	idas propriesas and consequences	I.	OCKET NO.
In the Ma	tter of	IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING OF A JOINT PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF A RECIPROCAL TRANSPORT AND TERMINATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN CERTAIN LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS AND CERTAIN CMRS PROVIDERS	
State Aggregate Control of the Contr		ublic Utilities Commission of the State o	of South Dakota
named description of the CV		MEMORANDA	
8 1 2 3 1 1 1 1 8 1 1 1 8 1 1 1 8	01	Filed and Dacketed; Feekly Filing; Order Clased.	

IUUL-126

HECEWED

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

h	the Matter of the Application for Approval of an
Lii	terconnection Agreement Between Certain Local
# 1 m	schange Carriers and Certain CMRS Providers
	elating to Reciprocal Compensation

Docket	No.:	And the second s
--------	------	--

JOINT PETITION FOR APPROVAL

Thirty-six (36) local exchange carriers identified on Exhibit A ("LECs") and three (3) commercial mobile radio services providers identified on Exhibit B ("CMRS Providers") have each voluntarily negotiated and entered into a Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement ("Agreement"). The terms and conditions of each Agreement are identical to the form of the template Agreement attached as Exhibit C. Pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996, the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") is requested to approve the Agreements.

- The Commission is requested to approve the Agreements pursuant to Section 252(e)(1) of the Act, which provides:
 - (1) APPROVAL REQUIRED- Any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration shall be submitted for approval to the State commission. A State commission to which an agreement is submitted shall approve or reject the agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies.
- 2. The Act provides very limited reasons for rejecting a voluntarily negotiated agreement, stating in relevant part:
 - (2) GROUNDS FOR REJECTION The State commission may only reject -
 - (A) an agreement (or any portion thereof) adopted by negotiation under subsection (a) if it finds that
 - (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or

- (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity . . .
- 3. A review of the Agreement demonstrates that it does not discriminate against a telecommunications carrier not a party, and its implementation is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity.
- 4. The Agreement is consistent with the public interest. The terms of the Agreement are equitable and commercially reasonable as demonstrated by the voluntary negotiations of the Parties. The Agreement will permit indirect interconnection and reciprocal compensation arrangements for the mutual exchange of traffic between each LEC and each CMRS Provider as required by Section 251(b)(5) of the Act and rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC").
- 5. The applicable rates and charges for the transport and termination of traffic are set forth in Exhibit A to each Agreement. The Parties have agreed to a bundled symmetrical usage sensitive rate for the transport and termination of traffic based on the number of access lines served by each LEC. The schedule of rates is identical for the LECs and CMRS Providers and is summarized on Exhibit D to this Joint Petition. Although the actual rate for each LEC will vary based on differences in the number of access lines, the blended transport and termination rate will be the same for an individual LEC and each CMRS Provider. Said rates and charges were voluntarily negotiated and agreed to between the LECs and CMRS Providers.
- 6. The Parties submit there are no inconsistencies between the terms of the Agreement and any arbitration decisions of the Commission involving these Parties, since no arbitration proceedings were conducted by the Commission.
- 7. The Parties submit there is no likely impact on the rates or services of their respective end-user customers resulting from the Agreement. The CMRS Providers further note

that this Commission does not regulate the rates for its CMRS services pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 332(c)(3)(A).

- 8. The Parties are not requesting Commission consideration of any arbitrated terms separate from the negotiated terms set forth in the Agreement.
- 9. The terms of the Agreements are consistent with applicable State law and rules and regulations of the Commission.
- 10. Because the Agreements were reached through negotiations, the Parties request the Commission issue an order approving the Agreements at the earliest possible date.
- The Commission has previously approved a similar form of reciprocal compensation arrangement involving the LECs and GCC License L.L.C. by Orders dated May 23, 2000. The terms and conditions of the Agreements between the LECs and CMRS Providers are substantially the same as the form of agreement previously considered and approved by the Commission.
- Because there are 108 signed identical Agreements and to avoid an unnecessary administrative or filing burden, the Parties are including with this filing a copy of the template Agreement setting forth the basic terms and conditions without the Parties' names filed as Exhibit C to this Petition.
- 13. The Parties certify that each LEC and each CMRS Provider identified on Exhibits A and B, respectively, has duly executed the form of the template Agreement utilizing the rates attached thereto. The Parties will undertake to file an executed copy of each of the 108 Agreements if requested by the Commission.

3

14. These Agreements have been fully negotiated and approved by the thirty-six (36) local exchange carriers and the three (3) CMRS Providers. The Parties respectfully request that the Commission approve all of the Agreements as referenced and described herein.

Dated: August 22 L, 2001

Respectfully-submitted,

Richard D. Coit

Executive Director and General Counsel

South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition, Inc

P.O. Box 57

Pierre, South Dakota 57501

Telephone: (605) 224-7629

On behalf of Certain Local Exchange Carriers

and

BRIGGS AND MORGAN, P.A.

Dated: August 30 ,2001

Mark J. Avotte

W-2200 First National Bank Building

332 Minnesota Street

Saint Paul, Minnesota 55101

Telephone No. (651) 223-6561

Attorneys on behalf of CMRS Providers

EXHIBIT A

LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS

Same	Address	City, State, Zip
Armour Independent Telephone	116 North Main Avenue	Hartford, SD 57033
Castatate	P.O. Box 460	
Ballie Telecom Cooperative	501 Second Street	Baltic, SD 57003
,	P.O. Box 307	
Beresford Municipal Telephone	101 North Third Street	Beresford, SD 57004
Company		
Bridgewater-Canistota	116 North Main Avenue	Hartford, SD 57033
independent Telephone	P.O. Box 460	
Brookings Municipal	525 Western Avenue	Brookings, SD 57006
Tele. Swiftel Communications	P.O. Box 588	
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal	P.O. Box 810	Eagle Butte, SD 57625
Telephone Authority		
Dakota Community Telephone	29705 – 453 rd Avenue	Irene, SD 57037-0066
Allow States Control of the Control	P.O. Box 66	
East Plains Telecom, Inc.	501 Second Street	Baltic, SD 57003
grand proved to the provide a second of the transfer to the time to t	P.O. Box 307	
Faith Municipal Telephone	206 Main Street	Faith, SD 57626
Сапрапу	P.O. Box 368	
Fort Randall Telephone Company	722 West Highway #46	Wagner, SD 57751
Colden West	415 Crown Street	Wall, SD 57790
Telecommunications-Cooperative	P.O. Box 411	·
Flanson County Telephone	431 Main Street	Alexandria, SD 57311
• •	P.O. Box 217	
Company Interstate Telecommunications	312 West Fourth Street	Clear Lake, SD 57226
Cooperative, Inc.	P.O. Box 920	,
James Valley Cooperative	235 East First Avenue	Groton, SD 57445-0260
Telephone	P.O. Box 260	
iefferson Telephone Company	311 Main Street	Jefferson, SD 57038-0128
sesses recomme company	P.O. Box 128	
Kadoka Telephone Company	121 Main Street	Kadoka, SD 57543
Padasasas a Sapatuna Cumpuny	P.O. Box 220	,
Kermebee Telephone Company	209 South Main	Kennebec, SD 57544
manuscrete receptions company	P.O. Box 158	
A. F. F. J. F. manutus Talanhana	330 South Nebraska Street	Salem, SD 57058
McCook Cooperative Telephone	P.O. Box 630	
Co Mediatate Communications, Inc.	120 East First Street	Kimball, SD 57355
海线实现Communications, Inc.	P.O. Box 48	Killioan, 5D 37333
to the second se	P.O. Box 669	Keystone, SD 57751
Mount Rushmore Telephone Company	1.0. 50% 009	120/3(0)10, 015 37/31

RC Communications, Inc.	Main Street	New Effington, SD 57255
(505)5569786505545765547500M4qqaayaanaayaa	P.O. Box 196	
Roberts County Telephone	Main Street	New Effington, SD 57255
Cooperative Assn.	P.O. Box 196	
Sanborn Telephone Cooperative	P.O. Box 67	Woonsocket, SD 57385
Sancom, Inc.	P.O. Box 67	Woonsocket, SD 57385
Sioux Valley Telephone	525 East Fourth Street	Dell Rapids, SD 57022
Company	P.O. Box 98	
Splitrock Telecom Cooperative,	612 Third Street	Garretson, SD 57030
Street, and the street, and th	P.O. Box 349	
Splitrock Properties	612 Third Street	Garretson, SD 57030
·	P.O. Box 349	
Stockholm-Strandburg Telephone	210 North Main Street	Stockholm, SD 57264
Co.	P.O. Box 20	
Sully Buttes Telephone	218 Commercial S.E.	Highmore, SD 57345
Cooperative, Inc.	P.O. Box 157	
Tri-County Telecommunications,	202 North Third Street	Emery, SD 57332
1737	P.O. Box 304	
Union Telephone Company	116 North Main Avenue	Hartford, SD 57033
	P.O. Box 460	
Valley Telecommunications	102 Main Street South	Herreid, SD 57632
Cooperative	P.O. Box 7	
Vivian Telephone Co., dba	4150 Crown Street	Wall, SD 57790
Golden Vest Telecomm.	P.O. Box 411	
West River Cooperative	801 Coleman Avenue	Bison, SD 57620-0039
Telephone Company	P.O. Box 39	
West River Telecommunications	P.O. Box 467	Hazen, ND 58545
Cooperative		
Western Telephone Company	111 Ninth Avenue North	Faulkton, SD 57438
	P.O. Box 128	

EXHIBIT B

CMRS PROVIDERS

CONTRACTOR	Address	City, State, Zip
Midwest Wireless Communications, LLC	2000 Technology Drive	Mankato, MN 56002-4069
Account of the control of the contro	P.O. Box 4069	
Rural Cellular Corporation	P.O. Box 2000	Alexandria, MN 56308
Wireless Alliance, LLC	3905 Dakota Street S.W.	Alexandria, MN 56308

EXHIBIT C

Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreement

	17115	Reciprocal	Transport and T	ermination Agreem	ent (the "Agre	ement")	is entered	into
by	and	between	•		Provider")			at
•			L 1 marks 14 and communitation of particular to tagget 1 and	and				
		with offices				. CMR	S Provider	and
er inst	ior are	each individ	ually a "Party" a	nd are together the '	"Parties" to this	s Agreen	nent.	

WHEREAS, CMRS Provider is licensed by the Federal Communications Commission (*FCC**) as a Commercial Mobile Radio Service provider. Carrier is a local exchange carrier holding a certificate of authority to provide telecommunications services in the State of South Dakota.

WHEREAS, the Parties currently extend arrangements to one another allowing for the transport and termination of wireline to wireless and wireless to wireline traffic over each other's network facilities, and between each other's subscribers.

WHEREAS, Carrier and CMRS Provider agree to exchange wireline to wireless and wireless to wireline traffic for the benefit of the Parties. Services provided by Carrier to CMRS Provider under this Agreement are provided pursuant to the receiving Party's status as a CMRS Provider

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to put in place an arrangement for the mutual exchange and reciprocal compensation of local telecommunications traffic in accord with the Act, and which is intended to supersede any previous arrangements between the Parties relating to such traffic.

WHEREAS, the Parties also wish to establish an arrangement that compensates Carrier for transiting Traffic (when applicable) that originates on CMRS Provider's network and which terminates on a third carrier's network.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual provisions contained herein and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, CMRS Provider and Carrier hereby agree as follows:

- 1. Scope. This Agreement addresses the Parties' reciprocal compensation obligations as described in § 251(b)(5) of the Act. If CMRS Provider elects to replace the existing form of indirect interconnection with a two-way or one-way direct connection, the Parties agree to negotiate a separate interconnection agreement related to the provisioning and compensation for such facilities. By this Agreement, neither Party waives any other rights it may have under the Act or rules of the FCC, under state statute, or pursuant to rules of the Commission. Such rights may include CMRS Provider's right to request unbundled network elements and a review of Carrier's rural telephone company exemption provided for under § 251(f)(1)(A) of the Act and Carrier's right to seek to maintain the rural exemption.
- 2. <u>Interpretation and Construction</u>. The terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be subject to any and all applicable laws, rules, regulations or guidelines that subsequently may be prescribed by any federal or state government authority. To the extent required by any such subsequently prescribed law, rule, regulation or guideline, the Parties agree to negotiate in

taterry

and faith toward an agreement to modify, in writing, any affected term and condition of this Agreement to bring them into compliance with such law, rule, regulation or guideline.

- The Parties agree and understand that certain provisions in this Agreement are based on the FCC's First Report and Order, In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98, rel. Aug. 8, 1996 ("FCC 1st Order") and the Second Report and Order and Memorandum Opinion and Order, In the Matter of the Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98, rel. Aug. 8, 1996 ("FCC 2nd Order"). To the extent that certain of the rules contained in the FCC 1st Order and the FCC 2nd Order, or any other FCC Order adopted to implement the Act are ultimately deemed by the courts to be not effective, this Agreement shall be modified to comport with the final court decisions and subsequent FCC rules adopted to comply with the court's decisions.
- 2.2 The Parties further agree and understand that the rates for Transport and Termination agreed to, as set forth in Exhibit A hereto, have not been determined based on a specific costing methodology or company specific cost studies and that they may have to be adjusted when an appropriate costing methodology consistent with § 252(d)(2) of the Act is established and cost information or an acceptable cost proxy model which reasonably reflects the costs of providing the Local Transport and Termination services or Transit Services becomes available.
- 2.3 The Parties enter into this agreement without prejudice to any position they may take with respect to similar future agreements between the Parties or with respect to positions they may have taken previously, or may take in the future in any legislative, regulatory or other public forum addressing any matters, including matters related to the rates to be charged for transport and termination of local traffic or the types of arrangements prescribed by this Agreement.

3. Definitions.

- 3.1 "Act" means the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 151 et seq.), as amended, including the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and as from time to time interpreted in the duly authorized rules and regulations of the FCC.
- 3.2 "CMRS" or "Commercial Mobile Radio Service" is as defined in the Act and the duly authorized rules and regulations of the FCC.
 - 3.3 "Commission" means the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission.
- 3.4 "Local Calling Area" (LCA) means a geographic area defined by the MTA within which CMRS Provider provides CMRS services where local transport and termination rates apply as set forth in the FCC 1st Order and regulations promulgated thereunder.
- 3.5 "Local Traffic" means the completion of wireless to wireline and wireline to wireless Traffic which originates and terminates within the LCA based on the location

1,110,1774 C-2

of the connecting cell site serving the wireless subscriber and the central office for landline end-user.

- 3.6 "Major Trading Area" (MTA) means a geographic area established in Rand McNally's Commercial Atlas and Marketing Guide and used by the FCC in defining CMRS license boundaries for CMRS providers for purposes of Sections 251 and 252 of the Act.
 - 3.7 "MOU" means a minute of use.
- 3.8 "Non-Local Traffic" means the completion of interMTA calls based on the location of the connecting cell site serving the wireless subscriber and the central office for the landline end-user and the completion of interMTA roaming traffic, as defined in FCC 1st Order, par. 1043, to which switched access charges are applicable.
- 3.9 "Reciprocal Compensation Credit" for purposes of this Agreement and based on current traffic trends means a monetary credit for wireline to wireless traffic which is originated by a landline subscriber of Carrier and terminates to a subscriber of CMRS Provider within the LCA.
- 3.10 "Traffic" means all Local Traffic and Non-Local Traffic that originates on one Party's network, and terminates on the other Party's network.
- 3.11 "Transit Traffic" means any Traffic that originates from one telecommunications carrier's network, transits Carrier's network substantially unchanged, and terminates to yet another telecommunications carrier other than Carrier.
- 3.12 "Transit Services" means the provision of transport facilities by Carrier that provide a transport function for Traffic originating from CMRS Provider and terminating to a telecommunications carrier other than Carrier.
- 3.13 "Termination" or "Terminate" means the switching of Local Traffic at the terminating carrier's end-office switch, or functionally equivalent facility, and the delivery of such Traffic to the called party.
- 3.14 "Transport" means the transmission and any necessary tandem switching by a Party of Local Traffic from the point of interconnection between the Parties to the terminating carrier's end-office switch or functionally equivalent facility that directly serves the called party.
- 4. Reciprocal Traffic Exchange. Each Party shall reciprocally Transport and Terminate on its network Traffic originating on the other Party's network. Reciprocal Traffic exchange addresses the exchange of Traffic between CMRS Provider's subscribers and Carrier's end-users and any Transit Services which may be provided by Carrier for Transit Traffic. Consistent with Carrier's current practice with CMRS Provider, either Party's Traffic may be routed through an intermediary for interconnection with the other Party's system. Reciprocal Traffic exchange per this Agreement covers only Transport and Termination services or Transit Services provided in association with CMRS services. Other services, including any direct

121927744 C-3

interconnect arrangement established between the Parties, shall be covered by a separate contract tariff or price list. The Transport and Termination services or Transit Services provided hereunder are intended for wireless to wireline or wireline to wireless, but not wireline to wireline communications. Such services will not be used to terminate other types of traffic on Carrier's network (such as wireline originated traffic) and services used in violation hereof shall constitute a breach of this Agreement. In addition to any other remedies available, the Party whose services have been improperly used shall be entitled to recover the charges applicable to such traffic for the entire period of misuse. Any incidental services (e.g. directory assistance, operator services, etc.) will be billed at the standard rates for those services.

- 5. <u>Local and Non-Local Traffic</u>. This Agreement is intended to address the Transport and Termination of Traffic between the Parties and Transit Services to the extent applicable. Local Traffic is subject to the local Transport and Termination MOU charge set forth on Exhibit A and is not subject to switched access charges. Non-Local Traffic is subject to either Carrier's interstate or intrastate tariffed switched access charges and terms and conditions, whichever is applicable. Transit Traffic is subject to the provisions described in Section 7 below.
 - 5.1 Ancillary traffic which includes wireless Traffic that is destined for ancillary services including, but not limited to, directory assistance, 911/E911, operator call termination (busy line interrupt and verify), 800/888, LIDB, and information services requiring special billing will be exchanged and charged in accordance with the appropriate tariffs, local or switched access.
 - 5.2 For billing purposes, if either Party is unable to classify on an automated basis the Traffic delivered by CMRS Provider as Local Traffic or Non-Local Traffic, CMRS Provider will provide Carrier with a Percent Interstate Use (PIU) factor, which represents the estimated portion of interMTA Traffic delivered by CMRS Provider. The PIU factor will be provided and updated on a semi-annual basis to commence six (6) months after Commission approval of this Agreement.
- 6. Local Transport and Termination Rate. CMRS Provider and Carrier shall reciprocally and symmetrically compensate one another for Local Traffic terminated to their respective subscribers and end-user customers. The MOU rate for the Termination and Transport of such Local Traffic is set forth in Exhibit A attached hereto. Carrier will be responsible for measuring the total monthly minutes of use terminating into its network from CMRS Provider's network. Measured usage begins when CMRS Provider's mobile switching office is signaled by the Carrier's terminating end-office that the call has been answered. Measured usage ends upon recognition by the mobile switching office of disconnection by the earlier of the Carrier's customer or the disconnection signal from the terminating end office. Carrier will only charge CMRS Provider for actual measured minutes of use and/or fractions thereof of completed calls. Minutes of use will be aggregated at the end of the billing cycle and rounded to the nearest whole minute. The Party collecting revenues shall be responsible for reporting and remitting all applicable taxes associated therewith.
- 7. <u>Transit Services</u>. If Carrier performs Transit Services, CMRS Provider shall compensate Carrier for originated Transit Traffic at the Transit Rate set forth on Exhibit A. Any

billing to CMRS Provider for Transit Services shall be limited to the intermediate Transit Services from Carrier to the terminating telecommunications carrier. Carrier shall separately identify the amount of usage associated with Transit Traffic on any billing to CMRS Provider. Upon written request, Carrier shall provide to CMRS Provider the identity of the terminating carrier, the exchanges and route miles associated with any Transit Services which may be provided under this Agreement.

- 8. <u>De Minimus Traffic</u>. In the event the Traffic terminated on the Parties' respective networks is de minimus such that the total MOU for which either Party is entitled to compensation is less than 3,000 MOU for a three month period (or 1,000 MOU for a one month period if Carrier or CMRS Provider bills monthly), the Parties agree that the only compensation for such Traffic will be in the form of the reciprocal Transport and Termination services provided by the other Party, and no billings will be issued by either Party.
- 9. Reciprocal Compensation Credit. If CMRS Provider is unable to determine the amount of wireline to wireless Traffic it terminates from Carrier, the monthly minutes of use terminated into CMRS Provider's network from Carrier's network for purposes of this Agreement will be used to determine the Reciprocal Compensation Credit due CMRS Provider, which will be calculated using the formula set forth in Exhibit A.
 - 9.1 The resulting number shall be multiplied by the MOU rate to determine the monthly Reciprocal Compensation Credit. The Reciprocal Compensation Credit for the Transport and Termination will appear on the monthly bill issued by Carrier as a credit against amounts due and payable from CMRS Provider to Carrier.
 - 9.2 Should Traffic patterns change so that more wireline to wireless Traffic is being terminated by CMRS Provider, the Reciprocal Compensation Credit shall be changed to reflect such difference. The amended Reciprocal Compensation Credit shall be based on the results of a Traffic study conducted for a representative sample of calls within the Carrier's service area. If the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the adequacy of the sample, the methodology for the Traffic study, or the appropriate percentages to be used, either Party may request resolution of the dispute by the Commission.
- monthly or quarterly basis for services provided under this Agreement in accordance with the MOU rate set forth on Exhibit A. Carrier shall included sufficient detail in its invoices to enable CMRS Provider to reasonably verify the accuracy of the usage and charges. CMRS Provider shall pay such invoices within thirty (30) days of receipt of the statement. In the event of a dispute over the amount of the invoice, CMRS Provider shall pay the undisputed portion and shall not be required to pay the disputed portion pending an investigation and resolution of the dispute.
 - 10.1 In the event CMRS Provider elects to measure the wireline to wireless Traffic terminated to it by Carrier, CMRS Provider will directly invoice Carrier for such Traffic applying the MOU rate contained in Exhibit A rather than utilizing the Reciprocal Compensation Credit in Section 8. The billing and payment provisions and time periods

171677744

set forth in this Section 9 shall apply if CMRS Provider elects to direct bill Carrier. In addition, CMRS Provider shall notify Carrier that it intends to direct bill for terminated Traffic at least sixty (60) days in advance of the first bill.

- 11. Effective Date. This Agreement is subject to approval by the Commission. CMRS Provider and Carrier will work cooperatively to take all steps necessary and proper to expeditiously prosecute a joint application before the Commission seeking approval of this Agreement. Each Party shall be responsible for their own costs and expenses incurred in obtaining approval of this Agreement from the Commission.
- 12 <u>Term.</u> Subject to the termination provisions contained in this Agreement, the term of this Agreement shall be one (1) year from the effective date and shall continue in effect for consecutive one (1) year terms until either Party gives the other Party at least ninety (90) days written notice of termination, which termination shall be effective at the end of the notice period.
- Termination Upon Default. Either Party may terminate this Agreement in whole or in part in the event of a default by the other Party, provided however, that the non-defaulting Party notifies the defaulting Party in writing of the alleged default and that the defaulting Party does not cure the alleged default within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of written notice thereof.
- Liability Upon Termination. Termination of this Agreement, or any part hereof, for any cause shall not release either Party from any liability which at the time of termination had already accrued to the other Party or which thereafter accrues in any respect for any act or commission occurring prior to the termination relating to an obligation which is expressly stated in this Agreement. The Parties' obligations under this Agreement which by their nature are introded to continue beyond the termination of this Agreement shall survive the termination of this Agreement.
- General Responsibilities of Parties. Each Party is responsible to provide facilities within its respective network which are necessary for routing and terminating Traffic to and from the other Party's network. If a Party makes a change in its network which may materially affect the exchange of Traffic under this Agreement, the Party making the change shall provide at least timety (90) days advance written notice of the nature of the change and when the change will excess.
- Assignments, Successors and Assignees. A Party may not assign or transfer this Agreement without the prior written consent of the other Party, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Party may assign this Agreement, or any portion thereof, without consent to any entity that controls, is controlled by or under common control with the assigning Party. Any such assignment shall not in any way affect or limit the rights and obligations of the Parties under this Agreement. The Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their lawful successors and assigns.
- 17. <u>Confidentiality</u>. The Parties to this Agreement recognize they or their authorized may come into possession of confidential and/or proprietary data about each

other's business or networks as a result of this Agreement. Each Party agrees to treat all such data and information as strictly confidential and to use such data and information only for the purpose of performance under this Agreement. Each Party agrees not to disclose data or information about the other Party's business without first securing the written consent of the Party, unless such disclosure is required by lawful subpoena or court order.

- 18. Business Records. Each Party is responsible for the accuracy of its data as submitted to the other Party. Upon reasonable notice, each Party or its authorized representative shall have the right to conduct an on-premise review of the relevant data possessed by the other Party to assure compliance with the provisions of this Agreement. The review will consist of any examination and verification of data involving records, systems, procedures and other information related to the services performed by either Party as it relates to charges or payments made in connection with this Agreement. Each Party's right to access information for a verification review purpose is limited to data not in excess of twelve (12) months in age. A Party's right to request a review is limited to once every twelve (12) months. The Party requesting a verification review shall fully bear its own costs associated with conducting the review. The Party being reviewed will provide reasonable access to necessary and applicable information at no charge to the reviewing Party during normal business hours.
- 19. Force Majeure. Neither Party shall be liable for any delay or failure in performance of any part of this Agreement from any cause beyond its reasonable control, including, without limitation, acts of nature, acts of civil or military authority, government regulations, embargoes, epidemics, terrorist acts, riots, insurrections, fires, explosions, earthquakes, nuclear accidents, floods, power blackouts, other major environmental disturbances or unusually severe weather conditions (collectively, a "Force Majeure Event").
- 20. No Third Party Beneficiaries. This Agreement does not provide any person not a party, assignee or successor to this Agreement and shall not be construed to provide any such third parties with any remedy, claim, liability, reimbursement, cause of action, or other privilege.
- 21. <u>Notices.</u> Notices given by one Party to the other Party under this Agreement shall be in writing to the addresses of the Parties set forth above and shall be (i) delivered personally, (ii) delivered by express delivery service; (iii) mailed, certified mail or first class U.S. mail postage prepaid, return receipt requested; or (iv) delivered by telecopy. Any such notice given under this Agreement shall be effective upon the receipt of the Party. Any Party may specify a different address by notifying the other Party in writing of such different address in the manner provided in this Section.
- 22. Governing Law. For all claims under this Agreement that are based upon issues within the jurisdiction of the FCC or governed by federal law, the Parties agree that remedies for such claims shall be governed by the FCC and the Act. For all claims under this Agreement that are based upon issues within the jurisdiction of the Commission or governed by state law, the Parties agree that the jurisdiction for all such claims shall be with such Commission, and the remedy for such claims shall be as provided for by such Commission. In all other respects, this Agreement shall be governed by the domestic laws of the state of South Dakota without reference to conflict of law provisions.

- 23. <u>Entire Agreement</u>. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and supersedes all prior oral or written agreements, representations, <u>statements</u>, negotiations, understandings, proposals and undertakings with respect to the subject matter hereof.
- 24. <u>Amendments</u>. This Agreement may not be modified or amended other than by a written instrument executed by both Parties. Any amendment, modification or supplement to this Agreement shall be filed with the Commission and approved by the Commission as may be required by applicable law.
- 25. <u>Counterparts</u>. The undersigned signatories represent they have the authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of their respective companies. This Agreement can be executed in separate parts which together will constitute a single, integrated Agreement.

			4.7	•	
executed as	IN WITNESS WHEREOF of this day of	, the Parties herete, 2001.	o have caused	d this Agreeme	in to be
"CMRS Pro		"Carrier"	navos elikkälä ä-jälä-vää-jeksä lähä-vää-jeksä lähä na a-jää nää ja kullaksa.	end 4 of globales and defined, All gravity and depth case of gravity and design of the	
	(type company name) (ty	pe company n	ame)	
Ву:		By:	rationagal mystocontribute in our sension little of this profession of the contribute		d No.

EXHIBIT A

Transport and Termination Rate

1. The Transport and Termination for Local Traffic under the Agreement shall be based on usage sensitive rate multiplied by the total minutes of use according to the following schedule.

Number of Access Lines for Carrier	MOU Rate
0-500 lines	\$.050
501 to 900 lines	\$.038
901 to 1,500 lines	S.033
1,501 lines or more	S.028

2. Carrier shall provide written notice to CMRS Provider upon the effective date of the Agreement setting forth its total number of access lines and the basis of said calculation for purposes of determining the applicable MOU Rate. On or before May 1 of each year thereafter. Carrier shall provide to CMRS Provider written notice to update its total number of access lines and the basis of said calculation. Any dispute between the Parties concerning the number of Carrier access lines shall be resolved by the Commission.

Reciprocal Compensation Credit - Calculation

1. The minutes of use of wireline to wireless Traffic for purposes of the Reciprocal Compensation Credit shall be calculated by multiplying the total monthly minutes of use of wireless to wireline Traffic delivered from CMRS Provider's network for termination into Carrier's network by a factor of 0.17.

Transit Traffic Rate

1. \$0.0005 per MOU per route mile

EXHIBIT D

SUMMARY OF RATE SCHEDULE

1. The Transport and Termination for Local Traffic under the Agreement shall be based on a usage sensitive rate multiplied by the total minutes of use according to the following schedule.

Number of Access Lines for Carrier	MOU Rate
0-500 lines	\$.050
501 to 900 lines	\$.038
901 to 1,500 lines	\$.033
1,501 lines or more	\$.028

- 2. Carrier shall provide written notice to CMRS Provider upon the effective date of the Agreement setting forth its total number of access lines and the basis of said calculation for purposes of determining the applicable MOU Rate. On or before May 1 of each year thereafter. Carrier shall provide to CMRS Provider written notice to update its total number of access lines and the basis of said calculation. Any dispute between the Parties concerning the number of Carrier access lines shall be resolved by the Commission.
- 3. The minutes of use of wireline to wireless Traffic for purposes of the Reciprocal Compensation Credit shall be calculated by multiplying the total monthly minutes of use of wireless to wireline Traffic delivered from CMRS Provider's network for termination into Carrier's network by a factor of 0.17.
- 4. <u>Transit Traffic Rate</u>-\$0.0005 per MOU per route mile

TC01-138

SDITC

South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition, Inc.

August 22, 2001

Richard D. Coit
Executive Director
richeoteschie ees

him www.allic.org

Ms. Debra Elofson Executive Director South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State Capitol Building 500 East Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501

RE: Joint Petition for Approval of Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreements

Dear Ms. Elofson:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission are the original and ten (10) copies of a Joint Petition for Approval being submitted by SDITC, on behalf of its member local exchange carriers, and Midwest Wireless Communications, LLC, Rural Cellular Corporation and Wireless Alliance LLC. The Petition seeks Commission approval pursuant to 47 U.SC. § 252(c) of certain Reciprocal Transport and Termination Agreements executed between all of the companies.

Please file and distribute the Joint Petition as necessary.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Richard D. Coit

Executive Director and General Counsel

CC: Mark Ayotte, Attny

ALUCIUS)

AIF 7.7 70%

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission WEEKLY FILINGS

For the Period of August 16, 2001 through August 22, 2001

If you need a complete copy of a filing faxed, overnight expressed, or mailed to you, please contact Delaine Kolbo within five business days of this filing. Phone: 605-773-3705 Fax: 605-773-3809

CONSUMER COMPLAINTS

CE01-002

In the Matter of the Complaint filed by Dale Riedlinger, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, against Xcel Energy Regarding Poor Maintenance Service.

Complainant states that on or about May 21, 2001, Xcel Energy had a tree trumming company come to their area of town and trim trees. Complainant states that when the company trimmed there tree in the front yard of their home, the tree was destroyed by cutting the tree half off. Complainant states that their are only two customers in his neighborhood that do not have buried cable. Complainant inquired about the line being buried and was total that he would have to pay \$7,500.00. Complainant states that Xcel Energy does not have an easement to have the utility pole on his property. Complainant requests that Xcel Energy bury their lines at no cost or a reasonable cost to the customer. Complainant does not feel he should replace his tree because he believes that the problem will occur again when the tree trimming service happens again.

Staff Analyst: Mary Healy Staff Attorney: Kelly Frazier Date Docketed: 08/20/01 Intervention Deadline: N/A

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

TC01-111

In the Matter of the Request of Baltic Telecom Cooperative and East Plains Telecom, inc for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Baltic Telecom Cooperative and its subsidiary East Plains Telecom, Inc.'s plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Baltic Telecom Cooperative and its subsidiary East Plains Telecom, Inc. will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/16/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-112 In the Matter of the Request of Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Telephone Authority for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Cheyenne River Sigux Tribe Telephone Authority's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Chayenne River Sigux Tribe Telephone Authority will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/17/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01 Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/20/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-118 In the Matter of the Request of Kadoka Telephone Company for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Kadoka Telephone Company's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Kadoka Telephone Company will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/20/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-119 In the Matter of the Request of Valley Telephone Company for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Valley Telephone Company's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Valley Telephone Company will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/20/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-120 In the Matter of the Request of Mount Rushmore Telephone Company and Fort Randall Telephone Company for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Mt. Rushmore Telephone Company and Fort Randall Telephone Company's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Mt. Rushmore Telephone Company and Fort Randall Telephone Company will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/20/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-121 In the Matter of the Request of Sanborn Telephone Cooperative, Inc. and SANCOM, Inc. for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Sanborn Telephone Cooperative. Inc./Sancom. Inc.'s plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Sanborn Telephone.

Cooperative will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/20/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-126 In the Matter of the Request of West River Telecommunications Cooperative (Mobridge) for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting West River Telecommunications Cooperative's (Mobridge) plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that West River Telecommunications Cooperative (Mobridge) will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/20/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-127 In the Matter of the Request of Midstate Communications, Inc. for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Midstate Communications, Inc.'s plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Midstate Communications, Inc. will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/20/01

Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-128 In the Matter of the Request of Tri-County Telecom, Inc. for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Tri-County Telecom, Inc.'s plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Tri-County Telecom, Inc. will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/20/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-129 In the Matter of the Request of McCook Cooperative Telephone Company for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting McCook Cooperative Telephone Company's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that McCook Cooperative Telephone

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Sioux Valley Telephone Company's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Sioux Valley Telephone Company will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/21/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-134 In the Matter of the Request of Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Dickey Rural Telephone Cooperative will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/22/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-135 In the Matter of the Request of Dickey Rural Communications, Inc. for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Dickey Rural Communications, Inc 's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Dickey Rural Communications, Inc. will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/22/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-136 In the Matter of the Request of Farmers Mutual Telephone Company for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting Farmers Mutual Telephone Company's plan for the use of its federal universal service support and to otherwise verify that Farmers Mutual Telephone Company will use all federal universal service support received in a manner that is consistent with the federal universal service provisions of 47 U.S.C. Section 254.

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best
Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer
Date Docketed: 08/22/01
Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

TC01-137 In the Matter of the Request of RT Communications, Inc. for Certification Regarding its Use of Federal Universal Service Support.

The purpose of this filing is to provide information constituting RT Communications, Inc.'s plan for the use of its

Staff Analyst: Harlan Best Staff Attorney: Karen Cremer Date Docketed: 08/22/01 Intervention Deadline: 08/31/01

You may receive this listing and other PUC publications via our website or via internet e-mail. You may subscribe or unsubscribe to the PUC mailing lists at http://www.state.sd.us/puc/

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE FILING OF A JOINT)	ORDER APPROVING
PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF RECIPROCAL)	AGREEMENTS
TRANSPORT AND TERMINATION)	
AGREEMENTS BETWEEN CERTAIN LOCAL)	TC01-138
EXCHANGE CARRIERS AND CERTAIN CMRS)	
PROVIDERS)	

On August 22, 2001, the South Dakota Independent Telephone Coalition filed for approval by the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission (Commission) reciprocal transport and termination agreements between certain local exchange carriers and certain CMRS providers. A list of the local exchange carriers marked as Exhibit A and a list of the CMRS providers marked as Exhibit B are attached to this order.

On August 23, 2001, the Commission electronically transmitted notice of this filing to interested individuals and entities. The notice stated that any person wishing to comment on the parties' request for approval had until September 11, 2001, to do so. No comments were filed.

At its duly noticed October 10, 2001, meeting, the Commission considered whether to approve the agreements between the local exchange carriers and the CMRS providers. Commission Staff reviewed each of the agreements. Commission Staff recommended approval.

The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to SDCL Chapter 49-31, and the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996. In accordance with 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2), the Commission found that the agreements do not discriminate against a telecommunications carrier that is not a party to the agreements and the agreements are consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. The Commission unanimously voted to approve the agreements. It is therefore

ORDERED, that the Commission approves the agreements.

Dated at Pierre, South Dakota, this 18th day of October, 2001.

	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
	The undersigned hereby certifies that this document has been served today upon all parties of record in this docket, as listed on the docket service list, by facsimile or by first class mail, in properly addressed envelopes, with charges prepaid thereon
The state of the s	Date 10/19/01
	(OFFICIAL SEAL)

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

JAMES A. BURG, Chairman

PÁM NELSON, Commissioner

EXHIBIT A

LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS

Name	Address	City, State, Zip
Armour Independent Telephone	116 North Main Avenue	Hartford, SD 57033
Company	P.O. Box 460	
Baltic Telecom Cooperative	501 Second Street	Baltic, SD 57003
·	P.O. Box 307	
Beresford Municipal Telephone	101 North Third Street	Beresford, SD 57004
Company		
Bridgewater-Canistota	116 North Main Avenue	Hartford, SD 57033
Independent Telephone	P.O. Box 460	
Brookings Municipal	525 Western Avenue	Brookings, SD 57006
Tele./Swiftel Communications	P.O. Box 588	
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal	P.O. Box 810	Eagle Butte, SD 57625
Telephone Authority		
Dakota Community Telephone	29705 – 453 rd Avenue	Irene, SD 57037-0066
1	P.O. Box 66	
East Plains Telecom, Inc.	501 Second Street	Baltic, SD 57003
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	P.O. Box 307	
Faith Municipal Telephone	206 Main Street	Faith, SD 57626
Company	P.O. Box 368	
Fort Randall Telephone Company	722 West Highway #46	Wagner, SD 57751
Golden West	415 Crown Street	Wall, SD 57790
Telecommunications Cooperative	P.O. Box 411	
Hanson County Telephone	431 Main Street	Alexandria, SD 57311
Company	P.O. Box 217	
Interstate Telecommunications	312 West Fourth Street	Clear Lake, SD 57226
Cooperative, Inc.	P.O. Box 920	
James Valley Cooperative	235 East First Avenue	Groton, SD 57445-0260
Telephone	P.O. Box 260	
Jefferson Telephone Company	311 Main Street	Jefferson, SD 57038-0128
a serrerson rerephone company	P.O. Box 128	
Kadoka Telephone Company	121 Main Street	Kadoka, SD 57543
Radoka Telephone Company	P.O. Box 220	Traditing CD 21212
Kennebec Telephone Company	209 South Main	Kennebec, SD 57544
remission rerephone Company	P.O. Box 158	Econology and District
McCook Cooperative Telephone	330 South Nebraska Street	Salem, SD 57058
•	P.O. Box 630	Caroni, CD J/OJC
Co. Midstate Communications, Inc.	120 East First Street	Kimball, SD 57355
Midstate Communications, inc.	P.O. Box 48	Kimuan, 3D 37333
Marin Bushmara Talanhara	P.O. Box 669	Keystone, SD 57751
Mount Rushmore Telephone	1.0. Dox 009	recystorie, 519-57751
Company		واروال والروايان والتأويات أنشأن فالمواق الماسوة المناصة والمناسة

1303710x2 A-1

RC Communications, Inc.	Main Street	New Effington, SD 57255
	P.O. Box 196	SWARASE MANUFACTURES WITTEN WILL TO LANGUE
Roberts County Telephone	Main Street	New Effington, SD 57235
Cooperative Assn.	P.O. Box 196	
Sanborn Telephone Cooperative	P.O. Box 67	Woonsocket, SD 57385
Sancom, Inc.	P.O. Box 67	Woonsocket, SD 57385
Sioux Valley Telephone	525 East Fourth Street	Dell Rapids, SD 57022
Company	P.O. Box 98	
Splitrock Telecom Cooperative,	612 Third Street	Garretson, SD 57030
Inc.	P.O. Box 349	
Splitrock Properties	612 Third Street	Garretson, SD 57030
	P.O. Box 349	
Stockholm-Strandburg Telephone	210 North Main Street	Stockholm, SD 57264
Co.	P.O. Box 20	
Sully Buttes Telephone	218 Commercial S.E.	Highmore, SD 57345
Cooperative, Inc.	P.O. Box 157	
Tri-County Telecommunications,	202 North Third Street	Emery, SD 57332
Inc.	P.O. Box 304	
Union Telephone Company	116 North Main Avenue	Hartford, SD 57033
	P.O. Box 460	
Valley Telecommunications	102 Main Street South	Нетеid, SD 57632
Cooperative	P.O. Box 7	
Vivian Telephone Co., dba	4150 Crown Street	Wall, SD 57790
Golden Vest Telecomm.	P.O. Box 411	
West River Cooperative	801 Coleman Avenue	Bison, SD 57620-0039
Telephone Company	P.O. Box 39	And the second of the second o
West River Telecommunications	P.O. Box 467	[Fazen, ND 58545
Cooperative		Section of the sectio
Western Telephone Company	111 Ninth Avenue North	Faulkton, SD 57438
	P.O. Box 128	E TOUR TO THE PERSON NAMED OF THE PERSON NAMED

EXHIBIT B

CMRS PROVIDERS

Name	Address	City, State, Zip
Midwest Wireless Communications, LLC	2000 Technology Drive	Mankato, MN 56002-4069
t mine via programa i	P.O. Box 4069	
Rural Cellular Corporation	P.O. Box 2000	Alexandria, MN 56308
Wireless Alliance, LLC	3905 Dakota Street S.W.	Alexandria, MN 56308