1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	=======================================
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT TC09-098 FILED BY SOUTH DAKOTA NETWORK, LLC
5	AGAINST SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, LP REGARDING FAILURE TO
6	PAY INTRASTATE CENTRALIZED EQUAL ACCESS CHARGES AND TO
7	IMMEDIATELY PAY UNDISPUTED PORTIONS OF SDN'S INVOICES
8	
9	Transcript of Proceedings
10	May 22, 2012
11	
12	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN
13 14	KRISTIE FIEGEN, VICE CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER
15	COMMISSION STAFF
16	Rolayne Ailts-Wiest Karen Cremer
17	Greg Rislov Demaris Axthelm
18	APPEARANCES Phil Schopkophone Sprint Communications
19	Phil Schenkenberg, Sprint Communications Talbot Wieczorek, Sprint Communications
	David Carter, Northern Valley Jim Cremer, Northern Valley
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
24	
25	

Г

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, Room 413, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 22nd day of May, 2012, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: We will at this time move into 2 TC09-098, In the Matter of the Complaint filed by 3 South Dakota Network, LLC against Sprint Communications 4 Company regarding failure to pay intrastate centralized 5 equal access charges and to immediately pay undisputed 6 portions of SDN's invoices. And then in the matter of 7 the third-party Complaint from Sprint Communications, LP 8 against Northern Valley Communications and Capital Telephone Company. 10 Last Thursday we heard arguments on three 11 different motions in that Docket. At this point I would 12 open it up for motions from the Commission. 13 Commissioner Fiegen. 14 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: The first Motion I would 15 like to talk about is the Partial Summary Judgment. 16 I move that the Commission deny Sprint the 17 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and that they have 18 failed to show and that they're entitled to that summary 19 judgment. 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on that Motion? 21 Any discussion? 22 Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye. 2.3 Those opposed nay.

Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON:

Aye.

2.4

25

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And Nelson votes aye. The Motion carries, and the Summary Judgment is denied.

Further motions.

2.3

The next question that we're dealing with is Northern Valley's Motion to Compel. And there are a number of subparts to that. And I will -- I'm going to make a Motion dealing with this Motion to Compel and address each of the subparts individually.

I will move that in Northern Valley's Motion to Compel, for Interrogatories 1 and 2 I recommend the Commission grant the Motion to Compel with the modifications that the references to "legal basis" be removed and that all references -- and that references to "all" be changed to "material facts."

In Interrogatory No. 4 and Document Request 15 I move that we grant the Motion to Compel to the extent -- to the extent that any -- and my understanding is that Sprint may have complied with some of this, but to the extent that it is not fully complied with.

For Interrogatory No. 7, I find that the Commission -- that the Commission finds the parties have agreed to the volume of minutes in question, that that has been resolved, and I grant the Motion to Compel so

far as Sprint will state in writing that it agrees with
the volumes that have been provided by Northern Valley to
Sprint.

On the revenue question from Interrogatory

No. 7, I would move to deny the Request to Compel for revenues.

2.3

For Interrogatories 8 and Document Request 26 and 35 I move that the Commission deny the Motion to Compel.

For Interrogatories 9 and Document Request 23 and 36 I move that the Commission grant the Motion to Compel.

In Interrogatory 13 I move that the Commission grant the Motion to Compel, but to any extent that Sprint has not yet identified the testimony, they would be required to provide the information once it has made such identification.

Document Request No. 1, I would deny the Motion as unduly burdensome.

Document Request 34 I grant the Motion. On the issue of the document redactions, I grant the request to compel the redactions to be removed from the documents.

On the issue of the February 13 letter, I deny the request to remove the confidential status of that at this time. And, lastly, I recommend denying the request for

```
1
     expenses.
2
              That is like a mega Motion. Discussion on the
 3
    Motion or any potential amendments?
 4
              Commissioner Fiegen.
 5
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: First of all, on 34,
 6
    Document Request 34, I assume that is limited to current
7
     agreements in South Dakota?
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Correct.
9
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. And then -- okay.
10
    And the next one -- there will be one more Motion yet;
11
    right?
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Correct.
13
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. So I'm waiting for
14
    the next Motion. That's the only comment I would have.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Right.
                                        This does not deal
16
    with any of the deposition issues.
17
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. That's my only
18
    comment.
19
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Other discussion?
20
              Before we move -- seeing no other discussion,
21
    before we move to a vote on the Motion, I would ask maybe
22
    both parties, have we missed anything here? As we have
2.3
    tried to piece this together and realizing, you know, we
24
    have not granted everything either side wanted,
25
    obviously, but have we missed anything under this Motion
```

```
to Compel that needs to be addressed?
2
              And I'll ask -- I'll ask Northern Valley that
     question first.
 3
 4
              MR. CARTER:
                           Thank you, Chairman Nelson.
5
     is David Carter from Northern Valley. As I went through
 6
     what you said and what was in the Motion, I didn't
7
     identify anything that was missing.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
              Mr. Schenkenberg.
10
              MR. SCHENKENBERG:
                                Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
                                                            Ι
11
     am on the road without my complete kit. I don't see
12
     anything that's been missed. If Mr. Wieczorek is on the
13
     line and disagrees with me, I'd ask him to speak up.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Wieczorek, anything to
15
     add?
16
              MR. WIECZOREK: I don't see anything that's been
17
     missed, Mr. Chairman.
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you. I appreciate that.
              Further discussion from the Commission?
19
20
              Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye,
21
     those opposed, nay.
22
              Commissioner Hanson.
2.3
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
2.4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
25
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Fiegen votes aye.
```

```
1
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion
2
     carries.
              We have one additional Motion to deal with, and
 3
 4
     this is in regard to Sprint's Motion For a Protective
 5
     Order regarding Northern Valley's corporate deposition
 6
     notice. I will delve in and make a Motion here also for
7
     discussion purposes.
8
              I would move that for Topics 5, 6, and 21 that
     we deny this Motion except for what has already been
10
     agreed to. And there was considerable discussion
11
     Thursday about some areas where there had been some
12
     agreement that has come together between the two parties.
13
     And so I want to make it very clear that my Motion to
14
     Deny ought not undo any of those agreements that you had
15
     when we talked on Thursday.
16
              Topic 7, I would move to deny.
17
              Topic 10, I would move to deny.
18
              Topic 22, I would move to deny.
19
              Topic 24, I would grant.
20
              22 I am denying, and 24 I would grant.
21
              25, I would deny.
22
              27, I would grant.
2.3
              28, I would deny.
2.4
              And then 29 through 44, I would grant.
25
              46 and 47, I would deny. And in regard to the
```

issue of Sprint's corporate affiliates, I would include in the Motion that all of this be limited strictly to Sprint Communications, LP.

And the last issue that I would include in the Motion -- and this would apply to Topic 7 -- that we allow the inclusion of deposition of outside attorneys as part of Topic 7. That was an ancillary issue there.

Discussion on the Motion?

2.3

We're going to take just a little bit of time here so that Commissioners can review the Motion.

For those that are not familiar with this Docket or these motions, we're trying to get our arms around an octopus here, and so it's going to take just a little bit of time to make sure we've got everything right.

Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: It looks like your Motion is in order. I just wanted to make sure because it seems like there were some questions if we deny something, then if we grant something, it just had to follow through on all of the motions. So I would go along with your Motion today.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Further discussion on the Motion?

Okay. At this point I'd like to allow both parties again to ask the question have we missed

1 addressing anything that needs to be dealt with for this 2 Motion? And I'll turn first to Sprint. Mr. Wieczorek or 3 4 Mr. Schenkenberg? 5 MR. SCHENKENBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 With the same disclaimer as on the last question, I do 7 not see anything that was missed. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Wieczorek? 8 MR. WIECZOREK: I do have one clarification 10 question. The end when you were going through the 11 denials and grants you said something about limiting -as I understood, it was to limit something that you --12 13 any areas of inquiry to Sprint, LP and not to other 14 companies or entities. 15 Does that apply then to everything that you 16 denied? 17 CHAIRMAN NELSON: That is correct. It would be 18 Sprint Communications, LP, and that applies to every one 19 of the topics, yes. 20 MR. WIECZOREK: Okay. To the extent that you 21 might have denied something, there was not an intent that 22 it would apply to companies beyond Sprint Communications, 2.3 LP?

extent we are granting the limitation and narrowing it

That is correct. And so to an

CHAIRMAN NELSON:

24

25

```
down to simply Sprint Communications, LP.
 1
 2
              MR. WIECZOREK:
                              All right. That's the one
 3
     clarification I wanted. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
 5
              Mr. Carter, have we missed anything?
 6
              MR. CARTER: Not to my knowledge. It looks like
 7
     the Motion is complete.
 8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. With that, further
     discussion from the Commission?
10
              Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye.
11
              Commissioner Hanson.
12
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Aye.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
14
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Fiegen votes aye.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion
16
     carries. And we are concluded with 09-098 for today.
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 22nd day of May,
11	2012, and that the attached is a true and correct
12	transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 1st day of
14	June, 2012.
15	
16	
17	
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
19	Notary Public and Registered Professional Reporter
20	Certified Realtime Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	4