			DOCKET NO.			
In the Ma	atter of	IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING FOR APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN QWEST CORPORATION AND MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES, LLC				
	P	ublic Utilities Commission of the	e State of South Dakota			
DATE		MEMORANDA				
6/27	03	Filed and Docketed;				
2/3	03	Weekly Filing;				
8 8	03	Order approximationendment to	agreement;			
8/8	03	Socket Clases.				
			 			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			.!			
1						
*****	<u> </u>					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
		•				
		4.				
		. '3				

BOYCE, GREENFIELD, PASHBY & WELK, L.L.P.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Russell R. Greenfield Gary J. Pashby Thomas J. Welk Michael S. McKnight Gregg S. Greenfield Roger A. Sudbeck Lisa Hansen Marso Heather R. Springer Heith R. Janke Darin W. Larson

101 North Phillips Avenue, Suite 600 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57104 P.O. Box 5015 Sioux Falls, South Dakota 57117-5015

> Telephone 605 336-2424 Facsimile 605 334-0618 www.bgpw.com

J.W. Boyce (1884-1915)

Writer's Direct Dial: (605) 731-0208

Writer's Email: tjwelk@bepw.com

June 26, 2003

Pam Bonrud, Executive Director Public Utilities Commission of the State of South Dakota 500 East Capitol Avenue Pierre, SD 57501 JUN 2 7 2003

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION

Re:

Filing of Internet Service Provider Bound Traffic Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation and McImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC

Our File No. 2104.078

Dear Ms. Bonrud:

Pursuant to ARSD 20:10:32:21 enclosed for filing are the original and ten (10) copies of the Internet Service Provider Bound Traffic Amendment to the Interconnection Agreement between MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC ("MCImetro") and Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") for approval by the Commission. This is an amendment to the Interconnection Agreement between MCImetro and Qwest which was approved by the Commission on January 28, 2003 in Docket No. TC02-183.

This amendment is made in order to add language regarding intercarrier compensation for Internet service provider ("ISP") bound traffic.

MCImetro has authorized Qwest to submit this Amendment on MCImetro's behalf.

Sincerely yours,

BOYCE, GREENE D, PASHBY & WELK, L.L.P.

Thomas J. Welk

TJW/vjj Enclosures

cc: Michael Beach - MCIMetro (w/o enclosure)

Ms. Colleen Sevold

Ms. Debi Hartl (w/o enclosure)

JUN 2 7 2003

Internet Service Provider ("ISP") Bound Traffic Amendme**8OUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC**to the Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC

This is an Amendment ("Amendment") to the Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation ("Qwest"), a Colorado corporation, and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC ("CLEC"). CLEC and Qwest shall be known jointly as the "Parties".

for the State of South Dakota

RECITALS

WHEREAS, CLEC and Qwest entered into an Interconnection Agreement ("ICA") which was approved by the appropriate state Commission ("Commission"); and

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to amend, modify and supersede certain compensation provisions of the ICA, and adopt this intercarrier-compensation mechanism for the mutual exchange of traffic for local/EAS and internet-bound traffic during the term of this ICA, that are addressed in this Amendment and also incorporate the terms of this Amendment into future interconnection agreements between the Parties through March 31, 2004.

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to amend the Agreement to reflect the aforementioned Order under the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREEMENT

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual terms, covenants and conditions contained in this Amendment and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

1. Amendment Terms

The following language will act to supersede, amend, and modify the ICA or future interconnection agreement whether negotiated or arbitrated notwithstanding any other provision of this ICA. Any inconsistencies between the provisions of this Amendment and other provisions of the current ICA or future interconnection agreements described above, through March 31, 2004, will be governed by the provisions of this Amendment, unless this Amendment is specifically and expressly superseded by a future amendment between the Parties.

"The Parties will not bill one another for functions, as defined in the appropriate ICA, performed in terminating local /EAS and internet-bound traffic, which may or may not include switching and transport, originated by the end-user of one Party and delivered to the other Party which then terminates the call to its end users. Transport which is not included in the functions, as defined in the appropriate ICA, performed to terminate a call will not be subject to this intercarrier compensation mechanism. The Parties expressly agree that this agreement not to bill for the functions performed in terminating a call does not apply to charges

related to transiting functions, i.e. tandem switching and tandem transport. Transiting function charges shall be levied on the Party originating a call which does not terminate at an end user of the Party providing the transiting function, but rather which terminates to a third party's end user. In addition, when a Party delivers traffic originated by a third party provider to the other Party for termination, both Parties shall bill the originating provider the relevant charges."

2. Effective Date

This Amendment shall be deemed effective upon approval by the Commission; however, the Parties agree to implement the provisions of this Amendment as of April 1, 2001, and will continue to be effective in this ICA or in future interconnection agreements until March 31, 2004.

3. Additional Terms and Conditions

- 3.1 Except as modified herein, the provisions of the ICA and any future interconnection agreement described herein through March 31, 2004, shall remain in full force and effect. Neither the ICA nor this Amendment may be further amended or altered except by written instrument executed by an authorized representative of both Parties.
- 3.2 This Amendment may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but such counterparts shall together constitute one and the same instrument.
- 3.3 The headings of the Sections of this Amendment are strictly for convenience and shall not in any way be construed to define, modify or restrict the meaning or interpretation of the terms, provisions or conditions of this Amendment.
- 3.4 This Amendment shall be filed by the Parties with the state commission in each state listed in the recitals above. Neither Party may seek a stay of the state commission's approval of this Amendment or in any way seek to delay, postpone or interfere with the state commission's approval of this Amendment, and the Parties will cooperate in the effort to obtain such state commission approval.

4. Further Amendments

Except as modified herein, the provisions of the Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. The provisions of this Amendment, including the provisions of this sentence, may not be amended, modified or supplemented, and waivers or consents to departures from the provisions of this Amendment may not be given without the written consent thereto by both Parties' authorized representative. No waiver by any Party of any default, misrepresentation, or breach of warranty or covenant hereunder, whether intentional or not, will be deemed to extend to any prior or subsequent default, misrepresentation, or breach of warranty or covenant hereunder or affect in any way any rights arising by virtue of any prior or subsequent such occurrence.

5. Entire Agreement

This Amendment (including the documents referred to herein) constitutes the full and entire understanding and agreement between the Parties with regard to the subjects of this Amendment and supersedes any prior understandings, agreements, or representations by or between the Parties, written or oral, to the extent they relate in any way to the subjects of this Amendment.

6. Reservation of Rights

Nothing in this Agreement shall be deemed an admission by Qwest or CLEC concerning the interpretation or effect of the rates, terms or conditions for the subject matter contained in this Amendment or an admission by Qwest or CLEC that the rates, terms or conditions should not be changed, vacated, dismissed, stayed or modified. Nothing in this Amendment shall preclude or estop Qwest or CLEC from taking any position in any forum concerning the proper rates, terms or conditions or concerning whether the rates, terms or conditions should be changed, vacated, dismissed, stayed or modified.

The Parties intending to be legally bound have executed this Amendment as of the dates set forth below, in multiple counterparts, each of which is deemed an original, but all of which shall constitute one and the same instrument.

MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc.	Qwest Corporation
Signature	Signature
Name Printed/Typed NP. Mational Carrier	L. T. Christensen Name Printed/Typed
+ contract Management	Director – Business Policy
Title	Title
6/19/03	6/23/03
Date	Date ℓ

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission WEEKLY FILINGS

For the Period of June 26, 2003 through July 2, 2003

If you need a complete copy of a filing faxed, overnight expressed, or mailed to you, please contact Delaine Kolbo within five business days of this report. Phone: 605-773-3705

CONSUMER COMPLAINTS

CT03-081

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Douglas Root on behalf of Doug's Anchor Marine, Inc., Watertown, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a seven-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased. Service was terminated without notice after eighteen months of service. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided plus the costs associated with establishing alternative service.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/26/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-082

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Clark Sinclair on behalf of Montgomery's Furniture, Madison, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a four-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased for two business locations. Service was terminated without notice after several weeks of service. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed the full purchase price of the contract.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/26/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-083

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Brian Gerstner on behalf of Brookings Auto Mall, LLC, Brookings, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a four-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased for one South Dakota business location and two out of state business locations. Service was terminated without notice after one day of service at the South Dakota location. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed the full purchase price of the contract using funds from the bond or the letter of credit.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/26/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-084

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Dale A. Weigel on behalf of Midwest Credits, Inc., Aberdeen, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a five-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased on September 6, 2001. Service was terminated without notice on June 3, 2003. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/26/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-085

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Kevin Orel on behalf of Harry K. Ford, Winner, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a five-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased on March 21, 2002. Service was terminated without notice in early June of 2003. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/26/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-086

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Melissa Maher on behalf of Moreau-Grand Electric Cooperative Inc., Timber Lake, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that two three-year pre-paid long distance service plans were purchased on April 12, 2002. Service was terminated without notice on June 2, 2003. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/26/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-087

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Duane L. Mullaney, Miller, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant states that he entered into a promissory note of indebtedness on September 29, 1995, with the respondent and to date has not received any payment on the principal. Complainant seeks to be paid the full amount of the principal from the bond and/or the letter of credit.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/26/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-088

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Trace Beck on behalf of Beck Motors, Inc., Pierre, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a five-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased on April 23, 2002. Service was terminated without notice on June 5, 2003. Complainant seeks to be

reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/26/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-089

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Dale Stegenga on behalf of Lake County International, Inc., Madison, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a four-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased on October 9, 2002. Service was terminated without notice on June 3, 2003. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided or to have service restored for the balance of the contract.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/27/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-090

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Darren and Heidi Engelhart, Westport, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainants state that a five-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased. After one and a half-years service was terminated without notice. Complainants seek to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided or to have service restored for the balance of the contract.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/27/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-091

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by James Scheele on behalf of Riverside Motel, Huron, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a seven-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased on December 26, 2002. Service was terminated after a short period of time. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for as much of the original purchase price as possible.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/27/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-092

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Neal and Janet Johnson, Miller, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainants state that a six-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased from the respondent. Service was established on October 1, 1999, and was terminated without notice on June 5, 2003. Complainants seek to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/27/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-093

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Doug Knust on behalf of Harry K Chevrolet, Chamberlain, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a five-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased on March 14, 2002. Service was terminated without notice in early June of 2003. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided or to have service restored for the balance of the contract.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/27/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-094

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Nicole and Chris Glines, Alcester, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainants state that a seven-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased in December of 1999. Service was terminated without notice in June of 2003. Complainants seek to be included in any bond disbursements made in relation to the failure of the respondent.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/27/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-095

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Myron Joneson, Dell Rapids, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant states that he was told if he purchased a contract and paid monthly for three years he would receive an additional four years of service free of charge. Service was terminated in June of 2003, with 26 months remaining on the complainant's pre-paid contract. Complainant seeks to have the PUC seize the assets of the respondents and use them to repay those who were left without pre-paid service.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/27/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-096

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Charles and Orpha Bensen, Eureka, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainants state that in November of 1999, they purchased a seven-year pre-paid long distance service plan. Service was terminated without notice in June of 2003. Complainants seek to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided or to have service restored for the balance of the

contract.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 07/01/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-097

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Arnold and Steven Schurr, Roscoe, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainants state that in addition to loaning the respondent several thousand dollars they also purchased a ten-year pre-paid long distance phone service contract in July of 1998. On June 5, 2003, service was terminated without notice. Complainants seek to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided or to have service restored for the balance of the contract.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 07/01/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-098

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Jenny Wegner on behalf of Wegner Auto Company, Pierre, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a five-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased on April 24, 2002. Service was established in mid-June 2002, and was terminated without notice on June 5, 2003. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided and other expenses incurred as a result of the contract default.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 07/02/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-099

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Tyler Ammann on behalf of Whetstone Ag Supply, Inc., Wilmot, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainant's representative states that a four-year pre-paid long distance service plan was purchased on January 14, 2003. Service was terminated without notice on June 2, 2003. Complainant seeks to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 07/02/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

CT03-100

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Bob and Diane Booth, Ridgeview, South Dakota, against S&S Communications/Alterna-Cell Regarding Loss of Long Distance Services.

Complainants state that they purchased a seven-year pre-paid long distance service plan. The plan was to be in effect from May 30, 2001 through May 30, 2008. Service was terminated without notice on June

5, 2003. Complainants seek to be reimbursed for the pre-paid service not provided.

Staff Analyst: Jim Mehlhaff Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 07/02/03 Intervention deadline: N/A

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

TC03-100 In the Matter of the Establishment of Switched Access Revenue Requirement for Western Telephone Company.

On June 26, 2003, Western Telephone Company, Faulkton, South Dakota, filed a switched access cost study developing a revenue requirement and minutes of use that are included in the revenue requirement and minutes of use used to determine the switched access rates for the Local Exchange Carrier Association.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 06/26/03

Intervention Deadline: 07/18/03

TC03-101 In the Matter of the Establishment of Switched Access Revenue Requirement for Kennebec Telephone Company.

On June 26, 2003, Kennebec Telephone Company, Kennebec, South Dakota, filed a switched access cost study developing a revenue requirement and minutes of use that are included in the revenue requirement and minutes of use used to determine the switched access rates for the Local Exchange Carrier Association.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 06/26/03 Intervention Deadline: 07/18/03

TC03-102 In the Matter of the Filing for Approval of an Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation and MCIMetro Access Transmission Services, LLC.

On June 27, 2003, the Commission received a filing for Approval of an Amendment to an Interconnection Agreement between Qwest Corporation and MCIMetro Access Transmission Services, LLC. The original agreement was approved by the Commission on January 28, 2003, in Docket No. TC02-183. The amendment is made in order to add language regarding intercarrier compensation for Internet Service Provider (ISP) bound traffic. Any party wishing to comment on the agreement may do so by filing written comments with the Commission and the parties to the agreement no later than July 17, 2003. Parties to the agreement may file written responses to the comments no later than twenty days after the service of the initial comments.

Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 06/27/03

Initial Comments Due: 07/17/03

TC03-103 In the Matter of the Establishment of Switched Access Revenue Requirement for Venture Communications Cooperative, Inc.

On June 27, 2003, Venture Communications Cooperative, Inc., Highmore, South Dakota, filed a switched access cost study developing a revenue requirement and minutes of use that are included in the revenue requirement and minutes of use used to determine the switched access rates for the Local Exchange Carrier Association.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 06/27/03

Intervention Deadline: 07/18/03

TC03-104 In the Matter of the Establishment of Switched Access Revenue Requirement for Valley Telecommunications Cooperative Association, Inc.

On June 27, 2003, Valley Telecommunications Cooperative Association, Herried, South Dakota, filed a switched access cost study developing a revenue requirement and minutes of use that are included in the revenue requirement and minutes of use used to determine the switched access rates for the Local Exchange Carrier Association.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 06/27/03

Intervention Deadline: 07/18/03

TC03-105 In the Matter of the Establishment of Switched Access Revenue Requirement for Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Inc.

On June 30, 2003, Interstate Telecommunications Cooperative, Clear Lake, South Dakota, filed a switched access cost study developing a revenue requirement and minutes of use that are included in the revenue requirement and minutes of use used to determine the switched access rates for the Local Exchange Carrier Association.

Staff Analyst: Keith Senger Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 06/30/03

Intervention Deadline: 07/18/03

TC03-106 In the Matter of the Establishment of Switched Access Revenue Requirement for West River Cooperative Telephone Company.

On June 30, 2003, West River Cooperative Telephone Company, Bison, South Dakota, filed a switched access cost study developing a revenue requirement and minutes of use that are included in the revenue requirement and minutes of use used to determine the switched access rates for the Local Exchange Carrier Association.

Staff Analyst: Keith Senger Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 06/30/03 Intervention Deadline: 07/18/03

TC03-107 In the Matter of the Establishment of Switched Access Revenue Requirement for Santel Communications Cooperative, Inc.

On June 30, 2003, Santel Communications Cooperative, Inc., Woonsocket, South Dakota, filed a switched access cost study developing a revenue requirement and minutes of use that are included in the revenue requirement and minutes of use used to determine the switched access rates for the Local

Exchange Carrier Association.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 06/30/03

Intervention Deadline: 07/18/03

TC03-108 In the Matter of the Establishment of Switched Access Rates for the Local Exchange Carriers Association.

On June 30, 2003, the Local Exchange Carriers Association (LECA) filed revised switched access tariff pages. The purpose of these revisions is to implement changes in rates as necessitated by revisions in member companies' revenue requirements and access minutes of use.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 06/30/03

Intervention Deadline: 07/18/03

You may receive this listing and other PUC publications via our website or via internet e-mail. You may subscribe or unsubscribe to the PUC mailing lists at http://www.state.sd.us/puc

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING FOR)	ORDER APPROVING
APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO AN)	AMENDMENT TO
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN)	AGREEMENT
QWEST CORPORATION AND MCIMETRO)	
ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES, LLC)	TC03-102

On June 27, 2003, Qwest Corporation (Qwest) filed for approval by the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) an amendment to an interconnection agreement between MCIMetro Access Transmission Services, LLC (MCIMetro) and Qwest. The amendment is made in order to add language regarding intercarrier compensation for Internet service provider (ISP) bound traffic.

On July 3, 2003, the Commission electronically transmitted notice of the filing of the amendment to interested individuals and entities. The notice stated that any person wishing to comment on the parties' request for approval had until July 17, 2003, to do so. No comments were filed.

At its duly noticed August 4, 2003, meeting, the Commission considered whether to approve the negotiated amendment to the agreement between Qwest and MClMetro. Commission Staff recommended its approval.

The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to SDCL Chapter 49-31, and the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. In accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2), the Commission found that the amendment does not discriminate against a telecommunications carrier that is not a party to the amendment and the amendment is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. The Commission unanimously voted to approve the amendment to the agreement. It is therefore

ORDERED, that the Commission approves the negotiated amendment to the agreement as described herein.

Dated at Pierre, South Dakota, this <u>3</u>th day of August, 2003.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that this document has been served today upon all parties of record in this docket, as listed on the docket service list, by facsimile or by first class mail, in properly addressed envelopes, with charges prepaid thereon.

.

Date:_

(OFFICIAL SEAL)

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

ROBERT K SAHR Chairman

GARY MANSON Commissioner