RICHARD A. CUTLER
KENT R. CUTLER
BRIAN J. DONAHOE *#
STEVEN J. SARBACKER *+
JAYNA M. VOSS
MICHAEL D. BORNITZ ‡
TRENT A. SWANSON *
RYAN J. TAYLOR *
KIMBERLY R. WASSINK
MEREDITH A. MOORE
DAVID L. EDWARDS
NATHAN S. SCHOEN *†
ONNA B. HOUCK #
DAVID L. REZAC
JARED A. SORENSON >

CUTLER & DONAHOE, LLP

Telephone (605) 335-4950 Fax (605) 335-4961

www.cutlerlawfirm.com

July 27, 2006

JEAN BROCKMUELLER, CPA (Inactive) BUSINESS MANAGER

*Also licensed to practice in Minnesota

#Also licensed to practice

‡Also licensed to practice in Nebraska

+Also licensed to practice in Missouri

†Admitted to practice in United States Tax Court

°Also licensed as a Certified Public Accountant.

Arizona license only

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen Executive Director South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capitol Building 500 East Capitol Avenue Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Re:

ETC Filing - Midstate Communications, Inc.

Our File No. 2003.01

Dear Ms. Van Gerpen:

Please find enclosed for filing one original and eleven copies of the Annual ETC Certification Filing and Submittal Pursuant to ARSD § 20:10-32:53. Please return one file-stamped copy in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelope. Also please find enclosed a Certificate of Service signed by Ryan J. Taylor.

If you have any questions regarding this filing or require any clarification or further information, please feel free to contact me at your earliest convenience at (605) 335-4989. Thank you for your assistance and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

CUTLER & DONAHOE, LLP

Ryan J. Taylor For the Firm

RJT:dah Enclosures

cc:

Mark Benton, Midstate

BEFORE THE SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN TH	E MAT	TER OF THE	REQUEST OF)	
			ATIONS, INC.)	•
FOR C	CERTII	FICATION RE	GARDING ITS)	
USE	OF	FEDERAL	UNIVERSAL)	T
SERV	ICE SU	PPORT.)	1

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR ANNUAL ETC CERTIFICATION FILING AND SUBMITTAL PURSUANT TO ARSD § 20:10:32:53

State of South Dakota)

) SS

County of Minnehaha)

I, Ryan J. Taylor do hereby certify that on this 27th day of July, 2006, I have filed and served the original and ten (10) true and correct copies of the Annual ETC Certification Filing and Submittal Pursuant to ARSD § 20:10:32:53 by First-Class United States Mail to:

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Avenue Pierre, SD 57501-5070

CUTLER & DONAHOE, LLP

Attorneys at Law

Ryan J. Taylor

100 N. Phillips Ave., 9th Floor

Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57104-6725

Telephone (605) 335-4950

Facsimile (605) 335-4961

Attorneys for Petitioner

Midstate Communications, Inc.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27th day of July, 2006.

Notary Public*South Dakota

My Commission Expires: 01-18-08

<SEAL>

DEBORAH A. HOFFRAGE & SEAL SOUTH DAKOTA SEAL & SEAL

BEFORE THE SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE REQUEST OF MIDSTATE COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR CERTIFICATION REGARDING ITS USE OF FEDERAL UNIVERSAL SERVICE SUPPORT.

ANNUAL ETC CERTIFICATION FILING AND SUBMITTAL PURSUANT TO ARSD § 20:10:32:53

Midstate Communications, Inc. (the "Company"), by and through its attorney, makes this filing to seek certification from the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission (the "Commission") as is required under 47 C.F.R. § 54.314 and to comply with the Commission's new rules pertaining to ETCs, including the provisions of ARSD §§ 20:10:32:52, 20:10:32:53 and 20:10:32:54. As part of this filing, Midstate Communications, Inc. offers the following:

- 1. Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.314, each carrier that has been designated as an eligible telecommunications carrier ("ETC") that is eligible to receive future federal universal service support must file an annual certification with the FCC and the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") stating that federal high-cost support provided to the carrier will be used only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended. This certification requirement applies to various categories of federal universal service support, including support provided pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.301, 54.305, and/or 54.307, and/or 47 C.F.R. Part 36, Subpart F (high-cost loop support, local switching support, safety net additive support and safety valve support). Support provided under these FCC rule provisions will only in the future be made available if the State Commission files the requisite certification pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 54.314.
- 2. The certification required specifically for rural carriers to receive federal universal service support for all four quarters during calendar year 2007 is currently due to be filed with the FCC and USAC on or before October 1, 2006. The certification may be presented to these

entities in the form of a letter from the State Commission. The letter must identify which carriers in the State are eligible to receive federal support during the 12-month period and must certify that the carriers listed will only use the support for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

- 3. Midstate Communications, Inc. is a rural telephone company that has previously been designated by this Commission as an ETC. Midstate Communications, Inc. provides local exchange telephone services, including all of the essential services that are included in the federal definition of universal service, to approximately 4918 access lines within its established rural service area in South Dakota.
- 4. As is required by the provisions of ARSD § 20:10:32:43.01, Midstate Communications, Inc is committed to providing service throughout its existing rural service area, or study area, to all customers making a reasonable request for service. The Company has since 1952 served as the incumbent local exchange carrier within its established service area and has operated as a "carrier of last resort" in such area. As the carrier of last resort, the Company already has extended wireline local exchange network facilities throughout its service area as necessary to make all essential local exchange services that are supported by federal universal service available to all end-user customers within its service area. Consistent with its past practice, the Company hereby certifies that it will provide service on a timely basis to all requesting customers within its designated ETC service area. In certain cases, the provisioning of this service may require a customer in a new location to first meet the requirements of the Company's line extension policies. These line extension policies are, however, consistent with the requirement under both federal and state law to meet all reasonable requests for service.

- 5. The Company has the ability to remain functional in emergency situations as required by the provisions of ARSD § 20:10:32:43.03. The Company is currently in compliance with this Commission's "auxiliary and battery power requirements" set forth in ARSD 20:10:33:19.
- The provisions of ARSD § 20:10:32:54 addressing the annual "Certification 6. requirements" set forth by this Commission indicate in part that the ETC must show "how much universal service support was received." Accordingly, attached hereto as "Exhibit A" is information indicating "Year 2005 Federal Universal Service Receipts" received by the Company. This same Exhibit also shows total expenditures of the Company in 2005 related to the provision, maintenance and upgrading of the facilities and services that are supported by Federal Universal Service Funding and further estimates these same expenditures for calendar year 2007. Consistent with federal universal service principles, the Company will use federal universal service amounts received in 2007 to offset a portion of these 2007 expenditures. This use of federal universal service support will enable the Company to: (1) maintain rates for its local exchange services that are affordable and reasonably comparable to rates being charged for the same services in urban areas; and (2) to upgrade its telecommunications facilities and equipment as necessary to meet evolving service requirements and maintain high quality service. The use of federal universal service support for these purposes is clearly consistent with the federal universal service provisions.
- 7. In addition to the information included in Exhibit A, the following information is provided to meet the Commission's "Certification requirements" set forth in 20:10:32:54:
- The Company's service quality improvement plan is to continue to upgrade its telecommunications facilities and equipment as necessary to meet evolving service

requirements and maintain high quality service throughout its service area. As an incumbent local exchange carrier and the carrier of last resort in its service area, the Company upgrades and replaces facilities and equipment as necessary. In furtherance of its service quality improvement plan, the Company will use any high-cost universal service amounts received by it to offset expenditures incurred as it continues to upgrade and replace facilities and equipment. The Company's "two-year service quality improvement plan," required under the provisions of ARSD 20:10:32:54, is attached hereto as "Exhibit B."

- During calendar year 2005, the Company did not experience any service outages affecting at least 10 percent of its end user customers, for a period lasting longer than 30 minutes.
- The Company was able to provide service to all potential customers that requested service during 2005, and as of December 31, 2005, the Company had no unfulfilled requests for service.
- During 2005, the Company's customer service department received an estimated 75 complaints from consumers. Only 12 of these complaints were received by the Company more formally as written complaints or as complaints that needed to be resolved with the involvement of other Company representatives outside of the customer service department.
- Also attached as "Exhibit C" is a document containing other certifications, including those required under the provisions of ARSD §§ 20:10:32:54(5), 20:10:32:54(6), 20:10:32:54(7) and 20:10:32:54(8).

8. Based on all of the foregoing information, including the information provided on Exhibits A, B and C, the Company requests that this Commission issue an appropriate certification to the FCC and USAC indicating that Midstate Communications, Inc. is in compliance with 47 U.S.C. § 254(e) and should receive all federal universal service support determined for distribution to the Company in 2007. In order to ensure that this certification is issued to the FCC prior to October 1, 2006, the Company would further ask the Commission to expedite the process that is initiated based on this filing.

Dated this 27th day of July 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

CUTLER & DONAHOE, LLP

Attorneys at Law

Attorneys for Midstate Communications, Inc.

Ryan J. Taylor

Cutler & Donahoe, LLP

100 N. Phillips Ave., 9th Floor

Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57104-6725

Telephone (605) 335-4950

Facsimile (605) 335-4961

Exhibit A

EXHIBIT A ABC Telephone Company

Year 2005 Federal Universal Service Receipts:

High Cost Loop Support	\$:	1,825,509
Local Switching Support	\$	296,292
Safety Net Additive support	\$	76,440
Safety Valve Loop Cost Adjustment	\$_	0
TOTAL	\$ 2	2,198,241

Expenditures For Provision, Maintenance, and Upgrading Of Facilities and Services Supported By Federal Universal Service Funding:

	Actual	Estimated
	2005	2007
Plant Specific Operations Expenses		
Network support (Accts 6110-16)	\$0	\$0
General support (Accts 6120-24)	\$98,393	\$61,800
Central office (Accts 6210-6232)	\$235,188	\$231,750
Cable and wire facilities (Accts 6410-41)	\$530,733	\$612,850
Network operations (Accts 6530-35)	\$89,089	\$113,300
Depreciation and amortization (Accts 6560-65)	\$2,721,452	\$2,884,000
Customer Operations Expenses		
Customer services (Accts 6620-23)	\$357,762	\$515,000
Corporate Operations Expenses		
Executive and planning (Accts 6710-12)	\$231,997	\$272,950
General and administrative (Accts 6720-28)	\$418,504	\$453,200
Total Years Supported Expenses, Before Return on Investment	\$4,683,118	\$5,144,850
Additions		
Switching (Acct 2210)	\$171,465	(See Exhibit B,
Cable and wire (Acct 2410)	\$ 88,537	Two-Year Plan)
Total	\$260,001	
Total Supported Expenditures, Before Return on Investment	\$4,943,120	

Exhibit B

EXHIBIT B - TWO-YEAR PLAN

ARSD 20:10:32:43.02

As part of its ongoing plan to upgrade and enhance its network, the Company anticipates the following capital expenditures over the next two years. The Company believes that its planned capital additions will improve the reliability of switched calls for its customers, assure CALEA compliance and increase the Company's network capacity to serve remote customers. The upgraded facilities will also be more cost-effective for the Company to maintain. Any federal high-cost universal service support the Company receives will help defray the following estimated costs for plant improvements and upgrades.

Provide data for each wire center in the Company's service area where switching, exchange transmission equipment or cable and wire upgrades are planned.

Wire Center	Description of Capital Improvement	Estimated Population Served by Improvement	Start Date	Completion Date	Estimated Capital Expenditures Each Year 2007 2008	
Kimball	OSP Upgrades	858	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$67,000	
Kimball	COE Upgrades	858	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$120,700	
Kimball	OSP Upgrades	858	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$103,400
Kimball	COE Upgrades	858	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$230,000
Gann Valley	OSP Upgrades	122	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$505,000	
Gann Valley	COE Upgrades	122	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$63,600	
Gann Valley	OSP Upgrades	122	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$155,000
Gann Valley	COE Upgrades	122	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$30,500
Ft. Thomp	OSP Upgrades	620	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$170,000	
Ft. Thomp	COE Upgrades	620	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$53,600	
Ft. Thomp	OSP Upgrades	620	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$155,000
Ft. Thomp	COE Upgrades	620	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$225,000
Pukwana	OSP Upgrades	354	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$55,000	
Pukwana	COE Upgrades	354	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$123,600	
Pukwana	OSP Upgrades	354	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$48,200
Pukwana	COE Upgrades	354	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$155,000
Academy	OSP Upgrades	72	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$5,000	
Academy	COE Upgrades	72	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$43,200	
Academy	OSP Upgrades	72	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$20,000
Academy	COE Upgrades	72	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$12,000
Platte	OSP Upgrades	1626	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$255,000	
Platte	COE Upgrades	1626	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$63,600	
Platte	OSP Upgrades	1626	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$220,000
Platte	COE Upgrades	1626	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$165,500
New Holland	OSP Upgrades	145	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$20,000	
New Holland	COE Upgrades	145	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$3,600	
New Holland	OSP Upgrades	145	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$555,000
New Holland	COE Upgrades	145	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$50,000
Delmont	OSP Upgrades	267	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$20,000	
Delmont	COE Upgrades	267	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$113,600	

Delmont	OSP Upgrades	267	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$555,000
Delmont	COE Upgrades	267	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$50,000
Stickney	OSP Upgrades	420	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$5,000	
Stickney	COE Upgrades	420	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$88,600	
Stickney	OSP Upgrades	420	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$5,000
Stickney	COE Upgrades	420	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$215,000
White Lake	OSP Upgrades	434	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$5,000	
White Lake	COE Upgrades	434	1-1-07	1-31-07	\$98,600	
White Lake	OSP Upgrades	434	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$36,200
White Lake	COE Upgrades	434	1-1-08	1-31-08		\$25,000

Exhibit C

EXHIBIT C - AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
) ss

COUNTY OF Brule

- 1. I am the General Manager of Midstate Communications, Inc. and am authorized to give this affidavit on behalf of the Company. This affidavit is provided to support the Company's Request for Certification to the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission as contemplated in 47 C.F.R. § 54.314.
- 2. As an authorized representative of the Company, I hereby affirm familiarity with and an understanding of the requirements of the Federal Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996, with respect to the receipt of any federal universal service funds received as high-cost loop support, local switching support, safety net additive support and/or safety valve support.
- 3. During 2005, the Company received federal universal service support as shown on Exhibit A to this affidavit and had investment and expenses relating to the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which such support was intended as also shown on Exhibit A. During 2005, the Company used the federal universal service support it received only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support was intended consistent with 47 U.S.C. § 254(e).
- 4. The Company will use the federal universal service support it receives during 2007 only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended consistent with 47 U.S.C. § 254(e).

5. The Company (i) is in compliance with applicable service quality and consumer protection rules; (ii) is able to function in emergency situations; and (iii) provides equal access to long distance carriers.

General Manager

Subscribed and Sworn to before me this 22 day of

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: 4 -