

**From:** Talbot J. Wieczorek[SMTP:TJW@GPGNLAW.COM]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:39:22 PM  
**To:** Semmler, Kara; PUC Docket Filings  
**Cc:** KOENECKE@MAGT.COM; mjs@bloostonlaw.com; meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com;  
Lawson, William [LEG]; diane.c.browning@sprint.com  
**Subject:** RE: TC06-178, 180, 188, 189  
**Importance:** High  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

Dear Ms. Semmler:

I have been out of the office and have just reviewed the emails leading up to your letter. I find Ms. Sisak's caveat acceptable **if** Sprint is allowed to respond to any new argument either through a supplemental filing or at the hearing. As long as the ability to respond to new arguments is added to the schedule, Sprint has no objection to the letter.

Talbot J. Wieczorek  
Gunderson, Palmer, Nelson  
& Ashmore, LLP  
PO Box 8045  
Rapid City SD 57709  
Phone: 605-342-1078  
Fax: 605-342-0480