don't feel that the proposed project is really in the interest of the public in South Dakota, particularly the folks that live in the siting area.

The testimony went on at length about the interference that this is likely to cause with farms and development in the area and that there wasn't evidence in the record that really rebutted that.

In addition, there was lengthy testimony -- I learned more about soybeans during this process than I

ever anticipated existed in the scope of human knowledge. But we just have huge concerns about what's going to be done if this project is built maybe not this time around if the permit's denied but at some point in the future if they revise the Application and try again in six months or a year.

2.3

What do you do with 30 cubic yards of contaminated soil for each and every individual tower?

How do you really intend to go about cleaning off the equipment between each one of these construction sites?

In the proposed terms and conditions that have been set forth they incorporate by reference the mitigation plan. The mitigation plan has a sampling method that a person can go out and look at and say they're following their obligations there. Beyond that, there isn't enough information for the Commission or individual citizens to go out there and judge whether they're doing it right.

That, combined with the other issues it creates for the farmers in the area, we think really does create a serious risk of damage to the environment. And damage to the environment for a farmer means damage to your social and economic status. It means damage to the orderly development of the area.

So those are the grounds for which we ask that

the Commission deny the Motion and deny the permit under this Application at least. 2 3 Thank you. CHAIRMAN HANSON: 4 Are there any other Interveners who are here or 5 either represented who wish to address this item? 6 MR. MOREHOUSE: Mr. Chairman, this is 7 Brad Morehouse. 8 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Yes, Mr. Morehouse. 9 MR. MOREHOUSE: If this is the proper time or 10 not. 11 CHAIRMAN HANSON: This is a great time. 12 MR. MOREHOUSE: Okay. I would just ask if the 13 permit is given, that the Stipulation be it has to be 14 within over a half a mile from my feedlot. 15 They moved it a mile from -- or I guess I've 16 seen the proposed line where I believe they have gotten 17 it moved a mile from the Schuring dairy, and they have 18 worked at moving it from my feedlot and with the excuse 19 that they can't do it because the neighbor doesn't want 20 that because it's too close to his house. 21 Well, it's way over 500 feet from his house. 22 And it can be moved. And there's got to be some 2.3 stipulation that it can't come close to a livelihood

where it can damage the livelihood of a farmer very

seriously. And this is a cattle feedlot operation, in my

24

situation. 1 2 So as far as needing the energy, having a line, 3 I'd say I don't have a problem with that, but do not let 4 them come within one half a mile of my feedlot, please. 5 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Morehouse. 6 There are a number of Interveners. Are any of 7 the Interveners, other Interveners, represented, or are 8 they present today? MS. CREMER: Excuse me. This is Karen Cremer of 10 And I spoke to Randy Schuring yesterday, and he 11 put some comments online, an e-mail that was put online today. And then he said he would follow up with comments 12 when he returns I believe next week or about that time. 13 14 He did understand -- I did explain to him that 15 the decision could very well be today or within a very 16 short time frame as it has to be completed by the 22nd of 17 August. 18 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Ms. Cremer. 19 did you receive any similar contact with any of the other 20 Interveners? 21 MS. CREMER: I have not heard from anyone else. 22 CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. I see none of them 2.3 are present today so I will turn to our Staff and,

Mr. Rounds, Mr. Kearney, who is -- Ms. Cremer.

MS. CREMER:

Thank you. This is Karen Cremer of

24

Staff.

2.3

Staff would echo the comments of the Applicant and recommend that the Commission grant the Joint Motion For Approval of the Amended Settlement Stipulation and adopt the attached Amended Settlement Stipulation without modification.

I would note that the Commission's general practice, their usual practice, is to write their own Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law so any problems with the Applicant's proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are resolved by that practice.

Staff further believes that an appropriate balance of the parties' interests has been reached and the conditions address those issues that were raised by the landowners in this matter. And Staff's analysts are available should you have any questions.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you very much, Ms. Cremer.

Turn to Commissioners at this time to see if the Commissioners have any questions that they have of any of the parties.

Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Well, the first question deals with a comment that Mr. Morehouse just made and if

```
1
     I heard him correctly he said that his understanding was
2
     that the line had been moved a mile from the Schuring
     dairy. That wasn't my understanding.
 3
 4
              Mr. Ford, can you clarify whether there's been a
 5
    move or not?
 6
              MR. FORD: There has not been an official move.
7
    We have been continuing to look at options for the line
8
     in this area. And we do have a potential reroute that
    would move the line an additional mile from the Schuring
10
     farm.
11
              However, we don't have any options signed on
     that reroute. We don't know if we have any landowner --
12
13
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. And you have not
14
     filed anything with us. I was thinking I had missed
15
     something, but I have not missed something.
              MR. FORD:
16
                         That's correct. No, we have not.
17
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. I just maybe want
18
     to raise -- Mr. Chairman, are we at the point of kind of
19
     laying our cards on the table as to what concerns we
20
    might have or how do you want to proceed?
21
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Absolutely. I think that's
22
     appropriate at this juncture.
2.3
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: At this point I've really
```

only got two issues. One is an issue that Mr. Pesall

raised in his brief about the enforceability of the

24

soybean mitigation plan.

And, Mr. Ford, you'll remember at the hearing I asked about that. I said, you know, this plan doesn't appear to be very detailed to me. And you gave some assurances that there was a reason for that and it would be fleshed out and it would be workable.

I don't have any doubt about that, but I think

Mr. Pesall makes a very valid argument that as it exists,

from our perspective it's probably not enforceable as a

matter of law.

And so one of the things that I would like to see as a condition, should the permit be issued, would be that a complete soybean nematode mitigation plan be filed with the Commission, one that both the public and the Commission have the ability to evaluate, is the company complying with that detailed plan or not.

Is that something that you'd be willing to accept?

MR. FORD: What would be the timing on that?

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Certainly prior to construction.

MR. FORD: Okay. We have no problem there.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Yeah. Because we understand you're going to need to consult with your experts and kind of figure out what's the best way to

move forward with this. And, yeah, it would take some time, it would seem to me, to be able to put that together. But I think from our responsibility to the people is to make sure that whatever we've asked of you is actually enforceable.

2.3

MR. FORD: Sure. Sure. I could add here too that I think it was you, Commissioner Nelson, that asked about whether or not we had talked to the Soybean Council in this matter. And we had not at that time.

But we have since spoken with Adam Herges, who is with the Council, and had some conversation with him about the project and what, you know, we're trying to do and what this mitigation effort would look like.

He indicated that they -- you know, they're a resource that works with farmers to try to help them get the testing done in their own fields and so forth. So they don't have the expertise in-house.

But he did recommend to us that we visit with Emmanuel Byamukama who's with SDSU. He's our SCN expert. He's also an extension pathologist with SDSU. Emmanuel was actually the individual that we had visited with prior to the evidentiary hearing. And we have since had further conversation with him, and presently we are in the process of putting together a scope of work document and ultimately a contract where we will use Emmanuel as

our expert to help us develop three things really.

2.3

He's going to consult initially on the sampling plan itself so that we make sure that we do sampling in a manner that provides the accurate, correct results. So that would be the first step.

Then he has offered essentially the college's resources to perform the actual sampling for us on the project. And we're looking at that sampling being done in the fall of 2015. So that's well in advance of construction.

Once we have the sampling results, then -- and they're going to be the ones that will also do the analysis of the samples -- he's further agreeing to consult with us on our specific mitigation plan, which then would be based on the results that we see.

I think as we talked about at the evidentiary hearing, the reason our plan was vague was because we saw a lot of different options in there for what we may or may not need to do. And depending on how much we see as contaminated or noncontaminated, that's going to affect really how we execute our mitigation.

I mean, certainly the simple thing is that you do not bring contaminated soil from a field that has SCN present to a field that does not. That's the simple thing that you can say.

And then there's various ways to avoid doing that, which we talked about briefly in terms of what we call a clean crew/dirty crew or potentially cleaning equipment on site and those types of things.

2.3

So we're still, you know, looking to the good doctor at SDSU to really -- as he is an expert in this area, to help us determine what is the best and presumably then most successful method of mitigation here.

But we do believe, and he seems to agree, that that mitigation plan is based on the results that we find, not something that we can necessarily sit down today. Although we could in general terms say, like I said, we'll prevent the spread from contaminated to noncontaminated fields.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Right. I think it's the general terms that we want to avoid. So it sounds to me like we're headed in the same direction, but when you get that that's what I think I'd like to have filed with us so everybody's got access to that.

MR. FORD: Absolutely. So that means that that plan would probably be filed in late 2015 is what I would guess at this point.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: I'm just going to turn -- Mr. Smith, does that sound reasonable?

```
1
              MR. SMITH: Yeah. And is this something that
2
     you want -- I mean, do you want a condition in the -- in
 3
     the order that --
 4
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: That would be my
 5
    presumption, yes.
 6
              MR. SMITH:
                          That makes some level of, I guess,
7
     added detail to what the current condition --
8
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Right. And what he's
     describing, I mean, that's what I think I'm looking for.
10
     I mean, we're going the right direction. It's just a
11
    matter of formalizing that and making sure that it's on
12
     file with us.
13
              MR. SMITH: Okay. Yes. I mean, I think I can
14
     figure out what that -- you know, assuming that you vote
15
     for it that I think I can write something up. Yes, it
16
     is, but my head isn't in front of it.
17
              Yeah.
                     I think I'll be able to do that based
18
    upon what Henry said and what the question was.
19
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. Thank you.
20
              Then the only other issue that I've got is the
21
     issue that's kind of bubbled up the last couple days by
22
     some of the folks who are affected but not Interveners.
2.3
              Mr. Chairman, how do you want to handle that?
24
    Are you going to take testimony from those folks?
25
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                I was -- I don't know that we
```

need to get into necessarily an evidentiary hearing right now because we can't really. But certainly they've traveled a long ways, and we should hear from them.

At the same time, the MDU and Otter Tail are asking us to bench this, and if there's any concerns at all, then we should have an additional hearing where evidence is presented to us.

MR. SMITH: Here we're talking, though -- I mean, we're talking people that aren't parties to the case.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Yes.

2.3

MR. SMITH: And so we're not talking about -- to participate in an evidentiary hearing you've got to be a party.

What you could do is hear comment. That's not evidence.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Right. And what I'm alluding to is Mr. Pesall's comments I'm going to ask him a question on this too. But we're not done with this by any means unless we accept the stipulations that have been requested of us.

So I think it's incumbent upon us to listen to those folks now and then decide whether or not the Interveners do have an opportunity to present that evidence and have an evidentiary hearing.

So there's still loose ends to the octopus at this point.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. I think I'd be fine as long as we can hear from them and then decide how to proceed forward.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Absolutely. We won't continue without hearing from everyone.

Yes, Mr. Welk.

2.3

2.4

MR. WELK: Yes. I have a procedural issue. And I certainly don't have any objections to have them be heard. What we were referring to, for the purposes of the record, is that there was a letter filed by Mr. Podoll dated August 3, 2004 [sic] and also a letter from Carol Rydberg, R-Y-D-B-E-R-G, dated 8-1-04.

And as Mr. Smith indicated, these are not Interveners. These were comments that were filed. I just want to make an objection that the comments not be included as part of the evidentiary record. That has been concluded.

They had an opportunity to intervene in this proceeding. The Commission provided multiple opportunities to do so and was very -- we didn't object to anybody who wanted to come in.

This submission is not under oath. It should not be considered evidence. But these individuals are

```
citizens. They've come here. They should have a right
2
    to talk. But I don't think it should be considered a
3
    part of the evidentiary record, and we would object to
4
    any consideration of their letters or comments being
5
    considered part of the evidentiary record in this
6
    proceeding.
7
             But no objection to them stating their concerns
8
    to the Commission.
             CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Welk.
```

2.3

Would you object if Mr. Pesall presented information of that nature from those folks and presented it -- excuse me.

MR. WELK: You mean Mr. Pesall would act as a lawyer in asking them questions? I don't understand.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: No. If he presented -- I'm perhaps looking too far forward in this, but Mr. Pesall might present some of that information in the questions that I ask of him.

And are you objecting to him presenting information of that nature? Or will you want to wait for that bridge?

MR. WELK: I'll wait to see what he offers.

I've made the general objection that that shouldn't be considered. The evidentiary record is closed. And these are not parties.

So I've made my objection on that, and it's up to the Chair to proceed. But, as I've said, the project doesn't have any objection to listening to the comments. But from a strictly legal standpoint the record is closed.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: And that's the standard operating procedure of this Commission is that only those persons who are Interveners are allowed to present the evidence; correct?

MR. SMITH: Well, yes.

2.3

And I think, you know, another side of that is, you know, an evidentiary hearing has certain procedural steps that need to be taken, such as we have to give notice that there's going to be an evidentiary hearing.

And people need to have discovery option and -- you know, I mean, we're just -- we're past that at this point. I mean --

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Nevertheless, we're being asked to consider a final passage of this item. And if Mr. Pesall presents information and it's -- it's incumbent upon us to hear that.

MR. SMITH: Well, if we're going to hear it in an evidentiary sense, then we've got to go through --

CHAIRMAN HANSON: We'd have to have an ad hoc and go through that process.

```
1
              MR. SMITH: We'd have to serve notice, and we'd
2
     have to have a hearing. We'd have to reopen the hearing
 3
     and begin again.
 4
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, not begin again, but
5
     yes --
 6
              MR. SMITH:
                          Right.
7
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.
8
              Does that answer your question, or does that
     confuse you?
10
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Here's the thing that --
     if I might, Mr. Chairman, you've referred to Mr. Pesall
11
12
     several times, and it's not Mr. Pesall's issue that I
13
     brought up. It's the Podoll issue that I brought up.
14
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Right.
                                        And Mr. Pesall is
15
     representing his father. However, he's indicated some
16
     things that I'm going to ask him a question on, and that
17
     could lead to the other.
18
              COMMISSIONER NELSON:
                                    Okay.
19
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: There's a Pesall and Podoll.
20
     Got you.
21
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, are you
22
     willing to hear comments?
2.3
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Absolutely.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Thank you.
25
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Are there any further
```

questions of Mr. Welk or Mr. Ford at this time?
Commissioner Fiegen.

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You know, I have several questions. I don't know if we're going to go to comments or if they're on the stand and that's who we're dealing with right now.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: You know, we can move these folks back and forth, back and forth, back and forth, to your delight. So whatever you're comfortable with at this point. You have them before you if you have questions for them.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Ford, are you ready?

It was reassuring to hear just now that you are continuing to work with the dairy. Because I certainly have concerns about that personally. I don't know if it's going to affect the dairy or not. I just don't know, and all of us don't know.

During the hearing they talked about -Mr. Schuring talked about the Basin line by Bath, and he
brought that up during the evidentiary hearing. You
know, hard for us to see that line and that it comes
through the farm yard and how close is it to the dairy.
And we don't know that as Commissioners.

If you continue to work with the Schuring farm, I probably won't offer a condition. If you choose not

to, I would look at a condition that looks at, you know, we have the ability to look at serious injury, and serious injury also deals with economic conditions and that if there is serious injury to the dairy farm within five years of the transmission line being in operation, that the dairy farm can come in front of the Commission again and present their facts to us.

Because I don't know if it's going to affect it or not. And the evidence -- we have had evidence that it can affect dairy, but we don't really know exactly the entire evidence. So that's one item that we can continue to talk about, or I can try to put a condition on our order.

Any comments?

2.3

2.4

MR. FORD: I think that -- we want to do what we can to get the permit approved, of course. And if you're saying that -- us committing to a particular route --

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You can't do that?

MR. FORD: Yeah. But we are looking at another option, and, you know, that's about all I can say right now is that that option is being entertained.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Right. And I understand that.

We're going to go to the stands next. You have a lot of your acres that are cropland and cultivated

```
1
     cropland. It looks like about 1,500 and -- I don't know.
2
     1,592 in the original map.
              So how do you decide what you're going to test?
 3
 4
    You talk about in your filing or your mitigation that
 5
    you're going to test the highly probable areas.
 6
    does that look like?
7
              MR. FORD: Are we talking testing for SCN?
8
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Yes. I'm sorry. Yes.
9
              MR. FORD:
                        Okay. No. We're testing all
10
    cultivated fields.
11
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Right. All bean fields.
12
    How about corn fields that have a rotation of only one
13
    year away from beans?
14
              MR. FORD: Yes. They will be tested.
15
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And so what did we hear in
16
     the evidentiary? Is it three years of rotation?
                                                       So how
17
    many years of -- so what if it's corn two years in a row?
18
    You'll be testing that cropland?
              MR. FORD: Yes.
19
20
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay.
21
              MR. FORD:
                        We're not going to take that into
22
     account as part of the testing. Although, you know, like
2.3
     I said, we're working with Dr. Emmanuel. If he has some
24
    different recommendation, I guess I don't know about that
25
    at this time.
```

1 But our intention was to test all cultivated 2 fields because if they've ever had soybeans on them, they 3 have the risk of having the nematode present in the soil. 4 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Sure. 5 MR. FORD: So that was our intention was to test 6 all cultivated fields. 7 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you, Mr. Ford. 8 Commissioner Nelson went down a path of giving us your plan in the future after you have it more 10 detailed. Also would it be probable that the Commission 11 at any time could audit your results under 12 confidential -- I'm sure it's very confidential because 13 usually scans is confidential information. 14 But could the Commission at any time audit the 15 results of your testing, or should we just ask in the 16 condition that Commissioner Nelson's working on that you 17 submit those results under a confidential treatment to 18 the Commission, your testing of scans? I would think we could do either. 19 MR. FORD: 20 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Thank you. 21 MR. FORD: Whatever your preference would be. 22 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And my other questions --2.3

I guess I mostly will have comments on the letter that we just received, Mr. Chairman, so I will wait until those people come and give comments, and then I will give them

24

```
1
     a comment back.
2
              MR. SMITH: Okay.
 3
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And, Mr. Morehouse, one
 4
     question of you. Is the line now 1,200 feet away from
    your feedlot?
 6
              MR. MOREHOUSE: Yes. That's what -- that's what
7
     they proposed.
8
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Okay. Thank you.
                   Sorry. I do have one more question --
10
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Please, go ahead.
11
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: -- for Mr. Ford.
              In your original Application you had 27
12
13
     dwellings or homes. I think 21 were occupied. Six were
14
             Is that still the same in your kind of revised
    vacant.
15
    route?
16
              And I know you're still working at it, but is it
17
    about the same homes, number of homes or dwellings?
              MR. FORD: I don't think I have the answer to
18
19
     that right off the top of my head.
20
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And I know everything you
21
     looked at you continued to say, oh, that affected too
22
    many homes and dwellings; we're not going to do that.
2.3
              MR. FORD: Right.
24
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. That's it for now.
25
     I might have a few more questions in a little bit,
```

Mr. Chairman.

2.3

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. One of my major concerns is the construction process. It's my experience that that is what creates the biggest challenges to the landowner, during the -- with the pipelines that we've had put through here, that seems to be the biggest contention. And certainly a transmission line for electricity is a lot different than burying a pipeline every inch of the way through the property.

I'm curious. From your perspective, Mr. Ford, are you familiar with past transmission lines, distribution systems that you've participated in, have you changed best practices over the years? I assume you have.

And are you very confident -- very confident that from a compaction standpoint and from other challenges with construction that you have the challenges that are created taken care of ahead of time?

MR. FORD: On this particular project a lot of what procedures or processes that are going to be applied have been modeled after the CapX2020 projects.

Being that Otter Tail was heavily involved in the CapX projects, we've been looking hard at their procedures and everything from those projects as to how best to do things.

I've also met with some other individuals who were involved in some of the CapX projects to kind of find out things that worked and didn't work. At least in an effort to try to make sure that the procedures that we're going to incorporate or apply on this project are, you know, successful, that they are not maybe antiquated or obsolete processes compared to what people have learned today.

2.3

2.4

So, you know, there is every effort made through the process of construction to minimize to the extent possible any damages to the landowners' property.

Obviously, though, when you have that number of crews travel up and down the right of way to do the actual, you know, structure erection, wiring stringing, foundation pourers, you know, you're going to have compaction.

And that's why we have in our damage mitigation process the plan to decompact or, you know, scarify or turn over those areas and try to restore that compaction at least to the extent that we can close to the original level.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: So you're confident that in your experience that the compaction problem will be taken care of?

MR. FORD: I am, yes.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: I'd like to ask Staff a

question.

2.3

Mr. Rounds, the -- I believe it was Mr. Henry stated that as a part of the condition of the permit that -- regarding fuel conditions. This was at one of the public meetings. That a construction manager for the company would be present, will be certain that the land will not be worked when the property is not fit to be worked.

And I'm wondering from our past experiences and your experience with other transmission systems we've worked with, are you confident that's laid out properly in this Stipulation?

MR. ROUNDS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Brian Rounds for Staff.

I don't have any past experience with transmission lines where we've had a problem with that. I think what we had put in the Stipulation is pulled straight from past stipulations on the same issue where we haven't had a problem.

I think that is, you know, as you had stated before, a bigger problem when you're doing something like a pipeline. And so I can tell you in that case we used -- and I wasn't involved in that Docket, but I believe we used some sort of a third-party liaison that could kind of be a go-between, between the company and us

for those types of issues.

When the company was out working fields if there was a question of whether or not it was a good day to be in the field or not, they could call that person, and if it was a legitimate concern, that could be brought to the Commission.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

And, Ms. Cremer, I'd ask you a similar question. Although you don't run out boots on the ground and look at it, it's more of the semantics how it's written that I'm curious about from you if you see any challenges there.

MS. CREMER: I do not. In all the years that we have done these if there is a complaint, it has probably come to Ms. Gregg, and it has been resolved very quickly. But I just -- we get so very few complaints on transmission lines.

I'm thinking of those large ones that were built down by Brandon Valley. That was Xcel. I just don't recall, that 345, there being an issue with that whatsoever.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. Okay. Thank you.

I have a comment, but I think I'll wait until Mr. Pesall is up.

Are there any other questions of these folks?

If not, I think we'll turn to the folks who would like to make a comment who are not Interveners, and then we will turn to final questions of those who are Interveners.

2.3

2.4

So is there anyone in the audience who wishes to make comment at this time to the Commission?

Please come forward, and state your name for the record. And we appreciate your time and the fact that you traveled here. It's good to see you again.

MR. PODOLL: Good to see you too, Mr. Chairman.

I don't -- probably don't have any comments further. We didn't know we would be allowed to comment so we didn't bring our files.

As far as the evidentiary hearing, I -- I'm not a real computer buff. I don't know if anyone had sent notices. I didn't see notices of when that was. When we did find out, it was after the deadline date so it's probably our fault --

MR. SMITH: Sir, can you introduce yourself?

MR. PODOLL: I'm sorry. I'm Lyle Podoll,

Westport, South Dakota.

And I have no other comments than what was in the letters, kind of the way things have been handled, and that was our concern. We were told by two staffers of KLJ that they weren't even considering the third route

proposal that we had lined up. And it's explained in the letter that it is.

2.3

The manager of that company said it was still well into consideration so we didn't know who to believe. So that was our concerns.

I do find it interesting that they -- I know the evidentiary hearing has passed, but apparently we have some merit in what we were saying because they don't want it to be part of the record.

So with that, that's all I have to comment on.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

MR. PODOLL: And thank you for your time.

MR. BUNTROCK: Mr. Chairman, Lloyd Buntrock.

And I think that's been the biggest discretion on this is when we contact those that are out in the field contacting us and stuff with these different details, they tell us one thing, and then we're told different when we come to a meeting. So it's a little confusing.

And then they're talking about like your distance from the dairy and all of that, but to me even from the household the line that's going to run like by my place is going to be probably about a quarter of a mile. And so to me that would be -- you know, if you're talking moving the lines for a feedlot or something like

that, personally I guess a human being I would think more of that than something like that.

2.3

But and then another question that I've never really been answered, what benefit is really South Dakota going to get from this if this is approved? I mean, what -- what are we -- not any of us in South Dakota are going to get any electricity from this, and yet we're the ones that's going to have to put up with going around the poles and farming through it and so on and so forth.

And so what benefit is South Dakota going to get? I guess as Commissioners my thought is, you know, if you're for South Dakota, we had a South Dakota based utility company that was willing to supply. You know where the electricity is going, that company, and yet they didn't want it from them.

And that don't make sense to me. Why not back up our own utility company when Big Stone was willing to go ahead and send electricity to Minnesota but they didn't want to accept it because it was made out of coal.

And that's a fact. They were ready to dig the shovel in the ground. And yet now then, you know, we go and obstruct all of this view in South Dakota, and really we're not benefiting from it at all.

Now there's probably a tax layout or something I

assume the State would get from the poles and so on and so forth. But some of these are the things that are a little discouraging to everybody that we talk to is that we're told one thing and then another thing and then another thing, and we don't know where we're at. They're willing to move the line, but yet they're not willing to move the line when we contact them.

2.3

So I guess just based on all of this, it's just kind of -- it's been kind of a confusing project, and I can't really see and I've never got a direct answer what is South Dakota going to benefit from it, the people in South Dakota?

And as a South Dakota Utility Commission I guess if I was on it, I would have a hard time saying yes because I can't see where anybody in South Dakota is going to benefit from it. And they've admitted that.

We're not going to get one little kilowatt of electricity from it.

So that's just my comments. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, sir.

MR. PODOLL: Can I ask one question?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Please, go ahead.

MR. PODOLL: At the meeting I don't know if it was the one at Northern or the other one. It was mentioned that these companies were supposed to contact

the households that may not have property on the line
but may be within a half-mile. Was that correct that
they should give them consideration or at least contact
them?

Was that -- yes or no? I don't remember 100 percent for sure.

2.3

MR. SMITH: Yes. And there are two -- there are exhibits in the record that list --

MR. PODOLL: Because there's two households there that they were going to be extremely close that have not received any contact whatsoever, that they're going to actually be the closest to the original proposal.

No one has contacted them that this could be right out their front door. Not on their property, but out their front door.

So that was, I mean, just another thing like Lloyd said. There's just so many things. What's disturbing is that the representatives in the field and it's in the letter about this slander thing. And that still may become an issue because that guy's furious.

But they're representing their own company.

They're representing two powerful electric companies.

And is that going to be a reflection on the PUC if that's allowed to be standard procedure to go out and deceive

1 people intentionally. Because even these companies got 2 to be in on the planning on what's happening out in the field. 3 4

So thank you.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

And we'd need to have special information on addresses and things like that if people were not contacted because the parties -- the Applicant has records of where they've sent information. And if they -- it's really up to people too to contact them if they feel they need information. So appreciate you doing that.

MR. SMITH: Yeah. And it's important to note too that it isn't people who live within -- it's the owners of property as reflected by the -- yeah. person who's -- who's authorized to receive the tax notice from the Director of Equalization. That's the list of people who gets the serve. Not necessarily --

People can reside within a half a mile and won't get a notice because they're not the record owner of the property. There's nothing in our statutes or anything that requires that, no, there isn't.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Yes, sir.

MR. DENNERT: Bud Dennert, Westport,

25 South Dakota. And really wasn't planning on talking today, but somebody needs to say something. The line is scheduled to go within 60 rods of my buildings.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Is it on your property, sir?

MR. DENNERT:

2.3

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Is it on your property?

What was that?

MR. DENNERT: It is on my property. And then the line turns and goes east, and it goes by two -- two houses that are probably within 20 rods of the line.

Lyle's house is probably 60 rods, maybe a little bit more, from the line. His other son's house is probably in that 40 to 60 rods. Then it goes on east from there, no buildings, for two and a half miles, turns south, and goes right in front of Lloyd's buildings.

Now we figured up a route that they could use. Everybody was agreeable except two quarters of land. Now you've got the Schonsenbachs [phonetic], myself, Lyle, the fellow that raises dogs -- I don't know him -- Lyle's family. All of them are against it.

Would it not be better to fight two people than to fight the whole herd of us?

You know, we've got kind of a love for that land that these shiny-shoed fellows that run these big companies, they don't understand. They don't realize that the one farm I have my grandfather homesteaded. He

put his life into that. My dad put his life into it.
And I put quite a bit of mine into it.

2.3

And to come out and just dig a hole 50 foot deep and start running cement in there and -- we're giving you a blotch on our land title. That blotch is going to be there forever. There's no way that we can get that off of there or anything else, but it's going to stay there as long as what there -- as what there's life in this country, I guess.

And, you know, those are things I think maybe you should be thinking about. You're thinking about some high fancy things that I'm not understanding what you're talking about, but I do understand my walking on that land, my owning it, my paying taxes on it, and my stewardship of taking care of it, that the land is fertilized properly, that it's sprayed properly, and we don't try to overcrop it. Those are things that I'm concerned about.

And I think maybe we should be starting to look at those considerations, look at the shortage we've got of beef. A little area out in western South Dakota loses its cow herd. Look what it's done to the price of beef. What would one crop failure do to the price of corn, the availability of corn? And, you know, maybe we better look at some of those things and take care of them,

```
1
     rather than somebody coming in and saying, hey, we're
    going to build a line across your land; we're going to
2
 3
     give you $140,000.
 4
              Well, we've been fighting income tax for the
 5
     last few years. You hand me $140,000, probably 70,000 of
6
    that will go back to the Internal Revenue. Now that
7
     don't make much sense, does it? But I've got the blotch
8
    on my land.
              I guess there's other things I'd like to talk
10
     about, but you guys want to go home too so -- okay.
11
     Thank you very much. I appreciate it.
12
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, sir. Appreciate
13
     it, Mr. Dennert. And we're not trying to fight anybody.
14
    When you said it's better to fight two of them than all
15
     of --
16
              MR. DENNERT: I wanted to make -- are you having
17
    kind of a brown out? It seems like your P.A. system, you
18
    aren't getting much volume out of it. I'm having a heck
    of a time here.
19
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, I guess that makes two
20
21
    of us then.
                  Thank you. I thought it was me.
22
              Thank you.
23
              Mr. Pedall -- Pesall. Excuse me. Did I say
24
    Pedall all of these times? I'll call you Bob then.
```

25

is that?

MR. SMITH: Is your mic. on there, Bob?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: She tricked you.

2.3

You had said in your remarks that there were some statements that were not refuted by -- regarding farms and development and such that were not refuted and that they are still, in essence, bones of contention that need to be resolved in order for us to arrive at a conclusion.

I'm wondering if you could -- in reading all
material I'm not aware of what those might be.

MR. PESALL: The point I was trying to make with respect to that is we had three farmers come in and testify to the Commission that they believed, using various language, using this line if it was permitted to be built, would unduly interfere with their ability and would cause them severe economic harm.

There were no farmers or anybody else really qualified to talk about how farming works that came in and testified on behalf of the Applicants. So when I say there was unrefuted testimony that's what I'm referring to.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. Thank you. Are there other questions by the Commissioners of Mr. Pesall?

MR. PESALL: I guess since I have the mic. if I can offer just a couple of comments.

First of all, I have some legal concern with the prospect of authorizing the Applicants to come up with a plan after the permit is granted. I don't know if that's appropriate delegation.

2.3

So as much as we're on the record, I would raise that objection. I don't think that's the right way to go about it. I think the right way to go about it is to use the Commission's authority to deny on specific grounds and then allow them to reapply.

Beyond that, the Commission is looking at what has been done in the past. I try to avoid ever coming out to Pierre without invoking the founding fathers at least once. Thomas Paine was famed for having said long history if not thinking a thing wrong gives it the superficial appearance of being right.

I would encourage the Commission to stick with the evidence that we've got this time around and, of course, ask that you deny the permit.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

Any further questions by the Commission?

Hearing none, there will be time for comment.

Is there a Motion at this time?

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Do you mind if I just make one quick comment?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: First of all, I want to thank the three people that drove up to Pierre today to give us comments. It's always good to hear them.

2.3

When I was a legislator that would have worked perfectly and we could have listened to you and we could have taken your comments and we could have taken the letter that you sent us.

But when I became a Public Utilities

Commissioner I remember my boss at the time was

Judith Meierhenry because I ran a nonprofit. And she was

my boss, and she was a Supreme Court Justice. And she

said to me, Kristie, you are now a quasi-judicial, and

now your steps are more judicial than legislative.

And so although you sent me this letter and I appreciate your comments, I so wish you would have became parties in the case and that you could have been at the hearing.

We try at the Commission to be so open. Our goal is to make sure that the public is absolutely informed and involved. I mean, agriculture is very important to me.

I sent a picture to one of our Commissioners on Sunday night that I was throwing 100-pound alfalfa bales and I haven't done that for quite a few years but my brother needed those picked up before it rained so I went

and helped him. And I just love agriculture; it's just down in my heart.

2.3

2.4

But, unfortunately, we cannot take the evidence that you gave us today into our consideration of our decision because it's legally wrong. I legally can't do it. Not because there's not merit to what you're saying because there may be.

I think all three Commissioners had great concern when we got your letter because of conflicting information. And all the sudden all three of us -- and we don't get to talk so I don't know, but I know them personally that they want to do what's best for the public.

And we're like, oh, my goodness. We just got this. We can't really do a lot about it because it's not in the evidentiary hearing. And there's conflicting information and it's late and I so wish you would have became parties in the case.

So I just want to thank you for coming up.

We're all in a learning process. If this was a

legislature, we certainly could have taken your comments.

But today it's not. This is a judicial type of process.

So thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Please, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen, you

did an outstanding job of really summarizing exactly what I've been wrestling with since we got this information, and everything you said is exactly right. And I appreciate your saying that.

2.3

2.4

If this permit moves forward today, there's going to be probably one issue that I'm not going to feel good about, and this is it. Because I don't believe that we've been able to grill to the bottom of the truth.

And I will say for your all's benefit, when we had the evidentiary hearing I asked -- and I believe it was Mr. Ford that I asked the specific question in your area are there landowners that oppose the alternate route, and the answer was yes.

And now we get this information this week that says, well, that might not be the case. But on the record we've got that statement. And that's what I've got to work with.

And as Commissioner Fiegen said, unfortunately, you know, if you folks were parties to this, we could have played a little bit differently and maybe gotten to exactly what the truth is. But at this point I've got to deal with what I've got on the record. And that's what I've got.

(Inaudible)

CHAIRMAN HANSON: No. Thank you.

1 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, I don't know 2 how to proceed here. Or Mr. Smith. Like the Stipulation 3 and how can we present conditions that other 4 Commissioners can deny or vote for? 5 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Perhaps I can help a little 6 bit with that. 7 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN HANSON: On my comments. 9 I think I'm about 80, 90 percent, somewhere in 10 that vicinity in a position of voting in favor of this. 11 However, that 80, 90 percent -- that 10 to 20 percent causes me to reflect on this and want to review it, 12 13 review the -- now that I've actually been able to hear 14 your thoughts from the two of you. 15 And I think that would give us some time for you 16 to prepare -- or for me to prepare, for Commissioner 17 Nelson to prepare any concerns that they have for 18 amendments to conditions or anything of that nature. 19 After all, this is a proposal to us as to 20 whether or not we should accept it. And I'm just not 21 100 percent there. Although, when I heard Commissioner 22 Nelson's discussion on the SCN, again, we may have an 2.3 opportunity not to agree on some things. 24 And I'll make some comments on that along with

the comments that I'm going to make now. Mr. Pesall

25

stated -- I believe that Mr. Pesall stated that he learned more about soybeans than he ever thought he would.

2.3

I've been learning more about soybeans than I ever wanted to. I helped my grandfather plant soybeans for a long time and never thought that I'd be involved with cysts and nematodes and things of that nature.

But when I look at the SCN -- and I don't mean to downplay the importance of the process of construction to make certain that contamination is held to as minimal as possible, but when we heard the presentation by the professor, Mr. Tylka, on the soybean cyst nematode and a life cycle being four weeks, a pregnant female having 2 to 300 eggs the size of a period, that anything, in his words, can transport SCN, even the wind can transport it, deer and badger and other rodents, rabbits, hunters, neighbor helping in a harvest, birds, it's just -- it's just amazing how this hasn't -- well, it has.

I remember reading about it years ago, not that long ago, where it was just in the southeastern part of the United States. And it has spread rapidly. I just don't see how -- how it's -- you know, we've got to do everything we can responsibly to make sure that it's not -- the contamination is not spread unnecessarily, but it seems that this is something that's going to happen

everywhere. And I appreciate the challenges of making certain that we keep it down to a minimum.

2.3

2.4

But I still -- my concerns are strongly going to be piercing -- looking at the construction process itself which is part of the SCN. And I'm just not 100 percent there ready to support this. It's not to say that in two weeks I won't be, but I just need to have a little more time to look at that.

Perhaps that's the time you need to look at the concerns you have. So I'm interested in your thoughts.

commissioner Nelson: I think I've pretty well resolved everything in my mind and could probably vote through most or all of this today. Although it probably would be prudent to work with Mr. Smith to word the condition properly, especially if you've maybe got some concerns so we know exactly what I'm asking for and what I'm asking you all to vote for.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Correct.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: But two weeks. I don't know if we've got two weeks, Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: I mean, the day the Order by law has to be out by is the 22nd.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right.

MR. SMITH: So if you want to defer taking

```
1
     action until a later date, we need to look for a date.
                                                              Ι
2
    would appreciate it if we can get a date as soon as
 3
    possible, like a week, to give me at least two weeks
     after that then to fashion an order.
 4
              What's that?
 5
 6
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: That means in two days --
7
              MR. SMITH: I mean, like next week. If there's
8
    a date available next week.
9
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: If you're saying the 22nd and
10
    two weeks --
11
              MR. SMITH:
                          I've got to have it out by the --
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Today's the 6th. And the
12
13
     22nd. That's two days from now that we would have to
14
    have --
15
              MR. SMITH: Well, I don't absolutely need a full
16
    two weeks, but it would be nice to have at least one full
17
    working week. I'll put it that way. Because it's some
18
    work to write up one of these orders.
19
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Absolutely.
20
              MR. SMITH: Although, I don't think this will be
21
    on the Keystone level, but it takes a little while.
22
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: I don't need that long of a
2.3
    period of time to go through what I have.
24
              But I'm interested in hearing what the other
25
     Commissioners have to say. If you're ready, you're
```

1 ready. COMMISSIONER NELSON: I would be, but I'll 2 certainly defer. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. 5 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And, Mr. Chairman, you 6 know that you have always been gracious to all of us when 7 we need more time to study. And so I would certainly be 8 willing to do that. I am certainly willing to vote today. 10 conditions that I'm proposing aren't perfectly written, 11 but I know our General Counsel could help me with those. 12 But I would be very happy to defer and wait until you're 13 ready because you have always been gracious to us. 14 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, it makes sense if you 15 have any conditions you wish to propose at this time, 16 that they should at least be laid out on the table and 17 discussed at this juncture. 18 MR. SMITH: Or, you know, if you're going to 19 defer taking action, if you want, I can -- you know, that 20 will -- I can work on helping you draft language so 21 that -- for whatever it is you want to do. 22 The one condition will be COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: 2.3 the dairy farm on the serious injury for economic

conditions. So that will be one of my conditions that I

kind of have written down. But sure you can help me

24

25

wordsmith that.

2.3

And then the scans on being able to audit the findings or present the findings to the Commission confidentially and attach that or make an amendment to Commissioner Nelson's final plan submitted to the Commission.

MR. SMITH: Okay.

Well, maybe if you want to defer taking action today, what -- maybe you should discuss what days are available and when we could get back together again.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Yeah. I don't have a calendar that tells me that.

MR. SMITH: Well, then maybe we can't do that right now then. Okay.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: We'll have Staff do that with the idea that they put it together for within the next -- well, as soon as possible. This week even, if possible.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Also could we let the parties and the Interveners know that it is certainly okay to participate by phone. Because I would guess many of the Commissioners have other scheduled events or conflicts so we will probably be participating by phone.

So just so you know, when we come back,

```
1
     everybody doesn't have to fly back or drive back or
2
    whatever. That's my opinion as a single Commissioner,
 3
     just so you know.
 4
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Absolutely. Absolutely.
                                                           Good
5
    point.
 6
              Anything further on this -- on this item on the
7
    agenda at this time?
8
              Seeing none --
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So, Mr. Chairman, do we go
10
     into recess, or do we post another date for an ad hoc?
11
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: We'd have to post a date for
12
    an ad hoc.
13
              MR. SMITH: Yeah. And we'll have to notice
14
    that.
15
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay.
16
              MR. SMITH: So once we can take a look at the
    calendar and determine what's open, we'll have to
17
     schedule something and then we'll have to, you know, give
18
19
    notice to everyone who's a party to the case.
20
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. And thank you
21
    to all the people who attended the hearing today.
22
    certainly appreciate your attendance.
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. With the understanding
2.3
24
    we're setting up an ad hoc on this, is there any further
25
    discussion on this item?
```

1			Ιf	not,	we	will	turn	to	announcements.
2									
3									
4									
5									
6									
7									
8									
9									
10									
11									
12									
13									
14									
15									
16									
17									
18									
19									
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25									
	1								

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I transcribed the audio recording of
-0	the foregoing proceedings.
L1	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 14th day
L2	of September, 2014.
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L 7	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
L 8	Notary Public and Registered Professional Reporter
L 9	Certified Realtime Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

\$	6	14:14	40:8	В
•		addresses [1] - 40:7	Applicant's [1] - 14:10	
4440.000 40.0		administrative [2] -	Applicants [3] - 1:19,	50.40
\$140,000 [2] - 43:3,	6 [1] - 1:9	4:2, 4:6	44:19, 45:2	badger [1] - 50:16
43:5	60 [3] - 41:3, 41:10,	admission [1] - 5:5	Application [4] - 3:2,	balance [1] - 14:13
	41:12	admitted [1] - 38:16	11:5, 12:2, 30:12	bales [1] - 46:23
1	6th [2] - 2:4, 52:12	adopt [1] - 14:5	APPLICATION [1] -	based [5] - 18:15,
		advance [1] - 18:9	1:4	19:11, 20:17, 37:12,
	7	affect [4] - 18:20,	applied [2] - 10:8,	38:8
1,200 [1] - 30:4	-	26:16, 27:8, 27:10	31:20	Basin [1] - 26:19
1,500 [1] - 28:1		, ,	apply [1] - 32:5	basis [1] - 3:11
1,592 [1] - 28:2	70,000 [1] - 43:5	affected [2] - 20:22,		Bath [1] - 26:19
10 [1] - 49:11		30:21	appointed [1] - 57:8	
100 [3] - 39:6, 49:21,	8	afternoon [1] - 3:16	appreciate [9] - 35:8,	bean [1] - 28:11
51:5		agenda [3] - 7:20, 8:6,	40:11, 43:11, 43:12,	beans [1] - 28:13
100-pound [1] - 46:23		55:7	46:15, 48:4, 51:1,	became [3] - 46:8,
14th [1] - 57:11	8-1-04 [1] - 22:14	ago [2] - 50:19, 50:20	52:2, 55:22	46:15, 47:18
• •	80 [2] - 49:9, 49:11	agree [2] - 19:10,	appropriate [4] - 6:25,	become [1] - 39:21
15 [1] - 4:11	,	49:23	14:12, 15:22, 45:4	beef [2] - 42:21, 42:22
	9	agreeable [1] - 41:16	approval [6] - 3:10,	BEFORE [1] - 1:11
2	9	agreeing [1] - 18:13	4:23, 5:22, 6:22, 9:9,	begin [3] - 3:16, 25:3,
		agreement [1] - 9:14	14:4	25:4
2 co = 50:14	90 [2] - 49:9, 49:11	•	approve [2] - 3:10, 7:3	behalf [2] - 10:13,
2 [1] - 50:14	,	agriculture [2] -		44:19
20 [2] - 41:9, 49:11	Α	46:20, 47:1	approved [2] - 27:16,	behind [1] - 10:16
2004 [1] - 22:13		ahead [8] - 5:19, 6:1,	37:5	
2014 [4] - 1:9, 2:4,		8:20, 30:10, 31:18,	area [8] - 10:19, 10:22,	believes [1] - 14:12
4:11, 57:12	ability [3] - 16:15,	37:18, 38:22, 47:24	11:20, 11:24, 15:8,	bench [1] - 21:5
2015 [2] - 18:9, 19:22	27:2, 44:15	alfalfa [1] - 46:23	19:7, 42:21, 48:12	benefit [5] - 37:4,
21 [1] - 30:13	able [5] - 17:2, 20:17,	all's [1] - 48:9	areas [2] - 28:5, 32:18	37:10, 38:11, 38:16,
22nd [4] - 13:16,	48:8, 49:13, 54:2	allow [1] - 45:9	argument [2] - 10:12,	48:9
51:23, 52:9, 52:13	above-entitled [1] -	allowed [5] - 6:5, 7:8,	16:8	benefiting [1] - 37:24
26 [5] - 4:2, 4:4, 4:11,	2:2	24:8, 35:12, 39:25	arrive [1] - 44:7	best [5] - 16:25, 19:7,
4:18, 5:6		alluding [1] - 21:17	assume [2] - 31:13,	31:13, 31:25, 47:12
•	absolutely [10] -	alternate [1] - 48:12	38:1	better [4] - 3:23,
26A [5] - 4:2, 4:4, 4:12,	15:21, 19:21, 22:6,		assuming [2] - 6:12,	41:20, 42:24, 43:14
4:18, 5:6	25:23, 45:25, 46:19,	amazing [1] - 50:18	20:14	between [4] - 9:15,
27 [1] - 30:12	52:15, 52:19, 55:4	amended [1] - 9:13		11:10, 33:25
	accept [5] - 8:14,	Amended [6] - 3:11,	assurances [1] - 16:5	,
3	16:18, 21:20, 37:19,	4:19, 4:25, 7:4, 14:4,	attach [1] - 54:4	beyond [2] - 11:15,
	49:20	14:5	attached [1] - 14:5	45:10
• 00.40	accepted [2] - 5:12,	amendment [1] - 54:4	attendance [1] - 55:22	big [1] - 41:23
3 [1] - 22:13	9:5	amendments [1] -	attended [1] - 55:21	Big [2] - 3:4, 37:17
30 [1] - 11:7	access [1] - 19:20	49:18	attorney [1] - 10:5	BIG [1] - 1:5
300 [1] - 50:14	account [1] - 28:22	analysis [1] - 18:13	audience [1] - 35:5	bigger [1] - 33:21
301A [6] - 4:3, 4:19,		analysts [1] - 14:15	audio [1] - 57:9	biggest [3] - 31:4,
5:4, 5:6, 7:3, 7:7	accurate [1] - 18:4	AND [1] - 1:5	audit [3] - 29:11,	31:6, 36:14
345 [3] - 1:6, 3:4,	acres [1] - 27:25		29:14, 54:2	birds [1] - 50:17
34:20	act [1] - 23:13	announcements [1] -		bit [6] - 6:3, 30:25,
	action [4] - 9:1, 52:1,	56:1	August [4] - 1:9, 2:4,	
4	53:19, 54:8	answer [4] - 25:8,	13:17, 22:13	41:11, 42:2, 48:20,
<u> </u>	actions [2] - 4:18,	30:18, 38:10, 48:13	authority [1] - 45:8	49:6
	8:24	answered [1] - 37:4	authorized [1] - 40:16	blotch [3] - 42:5, 43:7
40 [1] - 41:12	actual [2] - 18:7, 32:13	anticipate [2] - 8:8,	authorizing [1] - 45:2	Bob [4] - 1:19, 10:5,
	ad [4] - 24:24, 55:10,	10:12	availability [1] - 42:24	43:24, 44:1
E	55:12, 55:24	anticipated [1] - 11:1	available [3] - 14:16,	bones [1] - 44:6
5		antiquated [1] - 32:6	52:8, 54:10	boots [1] - 34:9
	Adam [1] - 17:10	•	Avenue [1] - 2:3	boss [2] - 46:9, 46:11
50 [1] - 42:3	add [1] - 17:6	appear [1] - 16:4	avoid [3] - 19:1, 19:17,	bottom [1] - 48:8
	added [1] - 20:7	appearance [1] -		bounds [1] - 8:17
500 [2] - 2:3, 12:21	addition [1] - 10:24	45:15	45:11	
	additional [3] - 9:15,	APPEARANCES [1] -	aware [1] - 44:10	Brad [3] - 4:5, 5:17,
	15:9, 21:6	1:18		12:7
			i contract of the contract of	1 Handley (1) 1.00
	address [2] - 12:5,	Applicant [2] - 14:2,		Bradley [1] - 1:20 Brandon [1] - 34:19

2

Brian [2] - 1:16, 33:14 bridge [1] - 23:21 brief [1] - 15:25 briefly [1] - 19:2 bring [2] - 18:23, 35:13 brother [1] - 46:25 brought [4] - 25:13, 26:20, 34:5 brown [1] - 43:17 bubbled [1] - 20:21 Bud [1] - 40:24 **buff** [1] - 35:15 **build** [1] - 43:2 Building [1] - 2:3 buildings [3] - 41:3, 41:13, 41:14 built [3] - 11:3, 34:18, 44:15 BUNTROCK [1] -36:13 Buntrock [1] - 36:13 burdened [1] - 10:11 burying [1] - 31:8 Byamukama [1] -17:19

C

calendar [2] - 54:11, 55:17 cannot [1] - 47:3 Capitol [2] - 2:2, 2:3 CapX [2] - 31:23, 32:2 CapX2020 [1] - 31:21 cards [1] - 15:19 care [4] - 31:18, 32:23, 42:15, 42:25 Carol [1] - 22:14 case [6] - 21:10, 33:22, 46:16, 47:18, 48:15, 55:19 cattle [1] - 12:25 causes [1] - 49:12 cement [1] - 42:4 certain [5] - 6:15, 24:12, 33:6, 50:10, 51.2 certainly [12] - 16:20, 18:22, 21:2, 22:10, 26:14, 31:7, 47:21, 53:3, 53:7, 53:9, 54:21, 55:22 CERTIFICATE [1] -57:2 Certified [2] - 57:6, 57:19 **CERTIFY** [1] - 57:8 chair [2] - 8:11, 24:2

CHAIRMAN [85] -1:12, 1:12, 3:1, 3:20, 5:7, 5:11, 5:15, 5:19, 5:25, 6:2, 6:11, 6:19, 7:6, 7:13, 7:15, 7:22, 8:5, 8:7, 8:25, 9:2, 9:11, 9:22, 9:25, 12:3, 12:8, 12:11, 13:5, 13:18, 13:22, 14:18, 15:21, 20:25, 21:11, 21:17, 22:6, 23:9, 23:15, 24:6, 24:18, 24:24, 25:4, 25:7, 25:14, 25:19, 25:23, 25:25, 26:7, 30:10, 31:2, 32:21, 32:25, 34:7, 34:22, 36:11, 38:20, 38:22, 40:5, 40:23, 41:4, 41:6, 43:12, 43:20, 44:2, 44:22, 45:19, 45:25, 47:24, 48:25, 49:5, 49:8, 51:19, 51:24, 52:6, 52:9, 52:12, 52:19, 52:22, 53:4, 53:14, 54:11, 54:15, 54:19, 55:4, 55:11, 55:23 8:17, 12:6, 15:18, 29:24, 31:1, 33:13,

Chairman [16] - 7:24, 8:17, 12:6, 15:18, 20:23, 25:11, 25:21, 29:24, 31:1, 33:13, 35:10, 36:13, 49:1, 53:5, 54:18, 55:9 challenges [5] - 31:4, 31:17, 34:11, 51:1 change [1] - 4:5 changed [1] - 31:13 changes [3] - 4:7, 4:8, 5:3 CHERI [1] - 57:5 Cheri [2] - 1:24, 57:17

CHRIS [1] - 1:12 citizens [2] - 11:17, 23:1 clarify [1] - 15:4 clean [1] - 19:3 cleaning [2] - 11:9, 19:3 close [5] - 12:20, 12:23, 26:22, 32:19,

choose [1] - 26:25

39:10 closed [3] - 7:2, 23:24, 24:5 closest [1] - 39:12 CO [1] - 1:4 coal [1] - 37:20

college's [1] - 18:6 combined [1] - 11:19 comfortable [1] - 26:9 coming [3] - 43:1, 45:11, 47:19 comment [10] - 14:25, 21:15, 30:1, 34:23, 35:2, 35:6, 35:12, 36:10, 45:21, 45:24 comments [24] -13:11, 13:12, 14:2, 21:18, 22:16, 22:17, 23:4, 24:3, 25:22, 26:4, 27:14, 29:23, 29:25, 35:11, 35:22, 38:19, 44:25, 46:3, 46:6, 46:15, 47:21, 49:8, 49:24, 49:25 Commission [37] -3:6, 3:7, 3:9, 3:13, 4:1, 6:24, 7:3, 7:25, 8:9, 8:20, 9:17, 9:20, 10:8, 10:11, 10:13, 11:16, 12:1, 14:3, 16:14, 16:15, 22:21, 23:8, 24:7, 27:6, 29:10, 29:14, 29:18, 34:6, 35:6, 38:13, 44:13, 45:10, 45:16, 45:20, 46:18, 54:3, 54:6

COMMISSION [3] -1:1, 1:11, 1:14 Commission's [3] -4:24, 14:7, 45:8 **COMMISSIONER** [49] - 1:13, 14:24, 15:13, 15:17, 15:23, 16:20, 16:23, 19:16, 19:24, 20:4, 20:8, 20:19, 22:3, 25:10, 25:18, 25:21, 25:24, 26:3, 26:12, 27:18, 27:22, 28:8, 28:11, 28:15, 28:20, 29:4, 29:7, 29:20, 29:22, 30:3, 30:8, 30:11, 30:20, 30:24, 45:23, 46:1, 47:25, 49:1, 49:7, 51:12, 51:20, 53:2, 53:5, 53:22, 54:18, 54:20, 55:9, 55:15, 55:20 Commissioner [12] -

14:23, 17:7, 26:2, 29:8, 29:16, 46:9, 47:25, 48:18, 49:16, 49:21, 54:5, 55:2 Commissioners [14] -

4:21, 8:23, 9:4, 10:6, 14:20, 14:21, 26:23, 37:11, 44:23, 46:22,

conflicts [1] - 54:24 confuse [1] - 25:9 confusing [2] - 36:19, 38:9

couple [2] - 20:21,

course [2] - 27:16,

44:25

F

3

45:18
Court [1] - 46:11
cow [1] - 42:22
create [1] - 11:20
created [1] - 31:18
creates [2] - 11:19,
31:4
Cremer [7] - 1:15,
13:9, 13:18, 13:24,
13:25, 14:19, 34:8
CREMER [4] - 13:9,
13:21, 13:25, 34:13
crew [1] - 19:3
crew/dirty [1] - 19:3
crews [1] - 32:12
crop [1] - 42:23
cropland [3] - 27:25,
28:1, 28:18
CRR [1] - 1:24
cubic [1] - 11:7
cultivated [4] - 27:25,
28:10, 29:1, 29:6
curious [3] - 6:7,
31:10, 34:11
current [1] - 20:7
cycle [1] - 50:13
cyst [1] - 50:12
cysts [1] - 50:7
_

U

dad [1] - 42:1 dairy [10] - 12:17, 15:3, 26:14, 26:16, 26:22, 27:4, 27:6, 27:10, 36:21, 53:23 Dakota [19] - 2:2, 2:3, 3:2, 10:18, 35:21, 37:4, 37:6, 37:10, 37:12, 37:23, 38:11, 38:12, 38:13, 38:15, 40:25, 42:21, 57:7, 57:11 **DAKOTA** [3] - 1:2, 1:4, 57:1 damage [6] - 11:21, 11:22, 11:23, 12:24, 32:16 damages [1] - 32:11 date [7] - 35:17, 52:1, 52:2, 52:8, 55:10, 55:11 Dated [1] - 57:11 dated [3] - 4:11, 22:13, 22:14 days [4] - 20:21, 52:6, 52:13, 54:9 deadline [1] - 35:17 deal [1] - 48:22

deals [2] - 14:25, 27:3 deceive [1] - 39:25 decide [3] - 21:23, 22:4, 28:3 decision [3] - 3:12, 13:15, 47:5 decompact [1] - 32:17 deep [1] - 42:3 deer [1] - 50:16 defer [5] - 51:25, 53:3, 53:12, 53:19, 54:8 delegation [1] - 45:4 delight [1] - 26:9 denied [1] - 11:4 **DENNERT** [4] - 40:24, 41:5, 41:7, 43:16 Dennert [2] - 40:24, 43:13 deny [7] - 10:14, 12:1, 45:8, 45:18, 49:4 described [1] - 4:15 describing [1] - 20:9 detail [2] - 9:12, 20:7 detailed [3] - 16:4, 16:16, 29:10 details [1] - 36:17 determine [2] - 19:7, 55:17 develop [1] - 18:1 development [3] -10:22, 11:24, 44:5 different [7] - 5:24, 6:4, 18:18, 28:24, 31:8, 36:16, 36:18 differently [2] - 8:8, 48:20 dig [2] - 37:21, 42:3 direct [1] - 38:10 direction [2] - 19:18, 20:10 Director [1] - 40:17 discouraging [1] discovery [1] - 24:15 discretion [1] - 36:14 discuss [1] - 54:9 discussed [1] - 53:17 discussion [5] - 5:1, 7:21, 49:22, 55:25 distance [1] - 36:21

distribution [1] -

disturbing [1] - 39:19

Docket [2] - 3:1, 33:23

document [1] - 17:24

documentary [4] - 3:8,

Equalization [1] -

31:12

DO [1] - 57:8

doctor [1] - 19:6

dealing [1] - 26:5

3:25, 8:1, 8:10 dogs [1] - 41:18 done [8] - 11:3, 17:16, 18:8, 21:19, 34:14, 42:22, 45:11, 46:24 door [2] - 39:15, 39:16 doubt [1] - 16:7 down [7] - 19:12, 29:8, 32:13, 34:19, 47:2, 51:2, 53:25 downplay [1] - 50:9 Dr [1] - 28:23 draft [1] - 53:20 drive [1] - 55:1 drove [1] - 46:2 **duly** [1] - 57:8 duly-appointed [1] -57:8 during [4] - 10:25, 26:18, 26:20, 31:5 dwellings [3] - 30:13, 30:17, 30:22

E e-mail [1] - 13:11 East [1] - 2:3 51:17 east [2] - 41:8, 41:12 echo [1] - 14:2 economic [4] - 11:23, 27:3, 44:16, 53:23 effort [3] - 17:13, 32:4, 32:9 eggs [1] - 50:14 7:11 either [4] - 9:3, 10:12, 12:5, 29:19 EL13-028 [2] - 1:4, 3:1 electric [1] - 39:23 **electricity** [5] - 31:8, 37:7, 37:14, 37:18, 4:18, 5:6 38:17 Ellendale [1] - 3:4 **ELLENDALE** [1] - 1:6 Emmanuel [4] - 17:19, 17:20, 17:25, 28:23 encourage [1] - 45:16 ends [1] - 22:1 18:1, 19:6 energy [1] - 13:2 enforceability [1] -15:25 enforceable [2] - 16:9, 17:5 entered [1] - 5:8 entertained [1] - 27:21 entire [1] - 27:11 32:19 entitled [1] - 2:2 **extremely** [1] - 39:10 environment [2] -11:21, 11:22

19:4 erection [1] - 32:14 especially [1] - 51:16 essence [1] - 44:6 essentially [1] - 18:6 evaluate [1] - 16:15 events [1] - 54:23 everywhere [1] - 51:1 evidence [18] - 3:8, 3:25, 6:6, 7:10, 8:2, 8:10, 8:14, 10:22, 21:7, 21:16, 21:25, 22:25, 24:9, 27:9, 27:11, 45:17, 47:3 evidentiary [27] - 4:16, 4:20, 4:24, 5:2, 6:23, 7:1, 8:13, 9:13, 9:18, 17:22, 18:16, 21:1, 21:13, 21:25, 22:18, 23:3, 23:5, 23:24, 24:12, 24:14, 24:23, 26:20, 28:16, 35:14, 36:7, 47:16, 48:10 exactly [5] - 27:10, 48:1, 48:3, 48:21, except [1] - 41:16 excuse [6] - 5:17, 9:24, 12:18, 13:9, 23:12, 43:23 execute [1] - 18:21 exhibit [3] - 5:22, 7:8, Exhibit [5] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:11, 4:19, 7:3 exhibits [5] - 5:9, 5:12, 6:5, 9:6, 39:8 Exhibits [3] - 4:2, existed [1] - 11:1 exists [1] - 16:8 **experience** [4] - 31:3, 32:22, 33:10, 33:15 experiences [1] - 33:9 expert [3] - 17:19, expertise [1] - 17:17 experts [1] - 16:25 explain [1] - 13:14 explained [1] - 36:1 express [1] - 6:16 **extension** [1] - 17:20 extent [2] - 32:10,

40:17

equipment [2] - 11:10,

facility [1] - 9:17 Fact [2] - 14:9, 14:10 fact [2] - 35:8, 37:21 facts [1] - 27:7 failure [1] - 42:23 fall [1] - 18:9 famed [1] - 45:13 familiar [1] - 31:11 family [1] - 41:19 fancy [1] - 42:12 far [3] - 13:2, 23:16, 35:14 farm [7] - 15:10, 26:22, 26:24, 27:4, 27:6, 41:25, 53:23 farmer [2] - 11:22, 12:24 farmers [4] - 11:20, 17:15, 44:12, 44:17 farming [2] - 37:9, 44:18 farms [2] - 10:21, 44:5 fashion [1] - 52:4 father [1] - 25:15 fathers [1] - 45:12 fault [1] - 35:18 favor [1] - 49:10 feedlot [6] - 12:14, 12:18, 12:25, 13:4, 30:5, 36:25 feet [2] - 12:21, 30:4 **fellow** [1] - 41:18 fellows [1] - 41:23 female [1] - 50:13 fertilized [1] - 42:16 few [4] - 30:25, 34:16, 43:5, 46:24 FIEGEN [31] - 1:13, 25:21, 25:24, 26:3, 26:12, 27:18, 27:22, 28:8, 28:11, 28:15, 28:20, 29:4, 29:7, 29:20, 29:22, 30:3, 30:8, 30:11, 30:20, 30:24, 45:23, 46:1, 49:1, 49:7, 53:5, 53:22, 54:18, 54:20, 55:9, 55:15, 55:20 Fiegen [3] - 26:2, 47:25, 48:18 field [6] - 18:23, 18:24, 34:4, 36:16, 39:19, 40:3 fields [8] - 17:16, 19:15, 28:10, 28:11,

28:12, 29:2, 29:6,

34:2

fight [4] - 41:20, 41:21, 43:13, 43:14 fighting [1] - 43:4 figure [2] - 16:25, 20:14 figured [1] - 41:15 file [1] - 20:12 filed [8] - 4:22, 6:23, 15:14, 16:13, 19:19, 19:22, 22:12, 22:16 files [1] - 35:13 filing [1] - 28:4 final [4] - 3:11, 24:19, 35:3. 54:5 findings [2] - 54:3 Findings [2] - 14:9, 14:10 fine [1] - 22:3 first [6] - 3:24, 7:25, 14:24, 18:5, 45:1, 46:1 fit [1] - 33:7 five [1] - 27:5 fleshed [1] - 16:6 fly [1] - 55:1 folks [10] - 3:17, 10:19, 20:22, 20:24, 21:23, 23:11, 26:8, 34:25, 35:1, 48:19 follow [1] - 13:12 following [1] - 11:15 foot [1] - 42:3 FOR [1] - 1:5 Ford [8] - 15:4, 16:2, 26:1, 26:12, 29:7, 30:11, 31:10, 48:11 FORD [20] - 15:6, 15:16, 16:19, 16:22, 17:6, 19:21, 27:15, 27:19, 28:7, 28:9, 28:14, 28:19, 28:21, 29:5, 29:19, 29:21, 30:18, 30:23, 31:19, 32.24 foregoing [1] - 57:10 forever [1] - 42:6 forgive [1] - 6:12 formalizing [1] - 20:11 forth [7] - 11:12, 17:16, 26:8, 37:9, 38:2 forward [5] - 17:1, 22:5, 23:16, 35:7, 48.5 foundation [1] - 32:14 founding [1] - 45:12 four [1] - 50:13 frame [1] - 13:16 front [5] - 20:16, 27:6, 39:15, 39:16, 41:14

fuel [1] - 33:4 full [2] - 52:15, 52:16 furious [1] - 39:21 future [2] - 11:4, 29:9

G

GARY [1] - 1:12 general [4] - 14:7, 19:13, 19:17, 23:23 General [1] - 53:11 Gerald [1] - 10:6 given [1] - 12:13 go-between [1] -33:25 **goal** [1] - 46:19 goodness [1] - 47:14 gracious [2] - 53:6, 53:13 grandfather [2] -41:25, 50:5 grant [7] - 3:7, 3:9, 8:1, 8:9, 9:17, 9:20, 14:3 granted [1] - 45:3 great [2] - 12:11, 47:8 Gregg [1] - 34:15 grill [1] - 48:8 ground [2] - 34:9, 37:22 grounds [2] - 11:25, 45:8 guess [14] - 12:15, 19:23, 20:6, 28:24, 29:23, 37:1, 37:11, 38:8, 38:13, 42:9, 43:9, 43:20, 44:24, 54:22

Н

guys [1] - 43:10

half [5] - 12:14, 13:4, 39:2, 40:19, 41:13 half-mile [1] - 39:2 hand [1] - 43:5 handle [1] - 20:23 handled [1] - 35:23 HANSON [84] - 1:12, 3:1, 3:20, 5:7, 5:11, 5:15, 5:19, 5:25, 6:2, 6:11, 6:19, 7:6, 7:13, 7:15, 7:22, 8:5, 8:7, 8:25, 9:2, 9:11, 9:22, 9:25, 12:3, 12:8, 12:11, 13:5, 13:18, 13:22, 14:18, 15:21, 20:25, 21:11, 21:17,

22:6, 23:9, 23:15, 24:6, 24:18, 24:24, 25:4, 25:7, 25:14, 25:19, 25:23, 25:25, 26:7, 30:10, 31:2, 32:21, 32:25, 34:7, 34:22, 36:11, 38:20, 38:22. 40:5. 40:23. 41:4, 41:6, 43:12, 43:20, 44:2, 44:22, 45:19, 45:25, 47:24, 48:25, 49:5, 49:8, 51:19, 51:24, 52:6, 52:9, 52:12, 52:19, 52:22, 53:4, 53:14, 54:11, 54:15, 54:19, 55:4, 55:11, 55:23 happy [2] - 10:7, 53:12 hard [3] - 26:21, 31:23, 38:14 harm [1] - 44:16 harvest [1] - 50:17 head [2] - 20:16, 30:19 headed [1] - 19:18 hear [12] - 3:14, 9:22, 21:3. 21:15. 22:4. 24:21, 24:22, 25:22, 26:13, 28:15, 46:3,

heard [5] - 13:21, 15:1, 22:11, 49:21, 50:11 hearing [37] - 4:6, 4:16, 4:20, 4:25, 5:2,

5:11, 6:6, 6:9, 6:24,

8:13, 8:21, 8:22,

49:13

9:13, 9:19, 16:2, 17:22, 18:17, 21:1, 21:6, 21:13, 21:25, 22:7, 24:12, 24:14, 25:2, 26:18, 26:20, 35:14, 36:7, 45:21, 46:17, 47:16, 48:10,

heart [1] - 47:2 heavily [1] - 31:22 heck [1] - 43:18 held [2] - 2:1, 50:10 help [7] - 6:2, 17:15, 18:1, 19:7, 49:5, 53:11, 53:25

52:24, 55:21

helped [2] - 47:1, 50:5 helping [2] - 50:17, 53:20 Henry [2] - 20:18, 33:2

herd [2] - 41:21, 42:22 HEREBY [1] - 57:8 Herges [1] - 17:10

Herges [1] - 17:10 high [1] - 42:12 highly [1] - 28:5 history [1] - 45:14 hoc [4] - 24:24, 55:10, 55:12, 55:24 hole [1] - 42:3 home [1] - 43:10 homes [4] - 30:13, 30:17, 30:22 homesteaded [1] -41:25 house [5] - 12:20, 12:21, 17:17, 41:10, 41:11 household [1] - 36:22 households [2] - 39:1, 39:9 houses [1] - 41:9 huge [1] - 11:2 human [2] - 11:1, 37:1 hunters [1] - 50:16

idea [1] - 54:16 importance [1] - 50:9 important [2] - 40:13, 46:21 IN [1] - 1:4 in-house [1] - 17:17 Inaudible [1] - 48:24 Inaudible) [1] - 3:21 inch [1] - 31:9 included [5] - 5:4, 6:9, 7:10, 9:21, 22:18 including [1] - 3:14 income [1] - 43:4 incorporate [2] -11:12, 32:5 incumbent [2] - 21:22, 24:21 indicated [3] - 17:14, 22:15, 25:15 individual [3] - 11:8, 11:17, 17:21 individuals [2] -22:25, 32:1 information [13] -11:16, 23:11, 23:17, 23:20, 24:20, 29:13, 40:6, 40:9, 40:11, 47:10, 47:17, 48:2, 48:14 informed [1] - 46:20 injury [4] - 27:2, 27:3, 27:4, 53:23 intend [1] - 11:9 intention [2] - 29:1, 29:5 intentionally [1] - 40:1

interest [1] - 10:18

interested [2] - 51:10, 4 52:24 interesting [1] - 36:6 interests [1] - 14:13 interfere [1] - 44:15 interference [1] -10:21 internal [1] - 43:6 intervene [1] - 22:20 Intervener [4] - 1:19, 1:20, 1:20, 4:4 Interveners [14] -3:15, 9:23, 12:4, 13:6, 13:7, 13:20, 20:22, 21:24, 22:16, 24:8, 35:2, 35:4, 54:21 introduce [1] - 35:19

introduce [1] - 35:19 invoking [1] - 45:12 involved [5] - 31:22, 32:2, 33:23, 46:20, 50:6

50:6
issue [10] - 15:24,
20:20, 20:21, 22:9,
25:12, 25:13, 33:18,
34:20, 39:21, 48:6
issued [1] - 16:12
issues [4] - 11:19,
14:14, 15:24, 34:1
item [8] - 6:5, 7:9,
7:20, 12:5, 24:19,
27:11, 55:6, 55:25
items [4] - 5:8, 6:4,
6:8, 8:2

J

itself [2] - 18:3, 51:4

Jason [1] - 1:19 job [1] - 48:1 John [1] - 1:15 Joint [5] - 3:9, 4:23, 6:22, 7:4, 14:3 judge [1] - 11:17 judicial [3] - 46:12, 46:13, 47:22 Judith [1] - 46:10 July [1] - 4:11 juncture [3] - 9:23, 15:22, 53:17 Justice [1] - 46:11

K

Karen [3] - 1:15, 13:9, 13:25 Kearney [1] - 13:24 keep [1] - 51:2 Keystone [1] - 52:21 kilowatt [1] - 38:17 kind [12] - 15:18, 16:25, 20:21, 30:14, 32:2, 33:25, 35:23, 38:9, 41:22, 43:17, 53:25 KLJ [1] - 35:25 knowledge [1] - 11:1 Kristie [1] - 46:12 KRISTIE [1] - 1:13 kV [2] - 1:6, 3:4

L

laid [2] - 33:11, 53:16 land [8] - 33:6, 41:16, 41:22, 42:5, 42:14, 42:15, 43:2, 43:8 landowner [2] - 15:12, 31:5 landowners [2] -14:15, 48:12 landowners' [1] -32:11 language [2] - 44:14, 53:20 large [1] - 34:18 last [2] - 20:21, 43:5 late [2] - 19:22, 47:17 law [2] - 16:10, 51:22 Law [2] - 14:9, 14:11 lawyer [1] - 23:14 laying [1] - 15:19 layout [1] - 37:25 lead [3] - 3:18, 3:20, 25:17 learned [3] - 10:25, 32:8, 50:2 learning [2] - 47:20, 50.4 least [8] - 12:2, 32:3, 32:19, 39:3, 45:13, 52:3, 52:16, 53:16 leave [5] - 3:7, 3:24, 8:1, 8:9, 9:25 legal [2] - 24:4, 45:1 legally [2] - 47:5 legislative [1] - 46:13 legislator [1] - 46:4 legislature [1] - 47:21 legitimate [1] - 34:5 length [1] - 10:20 lengthy [2] - 10:12, 10:24 letter [10] - 4:10, 6:4, 22:12, 22:13, 29:23, 36:2, 39:20, 46:7,

46:14, 47:9

letters [2] - 23:4, 35:23 level [3] - 20:6, 32:20, 52:21 liaison [1] - 33:24 life [4] - 42:1, 42:8, 50:13 likely [1] - 10:21 line [23] - 3:5, 5:2, 5:13, 12:16, 13:2, 15:2, 15:7, 15:9, 26:19, 26:21, 27:5, 30:4, 31:7, 36:22, 38:6, 38:7, 39:1, 41:2, 41:8, 41:9, 41:11, 43:2, 44:14 **LINE** [1] - 1:6 lined [1] - 36:1 lines [4] - 31:11, 33:16, 34:17, 36:25 list [2] - 39:8, 40:18 listen [1] - 21:22 listened [1] - 46:5 listening [1] - 24:3 live [2] - 10:19, 40:14 livelihood [2] - 12:23, 12:24 **Lloyd** [2] - 36:13, 39.18 Lloyd's [1] - 41:14 look [17] - 10:2, 11:14, 15:7, 17:13, 27:1, 27:2, 28:6, 34:9, 42:19, 42:20, 42:22, 42:25, 50:8, 51:8, 51:9, 52:1, 55:16 looked [2] - 5:24, 30:21 looking [10] - 4:16, 8:6, 18:8, 19:5, 20:9, 23:16, 27:19, 31:23, 45:10, 51:4 looks [2] - 27:1, 28:1 loose [1] - 22:1 loses [1] - 42:21 love [2] - 41:22, 47:1 Lyle [2] - 35:20, 41:17 Lyle's [2] - 41:10,

M

41:18

mail [1] - 13:11 major [1] - 31:2 manager [2] - 33:5, 36:3 manner [1] - 18:4 map [4] - 4:12, 6:4, 28:2 material [1] - 44:10 **MATTER** [1] - 1:4 matter [7] - 2:2, 3:2, 8:5, 14:15, 16:10, 17:9, 20:11 MCCOMSEY [1] - 57:5 McComsey [2] - 1:24, 57:17 MDU [2] - 3:16, 21:4 mean [16] - 8:19, 18:22, 20:2, 20:9, 20:10, 20:13, 21:9, 23:13, 24:16, 24:17, 37:5, 39:17, 46:20, 50:8, 51:22, 52:7 means [5] - 11:22, 11:23, 19:21, 21:20, 52:6 meeting [2] - 36:18, 38:23 meetings [1] - 33:5 Meierhenry [1] - 46:10 mentioned [1] - 38:25 merit [2] - 36:8, 47:6 met [1] - 32:1 method [2] - 11:14, 19:8 mic [3] - 3:22, 44:1, 44:24 might [6] - 15:20, 23:17, 25:11, 30:25, 44:10, 48:15 mile [9] - 12:14, 12:15, 12:17, 13:4, 15:2, 15:9, 36:24, 39:2, 40:19 miles [1] - 41:13 mind [2] - 45:23, 51:13 mine [1] - 42:2 minimal [1] - 50:10 minimize [1] - 32:10 minimum [1] - 51:2 Minnesota [1] - 37:18 missed [2] - 15:14, 15:15 mitigation [11] -11:13, 16:1, 16:13, 17:13, 18:14, 18:21, 19:8, 19:11, 28:4, 32:16 modeled [1] - 31:21 modification [1] - 14:6 Montana [1] - 3:2 **MONTANA** [1] - 1:4 Montana-Dakota [1] -3.5

MONTANA-DAKOTA

months [1] - 11:5

[1] - 1:4

MOREHOUSE [10] -5:17, 5:20, 6:1, 6:10, 6:18, 7:12, 12:6, 12:9, 12:12, 30:6 Morehouse [14] -1:20, 4:12, 4:13, 5:13, 5:18, 5:19, 5:25, 7:9, 12:7, 12:8, 13:5, 14:25, 30:3 Morehouse's [1] - 4:5 most [2] - 19:8, 51:14 mostly [1] - 29:23 motion [1] - 3:7 Motion [14] - 3:9, 3:24, 4:23, 6:21, 6:22, 6:25, 7:5, 8:1, 8:9, 10:14, 12:1, 14:3, 45:22 motions [1] - 7:19 move [8] - 5:5, 15:5, 15:6, 15:9, 17:1, 26:7, 38:6, 38:7 moved [4] - 12:15, 12:17, 12:22, 15:2 moves [1] - 48:5 moving [2] - 12:18, 36:25 MR [89] - 3:19, 3:21, 3:22, 3:23, 5:10, 5:13, 5:17, 5:20, 6:1, 6:10, 6:18, 6:21, 7:12, 7:14, 7:19, 8:4, 8:6, 8:19, 9:1, 9:9, 9:12, 9:24, 10:2, 12:6, 12:9, 12:12, 15:6, 15:16, 16:19, 16:22, 17:6, 19:21, 20:1, 20:6, 20:13, 21:8, 21:12, 22:9, 23:13, 23:22, 24:10, 24:22, 25:1, 25:6, 27:15, 27:19, 28:7, 28:9, 28:14, 28:19, 28:21, 29:5, 29:19, 29:21. 30:2. 30:6. 30:18, 30:23, 31:19, 32:24, 33:13, 35:10, 35:19, 35:20, 36:12, 36:13, 38:21, 38:23, 39:7, 39:9, 40:13, 40:24, 41:5, 41:7, 43:16, 44:1, 44:11, 44:24, 51:22, 51:25, 52:7, 52:11, 52:15, 52:20, 53:18, 54:7, 54:13, 55:13, 55:16 MS [4] - 13:9, 13:21, 13:25, 34:13 multiple [1] - 22:21

name [1] - 35:7 nature [4] - 23:11, 23:20, 49:18, 50:7 necessarily [3] -19:12, 21:1, 40:18 need [15] - 8:17, 16:24, 18:19, 21:1, 24:13, 24:15, 40:6, 40:11, 44:7, 51:7, 51:9, 52:1, 52:15, 52:22, 53:7 needed [1] - 46:25 needing [1] - 13:2 needs [1] - 41:2 neighbor [2] - 12:19, 50:17 Nelson [4] - 14:23, 17:7, 29:8, 49:17 **NELSON** [19] - 1:12, 14:24, 15:13, 15:17, 15:23, 16:20, 16:23, 19:16, 19:24, 20:4, 20:8, 20:19, 22:3, 25:10, 25:18, 47:25, 51:12, 51:20, 53:2 Nelson's [3] - 29:16, 49:22, 54:5 nematode [3] - 16:13, 29:3, 50:12 nematodes [1] - 50:7 never [3] - 37:3, 38:10, 50:6 nevertheless [1] -24:18 next [5] - 13:13, 27:24, 52:7, 52:8, 54:16 nice [1] - 52:16 night [1] - 46:23 noncontaminated [2] - 18:20, 19:15 none [3] - 13:22, 45:21, 55:8 nonprofit [1] - 46:10 normally [1] - 8:11 northern [1] - 38:24 Notary [2] - 57:7, 57:18 **note** [2] - 14:7, 40:13 notes [1] - 9:25 nothing [1] - 40:21 notice [6] - 24:14, 25:1, 40:17, 40:20, 55:13, 55:19 notices [2] - 35:16 number [3] - 13:6, 30:17, 32:12

0

oath [1] - 22:24 **object** [5] - 7:16, 8:23, 22:22, 23:3, 23:10 objecting [2] - 9:4, 23:19 objection [13] - 5:7, 6:8, 7:7, 7:11, 7:14, 8:15, 9:5, 22:17, 23:7, 23:23, 24:1, 24:3, 45:6 objections [3] - 5:12, 5:14, 22:10 obligations [1] - 11:15 obsolete [1] - 32:7 obstruct [1] - 37:23 obviously [1] - 32:12 occupied [1] - 30:13 octopus [1] - 22:1 OF [7] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:4, 2:1, 57:1, 57:3 offer [2] - 26:25, 44:25 offered [1] - 18:6 offers [1] - 23:22 office [1] - 10:4 official [1] - 15:6 once [3] - 18:11, 45:13, 55:16 one [28] - 9:3, 11:10, 13:4, 15:24, 16:11, 16:14, 27:11, 28:12, 30:3, 30:9, 31:2, 33:4, 36:17, 38:4, 38:17, 38:21, 38:24, 39:14, 41:25, 42:23, 45:24, 46:22, 48:6, 52:16, 52:18, 53:22, 53:24 ones [4] - 10:3, 18:12, 34:18, 37:8 online [2] - 13:11 open [2] - 46:18, 55:17 operating [1] - 24:7 operation [2] - 12:25, opinion [1] - 55:2 opportunities [1] -22:22 opportunity [5] - 6:15, 10:9, 21:24, 22:20, 49:23 oppose [1] - 48:12 option [3] - 24:15, 27:20, 27:21 options [3] - 15:7, 15:11, 18:18 order [5] - 3:12, 20:3,

27:13, 44:7, 52:4 Order [1] - 51:22 orderly [1] - 11:24 orders [1] - 52:18 original [5] - 5:3, 28:2, 30:12, 32:19, 39:12 originally [1] - 4:22 **OTP** [1] - 3:16 OTTER [1] - 1:5 Otter [3] - 3:3, 21:4, 31:22 outstanding [1] - 48:1 overcrop [1] - 42:17 overstep [1] - 8:17 own [4] - 14:8, 17:16, 37:17, 39:22 owner [1] - 40:20 owners [1] - 40:15 owning [1] - 42:14

Р

P.A [1] - 43:17 Paine [1] - 45:13 part [13] - 4:1, 5:4, 6:5. 6:9. 7:20. 22:18. 23:3, 23:5, 28:22, 33:3, 36:9, 50:20, 51:5 participate [2] - 21:13, 54:22 participated [1] -31:12 participating [1] -54:24 particular [2] - 27:17, 31:19 particularly [1] - 10:18 parties [10] - 3:14, 5:8, 14:22, 21:9, 23:25, 40:8, 46:16, 47:18, 48:19, 54:21 parties' [1] - 14:13 party [3] - 21:14, 33:24, 55:19 passage [1] - 24:19 passed [1] - 36:7 past [6] - 24:16, 31:11, 33:9, 33:15, 33:18, 45:11 path [1] - 29:8 pathologist [1] - 17:20 paying [1] - 42:14 Pedall [2] - 43:23, 43:24 pending [2] - 6:21, 10:14 people [15] - 17:4,

21:9, 24:15, 29:25,

40:7, 40:10, 40:14, 40:18, 40:19, 41:20, 46:2, 55:21 percent [6] - 39:6, 49:9, 49:11, 49:21, 51:5 perfectly [2] - 46:5, 53:10 perform [1] - 18:7 perhaps [3] - 23:16, 49:5, 51:9 period [2] - 50:14, 52:23 permit [12] - 3:3, 9:17, 9:21, 10:14, 12:1, 12:13, 16:12, 27:16, 33:3, 45:3, 45:18, 48:5 **PERMIT** [1] - 1:5 permit's [1] - 11:4 permitted [1] - 44:14 person [3] - 11:14, 34:4, 40:16 personally [3] - 26:15, 37:1, 47:12 persons [1] - 24:8 perspective [2] - 16:9, 31.10 Pesall [20] - 1:19, 7:14, 7:15, 10:5, 10:6. 10:13. 15:24. 16:8. 23:10. 23:13. 23:16, 24:20, 25:11, 25:14, 25:19, 34:24, 43:23, 44:23, 49:25, 50:1 **PESALL** [6] - 5:10, 7:14, 9:24, 10:2. 44:11, 44:24 Pesall's [2] - 21:18, 25:12 phone [2] - 54:22, 54:24 phoned [1] - 3:17 phonetic [1] - 41:17 phrased [2] - 8:3, 8:7 picked [1] - 46:25 picture [1] - 46:22 piercing [1] - 51:4 Pierre [4] - 2:3, 45:12, 46:2, 57:11 pipeline [2] - 31:8, 33:22 **pipelines** [1] - 31:5 place [2] - 6:13, 36:23 placed [2] - 8:16, 9:16 plan [15] - 11:13, 16:1, 16:3, 16:13, 16:16,

18:3, 18:14, 18:17,

32:7, 38:11, 40:1,

19:11, 19:22, 29:9, 32:17, 45:3, 54:5 planning [2] - 40:2, 41:1 plant [1] - 50:5 played [1] - 48:20 Podoll [4] - 22:13, 25:13, 25:19, 35:20 PODOLL [6] - 35:10, 35:20, 36:12, 38:21, 38:23, 39:9 point [11] - 10:15, 11:4, 15:18, 15:23, 19:23, 22:2, 24:16, 26:10, 44:11, 48:21, 55:5 poles [2] - 37:9, 38:1 position [2] - 7:24, 49:10 possible [5] - 32:11, 50:11, 52:3, 54:17 post [2] - 55:10, 55:11 potential [1] - 15:8 potentially [1] - 19:3 pourers [1] - 32:15 POWER [1] - 1:5 Power [1] - 3:3 powerful [1] - 39:23 practice [3] - 14:8, 14.11 practices [1] - 31:13 preference [1] - 29:21 pregnant [1] - 50:13 prepare [3] - 49:16, 49.17 prepared [1] - 4:25 present [11] - 13:8, 13:23, 18:24, 21:24, 23:17. 24:8. 27:7. 29:3, 33:6, 49:3, 54:3 presentation [1] -50:11 presented [5] - 8:13, 21:7, 23:10, 23:11, 23:15 presenting [1] - 23:19 presently [1] - 17:23 presents [1] - 24:20 presumably [1] - 19:8 presumption [1] -20:5 pretty [1] - 51:12 prevent [1] - 19:14 price [2] - 42:22, 42:23 primarily [1] - 10:16 printed [1] - 10:3 probable [2] - 28:5, 29:10

problem [7] - 6:10, 13:3, 16:22, 32:22, 33:16, 33:19, 33:21 problems [1] - 14:9 procedural [2] - 22:9, 24.12 procedure [2] - 24:7, 39:25 procedures [3] -31:20, 31:24, 32:4 proceed [5] - 3:13, 15:20, 22:5, 24:2, 49:2 proceeding [2] -22:21, 23:6 Proceedings [1] - 1:8 proceedings [2] -10.9 57.10 PROCEEDINGS [1] -2:1 process [16] - 4:15, 6:7, 6:9, 6:16, 8:12, 10:7, 10:25, 17:24, 24:25, 31:3, 32:10, 32:17, 47:20, 47:22, 50:9, 51:4 processes [2] - 31:20, 32:7 Professional [2] -57:6, 57:18 professor [1] - 50:12 project [15] - 4:7, 4:17, 4:18. 5:23. 7:5. 9:15. 9:16, 10:17, 11:3, 17:12, 18:8, 24:2, 31:19, 32:5, 38:9 projects [4] - 31:21, 31:23, 31:24, 32:2 proper [1] - 12:9 properly [5] - 9:3, 33:11, 42:16, 51:16 property [10] - 31:9, 32:11, 33:7, 39:1, 39:15, 40:15, 40:21, 41:4, 41:6, 41:7 proposal [3] - 36:1, 39:13, 49:19 propose [1] - 53:15 proposed [7] - 4:7, 4:14, 10:17, 11:11, 12:16, 14:10, 30:7 **proposing** [1] - 53:10 prospect [1] - 45:2 provided [2] - 5:2, 22:21 provides [1] - 18:4 prudent [1] - 51:15 public [5] - 10:18, 16:14, 33:5, 46:19, 47:13

southeastern [1] -

50:20

shiny-shoed [1] -

representatives [1] -

39:19

18:21, 19:6, 21:2,

Soybean [1] - 17:8 soybean [3] - 16:1, 16:13, 50:12 soybeans [5] - 10:25, 29:2, 50:2, 50:4, 50.5 special [1] - 40:6 specific [3] - 18:14, 45:8, 48:11 spoken [1] - 17:10 sprayed [1] - 42:16 spread [3] - 19:14, 50:21, 50:24 **SS** [1] - 57:2 Staff [11] - 4:20, 7:5, 9:15, 13:10, 13:23, 14:1, 14:2, 14:12, 32:25, 33:14, 54:15 **STAFF** [1] - 1:14 Staff's [1] - 14:15 staffers [1] - 35:24 stand [1] - 26:5 standard [2] - 24:6, 39:25 standpoint [2] - 24:4, 31:16 stands [2] - 8:23, 27:24 start [1] - 42:4 starting [1] - 42:19 STATE [2] - 1:2, 57:1 state [2] - 35:7, 38:1 State [2] - 2:2, 57:7 statement [1] - 48:16 statements [1] - 44:4 states [1] - 8:8 States [1] - 50:21 stating [1] - 23:7 status [1] - 11:23 statutes [1] - 40:21 stay [1] - 42:7 step [2] - 8:21, 18:5 steps [2] - 24:13, 46:13 stewardship [1] -42:15 stick [1] - 45:16 still [8] - 19:5, 22:1, 30:14, 30:16, 36:3, 39:21, 44:6, 51:3 stipulation [2] - 12:23, Stipulation [15] - 3:10, 3:11, 4:20, 4:22, 4:25, 5:3, 6:23, 7:4, 9:10, 9:13, 12:13, 14:5, 33:12, 33:17, 49:2 stipulations [2] -

21:20, 33:18

STONE [1] - 1:5 Stone [2] - 3:4, 37:17 straight [1] - 33:18 strictly [1] - 24:4 **stringing** [1] - 32:14 strongly [1] - 51:3 structure [1] - 32:14 study [1] - 53:7 stuff [1] - 36:16 submission [1] -22:24 submit [5] - 3:7, 3:25, 8:1, 8:9, 29:17 submitted [1] - 54:5 subsequent [1] - 4:24 successful [2] - 19:8, 32:6 sudden [1] - 47:10 **SULLY** [1] - 57:3 summarizing [1] -48:1 **Sunday** [1] - 46:23 superficial [1] - 45:15 supply [1] - 37:13 support [1] - 51:6 supposed [1] - 38:25 **Supreme** [1] - 46:11 **Sutton** [1] - 1:19 system [1] - 43:17 systems [2] - 31:12, 33:10

Т

table [2] - 15:19, 53:16

Tail [3] - 3:3, 21:4,

31:22 **TAIL** [1] - 1:5 tax [3] - 37:25, 40:16, 43:4 taxes [1] - 42:14 terms [7] - 9:15, 9:19, 9:21, 11:11, 19:2, 19:13, 19:17 test [4] - 28:3, 28:5, 29:1, 29:5 tested [1] - 28:14 testified [1] - 44:19 testify [1] - 44:13 testimony [5] - 4:6, 10:20, 10:24, 20:24, 44:20 testing [7] - 17:16, 28:7, 28:9, 28:18, 28:22, 29:15, 29:18 THE [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:5, 1:11 they've [5] - 21:2, 23:1, 29:2, 38:16,

Thomas [2] - 1:19, 45:13 thoroughness [1] -10.8 thoughts [2] - 49:14, 51:11 three [8] - 6:4, 8:2, 18:1, 28:16, 44:12, 46:2, 47:8, 47:10 throughout [1] - 10:7 throwing [1] - 46:23 timing [1] - 16:19 title [1] - 42:5 **TO** [2] - 1:5, 1:6 today [17] - 3:6, 5:21, 13:8, 13:12, 13:15, 13:23, 19:13, 32:8, 41:1, 46:2, 47:4, 47:22, 48:5, 51:14, 53:9, 54:9, 55:21 today's [1] - 52:12 together [4] - 17:3, 17:24, 54:10, 54:16 **Tom** [1] - 3:22 took [1] - 8:14 top [1] - 30:19 tower [1] - 11:8 transcribed [1] - 57:9 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:1 Transcript [1] - 1:8 transmission [7] - 3:4, 27:5. 31:7. 31:11. 33:10. 33:16. 34:17 TRANSMISSION [1] -1:6 transport [2] - 50:15 travel [1] - 32:13 traveled [2] - 21:3, 35:9 treatment [1] - 29:17 trespassed [1] - 7:24 tricked [1] - 44:2 truth [2] - 48:8, 48:21 try [8] - 11:5, 17:15, 27:12, 32:4, 32:18, 42:17, 45:11, 46:18 trying [4] - 5:21,

17:12, 43:13, 44:11

13:23, 14:20, 19:24,

32:18, 35:1, 35:3,

turns [2] - 41:8, 41:13

two [23] - 7:19, 15:24,

28:17, 35:24, 39:7,

56.1

turn [9] - 8:11, 9:7,

40:9

thinking [5] - 15:14,

34:18, 42:11, 45:14

third [2] - 33:24, 35:25

third-party [1] - 33:24

39:9, 39:23, 41:8, 41:13, 41:16, 41:20, 43:14, 43:20, 49:14, 51:6, 51:20, 51:21, 52:3, 52:6, 52:10, 52:13, 52:16

Tylka [1] - 50:12

type [1] - 47:22

types [2] - 19:4, 34:1

U

ultimately [1] - 17:25 uncertain [1] - 4:8 **uncle** [1] - 10:6 uncomfortable [1] -8:20 under [4] - 12:1, 22:24, 29:11, 29:17 undertaken [1] - 4:17 unduly [1] - 44:15 unfortunately [2] -47:3, 48:18 United [1] - 50:21 unless [2] - 8:23, 21.20 unnecessarily [1] -50:24 unrefuted [1] - 44:20 up [20] - 13:12, 20:15, 20:21, 24:1, 25:13, 26:20, 32:13, 34:24, 36:1, 37:8, 37:17, 40:10, 41:15, 45:2, 46:2, 46:25, 47:19, 52:18, 55:24 usual [1] - 14:8 **UTILITIES** [3] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:11 **Utilities** [2] - 3:2, 46:8 utility [3] - 37:13, 37:17, 38:13

V

vacant [1] - 30:14 vague [1] - 18:17 valid [1] - 16:8 Valley [1] - 34:19 various [2] - 19:1, 44:14 VICE [1] - 1:12 vicinity [1] - 49:10 view [1] - 37:23 visit [1] - 17:18 visited [1] - 17:21 volume [1] - 43:18 vote [6] - 8:21, 20:14, 49:4, 51:13, 51:18, 8 53:9 **voting** [3] - 5:22, 7:21, 49:10

W

wait [5] - 23:20, 23:22, 29:24, 34:23, 53:12 walking [1] - 42:13 ways [2] - 19:1, 21:3 week [7] - 13:13, 48:14, 52:3, 52:7, 52:8, 52:17, 54:17 weeks [7] - 50:13, 51:7, 51:20, 51:21, 52:3, 52:10, 52:16 Welk [10] - 1:19, 3:18, 6:3, 6:20, 7:10, 9:8, 10:11, 22:8, 23:9, 26:1 **WELK** [12] - 3:19, 3:21, 3:23, 5:13, 6:21, 7:19, 8:4, 9:9, 9:12, 22:9, 23:13, 23.22 western [1] - 42:21 Westport [2] - 35:21, 40:24 whatsoever [2] -34:21, 39:11 whole [1] - 41:21 willing [8] - 16:17, 25:22, 37:13, 37:17, 38:6, 53:8, 53:9 wind [1] - 50:15 wiring [1] - 32:14 wish [4] - 12:5, 46:15, 47:17, 53:15 wishes [1] - 35:5 witness [1] - 10:10 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:17 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 wondering [3] - 8:16, 33:9, 44:9 word [1] - 51:15 words [1] - 50:15 wordsmith [1] - 54:1 workable [1] - 16:6 works [2] - 17:15, 44:18

wrestling [1] - 48:2

written [4] - 4:10,

52:18

write [3] - 14:8, 20:15,

34:10, 53:10, 53:25



Xcel [1] - 34:19



yard [1] - 26:22 yards [1] - 11:7 year [2] - 11:6, 28:13 years [9] - 27:5, 28:16, 28:17, 31:13, 34:13, 43:5, 46:24, 50:19 yesterday [1] - 13:10 yourself [1] - 35:19