# RECEIVED

OCT 26 2009

# **SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC** THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE, LP FOR A PERMIT UNDER THE SOUTH DAKOTA ENERGY CONVERSION AND TRANSMISSION FACILITY ACT TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE XL PROJECT

HP09-001

Transcript of Proceedings October 6, 2009

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, DUSTIN JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN STEVE KOLBECK, VICE CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER

COMMISSION STAFF Rolayne Ailts Wiest John Smith Karen Cremer Kara Semmler Terri Labrie Baker Greg Rislov Bob Knadle Dave Jacobson Jon Thurber Deb Gregg Anissa Grambihler

#### **APPEARANCES**

appearing as co-counsel on behalf of the Brett M. Koenecke Applicant

Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR

APPEARANCES (by telephone) Jim White Paul Blackburn Bill Taylor James Moore TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 6th day of October, 2009, commencing at 9:30 a.m. 

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: The question for the Commission today is shall the Commission reconsider part of its decision regarding discovery?

I will cue this up just a little bit, and then obviously we'll take some comments from the parties. I just think when you look at the standards and the rules surrounding discovery again it's a little bit better to be safe than sorry. The more I considered this one the more I thought this was the closest call of those that we denied. And I personally felt like I'd be a little more comfortable if some of this information regarding the preparation of the ERP was made available.

I'm not interested in having 24 be a fishing expedition. I would be interested in hearing from the parties if there's a way that we can narrow this appropriately to get to the documents that are important and central.

But with that, let's go ahead and hear from -first we'll take the Applicant. Although I suppose maybe
the Order makes the most sense to go with Dakota Rural
Action. It was their Motion to try to compel this
discovery.

So Dakota Rural Action? Comments?

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{I'm not hearing anyone on the telephone, and I} \\ \mbox{don't see anyone in person.}$ 

Mr. Koenecke?

MR. KOENECKE: Commissioner, if I might, I've gotten several messages from Jim White in the last 10 minutes indicating that he can't get in. And you probably saw me go over and talk to Ms. Grambihler a few minutes ago. They instructed him to call the main office and be transferred in. That could be what's happening to Dakota Rural Action. I don't know.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Dakota Rural Action isn't on our list of reserved telephone lines. It doesn't mean they didn't intend to call in. Perhaps let's pause.

MR. WHITE: Mr. Chairman, this is Jim White.

Just to be clear, I just did get in through the switchboard.

MR. TAYLOR: And, Mr. Chairman, Bill Taylor and James Moore just got in the same way.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Just out of curiosity, gentlemen, what was the problem when you called in?

MR. WHITE: Wouldn't answer.

MR. TAYLOR: Sounded like a busy signal. We called the main office, and they switched us to the State board who brought us in through some other route.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thanks.

Well, Mr. Koenecke, Mr. White, if you have comments, let's hear them.

MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Commissioner. We have noted the -- in discussions with staff and reading your filing that the distinction that's being made between safety and the environment -- and I guess it would be our reading as a case known as Olympic Pipeline v. City of Seattle coming out of the Ninth Circuit, I believe.

The purpose of the PSA, Pipeline Safety Act, is to "provide adequate protection against risks to life and property posed by pipeline transportation and pipeline facilities." We don't see a distinction there between those two.

That said, we did compile a list of references for the preparation of emergency response manuals, which I received late last night. And I'll be glad to hand out. I haven't counted these, but it's a page and a half of documents used.

If you recall, the request from the Intervener was for documents. It had no Interrogatories along with it asking questions seeking information otherwise. It was send us documents that you have.

So we have prepared a list, and it's extensive. I question the relevance of some of these to South Dakota specific. We've had those discussions in the past that we -- the direction I've gotten from the Commission and the Commission advisors is that things that we're going

to have discussed, ruled on, provided to other parties should be South Dakota specific. And I can't say that these are. But I'll be glad to get up and hand these out at this point.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks, Mr. Koenecke.

Additional comments after you hand those out? Okay.

Staff comments?

M\$. SEMMLER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Although my position regarding --

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'm sorry to interrupt. My apologies. I feel more comfortable if we could have somebody trying to get ahold of Mr. Blackburn.

Are you working on that? Great. Thanks very much. My apologies. Go ahead.

MS. SEMMLER: My position regarding federal preemption of pipeline safety has not changed. And also unchanged is the fact that PHMSA has explicit approval authority over the Emergency Response Plan.

With that being said, I do understand and I appreciate that there is some environmental protection type information in the Emergency Response Plan that may not only be discoverable but admissible. And, in fact, staff through the use of four data requests amounting to 147 questions but combination of both Interrogatories and document requests asked for much of the analysis and data

regarding that very thing and is comfortable that we can testify regarding the emergency, operational, and construction environmental protection measures that the Applicant intends to take.

And Dakota Rural Action has all of that. They have a copy of staff's data request, and they have a copy of the answers. So although I believe they have all the information they're seeking right now that staff obtained not through the Emergency Response Plan but through a more jurisdictional route, I understand why the Commission may feel compelled to expand its order.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks, Ms. Semmler. Do we have any -- Ms. Grambihler is checking on Dakota Rural Action.

You know, maybe we'll have questions of staff or the Applicant. I'll have one.

Mr. Koenecke, I have a tendency, and I have not reviewed this thoroughly but as I'm looking at your page and a half list it does seem as though a number of these documents are outside of what could possibly lead to admissible evidence during hearing.

Your point's been made. If we want to drive toward which of this information would possibly be helpful to an Intervener, do you have any thoughts?

I mean, I think your point about

non-South Dakota-specific information is well taken.

MR. KOENECKE: Thanks for the question,

Commissioner. It would be a bit presumptuous of me to

presume what Dakota Rural Action might want. However,

the obvious one, Observer's Guide To Sea Ice, that one

without looking at it, never seen it, have a tough time

believing that's relevant to an inland place.

However, it might be. I just -- I wouldn't be able to say. And I simply don't know. I would guess that there are some of these -- the PHMSA guidelines to ERP preparation probably publicly available. But also probably more directly to the point of what they're asking -- or what they want to have. I don't know.

MR. WHITE: If I might.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, Mr. White.

MR. WHITE: I would concur on that, that the PHMSA guidelines to ERP preparation would probably be considered the key document to being able to understand sort of the process and requirements that go into preparing the ERP.

I believe those guidelines are actually published in 49 CFR but, you know, we'd certainly be more than willing to provide those guidelines to DRA if that would be helpful.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks. Mr. Blackburn, have

you joined us?

MS. GRAMBIHLER: He's just calling in now. I just got off the phone with him.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. We have been told that Dakota Rural Action is calling in so we'll pause for a moment.

(Discussion off the record)

(Mr. Blackburn joins hearing via telephone)

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I will not reiterate counsel's arguments, but I presume you've seen the request that I made to have this put on the agenda.

Do you have any comments?

MR. BLACKBURN: The comments I have on that are that I appreciate your reconsideration of that. I would also like to add that I did look at and haven't had a chance yet to provide a written analysis of the statute that was discussed at the last hearing related to the DENR's participation and the emergency response planning process.

And I'd just like to note that that process does not provide for any public participation. Nor does it provide for any opportunity earlier than the filing with PHMSA of the Emergency Response Plan. So while there may be some additional governmental participation in that process, it doesn't provide for any public participation,

emergency response planning.

2.4

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Blackburn, staff had raised some, I think, interesting points about the fact that Dakota Rural Action has received 147 pages -- or questions? Responses to 147 questions that staff compounded to the Applicant surrounding some of these environmental protection issues. Of course, Commissioners wouldn't have been aware of that.

Can you explain to us why the information already in your possession is not sufficient to address some of these environmental issues? And if it isn't, specifically where are the gaps?

I'd like to, you know, in the interest of time and trying to balance that burden versus the information you all need to put together your case, I mean, I want to make sure that these discovery -- you know, what's turned over is tailored to meet your needs and not anything more.

MR. BLACKBURN: Actually I cannot. I have not had a chance to review all the staff material. I work on this by myself, you know, part time so I have a limited time to review all of that.

There are, as staff said, hundreds of pages of material and I wish I could speak to that but I cannot speak to that today.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Other questions?

MR. SMITH: Mr. Blackburn, this is John Smith.

On the issue of participation, is it then your position that whatever happens at DENR is not subject to the more general citizen and procedural rights under 1-26?

MR. BLACKBURN: If there was a -- if there was a process there that required a formal decision of some sort by the DENR, then I assume that -- that the procedural processes would apply.

But that simply does not provide for any decision-making process by the DENR. Therefore, I don't believe that the state EPA procedural requirements would apply because there would be no state action there.

The only thing that statute requires is that the Applicant consult with the DENR, but that's required by federal law anyway, and that it file -- the Applicant file a copy of -- of its Emergency Response Plan with the DENR. It doesn't provide any decision-making process or any other thing that would trigger the EPA. If you believe it does, I would ask that you describe how it could.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. White, have you had an opportunity to e-mail this list to Mr. Blackburn yet?

MR. WHITE: I apologize. I don't have Mr. Blackburn's e-mail address.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Mr. Blackburn, what -- what in -- you know, there's not an ERP done. So can you give me an idea of what -- what would you request?

You know, I think your original -- I don't have it in front of me, but I think your original request was all documents relating to. That seems a little broad.

Is there value in narrowing that? And if so, in what way?

MR. BLACKBURN: I think that the question, what may be helpful is a little bit along the lines of what my co-counsel, Cait Collier, suggested is to try to find out from the Applicant what their schedule is for developing an Emergency Response Plan in this particular segment of the pipeline. And seeing the sorts of documents, particular planning documents or segments of that that are not confidential that, you know, could be disclosed.

And I believe that the plan is a fairly discrete document, not a huge amount of material there. And I think this is more a question of the process and how that process would relate to TransCanada's drafting process. How the process -- TransCanada's drafting process would relate to the Commission's schedule for making a decision in this matter.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Koenecke, Mr. White, does the Applicant have a -- an estimated time of when a draft

ERP would be completed?

MR. KOENECKE: I'm not aware of one,

Commissioner. As you know -- the only deadline that I'm

aware of, it has to be submitted to PHMSA and approved

before the pipeline can be put into operation.

MR. WHITE: I think it's probably safe to assume, Mr. Chairman, that there will not be an ERP until after the date of the Commission's decision in this proceeding.

I guess that being said, if it would be helpful to DRA for us to provide a schedule for the development of the ERP -- and, as I understand it, Mr. Blackburn is interested in planning documents related to that preparation and certainly, you know, the PHMSA guidelines for ERP preparation fall within that definition, and perhaps also provide Mr. Blackburn with the list of reference materials that we've circulated this morning with a commitment that if he identifies other documents on that list, that he would like to see, that we would provide those as well. Maybe that might bridge the gap we have right now.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. So if I'm understanding you right, Mr. White, of the one-and-a-half page list that you provided to the Commission, you're willing to make available any of these documents to

Dakota Rural Action?

MR. WHITE: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Let's try to put some -- number one, thank you to the Applicant. Let's try to put some time frames around this. Because we're already a little further off our discovery schedule than I think any of us anticipated.

And so what I wouldn't want is a month from now for something on this list to all the sudden be of interest to an Intervener, a request is made, it takes the Applicant three weeks to make it available to them. You know, I think we need predictability and consistency in our schedule because I think that helps for citizens to plan. I think that helps for witnesses to plan.

So, Mr. Blackburn, they have -- and I know you don't have it, but they have provided a one-and-a-half page list of the various references, inputs that are into their ERP. What kind of a time frame would you be willing to say that you could look through here and make a request -- request to have them deliver those to you?

MR. BLACKBURN: The one-and-a-half page list then I could assume I could do it within a day or two. I don't think it would take very long to do that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you,

Mr. Blackburn.

To the Applicant, how long would it take you to provide that information? What kind of turnaround time would you need?

MR. WHITE: Sure. I guess to be on the safe side given that I'm not sure how many documents we'll be asked to locate and provide, I would ask for a week from the date that we get the request.

And obviously if the request is only for a few documents and they're readily available, we'll do it quicker than that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Well, I've been hogging the mic. I've got kind of an idea of where I want to go. But let's pause and see if my colleagues have some comments or questions.

Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: Thank you. And I'm sorry,

Commissioner Kolbeck, for butting in here. I guess
earlier -- and I can't remember, Mr. Blackburn, if you
were on the phone or not but staff discussed its data
requests and the responses that it received regarding not
the particular I guess you'd say formula inputs into the
ERP but what I'd call the data type inputs related
particularly to environmental stuff.

We already have a discovery ruling on risk assessment and, as I understand it, the nonconfidential

portions of that have already been turned over. And we have another ruling now on the confidential portions of the risk assessment. As I understand what staff said, a very significant amount of the other input data such as hydrology, those kinds of things, have already been made available.

I guess my thought would be -- and maybe this is more directed to maybe Mr. White, but is it your view that most of those types of underlying I guess I'd call them data inputs that would eventually win their way into the ERP have already been made available? Is that a fair characterization?

MR. WHITE: Well, I'd say yes with one caveat.

And that is that the ERP which exists now is the ERP that was prepared for the Keystone Project. Okay?

The inputs to that in terms of risk assessment and environmental consequence was the risk assessment and environmental consequence analysis done for the original Keystone Project. The risk assessment and environmental consequence material that's been provided in this Docket is that which underlies the KXL -- or will underlie the KXL ERP. That's what is now available in this Docket.

MR. SMITH: And those materials have already been made available to both staff and to DRA?

MR. WHITE: With the exception of the HCA

locational information.

2.2

MR. SMITH: Right. And we issued a specific order on that last time. Is that correct?

MR. WHITE: That's correct.

MR. SMITH: Okay. And so, in other words, those underlying data elements, though, that ultimately will perform the same function that those things did with the first Keystone, that underlying, you know, topographic, elevation, physical, hydrologic type of information that has already been available via staff's data requests?

MR. WHITE: I would agree with that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Other comments? Questions?

MR. BLACKBURN: This is Paul Blackburn. I would just point out that the assessment of risk and the data related to risk assessment is while underlying component of emergency response planning, it is not itself emergency response planning.

It doesn't say, for example, where equipment will be located or where personnel will be located or how local first responders will be working or how they'll be coordinated and how they'll be trained and the information provided to them. Simply those are two entirely different things. While related, providing information about the risk does not say how one is going to respond to that risk.

So while I appreciate having that as kind of risk assessment information, I think what landowners and local first responders are, which in some rural areas are in fact the landowners themselves -- you know, they might have a fire rig on their land, for example, or utilities they own. You know, that they're interested in how do you respond, not what the risk is.

And I don't know -- I've read that, but I haven't had time to look through all the things that staff's requests have provided requests about what the responses need to be. So maybe Mr. White can describe what information they've received at TransCanada and how they plan to respond to it.

MR. WHITE: I'm not going to be able to characterize everything that's in the responses to the staff data requests.

I will say that if you're looking for specific information, locational information about how an emergency in a specific location will be responded to, that simply has not been produced yet. I mean, that is a process that is sort of ongoing but has not -- has not yet come to fruition.

If you're looking for materials that speak to how that process is to occur, you know, then I'd suggest again the PHMSA guidelines would be useful, and perhaps

some of the other documents on the list of materials
might be useful. But that sort of fine-tuning work has
simply not yet been done on Keystone XL.

MR. BLACKBURN: And I appreciate that, and as I

said, with these sorts of issues I understand there's a crafting component to them, but it's just important and we really appreciate clarity about what the Commission can and cannot do in the situation for -- at least for jurisdictional reasons or at least for practical reasons.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Other questions?

Commissioner Kolbeck, did you have something?

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I guess I'm -- my

comments pertain to the list that TransCanada has agreed

to provide. I just had more of a time line on that. I

But I would assume by close of business today, October 6, that TransCanada could obtain Mr. Blackburn's e-mail and get that list to him. Would that be okay?

MR. KOENECKE: (Nods).

don't know if we're at that point here or not.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And then I would assume by the close of business on 10-8-09 Mr. Blackburn would be able to review this one-and-a-half page list and tell TransCanada exactly what he would like to see.

Does that seem like a good time line to you, Mr. Blackburn?

1 MR. BLACKBURN: Yeah. That's fine. In fact, I 2 know they have my e-mail because I've e-mailed service to 3 a lot of TransCanada people. If they get it to me this 4 morning, I don't see that it would be a real difficulty 5 getting back to them today unless something comes up. I'd rather have officially tomorrow, but it can happen 6 7 fairly quickly if they e-mail me. 8 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Sure. And I think the 9 8th would be Thursday, close of business on Thursday. 10 you could do it sooner, that would be great. I'm doing 11 worst-case scenario. 12 And then I would think by the close of business 13 on 10-15-09, which would be next week, I would think that 14 TransCanada could supply that information that he requested which gives you a full 10 days. 15 16 MR. WHITE: Yes. That's fine. 17 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Seven days. I quess 18 that's just my notes what I come up with. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: 19 Sounds like a Motion Great. 20 to me as long as you're comfortable with that. 21 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Commissioner Hanson, 23 any questions or comments? 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. COMMISSIONER HANSON:

I need to go back to some basics here for

25

Yes.

myself. Forgive me for doing this, but I'm trying to sort out a number of challenges here, at least for me in supporting the Motion. And perhaps Mr. Smith or Ms. Semmler can answer these questions for me. Certainly I don't mean to preclude other attorneys from having the opportunity to comment.

1.5

2.0

I'm concerned, as we all are, with the environment, but I'm also concerned with going through a considerable amount of effort here for what avail I'm not certain. I'm concerned with the purpose of the use of the materials.

Do I understand correctly that the Emergency Response Plan is under the complete jurisdiction of PHMSA? Do I understand that correctly?

MS. SEMMLER: That is staff's position, that PHMSA has complete approval authority. With that being said, items contained in that Emergency Response Plan, for example, environmental issues as they relate to our state, may be under the jurisdiction of this Commission or DENR or other state agency.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: It might.

MS. SEMMLER: I think that's arguable. I don't know if the case law is completely explicit. And I know Mr. Smith's done a lot of research on this lately, but I don't think the case law is certain.

1 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I understand that the Applicant is -- well, let me ask the question. Is the 3 Applicant required to consult with DENR? MS. SEMMLER: Yes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And are they required to include DENR's comments in their Application? MS. SEMMLER: I think there's where the challenge comes in. If the Applicant -- PHMSA's not required to accept DENR's recommendation. There's the 10 challenge. 11 COMMISSIONER HANSON: They're not required to, but is the Applicant required to include them? 13 know? 14 MS. SEMMLER: They are on the state level, but 1.5 when it goes to PHMSA for ultimate approval, PHMSA may 16 not include those items. And I don't believe there's anything DENR could do about that. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Is DENR going to hold a 19 hearing process where these folks will be able to request 20 information to -- from the Applicant? 21 MS. SEMMLER: Although the statute doesn't 22 require a hearing process, it does require approval. And

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

17

18

23 when I look at 1-26-2, I think it is, that statute 24 requires that any public agency making a decision make 25 its papers and its decision process publicly available. So although that oil spill response statute doesn't require a hearing, I think other statutes as Mr. Smith alluded to earlier, may require that public agencies' process be made public.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: On the federal level -- on PHMSA's level, are there Interveners? Are there -- do they have a process by which they allow Interveners?

MS. SEMMLER: Nothing formal, no. However, as a governmental agency, I know they accept comments at any time. There is not a formal process set up such as we have here at the Commission, however.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: With the -- does the PUC have any authority or does the State of South Dakota have any authority in the Application process other than through the DENR?

MS. SEMMLER: I believe that the PUC as it did in the first pipeline case could based on the evidence make suggested inclusions. And in that case the Applicant included those. It went to PHMSA and was approved. I believe that is the interaction we can have.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: That might be the straw or the string then that we can hold onto. I'm trying -- I'm trying to figure out why we would go through this process in the PUC if it's going to be replicated, duplicated, by the DENR and why we would go through all the different

machinations here if we don't have the authority to -- to provide any of that information.

It just seems like a whole lot of work when another state organization that is assigned to this responsibility is to be taking care of it.

Am I right, wrong, or indifferent on that? Do you have an opinion on that?

MS. SEMMLER: I think you're right, that the distinction I can make is that the DENR process happens after your process. So as we examine this from the permit perspective, we could make some suggestions related to the permitting process before DENR sees it. That's the only distinction I can make.

Otherwise, I agree with the statement you made. There is a different state agency assigned with looking out for emergency response as it pertains to oil spills.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: On a different subject, account operation of the pipeline -- as I understand it, the operation of the pipeline cannot take place without the ERP plan in place; is that correct?

MS. SEMMLER: Correct.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: My struggle, Mr. Chairman, with the Motion and with this is that we can require information of this nature. We just don't have the staff to assess it, to analyze it, to determine left, right, or

in between of what should be or should not be included in an ERP. We would have to hire people to do this. And the state agency that has the personnel and the experience in putting this together is the DENR.

And so I really think -- I want this process to take place. I don't know if our actions help to assure that will take place. But I don't think that the DENR is going to play fast and loose with this and ignore the concerns of the citizens. I have great faith in the DENR that they will go through the proper process and include this.

So I don't know where we would come from in being able to judge all of that information, other than requiring a whole lot of information to be provided that probably is just replicating a process that's already in place.

That's my concern. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Certainly solid points. Any other questions or comments?

MR. BLACKBURN: Mr. Blackburn. I wanted to point out that even though 34A-18-2 requires -- it says no oil spill or response plan is effective until approved by the Department, as we all agree, the Emergency Response Plan is a matter of federal law. So the Department could not approve this or disapprove this

without being granted by federal law. So the statute, unfortunately, is constitutionally defective in that regard.

2.2

And I hear the comment here that the question is when is the appropriate time and what is the appropriate form for the State to consider the contents of this plan? The question here I think becomes a matter of timing and with the DENR the plan has to be filed with them but because federal law doesn't require a plan until later on, it's not exactly clear how the DENR -- when TransCanada's going to give them -- are they going to give them a draft or give them the final federal plan? Because TransCanada provides only the final federal plan. The DENR's process if it has one, would be late. And it's not clear that there would be any effectiveness to it at all.

So it's not a question of whether DENR is capable or not. It's a question of whether they will, in fact, do this and when they will do it.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks. Other comments on the pending Motion?

With regards to the ERP, I agree completely with Commissioner Hanson, and I disagree with Mr. Blackburn.

I mean, the ERP I think is clearly not our business directly. I am going to support the Kolbeck Motion,

though, because although the ERP I think is other people's business, there I think could be some information that flows into the ERP that may help the Commission determine questions like would this project interfere with the orderly economic development of an area, which is part of our statutory -- or the statutory burden of proof.

2.0

I think Mr. Smith and Ms. Semmler's points are well taken. It is highly likely that information of value has already been provided to Dakota Rural Action. Mr. Blackburn said he wouldn't know because he hasn't gone through it all.

So this may not give Dakota Rural Action anything valuable. But I just don't think we can wait around for Dakota Rural Action to go through everything and then raise an issue that they -- you know, the Commission denied them access to something that would answer one of the fundamental questions facing the Commission.

So that's where I'm at. Other comments?

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Because I don't wish to make comment while we're voting, I'll just state that I have no argument with the Motion. I have no argument with the discussion, and your position makes sense from that standpoint.

1 I just simply think that it's a replication of a 2 responsibility that is in another portion of State 3 Government, and I have a great deal of faith that they 4 will conduct themselves -- I appreciate your saying that 5 you -- you agree with that point as well, that you have 6 faith in the DENR by your statement. 7 And so I will be -- I will not be supporting the Motion for that reason. 8 9 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Other comments? 10 All right. With that let's proceed to vote. 11 Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: 12 No. 13 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Kolbeck. 14 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Aye. 15 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Johnson votes aye. 16 carries 2-1. 17 All right. I think we've taken care of that 18 question. With that, we'll proceed to what I think is the 19 20 final item before the Commission today that's on our 21 agenda. It also deals with the Keystone XL Pipeline, and 22 it deals specifically with concerns staff has raised by 23 the procedural schedule.

Ms. Semmler, comments.

MS. SEMMLER: Staff stands by it's written

24

25

comments. I don't know where the other parties stand on the issue so I'm anxious to hear theirs. We hope we can handle this hearing here the first week of November.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Applicant.

MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Commissioner. The Applicant is busily -- very busily preparing for hearings to start on November 2, and we have people coming from essentially again all over North America to be here in Pierre for those hearings.

I've got two trips out of state scheduled in the next couple of weeks to keep moving on that schedule that you set. We're proceeding like we're going to have a hearing on November 2 and expect that will be the case.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Dakota Rural Action.

MR. BLACKBURN: Contrary to what others may have said or believe, I think that a November hearing is going to work as well too. I've never anticipated that the Commission would delay the proceeding. Rather, I said that -- the last time I just wanted to clarify -- pointed out the Federal Government -- National Environmental Policies Act process has been delayed.

I wasn't sure how that was going to affect or if it would affect the Commission's process. But that's not in my control, obviously. So I believe that the hearing will happen at the time that it can, and we can provide

our testimony in a fashion that will allow it to happen.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: You know, one concern that I'll raise, Mr. Blackburn, I mean, this Commission has in all of its big cases at least of the last five years expressed a strong preference for prefiled testimony to allow Commissioners to carefully consider details before --

As you know, this is very complex and complicated stuff. And I'm just talking for myself. But sometimes I get nervous that when I hear something -- somebody's on the stand. They make some very good points. A question may not occur to me until a day or two later at which point that person may have flown away.

And so prefiled testimony at least helps me categorize my thoughts, helps me thoughtfully consider what issues need to be further fleshed out during the live testimony.

Dakota Rural Action will not be providing prefiled testimony. Is that my understanding?

MR. BLACKBURN: No. I didn't say that at all.

I plan to file prefiled -- I expected that today you would set a schedule for when that would be due.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. And do you have any thoughts on that?

MR. BLACKBURN: Well, considering that we're not

going to get everything until I believe the commitment from the prior Motion was the 15th or was it the 14th, I believe that we could have prefiled testimony done by --well, I'd prefer the 22nd, but if it needs to be before then, I could probably work with that too. I could work with the 20th if you prefer that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Ms. Semmler, remind us, I don't have the schedule in front of me.

Ms. Semmler, why don't you remind us what the schedule says right now and in what ways we will likely need to deviate from it.

MS. SEMMLER: Okay. I think I've pulled up the docket here. Originally Intervener prefiled testimony was due on September 8. So that date needs to change. Its extension was given to that date, however, until the 22nd of September. So, excuse me, it would be the September 22nd date that would need to change.

And Applicant is to file rebuttal testimony on October 19. And whether or not they'd still like to do that on paper or do it at the hearing I guess I would leave that to Mr. Koenecke.

MR. KOENECKE: We would definitely request time to file rebuttal testimony after the Intervener files its written testimony.

I suspect -- let's see. The 19th is a what?

Thursday?

MR. BLACKBURN: It's a Monday.

MR. KOENECKE: It's a Monday? I guess I'm interested in knowing how much testimony the Intervener expects to file. That will help me with an answer. I don't know how many witnesses they have. My recollection is that they've refused to disclose that so far.

MR. BLACKBURN: If I may, we haven't refused to disclose that. Rather I don't believe that we will have any witnesses. We weren't sure -- because we weren't sure what kind of material was going to be provided by TransCanada, what we would be able to provide ourselves.

We don't have an unlimited budget for experts -we don't have any budget for experts. So at this point
we don't expect we will do that.

I also appreciate the Commission -- I appreciate the Commission's clarification about which things it would consider testimony on relating to its jurisdiction. Because that also will significantly reduce the amount of -- has reduced the amount of testimony we will provide. And so the question will become --

I don't believe it will be hugely voluminous.

And I think it will become very important for the

Commission to provide that -- see that information.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. So how long,

Mr. Koenecke, will you all need to prepare your rebuttal?

MR. KQENECKE: I would like to ask Jim White to chime in because he understands the schedules of our witnesses better than I do, has an overall grasp of what's going on in the other states.

So, Jim, can you provide a response to that?

MR. WHITE: Yes. I think what I would say is we can certainly -- I mean, we're willing to wait in preparing testimony in response to the staff witnesses.

And we could stand by the schedule for filing that testimony on the 19th.

I would like to reserve adequate time to review and respond to any prefiled testimony that DRA submits, and I think that would be at least a week from the date that they file their testimony. So if they were to file on the 20th, we would certainly -- certainly want to file by no earlier than the 27th of October, which leaves the Commission about four or five days to review that testimony before the hearing starts.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. So we've got the 15th as the last possible date that additional information that feeds into an ERP could be provided. The 20th is a Wednesday, which I'll propose as the deadline for the filing of Intervener prefiled testimony.

MR. BLACKBURN: Clarification. The 20th is a

Tuesday. 1 2 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Oh. Good. Okay. And then 3 we can fiddle with this a little bit, but just for 4 argument's sake, see where the problems are. 5 And then again one week -- one week to the 6 Applicant for rebuttal testimony, although the 19th will 7 remain their deadline for rebuttal. Staff witnesses, the 8 27th. So those are the two dates, the 20th and the 27th. 9 How does that make sense? How does that sound? 10 MR. KOENECKE: That's fine for the Applicant. 11 Thank you, Commissioner. 12 MR. BLACKBURN: That's fine for the Interveners 13 too. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Staff. 15 MS. SEMMLER: Sounds good. 16 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Comments, questions from the 17 Commissioners? Advisors? 18 COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I just want to clarify, 19 the 15th is the latest that the information can be to 20 Dakota Rural Action; correct? 21 And then the 20th is the latest that Dakota 22 Rural Action can have their prefiled in? 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yeah. Although I think from 24 a practical standpoint the information, if DRA requests 25 any information, will be in their hands sooner.

Mr. Blackburn sort of indicated he didn't think he'd need two and a half days. And the Applicant said they had the information available and as long as it isn't voluminous could probably provide it within a day or two.

So it may be that by the 10th Mr. Blackburn could have the additional information he's requested.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. But then the latest that TransCanada has to reply is the 27th; correct?

So we should have our complete file to start our research on the 27th; correct? And then the hearing starts on the 2nd?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Keeping in mind that we'll have the Applicant's rebuttal on what may be the bulk of the witnesses' testimony by the 19th and that, you know, we'll have the first round of testimony in prior to that for everyone except DRA.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Sure. Okay. That sounds good to me.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Other comments?

Hearing none, I'll move the dates that were thrown out, revising the schedule to require Dakota Rural Action to file any prefiled testimony by the 20th and extend the Applicant's deadline to the 27th for any

```
rebuttal testimony regarding Dakota Rural Action's
 1
 2
     filings.
 3
              Any discussion on the pending Motion?
 4
              Hearing none, we'll proceed to vote.
 5
               Hanson.
 6
               COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                      Aye.
 7
               CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Kolbeck.
 8
               COMMISSIONER KOLBECK:
                                       Aye.
              CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Johnson votes aye. Motion
 9
10
     carries 3-0.
11
              (The hearing is concluded at 10:35 a.m.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA )
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY )
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 6th day of
11	October, 2009, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 26th day of
14	October, 2009.
15	
16	
17	$\mathcal{C}_{0}$ , $\mathcal{M}_{1}$
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
19	Notary Public and Reporter
20	Certified Realtime Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	8	Ailts [1] - 1:13 allow [3] - 23:7, 30:1,		
<b>1-26</b> <sub>[1]</sub> - 11:5	8[1] - 31:14	30:6		
1-26-2 [1] - 22:23	8th [1] - 20:9	alluded [1] - 23:3		
10 [2] - 4:4, 20:15	<b>Carrell - 20.5</b>	America [1] - 29:8		
<b>10-15-09</b> [1] - 20:13	9	amount [5] - 12:18,		
<b>10-8-09</b> [1] - 19:21	<b>3</b>	16:4, 21:9, 32:19,		
		32:20		
<b>10:35</b> [1] - 36:11 <b>10th</b> [1] - 35:6	9:30[1] - 2:10	amounting [1] - 6:23		
* *		analysis [3] - 6:25,		
<b>147</b> [3] - 6:24, 10:4,	Α	9:16, 16:18		
10:5	***************************************	analyze [1] - 24:25		
14th [1] - 31:2		AND [1] - 1:5		
15th [3] - 31:2, 33:20,	a.m [2] - 2:10, 36:11	Anissa [1] - 1:18		
34:19	able [7] - 8:9, 8:18,	answer [4] - 4:19,		
<b>19</b> [1] - 31:19	18:14, 19:22, 22:19,	21:4, 27:18, 32:5		
<b>19th</b> [4] - 31:25, 33:11,	25:13, 32:12	answers [1] - 7:7		
34:6, 35:16	above-entitled [2] -	anticipated [2] - 14:7,		
	2:7, 37:10	29:17		
2	accept [2] - 22:9, 23:9	anxious [1] - 29:2		
	access [1] - 27:17	anyway [1] - 11:16		
2 m 20.7 20.42	account [1] - 24:18	apologies [2] - 6:11,		
<b>2</b> [2] - 29:7, 29:13	Act [2] - 5:7, 29:21	6:14		
<b>2-1</b> [1] - 28:16	ACT [1] - 1:6	apologize [1] - 11:24		
<b>2009</b> [4] - 1:8, 2:9,	action [1] - 11:13	APPEARANCES [2] -		
37:11, 37:14	Action [18] - 3:21,	1:20, 2:1		
<b>20th</b> [7] - 31:6, 33:16,	3:23, 4:8, 4:9, 7:5,	appearing [1] - 1:21		
33:22, 33:25, 34:8,	7:14, 8:4, 9:5, 10:4,	Applicant [24] - 1:21,		
34:21, 35:24	14:1, 27:10, 27:13,	3:19, 7:4, 7:16, 10:6,		
<b>22nd</b> [3] - 31:4, 31:16,	27:15, 29:14, 30:18,	11:15, 11:16, 12:12,		
31:17	34:20, 34:22, 35:24	12:25, 14:4, 14:11,		
<b>24</b> [1] - 3:13	Action's [1] - 36:1	15:1, 22:2, 22:3,		
26th [1] - 37:13	actions [1] - 25:6	22:8, 22:12, 22:20,		
<b>27th</b> [6] - 33:17, 34:8,	add [1] - 9:15	23:19, 29:4, 29:6,		
35:9, 35:12, 35:25	Additional [1] - 6:6	31:18, 34:6, 34:10,		
2nd [1] - 35:13	additional [3] - 9:24,	35:2		
	33:21, 35:7	Applicant's [2] -		
3	address [2] - 10:10,	35:15, 35:25		
	11:25	APPLICATION [1] -		
2.0 26:40		1:4		
3-0[1] - 36:10	adequate [2] - 5:8,	Application [2] - 22:6,		
<b>34A-18-2</b> [1] - 25:21	33:12	23:14		
	admissible [2] - 6:22,	apply [2] - 11:9, 11:13		
4	7:21	appointed [1] - 37:8		
	Advisors [1] - 34:17			
<b>49</b> [1] - 8:22	advisors [1] - 5:25	appreciate [8] - 6:20,		
10(1) 0.22	affect [2] - 29:22,	9:14, 18:1, 19:4,		
5	29:23	19:7, 28:4, 32:16		
	agencies' [1] - 23:4	appropriate [2] - 26:5		
	agency [5] - 21:20,	appropriately [1] -		
<b>500</b> [1] - 2:8	22:24, 23:9, 24:15,	3:16		
	25:3	approval [4] - 6:17,		
6	agenda [2] - 9:11,	21:16, 22:15, 22:22		
<u> </u>	28:21	approve [1] - 25:25		
	ago [1] - 4:6	approved [3] - 13:4,		
<b>6</b> [2] - 1:8, 19:17	agree [5] - 17:11,	23:20, 25:22		
6th [2] - 2:9, 37:10	24:14, 25:23, 26:22,	area [1] - 27:6		
	28:5	areas [1] - 18:3		
	agreed [1] - 19:13	arguable [1] - 21:22		
	ahead [2] - 3:18, 6:14	argument [2] - 27:23		
	ahold (1) - 6:12	argument's [1] - 34:4		

ahold [1] - 6:12

arguments [1] - 9:10 assess [1] - 24:25 assessment [8] -15:25, 16:3, 16:16, 16:17, 16:19, 17:14, 17:15, 18:2 assigned [2] - 24:4, 24:15 assume [5] - 11:8, 13:7, 14:22, 19:16, 19:20 assure [1] - 25:6 attached [1] - 37:11 attorneys [1] - 21:5 authority [5] - 6:18, 21:16, 23:13, 23:14, 24:1 avail [1] - 21:9 available [12] - 3:12, 8:11, 13:25, 14:11, 15:9, 16:6, 16:11, 16:22, 16:24, 17:10, 22:25, 35:3 Avenue [1] - 2:8 aware [3] - 10:8, 13:2, 13:4 aye [2] - 28:15, 36:9 Aye [3] - 28:14, 36:6, 36:8

В

Baker[1] - 1:15

balance [1] - 10:14

based [1] - 23:17

basics [1] - 20:25

32:23

become [2] - 32:21,

becomes [1] - 26:7

**BEFORE** [1] - 1:10

better [2] - 3:7, 33:4

between [3] - 5:3.

Bill [2] - 2:3, 4:15

12:10, 34:3

bit [5] - 3:4, 3:7, 8:3,

BLACKBURN [16] -

9:13, 10:19, 11:6,

19:4, 20:1, 25:20,

32:2, 32:8, 33:25,

Blackburn [22] - 2:2,

11:2, 11:23, 12:1,

6:12, 8:25, 9:8, 10:2,

13:12, 13:16, 14:15,

34:12

argument's [1] - 34:4

12:9, 14:21, 17:13,

29:15, 30:20, 30:25,

5:10, 25:1

big [1] - 30:4

behalf[1] - 1:21

14:25, 15:18, 17:13, 1 19:21, 19:25, 25:20, 26:23, 27:11, 30:3, 35:1, 35:6 Blackburn's [2] -11:25, 19:17 board [1] - 4:22 Bob [1] - 1:16 Brett [1] - 1:21 bridge [1] - 13:20 broad [1] - 12:6 brought [1] - 4:22 budget [2] - 32:13, 32:14 Building [1] - 2:8 bulk [1] - 35:15 burden [2] - 10:14, 27:7 busily [2] - 29:6 business [6] - 19:16, 19:21, 20:9, 20:12, 26:24, 27:2 busy [1] - 4:20 butting [1] - 15:17 BY [1] - 1:4

C

Cait [1] - 12:11 cannot [4] - 10:19, 10:24, 19:8, 24:19 capable [1] - 26:18 Capitol [2] - 2:7, 2:8 care [2] - 24:5, 28:17 carefully [1] - 30:6 carries [2] - 28:16, 36:10 case [8] - 5:5, 10:15, 20:11, 21:23, 21:25, 23:17, 23:18, 29:13 cases [1] - 30:4 categorize [1] - 30:15 caveat [1] - 16:13 central [1] - 3:17 certain [2] - 21:10, 21:25 certainly [5] - 8:22, 13:14, 33:8, 33:16 Certainly [2] - 21:4, 25:18 CERTIFICATE [1] -37:2 Certified [2] - 37:6, 37:19 **CERTIFY** [1] - 37:8 CFR[1] - 8:22 Chairman [7] - 4:12, 4:15, 6:8, 13:7, 20:24, 24:22, 25:17

CHAIRMAN [46] -1:11, 1:11, 3:1, 4:9, 4:17, 4:23, 6:5, 6:10, 7:12, 8:15, 8:25, 9:4, 9:9, 10:2, 11:1, 11:22, 12:1, 12:24, 13:22, 14:3, 14:24, 15:11, 17:12, 19:10, 20:19, 20:22, 25:18, 26:20, 28:9, 28:13, 28:15, 29:4, 29:14, 30:2, 30:23, 31:7, 32:25, 33:20, 34:2, 34:14, 34:16, 34:23, 35:14, 35:21, 36:7, 36:9 challenge [2] - 22:8, 22:10 challenges [1] - 21:2 chance [2] - 9:16, 10:20 change [2] - 31:14, 31:17 changed [1] - 6:16 characterization [1] -16:12 characterize [1] -18:15 checking [1] - 7:13 CHERI[1] - 37:5 Cheri [2] - 1:24, 37:18 chime [1] - 33:3 Circuit [1] - 5:6 circulated [1] - 13:17 citizen [1] - 11:5 citizens [2] - 14:13, 25:9 City [1] - 5:5 clarification [1] -32:17 Clarification [1] -33:25 clarify [2] - 29:19, 34:18 clarity [1] - 19:7 clear [3] - 4:13, 26:10, 26:15 clearly [1] - 26:24 close [4] - 19:16. 19:21, 20:9, 20:12 closest [1] - 3:9 co [2] - 1:21, 12:11 co-counsel [2] - 1:21, 12:11 colleagues [1] - 15:13 Collier[1] - 12:11 combination [1] - 6:24 comfortable [4] -3:11, 6:11, 7:1, 20:20

coming [2] - 5:6, 29:7 commencing [1] - 2:9 comment [3] - 21:6, 26:4, 27:22 Comments [2] - 3:23, 34:16 comments [19] - 3:5, 4:25, 6:6, 6:7, 9:12, 9:13, 15:14, 17:12, 19:13, 20:23, 22:6, 23:9, 25:19, 26:20, 27:20, 28:9, 28:24, 29:1, 35:21 COMMISSION [3] -1:1, 1:10, 1:13 Commission [18] -3:2, 5:24, 5:25, 7:11, 13:24, 19:7, 21:19, 23:11, 27:4, 27:17, 27:19, 28:20, 29:18, 30:3, 32:16, 32:24, 33:18 Commission's [4] -12:22, 13:8, 29:23, 32:17 Commissioner [10] -4:2, 5:1, 8:3, 13:3, 15:17, 19:11, 20:22, 26:23, 29:5, 34:11 **COMMISSIONER** [25] - 1:12, 19:12, 19:20, 20:8, 20:17, 20:21, 20:24, 21:21, 22:1, 22:5, 22:11, 22:18, 23:5, 23:12, 23:21, 24:17, 24:22, 27:21, 28:12, 28:14, 34:18, 35:8, 35:19, 36:6, 36:8 Commissioners [3] -10:8, 30:6, 34:17 commitment [2] -13:18, 31:1 compel [1] - 3:21 compelled [1] - 7:11 compile [1] - 5:12 complete [3] - 21:13, 21:16, 35:11 completed [1] - 13:1 completely [2] -21:23, 26:22 complex [1] - 30:8 complicated [1] - 30:9 component [2] -17:15, 19:6 compounded [1] -10:6 concern [2] - 25:17,

30:2

concerned [3] - 21:7,

21:8, 21:10 concerns [2] - 25:9. 28:22 concluded [1] - 36:11 concur[1] - 8:16 conduct [1] - 28:4 confidential [2] -12:16, 16:2 consequence (3) -16:17, 16:18, 16:20 consider [4] - 26:6, 30:6, 30:15, 32:18 considerable [1] -21:9 considered [2] - 3:8, 8:18 considering [1] -30:25 consistency [1] -14:12 constitutionally [1] -26:2 CONSTRUCT [1] - 1:6 construction [1] - 7:3 consult [2] - 11:15, 22:3 contained [1] - 21:17 contents [1] - 26:6 Contrary [1] - 29:15 control [1] - 29:24 CONVERSION [1] -1:5 coordinated [1] -17:21 copy [3] - 7:6, 11:17 correct [8] - 14:2, 17:3, 17:4, 24:20, 34:20, 35:10, 35:12, 37:12 Correct [1] - 24:21 correctly [2] - 21:12, 21:14 counsel [2] - 1:21, 12:11 counsel's [1] - 9:10 counted [1] - 5:15 COUNTY [1] - 37:3 couple [1] - 29:11 course [1] - 10:7 crafting [1] - 19:6 Cremer [1] - 1:14 CRR[1] - 1:24 cue [1] - 3:4 curiosity [1] - 4:17 D

DAKOTA [3] - 1:2, 1:5,

37:1

14:1, 23:13, 27:10, 27:13, 27:15, 29:14, 30:18, 34:20, 34:21, 35:23, 36:1, 37:7, 37:13 Dakota-specific [1] -8:1 data [11] - 6:23, 6:25, 7:6, 15:19, 15:22, 16:4, 16:10, 17:6, 17:10, 17:14, 18:16 date [7] - 13:8, 15:7, 31:14, 31:15, 31:17, 33:14, 33:21 Dated [1] - 37:13 dates [2] - 34:8, 35:22 Dave [1] - 1:17 days [4] - 20:15, 20:17, 33:18, 35:2 deadline [4] - 13:3, 33:23, 34:7, 35:25 deal [1] - 28:3 deals [2] - 28:21, 28:22 Deb [1] - 1:18 decision [8] - 3:3, 11:7, 11:11, 11:18, 12:22, 13:8, 22:24, 22:25 decision-making [2] -11:11, 11:18 defective [1] - 26:2 definitely [1] - 31:22 definition [1] - 13:15 delay [1] - 29:18 delayed [1] - 29:21 deliver[1] - 14:20 denied [2] - 3:10, 27:17 DENR [20] - 11:4, 11:8, 11:11, 11:15, 11:18, 21:20, 22:3, 22:17, 22:18, 23:15, 23:25, 24:9, 24:12, 25:4, 25:7, 25:9, 26:8, 26:10, 26:17, 28:6 DENR's [4] - 9:18, 22:6, 22:9, 26:14 Department [2] -25:23, 25:25 describe [2] - 11:20, 18:11 details [1] - 30:6 determine [2] - 24:25, 27:4

Dakota [27] - 2:7, 2:8,

3:20, 3:23, 4:8, 4:9,

5:22, 6:2, 7:5, 7:13,

8:1, 8:4, 9:5, 10:4,

developing [1] - 12:12 2 development [2] -13:11, 27:5 deviate [1] - 31:11 different [4] - 17:23, 23:25, 24:15, 24:17 difficulty [1] - 20:4 directed [1] - 16:8 direction [1] - 5:24 directly [2] - 8:12, 26:25 disagree [1] - 26:23 disapprove [1] - 25:25 disclose [2] - 32:7, 32:9 disclosed [1] - 12:16 discoverable [1] -6:22 discovery [6] - 3:3, 3:7, 3:22, 10:16. 14:6, 15:24 discrete [1] - 12:17 discussed [3] - 6:1, 9:17, 15:19 Discussion [1] - 9:7 discussion [2] -27:24, 36:3 discussions [2] - 5:2. 5:23 distinction [4] - 5:3, 5:10, 24:9, 24:13 DO [1] - 37:8 docket[1] - 31:13 Docket [2] - 16:20, 16:22 document [3] - 6:25. 8:18, 12:18 documents [14] -3:16, 5:16, 5:18, 5:20, 7:20, 12:6, 12:14, 12:15, 13:13, 13:18, 13:25, 15:5, 15:9, 19:1 done [5] - 12:2, 16:18, 19:3, 21:24, 31:3 DRA [6] - 8:23, 13:11, 16:24, 33:13, 34:24, 35:18 draft [2] - 12:25, 26:12 drafting [2] - 12:20, 12:21 drive [1] - 7:22 due [2] - 30:22, 31:14 duly [1] - 37:8 duly-appointed [1] duplicated [1] - 23:24 during [2] - 7:21, 30:16 **DUSTIN** [1] - 1:11

E e-mail [5] - 11:23, 11:25, 19:18, 20:2, 20:7 e-mailed [1] - 20:2 East [1] - 2:8 economic [1] - 27:5 effective [1] - 25:22 effectiveness [1] -26:15 effort [1] - 21:9 elements [1] - 17:6 elevation [1] - 17:9 Emergency [9] - 6:18, 6:21, 7:9, 9:23, 11:17, 12:13, 21:12, 21:17, 25:23 emergency [8] - 5:13, 7:2, 9:18, 10:1, 17:16, 17:17, 18:19, 24:16 **ENERGY** [1] - 1:5 entirely [1] - 17:23 entitled [2] - 2:7, 37:10 environment [2] - 5:4, 21:8 Environmental [1] -29:20 environmental [9] -6:20, 7:3, 10:7, 10:11, 15:23, 16:17, 16:18, 16:19, 21:18 EPA [2] - 11:12, 11:19 equipment [1] - 17:18 ERP [22] - 3:12, 8:11, 8:17, 8:20, 12:2, 13:1, 13:7, 13:12, 13:15, 14:18, 15:22, 16:11, 16:14, 16:22, 24:20, 25:2, 26:22, 26:24, 27:1, 27:3, 33.22 essentially [1] - 29:8 estimated [1] - 12:25 eventually [1] - 16:10 evidence [2] - 7:21, 23:17 exactly [2] - 19:23, 26:10 examine [1] - 24:10 example [3] - 17:18, 18:5, 21:18 except [1] - 35:18 exception [1] - 16:25 excuse [1] - 31:16 exists [1] - 16:14

expand [1] - 7:11

expect [2] - 29:13, 32:15 expected [1] - 30:21 expects [1] - 32:5 expedition [1] - 3:14 experience [1] - 25:4 experts [2] - 32:13, 32:14 explain [1] - 10:9 explicit [2] - 6:17, 21:23 expressed [1] - 30:5 extend [1] - 35:25 extension [1] - 31:15 extensive [1] - 5:21

#### F

facilities [1] - 5:10

**FACILITY** [1] - 1:5 facing [1] - 27:18 fact [6] - 6:17, 6:22, 10:3, 18:4, 20:1, 26:19 fair [1] - 16:11 fairly [2] - 12:17, 20:7 faith [3] - 25:9, 28:3, 28:6 fall [1] - 13:15 far [1] - 32:7 fashion[1] - 30:1 fast [1] - 25:8 federal [8] - 6:15, 11:16, 23:5, 25:24, 26:1, 26:9, 26:12, 26:13 Federal [1] - 29:20 feeds [1] - 33:22 felt [1] - 3:10 few [2] - 4:5, 15:8 fiddle [1] - 34:3 figure [1] - 23:23 file [11] - 11:16, 11:17, 30:21, 31:18, 31:23, 32:5, 33:15, 33:16, 35:11, 35:24 filed [1] - 26:8 files [1] - 31:23 filing [4] - 5:3, 9:22, 33:10, 33:24 filings [1] - 36:2 final [3] - 26:12, 26:13, 28:20 fine [5] - 19:2, 20:1, 20:16, 34:10, 34:12 fine-tuning [1] - 19:2 fire [1] - 18:5 first[7] - 3:19, 17:8, 17:20, 18:3, 23:17,

29:3, 35:17 fishing [1] - 3:13 five [2] - 30:4, 33:18 fleshed [1] - 30:16 flown [1] - 30:13 flows [1] - 27:3 folks [1] - 22:19 FOR [1] - 1:4 Forgive [1] - 21:1 form [1] - 26:6 formal [3] - 11:7, 23:8, 23:10 formula [1] - 15:21 four [2] - 6:23, 33:18 frame [1] - 14:18 frames [1] - 14:5 front[2] - 12:5, 31:8 fruition [1] - 18:22 full [1] - 20:15 function [1] - 17:7 fundamental [1] -27:18

#### G

gap [1] - 13:20 gaps [1] - 10:12 **GARY** [1] - 1:12 general [1] - 11:5 gentlemen [1] - 4:18 given [2] - 15:5, 31:15 glad [2] - 5:14, 6:3 Government [2] -28:3, 29:20 governmental [2] -9:24, 23:9 Grambihler [3] - 1:18, 4:5, 7:13 GRAMBIHLER [1] -9:2 granted [1] - 26:1 grasp [1] - 33:4 Great [2] - 6:13, 20:19 great [3] - 20:10, 25:9, 28:3 Greg [1] - 1:16 Gregg [1] - 1:18 guess [12] - 5:4, 8:9, 13:10, 15:4, 15:17, 15:21, 16:7, 16:9, 19:12, 20:17, 31:20, 32:3 Guide [1] - 8:5 guidelines [6] - 8:10, 8:17, 8:21, 8:23, 13:14, 18:25

### Н

13:23, 14:16, 14:21,

half [7] - 5:15, 7:19,

hand [3] - 5:14, 6:3,

handle [1] - 29:3

hands [1] - 34:25

HANSON [15] - 1:12,

20:24, 21:21, 22:1,

22:5, 22:11, 22:18,

19:22, 35:2

6:6

23:5, 23:12, 23:21, 24:17, 24:22, 27:21, 28:12, 36:6 Hanson [4] - 20:22, 26:23, 28:11, 36:5 HCA [1] - 16:25 hear [5] - 3:18, 4:25, 26:4, 29:2, 30:10 Hearing [2] - 35:22, 36:4 hearing [16] - 3:14, 3:24, 7:21, 9:8, 9:17, 22:19, 22:22, 23:2, 29:3, 29:13, 29:16, 29:24, 31:20, 33:19, 35:12, 36:11 hearings [2] - 29:6, 29:9 held [1] - 2:6 help [3] - 25:6, 27:3, 32:5 helpful [4] - 7:24, 8:24, 12:10, 13:10 helps [4] - 14:13, 14:14, 30:14, 30:15 HEREBY [1] - 37:8 highly [1] - 27:9 hire [1] - 25:2 hogging [1] - 15:12 hold [2] - 22:18, 23:22 hope [1] - 29:2 HP09-001 [1] - 1:5 huge [1] - 12:18 hugely [1] - 32:22 hundreds [1] - 10:23 hydrologic [1] - 17:9 hydrology [1] - 16:5

ı

Ice [1] - 8:5 idea [2] - 12:3, 15:12 identifies [1] - 13:18 ignore [1] - 25:8 important [3] - 3:16, 19:6, 32:23

IN [1] - 1:4 include [4] - 22:6, 22:12, 22:16, 25:10 included [2] - 23:19, 25:1 inclusions [1] - 23:18 indicated [1] - 35:1 indicating [1] - 4:4 indifferent [1] - 24:6 information [32] -3:11, 5:19, 6:21, 7:8, 7:23, 8:1, 10:9, 10:14, 15:2, 17:1, 17:9, 17:22, 17:24, 18:2, 18:12, 18:18, 20:14, 22:20, 24:2, 24:24, 25:13, 25:14, 27:3, 27:9, 32:24, 33:21, 34:19, 34:24, 34:25, 35:3, 35:7 inland [1] - 8:7 input[1] - 16:4 inputs [5] - 14:17, 15:21, 15:22, 16:10, 16:16 instructed [1] - 4:6 intend [1] - 4:11 intends [1] - 7:4 interaction [1] - 23:20 interest [2] - 10:13, 14:10 interested [5] - 3:13, 3:14, 13:13, 18:6, interesting [1] - 10:3 interfere [1] - 27:5 Interrogatories [2] -5:18, 6:24 interrupt [1] - 6:10 Intervener [7] - 5:17, 7:24, 14:10, 31:13, 31:23, 32:4, 33:24 Interveners [3] - 23:6, 23:7, 34:12 issue [3] - 11:3, 27:16, 29:2 issued [1] - 17:2 issues [5] - 10:7, 10:11, 19:5, 21:18, 30:16 item [1] - 28:20 items [2] - 21:17, 22:16

3

## J

itself [1] - 17:16

Jacobson [1] - 1:17 James [2] - 2:3, 4:16

Jim [5] - 2:2, 4:3, 4:12, 33:2, 33:6 John [2] - 1:14, 11:2 Johnson [2] - 28:15, 36.9 JOHNSON [45] - 1:11, 3:1, 4:9, 4:17, 4:23, 6:5, 6:10, 7:12, 8:15, 8:25, 9:4, 9:9, 10:2, 11:1, 11:22, 12:1, 12:24, 13:22, 14:3, 14:24, 15:11, 17:12, 19:10, 20:19, 20:22, 25:18, 26:20, 28:9, 28:13, 28:15, 29:4, 29:14, 30:2, 30:23, 31:7, 32:25, 33:20, 34:2, 34:14, 34:16, 34:23, 35:14, 35:21, 36:7, 36:9 joined [1] - 9:1 joins [1] - 9:8 Jon [1] - 1:17 judge [1] - 25:13 jurisdiction [3] -21:13, 21:19, 32:18 jurisdictional [2] -7:10, 19:9

#### K

Kara [1] - 1:15 Karen [1] - 1:14 keep [1] - 29:11 Keeping [1] - 35:14 key [1] - 8:18 Keystone [5] - 16:15, 16:19, 17:8, 19:3, 28:21 **KEYSTONE** [2] - 1:4, 1:6 kind [5] - 14:18, 15:2, 15:12, 18:1, 32:11 kinds [1] - 16:5 Knadle [1] - 1:16 knowing [1] - 32:4 known [1] - 5:5 Koenecke [8] - 1:21, 4:1, 4:24, 6:5, 7:17, 12:24, 31:21, 33:1 KOENECKE [10] - 4:2, 5:1, 8:2, 13:2, 19:19, 29:5, 31:22, 32:3, 33:2, 34:10 KOLBECK [11] - 1:11, 19:12, 19:20, 20:8, 20:17, 20:21, 28:14, 34:18, 35:8, 35:19, 36:8

Kolbeck [5] - 15:17, 19:11, 26:25, 28:13, 36:7 KXL [2] - 16:21, 16:22

24:1

mail [5] - 11:23, 11:25,

#### L

landowners [2] - 18:2,

last [7] - 4:3, 5:14,

9:17, 17:3, 29:19,

late [2] - 5:14, 26:14

Labrie [1] - 1:15

land [1] - 18:5

30:4, 33:21

lately [1] - 21:24

18:4

latest [3] - 34:19, 34:21, 35:9 law [6] - 11:16, 21:23, 21:25, 25:24, 26:1, 26:9 lead [1] - 7:20 least (6) - 19:8, 19:9, 21:2, 30:4, 30:14, 33:14 leave [1] - 31:21 leaves [1] - 33:17 left [1] - 24:25 level [3] - 22:14, 23:5, 23:6 life [1] - 5:8 likely [2] - 27:9, 31:10 limited [1] - 10:21 line [2] - 19:14, 19:24 lines [2] - 4:10, 12:10 list [15] - 4:10, 5:12, 5:21, 7:19, 11:23, 13:16, 13:19, 13:24, 14:9, 14:17, 14:21, 19:1, 19:13, 19:18, 19:22 live [1] - 30:17 local [2] - 17:20, 18:3 locate [1] - 15:6 located [2] - 17:19 location [1] - 18:19 locational [2] - 17:1, 18:18 look [5] - 3:6, 9:15, 14:19, 18:9, 22:23 looking [5] - 7:18, 8:6, 18:17, 18:23, 24:15 loose (1) - 25:8 LP [1] - 1:4

#### М

machinations [1] -

19:18, 20:2, 20:7 mailed [1] - 20:2 main [2] - 4:6, 4:21 manuals [1] - 5:13 material [5] - 10:20, 10:24, 12:18, 16:20, materials 151 - 13:17. 16:23, 18:23, 19:1, 21.11 matter [5] - 2:7, 12:23, 25:24, 26:7, 37:10 MATTER [1] - 1:4 MCCOMSEY [1] - 37:5 McComsey [2] - 1:24, 37:18 mean [8] - 4:10, 7:25, 10:15, 18:20, 21:5, 26:24, 30:3, 33:8 measures [1] - 7:3 meet [1] - 10:17 messages [1] - 4:3 mic [1] - 15:12 might [9] - 4:2, 8:4. 8:8, 8:14, 13:20, 18:4, 19:2, 21:21, 23.21 mind [1] - 35:14 minutes [2] - 4:4, 4:6 moment [1] - 9:6 Monday [2] - 32:2, 32.3 month [1] - 14:8 Moore [2] - 2:3, 4:16 morning [2] - 13:17, 20:4 most [2] - 3:20, 16:9 Motion [12] - 3:21, 20:19, 21:3, 24:23, 26:21, 26:25, 27:23, 28:8, 28:15, 31:2, 36:3, 36:9 move [1] - 35:22 moving [1] - 29:11 MR [48] - 4:2, 4:12, 4:15, 4:19, 4:20, 5:1, 8:2, 8:14, 8:16, 9:13, 10:19, 11:2, 11:6, 11:24, 12:9, 13:2, 13:6, 14:2, 14:21, 15:4, 15:16, 16:13, 16:23, 16:25, 17:2, 17:4, 17:5, 17:11, 17:13, 18:14, 19:4, 19:19, 20:1, 20:16, 25:20, 29:5, 29:15,

30:20, 30:25, 31:22,

32:2, 32:3, 32:8,

33:2, 33:7, 33:25, 34:10, 34:12

MS [16] - 6:8, 6:15, 9:2, 21:15, 21:22, 22:4, 22:7, 22:14, 22:21, 23:8, 23:16, 24:8, 24:21, 28:25, 31:12, 34:15

#### N

narrow[1] - 3:15 narrowing [1] - 12:7 National [1] - 29:20 nature [1] - 24:24 need [10] - 10:15, 14:12, 15:3, 18:11, 20:25, 30:16, 31:10, 31:17, 33:1, 35:2 needs [3] - 10:17, 31:4, 31:14 nervous [1] - 30:10 never [2] - 8:6, 29:17 next [2] - 20:13, 29:11 night[1] - 5:14 Ninth [1] - 5:6 Nods)[1] - 19:19 non [1] - 8:1 non-South [1] - 8:1 nonconfidential [1] -15:25 none [2] - 35:22, 36:4 North [1] - 29:8 Notary [2] - 37:7, 37:18 note [1] - 9:20 noted [1] - 5:2 notes (1) - 20:18 Nothing [1] - 23:8 November [4] - 29:3, 29:7, 29:13, 29:16 number [3] - 7:19, 14:4, 21:2

#### 0

Observer's [1] - 8:5 obtain [1] - 19:17 obtained [1] - 7:8 obvious [1] - 8:5 obviously [3] - 3:5, 15:8, 29:24 occur[2] - 18:24, 30:12 October [7] - 1:8, 2:9, 19:17, 31:19, 33:17, 37:11, 37:14 OF [6] - 1:2, 1:4, 2:6,

37:1, 37:3 office [2] - 4:6, 4:21 officially [1] - 20:6 oil [3] - 23:1, 24:16, 25:22 Olympic [1] - 5:5 one [17] - 3:8, 7:16, 8:5, 13:2, 13:23, 14:4, 14:16, 14:21, 16:13, 17:24, 19:22, 26:14, 27:18, 30:2, 34:5 one-and-a-half [4] -13:23, 14:16, 14:21, 19:22 ongoing [1] - 18:21 Onida [1] - 37:13 operation [3] - 13:5, 24:18, 24:19 operational [1] - 7:2 opinion [1] - 24:7 opportunity [3] - 9:22, 11:23, 21:6 order [2] - 7:11, 17:3 Order [1] - 3:20 orderly [1] - 27:5 organization[1] - 24:4 original [3] - 12:4, 12:5, 16:18 Originally [1] - 31:13 otherwise [1] - 5:19 Otherwise [1] - 24:14 ourselves [1] - 32:12 outside [1] - 7:20 overall [1] - 33:4 own [1] - 18:6

4

#### Р

page [6] - 5:15, 7:18, 13:24, 14:17, 14:21, 19:22 pages [2] - 10:4, 10:23 paper[1] - 31:20 papers [1] - 22:25 part [3] - 3:2, 10:21, 27:6 participation [5] -9:18, 9:21, 9:24, 9:25, 11:3 particular [3] - 12:13. 12:15, 15:21 particularly [1] - 15:23 parties [4] - 3:5, 3:15, 6:1, 29:1 past[1] - 5:23 Paul [2] - 2:2, 17:13 pause [3] - 4:11, 9:5, 15:13

pending [2] - 26:21,
36:3
people [3] - 20:3,
25:2, 29:7
people's [1] - 27:2
perform [1] - 17:7 perhaps [3] - 13:16,
18:25, 21:3
Perhaps [1] - 4:11
PERMIT [1] - 1:5
permit [1] - 24:11
permitting [1] - 24:12 person [2] - 3:25,
30:13
personally [1] - 3:10
personnel [2] - 17:19,
25:3
perspective [1] -
24:11   pertain [1] - 19:13
pertains [1] - 24:16
PHMSA [12] - 6:17,
8:10, 8:17, 9:23,
13:4, 13:14, 18:25,
21:14, 21:16, 22:15,
23:19 PHMSA's [2] - 22:8,
23:6
phone [2] - 9:3, 15:19
physical [1] - 17:9
Pierre [2] - 2:8, 29:9
Pipeline [3] - 5:5, 5:7, 28:21
PIPELINE [1] - 1:4
pipeline [8] - 5:9,
6:16, 12:14, 13:5,
23:17, 24:18, 24:19
place [6] - 8:7, 24:19,
24:20, 25:6, 25:7, 25:16
plan [12] - 12:17,
14:14, 18:13, 24:20,
25:22, 26:6, 26:8,
26:9, 26:12, 26:13, 30:21
30:21 Plan [9] - 6:18, 6:21,
7:9, 9:23, 11:17,
12:13 21:13 21:17
25:24 planning [6] - 9:18.
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
10:1, 12:15, 13:13,
17:16, 17:17 play [1] - 25:8
point [9] - 6:4, 7:25,
8:12, 17:14, 19:15,
25:21, 28:5, 30:13,
32:14
point's [1] - 7:22
pointed [1] - 29:19
points [4] - 10:3,

25:18, 27:8, 30:12 Policies [1] - 29:21 portion [1] - 28:2 portions [2] - 16:1, 16:2 posed [1] - 5:9 position [5] - 6:9. 6:15, 11:3, 21:15, 27:24 possession [1] -10:10 possible [1] - 33:21 possibly [2] - 7:20, 7:23 practical [2] - 19:9, 34:24 preclude [1] - 21:5 predictability [1] -14:12 preemption [1] - 6:16 prefer [2] - 31:4, 31:6 preference [1] - 30:5 prefiled [10] - 30:5. 30:14, 30:19, 30:21, 31:3, 31:13, 33:13, 33:24, 34:22, 35:24 preparation [6] - 3:12, 5:13, 8:11, 8:17, 13:14, 13:15 prepare [1] - 33:1 prepared [2] - 5:21, 16:15 preparing [3] - 8:20, 29:6, 33:9 presume [2] - 8:4, 9:10 presumptuous [1] -8:3 problem [1] - 4:18 problems [1] - 34:4 procedural [4] - 11:5, 11:9, 11:12, 28:23 proceed (3) - 28:10. 28:19, 36:4 proceeding [3] - 13:9, 29:12, 29:18 PROCEEDINGS [1] -Proceedings [1] - 1:8 proceedings (2) -37:9, 37:12 process [31] - 8:19, 9:19, 9:20, 9:25, 11:7, 11:11, 11:18, 12:19, 12:20, 12:21, 18:21, 18:24, 22:19, 22:22, 22:25, 23:4, 23:7, 23:10, 23:14, 23:23, 24:9, 24:10, 24:12, 25:5, 25:10,

25:15, 26:14, 29:21, 29:23 processes [1] - 11:9 produced [1] - 18:20 Professional [2] -37:6, 37:19 Project (2) - 16:15. 16:19 project [1] - 27:4 PROJECT [1] - 1:6 proof [1] - 27:7 proper [1] - 25:10 property (1) - 5:9 propose [1] - 33:23 protection [4] - 5:8, 6:20, 7:3, 10:7 provide [21] - 5:8, 8:23, 9:16, 9:21, 9:22, 9:25, 11:10, 11:18, 13:11, 13:16, 13:20, 15:2, 15:6, 19:14, 24:2, 29:25, 32:12, 32:21, 32:24, 33:6, 35:4 provided [10] - 6:1, 13:24, 14:16, 16:20, 17:22, 18:10, 25:14, 27:10, 32:11, 33:22 provides [1] - 26:13 providing [2] - 17:23, 30:18 PSA [1] - 5:7 Public [2] - 37:7, 37:18 public [5] - 9:21, 9:25, 22:24, 23:3, 23:4 PUBLIC [2] - 1:1, 1:10 publicly [2] - 8:11, 22:25 published [1] - 8:22 PUC [3] - 23:12, 23:16, 23:24 pulled [1] - 31:12 purpose [2] - 5:7, 21:10 put [5] - 9:11, 10:15, 13:5, 14:3, 14:5 putting [1] - 25:4

### Q

Questions [1] - 17:12 questions [14] - 5:19, 6:24, 7:15, 10:5, 11:1, 15:14, 19:10, 20:23, 21:4, 25:19, 27:4, 27:18, 34:16 quicker[1] - 15:10 quickly [1] - 20:7

R raise [2] - 27:16, 30:3 raised [2] - 10:3, 28:22 Rather [2] - 29:18, 32:9 rather [1] - 20:6 read [1] - 18:8 readily [1] - 15:9 reading [2] - 5:2, 5:5 real [1] - 20:4 really [2] - 19:7, 25:5 Realtime [2] - 37:6. 37:19 reason[1] - 28:8 reasons [2] - 19:9 rebuttal (7) - 31:18. 31:23, 33:1, 34:6, 34:7, 35:15, 36:1 received [4] - 5:14, 10:4, 15:20, 18:12 recollection [1] - 32:6 recommendation [1] -22:9 reconsider [1] - 3:2 reconsideration [1] -9:14 record [1] - 9:7 reduce [1] - 32:19 reduced [1] - 32:20 reference [1] - 13:17 references [2] - 5:12, 14:17 refused [2] - 32:7, 32.8 regard [1] - 26:3 regarding [8] - 3:3, 3:11, 6:9, 6:15, 7:1, 7:2, 15:20, 36:1 regards [1] - 26:22 Registered [2] - 37:5, 37:19 reiterate [1] - 9:9 relate [3] - 12:20, 12:22, 21:18 related [6] - 9:17, 13:13, 15:22, 17:15, 17:23, 24:12 relating [2] - 12:6, 32:18 relevance [1] - 5:22 relevant [1] - 8:7 remain [1] - 34:7 remember [1] - 15:18 remind [2] - 31:7, 31:9 replicated [1] - 23:24 replicating [1] - 25:15

replication [1] - 28:1

reply [1] - 35:9 Reported [1] - 1:24 Reporter [4] - 37:6, 37:19, 37:19 reporter [1] - 37:9 request [12] - 5:17, 7:6, 9:11, 12:3, 12:5, 14:10, 14:20, 15:7, 15:8, 22:19, 31:22 requested [2] - 20:15, 35:7 requests [8] - 6:23, 6:25, 15:20, 17:10, 18:10, 18:16, 34:24 require [7] - 22:22, 23:2, 23:3, 24:23, 26:9, 35:23 required [7] - 11:7, 11:15, 22:3, 22:5, 22:9, 22:11, 22:12 requirements [2] -8:19, 11:12 requires [3] - 11:14, 22:24, 25:21 requiring [1] - 25:14 research [2] - 21:24, 35:12 reserve [1] - 33:12 reserved [1] - 4:10 respond [4] - 17:25, 18:7, 18:13, 33:13 responded [1] - 18:19 responders [2] -17:20, 18:3 response [10] - 5:13, 9:18, 10:1, 17:16, 17:17, 23:1, 24:16, 25:22, 33:6, 33:9 Response [9] - 6:18, 6:21, 7:9, 9:23, 11:17, 12:13, 21:13, 21:17, 25:24 Responses [1] - 10:5 responses [3] - 15:20, 18:11, 18:15 responsibility [2] -24:5, 28:2 review [5] - 10:20, 10:22, 19:22, 33:12, 33:18 reviewed [1] - 7:18 revising [1] - 35:23 rig [1] - 18:5 rights [1] - 11:5 risk [11] - 15:24, 16:3, 16:16, 16:17, 16:19, 17:14, 17:15, 17:24, 17:25, 18:2, 18:7 risks [1] - 5:8 Rislov [1] - 1:16

5

Rolayne [1] - 1:13 round [1] - 35:17 route [2] - 4:22, 7:10 RPR [1] - 1:24 ruled [1] - 6:1 rules [1] - 3:6 ruling [2] - 15:24, 16:2 rural [1] - 18:3 Rural [19] - 3:20, 3:23, 4:8, 4:9, 7:5, 7:13, 8:4, 9:5, 10:4, 14:1, 27:10, 27:13, 27:15, 29:14, 30:18, 34:20, 34:22, 35:23, 36:1

#### S

safe [3] - 3:8, 13:6, 15:4 safety [2] - 5:4, 6:16 Safety [1] - 5:7 sake [1] - 34:4 saw [1] - 4:5 scenario [1] - 20:11 schedule [12] - 12:12, 12:22, 13:11, 14:6, 14:13, 28:23, 29:11, 30:22, 31:8, 31:9, 33:10, 35:23 scheduled [1] - 29:10 schedules [1] - 33:3 Sea [1] - 8:5 Seattle [1] - 5:6 see [9] - 3:25, 5:10, 13:19, 15:13, 19:23, 20:4, 31:25, 32:24, 34.4 seeing [1] - 12:14 seeking [2] - 5:19, 7:8 seem [2] - 7:19, 19:24 sees [1] - 24:12 segment [1] - 12:13 segments [1] - 12:15 Semmler [6] - 1:15, 7:12, 21:4, 28:24, 31:7, 31:9 **SEMMLER** [15] - 6:8, 6:15, 21:15, 21:22, 22:4, 22:7, 22:14, 22:21, 23:8, 23:16, 24:8, 24:21, 28:25, 31:12, 34:15 Semmler's [1] - 27:8 send [1] ~ 5:20 sense [3] - 3:20, 27:24, 34:9 September [3] - 31:14, 31:16, 31:17 service [1] - 20:2

set [3] - 23:10, 29:12, 30:22 Seven [1] - 20:17 several [1] - 4:3 shall [1] - 3:2 shorthand (2) - 37:9 side [1] - 15:5 signal [1] - 4:20 significant[1] - 16:4 significantly [1] -32:19 Simply [1] - 17:22 simply [5] - 8:9, 11:10, 18:20, 19:3, 28:1 situation [1] - 19:8 SMITH [5] - 11:2, 15:16, 16:23, 17:2, 17:5 Smith [6] - 1:14, 11:2, 15:15, 21:3, 23:3, 27:8 Smith's [1] - 21:24 solid [1] - 25:18 sometimes [1] - 30:10 sooner [2] - 20:10, 34:25 sorry [3] - 3:8, 6:10, 15:16 sort [6] - 8:19, 11:8, 18:21, 19:2, 21:2, 35:1 sorts [2] - 12:14, 19:5 sound [1] - 34:9 Sounded [1] - 4:20 Sounds [2] - 20:19, 34:15 sounds [1] - 35:19 South [8] - 2:7, 2:8, 5:22, 6:2, 8:1, 23:13, 37:7, 37:13 SOUTH [3] - 1:2, 1:5, specific [6] - 5:23, 6:2, 8:1, 17:2, 18:17, 18:19 specifically [2] -10:12, 28:22 spill [2] - 23:1, 25:22 spills [1] - 24:16 SS [1] - 37:2 staff [15] - 5:2, 6:23, 7:8, 7:15, 10:2, 10:5, 10:20, 10:23, 15:19, 16:3, 16:24, 18:16, 24:24, 28:22, 33:9 Staff [4] - 6:7, 28:25, 34:7, 34:14

STAFF [1] - 1:13

18:10, 21:15

staff's [4] - 7:6, 17:10,

stand [3] - 29:1, 30:11, 33:10 standards [1] - 3:6 standpoint [2] - 27:25. 34:24 stands (1) - 28:25 start [2] - 29:7, 35:11 starts [2] - 33:19, 35:13 State [6] - 2:7, 4:21, 23:13, 26:6, 28:2, 37:7 state [10] - 11:12, 11:13, 21:19, 21:20, 22:14, 24:4, 24:15, 25:3, 27:22, 29:10 STATE [2] - 1:2, 37:1 statement [2] - 24:14, 28:6 states [1] - 33:5 statute [6] - 9:16, 11:14, 22:21, 22:23, 23:1, 26:1 statutes (1) - 23:2 statutory [2] - 27:6 STEVE [1] - 1:11 still [1] - 31:19 straw [1] - 23:21 string [1] - 23:22 strong [1] - 30:5 struggle [1] - 24:22 stuff [2] - 15:23, 30:9 subject [2] - 11:4, 24:17 submits [1] - 33:13 submitted [1] - 13:4 sudden [1] - 14:9 sufficient [1] - 10:10 suggest [1] - 18:24 suggested [2] - 12:11, 23:18 suggestions [1] -24:11 SULLY [1] - 37:3 supply [1] - 20:14 support [1] - 26:25 supporting [2] - 21:3, 28:7 suppose [1] - 3:19 surrounding [2] - 3:7, 10.6 suspect [1] - 31:25 switchboard [1] - 4:14 switched [1] - 4:21

### T

tailored [1] - 10:17 TAYLOR [2] - 4:15, Taylor [2] - 2:3, 4:15 telephone [4] - 2:1, 3:24, 4:10, 9:8 tendency [1] - 7:17 terms [1] - 16:16 Terri [1] - 1:15 testify [1] - 7:2 testimony [24] - 30:1, 30:5, 30:14, 30:17, 30:19, 31:3, 31:13, 31:18, 31:23, 31:24, 32:4, 32:18, 32:20, 33:9, 33:11, 33:13, 33:15, 33:19, 33:24, 34:6, 35:16, 35:17, 35:24, 36:1 THE [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:5, 1:6, 1:10 theirs [1] - 29:2 themselves [2] - 18:4, 28:4 Therefore [1] - 11:11 they've [2] - 18:12. 32:7 thoroughly [1] - 7:18 thoughtfully [1] -30:15 thoughts [3] - 7:24, 30:15, 30:24 three [1] - 14:11 thrown [1] - 35:23 Thurber [1] - 1:17 Thursday [3] - 20:9, 32:1 timing [1] - 26:7 TO [1] - 1:6 today [6] - 3:2, 10:25, 19:16, 20:5, 28:20, 30:21 together [2] - 10:15, 25:4 tomorrow [1] - 20:6 took [1] - 37:9 topographic [1] - 17:8 tough [1] - 8:6 toward [1] - 7:23 trained [1] - 17:21 TRANSCANADA [1] -1:4 TransCanada [9] -18:12, 19:13, 19:17, 19:23, 20:3, 20:14, 26:13, 32:12, 35:9

TransCanada's [3] -

12:20, 12:21, 26:11

TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:6

Transcript [1] - 1:8

transcription[1] -

37:12

4:20

transferred [1] - 4:7 TRANSMISSION [1] -1:5 transportation [1] -5:9 trigger [1] - 11:19 trips [1] - 29:10 true [1] - 37:11 try [4] - 3:21, 12:11, 14:3, 14:5 trying [5] - 6:12, 10:14, 21:1, 23:22, 23:23 Tuesday [1] - 34:1 tuning [1] - 19:2 turnaround [1] - 15:2 turned [2] - 10:16, 16:1 two [8] - 5:11, 14:22, 17:22, 29:10, 30:13, 34:8, 35:2, 35:5 type [3] - 6:21, 15:22, 17.9 types [1] - 16:9

### U

ultimate [1] - 22:15 ultimately [1] - 17:6 unchanged [1] - 6:17 under [3] - 11:5. 21:13, 21:19 UNDER [1] - 1:5 underlie [1] - 16:21 underlies [1] - 16:21 underlying [4] - 16:9, 17:6, 17:8, 17:15 unfortunately [1] unless [1] - 20:5 unlimited [1] - 32:13 up [6] - 3:4, 6:3, 20:5, 20:18, 23:10, 31:12 useful [2] - 18:25, 19:2 UTILITIES [2] - 1:1, 1:10 utilities [1] - 18:5

#### V

valuable [1] - 27:14 value [2] - 12:7, 27:10 various [1] - 14:17 versus [1] - 10:14 via [2] - 9:8, 17:10 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 16:8 voluminous [2] -32:22, 35:4 vote [2] - 28:10, 36:4 votes [2] - 28:15, 36:9 voting [1] - 27:22

### W

wait [2] - 27:14, 33:8 ways [1] - 31:10 Wednesday [1] -33:23 week [6] - 15:6, 20:13, 29:3, 33:14, 34:5 weeks [2] - 14:11, 29:11 WHITE [15] - 4:12, 4:19, 8:14, 8:16, 11:24, 13:6, 14:2, 15:4, 16:13, 16:25, 17:4, 17:11, 18:14, 20:16, 33:7 White [11] - 2:2, 4:3, 4:12, 4:24, 8:15, 11:22, 12:24, 13:23, 16:8, 18:11, 33:2 whole [2] - 24:3, 25:14 Wiest [1] - 1:13 willing [4] - 8:23, 13:25, 14:19, 33:8 win [1] - 16:10 wish [2] - 10:24, 27:21 witnesses [6] - 14:14, 32:6, 32:10, 33:4, 33:9, 34:7 witnesses' [1] - 35:16 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 37:18 WITTLER [1] - 37:5 words [1] - 17:5 worst [1] - 20:11 worst-case [1] - 20:11 written [3] - 9:16, 28:25, 31:24

### X

**XL** [3] - 1:6, 19:3, 28:21

Υ

years [1] - 30:4