

MOSS & BARNETT

A Professional Association

4800 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129
Telephone 612.347.0300
Facsimile 612.339.6686
www.moss-barnett.com

THOMAS A. KELLER III
JAMES E. O'BRIEN
EDWARD L. WINER
WILLIAM A. HAUG
CHARLES A. PARSONS, JR.
RICHARD J. JOHNSON
ROBERT J. LUKES
JAMES A. RUBENSTEIN
THOMAS R. SHERAN
EDWARD J. BLOMME
JEFFREY L. WATSON
THOMAS J. SHROYER
DAVID P. JENDRZEJEK
CURTIS D. SMITH
DAVE F. SENGEL
MITCHELL H. COX
MICHAEL J. BRADLEY
PETER A. KOLLER
RICHARD J. KELBER
KEVIN M. BUSCH
SUSAN C. RHODE
THOMAS A. JUDD
DEANNE M. GRECO
CASS S. WEIL
GLEN E. SCHUMANN
JANNA R. SEVERANCE
M. CECILIA RAY
NANCY M. KISKIS
BARRY LAZARUS
RONALD A. EISENBERG
PAUL B. ZISLA
BRIAN T. GROGAN
J. MICHAEL COLLOTON
ERIC J. OLSEN
JOSEPH G. MATERNOWSKI
JAYMES D. LITTLEJOHN
MICHAEL R. NIXT
JAMES F. BALDWIN
DAVID S. JOHNSON
PHILIP J. YOUNG
ARTHUR W. DICKINSON
BEN M. HENSCHEL
DAN LIPSCHULTZ
JOHN K. ROSSMAN
MARK B. PETERSON
MARCY R. FROST
MARSHA STOLT
MATHEW M. MEYER
TIMOTHY L. GUSTIN
YURI B. BERNDT
ELIZABETH H. KIERNAT
MELISSA A. BAER
JAMES J. VEDDER
MICHAEL S. PONCIN
JANA AUNE DEACH
CINDY J. ACKERMAN
ANTHONY A. DORLAND
CHRISTOPHER D. STALE
LORIE A. KLEIN
TERESE A. WEST
JEFFREY L. BODENSTEINER
LISA A. HASTER
JULIA M. DAYTON

MICHAEL J. BRADLEY
612.347.0337
BradleyM@moss-barnett.com

September 16, 2005

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS


Karen Cremer, Staff Attorney
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 East Capitol Avenue
Pierre, South Dakota 57501

Re: In the Matter of the Establishment of Switched Access Revenue Requirement Fort
Randall Telephone Company and Mount Rushmore Telephone Company
Docket No.: TC05-099

Dear Ms. Cremer:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and 10 copies of the Answer of Fort Randall Telephone Company and Mount Rushmore Telephone Company to MCI's Petition to Intervene in the above-referenced docket. Also enclosed is a Certificate of Service.

Very truly yours,


Michael J. Bradley

MJB/krm

Enclosures

cc: All parties of record

807536v1

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2005
SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

RECEIVED
SEP 19 2005
SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the ANSWER OF FORT RANDALL TELEPHONE COMPANY AND MOUNT RUSHMORE TELEPHONE COMPANY TO MCI'S PETITION TO INTERVENE was served via the method(s) indicated below, on the fifteenth day of September, 2005, addressed to:

Karen Cremer, Staff Attorney	()	First Class Mail
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission	()	Hand Delivery
500 East Capitol Avenue	()	Facsimile
Pierre, South Dakota 57501	(x)	Overnight Delivery
	()	E-Mail

Brett M. Koenecke	()	First Class Mail
May, Adam, Gerdes & Thompson	()	Hand Delivery
503 South Pierre Street	()	Facsimile
P. O. Box 160	(x)	Overnight Delivery
Pierre, South Dakota 57501	()	E-Mail

Dated this Sixteenth day of September, 2005.



Michael J. Bradley
Moss & Barnett PA
4800 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis Minnesota 55402
Telephone (612) 347-0337
Fax (612) 339-6686

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

RECEIVED
SEP 10 2005
SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE
ESTABLISHMENT OF SWITCHED ACCESS
REVENUE REQUIREMENT FORT RANDALL
TELEPHONE COMPANY AND MOUNT
RUSHMORE TELEPHONE COMPANY

TC05-099

ANSWER OF FORT RANDALL
TELEPHONE COMPANY AND MOUNT
RUSHMORE TELEPHONE COMPANY TO
MCI's PETITION TO INTERVENE

COME NOW Fort Randall Telephone Company and Mount Rushmore Telephone Company (collectively "Fort Randall"), by their undersigned attorney, and files this Answer to the Petition of MCI Metro Access Transmissions Services, LLC ("MCI") to Intervene in the above docket.

1. Fort Randall admits that MCI is a certificated communications company, subject to the jurisdiction of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission ("Commission").
2. Fort Randall has no knowledge of filings made by McCook Cooperative Telephone Company. Fort Randall affirmatively states that it has filed with the Commission a switched access filing pursuant to the rules established by the Commission. Fort Randall is not a member of LECA, and its revenue requirement will not be incorporated into the LECA access tariff.
3. The intervention deadline in this docket has expired.
4. Pursuant to appropriate notice, the Commission held hearing on this docket for the purpose of assessing initial filing fees on August 2, 2005, and August 18, 2005.
5. Intervener has taken no action in this docket until filing of the current Petition to Intervene, dated September 1, 2005.
6. ARSD 20:10:01:15.02 sets forth the test for allowance of late-filed intervention petitions:

A petition to intervene which is not timely filed with the Commission may not be granted by the Commission unless denial of the petition is shown to be detrimental to the public interest or to be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (Emphasis added.)

7. MCI's petition is premised on the importance of participating in the LECA switched rate docket, TDC-05-096. Fort Randall is not a member of LECA and its switched access rate will not be affected by the outcome of TDC-05-096. MCI's proposal to have experts study the cost figures underlying the proposed LECA tariff does not support intervention in this docket because Fort Randall is not a member of LECA. MCI has failed to sustain its burden for this Commission to grant late-filed Petitions to Intervene in this docket.

8. Fort Randall would be prejudiced if MCI's late-filed Petition in this docket is granted. To allow a new party to intervene in a docket after the intervention deadline has passed would cause further delays in the proceeding, and subject Fort Randall to the further expense of additional discovery requests from the new party. This is exactly the kind of prejudice that enforcement of an intervention deadline precludes.

9. The only stated purpose of MCI's Petition is to challenge the Commission's current switched access rules:

MCI verily believes that the Commission's switched access cost model is flawed permitting costs to be overstated, both because of the inappropriate use of known and measurable changes, as well as mistakes in the underlying cost support for the computation.

10. Fort Randall has filed its cost study in accordance with the current rules. To allow MCI to intervene and attempt to change those rules would be prejudicial to Fort Randall, because it would force costly revisions or refilings of the current study.

11. Fort Randall further objects to the Petition to Intervene because the Fort Randall's individual cost study docket is not the proper forum to determine whether the Commission's

switched access cost model is flawed. The cost model, use of known and measurable changes, and the underlying cost support for the cost model, are all part of the current rules. Fort Randall has appropriately followed the rules, and Fort Randall and the Commission are bound by the current rules. To change the rules within this docket would not be appropriate and would be prejudicial not only to Fort Randall, but to other LECs who are not a party to this docket.


12. Fort Randall is further prejudiced by MCI's Petition the Intervene because of MCI's employment of experts "to study the Commission's cost model, who will examine the cost figures" The involvement of experts in this docket, and conduct of additional discovery, will further delay approval of the cost studies, which would have a significant and adverse financial impact on the Fort Randall.

13. This Commission's denial of MCI's late-filed Petition in this docket will prevent prejudice to Fort Randall caused by further delays, and such prejudice should not be permitted when MCI has other avenues to achieve its stated purpose of questioning the Commission's switched access cost model.

14. This Commission's denial of MCI's late-filed petitions in this docket would not result in a miscarriage of justice or detriment to the public interest because MCI is not left without recourse. There are other more appropriate methods for MCI to have its experts review the Commission's cost model. MCI can petition this Commission to open a rulemaking docket to investigate and ultimately revise the switched access rules.

WHEREFORE, Fort Randall respectfully requests that this Commission deny MCI's late-filed intervention.

Respectfully submitted this sixteenth day of September, 2005.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Michael J. Bradley". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Michael J Bradley
Moss & Barnett PA
4800 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis Minnesota 55402
Telephone (612) 347-0337

Attorneys on behalf to Fort Randall Telephone
Company and Mount Rushmore Telephone
Company