

Cremer, Karen

From: scott@swierlaw.com
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 3:50 PM
To: Schenkenberg, Philip; Cremer, Karen
Cc: 'Diane Browning'; Knudson, Scott
Subject: RE: TC10-026 April 9, 2013 oral argument

Karen:

Scott Swier and Phil-are we in agreement that:

1.) the Commission will not formally hear NAT's request for a continuance but the parties may discuss it as a part of their presentation on April 9, 2013; (SRS Response - To preserve my record, I will provide the Commission with the circumstances surrounding Sprint's last-minute filing. I will also ensure that NAT's objection is clear on the record for appellate purposes).

2.) the Commission will hear oral argument on the Motion for Summary Judgment including Sprint's comments on its supplemental authority;

3.) that NAT may orally respond (or not) to Sprint's supplemental authority as it is understood that NAT will be submitting a written response to the supplemental authority at a later date; (SRS's response - Because of the lateness of Sprint's supplemental authority filings, NAT likely will not respond orally to the supplemental authority on Tuesday. Instead, NAT will take the opportunity (at a later date) to provide the Commission with a written response).

4.) that before the Commission makes a decision on the Motion for Summary Judgment that the parties can make further oral argument on the filings that will be made pertaining to Sprint's supplemental authority. (SRS's response - Yes, NAT will be likely be requesting further oral argument on the supplemental authority issue so that it is given a fair opportunity to respond to the same).

Finally, I note that the Commission's agenda states that it will only be hearing oral argument and will NOT be making any decision regarding Sprint's Motion for Summary Judgment on Tuesday. As such, I will inform my client that it should not expect a decision until a later date.

Thanks.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure:

To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by any taxpayer for the purpose of (1) avoiding tax-related penalties under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code or (2) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matters addressed herein.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: TC10-026 April 9, 2013 oral argument
From: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>
Date: Fri, April 05, 2013 3:28 pm
To: "Cremer, Karen" <Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "Scott Swier (scott@swierlaw.com)" <scott@swierlaw.com>
Cc: 'Diane Browning' <diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Knudson, Scott" <SKnudson@Briggs.com>

Karen, as to 4) I would say that the Commission will hear oral argument if it wishes to do so.

Phil

Phil Schenkenberg
Briggs and Morgan, P.A.
Direct 612.977.8246
Fax 612.977.8650
pschenkenberg@briggs.com

2200 IDS Center
80 South 8th Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Admitted in Minnesota and Iowa

From: Cremer, Karen [<mailto:Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>]
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 3:08 PM
To: 'Scott Swier (scott@swierlaw.com)'; Schenkenberg, Philip
Subject: TC10-026 April 9, 2013 oral argument

Scott Swier and Phil-are we in agreement that:

- 1.) the Commission will not formally hear NAT's request for a continuance but the parties may discuss it as a part of their presentation on April 9, 2013;
- 2.) the Commission will hear oral argument on the Motion for Summary Judgment including Sprint's comments on its supplemental authority;
- 3.) that NAT may orally respond (or not) to Sprint's supplemental authority as it is understood that NAT will be submitting a written response to the supplemental authority at a later date;
- 4.) that before the Commission makes a decision on the Motion for Summary Judgment that the parties can make further oral argument on the filings that will be made pertaining to Sprint's supplemental authority.

If Sprint and NAT are agreeable to this, please respond to this email as I would like to file it so the Commission knows what to expect based on NAT's April 4, 2013, letter to Ms. Van Gerpen.

Karen E. Cremer
Staff Attorney
605.773.3201
karen.cremer@state.sd.us
SD Public Utilities Commission
500 E. Capitol Ave.
Pierre, SD 57501

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail communication and any attached documentation may be privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure and is intended only for the use of the designated recipient(s). It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized person. The use, distribution, transmittal or re-transmittal by an unintended recipient of this communication is strictly prohibited without our express approval in writing or by e-mail. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please delete it from your system without copying it and notify the above sender so that our e-mail address may be corrected. Receipt by anyone other than the intended recipient is not a waiver of any attorney-client or work-product privilege.