ATTACHMENT B



June 12, 2009

BY ELECTRONIC MAIL julie.a.walker@sprint.com

114

Julie Walker Sprint – Access Verification KSOPHL0412 6500 Sprint Parkway Overland Park, KS 66251

RE: Dispute Notice

Dear Ms. Walker:

The South Dakota Network, LLC ("SDN") received the attached dispute notice for SDN access charges for service between June 2007 and April 2009 and for an invoice dated May 2009. Sprint is currently in default or arrears for the April 2009 invoice. Sprint will be liable for late fees for failure to pay invoices in a timely manner as specified in the tariff.

SDN wishes to inform Sprint of the following matters:

1. All charges to Sprint were for traffic subject to terms, conditions and rates as required by SDN's tariffs for central equal access ("CEA") tandem traffic approved by the requisite regulatory authority. The tariffs have been in effect for many years without material change. All charges are applied to actual measured usage by jurisdiction. All billed traffic is terminated to SDN by Sprint using Feature Group D access services ordered by Sprint.

2. SDN is not aware of the specific nature of any terminating traffic sent to SDN by Sprint or that it is artificially stimulated, chat line, free teleconferencing or revenue sharing usage. Sprint does not identify any traffic by specific usage nor has Sprint ever previously communicated to SDN that it considers such traffic to not qualify for rating and charging under applicable access tariff provisions or to take any action based on any Sprint identified traffic not covered by the applicable tariff. Sprint provides no legal basis for asserting such a position or any decision of competent authority to support any such assertion.

3. From the nature of the alleged traffic and Sprint's inability or unwillingness to identify or otherwise segregate such traffic, Sprint has no basis upon which to determine what part of a particular billing, if any, is attributable to the stimulated usage Sprint indentifies. Any attempt to

adjust any prior bill, or to dispute current bills is without factual basis or support. Additionally, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") has not condoned traffic blocking or a deliberate failure to pay tariffed charges as appropriate self-help remedies available to either SDN or Sprint in these situations.

Ť. 🖬

ar. 4

Accordingly, SDN anticipates that Sprint will continue to pay all bills based on current reasonable and approved tariff rates for any traffic Sprint elects to terminate through the SDN CEA tandem.

Sincerely,

/s/ William P. Heaston Attorney for SDN