### RECEIVED

AUG 1 3 2008

## SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF BROOKINGS MUNICIPAL UTILITIES D/B/A SWIFTEL COMMUNICATIONS FOR SUSPENSION OR MODIFICATION OF DIALING PARITY, NUMBER PORTABILITY AND RECIPROCAL COMPENSATION OBLIGATIONS

TC07-007

Transcript of Proceedings July 29, 2008

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN STEVE KOLBECK, VICE CHAIRMAN DUSTY JOHNSON, COMMISSIONER

#### COMMISSION STAFF

Rolayne Ailts Wiest Kara Semmler Greg Rislov Harlan Best Terri LaBrie Baker Dave Jacobson Bob Knadle Brian Rounds Deb Gregg

#### **APPEARANCES**

Talbot Wieczorek Mary Sisak (by telephone) Brett Koenecke

# PRECISION REPORTING

Cheri Wittler, RPR, CRR

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the Joe Foss Building, 523 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 29th day of July 2008, commencing at 9:40 a.m. 

1 CHAIRMAN HANSON: We are on item TC07-007, In the 2 Matter of the Petition of Brookings Municipal Utilities doing business as Swiftel Communications For Suspension or 3 4 Modification of Dialing Parity, Number Portability, and 5 Reciprocal Compensation Obligations. We will take Swiftel's Motion to Compel filed against 6 7 Midcontinent first. Thank you. This is Mary Sisak on behalf 8 MS. SISAK: 9 of Swiftel. It is our understanding that Midcontinent has filed 10 to withdraw from this case and, therefore, possibly it's 11 appropriate to put this Motion to Compel to a later date. Ιf 12 Midcontinent's motion is granted, then it would seem no need to 13 go forward. 1.4 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Is Midcontinent represented here? 15 Mr. Gerdes. 16 MR. GERDES: Chairman, I hope so. I'm Dave Gerdes. 17 Yes, sir. I'm sorry. I was not paying attention earlier. 18 What's the question? 19 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Sisak stated that -- or yes, 20 stated that it was her understanding that Midcontinent had 21 withdrawn. 22 MR. GERDES: We have filed a Motion to Withdraw, 23 that's correct, Mr. Chairman, from this docket.

Thank you. Ms. Wiest, what action

CHAIRMAN HANSON:

is necessary from the Commission then?

24

MS. AILTS WIEST: I think I would agree with Ms. Sisak that since there is a pending motion to withdraw that this Motion to Compel should just be deferred at this time.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. Thank you. We will defer it then.

Swiftel's Motion to Compel filed against MCC, item number 2.

MS. SISAK: Yes. This is Mary Sisak again. Swiftel filed discovery and request for production of documents, served them on Mediacom. Mediacom failed to respond or to object to any of Swiftel's Interrogatories or document requests. Swiftel sent a number of e-mail communications to Mediacom asking if they intended to respond.

We did receive one response from them that they did intend to respond. However, we received no response. And last -- or yesterday, I believe, Mediacom filed a motion to join in Sprint's argument against Swiftel's Motion to Compel Sprint to respond to Interrogatories.

I have a couple of points to make about that. First it is our argument that because Mediacom failed to object in a timely fashion to any of the discovery requests or requests for production of documents, that they have lost that opportunity to object, and they must now simply respond to all of the questions.

Two, with respect to a motion to join in Sprint's

1.7

1 | argument, I don't believe that's an appropriate motion.

Mediacom and Sprint are two separate parties. They have filed to intervene separately in this case. They were granted party status separately in this case.

Swiftel served separate questions and, in fact, different questions on Mediacom, questions different than the ones that were served on Sprint. And, therefore, it's simply not possible for Sprint's arguments to also apply to the questions propounded to Mediacom.

And, therefore, we would ask the Commission to order Mediacom to answer all of the questions and produce all of the documents requested.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Is Mediacom represented?

MR. KOENECKE: Yes, we are, Commissioner.

Brett Koenecke for Mediacom. I apologize to Ms. Sisak. As everyone knows, there are a number of ongoing dockets regarding Swiftel, Mediacom, and Sprint and other related parties.

We entered into a stipulation recently to get out of dockets involving similar issues, and I'll just come in with palms up and say I confess that I thought this was one of those dockets that we were getting out of.

Ms. Cremer alerted me to that on Thursday afternoon and I wish I had the luxury Mr. Gerdes had of just being able to get out of this docket but I don't. I focused my attention this weekend on preparing the dockets I owed Ms. Sisak under her

1 motion and other dockets we're going to consider later here and 2 filed the joinder as sort of a last-ditch effort.

I would ask the Commission to just simply treat

Mediacom similarly with Sprint. We're both seeking to join

forces in that -- the Brookings territory. And if that's not

appropriate, then we will answer the questions and do so as soon

as we possibly can. I apologize to Ms. Sisak and the

Commission. We just dropped the ball.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, we can probably help you get out of it if you really want to get out of it.

Ms. Sisak, do you have a reply?

MS. SISAK: Well, if I understand Mr. Koenecke's comment, he I believe offered to answer all of the questions, and that is what we -- that's what Swiftel is requesting that the Commission order them to do in the Motion to Compel. So we would agree with that.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Ms. Wiest, did you have anything?

MS. AILTS WIEST: Did staff have an opinion?

MS. CREMER: This is Karen Cremer from staff. And basically I -- staff's position would be they should answer those that are clearly different than Sprint's answer -- well, they need to answer all of them, and if it's the same as Sprint's answer, they can say that. And if it's different, they should answer them.

1 Thank you. Commissioners, is there CHAIRMAN HANSON: 2 a motion or questions? MS. AILTS WIEST: I just have one clarification 3 4 This is Rolayne Wiest. I think the Commission should 5 grant the Motion to Compel for Mediacom to respond. I think 6 Ms. Sisak has said that they lost their right to object to 7 I'm not sure that was actually in her motion. anything. 8 stated that she reserves the right to file a further motion in 9 the event that Mediacom responds or objects. So to the extent 10 that Mediacom objects, I think we would take that up, you know, 11 at a different date. 12 So at this point I believe what the Commission should 13 do is direct -- or grant the Motion to Compel that Mediacom does 14 need to respond to these discovery. 15 Thank you. Commissioners, is there CHAIRMAN HANSON: 16 a motion? 17 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I'll motion as the staff's 1.8 request that Mediacom needs to respond to the Motion to Compel. 19 CHAIRMAN HANSON: According to the recommendations by staff. 20 21 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Discussion? Commissioner Johnson. 23 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Kolbeck. 24 25 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK:

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. The motion carries.

The third item before us at this time is Swiftel's Motion to Compel against Alltel.

Ms. Sisak.

1.1

1.6

2.0

MS. SISAK: Thank you. Swiftel has filed a number of -- or filed to compel a number of Interrogatories and document requests against Alltel. And Alltel in its response has further clarified and supplemented some of the questions. And so I will point that out as we go through them.

The first group of questions that Swiftel raised,
Interrogatories 3, 7, 8, and 9, they all ask Alltel to identify
information about telecommunications carriers that they exchange
traffic with, interconnection arrangements that they have in
South Dakota and MTA-12 carriers that they're porting numbers to
and switches that they are interconnected with.

And all of this information is important and relevant to go to the claim and the arguments made by Swiftel in its Petition, Suspension Petition, concerning the burden that will be imposed on Swiftel if its Suspension Petition is not granted.

Alltel essentially argues that -- a couple of things. One is that -- that it only seeks to have Swiftel carry traffic to Sioux Falls and, therefore, any information about any other points in South Dakota where traffic may or may not be carried are not relevant.

They also argue that it is Swiftel's burden to prove its case, and it seems to me that their argument is essentially that we have to come up with all the information and they shouldn't have to provide any information to help us prove that argument.

We believe that their arguments on both points are simply wrong. Alltel intervened in this case and obtained full party status and, therefore, as a party to this case all of the rights of being a party apply to Alltel. But all of the obligations of being a party also apply to Alltel.

We would also point out that although it is Swiftel's burden to prove its case, Swiftel also has the right to respond to the arguments made by the other parties that -- the arguments that the other parties raised to refute Swiftel's case. And, in fact, the information that we are requesting directly goes to various arguments and claims made by Alltel and by Sprint that seek to refute Swiftel's cost showing.

As to Alltel's point that they only seek to have Swiftel carry traffic to Sioux Falls and, therefore, because of this concession no other information is relevant, well, that's simply not correct. The legal argument that Alltel and Sprint both make to justify their claim that Swiftel must carry traffic to Sioux Falls is that Swiftel is required by law to carry traffic anywhere within the LATA. Simply because Alltel -- even if you assume for the sake of argument that they would never

request anything other than Swiftel to carry traffic to Sioux Falls, it's the legal principle that Swiftel is seeking a suspension of. And Swiftel is basically asking this Commission to say, no, it would be too costly and too burdensome to require Swiftel to comply with a legal requirement that they carry traffic anywhere in the LATA.

Now this is the -- you know, the legal principle that Alltel and Sprint are putting forward, and this is the basis of Swiftel's petition asking -- even if that is the legal requirement, don't make Swiftel do it and the right we have under the Communications Act to make that argument is the suspension petition process.

But I would also point out a couple of practical things about Alltel's supposed concession. One is Alltel -- even if Alltel makes this concession on its behalf, it cannot make this concession on behalf of any other carrier that would seek to take advantage of a legal principle established that Swiftel must carry traffic anywhere in the LATA.

And I would also note that as a practical matter

Alltel's concession is rather meaningless since it appears

Alltel will no longer be providing service in South Dakota as of
the end of this year when Verizon acquires them.

So for all of those reasons we believe that Alltel's arguments against our motion must fail, and our Motion to Compel should be granted.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek.

MR. WEICZOREK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Can everybody hear me okay on this thing? First a couple of points. Before I jump into the specific Interrogatories, I think our brief does a pretty good job of explaining what's going on here.

This Commission's already heard today different arguments over relevancy and things like that. But I think it's important to remember the test of relevancy or whether something's discoverable turns on the issues in play. As my brief fully describes, Swiftel's primary point here is a transport question. So the question really becomes what is the cost for Swiftel to transport.

Now Alltel in response to these first -- her first block of Interrogatories -- well, from out of the gate Alltel in its initial responses said, hey, look, we're not going to ask you to take it anywhere but Sioux Falls. Now she did ask another question saying what do you think Swiftel's obligation is under the law? Well, take it somewhere in the LATA. We think that's what the law requires. But we're not going to ask you to take it anywhere but Sioux Falls.

So I am at a loss to understand how knowing whether we have a POI in Martin, South Dakota to exchange traffic has any bearing on this case. This Commission could very well decide, yes, you have an obligation to deliver traffic within a LATA but we're going to give you a suspension saying you don't have to

take it anywhere but Sioux Falls. That's the concession Alltel's given.

So how in the world -- every switch we connect to is Alltel. Every switch in MTA-12, directly or indirectly we have to provide that. Where do we deliver our traffic to any company in MTA-12 and I believe South Dakota, how is that becoming relevant? The question is what transport's going to have to be provided. Transport for Alltel is to Sioux Falls. That's the concession given.

It's almost as if because Alltel intervenes, Alltel now has to somehow carry enough information and provide enough information so Swiftel can say what every other carrier does that didn't intervene.

Ms. Sisak is correct an Alltel concession is not necessarily binding on other party -- parties not present. But certainly if Alltel has conceded and nobody else in this proceeding has conceded, we're not asking to take it any further than Sioux Falls. This Commission can very easily decide that's where we're only going to require you to take it.

The same reason that Alltel's concession doesn't bind anybody else, all the information on Alltel's network has absolutely no bearing on everybody anybody else's network. So for the argument that they need this information to determine these 14 other carriers they say that they might have to transport traffic to, I don't think that carries any water.

On the focus, the focus is on Swiftel. That's the standard. That's the standard this Commission has adopted. That's the standard of the federal act. That's what this Commission has looked at in prior suspensions. So the question is to Swiftel and what has to be proven in this case is where do you have to carry your traffic and what's it going to cost? All that anybody who's intervened is saying, we're only asking to carry it to Sioux Falls, that seems to be the baseline.

And the fact that some other company out of -T-Mobile didn't intervene, and they might ask to be carried
someplace else. That shouldn't put a burden on Alltel to
provide a lot of extra peripheral information that has no
bearing on this case or Alltel's position on this case. And I
believe that concession remedies the questions and the
information requested under those Interrogatories.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Staff, did you have anything?

MS. CREMER: Well, I didn't have the pleasure of sitting through the last one you had, but when they group these together do you want to take them one by one or do you want me to just group them -- I mean, some of what she's asking for -- some of what Brookings is asking for is irrelevant and as to, you know, what are we looking at here, 3 and 4, 7, 8? Yeah.

You know, some of it is burdensome and some of it's not relevant and I am -- you know, some of it can be covered by

```
1
     their confidentiality agreement, I believe. I'm not sure how
2
     you approach these.
 3
               MS. AILTS WIEST: I think you just take it -- I mean,
 4
     if you have a different position on each one, just take them
 5
     separately.
 6
               MS. CREMER: I really don't. I don't believe this
 7
     needs to be granted as to this grouping.
               MS. AILTS WIEST:
 8
                                  Oh, okay.
 9
               CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioners? Any questions?
10
               Is there a motion on 3, 7, 8, and 9?
               COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I would move that the
11
12
     Commission deny the Motion to Compel with regard to
13
     Interrogatories 3, 7, 8, and 9.
14
                CHAIRMAN HANSON: Discussion?
15
                Commissioner Johnson.
16
                COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:
                                       Aye.
17
                CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Kolbeck.
18
                COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Aye.
19
                CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes yes. Motion carries.
20
                The next item is Interrogatory 4.
21
                Ms. Sisak.
22
                MS. SISAK:
                            Yes.
                                  Interrogatory No. 4, let's see,
2.3
     Alltel -- Interrogatory No. 4 asks Alltel to identify its
24
      switches, interoffice transport routes, intercompany
     transmission facilities, points of interconnection with other
25
```

carriers, call record data, transport transmission equipment, facilities, and a couple of other things.

Alltel essentially argued that they provided this information in Interrogatory 4. And in our Motion to Compel what we'd point out is that the only thing Alltel provided in a response to Interrogatory 4 was two switches. It did not provide any information on interoffice transport routes, intercompany transmission facilities, points of interconnection with other carriers; and, therefore, their answer is not complete.

And they also object, although it's not entirely clear which part of the question they object to or whether they object to the entire question. But with respect to this specific information, Swiftel would again make its argument that we have the right to try to prove our case and try to refute their contrary arguments.

And one of the things that has been raised and one of the points that Alltel has made is that the cost exhibits provided by Swiftel to show their potential costs are not realistic. And the information which shows where Alltel's existing facilities are and points of interconnection and switches will essentially show what is realistic in the realm of possibility of what Alltel may ultimately require of Swiftel or, frankly, any entity that ultimately purchases Alltel's facilities as a result of the Verizon acquisition of Alltel.

And for that reason we would ask that Alltel be compelled to provide the answer for their remaining information requested in Interrogatory 4.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek.

MR. WEICZOREK: Thank you, Chairman. Again, this information is similar to what was just asked for in that first group. Again, Alltel's position that, hey, look, you only have to bring it as far as Sioux Falls. That's all we're asking. That's the only position we're taking in this.

Why does that make an interconnection in Martin relevant? Why does is it even make the intermachine trunking that might exist between Rapid and Sioux Falls? That's for calls we're carrying over to Sioux Falls and then delivering out. I mean, that's not something that's going to deal with Swiftel. Swiftel's going to deliver to Sioux Falls.

So the information -- to the extent that we thought there was readily available information that might respond to it even though we questioned the relevancy or whether anything would be admissible given the standards that have to be met and the burden and the elements and the test for suspension we provided, it should be sufficient that they know where our switches are at. There's in intermachine trunking between those two. We provided that diagram. We provided the routing information out of -- for the exchanges in South Dakota.

If for some reason that has relevancy -- I'm not even

1 sure that even has any type of relevancy or is going to be 2 admissible in any case in this proceeding. I'm at a loss to understand what the argument's going Again, it goes to we have provided sufficient Δ 5 information for her to set their costs, and that is what's the 6 transport that we have to take to Sioux Falls. And none of this 7 information goes to any of those transport questions beyond that. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Ms. Cremer. 10 MS. CREMER: Staff believes this information sought is not relevant and would recommend denial. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Wiest, did you have something? 13 I just had a question for MS. AILTS WIEST: Yeah. Ms. Sisak. 14 15 Is that correct, in your opinion, Ms. Sisak, that none 16 of the information goes to their transport costs to Sioux Falls? 17 MS. SISAK: No. We do not agree with that argument. 1.8 MS. AILTS WIEST: Specifically what would affect that 19 that you asked for here? 20 MS. SISAK: Interoffice transport routes, intercompany 21 transmission facilities, points of interconnection with other 22 carriers. 23 All of those would be relevant to if MS. AILTS WIEST:

MS. SISAK: Yes. Assuming they have any intercompany

you only had to transport to Sioux Falls?

24

transmission facilities, points of interconnection with other carriers, and interoffice transport routes to Sioux Falls, that would most definitely be relevant. And, by the way, they must have it because they claim to have a point of presence in Sioux Falls.

1.4

MR. WIECZOREK: A switch in Sioux Falls.

MS. SISAK: Or a switch in Sioux Falls. So they must have these other things as well.

MS. AILTS WIEST: I guess my point would be to the extent this is relevant to cost to transport to Sioux Falls, then I think the information should be provided.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioners, did you have any questions?

Tal -- excuse me. Mr. Wieczorek, did you have anything?

MR. WEICZOREK: I'm not sure -- like I said, if I'm going to be ordered to compel something we think is relevant, I don't know what it would be. It would seem to me that the only thing that would be -- that you could argue on the point of interconnect that would be relevant is do you have a point of interconnect with a carrier closer than Sioux Falls.

And then because otherwise then if we're going to argue we've got to take it to Martin, clearly Martin's much further away. So if we're only saying Sioux Falls, that seems to be -- to limit their -- or their length of traffic there. Or

the only thing maybe you could argue -- and I'm just speculating because, frankly, Swiftel -- they say, yeah, it's relevant, we need it to determine, but they don't explain how they're going to go use it. So it's purely guessing here. Maybe the argument would be we got a trunk that goes right by Swiftel territory. Is there a way to look into that?

2.2

But, like I said, what relevancy does what we have going on in Minneapolis have to do with transports for them?
What relevancy does it have to do with interconnect in Martin?
What relevancy does what's going on with with our switch in Rapid have do with this?

So I'm at a loss to see that there's any -- this is going to lead to anything that's going to be admissible. All it's going to be is just go give us as much information and everything you've got about your network and MTA-12 in South Dakota and none of it's going to be used by anybody but we want to put you through the exercise. That's what this is doing here.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Sisak.

MS. SISAK: Yes. Thank you. Alltel has argued in this same case that Swiftel's cost exhibits are exaggerated and unreasonable because Swiftel has modeled separate trunks for individual carriers and Alltel has argued that a more efficient way of providing transport would be to combine everything on common trunks.

But if all carriers that Swiftel may have to transport traffic to are located at different points, even in or around Sioux Falls, then that would go to show that Alltel's argument is false and that -- and common trunks could not be used and that separate trunks would be required.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioners, any questions?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Well, I do find Ms. Sisak's last comment, I mean, compelling. I mean, I think it's a good point.

I would look to Ms. Wiest. Is there any way we could narrow the interrogatory to get at the information that would be perhaps admissible or lead to admissible information at hearing?

MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, I guess my original point was to the extent that this goes to transporting costs that are related to -- you know, that are transportation to Sioux Falls, it would seem to be relevant in that respect.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Do we need to put parameters around that, or is that sort of a general statement, would that be acceptable? I mean, what's around Sioux Falls?

MS. AILTS WIEST: I don't know.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Is there a way in which we can phrase the -- a motion that compels a limited arena there?

MS. AILTS WIEST: I mean, could it be related to transport, you know, costs that are related to -- that are relevant or related to transporting traffic to Sioux Falls?

MS. SISAK: Would it be appropriate for me to make a suggestion?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Certainly.

2.5

MS. SISAK: Alltel has argued that it has made a concession, that there is a specific point to which -- or almost that -- only a specific point to which it would ever ask Swiftel to transport traffic. Alltel must know what that point is so they could identify that point and then provide all the related information associated with that point.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek.

MR. WEICZOREK: Well, yeah. Our switch in Sioux Falls might have points of interconnect with a number of different carriers that have absolutely nothing to do with it. I mean, the actual location of the switch, I don't see that there's -- well, I'm sure Swiftel has that or it's available in the documents that -- the information and -- the LERG.

So I'm not exactly sure what she'd be looking for, you know. We can provide the location of our switch in Sioux Falls.

MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, yes. I would agree with Ms. Sisak's statement then.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. Thank you. Just trying to figure out how to phrase a motion at this point. Excuse me.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I wonder, Mr. Chairman. It seems like there's a little bit of a gap between what the parties are talking about. I mean, Mr. Wieczorek, his

concession has been we'll tell you where the switch is but

Ms. Wiest and I think Ms. Sisak were talking about other

associated infrastructure and other costs related to that. Am I

missing that?

MS. AILTS WIEST: No. I would go with what Ms. Sisak stated, and it would give the point and then the cost, and she can correct me if I'm wrong, related to delivery to that point, once that point is identified.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I'd ask, Mr. Chairman, if we can have Ms. Sisak state her point once again and see if Mr. Wieczorek has any objection to that.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Sisak.

MS. SISAK: Yes. My suggestion was that Alltel would specify as part of their concession the specific point to which they would or may seek Swiftel to carry traffic, and then in connection with that specific point identify their switch. If there is a switch there, their interoffice transport routes that are associated with that point, their intercompany transmission facilities associated with that point, points of interconnection with other carriers associated with that point.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek.

MR. WEICZOREK: First of all, Ms. Wiest talks in terms of cost, and I can't give them what their -- I'm not sure that she's really asking for costs here. She's talking about -- I certainly can tell you we can certainly give you identification

of, hey, here's where our switch is currently located in Sioux Falls.

Now I don't want to get into a game if the Commission says, you know, I have to carry their switch in Sioux Falls we move the switch two blocks over that all the sudden there's an issue. We can give what we know today.

If you say provide all your intermachine trunking, well, there's intermachine trunking going to Rapid City. I don't see how that has any bearing. Perhaps the easiest way to do this would say, okay, if Swiftel carries to the switch at Alltel, what other switches is Alltel directly interconnected with on that switch, what other carriers. Because could Swiftel carry to Alltel and Alltel hand it off to somebody else?

Perhaps that's about the only thing that I could see would be arguably relevant to figure out the costs whether they could use the traffic or a direction using an Alltel switch they didn't use for SDN.

But if you go into identify all these other -- all this other information on interconnection, well, that switch, you know, is indirectly interconnected to everything and is directly interconnected to probably maybe a half a dozen other -- well, some. Probably not a half a dozen. Some other LECs. Because we provide traffic direct to some other LECs. It's connected to SDN. It's connected to Qwest.

So, you know, I could see where the information --

1 hey, where's your switch and what other switches are you 2 directly interconnected with would have some relevance, but 3 beyond that I can't see that it makes much sense. 4 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Wiest, do you have a --5 MS. AILTS WIEST: I guess I would still lean toward 6 what Ms. Sisak stated as to what it be narrowed down to. 7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Any discussion by the Commissioners? 8 Ms. Sisak, this is Commissioner Hanson. Why would it 9 not be appropriate -- sufficient, rather, for Alltel to simply 10 provide you with the switch's location and the other direct 11 connections that it has? Why would that not be enough 12 information? I'm sorry. The switch -- the proposal is 13 MS. SISAK: 14 that they would identify the switch to which they would ask --15 the only switch to which they would ever ask Swiftel to route 16 traffic and then the other points of interconnection? I didn't 17 quite -- I couldn't hear Mr. Wieczorek, and so I'm not quite 18 sure if I'm understanding your question. 19 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, then I'll ask Mr. Wieczorek to 20 restate his suggestion. 21 MR. WEICZOREK: Well, again --22 CHAIRMAN HANSON: And please let me know if you cannot 23 hear him.

Yeah.

know, like I said, it's difficult for me to do an analysis on

MR. WEICZOREK:

Again, I think what -- you

24

her arguments because in the -- she attempts to say it's relevant because we need to figure out costs, but she doesn't explain how it actually plays in.

To me the only thing you can make an argument say, okay, I got to take it to your Sioux Falls switch. Now perhaps I could only use one DS-1 if you're directly connected to, let's say, RCC, and you could hand off directly to RCC. So that might be relevant, are you directly connected to RCC? Who you directly connected to?

But beyond that, my intermachine trunking from Sioux Falls to Rapid, who cares? It doesn't have any bearing on this case.

So to me -- I'm actually kind of trying to come up with her argument, you know, what would actually maybe be an argument she could do for the cost. And, again, I want to reiterate, you know, there's a switch at a certain location in Sioux Falls today and we're asking to be carried to Sioux Falls but people move switches occasionally or upgrade switches and put them in a different building. So I don't want to be stuck to a location, physical location, specifically in Sioux Falls.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Excuse me. Mr. Wieczorek, you had a specific suggestion?

MR. WEICZOREK: Well, my suggestion is identify the current location of your switch and who you're directly interconnected to. Because if perhaps there's the ability --

perhaps there would be an argument, that we carry this to Alltel and Alltel can hand it off to XYZ Company because they're directly interconnected. And we can do it -- you know, maybe there's an argument of one track there.

Δ

You know, it's not -- it's not a -- there's not some mystery here. Four years ago we talked about it in LNP, and Alltel's position was, look, ILECs, you can carry either SDN or Qwest back to one switch and you can branch out and do the transport that way. That hasn't changed in our four years. I mean, that's what this issue is about. It's not some mystery as to what paths are available out there.

So, you know, I -- if it's a direct connect to Alltel's switch, then it's a direct connect to Alltel's switch. The only other thing I think anybody could ever make an argument as to relevancy on this if you're directly connected to RCC or some XYZ wireless company, maybe you could hand off there too and that would impact your costs.

But beyond that intermachine trunking to Rapid City?

You're going to -- I can't imagine Commission's going to say I

have to indirectly connect their calls to some carrier

West River.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Sisak, it was within that framework that I was asking why it would not be appropriate to simply have Alltel identify their switch location and those entities that they are directly connected to and whether or not

that would satisfy Swiftel.

MS. SISAK: Thank you. I would respond in this way, and possibly I misunderstood the Commission's earlier ruling. But it was my understanding that Alltel represented that they would never require Swiftel to carry traffic to any point beyond their switch in Sioux Falls.

During the course of this conversation I have essentially asked Alltel to put that in writing and more importantly to identify where that switch is.

The cost of transport is based on miles. And as one of the earlier conversations by the Commissioners, the question was raised, well, how do we identify what's around Sioux Falls? And my suggestion was to simply have Alltel pick a point. Now Alltel is backing away from that.

So we get back to the original question of, number one, what is -- what are they really offering as far as a concession and, number two, where is this magic point? How can we price the cost of facilities to a point that they -- that Alltel will not specify and will not commit to?

And that really goes back to my other argument that their concession is worthless, since the point where traffic has to be carried, the cost of that is based on mileage and so the point is very important. And if they won't commit to a point, then we don't really know where we're going to have to transport traffic. And that opens the door, I believe, widely.

So my response -- my specific response to your direct question is without a commitment from Alltel as to the specific point where they -- the only point where they would require Swiftel to carry traffic, then it just becomes a guessing game as to where that point might be, and then what we need to know to be able to make an educated guess is what their network really looks like and where it would be most likely they would ask us to carry traffic.

And there may be multiple points in Sioux Falls for all I know. I just don't know.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Do either of the other Commissioners have any questions at this time? If not -- excuse me.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Nothing. Just talking to myself.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: I think we all will be after this is over. Interrogatory No. 4, I am -- excuse me. Did you have something?

MS. AILTS WIEST: I have one question.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Go right ahead.

MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, then Mr. Wieczorek, when you kind of equivocated on the point in Sioux Falls you don't want to be stuck to a specific location, is it your point that it would be in Sioux Falls?

MR. WEICZOREK: Yeah. My point is it's -- and as far as writing, we put it in writing in the Responses to

Interrogatories. We did that up front in the initial Responses. We clarified it in a conference call where I had Ron Williams on the call with Ms. Sisak back right after the Fourth of July.

All I'm saying is, look, we have a switch in Sioux Falls. There's no plans to move it. But I think it would be inappropriate for a Commission to order, you know, it's on 506 12th Street in Sioux Falls. I mean, that becomes unrealistic. What's going to happen four years from now?

I mean, that's all I'm saying is I don't want to get into an argument down the line somewhere if you upgrade your switching, move it across the street, somehow the suspension changes.

And Sioux Falls is not -- well, as everybody here knows, Sioux Falls is the biggest city in South Dakota, but it ain't that big. To get to the other side of Sioux Falls only adds a couple of miles -- 6 from one side to the other, but our switch is kind of in the middle of Sioux Falls, if I recall correctly. If she wants to add a couple of miles in case we move to the south side of Sioux Falls, I'm not going to panic about that.

MS. AILTS WIEST: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: How would we phrase a gregarious -- or until the knot on that? State that they will identify where the present location of the switch is and all direct connections to it?

MS. AILTS WIEST: Right. With the understanding that it would be carrying traffic to its switch in Sioux Falls. I mean, I guess there's just a minor quibble that possibly could be moved someplace different in Sioux Falls.

11.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioners, should I make a motion like that, how would it be supported? Are you looking at different directions?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Well, sorry. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's all right.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I mean, I don't think this interrogatory asks for any sort of a -- it doesn't ask for Alltel to make a promise about a specific location. I mean, couldn't we ask them to identify the specific point that at this time they would expect the connection would take place at as well as the interoffice transport routes, intercompany transmission facilities, and point of interconnection with other carriers that are directly connected to that point?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: That's what I said when I said I.D. the present location.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: I don't see anything that are actions here by a motion of that nature would restrict future movement of that switch.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yeah. I mean, I don't have any problem with your motion.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. I will make that motion then. Would you phrase my motion for me?

MS. AILTS WIEST: No. I'm sorry. I believe

Commissioner Johnson did add more -- you talked about direct

connections, and I believe Commissioner Johnson added in -- is

that correct, Commissioner Johnson?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Identifying the present condition of the switch and those that are directly connected to the switch.

And did you have something to add to that?

commissioner Johnson: I did. I at the time inadvertently added some of the additional wording that Ms. Sisak and her client had added in Interrogatory No. 4. In some of these instances I don't know exactly how it could be used but as long as we're talking about just in and around Sioux Falls, the other wording I added I don't have any heartburn with it.

Now that being said, it's not the pending motion so I would be supportive of your motion or an amended motion with that additional wording.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: What is the additional wording?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: If you look at Interrogatory 4,

Swiftel asks for the switches as well as interoffice transport routes, intercompany transmission facilities, and points of interconnection with other carriers. And, again, I would want them to be directly related to the Sioux Falls location we're

1 talking about. And I think Mr. Wieczorek's point about 2 Rapid City or Minneapolis is well taken at least by me. 3 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Wiest, I gathered from your 4 previous statements that you believe that the verbiage that was 5 added by Commissioner Johnson is appropriate? 6 MS. AILTS WIEST: Yes. 7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. I'll accept that as a 8 motion then. And discussion on the motion? 9 Commissioner Johnson. 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Aye. 11 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Kolbeck. 12 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: 13 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. Motion carries. 14 Brings us to Interrogatories 10, 23, and 24. And I 15 would just mention that we have one hour left on the phone link. 16 And at 2 o'clock we will begin hearings on other matters. 17 Interrogatories 10, 23, and 24, Ms. Sisak. 18 MS. SISAK: Thank you. Alltel responded in part --(Inaudible). 19 20 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Sisak, we cannot hear you. 21 MS. SISAK: Oh, can you hear me at this time? 22 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. 23 MS. SISAK: Okay. Alltel responded in part to some of 24 these Interrogatories. And so my argument will be limited to 25 these questions that -- to the extent they seek information

quantifying the volume of traffic sent to Swiftel through the Owest tandem.

And this information is important in this proceeding to calculate the economic impact on Swiftel but also to test various claims made by Alltel in its testimony.

For example, at page 4 of Mr. Williams' testimony
Alltel alleges that there is no need for Swiftel suspension
petition because Swiftel has been able to successfully negotiate
interconnection agreements with Alltel and others.

At page 10 Mr. Williams states that Swiftel has overstated the need for transport by modeling direct connections to each competitor when other connections, indirect connections would be more efficient. Alltel also states that it is indirectly connected to Swiftel and that it terminates traffic to Swiftel through Qwest.

The information that we seek will provide data which tests all of these claims made by Alltel. In other words, how much traffic does Alltel really send to Swiftel through the Qwest tandem. And we can then compare that to how much traffic Swiftel is able to recognize and to bill reciprocal comp to Alltel for the traffic.

And I think the Commission is well-aware of the phantom traffic issue where the incumbent LECs like Swiftel receive a lot of traffic through the Qwest tandem that they can never identify.

Now I would also note that Alltel claims it doesn't have this information. But we challenge that assertion. Qwest bills Alltel for the use of the Qwest tandem. So Qwest must send Alltel a bill. And even if the information is not now in Alltel's possession, surely Alltel can obtain that information from Qwest.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek.

MR. WEICZOREK: Yeah. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is really an arbitration question. I mean, that's what this is about. I mean, it's not a suspension question, these Interrogatories. They go to what reciprocal comp and whether Swiftel feels that it's properly identifying their traffic.

Again, our traffic being delivered is not part of any kind of suspension. It's about whether they have to take traffic to a point outside their service area.

You know, I don't see how this leads them to any information that has any bearing on this case. It's a -- like I said, if -- I don't see where the relevancy of this information exists or how it's going to be admissible in any case or going to lead to anything. And beyond that I'm not sure what else I can say about it.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: I wish to make sure that -- earlier

Ms. Sisak said she could not hear some of the replies.

Ms. Sisak, were you able to hear that?

MS. SISAK: I believe I was able to hear most of it.

Although, Mr. Wieczorek's voice is rather soft.

MR. WEICZOREK: I'm an easy going guy.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: We will ask him to get close to the mic. and, please, it's really important that both parties are able to hear each other in order to hopefully reach some common ground here as we go through this process. So please immediately let us know if you cannot hear any of the speakers including myself. Thank you.

Staff, did you have -- staff does not have anything to add to this item.

Ms. Wiest.

MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, Ms. Sisak's argument is that -- first of all, she's limiting it to the extent the volume of traffic is sent to Swiftel through the Qwest tandem, and she states it will calculate the economic impact on Swiftel. Based upon those assertions and that limitation, I think it should be granted to that extent, to the extent that Alltel has that information.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Commissioners, what are your druthers?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Just a clarification question for Ms. Wiest. Ms. Wiest, there were -- were the other questions in the Interrogatory answered? I think you just addressed the volume of traffic sent to Swiftel or the Qwest trunk; is that right? The Qwest tandem, rather.

MS. AILTS WIEST: That's the only thing I heard
Ms. Sisak mention. And what I have written down, which I could
be wrong, is she was limiting it to the extent of the volume of
traffic sent to Swiftel through the Qwest tandem.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ms. Sisak, is there anything else that we've missed?

MS. SISAK: Well, no. I think that's accurate. But if you look, for example, Interrogatory 10 specifically asks about calls by volume of traffic and Subpart C through the Qwest tandem. Interrogatory 23 asks for the identification of interMTA minutes of use and intraMTA minutes of use. And so then, you know, the caveat that we have added is, you know, through the Qwest tandem. And then Interrogatory 24 also specifically addresses the Qwest tandem.

So I think what we're basically saying is
Interrogatory 10, Subpart A and B, we're not seeking any further
response on that. And Interrogatory 23, Subparts 1 and 2 as it
relates to traffic that is not through the Qwest tandem we're
not seeking any further response on that.

MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, then in Interrogatory 24 that would be the Qwest tandem and Swiftel, not Qwest tandem and rural ILEC end office.

MS. SISAK: I'm sorry. I didn't understand your question.

MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, in 24 you said between the

1 Qwest tandem and a rural ILEC end office, but I thought you were 2 limiting it to everything between Qwest and Swiftel. 3 MS. SISAK: Well, actually I was limiting it 4 through -- through the Qwest tandem I did not further limit 5 it -- but, of course, if the Commission feels that it's 6 appropriate to further limit 24, that is obviously the 7 Commission's prerogative. 8 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Johnson, does that 9 answer your question then? 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yeah. 11 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Is there a motion then on Interrogatories 10, 23, 24? 12 13 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I'll hazard one. Sorry. I'11 14 hazard one. I'll move the Commission grant in part the Motion 15 to Compel for Interrogatories 10, 23, and 24, only to the extent 16 that they deal with traffic and information delivered to Swiftel 17 through the Qwest tandem. 18 Did I get that right? 19 MS. AILTS WIEST: Yes. And my only other caveat was to the extent they actually have that information. 20 21 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Right. 22 And that is part of the motion then? CHAIRMAN HANSON: 23 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: (Nods head). 24 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Discussion on the motion? 25 Commissioner Johnson.

1 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ave. 2 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Kolbeck. 3 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Ave. CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes yes. Motion carries. 5 Interrogatories 11, 25, and 26. Ms. Sisak. 7 Interrogatory 11, 25, and 26 MS. SISAK: Yes. requests financial information from Alltel. And we believe that 9 this information will address Alltel's argument that Swiftel's 10 petition will adversely affect competing carriers in Swiftel's 11 area. 12 In its response to Swiftel's petition Alltel argued 13 that Swiftel's request was inconsistent with the public interest 14 in a competitive exchange market. And they further argued that 15 it would impact competition and it will have a negative impact 16 on economic and the competitive climate in the affected market. 17 And we believe that this information will go to that 18 argument. 19 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek. 20 MR. WEICZOREK: Yeah. I think the arguments set forth 21 in the brief are pretty straight forward. This Commission's 22 already decided the focus is on Swiftel and its customers. doesn't seem to make any sense that to prove up their burden 23 24 they have to prove that we're profitable? I don't --

We're one telecommunications carrier out of hundreds.

The focus is not back on Alltel. You know, essentially her argument would be, hey, if you're profitable, we can get the suspension because you're already making money. That doesn't make any sense. It would be impossible then to -- given a suspension. Because the test then becomes we as a Commission have to look at every other carrier's profitability before we even decide on a suspension.

If it -- it makes sense that the economic focus, of course, is on Swiftel and its customers. The public interest becomes is the competition business something that will inhibit competition? So it doesn't make sense tat we have an economic analysis of every potential competitor to determine whether suspension is allowed.

That's all I would have unless there are questions.

MS. AILTS WIEST: I recommend denial.

MS. SISAK: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the last comment.

MS. AILTS WIEST: This is Rolayne Wiest, and I'm going to recommend denial.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Cremer.

MS. CREMER: Thank you. This is Karen Cremer from staff. I think in order to help move this on I'm going to give Commissioner Johnson my microphone, and if staff has something to add to it, we'll come over and use his mic. but otherwise it might make things go quickly. You don't need to ask staff each

1 and every time unless we have something unique to offer. 2 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Unless you raise your hand. 3 Unless I raise my hand. And in this case MS. CREMER: 4 I would agree with Ms. Wiest. 5 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Interrogatories 11, 25, and 26 I will move denial. Is there any discussion? Commissioner Johnson. 8 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ave. 9 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Kolbeck. 10 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Ave. 11 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Hanson votes yes. The 12 motion carries. Interrogatory 13. 13 Ms. Sisak. 14 MS. SISAK: Yes. In Interrogatory 13 we asked Alltel 15 to provide information identifying the rate centers that they populate the LERG to rate calls to one center and to route calls 16 17 to a different center. 18 Alltel did provide some information. However, the 19 information they provided does not show the rate centers. 20 we are simply asking them to show the rate centers. 21 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek. 22 MR. WEICZOREK: Yeah. I guess with the Commission's 23 direction, am I hearing the question's going to be what rate 24 center is -- in response to 13, that NPANXX is assigned to? Is 25 that their request?

1 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Sisak. 2 MS. SISAK: I could not hear Mr. Wieczorek's comment.

MR. WEICZOREK: I was just trying to clarify the request being made is it's limited -- the request is being limited to the -- identifying the rate centers for the NPANXXs that are a part of DR-13.

MS. SISAK: I'm sorry. I still didn't hear the --I heard identify the NPANXXs that are a part of --

MR. WEICZOREK: I was just trying to get the clarification they're looking for the billing rate centers for the NPANXXs for DR-13. I'm not sure I -- well, I believe from what she's said she's narrowed the request, and I'm just trying to make sure I understand what narrowing is.

MS. SISAK: No. Mr. Wieczorek, I don't believe you've understood my position. Alltel has provided information listing NPANXXs that supposedly rate calls to one rate center and route calls to a different rate center. But the chart provides -- oh, and the information that Alltel provided was limited to South Dakota.

We're not challenging that limitation. But you did not identify the rate center. We're simply asking you to identify the rate centers that match the information you provided.

> MR. WEICZOREK: I think that could be accomplished. CHAIRMAN HANSON: Under those circumstances I'm ready

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 to take Ms. Sisak's statement as a motion. 2 I'm not certain exactly how to rephrase that into a 3 motion. 4 MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, if he's going to provide it, 5 do we even need a motion? 6 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, it appears that the two of you 7 have agreed on it. Do you need to be compelled to do that, 8 Mr. Wieczorek? 9 MR. WEICZOREK: I don't believe so, Your Honor. 10 Mr. Chairman. 11 MS. SISAK: Is it Alltel's statement here that they 12 will provide the information? 13 CHAIRMAN HANSON: I believe they are on record as 14 saying that they will provide the information. Is that correct, 15 Mr. Wieczorek? 16 MR. WEICZOREK: Yes, Mr. Commissioner. 17 CHAIRMAN HANSON: They are on record. So we will then 18 move to Interrogatory 14. 19 MS. SISAK: Thank you. In Interrogatory 14 we asked 20 Alltel to simply state whether it allowed the subscribers to 21 select a long distance carrier other than Alltel. We asked this question because Swiftel argued that grant of its petition would 22 23 serve the public interest by ensuring choice of long distance

In its response Alltel contends that this is not true

24

25

providers for consumers.

and that Swiftel's request is inconsistent with the public interest. We really don't understand what -- frankly why this is a particular issue for Alltel. Again, all we ask Alltel to do is to state whether it allows its subscribers to select the long distance carrier other than Alltel.

If they say no, that will go to support our claim that Swiftel's service does provide consumer choice in long distance which possibly could be lost. And if they say yes, well, then that would go to, you know -- be a point against an argument made by Swiftel.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek.

MR. WEICZOREK: You know, we've talked about the fact that what Alltel does is not relevant for this proceeding, and I, you know -- I'm not sure that -- I look at what they requested suspension for and mostly goes to almost exclusively transport type issues so I'm not sure how the fact what Alltel offers its customers, whether they offer 1,000 minutes for 30 bucks a month or 10,000 minutes and that includes long distance or whether they have an option to choose their long distance carrier has any bearing on this matter at all.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Wiest.

MS. SISAK: I'm sorry. Commissioners, may I respond to that, or may I ask a question about Mr. Wieczorek's comment?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: First we'd like to hear from

25 Ms. Wiest.

Г

1.4

MS. AILTS WIEST: Based on Ms. Sisak's arguments, I think it possibly could be relevant so I would say grant the Motion to Compel on this one.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: You do still need to speak,
Ms. Sisak?

MS. SISAK: Well, not at this time.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Commissioners, is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I think this is going to be redundant and so I apologize to Ms. Sisak but can you explain to me again why that information -- why you think it's reasonable?

MS. SISAK: Yes, sir. And actually this does bring up my comment really on Mr. Wieczorek's argument. Mr. Wieczorek continues to argue that the only thing relevant in this proceeding is information about Swiftel. And that's simply not correct.

When Alltel or any other party makes a claim or challenges the claims made by Swiftel, then, of course, it is relevant to be able to test whether there is any merit to the objection.

And the point here is we made a statement that we -that Swiftel allows consumer choice in long distance provider,
and that is in the public interest. That's part of the overall
public interest argument of Swiftel's service. Alltel sought to
challenge that, and so we are simply trying to identify for the

Commissioners which carriers allow choice in long distance provider and which carriers don't and whether or not any other carrier out there does provide choice of long distance provider.

1.8

So it is part of the argument we are trying to make as to what is in the public interest. And I would also point out that because we haven't had the hearing yet and because we haven't done all the testimony and briefing, that's an open question. And to deny discovery means that we never have the opportunity to explore a challenge to one of our points.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And I am handicapped -Commissioner Johnson again. I'm handicapped because I didn't go
through the original LNP proceeding where I feel like you all
plowed a lot of this ground. But it hasn't quite dawned on me
yet why a proceeding, you know, asking for suspension of, you
know, modification of dialing parity, number portability, and
recip. comp obligations, how whether or not you can select a
long distance carrier, how that is a marketing or a service
offering of customers, how that comes into play in this
suspension proceeding.

MS. SISAK: May I respond to that?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Oh, yeah. I'm sure I missed something easy and profound, but I just don't get it yet.

MS. SISAK: Well, one of the findings -- I'm sorry.

Did someone else want to respond? No. One of the findings made

by the Commission in the LNP cases -- well, first of all, public

interest is one of the requirements that the Communications Act requires a local exchange carrier to demonstrate to be granted a suspension petition. So it's one of the necessary elements, a public interest showing.

1.0

The question I think that you're asking is why would this be part of the public interest showing. In the original LNP case frankly long distance calling really didn't come up. But what did come up in the original LNP case was universal service. And it was, rather, a broad-based finding actually that the Commission found that anything that impacts universal service should be looked at and should be considered.

And toll calling, whether Alltel or anyone else wants to admit it, is part of the universal service. And, in fact, when you are granted ETC designation like Alltel is, one of the things they have to commit to is the possibility that they may have to provide equal access. In other words, access to other long distance providers.

So I think it's well established that the whole question of the benefit of having alternative long distance carriers is part of a universal service evaluation and is part of the public interest evaluation, and public interest evaluation is one of the elements that Swiftel must prove in this case.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: But how would this proceeding change the obligation that Alltel and other ETCs have to provide

1 that equal access? 2 MS. SISAK: It would not. But, again, it goes to 3 refute Alltel's contention that -- well, Alltel's contention 4 that Swiftel's argument is wrong, that somehow the fact that 5 Swiftel provides access to other long distance providers is in 6 the public interest. It is Alltel that claimed that that is not 7 a true statement. 8 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ms. Wiest, do you have any 9 other clarifying comments to help me out? I think I'm most of 10 the way there. 11 MS. AILTS WIEST: No. Again, the discovery standards 12 as was discussed in the previous case are very broad. To the 13 extent that it possibly could be relevant, then if they're 14 supposed to be able to have access to that information, she 15 makes an argument as to public interest, which is one of them, 16 and that's why I recommended granting it. And it's not -- I 17 mean, it's just not a burdensome request either. 18 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: That's a good point. No. 19 Thanks. 2.0 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Is there a motion? 21 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I motion to grant Interrogatory No. 14. 22

Discussion? Commissioner Johnson.

I'll vote aye.

25 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Kolbeck.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:

CHAIRMAN HANSON:

23

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Aye.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. Motion carries. Interrogatory 19.

MS. SISAK: Yes. Interrogatory 19, Alltel responded -- Interrogatory 19 essentially asks three questions. Alltel responded to the first question. They did not respond to the second and to the third question, and we are simply asking Alltel to respond to the remaining questions.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek.

MR. WEICZOREK: Well, again, how Alltel handles this has got -- given the relationship -- Qwest has no bearing on this case in any way. So I'm at a loss to know why she -- she simply essentially a lot of this boils down to she gets to ask this because we intervened is what the argument is. There's no tying back as to how does this prove up my transport costs?

And so, you know, we've answered the question that it assigns numbers to subscribers based on subscribers' community of interest, and essentially that means that somebody who works and spends most of their time in Sioux Falls might want a Sioux Falls number. But we don't know whether that necessarily jives with that's what their rate is.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Sisak. Ms. Sisak, did you have anything to reply on that?

MS. SISAK: Well, my first comment was I really couldn't hear Mr. Wieczorek's comments. So I don't know what he

said.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: I need you to speak up immediately when you cannot hear or understand. And I'll apologize for your not being able to hear that. Like I say, it's really important that we have a two-way communication here and that all of the parties are able to hear each other.

Mr. Wieczorek --

MR. WEICZOREK: Yeah. I just said that I believe we've answered it. And as a clarification, if somebody comes in and they work in Sioux Falls, basically spend all their time in the Sioux Falls area, they might get a number for Sioux Falls. But Alltel doesn't go back and say, oh, let's do a correlation to make sure that their actual address is in the Sioux Falls rate center.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ms. Sisak, we're going to swap out mics. here. You've been able to hear the Commissioners fine?

MS. SISAK: Yes, I have.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Mr. Wieczorek is speaking as loud as we are so I think it's probably a mic. problem as opposed to a speaker problem.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Ms. Sisak, did you hear that, and are you able to comment on it?

MS. SISAK: Well, I think I heard part of it. It sounded like Mr. Wieczorek said that Alltel would answer the

question. Possibly I misunderstood.

MR. WEICZOREK: Possibly she did. I believe I said that we had answered the question, we believe, all three questions.

MS. SISAK: Your answer is Alltel assigns numbers to subscribers based on the subscribers' community of interest. And so then the second part of the question says Alltel assigns telephone numbers to the subscribers in the rate centers in which they reside? Is that no? I guess I don't think that the response given responds to subparts 2 and 3.

MR. WEICZOREK: And perhaps that's the clarification that was missed. I believe my statement was, for example, if somebody works and lives in the Sioux Falls area, they might ask for a Sioux Falls number. However, they might -- maybe they live right over the border in Alliance.

Alltel does not necessarily go through and look at a map as to whether they crossed over a rate center. But we don't keep that kind of information either as to, okay, did we actually give this guy a number in Sioux Falls, that's a Sioux Falls number but he lives right over the border in the Alliance rate center. So that's how we assign number, and we don't track it beyond that.

MS. SISAK: Well, if I may, it sounds like you're suggesting that the answer to subparts 2 and 3 is you don't know.

MR. WEICZOREK: I don't know or it could happen that somebody could live in a different rate center and have a number that's assigned to a neighboring rate center.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Wiest.

1.1

MS. SISAK: Commission, you know, I don't know how to further respond. I don't know if that's -- well, I don't know if Alltel is submitting that as their answer or their revised answer. If they are submitting that comment from Mr. Wieczorek as a revised answer, we will accept that.

MR. WEICZOREK: I'm sorry. I think -- you can treat it as a revised answer is that those situations undoubtedly occur, but they're not necessarily tracked.

MS. AILTS WIEST: Is there any reason for a motion then on this one?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek, you're telling us that you're not able to provide that information; is that correct?

MR. WEICZOREK: Yeah. I can't tell you that I know of every time it occurred, but I can tell you that it undoubtedly occurs where somebody lives or has a billing address in a different rate center for the numbers assigned. It just happens. I mean, people -- that's the way people get their numbers.

They might have a P.O. box in Sioux Falls, have their bills sent there but actually live outside of Sioux Falls, and

we would have no way of even knowing that they had been assigned to a different rate center.

MS. AILTS WIEST: Again, is any motion required here?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Sisak, it doesn't sound like a

motion is necessary here because there isn't anything to compel.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. We're moving on to Interrogatory 21, and if it is not obvious at this juncture, I'll point it out that we will not be visiting telecommunication dockets under items 8 and 9 that are on today's agenda.

Interrogatory 21, Ms. Sisak.

MS. SISAK: Yes. Interrogatory 21 we will not pursue. CHAIRMAN HANSON: Interrogatories 36, 37, and 38.

We accept that as Alltel's answer.

Ms. Sisak.

MS. SISAK:

MS. SISAK: Yes. Interrogatory 36 we will not pursue. Interrogatory 37, what is the anticipated minutes of use that a combined Alltel Verizon will terminate to Swiftel? What is the anticipated minutes of use that Swiftel will terminate to a combined Alltel Verizon?

The cost of transport is based on two things. Well, the cost of transport is based on two things; number of minutes transported and distance. Well, those two primary elements. In light of the Alltel Verizon announced merger, Alltel's minutes of use -- well, I believe at the end of this year it's going to be a combined Alltel Verizon and, therefore, we seek this

information to be able to accurately price the cost of the burden to Swiftel.

2.0

MR. WEICZOREK: Just a quick response. And that -first of all, we responded to both of those Interrogatories
saying we don't have any idea at this time. And, second,
Verizon, you know, has announced that they're going to divest
South Dakota. So it's not -- it doesn't appear it's going to be
combined in any case.

MS. SISAK: That actually goes to Interrogatory 38, which asks whether it is anticipated that Verizon or Alltel will divest certain frequencies or properties, and if so, to identify them. And Alltel answered that it does not know.

MR. WEICZOREK: And I will tell the Commission that when it was announced by Verizon that they would divest South Dakota, North Dakota and part of I think 19 other states I supplemented that in an e-mail to Ms. Sisak. And that's as much information as we know too.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioners?

MS. AILTS WIEST: Is there anything left to compel here, Ms. Sisak?

MS. SISAK: Well, I believe that, frankly, the -- any due diligence before a merger, parties exchange information about their operations, their traffic, their anticipated traffic pattern, and all other such information. So, frankly, for Alltel to claim that in response to Interrogatory No. 37 it

1 doesn't have any information, I find that hard to believe. 2 Further, with respect to Interrogatory 38 for Alltel 3 to claim that there have been no discussions and it has no idea 4 as to which properties will be divested, I find that hard to 5 believe as well. But if they are going to provide an Affidavit that is 7 their answer, then we will use that Affidavit. 8 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Ms. Sisak. 9 Commissioners, the merger is a proposed merger at this juncture, 10 and what we understand that has been filed to the FCC is that 11 there will be a divestiture. 12 And I'm going to move that we deny 37, 38. Discussion 1.3 on that motion? 14 Commissioner Johnson. 15 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Aye. 16 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Kolbeck. 17 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Aye. CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Hanson votes aye. 18 19 We're on Admission 1. Motion carries. 20 MS. SISAK: We are not pursuing -- Alltel has answered 21 that so we are going to drop the pursuit of Admission 1. 22 Request For Production 9. CHAIRMAN HANSON: 23 MS. SISAK: The Request For Production 9 asks for 24 copies of the ETC certification filings made before the

South Dakota Commission. And in those filings the parties

provided information about their number of lines and various other information that, well, we believe obviously is in Alltel's possession, and that would go to the question about whether or not Swiftel's petition would harm competition and the public interest.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek.

MR. WEICZOREK: Excuse me. Again, I'm going to -- I'm becoming a broken record up here, but there is nothing in those ETC filings that is going to assist the Commission or lead to any admissible evidence that's going to assist the Commission in making a determination as to whether Swiftel receives a suspension on some transport issues, primarily transport issues.

Alltel does not file those broken out by specific area because they're in ETC statewide. I -- further, this would be a lot of information as this Commission would know that I -- number one, it's just overly broad and has absolutely nothing to do with this case, or even any argument that has some tangential connection is so minute that to go through the exercise is not worth doing.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Wiest.

MS. AILTS WIEST: I recommend denial of Request For Production No. 9.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioners.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I was just going to say motion to deny Request For Production 9.

1 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Johnson. 2 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Are you looking for a vote? 3 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Yes, I am. 4 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Aye. 5 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Kolbeck. 6 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Aye. 7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Hanson votes yes. 8 Request For Production 12. 9 MS. SISAK: Yes. We asked for a trunk diagram of traffic routed between Alltel and the Petitioner. 10 They provided 11 a diagram, but it does not show the types of traffic routed and 12 so their response is not complete and we simply ask them to 13 complete the response. 14 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek. 15 Thank you, Commissioner. MR. WEICZOREK: Yes. 16 provided them with the diagram we had. And then in the 17 Interrogatories we provided explanations of how we route. So I 18 think between those two things the Interrogatory -- or the 19 Request For Production is responded to. 20 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Wiest. 21 MS. AILTS WIEST: Yes. I believe a diagram was 22 produced according to Alltel so I'm not quite sure what would be 23 compelled at this point. CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioners. 24

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON:

I would move that the Request

	1						
1	For Production of item 12 is denied.						
2	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Discussion?						
3	Commissioner Johnson.						
4	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Aye.						
5	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Kolbeck.						
6	COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Aye.						
7	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. Motion carries.						
8	Request For Production 14.						
9	MS. SISAK: Yes. We asked for a copy of the merger						
10	agreement between Verizon and Alltel and, frankly, we believe						
11	that production of this document will go to test some of						
12	Alltel's claims as to what the proposed merger how it will						
13	impact Swiftel's burden to transport traffic to a combined						
14	Alltel Verizon.						
15	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Wieczorek.						
16	MR. WEICZOREK: We don't see how it has any bearing on						
17	this case. I would defer to my conversation earlier on the						
18	information and Interrogatories requested in the merger.						
19	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Wiest.						
20	MS. AILTS WIEST: I recommend denial.						
21	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioners.						
22	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I move for denial. Sorry.						
23	CHAIRMAN HANSON: I'll accept your motion.						
24	Commissioner Johnson.						
25	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Aye.						

1 Commissioner Kolbeck. CHAIRMAN HANSON: COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. Motion carries. 4 MS. SISAK: Commissioner, I did not hear the motion. CHAIRMAN HANSON: 5 The motion was to deny the Request 6 For Production 14 as it was not relevant. The vote was 3-0 the 7 motion carries that the Request For Production 14 is denied. 8 We are now on to Swiftel's Motion to Compel that was 9 filed against Sprint. Interrogatories 3, 8, and 10. 10 MS. SISAK: Yes. Interrogatories 3, 8, and 10 11 actually are I think the same as Interrogatories -- well, some 12 of the Interrogatories against Alltel. 13 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ms. Sisak, I apologize for 14 interrupting you. We've run into real time constraints here, 15 and it's been brought up whether we should even attempt the 16 challenge of this particular -- of these Interrogatories and 17 Motions to Compel, and then we have Alltel's following that. 18 We have a meeting, and we're up against time right now 19 in order to prepare for that second meeting, hearing today, 20 starting at 2 o'clock. And so I am going to defer all of the 21 remaining items on the agenda and ask for a motion to adjourn. 22 MS. SISAK: Commissioner, may I make one comment? 23 Yes, you may. CHAIRMAN HANSON: 24 MS. SISAK: If it's at all possible, I believe we

could quickly handle the redacted material that concerns the

1 Certificate of Authority cases. The reason why I even ask for 2 this indulgence is because that hearing begins on August 20 and 3 it's my understanding that this might not be taken up until 4 basically sometime after August and our testimony is due on August 6. 5 6 Which are those items? CHATRMAN HANSON: 7 This is the information that we seek on MS. SISAK: 8 the agreement between Swiftel and Mediacom. 9 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Which Interrogatories are those? Do 10 you know? 11 MS. SISAK: Well, it's in Docket TC06-178 and 188, and 12 there's a list of redacted contract items that we are seeking. 13 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Which one is it on the agenda? 14 and 3? 15 MS. SISAK: Yes. 16 CHAIRMAN HANSON: And you believe we can cover those 17 quickly and succinctly? 18 MS. SISAK: Yes. 19 CHAIRMAN HANSON: I would encourage all of the parties 20 that have been involved today -- there seemed to have been 21 some -- at least in my opinion some items that have been brought 22 before us that certainly could have been accomplished prior to 23 coming before us, and inasmuch as folks were not able to hear

each other today for a period of time it appears that some of

the folks were not able to communicate real well prior to coming

24

here today. And I would appreciate it very much if the Commission's time were used a little bit better for the hearings that we need to set.

Certainly we do want to provide everyone the opportunity to be heard here. However, I would again encourage all the parties to work together to come to some conclusions prior to submitting them to the Commission.

\_ \_

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)					
2	:SS CERTIFICATE					
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES )					
4						
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered					
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary					
7	Public in and for the State of South Dakota:					
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed					
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings had in					
10	the above-entitled matter on the 29th day of July, 2008, and					
11	that the attached is a true and correct transcription of the					
12	proceedings so taken.					
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 13th day of					
14	August, 2008.					
15						
16						
17	Che: McComment					
18	Cheri McComsey Witter, Notary Public and					
19	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

			<del></del>	
4	53:25, 54:12	acceptable (1) - 20:19	18:9, 20:13, 20:20,	16:7, 20:3, 26:7,
11	<b>38</b> [4] - 52:13, 53:9,	access [5] - 46:16,	20:23, 21:19, 22:5,	26:13, 34:5, 38:9,
	54:2, 54:12	47:1, 47:5, 47:14	24:5, 28:18, 28:20,	42:11, 47:3, 52:6,
1 [3] - 36:17, 54:19,	04.2, 04.12	accomplished [2] -	29:21, 30:1, 31:3,	52:23, 55:3, 57:12,
54:21	4	41:24, 59:22	32:6, 35:12, 36:1,	58:17
1,000[1] - 43:17	4	i -	36:20, 36:25, 37:19,	l .
		According [1] - 7:19	39:15, 39:18, 42:4,	almost [3] - 12:10,
10 (9) - 32:14, 32:17,	4[11] - 13:23, 14:20,	according (1) - 56:22	1	21:5, 43:15
33:10, 36:8, 36:16,	14:22, 14:23, 15:4,	accurate [1] - 36:7	44:1, 47:11, 51:13,	alternative [1] - 46:19
37:12, 37:15, 58:9,	15:6, 16:3, 28:16,	accurately [1] - 53:1	52:3, 53:19, 55:21,	amended [1] - 31:18
58:10	31:12, 31:21, 33:6	acquires [1] - 10:22	56:21, 57:20	analysis [2] - 24:25,
10,000 (1) - 43:18	31.12, 31.21, 33.0	acquisition [1] - 15:25	ain't [1] - 29:15	39:12
11 [3] - 38:5, 38:7,		- act [1] - 13:3	alerted [1] - 5:22	AND [1] - 1:6
40:5	5	_ Act [2] - 10:11, 46:1	alleges [1] - 33:7	announced [3] -
<b>12</b> [2] - 56:8, 57:1		action [1] - 3:24	Alliance [2] - 50:15,	52:23, 53:6, 53:14
12th [1] - 29:7	506 [1] - 29:7	actions [1] - 30:22	50:21	answer [20] - 5:11,
<b>13</b> [3] - 40:12, 40:14,	523 [1] - 2:2	actual [2] - 21:14,	allow [1] - 45:1	6:6, 6:13, 6:21, 6:22,
40:24	323 (i) - 2.2	49:13	allowed [2] - 39:13,	6:23, 6:24, 6:25,
13th [1] - 61:13		add [5] - 29:18, 31:4,	42:20	15:9, 16:2, 37:9,
14 [7] - 12:24, 42:18,	6	31:9, 35:10, 39:24	allows [2] - 43:4,	49:25, 50:5, 50:24,
42:19, 47:22, 57:8,		added (6) - 31:5,	44:22	51:7, 51:8, 51:9,
58:6, 58:7	6 m 20:46 E0:5	31:11, 31:12, 31:15,	Alltel [113] - 8:4, 8:8,	51:11, 52:6, 54:7
<b>188</b> [1] - 59:11	6 [2] - 29:16, 59:5	32:5, 36:12	8:12, 8:21, 9:7, 9:9,	answered [6] - 35:23,
19 [4] - 48:3, 48:4,		additional [3] - 31:11,	9:10, 9:16, 9:21,	48:16, 49:9, 50:3,
48:5, 53:15	7	31:19, 31:20	9:24, 10:8, 10:14,	53:12, 54:20
·		address [3] - 38:9,	10:15, 10:21, 11:13,	anticipated [4] -
2	7 0.40 40.00	49:13, 51:20	11:14, 12:4, 12:8,	52:16, 52:18, 53:10,
_	7 [4] - 8:12, 13:23,	addressed [1] - 35:24	12:10, 12:14, 12:16,	53:23
	14:10, 14:13	addresses [1] - 36:14	13:11, 14:23, 15:3,	apologize [5] - 5:15,
<b>2</b> (7) - 4:7, 32:16,		adds [1] - 29:16	15.5, 15:18, 15:23,	6:7, 44:10, 49:3,
36:17, 50:10, 50:24,	8	1	15:25, 16:1, 19:20,	58:13
58:20, 59:13		adjourn [1] - 58:21	19:23, 21:4, 21:7,	appear (1) - 53:7
20 (1) - 59:2		admissible (7) -	22:13, 23:11, 23:13,	APPEARANCES [1] -
2008 [4] - 1:8, 2:3,	8 [7] - 8:12, 13:23,	16:19, 17:2, 19:13,	23:16, 24:9, 26:1,	1:18
61:10, 61:14	14:10, 14:13, 52:10,	20:12, 34:19, 55:10	26.2, 26:24, 27:4,	apply [3] - 5:8, 9:9,
<b>21</b> [3] - 52:8, 52:11,	58:9, 58:10	Admission (2) - 54:19,	27:8, 27:13, 27:14,	9:10
52:12		54:21	27:19, 28:2, 30:12,	appointed [1] - 61:8
23 [6] - 32:14, 32:17,	9	admit [1] - 46:13	32:18, 32:23, 33:5	appreciate [1] - 60:1
36:10, 36:17, 37:12,		adopted [1] - 13:2	33:7, 33:9, 33:13,	
37:15		advantage (1) - 10:17	33:17, 33:18, 33:21,	approach [1] - 14:2
<b>24</b> [8] - 32:14, 32:17,	9[8] - 8:12, 14:10,	adversely [1] - 38:10	34:1, 34:3, 34:4,	appropriate [8] - 3:11,
36:13, 36:20, 36:25,	14:13, 52:10, 54:22,	affect [2] - 17:18,	34:5, 35:17, 38:8,	5:1, 6:6, 21:1, 24:9,
1	54:23, 55:22, 55:25	38:10	38:12, 39:1, 40:14,	26:23, 32:5, 37:6
37:6, 37:12, 37:15	9:40[1] - 2:4	affected [1] - 38:16	40:18, 41:15, 41:18,	arbitration [1] - 34:9
<b>25</b> [3] - 38:5, 38:7,	<b></b>	Affidavit [2] - 54:6	42:20, 42:21, 42:25,	area [5] - 34:15, 38:11,
40:5	Α	54:7	43:3, 43:5, 43:13,	49:11, 50:13, 55:13
<b>26</b> [3] - 38:5, 38:7,		afternoon [1] - 5:22	43:16, 44:17, 44:24,	arena [1] - 20:22
40:6		agenda [3] - 52:10,	46:12, 46:14, 46:25,	arguably (1) - 23:15
29 [1] - 1:8	a.m [1] - 2:4	58:21, 59:13	47:6, 48:4, 48:6,	argue (5) - 9:1, 18:19,
29th [2] - 2:3, 61:10	ability [1] - 25:25	ago [1] - 26:6	48:8, 48:10, 49:12,	18:23, 19:1, 44:14
	able [17] - 5:23, 28:6,	agree [5] - 4:1, 6:16,	49:25, 50:5, 50:7,	argued [7] - 15:3,
3	33:8, 33:20, 34:24,	17:17, 21:19, 40:4	50:16, 51:7, 52:17,	19:20, 19:23, 21:4,
	34:25, 35:5, 44:19,	agreed [1] - 42:7		38:12, 38:14, 42:22
	47:14, 49:4, 49:6,	agreement [3] - 14.1,	52:19, 52:23, 52:25,	argues [1] - 8:21
<b>3</b> [9] - 8:12, 13:23,	49:16, 49:23, 51:16,	57:10, 59:8	53:10, 53:12, 53:25,	argument [34] - 4:17,
14:10, 14:13, 50:10,	53:1, 59:23, 59:25	agreements (1) - 33:9	54:2, 54:20, 55:13,	4:20, 5:1, 9:2, 9:5,
50:24, 58:9, 58:10,	above-entitled [2] -	ahead [2] - 28:19, 30:8	56:10, 56:22, 57:10,	9:21, 9:25, 10:11,
59:14	2:1, 61:10		57:14, 58:12	12:23, 15:14, 17:17,
<b>3-0</b> [1] - 58:6	absolutely [3] - 12:22,	All TS (27) 4:1 6:10	Alltel's [25] - 9:18,	19:4, 20:3, 25:4,
30 [1] - 43:18	21:13, 55:16	AILTS [37] - 4:1, 6:19,	10:14, 10:20, 10:23,	25:14, 25:15, 26:1,
<b>36</b> [2] - 52:13, 52:15	accept [4] - 32:7, 51:9,	7:3, 14:3, 14:8,	12:2, 12:20, 12:21	26:4, 26:14, 27:20,
<b>37</b> [4] - 52:13, 52:16,	52:6, 57:23	17:13, 17:18, 17:23,	13:13, 15:20, 15:24,	29:10, 32:24, 35:12,

38:9, 38:18, 39:2, 43:9, 44:13, 44:24, 45.4, 47.4, 47:15, 48:14, 55:17 argument's [1] - 17:3 arguments [12] - 5:8, 8:18, 9:6, 9:13, 9:16, 10:24, 11:7, 15:16, 25:1, 38:20, 44:1 arrangements [1] -8:14 assertion [1] - 34:2 assertions [1] - 35:16 assign [1] - 50:21 assigned [4] - 40:24, 51:3, 51:21, 52:1 assigns [3] - 48:17, 50:5, 50:7 assist [2] - 55:9, 55:10 associated [5] - 21:9, 22:3, 22:18, 22:19, 22:20 assume [1] - 9:25 **Assuming (1) - 17:25** attached [1] - 61:11 attempt [1] - 58:15 attempts [1] - 25:1 attention [2] - 3:17, 5:24 August [4] - 59:2, 59:4, 59:5, 61:14 Authority [1] - 59:1 available [3] - 16:17, 21:15, 26:11 Avenue (1) - 2:2 aware [1] - 33:22 Aye [19] - 7:23, 7:25, 14:16, 14:18, 32:10, 32:12, 38:1, 38:3, 40:8, 40:10, 48:1, 54:15, 54:17, 56:4 56:6, 57:4, 57:6, 57:25, 58:2 aye [7] - 8:1, 32:13, 47:24, 48:2, 54:18, 57:7, 58:3

## В

backing [1] - 27:14
Baker [1] - 1:15
ball [1] - 6:8
based [7] - 27:10,
27:22, 46:9, 48:17,
50:6, 52:20, 52:21
Based [2] - 35:15,
44:1
baseline [1] - 13:8
basis [1] - 10:8

bearing [9] - 11:23, 12:22, 13:13, 23:9, 25:11, 34:17, 43:20, 48:11, 57:16 becomes [5] - 11:11, 28:4, 29:7, 39:5, 39:10 becoming [2] - 12:6, 55:8 BEFORE [1] - 1:10 begin (1) - 32:16 begins [1] - 59:2 behalf [3] - 3:8, 10:15, 10:16 believes [1] - 17:10 benefit[1] - 46:19 Best [1] - 1:15 better [1] - 60:2 between [9] - 16:12, 16:22, 21:24, 36:25, 37:2, 56:10, 56:18, 57:10, 59:8 beyond [7] - 17:7, 24:3, 25:10, 26:18, 27:5, 34:20, 50:22 big [1] - 29:15 biggest [1] - 29:14 bill [2] - 33:20, 34:4 billing [2] - 41:10, 51:20 bills (2) - 34:3, 51:25 bind [1] - 12:20 binding [1] - 12:15 bit [2] - 21:24, 60:2 block[1] - 11:14 blocks [1] - 23:5 Bob [1] - 1:16 boils [1] - 48:13 border (2) - 50:15, 50:20 box [1] - 51:24 branch [1] - 26:8 Brett (2) - 1:20, 5:15 Brian [1] - 1:17 brief[3] - 11:4, 11:10, 38:21 briefing [1] - 45:7 bring (2) - 16:8, 44:12 Brings [1] - 32:14 broad [3] - 46:9, 47:12, 55:16 broad-based [1] -46:9 broken [2] - 55:8, 55:13 Brookings [3] - 3:2, 6:5, 13:22

BROOKINGS [1] - 1:4

brought [2] - 58:15,

59:21

bucks [1] - 43:18 Building [1] - 2:2 building [1] - 25:19 burden [8] - 8:19, 9:1, 9:12, 13:11, 16:20, 38:23, 53:2, 57:13 burdensome [3] -10:4, 13:24, 47:17 business [2] - 3:3, 39:10

## C

calculate [2] - 33:4, 35:15 cannot [5] - 10:15, 24:22, 32:20, 35:7, Capitol [1] - 2:2 cares [1] - 25:11 carried (4) - 8:24, 13:10, 25:17, 27:22 carrier [11] - 10:16, 12:12, 18:21, 26:20, 38:25, 42:21, 43:5, 43:20, 45:3, 45:17, 46:2 carrier's [1] - 39:6 carriers [18] - 8:13, 8:15, 12:24, 15:1, 15:9, 17:22, 18:2, 19:23, 20:1, 21:13, 22:20, 23:12, 30:17, 31:24, 38:10, 45:1, 45:2, 46:20 carries [12] - 8:2, 12:25, 14:19, 23:10, 32:13, 38:4, 40:12, 48:2, 54:19, 57:7, 58:3, 58:7 carry [18] - 8:22, 9:19, 9:22, 9:23, 10:1, 10:5, 10:18, 12:11, 13:6, 13:8, 22:15, 23:4, 23:13, 26:1, 26:7, 27:5, 28:4, 28:8 carrying [2] - 16:13, 30:2 case [28] - 3:10, 5:3, 5:4, 9:2, 9:7, 9:8, 9:12, 9:14, 11:23, 13:5, 13:13, 15:15, 17:2, 19:21, 25:12, 29:18, 34:17, 34:19, 40:3, 46:7, 46:8, 46:23, 47:12, 48:12, 53:8, 55:17, 57:17 cases [2] - 45:25, 59:1 caveat [2] - 36:12, 37:19 center [13] - 40:16, 40:17, 40:24, 41:16, 41:17, 41:21, 49:14, 50:17, 50:21, 51:2, 51:3, 51:21, 52:2 centers [7] - 40:15, 40:19, 40:20, 41:5, 41:10, 41:22, 50:8 certain [3] - 25:16, 42:2, 53:11 Certainly [2] - 21:3, 60:4 certainly [4] - 12:16, 22:25, 59:22 Certificate (1) - 59:1 CERTIFICATE [1] -61:2 certification (1) -54:24 Certified [2] - 61:6, 61:19 **CERTIFY** [1] - 61:8 Chair [1] - 11:2 CHAIRMAN [128] -1:11, 1:11, 3:1, 3:14, 3:19, 3:24, 4:4, 5:13, 6:9, 6:17, 7:1, 7:15, 7:19, 7:22, 7:24, 8:1, 11:1, 13:16, 14:9, 14:14, 14:17, 14:19, 16:4, 17:9, 17:12, 18:12, 19:19, 20:6, 20:21, 21:3, 21:10, 21:21, 22:12, 22:21, 24:4, 24:7, 24:19, 24:22, 25:21, 26:22, 28:11, 28:15, 28:19, 29:22, 30:5, 30:18, 30:21, 31:1, 31:7, 31:20, 32:3, 32:7, 32:11, 32:13, 32:20, 32:22, 34:7, 34:22, 35:3, 35:19, 37:8, 37:11, 37:22, 37:24, 38:2, 38:4, 38:19, 39:20, 40:2, 40:5, 40:9, 40:11, 40:21, 41:1, 41:25, 42:6, 42:13, 42:17, 43:11, 43:21, 43:24, 44:4, 44:7, 47:20, 47:23, 47:25, 48:2, 48:9, 48:22, 49:2, 49:22, 51:4, 51:15, 52:4, 52:7, 52:13, 53:18, 54:8, 54:16, 54:18, 54:22, 55:6, 55:20, 55:23, 56:1, 56:3,

56:5, 56:7, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 57:5, 57:7, 57:15, 57:19, 57:21, 57:23, 58:1, 58:3, 58:5, 58:13, 58:23, 59:6, 59:9, 59:13, 59:16, 59:19 Chairman [7] - 3:16, 3:23, 16:5, 21:23, 22:9, 34:8, 42:10 challenge [4] - 34:2. 44.25, 45.9, 58.16 challenges [1] - 44:18 challenging [1] -41:20 change [1] - 46:25 changed (1) - 26:9 changes [1] - 29:12 chart [1] - 41:17 CHERI [1] - 61:5 Cheri [1] - 61:18 choice [5] - 42:23, 43:7, 44:22, 45:1, 45:3 choose [1] - 43:19 circumstances [1] -41:25 city [1] - 29:14 City [3] - 23:8, 26:18, 32.2 claim [7] - 8:18, 9:22, 18:4, 43:6, 44:17, 53:25, 54:3 claimed (1) - 47:6 claims (6) - 9:16, 33:5, 33:17, 34:1, 44:18, 57:12 clarification [5] - 7:3, 35:21, 41:10, 49:9, 50:11 clarified [2] - 8:9, 29:2 clarify [1] - 41:3 clarifying [1] - 47:9 clear(1) - 15:11 clearly [2] - 6:22, 18:23 client [1] - 31:12 climate (1) - 38:16 close [1] - 35:3 closer [1] - 18:21 combine [1] - 19:24 combined [5] - 52:17, 52:19, 52:25, 53:8, 57:13 coming [2] - 59:23, 59:25 commencing [1] - 2:3 comment [10] - 6:13, 20:8, 39:17, 41:2,

43:23, 44:13, 48:24, 57:21 49:23, 51:8, 58:22 commit [3] - 27:19, comments [2] - 47:9, 27:23, 46:15 48:25 commitment (1) - 28:2 Commission [28] common [3] - 19;25, 3:25, 5:10, 6:3, 6:8, 20:4, 35:5 6:15, 7:4, 7:12, 10:3, communicate (1) -11:23, 12:18, 13:2, 59:25 13:4, 14:12, 23:3, communication [1] -29:6, 33:22, 37:5, 49.5 37:14, 39:5, 45:25, Communications [3] -46:10, 51:5, 53:13, 3:3, 10:11, 46:1 54:25, 55:9, 55:10, communications [1] -55:15, 60:7 4:12 COMMISSION [3] -COMMUNICATIONS 1:1, 1:10, 1:13 [1] - 1:5Commission's [7] community [2] -11:6, 26:19, 27:3, 48:17, 50:6 37:7, 38:21, 40:22, comp [3] - 33:20. 60:2 34:11, 45:16 Commissioner [36] company [3] - 12:5, 5:14, 7:22, 7:24, 13:9, 26:16 14:15, 14:17, 24:8, Company [1] - 26:2 31:4, 31:5, 31:6, compare [1] - 33:19 32:5, 32:9, 32:11, Compel [17] - 3:6. 37:8, 37:25, 38:2, 3:11, 4:3, 4:6, 4:17, 39:23, 40:7, 40:9, 6:15, 7:5, 7:13, 7:18, 40:11, 42:16, 45:11, 8:4, 10:24, 14:12, 47:23, 47:25, 54:14, 15:4, 37:15, 44:3, 54:16, 54:18, 56:1, 58:8, 58:17 56:5, 56:7, 56:15, compel [4] - 8:7. 57:3, 57:5, 57:24, 18:17, 52:5, 53:19 58:1, 58:4, 58:22 compelled [3] - 16:2, COMMISSIONER [55] 42:7, 56:23 - 1:12, 7:17, 7:21, compelling [1] - 20:8 7:23, 7:25, 14:11, compels [1] - 20:22 14:16, 14:18, 20:7, COMPENSATION [1] -20:17, 21:23, 22:9, 1:6 28:13, 30:8, 30:9, Compensation [1] -30:10, 30:20, 30:24, 3:5 31:10, 31:21, 32:10, competing [1] - 38:10 32:12, 35:21, 36:5, competition [4] -37:10, 37:13, 37:21, 38:15, 39:10, 39:11, 37:23, 38:1, 38:3, 55:4 40:8, 40:10, 44:9, competitive [2] -45.10, 45.21, 46.24, 38:14, 38:16 47:8, 47:18, 47:21, competitor [2] -47:24, 48:1, 49:15, 33:12, 39:12 49:19, 54:15, 54:17, complete [3] - 15:10, 55:24, 56:2, 56:4, 56:12, 56:13 56:6, 56:25, 57:4, comply [1] - 10:5 57:6, 57:22, 57:25, conceded [2] - 12:16, 58:2 12:17 Commissioners [19] concerning [1] - 8:19 7:1, 7:15, 14:9, concerns [1] - 58:25 18:12, 20:6, 24:7, concession [15] -27:11, 28:11, 30:5, 9:20, 10:14, 10:15, 35:19, 43:22, 44:7, 10:16, 10:20, 12:1, 45:1, 49:16, 53:18, 12:9, 12:14, 12:20, 54:9, 55:23, 56:24,

13:14, 21:5, 22:1,

22:14, 27:17, 27:21 conclusions [1] - 60:6 condition [1] - 31:7 conference [1] - 29:2 confess [1] - 5:20 confidentiality [1] -14.1 connect [4] - 12:3, 26:12, 26:13, 26:20 connected [10] -23:24, 25:6, 25:8, 25:9, 26:15, 26:25, 30:17, 31:8, 33:14 connection [3] -22:16, 30:14, 55:18 connections [6] -24:11, 29:24, 31:5, 33:11, 33:12 consider [1] - 6:1 considered (1) - 46:11 constraints (1) - 58:14 consumer [2] - 43:7, 44:22 consumers [1] - 42:24 contends [1] - 42:25 contention [2] - 47:3 continues [1] - 44:14 contract [1] - 59:12 contrary [1] - 15:16 conversation (2) -27:7, 57:17 conversations [1] -27:11 copies [1] - 54:24 copy [1] - 57:9 correct [10] - 3:23, 9:21, 12:14, 17:15, 22:7, 31:6, 42:14, 44:16, 51:17, 61:11 correctly [1] - 29:18 correlation [1] - 49:12 cost [15] - 9:17, 11:12, 13:6, 15:18, 18:10, 19:21, 22:6, 22:23, 25:15, 27:10, 27:18, 27:22, 52:20, 52:21, 53:1 costly [1] - 10:4 costs [11] - 15:19, 17:5, 17:16, 20:14, 20:24, 22:3, 22:24, 23:15, 25:2, 26:17, 48:15 COUNTY [1] - 61:3 couple [7] - 4:19, 8:21, 10:13, 11:3, 15:2, 29:16, 29:18 course [4] - 27:7, 37:5, 39:9, 44:18

cover[1] - 59:16

covered [1] - 13:25 Cremer [5] - 5:22, 6:20, 17:9, 39:20, 39:21 CREMER [6] - 6:20, 13:18, 14:6, 17:10, 39:21, 40:3 crossed [1] - 50:17 current [1] - 25:24 customers [4] - 38:22, 39:9, 43:17, 45:18

D

D/B/A [1] - 1:4 Dakota [16] - 2:3, 8:15, 8:24, 10:21, 11:22, 12:6, 16:24, 19:16, 29:14, 41:19, 53:7, 53:15, 54:25, 61:7, 61:13 DAKOTA [2] - 1:2, 61:1 data[2] - 15:1, 33:16 date [2] - 3:11, 7:11 Dated [1] - 61:13 Dave [2] - 1:16, 3:16 dawned [1] - 45:13 deal [2] - 16:14, 37:16 Deb [1] - 1:17 decide (3) - 11:23, 12:18, 39:7 decided [1] - 38:22 defer [3] - 4:5, 57:17, 58:20 deferred [1] - 4:3 definitely [1] - 18:3 deliver [3] - 11:24, 12:5, 16:15 delivered [2] - 34:13, 37:16 delivering [1] - 16:13 delivery [1] - 22:7 demonstrate [1] - 46:2 denial (7) - 17:11. 39:15, 39:19, 40:6, 55:21, 57:20, 57:22 denied [2] - 57:1, 58:7 deny [5] - 14:12, 45:8, 54:12, 55:25, 58:5 describes [1] - 11:10 designation[1] -46:14 determination [1] -55:11 determine [3] - 12:23, 19:3, 39:12 diagram [5] - 16:23, 56:9, 56:11, 56:16,

56:21 **DIALING** (1) - 1:5 Dialing [1] - 3:4 dialing (1) - 45:15 different [17] - 5:6, 6:22, 6:24, 7:11, 11:6, 14:4, 20:2, 21:12, 25:19, 30:4, 30:7, 40:17, 41:17, 51:2, 51:21, 52:2 difficult [1] - 24:25 diligence (1) - 53:22 direct [9] - 7:13, 23:23, 24:10, 26:12, 26:13, 28:1, 29:24, 31:4, 33:11 direction [2] - 23:16, 40:23 directions [1] - 30:7 directly [16] - 9:15, 12:4, 23:11, 23:21, 24:2, 25:6, 25:7, 25:8, 25:9, 25:24, 26:3, 26:15, 26:25, 30:17, 31:8, 31:25 discoverable [1] -11:9 discovery [5] - 4:9, 4:21, 7:14, 45:8, 47.11 discussed [1] - 47:12 discussion [3] - 24:7, 32:8, 40:6 Discussion [6] - 7:22, 14:14, 37:24, 47:23, 54:12, 57:2 discussions [1] - 54:3 distance [15] - 42:21, 42:23, 43:5, 43:7, 43:19, 43:20, 44:22, 45:1, 45:3, 45:17, 46:7, 46:17, 46:19, 47:5, 52:22 ditch [1] - 6:2 divest [3] - 53:6, 53:11, 53:14 divested [1] - 54:4 divestiture [1] - 54:11 DO [1] - 61:8 docket [2] - 3:23, 5:24 Docket [1] - 59:11 dockets [6] - 5:16, 5:19, 5:21, 5:25, 6:1, 52:10 document [3] - 4:11, 8:8, 57:11 documents [4] - 4:9, 4:22, 5:12, 21:16 done [1] - 45:7

door[1] - 27:25

down [4] - 24:6, 29:10, 36:2, 48:13 dozen [2] - 23:21, 23:22 DR-13 [2] - 41:6, 41:11 drop [1] - 54:21 dropped [1] - 6:8 druthers [1] - 35:20 DS-1 [1] - 25:6 due [2] - 53:22, 59:4 duly [1] - 61:8 duly-appointed [1] - 61:8 During [1] - 27:7 DUSTY [1] - 1:12

#### Ε

e-mail (2) - 4:12, 53:16 easiest [1] - 23:9 easily [1] - 12:18 East [1] - 2:2 easy [2] - 35:2, 45:22 economic (5) - 33:4, 35:15, 38:16, 39:8, educated [1] - 28:6 efficient [2] - 19:23, 33:13 effort (1) - 6:2 either [4] - 26:7, 28:11, 47:17, 50:18 elements [4] - 16:20, 46:3, 46:22, 52:22 encourage [2] - 59:19, 60:5 end [4] - 10:22, 36:22, 37:1, 52:24 ensuring (1) - 42:23 entered [1] - 5:18 entire [1] - 15:13 entirely (1) - 15:11 entities [1] - 26:25 entitled [2] - 2:1, 61:10 entity (1) - 15:24 equal [2] - 46:16, 47:1 equipment [1] - 15:1 equivocated [1] -28:21 essentially [9] - 8:21, 9:2, 15:3, 15:22, 27:8, 39:1, 48:5, 48:13, 48:18 established [2] -10:17, 46:18 ETC [4] - 46:14, 54:24, 55:9, 55:14 ETCs [1] - 46:25

evaluation [3] - 46:20, 46:21, 46:22 event[1] - 7:9 evidence [1] - 55:10 exactly (3) - 21;17, 31:13, 42:2 exaggerated [1] -19:21 example (3) - 33:6. 36:8, 50:12 exchange [5] - 8:13, 11:22, 38:14, 46:2, 53:22 exchanges [1] - 16:24 exclusively [1] - 43:15 excuse (3) - 18:14. 28:12, 28:16 Excuse [3] - 21:22, 25:21, 55:7 exercise [2] - 19:17, 55:18 exhibits (2) - 15:18, 19:21 exist (1) - 16:12 existing [1] - 15:21 exists [1] - 34:19 expect [1] - 30:14 explain [3] - 19:3, 25:3, 44:10 explaining [1] - 11:5 explanations [1] -56:17 explore [1] - 45:9 extent [12] - 7:9, 16:16, 18:10, 20:14, 32:25, 35:13, 35:17, 36:3, 37:15, 37:20,

# F

extra [1] - 13:12

47:13

facilities [11] - 14:25, 15:2, 15:8, 15:21, 15:25, 17:21, 18:1, 22:19, 27:18, 30:16, 31:23 fact [7] - 5:5, 9:15, 13:9, 43:12, 43:16, 46:13, 47:4 fail [1] - 10:24 failed [2] - 4:10, 4:20 Falls [65] - 8:23, 9:19, 9:23, 10:2, 11:16, 11:20, 12:1, 12:8, 12:18, 13:8, 16:8, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 17:6, 17:16, 17:24, 18:2, 18:5, 18:6,

18:24, 20:3, 20:15, 20:19, 20:25, 21:11, 21:18, 23:2, 23:4, 25:5, 25:11, 25:17, 25:20, 27:6, 27:12, 28:9, 28:21, 28:23, 29:5, 29:7, 29:13, 29:14, 29:15, 29:17, 29:19, 30:2, 30:4, 31:15, 31:25, 48:19, 48:20, 49:10, 49:11, 49:13, 50:13, 50:14, 50:19, 50:20, 51:24, 51:25 false [1] - 20:4 far [3] - 16:8, 27:16, 28:24 fashion [1] - 4:21 FCC (1) - 54:10 federal (1) - 13:3 figure (3) - 21:22. 23:15, 25:2 file [2] - 7:8, 55:13 filed [12] - 3:6, 3:9, 3:22, 4:6, 4:9, 4:16, 5:2, 6:2, 8:6, 8:7, 54:10, 58:9 filings [3] - 54:24, 54:25, 55:9 financial [1] - 38:8 findings [2] - 45:23, 45:24 fine [1] - 49:17 First [4] - 4:19, 11:3, 22:22, 43:24 first [10] - 3:7, 8:11, 11:13, 16:6, 35:13, 45:25, 48:6, 48:24, 53:4 focus [5] - 13:1, 38:22, 39:1, 39:8 focused [1] - 5:24 folks [2] - 59:23, 59:25 following [1] - 58:17 FOR [1] - 1:5 forces (1) - 6:5 forth [1] - 38:20 forward [3] - 3:13, 10:8, 38:21 Foss (1) - 2:2 Four [1] - 26:6 four (2) - 26:9, 29:8 Fourth [1] - 29:3 framework [1] - 26:23 frankly [7] - 15:24,

19:2, 43:2, 46:7,

frequencies [1] -

53:11

53:21, 53:24, 57:10

18:7, 18:10, 18:21,

front [1] - 29:1 full [1] - 9:7 fully [1] - 11:10 future [1] - 30:22

#### G

game [2] - 23:3, 28:4 gap [1] - 21:24 GARY [1] - 1:11 gate [1] - 11:14 gathered [1] - 32:3 general [1] - 20:18 Gerdes [3] - 3:15, 3:16, 5:23 **GERDES** [2] - 3:16, given [6] - 12:2, 12:9, 16:19, 39:4, 48:11, 50:10 grant [6] - 7:5, 7:13, 37:14, 42:22, 44:2, 47:21 granted [8] - 3:12, 5:3, 8:20, 10:25, 14:7, 35:17, 46:2, 46:14 granting [1] - 47:16 Greg [1] - 1:14 gregarious [1] - 29:22 Gregg[1] - 1:17 ground [2] - 35:6, 45:13 group [4] - 8:11, 13:19, 13:21, 16:7 grouping [1] - 14:7 guess [7] - 18:9, 20:13, 24:5, 28:6, 30:3, 40:22, 50:9 quessing [2] - 19:4, 28:4 guy (2) - 35:2, 50:19

#### Н

half [2] - 23:21, 23:22 hand [6] - 23:13, 25:7, 26:2, 26:16, 40:2, 40:3 handicapped [2] -45:10, 45:11 handle [1] - 58:25 handles [1] - 48:10 Hanson [11] - 8:1, 14:19, 24:8, 32:13, 38:4, 40:11, 48:2, 54:18, 56:7, 57:7, 58:3 HANSON [127] - 1:11,

59:2

3:1, 3:14, 3:19, 3:24, 4 4:4, 5:13, 6:9, 6:17. 7:1, 7:15, 7:19, 7:22, 7:24, 8:1, 11:1, 13:16, 14:9, 14:14, 14:17, 14:19, 16:4, 17:9, 17:12, 18:12, 19:19, 20:6, 20:21, 21:3, 21:10, 21:21, 22:12, 22:21, 24:4, 24:7, 24:19, 24:22, 25:21, 26:22, 28:11, 28:15, 28:19, 29:22, 30:5, 30:18, 30:21, 31:1, 31:7, 31:20. 32:3, 32:7, 32:11, 32:13, 32:20, 32:22, 34:7, 34:22, 35:3. 35:19, 37:8, 37:11, 37:22, 37:24, 38:2. 38:4, 38:19, 39:20, 40:2, 40:5, 40:9, 40:11, 40:21, 41:1, 41:25, 42:6, 42:13, 42:17, 43:11, 43:21, 43:24, 44:4, 44:7, 47:20, 47:23, 47:25, 48:2, 48:9, 48:22, 49:2, 49:22, 51:4, 51:15, 52:4, 52:7, 52:13, 53:18, 54:8, 54:16, 54:18, 54:22, 55:6, 55:20, 55:23, 56.1, 56:3, 56:5, 56:7, 56:14, 56:20, 56:24, 57:2, 57:5, 57:7, 57:15, 57:19, 57:21, 57:23, 58:1, 58:3, 58:5, 58:13, 58:23, 59:6, 59:9, 59:13, 59:16, 59:19 hard [2] - 54:1, 54:4 Harlan [1] - 1:15 harm [1] - 55:4 hazard [2] - 37:13, 37:14 head) [1] - 37:23 hear [22] - 11:3, 24:17, 24:23, 32:20, 32:21, 34:23, 34:24, 34:25, 35:5, 35:7, 39:16, 41.2, 41:7, 43:24, 48:25, 49:3, 49:4, 49:6, 49:16, 49:22, 58:4, 59:23 heard [5] - 11:6, 36:1, 41:8, 49:24, 60:5 hearing [5] - 20:12, 40:23, 45:6, 58:19,

LaBrie (1) - 1:15

5

hearings [2] - 32:16, 60:2 heartburn [1] - 31:16 held [1] - 2:1 help [4] - 6:9, 9:4, 39:22, 47:9 HEREBY [1] - 61:8 Honor [1] - 42:9 hope [1] - 3:16 hopefully [1] - 35:5 hour [1] - 32:15 HUGHES [1] - 61:3 hundreds [1] - 38:25

#### ١

I.D [1] - 30:18 idea [2] - 53:5, 54:3 identification (2) -22:25, 36:10 identified [1] - 22:8 identify [18] - 8:12, 14:23, 21:8, 22:16, 23:18, 24:14, 25:23, 26:24, 27:9, 27:12, 29:23, 30:13, 33:25, 41:8, 41:21, 41:22, 44:25, 53:11 identifying [3] - 34:12, 40:15, 41:5 Identifying [1] - 31:7 ILEC [2] - 36:22, 37:1 ILECs [1] - 26:7 imagine [1] - 26:19 immediately [2] -35:7, 49:2 impact [6] - 26:17. 33:4, 35:15, 38:15, 57:13 impacts [1] - 46:10 important [6] - 8:17, 11:8, 27:23, 33:3, 35:4, 49:4 importantly [1] - 27:9 imposed [1] - 8:20 impossible [1] - 39:4 IN [1] - 1:4 inadvertently [1] -31:11 inappropriate [1] inasmuch [1] - 59:23 Inaudible) [1] - 32:19 includes [1] - 43:18 including [1] - 35:8 inconsistent [2] -38:13, 43:1 incumbent [1] - 33:23 indirect [1] - 33:12

individual [1] - 19:23 indulgence [1] - 59:2 information [72] -8:13, 8:17, 8:23, 9:3, 9:4, 9:15, 9:20, 12:11, 12:12, 12:21, 12:23, 13:12, 13:15, 15:4, 15:7, 15:14, 15:20, 16:2, 16:6, 16:16, 16:17, 16:24, 17:5, 17:7, 17:10, 17:16, 18:11, 19:14, 20:11, 20:12, 21:9, 21:16, 23:19, 23:25, 24:12, 32:25, 33:3, 33:16, 34:2, 34:4, 34:5, 34:17, 34:18, 35:18, 37:16, 37:20, 38:8, 38:9, 38:17, 40:15, 40:18, 40:19, 41:15, 41:18, 41:22, 42:12, 42:14, 44:11, 44:15, 47:14, 50:18, 51:16, 53:1, 53:17, 53:22, 53:24, 54:1, 55:1, 55:2, 55:15, 57:18, 59:7 infrastructure [1] -22:3 inhibit[1] - 39:10 initial (2) - 11:15, 29:1 instances [1] - 31:13 intend [1] - 4:15 intended [1] - 4:13 intercompany [7] -14:24, 15:8, 17:20, 17:25, 22:18, 30:15, 31:23 interconnect (4) -18:20, 18:21, 19:9, 21:12 interconnected [7] -8:16, 23:11, 23:20, 23:21, 24:2, 25:25, 26:3 interconnection [13] -8:14, 14:25, 15:8, 15:21, 16:10, 17:21, 18:1, 22:19, 23:19, 24:16, 30:16, 31:24, 33:9 interest [17] - 38:13, 39:9, 42:23, 43:2, 44:23, 44:24, 45:5, 46:1, 46:4, 46:6, 46:21, 47:6, 47:15, 48:18, 50:6, 55:5

intermachine [6] -

indirectly [4] - 12:4,

23:20, 26:20, 33:14

23:8, 25:10, 26:18 interMTA [1] - 36:11 Interoffice [1] - 17:20 interoffice [6] - 14:24, 15:7, 18:2, 22:17, 30:15, 31:22 Interrogatories [27] -4:11, 4:18, 8:7, 8:12, 11:4, 11:14, 13:15, 14:13, 29:1, 32:14, 32:17, 32:24, 34:11, 37:12, 37:15, 38:5, 40:5, 52:13, 53:4, 56:17, 57:18, 58:9, 58:10, 58:11, 58:12, 58:16, 59:9 Interrogatory [34] -14:20, 14:22, 14:23, 15:4, 15:6, 16:3, 28:16, 31:12, 31:21, 35:23, 36:8, 36:10, 36:13, 36:16, 36:17, 36:20, 38:7, 40:12, 40:14, 42:18, 42:19, 47:21, 48:3, 48:4, 48:5, 52:8, 52:11, 52:12, 52:15, 52:16, 53:9, 53:25, 54:2, 56:18 interrogatory [2] -20:11, 30:11 interrupting [1] -58:14 intervene (3) - 5:3. 12:13, 13:10 intervened [3] - 9:7, 13:7, 48:14 intervenes [1] - 12:10 intraMTA [1] - 36:11 involved [1] - 59:20 involving [1] - 5:19 irrelevant [1] - 13:22 issue [4] - 23:6, 26:10, 33:23, 43:3 issues [5] - 5:19, 11:9, 43:16, 55:12 item [6] - 3:1, 4:6, 8:3, 14:20, 35:10, 57:1

16:11, 16:22, 23:7,

# J

58:21, 59:6, 59:12,

items 151 - 52:10.

59:21

Jacobson [1] - 1:16 jives [1] - 48:21 job [1] - 11:5 Joe [1] - 2:2

Johnson [17] - 7:22, 14:15, 31:4, 31:5, 31:6, 32:5, 32:9, 37:8, 37:25, 39:23, 40:7, 45:11, 47:23, 54:14, 56:1, 57:3, 57:24 JOHNSON (39) - 1:12, 7:23, 14:11, 14:16, 20:7, 20:17, 21:23, 22:9, 30:8, 30:10, 30:20, 30:24, 31:10, 31:21, 32:10, 35:21, 36:5, 37:10, 37:13, 37:21, 37:23, 38:1, 40:8, 44:9, 45:10, 45:21, 46:24, 47:8, 47:18, 47:24, 49:15, 49:19, 54:15, 56:2, 56:4, 56:25, 57:4, 57.22, 57:25 join [3] - 4:16, 4:25, 6:4 joinder [1] - 6:2 July [4] - 1:8, 2:3, 29:3, 61:10 jump [1] - 11:4 juncture [2] - 52:8, 54:9 justify [1] - 9:22

## K

Kara (1) - 1:14 Karen [2] - 6:20, 39:21 keep [1] - 50:18 kind [5] - 25:13, 28:21, 29:17, 34:14, 50:18 Knadle [1] - 1:16 knot [1] - 29:23 knowing [2] - 11:21, 52:1 knows [2] - 5:16, 29:14 Koenecke [2] - 1:20, KOENECKE [1] - 5:14 Koenecke's [1] - 6:12 KOLBECK[17] - 1:11, 7:17, 7:21, 7:25, 14:18, 28:13, 30:9, 32:12, 38:3, 40:10, 47:21, 48:1, 54:17, 55:24, 56:6, 57:6, 58:2 Kolbeck [10] - 7:24, 14:17, 32:11, 38:2, 40:9, 47:25, 54:16, 56:5, 57:5, 58:1

last [5] - 4:16, 6:2, 13:19, 20:8, 39:16 last-ditch [1] - 6:2 LATA [5] - 9:24, 10:6, 10:18, 11:18, 11:24 law [3] - 9:23, 11:18 11:19 lead [4] - 19:13, 20:12, 34:20, 55:9 leads [1] - 34:16 lean [1] - 24:5 least [2] - 32:2, 59:21 LECs [3] - 23:23, 33:23 left [2] - 32:15, 53:19 legal [6] - 9:21, 10:2, 10:5, 10:7, 10:9, 10:17 length (1) - 18:25 LERG [2] - 21:16, 40:16 light[1] - 52:23 likely [1] - 28:7 limit [3] - 18:25, 37:4, 37.6 limitation (2) - 35:16, 41:20 limited [5] - 20:22, 32:24, 41:4, 41:5, 41:18 limiting [4] - 35:13, 36:3, 37:2, 37:3 line [1] - 29:10 lines [1] - 55:1 link [1] - 32:15 list[1] - 59:12 listing [1] - 41:15 live [3] - 50:15, 51:2, 51:25 lives [3] - 50:13, 50:20, 51:20 LNP [5] - 26:6, 45:12, 45:25, 46:7, 46:8 local [1] - 46:2 located [2] - 20:2, 23:1 location [13] - 21:14, 21.18, 24:10, 25:16, 25:20, 25:24, 26:24, 28:22, 29:24, 30:12, 30:19, 31:25 look [11] - 11:15, 16:7, 19:6, 20:10, 26:7, 29:4, 31:21, 36:8, 39:6, 43:14, 50:16

looked [2] - 13:4,

46:11
looking [5] - 13:23,
21:17, 30:6, 41:10,
56:2
looks [1] - 28:7
loss [4] - 11:21, 17:3,
19:12, 48:12
lost [3] - 4:22, 7:6,
43:8
loud [1] - 49:20
luxury [1] - 5:23

## M

magic [1] - 27:17 mail [2] - 4:12, 53:16 map [1] - 50:17 market [2] - 38:14, 38:16 marketing (1) - 45:17 Martin [4] - 11:22. 16:10, 18:23, 19:9 Martin's [1] - 18:23 Mary [3] - 1:19, 3:8, 4:8 match [1] - 41:22 material [1] - 58:25 matter[4] - 2:2, 10:19, 43:20, 61:10 MATTER (1) - 1:4 Matter [1] - 3:2 matters [1] - 32:16 MCC [1] - 4:6 McComsey [1] - 61:18 MCCOMSEY [1] - 61:5 mean [20] - 13:21, 14:3, 16:14, 20:8, 20:19, 20:23, 21:13, 21:25, 26:10, 29:7, 29:9, 30:3, 30:10, 30:12, 30:24, 34:9, 34:10, 47:17, 51:22 meaningless [1] -10:20 means [2] - 45:8, 48:18 Mediacom [19] - 4:10, 4:12, 4:16, 4:20, 5:2, 5:6, 5:9, 5:11, 5:13, 5:15, 5:17, 6:4, 7:5, 7:9, 7:10, 7:13, 7:18, 59.8 meeting [2] - 58:18, 58:19 mention [2] - 32:15, 36:2 merger [7] - 52:23, 53:22, 54:9, 57:9,

57:12, 57:18

merit [1] - 44:19 met [1] - 16:19 mic [3] - 35:4, 39:24, 49:20 microphone [1] -39:23 mics [1] - 49:16 Midcontinent [4] - 3:7, 3:9, 3:14, 3:20 Midcontinent's [1] -3:12 middle [1] - 29:17 might [14] - 12:24, 13:10, 16:12, 16:17, 21:12, 25:7, 28:5, 39:25, 48:19, 49:11, 50:13, 50:14, 51:24, 59.3 mileage (1) - 27:22 miles [3] - 27:10, 29:16, 29:18 Minneapolis (2) -19:8, 32:2 minor (1) - 30:3 minute [1] - 55:18 minutes [8] - 36:11, 43:17, 43:18, 52:16, 52:18, 52:21, 52:23 missed [3] - 36:6, 45:21, 50:12 missing (1) - 22:4 misunderstood (2) -27:3, 50:1 Mobile [1] - 13:10 modeled [1] - 19:22 modeling [1] - 33:11 modification [1] -45:15 Modification [1] - 3:4 MODIFICATION [1] -1:5 money [1] - 39:3 month [1] - 43:18 most [5] - 18:3, 28:7, 34:25, 47:9, 48:19 mostly [1] - 43:15 motion [46] - 3:12. 4:2, 4:16, 4:25, 5:1, 6:1, 7:2, 7:7, 7:8, 7:16, 7:17, 8:1, 10:24, 14:10, 20:22, 21:22, 30:6, 30:22, 30:25, 31:1, 31:2, 31:17, 31:18, 32:8, 37:11, 37:22, 37:24, 40:12, 42:1, 42:3, 42:5, 44:8, 47:20, 47:21, 51:13, 52:3, 52:5, 54:13, 55:24, 57:23, 58:4, 58:5,

3:22, 4:3, 4:6, 4:17. 6:15, 7:5, 7:13, 7:18, 8:4, 10:24, 14:12, 14:19, 15:4, 32:13, 37:14, 38:4, 44:3, 48.2, 54:19, 57.7, 58:3, 58:8 Motions [1] - 58:17 move [13] - 14:11, 23:5, 25:18, 29:5, 29:11, 29:19, 37:14, 39:22, 40:6, 42:18, 54:12, 56:25, 57:22 moved [1] - 30:4 movement [1] - 30:23 moving [1] - 52:7 MR [35] - 3:16, 3:22, 5:14, 11:2, 16:5, 18:6, 18:16, 21:11, 22:22, 24:21, 24:24, 25:23, 28:24, 34:8, 35:2, 38:20, 40:22, 41:3, 41:9, 41:24, 42:9, 42:16, 43:12, 48:10, 49:8, 50:2, 50:11, 51:1, 51:10, 51:18, 53:3, 53:13, 55:7, 56:15, 57:16 MS [103] - 3:8, 4:1, 4:8, 6:12, 6:19, 6:20, 7:3, 8:6, 13:18, 14:3, 14:6, 14:8, 14:22, 17:10, 17:13, 17:17, 17:18, 17:20, 17:23, 17:25, 18:7, 18:9, 19:20, 20:13, 20:20. 20.23, 21.1, 21.4, 21:19, 22:5, 22:13, 24:5, 24:13, 27:2, 28:18, 28:20, 29:21, 30:1, 31:3, 32:6, 32:18, 32:21, 32:23, 34:25, 35:12, 36:1, 36:7, 36:20, 36:23, 36:25, 37:3, 37:19, 38:7, 39:15, 39:16, 39:18, 39:21, 40:3, 40:14, 41:2, 41:7, 41:14, 42:4, 42:11, 42:19, 43:22, 44:1, 44:6, 44:12, 45:20, 45:23, 47:2, 47:11, 48:4, 48:24, 49:18, 49:24, 50:5, 50:23, 51:5, 51:13, 52:3, 52.6, 52.12, 52.15, 53:9, 53:19, 53:21, 54:20, 54:23, 55:21,

58:7, 58:21

Motion [24] - 3:6, 3:11,

56:9, 56:21, 57:9, 57:20, 58:4, 58:10, 58:22, 58:24, 59:7, 59:11, 59:15, 59:18

MTA-12[4] - 8:15, 12:4, 12:6, 19:15

multiple [1] - 28:9

MUNICIPAL [1] - 1:4

Municipal [1] - 3:2

must [9] - 4:23, 9:22, 10:18, 10:24, 18:3, 18:7, 21:7, 34:3, 46:22

mystery [2] - 26:6, 26:10

### N

narrow [1] - 20:11 narrowed [2] - 24:6, 41:12 narrowing [1] - 41:13 nature [1] - 30:22 necessarily [4] -12:15, 48:20, 50:16, 51:12 necessary [3] - 3:25, 46:3, 52:5 need [16] - 3:12, 6:23, 7:14, 12:23, 19:3, 20:17, 25:2, 28:5, 33:7, 33:11, 39:25, 42:5, 42:7, 44:4, 49:2, 60:3 needs [2] - 7:18, 14:7 negative (1) - 38:15 negotiate [1] - 33:8 neighboring (1) - 51:3 network [4] - 12:21, 12:22, 19:15, 28:6 never [4] - 9:25, 27:5, 33:25, 45:8 next(1) - 14:20 nobody [1] - 12:16 none [3] - 17:6, 17:15, 19:16 North [1] - 53:15 Notary [2] - 61:6, 61:18 note [2] - 10:19, 34:1 Nothing [1] - 28:13 nothing [3] - 21:13, 55:8, 55:16 NPANXX[1] - 40:24 NPANXXs [4] - 41:5, 41:8, 41:11, 41:16 NUMBER [1] - 1:5 number [19] - 4:7, 4:12, 5:16, 8:6, 8:7,

21:12, 27:15, 27:17, 6 45:15, 48:20, 49:11, 50:14, 50:19, 50:20, 50:21, 51:2, 52:21, 55:1, 55:16 Number [1] - 3:4 numbers [6] - 8:15, 48:17, 50:5, 50:8, 51:21, 51:23

#### 0

o'clock (2) - 32:16. 58:20 object [7] - 4:10, 4:20, 4:23, 7:6, 15:11, 15:12 objection [2] - 22:11, 44:20 objects [2] - 7:9, 7:10 obligation [3] - 11:17, 11:24, 46:25 obligations [2] - 9:10, 45:16 OBLIGATIONS [1] -1:6 Obligations [1] - 3:5 obtain [1] - 34:5 obtained [1] - 9:7 obvious [1] - 52:8 obviously (2) - 37:6, occasionally [1] -25:18 occur[1] - 51:12 occurred[1] - 51:19 occurs [1] - 51:20 OF [8] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:5, 2:1, 61:1, 61:3 offer [2] - 40:1, 43:17 offered [1] - 6:13 offering [2] - 27:16, 45:18 offers [1] - 43:17 office [2] - 36:22, 37:1 once [2] - 22:8, 22:10 one [34] - 4:14, 5:20, 7:3, 13:19, 13:20, 14:4, 15:17, 25:6, 26:4, 26:8, 27:10, 27:16, 28:18, 29:16, 32:15, 37:13, 37:14, 38:25, 40:16, 41:16, 44:3, 45:9, 45:23, 46:1, 46:3, 46:14, 46:22, 47:15, 51:14, 55:16, 58:22, 59:13 One [3] - 8:22, 10:14, 45:24

ones [1] - 5:7 ongoing [1] - 5:16 open [1] - 45:7 opens [1] - 27:25 operations [1] - 53:23 opinion [3] - 6:19, 17:15, 59:21 opportunity [3] - 4:22, 45:9, 60:5 opposed [1] - 49:21 option [1] - 43:19 OR [1] - 1:5 order [6] - 5:10, 6:15, 29:6, 35:5, 39:22, 58:19 ordered [1] - 18:17 original [5] - 20:13, 27:15, 45:12, 46:6, otherwise [2] - 18;22. 39:24 outside [2] - 34:15, 51:25 overall (1) - 44:23 overly [1] - 55:16 overstated [1] - 33:11 owed [1] - 5:25

#### P

P.O [1] - 51:24 page [2] - 33:6, 33:10 palms [1] - 5:20 panic [1] - 29:19 parameters [1] - 20:17 Parity [1] - 3:4 PARITY [1] - 1:5 parity [1] - 45:15 part[18] - 15:12, 22:14, 32:18, 32:23, 34:13, 37:14, 37:22, 41:6, 41:8, 44:23, 45:4, 46:6, 46:13, 46:20, 49:24, 50:7, 53:15 particular [2] - 43:3, 58:16 parties [12] - 5:2, 5:17, 9:13, 9:14, 12:15, 21:25, 35:4, 49:6, 53:22, 54:25, 59:19, 60:6 party [7] - 5:3, 9:8, 9:9, 9:10, 12:15, 44:17 paths [1] - 26:11 pattern [1] - 53:24 paying [1] - 3:17 pending [2] - 4:2,

20:12, 25:5, 25:25, 26:1, 50:11 period [1] - 59:24 peripheral [1] - 13:12 petition [8] - 10:9, 10:12, 33:8, 38:10, 38:12, 42:22, 46:3, 55:4 **PETITION** [1] - 1:4 Petition [4] - 3:2, 8:19, 8:20 Petitioner (1) - 56:10 phantom [1] - 33:23 phone [1] - 32:15 phrase [4] - 20:22, 21:22, 29:22, 31:2 physical [1] - 25:20 pick[1] - 27:13 Pierre [2] - 2:3, 61:13 place [1] - 30:14 plans [1] - 29:5 play [2] - 11:9, 45:18 plays [1] - 25:3 pleasure [1] - 13:18 plowed [1] - 45:13 POI [1] - 11:22 point (52) - 7:12, 8:10, 9:11, 9:18, 10:13, 11:10, 15:5, 18:4, 18:9, 18:19, 18:20, 20:9, 20:13, 21:5, 21:6, 21:7, 21:8, 21:9, 21:22, 22;6, 22:7, 22:8, 22:10, 22:14, 22:16, 22:18, 22:19, 22:20, 27:5, 27:13, 27:17, 27:18, 27:21, 27:23, 28:3, 28:5, 28:21, 28:22, 28:24, 30:13, 30:16, 30:17, 32:1, 34:15, 43:9, 44:21, 45:5, 47:18, 52:9, 56:23 points [17] - 4:19. 8:24, 9:6, 11:3, 14:25, 15:8, 15:18, 15:21, 17:21, 18:1, 20:2, 21:12, 22:19, 24:16, 28:9, 31:23, 45:9 populate [1] - 40:16 Portability [1] - 3:4 portability [1] - 45:15

PORTABILITY [1] -

31:17

51:22

23:14

people [3] - 25:18,

Perhaps [2] - 23:9,

perhaps [6] - 7:4,

1.6 porting [1] - 8:15 position [7] - 6:21, 13:13, 14:4, 16:7, 16:9, 26:7, 41:15 possession [2] - 34:5, 55:3 possibility [2] - 15:23, 46:15 possible [2] - 5:8, 58:24 possibly [7] - 3:10, 6:7, 27:3, 30:3, 43:8, 44:2, 47:13 Possibly [2] - 50:1, 50:2 potential [2] - 15:19, 39:12 practical [2] - 10:13, 10:19 prepare (1) - 58:19 preparing [1] - 5:25 prerogative [1] - 37:7 presence [1] - 18:4 present [4] - 12:15, 29:24, 30:19, 31:7 pretty [2] - 11:5, 38:21 previous [2] - 32:4, 47:12 price [2] - 27:18, 53:1 primarily [1] - 55:12 primary [2] - 11:10, 52:22 principle [3] - 10:2, 10:7, 10:17 problem [3] - 30:25, 49:20, 49:21 proceeding (9) -12:17, 17:2, 33:3, 43:13, 44:15, 45:12, 45:14, 45:19, 46:24 Proceedings [1] - 1:8 proceedings [2] -61:9 61:12 PROCEEDINGS [1] -2:1 process [2] - 10:12, 35.6 produce [1] - 5:11 produced [1] - 56:22 Production [10] -54:22, 54:23, 55:22, 55.25, 56:8, 56:19, 57:1, 57:8, 58:6, 58:7 production [3] - 4:9, 4:22, 57:11 Professional [2] -61:6, 61:19 profitability [1] - 39:6

profound [1] - 45:22 promise [1] - 30:12 properly (1) - 34:12 properties [2] - 53:11, 54:4 proposal [1] - 24:13 proposed [2] - 54:9, 57:12 propounded [1] - 5:9 prove (8) - 9:1, 9:4. 9:12, 15:15, 38:23, 38:24, 46:22, 48:15 proven [1] - 13:5 provide [24] - 9:4, 12:5, 12:11, 13:12, 15:7, 16:2, 21:8, 21:18, 23:7, 23:23, 24:10, 33:16, 40:15, 40:18, 42:4, 42:12, 42:14, 43:7, 45:3, 46:16, 46:25, 51:16, 54:6, 60:4 provided [17] - 12:8, 15:3, 15:5, 15:19, 16:21, 16:23, 17:4, 18:11, 40:19, 41:15, 41:18, 41:23, 55:1, 56:10, 56:16, 56:17 provider [3] - 44:22, 45:2, 45:3 providers [3] - 42:24, 46:17, 47:5 provides [2] - 41:17, 47:5 providing [2] - 10:21, 19:24 Public [2] - 61:7, 61:18 public [15] - 38:13, 39:9, 42:23, 43:1, 44:23, 44:24, 45:5, 45:25, 46:4, 46:6. 46:21, 47:6, 47:15, 55:5 PUBLIC [2] - 1:1, 1:10 purchases [1] - 15:24 purely [1] - 19:4 pursue [2] - 52:12, 52:15 pursuing (1) - 54:20 pursuit (1) - 54:21 put[7] - 3:11, 13:11, 19:17, 20:17, 25:19, 27:8, 28:25 putting [1] - 10:8

profitable [2] - 38:24,

39:2

quantifying [1] - 33:1 question's [1] - 40:23 questioned [1] - 16:18 questions [23] - 4:24 5:5, 5:6, 5:9, 5:11, 6:6, 6:13, 7:2, 8:9, 8:11, 13:14, 14:9, 17:7, 18:13, 20:6, 28:12, 32:25, 35:23, 39:14, 48:5, 48:8, quibble [1] - 30:3 quick [1] - 53:3 quickly (3) - 39:25, 58:25, 59:17 quite [4] - 24:17, 45:13, 56:22 Qwest [25] - 23:24, 26:8, 33:2, 33:15, 33:19, 33:24, 34:2, 34:3, 34:6, 35:14, 35:24, 35:25, 36:4, 36:9, 36:13, 36:14, 36:18, 36:21, 37:1, 37:2, 37:4, 37:17,

#### R

48:11

raise [2] - 40:2, 40:3 raised [4] - 8:11, 9:14, 15:17, 27:12 Rapid [6] - 16:12, 19:11, 23:8, 25:11, 26:18, 32:2 rate [21] - 40:15, 40:16, 40:19, 40:20, 40:23, 41:5, 41:10, 41:16, 41:17, 41:21, 41:22, 48:21, 49:14, 50:8, 50:17, 50:21, 51:2, 51:3, 51:21, 52.2 rather [5] - 10:20, 24:9, 35:1, 35:25, 46:9 RCC [4] - 25:7, 25:8, 26:15 reach [1] - 35:5 readily [1] - 16:17 ready [1] - 41:25 real [2] - 58:14, 59:25 realistic (2) - 15:20, 15:22 really [16] - 6:10, 11:11, 14:6, 22:24,

27:16, 27:20, 27:24, 28:7, 33:18, 34:9, 35:4, 43:2, 44:13, 46:7, 48:24, 49:4 realm [1] - 15:22 Realtime [2] - 61:6, 61:19 reason [5] - 12:20, 16:1, 16:25, 51:13, 59:1 reasonable [1] - 44:11 reasons [1] - 10:23 receive [2] - 4:14, 33:24 received [1] - 4:15 receives [1] - 55:11 recently [1] - 5:18 recip [1] - 45:16 Reciprocal [1] - 3:5 RECIPROCAL[1] reciprocal [2] - 33:20, 34:11 recognize [1] - 33:20 recommend [5] -17:11, 39:15, 39:19, 55:21, 57:20 recommendations [1] -7:19recommended [1] -47:16 record [4] - 15:1, 42:13, 42:17, 55:8 redacted (2) - 58:25, 59:12 redundant (1) - 44:10 refute [4] - 9:14, 9:17, 15:15, 47:3 regard [1] - 14:12 regarding (1) - 5:16 Registered [2] - 61:5, 61:19 reiterate [1] - 25:16 related [9] - 5:17, 20:15, 20:23, 20:24, 20:25, 21:8, 22:3, 22:7, 31:25 relates [1] - 36:18 relationship [1] -48:11 relevance [1] - 24:2 relevancy (10) - 11:7. 11:8, 16:18, 16:25, 17:1, 19:7, 19:9, 19:10, 26:15, 34:18 relevant [24] - 8:17, 8:25, 9:20, 12:7, 13:25, 16:11, 17:11, 17:23, 18:3, 18:10, 18:17, 18:20, 19:2,

44:2, 44:14, 44:19, 47:13, 58:6 remaining [3] - 16:2, 48:8, 58:21 remedies (1) - 13:14 remember (1) - 11:8 rephrase (1) - 42:2 replies [1] - 34:23 reply (2) - 6:11, 48:23 Reporter [4] - 61:6, 61:19, 61:19 reporter [1] - 61:9 represented [3] - 3:14, 5:13, 27:4 Request [10] - 54:22, 54:23, 55:21, 55:25, 56:8, 56:19, 56:25, 57:8, 58:5, 58:7 request [10] - 4:9, 7:18, 10:1, 38:13, 40:25, 41:4, 41:12, 43:1, 47:17 requested [5] - 5:12, 13:15, 16:3, 43:15, 57:18 requesting [2] - 6:14, 9:15 requests [5] - 4:11, 4:21, 8:8, 38:8 require [5] - 10:4, 12:19, 15:23, 27:5, required [3] - 9:23, 20:5, 52:3 requirement [2] -10:5, 10:10 requirements [1] -46:1 requires [2] - 11:19, 46:2 reserves [1] - 7:8 reside [1] - 50:9 respect [4] - 4:25, 15:13, 20:16, 54:2 respond [17] - 4:10, 4:13, 4:15, 4:18, 4:23, 7:5, 7:14, 7:18, 9:12, 16:17, 27:2, 43:22, 45:20, 45:24, 48:6, 48:8, 51:6 responded [6] - 32:18, 32:23, 48:5, 48:6, 53:4, 56:19 responds [2] - 7:9, 50:10 response [17] - 4:14, 4:15, 8:8, 11:13, 15:6, 28:1, 36:17,

20:16, 20:25, 23:15,

25:2, 25:8, 43:13,

36:19, 38:12, 40:24, 42:25, 50:10, 53:3, 53:25, 56:12, 56:13 Responses (2) -28:25, 29:1 responses [1] - 11:15 restate [1] - 24:20 restrict [1] - 30:22 result [1] - 15:25 revised [3] - 51:7. 51:9, 51:11 rights [1] - 9:9 Rislov [1] - 1:14 River[1] - 26:21 Rolayne [3] - 1:13, 7:4, 39:18 Ron [1] - 29:2 Rounds (1) - 1:17 route [4] - 24:15, 40:16, 41:16, 56:17 routed [2] - 56:10, 56:11 routes [7] - 14:24, 15:7, 17:20, 18:2, 22:17, 30:15, 31:23 routing [1] - 16:23 ruling [1] - 27:3 run [1] - 58:14 rural [2] - 36:22, 37:1

S

56:12 sake [1] - 9:25 satisfy [1] - 27:1 SDN [3] - 23:17, 23:24, 26:7 second [4] - 48:7, 50:7, 53:5, 58:19 see [12] - 14:22, 19:12, 21:14, 22:10, 23:9, 23:14, 23:25, 24:3, 30:21, 34:16, 34:18, 57:16 seek [8] - 9:17, 9:18, 10:17, 22:15, 32:25, 33:16, 52:25, 59:7 seeking (5) - 6:4, 10:2, 36:16, 36:19, 59:12 seeks [1] - 8:22 seem [4] - 3:12, 18:18, 20:16, 38:23 select [3] - 42:21. 43.4, 45:16 51:25 Semmler[1] - 1:14 send [2] - 33:18, 34:4 sense [5] - 24:3, 38:23, 39:4, 39:8, 39:11 17:14, 17:15, 19:19, sent [6] - 4:12, 33:1,

35:14, 35:24, 36:4, 51:25 separate [4] - 5:2, 5:5, 19:22, 20:5 separately [3] - 5:3, 5:4, 14:5 serve [1] - 42:23 served [3] - 4:9, 5:5, service [9] - 10:21, 34:15, 43:7, 44:24, 45:17, 46:9, 46:11, 46:13, 46:20 set [3] - 17:5, 38:20, 60:3 shorthand [2] - 61:9 show [6] - 15:19, 15:22, 20:3, 40:19, 40:20, 56:11 showing [3] - 9:17, 46:4, 46:6 shows [1] - 15:20 side [3] - 29:15, 29:16, 29:19 similar(2) - 5:19, 16:6 similarly [1] - 6:4 Simply [1] - 9:24 simply (16) - 4:23, 5:7, 6:3, 9:7, 9:21, 24:9, 26:24, 27:13, 40:20, 41:21, 42:20, 44:15, 44:25, 48:7, 48:13, Sioux [65] - 8:23, 9:19, 9:23, 10:2, 11:16, 11:20, 12:1, 12:8, 12:18, 13:8, 16:8, 16:12, 16:13, 16:15, 17:6, 17:16, 17:24, 18:2, 18:5, 18:6, 18:7, 18:10, 18:21, 18:24, 20:3, 20:15, 20:19, 20:25, 21:11, 21:18, 23:2, 23:4, 25:5, 25:11, 25:17, 25:20, 27:6, 27:12, 28:9, 28:21, 28:23, 29:5, 29:7, 29:13, 29:14, 29:15, 29:17, 29:19, 30:2, 30:4, 31:15, 31:25, 48:19, 48:20, 49:10, 49:11, 49:13, 50:13, 50:14, 50:19, 50:20, 51:24, Sisak [47] - 1:19, 3:8, 3:19, 4:1, 4:8, 5:15, 5:25, 6:7, 6:11, 7:6, 8:5, 12:14, 14:21,

22:2, 22:5, 22:10, 22:12, 24:6, 24:8, 26:22, 29:3, 31:12, 32:17, 32:20, 34:23, 34:24, 36:2, 36:5, 38:6, 40:13, 41:1, 44:5, 44:10, 48:22, 49:15, 49:22, 52:4, 52:11, 52:14, 53:16, 53:20, 54:8, 58:13 SISAK [60] - 3:8, 4:8, 6:12, 8:6, 14:22, 17:17, 17:20, 17:25, 18:7, 19:20, 21:1, 21:4, 22:13, 24:13, 27:2, 32:18, 32:21, 32:23, 34:25, 36:7, 36:23, 37:3, 38:7, 39:16, 40:14, 41:2. 41:7, 41:14, 42:11, 42:19, 43:22, 44:6, 44:12, 45:20, 45:23, 47:2, 48:4, 48:24. 49:18, 49:24, 50:5, 50:23, 51:5, 52:6, 52:12, 52:15, 53:9, 53:21, 54:20, 54:23, 56:9, 57:9, 58:4, 58:10, 58:22, 58:24, 59:7, 59:11, 59:15, 59:18 Sisak's [5] - 20:7, 21:20, 35:12, 42:1, 44:1 sitting [1] - 13:19 situations [1] - 51:11 soft (1) - 35:1 someone [1] - 45:24 someplace [2] -13:11, 30:4 something's [1] - 11:9 sometime [1] - 59:4 somewhere [2] -11:18, 29:10 soon [1] - 6:6 sorry [10] - 3:17, 24:13, 30:8, 31:3, 36:23, 39:16, 41:7, 43:22, 45:23, 51:10 Sorry [2] - 37:13, 57:22 sort[3] - 6:2, 20:18, 30:11 sought [2] - 17:10, 44:24 sound (1) - 52:4 sounded [1] - 49:25 sounds [1] - 50:23 South [15] - 2:3, 8:15, 8:24, 10:21, 11:22,

12:6, 16:24, 19:16, 29:14, 41:19, 53:7, 53:15, 54:25, 61:7, 61:13 SOUTH [2] - 1:2, 61:1 south [1] - 29:19 speaker (1) - 49:21 speakers [1] - 35:7 speaking (1) - 49:19 specific [13] - 11;4, 15:13, 21:5, 21:6, 22:14, 22:16, 25:22, 28:1, 28:2, 28:22, 30:12, 30:13, 55:13 specifically (3) -25:20, 36:8, 36:14 Specifically [1] -17:18 specify [2] - 22:14, 27:19 speculating [1] - 19:1 spend [1] - 49:10 spends [1] - 48:19 Sprint [9] - 4:17, 5:2, 5:7, 5:17, 6:4, 9:16, 9.21, 10:8, 58:9 Sprint's [5] - 4:17, 4:25, 5:8, 6:22, 6:24 SS [1] - 61:2 Staff [3] - 13:16, 17:10, 35:9 staff [7] - 6:19, 6:20, 7:20, 35:9, 39:22, 39:23, 39:25 STAFF [1] - 1:13 staff's [2] - 6:21, 7:17 standard [3] - 13:2. 13:3 standards [2] - 16:19, 47:11 starting (1) - 58:20 state [3] - 22:10, 42:20, 43:4 State [2] - 29:23, 61:7 STATE [2] - 1:2, 61:1 statement [7] - 20:18, 21:20, 42:1, 42:11, 44:21, 47:7, 50:12 statements [1] - 32:4 states [4] - 33:10, 33:13, 35:15, 53:15 statewide (1) - 55:14 status [2] - 5:4, 9:8 STEVE [1] - 1:11 still [3] - 24:5, 41:7, 44:4 stipulation [1] - 5:18 straight [1] - 38:21 Street [1] - 29:7 street [1] - 29:11

stuck [2] - 25:19, 28:22 submitting (3) - 51:7, 51:8, 60:7 Subpart [2] - 36:9. 36:16 subparts [2] - 50:10, 50:24 Subparts (1) - 36:17 subscribers (5) -42:20, 43:4, 48:17, 50:6, 50:8 subscribers' [2] -48:17, 50:6 successfully [1] - 33:8 succinctly [1] - 59:17 sudden [1] - 23:5 sufficient (3) - 16:21, 17:4, 24:9 suggesting [1] - 50:24 suggestion [6] - 21:2, 22:13, 24:20, 25:22, 25:23, 27:13 supplemented [2] -8:9, 53:16 support [1] - 43:6 supported [1] - 30:6 supportive [1] - 31:18 supposed [2] - 10:14, 47:14 supposedly (1) -41:16 surely [1] - 34:5 Suspension [3] - 3:3, 8:19, 8:20 suspension [17] -10:3, 10:12, 11:25, 16:20, 29:11, 33:7, 34:10, 34:14, 39:3, 39:5, 39:7, 39:13, 43:15, 45:14, 45:19, 46:3, 55:12 SUSPENSION (1) -1:5 suspensions [1] -13:4 swap [1] - 49:15 Swiftel [76] - 3:3, 3:9, 4:8, 4:11, 5:5, 5:17, 6:14, 8:6, 8:11, 8:18, 8:20, 8:22, 9:12, 9:19, 9:22, 9:23, 10:1, 10:2, 10:3, 10:5, 10:10, 10:18, 11:12, 12:12, 13:1, 13:5, 15:14, 15:19, 15:23, 16:15, 19:2. 19:5, 19:22, 20:1, 21:6, 21:15, 22:15, 23:10, 23:12, 24:15,

27:1, 27:5, 28:4, 31.22, 33:1, 33:4, 33:7, 33:8, 33:10, 33:14, 33:15, 33:18, 33:20, 33:23, 34:12, 35:14, 35:15, 35:24, 36:4, 36:21, 37:2, 37:16, 38:22, 39:9, 42:22, 43:10, 44:15, 44:18, 44:22, 46:22, 47:5, 52:17, 52:18, 53:2, 55:11, 59:8 SWIFTEL [1] - 1:4 Swiftel's [25] - 3:6, 4:6, 4:11, 4:17, 8:3, 9:1, 9:11, 9:14, 9:17, 10:9, 11:10, 11:17, 16:15, 19:21, 38:9, 38:10, 38:12, 38:13, 43:1, 43:7, 44:24, 47:4, 55:4, 57:13, 58:8 switch [38] - 12:3, 12:4, 18:6, 18:7, 19:10, 21:11, 21:14, 21:18, 22:1, 22:16, 22:17, 23:1, 23:4, 23:5, 23:10, 23:12, 23:16, 23:19, 24:1, 24:13, 24:14, 24:15, 25:5, 25:16, 25:24, 26:8, 26:13, 26:24, 27:6, 27:9, 29:4, 29:17, 29:24, 30:2, 30:23, 31:8 switch's [1] - 24:10 switches [10] - 8:16, 14:24, 15:6, 15:22,

### T

switching [1] - 29:11

16:22, 23:11, 24:1,

25:18, 31:22

T-Mobile [1] - 13:10
Tal [1] - 18:14
Talbot [1] - 1:19
talks [1] - 22:22
tandem [16] - 33:2,
33:19, 33:24, 34:3,
35:14, 35:25, 36:4,
36:10, 36:13, 36:14,
36:18, 36:21, 37:1,
37:4, 37:17
tangential [1] - 55:17
tat [1] - 39:11
TC06-178 [1] - 59:11
TC07-007 [2] - 1:5, 3:1
telecommunication

terminate [2] - 52:17, 52:18 terminates [1] - 33:14 terms [1] - 22:22 Terri (1) - 1:15 territory [2] - 6:5, 19:5 test [6] - 11:8, 16:20, 33:4, 39:5, 44:19, 57:11 testimony [4] - 33:5, 33:6, 45:7, 59:4 tests [1] - 33:17 THE [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:10 therefore (8) - 3:10. 5.7, 5:10, 8:23, 9:8, 9:19, 15:9, 52:25 third [2] - 8:3, 48:7 three [2] - 48:5, 50:3 Thursday [1] - 5:22 timely [1] - 4:21 today [7] - 11:6, 23:6, 25:17, 58:19, 59:20, 59:24, 60:1 today's [1] - 52:10 together [2] - 13:20, 60:6 toll [1] - 46:12 took [1] - 61:9 toward [1] - 24:5 track [2] - 26:4, 50:22 tracked [1] - 51:12 traffic [49] - 8:14, 8:22, 8:24, 9:19, 9:22, 9:24, 10:1, 10:6, 10:18, 11:22, 11:24, 12:5, 12:25, 13:6, 18:25, 20:2, 20:25, 21:7, 22:15, 23:16, 23:23, 24:16, 27:5, 27:21, 27:25, 28:4, 28:8, 30:2, 33:1, 33:14, 33:18, 33:19, 33:21, 33:23, 33:24, 34:12, 34:13, 34:15, 35:14, 35:24, 36:4, 36:9, 36:18, 37:16, 53:23, 56:10, 56:11, 57:13 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:1 Transcript [1] - 1:8 transcription [1] -61:11 transmission [8] -14:25, 15:1, 15:8,

111 - 52:9

50.8

telecommunications

[2] - 8:13, 38:25

telephone [2] - 1:19,

17:21, 18:1, 22:18. 30:16, 31:23 transport [31] - 11:11, 11:12, 12:25, 14:24, 15:1, 15:7, 17:6, 17:7, 17:16, 17:20, 17:24, 18:2, 18:10, 19:24, 20:1, 20:24, 21:7, 22:17, 26:9, 27:10, 27:24, 30:15, 31:22, 33:11, 43:16, 48:15, 52:20, 52:21, 55:12, 57:13 Transport [1] - 12:8 transport's [1] - 12:7 transportation [1] -20:15 transported [1] -52:22 transporting [2] -20:14, 20:25 transports [1] - 19:8 treat [2] - 6:3, 51:10 true [3] - 42:25, 47:7, 61:11 trunk [3] - 19:5, 35:25, 56:9 trunking (6) - 16:11, 16:22, 23:7, 23:8, 25:10, 26:18 trunks [4] - 19:22, 19:25, 20:4, 20:5 try (2) - 15:15 trying [7] - 21:21, 25:13, 41:3, 41:9, 41:12, 44:25, 45:4 turns [1] - 11:9 Two [1] - 4:25 two [11] - 5:2, 15:6, 16:23, 23:5, 27:17, 42:6, 49:5, 52:20, 52:21, 52:22, 56:18 two-way [1] - 49:5 tying [1] - 48:15 type [2] - 17:1, 43:16 types [1] - 56:11

# Ü

ultimately [2] - 15:23, 15:24 Under [1] - 41:25 under [5] - 5:25, 10:11, 11:18, 13:15, 52:10 understood [1] -41:15 undoubtedly [2] -51:11, 51:19

unique [1] - 40:1 universal [4] - 46:8, 46:10, 46:13, 46:20 unless (2) - 39:14, 40:1 Unless [2] - 40:2, 40:3 unrealistic (1) - 29:8 unreasonable [1] -19:22 untie [1] - 29:23 up [15] - 5:20, 7:10, 9:3, 25:13, 29:1, 38:23, 44:12, 46:7, 46:8, 48:15, 49:2, 55:8, 58:15, 58:18, 59:3 upgrade [2] - 25:18. 29:10 **Utilities** [1] - 3:2 **UTILITIES (3) - 1:1,** 1:4, 1:10

#### V

various [3] - 9:16, 33.5, 55:1 verbiage [1] - 32:4 Verizon [11] - 10:22, 15:25, 52:17, 52:19, 52:23, 52:25, 53:6, 53:10, 53:14, 57:10, 57:14 VICE [1] - 1:11 visiting [1] - 52:9 voice [1] - 35:1 volume (5) - 33:1. 35:13, 35:24, 36:3, 36:9 vote [3] - 47:24, 56:2, 58:6 votes [10] - 8:1, 14:19, 32:13, 38:4, 40:11, 48:2, 54:18, 56:7, 57.7, 58:3

## W

wants [2] - 29:18, 46:12 water [1] - 12:25 weekend [1] - 5:25 WEICZOREK [31] -11:2, 16:5, 18:16, 21:11, 22:22, 24:21, 24:24, 25:23, 28:24, 34:8, 35:2, 38:20, 40:22, 41:3, 41:9, 41:24, 42:9, 42:16,

43:12, 48:10, 49:8, 50:2, 50:11, 51:1, 51:10, 51:18, 53:3, 53:13, 55:7, 56:15, 57:16 well-aware [1] - 33:22 West[1] - 26:21 whole [1] - 46:18 widely [1] - 27:25 WIECZOREK [1] -18:6 Wieczorek [29] - 1:19, 11:1, 16:4, 18:14, 21:10, 21:25, 22:11, 22:21, 24:17, 24:19, 25:21, 28:20, 34:7, 38:19, 40:21, 41:14, 42:8, 42:15, 43:11, 44:13, 48:9, 49:7, 49:19, 49:25, 51:8, 51:15, 55:6, 56:14, 57:15

Wieczorek's [6] -32:1, 35:1, 41:2, 43:23, 44:13, 48:25 WIEST [37] - 4:1, 6:19, 7:3, 14:3, 14:8, 17:13, 17:18, 17:23, 18:9, 20:13, 20:20, 20:23, 21:19, 22:5, 24:5, 28:18, 28:20, 29:21, 30:1, 31:3, 32:6, 35:12, 36:1, 36:20, 36:25, 37:19, 39:15, 39:18, 42:4, 44:1, 47:11, 51:13, 52:3, 53:19, 55:21, 56:21, 57:20 Wiest [22] - 1:13, 3:24, 6:17, 7:4, 17:12, 20:10, 22:2, 22:22, 24:4, 32:3, 35:11, 35:22, 39:18, 40:4, 43:21, 43:25, 47:8, 51:4, 55:20, 56:20,

57:19

33:10

4:2

Williams [2] - 29:2,

Williams' [1] - 33:6 wireless [1] - 26:16

wish [2] - 5:23, 34:22 withdraw [2] - 3:10,

Withdraw [1] - 3:22

withdrawn [1] - 3:21

Wittler [1] - 61:18

WITTLER [1] - 61:5

wonder [1] - 21:23

wording [4] - 31:11,

31:15, 31:19, 31:20

46:16
works [2] - 48:18,
50:13
world [1] - 12:3
worth [1] - 55:19
worthless [1] - 27:21
writing [3] - 27:8,
28:25
written [1] - 36:2

words [2] - 33:17,

# X

XYZ [2] - 26:2, 26:16

#### Υ

year [2] - 10:22, 52:24 years [3] - 26:6, 26:9, 29:8 yesterday [1] - 4:16