#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SCS CARBON TRANSPORT LLC FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A CARBON DIOXIDE PIPELINE.	HP22-001

#### **REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF**

#### **ROD DILLON**

#### ON BEHALF OF

#### SCS CARBON TRANSPORT LLC

SCS EXHIBIT #

July 7, 2023

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address for the record.
2	A.	Rod Dillon, 2321 N. LOOP DR. SUITE 221 AMES, IA. 50010
3	Q.	What is your position with SCS Carbon Transport, LLC ("SCS")?
4	A.	Director, Compliance employee of Summit Carbon Solutions.
5	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational experience.
6	A.	I have 39 years of professional background in firefighting, hazardous materials response, Incident
7	management, pipeline emergency response management.	
8	Q.	Please describe your duties with SCS.
9	A.	I am responsible for ensuring compliance with PHMSA regulations.
10	Q.	Have you previously submitted direct testimony and exhibits in this proceeding?
11	A.	No
12	Q.	Is carbon dioxide considered a regulated substance? Is any release regulated by the
13	3 South Dakota Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources (DANR)?	
14	A.	SCS agrees with Kindt's testimony in that carbon dioxide is considered a regulated
15	substance and that any release is regulated by the SD DANR.	
16	Q.	Does SCS agree with Kindt's testimony that any discharge of a regulated substance
17	must be reported immediately as "suspected discharges" within 24 hours?	
18	A.	Yes. SCS agrees with Kindt's testimony.
19	Q.	Kindt describes the potential groundwater remediation scenario following a CO2
20	20 release. Does SCS agree with this testimony?	
21	A.	No groundwater remediation will be needed.
22	Q.	Does SCS agree with Gerald Briggs' testimony regarding Satartia EMS Fire Chief's
23	testimonial of his own personal experience with the Denbury Pipeline in Mississippi, being	
24	conce	rned with outreach and education from pipeline companies, first responders needing

1

to know best practices, methods, and equipment and needing SCBA, annual training, and
coordinated warning systems from the pipeline company, and the concern about CO2 being
odorless?

SCS's plan is to equip and educate the first responders that will respond to ensure the 28 A. safety of the public along the pipeline route if there is a CO2 release. SCS will coordinate with 29 30 county emergency management personnel to secure the equipment needs for first responders once the State has issued a construction permit and coordinate the training schedule once SCS 31 has scheduled the pipeline construction. SCS will supply all county first responders who could 32 potentially respond to a SCS pipeline release with CO2 air monitoring instruments and evaluate 33 other requested specialized equipment needs on a case-by-case basis. SCS operations and first 34 responders will participate in tabletop exercises twice a year, which will include training new 35 first responders and calibrating air monitoring instruments. The SCS Control Center will ensure 36 a notification system is in place and all potentially affected including the public and first 37 38 responders are educated regarding the notification procedure. Odorant and SCS's rationale for not odorizing the MCE pipeline is provided in other SCS employee testimony. 39

40 Q. Does SCS agree with Terry Gann's testimony regarding the complaint that they did
41 not know about the pipeline in Mississippi?

A. I have read Mr. Gann's testimony and have addressed his concerns in planning for first
responders. Without contesting Mr. Gann's assertion, he and Mr. Briggs testified that other
pipelines exist in their respective counties of residence. Existence of pipelines, familiarity with
pipeline markers, and their professional training should have alerted them to the presence of the
Denbury pipeline. According to Mr. Briggs, it's also interesting the Emergency Manager
apparently didn't realize there was a high pressure 24" pipeline traversing the county. While it is

2

the responsibility of the operator to notify area first responders, it seems that someone wouldhave noticed pipeline markers, above ground valves, etc.

### 50 Q. Did Fire Chief Briggs follow standard protocol when responding to the Satartia, MS 51 release?

A. No. Per Fire Chief Briggs testimony: "We arrived at the checkpoint again and I asked what type of leak we were dealing with ... they still didn't know. So, we just loaded up everything ... and proceeded towards the sound of what we believed was coming from the site of the explosion." Due to Fire Chief Briggs extensive training, he should know that a first responder never enters a "hot zone' without fully understanding what hazards may be encountered.

## 57 Q. Were apparent victims at the Satartia checkpoint experiencing effects from CO2 58 exposure?

A. Inconclusive. The Denbury CO2 stream contained H2S which is a highly toxic chemical 59 and effects experienced by the victims could be attributable to H2S. Since CO2 is odorless and 60 colorless, firsthand reports of a "green gas" support the Denbury stream contained impurities the 61 SCS CO2 stream will not contain. Per Fire Chief Brigg's testimony: "no, the symptoms that I 62 observed with the victims that I dealt with were not typical symptoms of H2S." With all due 63 64 respect, Fire Chief Briggs is not a trained Industrial Hygienist and his familiarity with H2S was not established. In my broad professional experience, the symptoms of victims as described is 65 indicative of exposure to H2S. 66

# Q. Have you come to any conclusions regarding what if any public engagement and first responder education efforts are necessary to prepare for an incident such as the Satartia, MS release?

3

A. As stated in previous testimony, SCS agrees with Fire Chief Briggs assessment that

operators should contact first responders, provide annual training, help assess response readiness,
and provide equipment and resources necessary to response to a CO2 release.

Q. Do you agree with SCS's position that CO2 pipelines are no more dangerous than a
natural gas pipeline or an oil pipeline?

75 A. Fire Chief Briggs answered no. I disagree strongly with Fire Chief Brigg's assertion that

a CO2 pipeline is as dangerous or even more dangerous than other pipelines. Per Mr. Briggs

testimony: "All hazardous pipelines are dangerous but the one difference is the weight of the

78 product with CO2 that is not going to go straight up in the atmosphere it's going to sink". To the

contrary, Natural gas may have heavy components such as ethane, butane, and propane which are

80 also heavier than air. Unlike CO2, natural gas and crude oil vapor are combustible and

81 flammable. As such, SCS feels strongly that a nearly pure stream of CO2 is a less dangerous

82 product than either natural gas or crude oil.

#### 83 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

84 A. Yes.

85

- 86 Dated this 7th day of July, 2023.
- 87
- 88 <u>/s/ Rod Dillon</u>

89 Rod Dillon