

From: James Poppinga [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 24, 2023 3:26 PM
To: PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] Comments for Docket HP22-002

From Janice Poppinga
[REDACTED]
Chancellor, SD 57015
[REDACTED]

My husband and I own land that is on the proposed route of the Navigator CO2 Pipeline. At first we were opposed to it, because of the damage the pipeline will do to our drainage tiles. More recently we have become aware of the danger of having this hazardous pipeline on our property. The 2020 CO2 Pipeline failure in Satartia, Mississippi should make us pause and consider the consequences before we allow this in South Dakota.

We are not anti-progress. We have granted easements for electrical transmission lines and rural water pipelines, because of the obvious benefits of these projects. However, the transport of CO2 through SD, Iowa, and Illinois to be stored underground does not seem like progress. The safety risks of this pipeline outweigh any potential benefits. The proposed route through Lincoln County is too close to populated areas.

The representative from Navigator visits us regularly and has promised that the pipeline will be safe. But SD needs to have regulations in place before any construction takes place to make sure this company lives up to their promises. Unfortunately, the victims in Satartia, Mississippi were not adequately protected by current Federal Pipeline regulations.

We are asking that you reject Navigator's request to build this pipeline, or perhaps postpone your decision until the US Pipeline and Hazardous Material Safety Administration can adopt regulations that will protect citizens in the event of a leak.

Please add these comments to the Pipeline Docket HP22-0022.

Janice Poppinga