



500 East Capitol Avenue Pierre, South Dakota 57501-5070 https://puc.sd.gov (605) 773-3201

Consumer Hotline

Email puc@state.sd.us

July 13, 2023

Janet and Ken Beck

Black Hawk, SD 57718

Mr. and Ms. Beck.

This is in response to your letters received in today's mail regarding the SCS Carbon Transport LLC permit request currently being reviewed by the Public Utilities Commission.

This pipeline siting permit application is docket HP22-001, filed by the company on Feb. 7, 2022. Many documents have been and continue to be posted in the docket, available online at PUC.SD.gov. You ask several questions regarding the effects of the pipeline on people and the environment, the benefits and purpose of the pipeline, how it addresses a climate solution, and who are the investors. Some of these questions go beyond the jurisdiction of the commission as provided by law, and some of your questions are and will be addressed via filings made in the docket. Basically, these questions cannot be completely or confidently answered until the hearing process is concluded.

Enclosed you will find a document titled **Pipeline Siting Information Guide**. This is posted on the commission's website. Here you can access all commission dockets as well as links to the state statutes and administrative rules governing the commission. All this is online as that is the most economical means for the commission to make its dockets easily accessible to the public, and the state legislature makes its laws available online as well. Below are excerpts from the Guide that may be helpful.

PUC Authority

The South Dakota Legislature gave the PUC authority to issue permits for certain pipelines. South Dakota pipelines within the commission's siting jurisdiction include those designed to transport coal, gas, liquid hydrocarbons, liquid hydrocarbon products, or carbon dioxide, for example. In considering applications, the commission's primary duty is to ensure the location, construction and operation of the pipeline will produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and the citizens. The commission determines these factors based on definitions, standards, and references specified in South Dakota Codified Laws and Administrative Rules. In pipeline siting cases, the commission has one year from the date of application to make a decision.

In rendering its decision, the commission may grant the permit, deny the permit, or grant the permit with terms, conditions or modifications of the construction, operation or maintenance as the commission finds appropriate and legally within its jurisdiction. The commission does not have authority to change the route or location of a

project. The decision of the commission can be appealed to the circuit court and, ultimately, to the South Dakota Supreme Court.

The PUC is not involved in the easement acquisition process that occurs between applicants and landowners. Likewise, the PUC does not have a role in the eminent domain process, which is handled in the circuit court system. Landowners with concerns about these issues should seek advice from their personal attorney.

The commission strives to issue a reasoned decision and conditions, where appropriate, that uphold the law and discourage a potentially expensive and lengthy appeal process.

Applicant Responsibility

The applicant that seeks the PUC's approval must show its proposed project: • will comply with all applicable laws and rules; • will not pose a threat of serious injury to the environment nor to the social or economic condition of inhabitants or expected inhabitants in the siting area; • will not substantially impair the health, safety or welfare of the inhabitants; and • will not unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region with due consideration having been given to the views of the governing bodies of affected local units of government.

The decision on whether to issue a permit, deny a permit, or issue a permit with conditions will be based on whether a project complies with the criteria established in SDCL 49-41B-22. You may access the laws at SDLegislature.gov online, including the chapter that provides most of the laws governing the commission, Title 49, Public Utilities and Carriers; and the Administrative Rules including relevant Definitions in Article 20:10.

Note that the commission cannot change a route or location of a siting project except to prohibit the route from being placed in areas that are not appropriate.

My fellow commissioners and I will gather a greater understanding of the facts of the pipeline project through a contested case evidentiary hearing process. That hearing is scheduled for September 11-22 and 25-29. The final decisions of the commission may be appealed to the court system. In such an appeal, the court will evaluate whether the commission based their decision on the established facts and the applicable law.

Since commissioners will ultimately vote on the siting permit application, any communication with a commissioner about this docket must be done during a public, noticed meeting or made available via the online docket. As such, your letters and this response will be posted under Comments and Responses in the docket.

Thank you for reaching out so that I could share some of the information you seek. You and I will learn more and find answers to most of your questions during the upcoming evidentiary hearing, so I encourage you to follow along via the docket.

Sincerely, Say Hanson

Gary Hanson