RECEIVED



AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

SEP 0 2 2025

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

I hereby certify that the public notice detailed below was published on the run date(s) indicated in the publication identified below, a legal newspaper as defined by SDCL 17-2-2.1, in the city of _______, South Dakota.

Signed:
Print Name: Sandy DeBeer, Advertising Placement Coordinator

Notary Public:

My commission expires:

NICOLE HERRIG

NOTARY PUBLIC

Order #: 25084SP0

Advertiser Name: Public Utilities Commission

The Dakota Scout (Sioux Falls, SD)

Run Date	Ad Size	Caption / Position / Special Instructions	Section and Page information
Fri 08/22/25	0.00 X 0.00	Caption: Notice of Proposed Sale: Clarity Telecom, LLC / Bluepeak	

South Dakota NewsMedia Association

South Dakota Newspaper Services, Inc. 1125 32nd Avenue Brookings, South Dakota 57006 Phone: 800-658-3697 This hearing will be located at a physically accessible place. Please contact the South Da-kota Board of Medical and Os-

kota Board of Medical and Os-teopathic Examiners at least 48 hours before the public hearing if you have special needs for which special ar-rangements can be made by calling 605-367-7781. Copies of the proposed rules may be obtained without

may be obtained without charge from rules.sd.gov and/or www.sdbmoe.gov; and/or Board of Medical and Osteopathic Examiners, 101 N. Main Ave. Suite 301, Sloux Falls, SD 57104; SDBMOE@state.sd.us; (605)367-7781.

(605)367-7781.
Published once on Aug. 22, 2025, at the approximate cost of \$26.73, and may be viewed free of charge at www.sdpublicnotices.com or TheDakotaS-

PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE OF PROPOSED SALE OF TELEPHONE EXHANGE TO WHOLLY OWNED, INDIRECT SUBSIDIARIES SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

On August 4, 2025, the Com-mission received a filing, TC25-034, by Clarity Telecom, LLC DBA Bluepeak (Bluepeak), on behalf of itself and its wholon behalf of itself and its wholly owned, indirect subsidiaries
Bluepeak BTS Borrower, LLC
(Bluepeak BTS) and Bluepeak ABS Asset Entity I, LLC
(Bluepeak ABS). Bluepeak requests commission approval
pursuant to SDCL 49-31-99 to
undertake a series of rebtad undertake a series of related, internal transfers of assets, including customer contracts and related telecommunications network infrastructure used to operate certain tele-communications exchanges in communications exchanges in South Dakota , between itself and its wholly owned indirect subsidiaries, Bluepeak BTS and Bluepeak ABS. Bluepeak will continue to operate and manage all aspects of communications services to be provided by the subsidiaries and customers will receive sercustomers will receive services on the same rates, terms and conditions as currently provided by Bluepeak.

Pursuant to SDCL 49-31-59,

interested parties may file comments with the Commission or file a petition to inter-vene in this proceeding within 15 days of publication of this notice.
The Commission's contact

information is: Ph (605) 773-3201; puc.sd.gov; puc@state. sd.us; 500 E. Capitol Ave., Pierre, SD 57501

Published once on Aug. 22, 2025, at the approximate cost of \$18.04, and may be viewed free of charge at www.sdpublicnotices.com or TheDakotaS-

SUMMONS

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA COUNTY OF MINNEHAHA :SS IN CIRCUIT COURT SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT ANGELA DIANE HENDERSON, PLAINTIFF,

V. JOSEPH LAWRENCE HENDERSON, DEFENDANT. 49DIV25-248 VERIFIED COMPLAINT

Angela Diane Henderson, Plaintiff and hereinafter re-ferred to as "Wife," being first duly sworn on oath, and for her Complaint against Joseph Lawrence Henderson, Defen-dant and hereinafter referred to as "Husband," deposes and

states:

1. Wife is a female person over the age of eighteen and Husband is a male person over the age of eighteen years.

2. Wife is a bona fide resident of Minnehaha County, South

Dakota and intends to main-tain such residence during the pendency of this action. Hus-band is a bona fide resident of wife is not aware or intentions as to residency.

married on the 1st day of September, 2012, in St. Paul, Minnesota; and now are and have ever since been husband and

wife.

4. Wife is entitled to a Decree of Divorce from Husband on the grounds of extreme cruel-ty, under SDCL 25-4-2(2) or in the alternative, irreconcilable differences, under SDCL 25-4-

2(7).
5. Two children have been born as issue of the marriage, namely, Clara Morowa Violette Henderson, born on the 6th day of January of 2018, and August Jay Dean Henderson, born of the 15th day of Janu-, 2016. Wife states that she is not

now pregnant.

7. Wife is a fit and proper per-7. Wife is a fit and proper person to have primary physical custody and sole legal custody of the minor children. There is a protection order that is currently in place against Husband with the minor children as protected parties (See 49TP024-1239).

8. In compliance with the Unifonn Child Custody Juris-diction and Enforcement Act, Wife states:

(A) The minor children of the (A) The minor children of the parties currently reside with Wife in Minnehaha County. During their life, the minor children of the parties have resided in Sioux Falls, South Dakota.

(B) Wife intends to minimize

(B) Wife intends to maintain her residence in Minnehaha County, South Dakota for the foreseeable future. Wife is un-aware of Husband's future in-

aware of Husband's future intentions of residency.

(C) No other action concerning the custody of the children is pending in this or any other state other than this action between the parties hereto.

(D) No other party has claimed a right to the custody of the children, and no other person not a party to this proceeding has claimed any right to parenting time privileges. to parenting time privileges with said children at the time of the signing of this Complaint.

9. The parties have acquired 9. The parties have acquired certain property and debts during the course of their mar-riage. Wife asks the Court to make an equitable division of the property and debts of the

narriage.

10. Neither party to this action is a member of any branch of the Armed Services of the United States.

11. Husband is an able-bodied acceptable of company and the services of the United States.

II. Husband is an able-bodied person capable of earning an income of an amount sufficient to pay a suitable sum of monthly child support to Wife for the care and support of the minor children of the parties.

12. That Husband is an able-bodied person capable of earning an income of an amount sufficient to pay a suitable sum of monthly spousal support to Wife.

WHEREFORE, Wife prays for Judgment as follows:

A. That Wife be granted a Decree of Divorce on the grounds of extreme cruelty, and that the parties be restored to status of single parties;

the parties be restored to Status of single parties;

B. That Wife be given primary physical custody and sole legal custody of the minor children born as issue of the marriage. There is a protection order that is currently in place against Husband with the misor children or presented against.

against rusballa With the fin-nor children as protected par-ties (See 49TP024-1239); C. That Husband be required to pay a suitable sum of monthly child support to Wife; D. That Husband be re-quired to pay a suitable sum

of monthly spousal support to Wife; E. That the Court make an eq-

uitable division and allocation of the property and debts of the parties;
F. That Husband be required

r. That Husband be required to pay for Wife's attorney fees incurred in pursuing this action; and G. That the Court grant further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

Dated this 14 day of March, 2025

2025. /s/Angela Diane Henderson Angela Diane Henderson, Wife/Plaintiff STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA COUNTY OF MINNEHAHA

:SS Angela Diane Henderson, being first and duly sworn on her oath, states that she is the Plaintiff named in the forgoing Complaint; she has read the contents thereof and the same is true and correct of her own knowledge, except as to those matters herein stated on in-formation and belief and as to those matters she believes them to be true. /s/Angela Diane Henderson

Angela Diane Henderson, Plaintiff

Subscribed and sworn to be-fore me this 14th day of March,

/s/Stephanie Stauffacher Notary Public - South Dakota My commission expires: My 2-10-26

2-10-26 Published Aug. 22, Aug. 29, Sept. 5 & Sept. 12, 2025, at the approximate cost of \$215.45, and may be viewed free of charge at www.sdpublicno-tices.com or TheDakotaScout. com.

SUMMONS

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA COUNTY OF MINNEHAHA :SS IN CIRCUIT COURT SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT ANGELA DIANE HENDERSON, BLAINTIEE

ANGELA DIANE
HENDERSON,
PLAINTIFF,
V.
JOSEPH LAWRENCE
HENDERSON,
DEFENDANT.
49DIV25-248
SUMMONS
TO THE ABOVE-NAMED
DEFENDANT, JOSEPH LAWRENCE HENDERSON:
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to answer the Complaint of the
Plaintiff, Angela Diane Henderson, a copy of which is
herewith served upon you,
and to serve a copy of your
Answer on the attorneys for
Plaintiff, Angela Diane Henderson, Lockwood & Zahrbock
Kool Law Office, at the address
below, within thirty (30) days
from the date of the service of
this Summons upon you, exthis Summons upon you, ex-clusive of the day of such ser-

vice. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, judgment by default may be ren-dered against you as request-ed in Plaintiffs Complaint sixty (60) days after the completed service of Plaintiffs Summons and Complaint.

and Complaint.
NOTICE
South Dakota law provides
that upon the filing of a Complaint for divorce or separate
maintenance and upon personal service of the Complaint
and Summons on the Defendant, a Temporary Restraining
Order shall be in effect against
both parties until the final Decree is entered, the Complaint
is dismissed, or until further
order of the Court. Either party may apply to the Court for
further temporary orders or
modifications or revocation of
the Order. NOTICE the Order.

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER
BY ORDER OF THE COURT, YOU AND YOUR SPOUSE ARE:
1. Restrained from transferring, encumbering, concealing

or in any way dissipating or disposing of any marital asset, without the written consent of the other party or an order of the Court, except as may be necessary in the usual course necessary in the usual course of business or for the necessities of life. You are to notify the other party of any proposed extraordinary expenditures made after the Temporary Restraining Order is in effect;

2. Restrained from molesting or disturbing the peace of the other party:

other party;

3. Restrained from removing any minor children of the parties from the state without the written consent of the other party or an order of the Court;

4. Restrained from making any changes to any insurance coverage for the parties or any child of the parties without the written consent of the other written consent of the other party or an order of the court unless the change under the applicable insurance coverage increases the benefits, adds additional property, persons, or perils to be covered, or is required by the insurer. CUSTODY ORDER PUTSUANT to SDCL 25-4A-11 et.

Pursuant to SDCL 25-4A-11 et. seq., upon service of this Summons the attached visitation guidelines become an Order of this Court. Any minor children of this marriage shall remain in the custody of the parent who has been the primary care giver for the minor children for the majority of time in the twelve months preceding the filing of this Summons and Complaint unless the parties otherwise agree. The guidelines are subject to any provisions established by a South Dakota Court in a temporary Dakota Court in a temporary or permanent domestic pro-tection order, an order aris-ing out of an abuse or neglect proceeding, as a condition of bond arising out of a criminal case, or an order in any other proceeding affecting child cus-

proceeding affecting child custody or support.

The attached guidelines shall apply and continue in effect unless the parties agree or the Court otherwise orders. The imposition of these standard guidelines creates no presumption as to who may be awarded custody at any hearing. An agreement by the parties for visitation other than the standard guidelines must be in writing, signed by both parties, and filed with the Court.

both parties, and filed with the Court.

If either party objects to this initial order, the Court shall order a hearing which shall be held not later than 30 days after the date of the objection. The Court shall then issue its temporary custody and visitation order after considering the best interest of the children consistent with the provi-

dren consistent with the provi-sions of SDCL 25-4-45. Dated this 20th day of May 2025, at Sioux Falls, South Dakota

/s/ MarieClaire Christenson /s/ Tressa Zahrbock Kool Lockwood & Zahrbock Kool

Law Office 121 S Franklin Ave Suite 1 Sioux Falls, SD 57103 605-331-3643

605-331-3643
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Published Aug. 22, Aug. 29,
Sept. 5 & Sept. 12, 2025, at the
approximate cost of \$182.57,
and may be viewed free of
charge at www.sdpublicnotices.com or TheDakotaScout.

SUMMONS

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA STATE OF SOUTH DAROTA
COUNTY OF MINNEHAHA
:SS IN CIRCUIT COURT
SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
MADELAINE LIWAG
MADERA,
PLAINTIFF,
VC VS.
ALERTO TAPALLIA
LARDIZABAL,

DEFENDANT.
FILE NO. DIV 25SUMMONS AND
NOTICE OF TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER
TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DI FENDANT, ALERTO LARDIZA-

FENDANT, ALERTO LARDIZA-BAL.
YOU ARE HEREBY SUM-MONED and required to serve upon Plaintiff and Answer to the Complaint which is herewith served upon you within thirty (30) days after service of this Summons upon you, exclusive of the date of such service. If you fail to do so, Judgment by Default will be taken against you as requested in the against you as requested in the Complaint for Divorce, after sixty (60) days have elapsed from the service of this Summons and Complaint upon

you.

NOTICE:
South Dakota Law provides
that upon the filing of a Complaint for divorce or separate
maintenance and upon personal service on the Complaint and Summons on the Defendant, a Temporary Restraining Order shall be in effect against both parties until the final De-cree is entered, the Complaint cree is entered, the Complaint is dismissed, or until further Order of the Court. Either party may apply to the Court for further temporary orders, modification, or revocation of the Order.

TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER BY ORDER OF THE COURT, YOU AND YOUR SPOUSE ARE:

1. Restrained from transferring, engumbering, conceal-

1. Restrained from transfer-ring, encumbering, conceal-ing, or in any way dissipating or disposing of any marital as-sets, without the written con-sent of the other party or an Order of the Court, except as may be necessary in the usu-al course of business or for the processities of life. You are the necessities of life. You are to notify the other party of any proposed extraordinary expenditures and to account expenditures and to account
the Court for all extraordinary
expenditures made after the
Temporary Restraining Order
is in effect; and
2. Restrained from molesting
or disturbing the peace of the
other narty

other party.
Dated this 16th day of July

2025

2025.
Respectfully Submitted,
DUFFY LAW FIRM
/s/ Ilisja Duffy
Attorney for Plaintiff
1321 Mt. Rushmore Rd.
Rapid City, South Dakota
57701 (605) 939-7936 ilisja@

57/01 (60/5) 939-7936 litisja@ duffylaw.us Published Aug. 8, Aug. 15, Aug. 22 & Aug. 29 2025, at the approximate cost of \$103.64, and may be viewed free of charge at www.sdpublicno-tices.com or TheDakotaScout. com.

SUMMONS

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
COUNTY OF MINNEHAHA
IN CIRCUIT COURT
SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
MANDY NEMMERS
PLAINTIFF
V.
MATTHEW NEMMERS
DEFENDENT
CASE NO: 49DIV24-605
SUMMONS
(WITHOUT MINOR CHILD)
TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT:
YOU ARE HEREBYS UM-

FENDANT:
YOU ARE HEREBYS UMMONED and required to answer the Complaint without
Minor Children of the Plaintiff, a copy of which is herewith served upon you, and to
serve the Plaintiff with a copy of your Answer or other prop-er response within thirty days from the date of the service of

from the date of the service of the Summons upon you, not counting the day of service. IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO, judg-ment by default may be tak-en against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint