
From: Donald Klassen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, December 21, 2022 2:15 PM
To: PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] letters

Thank you, Mr. Nelson, for your letter dated December 19 in response to my letter dated December 7. I received it today. I appreciate the fact that the PUC called several public meetings for input in regard to both SCS and Navigator CO2 pipelines.

In your letter paragraph 1 line 2 you reference Navigator Heartland Greenway, LLC Pipeline and also on page 2 line 1 you reference Navigator, for a total of two separate times. Nowhere in my letter did I reference Navigator, except the last paragraph of the ADDENDUM, and then only by the one word "...and Navigator..."

Everywhere else in my letter I referred Summit Carbon Solutions as follows:

- 1) in the Re: Summit Carbon Solutions [SCS] CO2 Pipeline
- 2) page 2 lines 4 & 8 "...SCS . . . SCS..."
- 3) last paragraph of the ADDENDUM "...Summit Carbon Solutions and Navigator..."

To me it seems that my letter may have been filed incorrectly in the Navigator docket, instead of being filed in the SCS docket where it should have been filed. Please correct the filing error. Thanks in advance.

I was planning to send another letter to PUC in reference to Navigator after I had more information about their easement contract.

Yes, I knew from your handout that you are not involved in eminent domain or easements, nor planning nor routing. So I added the **PS** saying that I would be sending letters to our legislators so you would know that I am not expecting you to do anything about either issue. I did send letters to the 2 Representatives and 1 Senator for Lincoln County requesting them to initiate or help promote changes to the eminent domain law.

At the bottom of page 1, I appreciate your mentioning that you "cannot simply refuse to consider such an application."

Respectfully,
Don Klassen