1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE, LP FOR A
5	PERMIT UNDER THE SOUTH DAKOTA ENERGY HP07-001 CONVERSION AND TRANSMISSION FACILITY ACT
6	TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE PIPELINE PROJECT
7	
8	Transcript of Proceedings Yankton, South Dakota
9	June 25, 2007
10	
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,
11	DUSTIN JOHNSON, CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, VICE CHAIRMAN GTEVE FOLDECK COMMISSIONED  ORIGINAL
12	STEVE KOLBECK, COMMISSIONER ONIONAL
13	COMMISSION STAFF John J. Smith
14	Kara Van Bockern
15	Greg Rislov Bob Knadle
	Martin Bettmann
16	Nathan Solem
17	APPEARANCES FOR TRANSCANADA  Brett M. Koenecke,
18	May, Adam, Gerdes & Thompson, P.O. Box 160,
19	Pierre, South Dakota 57501
20	Jennifer Scott, TransCanada,
21	450 - 1st Street S.W., Calgary, AB, Canada T2P 5H1
22	
23	James P. White, Sidley Austin, LLP, 1501 K Street Northwest,
24	Washington, D.C. 20005
25	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR

1	PRESENT FOR TRANSCANADA Robert Jones
2	Michael Koski L.A. "Buster" Gray
3	Heidi Tillquist Meera Kothari
4	Sandra Roth Scott Ellis
5	Brian Thomas Nicole Aitken
6	NICOTE ATCVEN
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled
9	Matter, at the Yankton City Hall Gymnasium, Yankton,
10	South Dakota, on the 25th day of June 2007, commencing at
11	11 o'clock a.m.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

г

ĺ \_

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We're going to go ahead and begin the public input hearing for Docket No. HP07-001, and as you all know, that deals with the application by TransCanada Keystone Pipeline for a permit to construct the Keystone Pipeline project.

1.2

The date today is June 25. The time is approximately 11 o'clock in the morning, and we're here in Yankton, South Dakota. This hearing concerns an application for the permit to construct the pipeline.

There are really two purposes. We Commissioners view this public hearing as having two purposes. The first is for the company to better explain its application to interested citizens. And the second is for interested citizens to provide comments and questions, concerns to the Commissioners so that we know what sort of factors are important to you when it comes time to render our decision.

Interested persons, that's any of you, have the right to present your views and comments regarding the application, and we sincerely want to encourage you to do so.

I should note that a copy of the application is on file with the Marshall, Day, Clark, Beadle, Kingsbury, Miner, Hanson, McCook, Hutchinson, and Yankton County Auditors. You all may also access the application and documents in the file under the Commission's website at www.puc.sd.gov. And any one of the Commission staff members can help walk you through the

best way to do that if you're curious.

1.3

Now right now the parties to this proceeding are the Applicant, which is TransCanada, the Commission, and numerous other interested persons who have requested and been given party status. Under South Dakota Law each municipality, county, and governmental agency in the area where the facility is proposed to be constructed or any person or entity may be granted party status in this proceeding by making written application to the Commission on or before July 10, 2007.

That is, I think about not quite two weeks away. Probably just about at two weeks away.

We do have applications available here today if you would like to apply for party status.

This is probably as good of time as any to make a couple of comments about party status. When you apply to gain party status you are a legal intervenor in the case. I'll get to the rights and responsibilities that come with that in a little bit. But I'm going to make a presumption a lot of you here today want two things. Number one, you want to retain your rights of a landowner. You want to make sure that all of the rights -- you know, you retain those. And also you want to make your comments heard.

This is going to be one of a number of steps along the process where we will solicit input from you all. You do not have to be an intervenor to have your voice heard. You can

speak at this public hearing and the other three we have scheduled this week. You can write a letter or e-mail to the Commission really at almost any time during the process, and that would be entered into the record.

1.5

There will likely be another opportunity, another public hearing, toward the end of the process where you would also have an opportunity to make your views heard. If you want to make your views heard, you do not need to be an intervenor.

I should also note if it's not the beginning of the process, it's very close to the beginning of the process. The Commissioners aren't going to be cross-examining TransCanada. We may have some clarifying questions, but this is not really the formal evidentiary part of this proceeding.

Some number of weeks or months down the road we may get to that point. But this is really an informational opportunity for everybody to learn more about it. We're not going to make a decision today. We're not going to make a decision this week. And we're not going to be making a decision this month. So if down the road if you do have comments you want us to note, please feel free to let us know.

I think there's a perception out there that the Commission has a lot to do with land acquisition or condemnation or eminent domain. And we don't. The statutes that we operate under say that we really need to take a look at the environmental impacts, and we need to make sure a project like

this isn't going to interfere with the orderly development of a region and it's unlikely to be significant damage to individuals or areas.

It does not really give us the authority to examine, you know, things like condemnation and eminent domain. That's really a Circuit Court issue. I wanted to make that aware.

For those of you who think you may be interested in party status, you need to know that means you're going to participate in the formal legal proceedings. Those are things like discovery, legal motions, introduction of evidence and cross-examination of the witnesses at the formal hearing, as well as briefing and arguing the legal and factual issues before the Commission.

Those people who are formal parties to this case would be subject to discovery if they are parties and to cross-examination if they present evidence at the formal hearing. If those of you that have applied for party status think maybe I don't want to be a formal intervenor, that's fine.

We want anybody in the case who wants to be in. And we're certainly if people would prefer just to be an interested party rather than a formal legal party, we could certainly make sure that you get wherever you want to be as part of this proceeding.

Now, for its permit to be approved the Applicant must show that the proposed pipeline will comply with all applicable

laws and rules, that the pipeline will not pose a threat of serious injury to the environment or to the social and economical condition of inhabitants or expected inhabitants in the siting area, that the pipeline will not substantially impair the health, safety, or welfare of the inhabitants, the pipeline will not duly interfere with the orderly development of the region with due consideration having been given to the views of governing bodies of each affected local units of Government.

Based on those factors, the Commission will decide whether the permit for the project should be granted, denied, or granted upon such terms, conditions, or modifications of the construction, operation, or maintenance of the facilities as the Commission finds appropriate.

There's also a part in South Dakota State law that makes it clear the Commission does not get to pick the exact route. We don't have the opportunity to go parcel by parcel or section by section across South Dakota and say this is exactly where we want the pipeline to go. We can grant or modify or deny the application. But the exact site is not something the State of South Dakota has given itself authority to do.

We will begin this hearing by having the Applicant make a presentation or explain its proposed project. Now at our most recent June 12 hearing we asked TransCanada to hold its presentation to 45 minutes.

Following that presentation, we're going to take

comments from interested persons, and we want to encourage landowners and others here today to present your views.

I should also -- as I mentioned earlier, you can provide your comments in writing.

All right. With that, and I'll make more comments about the public hearing portion once TransCanada is done, at this time let's turn it over to Brett Koenecke who is TransCanada's attorney in this proceeding. And we would ask Mr. Koenecke to introduce the others he's brought with him from TransCanada.

MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Commissioner. I appreciate all the Commissioners and staff who are here today, certainly all the people in the audience. Thank you for turning out.

My name is Brett Koenecke. I'm a lawyer from Pierre, and I represent TransCanada in this proceeding. I've got with me a number of people from TransCanada and people who work for TransCanada. Only three of them are going to participate in the 45-minute presentation that we're going to be giving you here this morning.

However, we did bring along people who might be able to answer questions if necessary going on further through this process this morning.

I want you all to know that we've looked forward to this part of the process. We look forward to engaging in a dialogue with you in front of the Commission about the project

about what it's going to do and not going to do, where it's going to be and not going to be, and those sorts of things. We really do look forward to your input and hope that we have a really good proceeding here today.

The three gentlemen who will be presenting are Robert Jones, he's the vice president with TransCanada and responsible for the Keystone project; Mike Koski is an engineer from Florida who's been contracted to provide a number of administrative and other talents with respect to the pipeline; and Buster Gray is seated next to him. And Buster is an engineer from Houston by way of Kansas City, and he's responsible for design and construction with respect to the pipeline.

So we'll look forward to a brief presentation from these guys. I'm the official time keeper for you fellows so don't run over the time.

Thank you very much.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Brett. Well, it is a pleasure to be here. I am really looking forward to a dialogue, being able to explain the Keystone project to you, how important this project is, and I look forward to being able to answer many, many of your questions. So let me proceed.

Many of you think that TransCanada must be a foreign utility way up north. That's not true. TransCanada actually has more pipeline assets in the United States than we do in

Canada. We've been in operation for over 50 years. We laid our first piece of pipe in 1957, and so we've been in operation since then. And we've been able to accumulate up to \$24 billion worth of assets. We're also a very strong financial company.

1.0

When we were developing the pipeline over the years -- and we've grown to 36,500 miles. We now have associated with us 40,000 landowners.

We have -- our project office is in Kansas City. We have offices throughout the United States. We're in Nebraska. We're in Oregon, Michigan, Illinois. So we -- and also in Houston. That's where our U.S. head office is.

I believe it's very important for us to understand why Keystone needs to be built. And the main reason Keystone needs to be built can be shown on this graph. Canada has the second largest proven reserves in the world. There's approximately 175 billion barrels of proven oil reserves in Alberta. And there is probably they call it potential reserves up to 300 billion barrels.

As you can see, the number one country with reserves is Saudi Arabia, and five of the top six countries are Middle Eastern countries. The U.S. economy consumes 20 million barrels of oil every day. And Canada is the largest country, largest supplier of oil in the United States today, followed behind -- following Canada it's Saudi Arabia, Venezuela, New Mexico.

Oil output is forecast to grow out of Alberta from

approximately 2 million barrels a day to 3 million barrels by 2015. So a tremendous amount of investment and a lot of that investment is from the U.S. energy companies. They're investing money to produce the oil in Alberta.

1.8

2.3

The oil needs to go to market. And the market for crude oil is refineries. And refineries produce products that we use every day. We need gasoline for our cars. We need diesel for our trucks. We need lube oil, fertilizer, aviation fuel, and asphalt for our roads. These are all outputs of their refinery.

So the Keystone Pipeline is the connection between this massive, reliable reserve in Canada and U.S. markets and refineries that supply the U.S. Midwest.

Keystone is a 435,000-barrel-a-day pipeline. It's got the capacity to expand to approximately 590 barrels a day. To demonstrate the need for this pipeline there was an open season process. This is a commercial process where we solicited energy companies, and we were able to get 340,000 barrels a day of binding contracts with an average term of 18 years. So these are U.S. energy companies who have signed binding contracts with the Keystone Pipeline for up to 18 years. In fact, most of the contracts are 20 years.

We are the trucker. Keystone does not own the product. We just move the product. So the oil in the product is owned by the energy companies. It's not owned by TransCanada

or Keystone.

When we go to -- we're hoping to be able to expand this pipeline to also serve the refineries in Oklahoma. And those refineries also serve the Midwest. And when we do that we're going to have to build a 300-mile extension through Kansas and down into Oklahoma.

I want to make this point very clear. Keystone is not connected with the recent announcement of a potential refinery in South Dakota. We are not part of that project. That is a potential project. Keystone has contacts to serve refineries in Wood River, Patoka, and Cushing.

I'd like to just describe the project. Keystone is an 1,800-mile-line-long pipeline. Approximately 1,082 miles will be required to be constructed in the U.S. The pipeline will operate at a pressure of about 1,440 psi. During our first phase when we're at 435,000 barrels the operating pressure will be less than 1,000 psi.

Like a good Canadian, the pipeline's shaped like a hockey stick. The reason it is -- this part of the pipeline we're converting existing natural gas pipeline. So that pipeline's already in the ground. And that's the premise why it goes through -- it has this route.

In other words, we didn't go straight because we're re-utilizing the existing pipelines across the prairies in Canada. So if that's the blade, the shaft is the direct route

right down, straight shot to Cushing.

2.4

In order to move the oil we need pumps. And the pumps are located approximately in 50-mile spaces, and they're electrically driven series motors.

The Keystone Pipeline is regulated both federally and state by various agencies. And in order to construct a pipeline across the international boundary you need to get a presidential permit. And, therefore, the Department of State or DOS is the lead agent. And they are responsible as the lead agent to prepare a environmental impact statement under NEPA. And NEPA is the National Environmental Policy Act.

The project will also be reviewed by the Corps of Engineers, Fish & Wildlife Service, and the Department of Transportation, if you're wondering what those letters stand for.

In South Dakota the South Dakota Energy Conversion and Transmission Facilities Act authorize the siting and the construction of the project. And that's really why we're here today. We're a part of that process. Other South Dakota agencies that have a role in reviewing this project are the Department of Environment and Natural Resources and the State Historic Preservation Office.

We are seeking permits of approval to start construction in the spring of 2008. And at that time we will have been in regulatory process for up to two years. In fact,

well over two years.

So what are the benefits for the Keystone project?

During construction there will be a number of short-term

benefits. They'll result in food and lodging as construction

crews move along the right of way. And they will be -- those

personnel will be visiting towns and cities along the right of

way as they're building the pipeline over the two-year process.

It takes two years to build the pipeline. It's scheduled to go

into service in the fourth quarter of 2009.

The construction contractors are going to need a number of supplies from local businesses. They're going to need fuel. They're going to need hardware, equipment, parts.

They're going to need gravel, sand, and aggregate, concrete from various suppliers.

The long-term economic benefit from the local communities will be paid annually in taxes. We estimate under today's current cost estimate \$6.5 million will be paid in taxes to the counties and school districts along the pipeline right of way. In addition to this property tax, there will be sales and contract excise tax from the initial construction of the pipeline as well.

There will not be a number of permanent employees.

We're going to basically use a lot of contract employees for the four pump stations in South Dakota. We're going to need two permanent journeymen electricians and a pipeline technician, but

we are going to need 50 to 60 contractors to help support our operating and maintenance activity and also to support our emergency response activities.

Now I'd like Mr. Mike Koski to come and talk to you about route selection and the environmental impact.

MR. KOSKI: Thank you. Good morning, Commission.

Good morning, everybody. Thank you very much for coming. As

Robert mentioned, I'm going to run through the route selection

process for Keystone project and pipelines in general and touch

on the environmental impact assessment work that's been ongoing

and the mitigation of environmental impact.

This is a snapshot of the facilities proposed for South Dakota. It's approximately 220 miles, 30-inch pipeline, four pump stations, and 15 main line valves.

The route selection process is an iterative process that takes quite a while. We've been doing this a little over two years working on this particular route. The first step in the process is to identify the project objective. It's basically what's the project supposed to be. What's it supposed to accomplish.

So in this case you've got oil in northern Alberta in Canada and refineries with a need for oil in Wood River, Patoka, Illinois, and as I'll explain here in a second, the markets went through a slight evolutionary process whereby the markets actually changed partway and that kind of affects the route

selection story here somewhere.

The basic objectives involve utilizing an existing natural gas pipeline in Canada as Robert explained. Started in northern Alberta and ended at the markets I just discussed. The initial marketing points were Salisbury, Missouri, and the two in Illinois, and subsequent to that Cushing, Oklahoma became a important point for the project.

The next step is to identify control points. Control points are basically the dots to which we need to or want to run the pipeline. That certainly includes where the oil comes from. That includes where the oil has to go. But it also includes some important features along the way such as major river crossings. If there's a gap between two national parks or something of that nature, that really kind of defines where you should go. Those sort of form the initial dots which we draw a line.

The next step after connecting those dots is to start gathering data, a lot of data. We start with publicly available environment, soils, land use information. We talk to agencies. We get information from there. Aerial photography, mapping, that's all gathered together.

And we use that information to identify what we refer to as constraints and opportunities. Constraints are areas we either want to avoid or minimize going through. Those are things such as the national park areas, extensive wet areas,

poor soils, items of that nature. And opportunities are places where we like to go, it's preferable for us to go. And probably the most significant opportunity relative to pipeline construction or pipeline routing is existing facilities such as power lines and pipelines.

2.4

And the map here on the left is probably a little difficult to see where you are because it's the network of existing pipelines throughout South Dakota. There's gas lines. There's liquid lines. And those are things we look at to identify if there's an opportunity to collocate something.

Unfortunately, in South Dakota other than a few discrete locations, there isn't a long existing pipeline that goes where we want to go.

The next step after identifying all of that is to come up with some alternatives. We've got to sit down in a room full of people. We've got engineers, construction experts, biologists, environmental folks, land people, and we start coming up with a number of alternatives based on aerial photography and mapping.

We then take those and hit the field. We do aerial reconnaissance and we drive around (Inaudible) and we narrow that down to a preferred option. Again, we're not talking (Inaudible), but that's the preferred option with which we then go to agencies. We lay it out, seek additional input. We have open houses. We lay out to the public what our thoughts are and

we solicit (Inaudible). And then we circle back in and go through most of these points all again. It happens over and over again until we converge on a route that's suitable for filing for a detailed environmental review.

We've certainly heard a lot of suggestions associated with I-29. And at first glance it appears like an absolute viable option, something that should be looked at and, in fact, we did look at. It is an existing linear feature. I mentioned we like to follow existing linear features, and it is one. It roughly goes where we want to go, at least a portion of it.

At the early stage of the project when we were going to Missouri, Salisbury, Missouri, and Illinois we were not going to Cushing, Oklahoma. Our route actually went through Iowa at that time. And we did at that time evaluate an I-29 option.

Now there's two ways one can utilize I-29. You can go within the roadway right of way, or you can go adjacent to it.

We never considered going in the roadway right of way for a number of reasons. And this certainly comes up on projects throughout the U.S.

The interstates just by their very nature involve an awful lot of interchanges and overpasses. Just in North Dakota and South Dakota alone on I-29 there's over 100 interchanges, and that's not including the overpasses.

At each one of those you've got on-ramps, off-ramps. You've got bridge abutments. It gets very complicated. And

then add on top of that that tends to be where commercial development exists and also towns, villages, cities.

So it very quickly becomes an exercise of having to leave the right of way frequently to go around this stuff. And very soon you realize that instead of avoiding impact with private landowners you're actually adding more.

Other considerations are highway departments tend not to like major facilities like ours in their right of way as it's an impediment to maintenance and expansion if additional lands are required or new overpasses. It's a continual problem for them. And also there is certainly the safety aspect of constructing a large diameter crude oil pipeline in direct -- in direct vicinity with traffic on I-29 or any Interstate.

So I mentioned there was two options. The other option was to follow adjacent to I-29. Again, you still have the same problem with overpasses and interchanges. You're still kicking away from the route repeatedly, and you end up impacting -- increasing the length overall and impacting even more landowners.

So then when I-29 was being considered as an alternative it was rejected, and that was when, as I read, we were going to Missouri and Illinois. We added Cushing. That then threw another dynamic. Basically that forces the project to go further south before it heads east in order to economically serve Cushing as well as Wood River and Patoka.

That's when we came into play the Missouri River crossing. Now the Missouri River, as everybody knows, is a pretty large river, pretty active. Large sections are designated under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. There's a desire by many agencies to let that River go back to its natural course, to let it wander all over like it used to and like it still is. So we had to really look carefully to find a reasonable crossing location for that.

1.0

And we looked up and down the River and landed on Yankton, South Dakota. Right here is the preferred location. It's the narrow breach of the River. It's very stable. It hasn't moved much over time just downstream from the dam, and there's two existing pipeline crossings right there. That sort of becomes one of those control points that I mentioned to you. And that is right here.

There we go. Roughly there. So that's roughly 30 miles west of I-29. When you combine that with an entry point into the U.S. of roughly near Walhalla, North Dakota, that's about 35 miles west of I-29 so you end up with two significant control points west of I-29, and that further takes it out of play as a viable option.

The project is subject to intense environmental review. As Robert mentioned, the Department of State is a federal agency. There are a number of other cooperative agencies in that project, and they're in the midst right now of

preparing an environmental impact statement. In support of its applications Keystone has filed extensive environmental information with the Department of State and other federal agencies involving detailed desktop and field surveys for cultural wetlands, biologist threatened endangered species. It's been feet of binders have been filed with the various agencies to describe the impacts and mitigation socially with the project.

A summary of impacts is provided -- can't quite get this mic placement right -- in the South Dakota application which covers all major resource areas.

A key part of all of our obligations has been what we call our construction mitigation and reclamation plan, which is our book of rules and specifications and procedures that how we describe how we're going to construct and most importantly reclaim various types of areas of the project. That includes water bodies, wetlands, farmland. It's all spelled out in intimate detail from the date construction starts through when it ends and then afterwards the monitoring and the follow up required after construction. That is outlined in there. It's a key component of all of our applications and will be part of our approvals.

And with that, I'd like to turn it over to Buster Gray to talk to you a little bit about land and construction.

MR. GRAY: Good morning. As Mike has indicated, I'll

try and cover a couple of topics the (Inaudible) project. And the land acquisition program and the engineering and construction following are my responsibility.

Briefly to describe the land, I apologize at the size of the slides, that they're going to be difficult to see.

But we will typically take about a 110-foot construction right of way to construct the pipeline. 50 feet of that is permanent easement. 60 feet of it is temporary easement. And then we have what we call additional work space. If we cross a stream, road, railroad, some topographic feature that we need space to put soil or dirt is typically what we need, then we would take additional work space for that.

As many of you are aware, we are in our land acquisition phase. We've been acquiring right of way here in South Dakota now for approximately two months. And that program has been a little more prevalent in the northern part of the state to working toward the southerly part of the state, but many of you may or may not have been contacted at this point in time but you will in the near future.

The key point that I want to make in this meeting is the company's policy is to pay fair market value for the easement itself. Our policy is to pay 50 percent of fair market value for the temporary easement or temporary work space, and our policy is to pay three years of crop damages 100 percent the first year, 75 percent the second year, and 50 percent the third

year. That is the compensation program that will be presented to you if it has not been presented to you today.

Other things, and I mentioned other damages, and those are that if they're very unique damages to your property, you will be compensated for those damages as well.

The other point that's on this slide is with the pipeline being a buried utility is that we will retain some rights under our easement that you cannot build a structure in 50 feet and some other rights that are stated, but as far as the full use or enjoyment of the property relative to agricultural production, it's our belief that over this three-year period, we can return your land to normal productivity. But in the event that we cannot, we are responsible for any post damages past that period.

You see three names noted, and, again, if you're having difficulty with these, there are actually some phone numbers on the flyers that are out there. Ultimately, we have a state supervisor in Huron, South Dakota that's responsible for land acquisition in the state, a land manager where I'm located in Kansas City, and then a TransCanada representative.

The main point of these contacts are if you are treated unfairly or you feel like you were treated with disrespect or you are treated in any manner that you believe is strong-ended, I strongly suggest you to contact one of these individuals. If you don't find the satisfaction in those, you

can certainly contact myself.

This graphic again is going to be difficult, I think, for you to see. But a pipeline construction is an assembly line. We build a pipeline much like an automobile factory builds an automobile except in an automobile factory the worker stays stationary. The product moves by the worker.

In a pipeline construction the pipe stays stationary, and various crews move along this particular route building the pipeline.

In this particular graphic one of the points that I wanted to make here is that this will be compromised of approximately 350 to 450 construction workers. Starting with crews at the front end that are -- that are surveying and clearing all the way to the pipe installation which at the end you have your lowering in and your testing and your clean up.

This assembly line or spread, as we call it in our industry, it -- from the front crews to the back crews is typically about 50 to 60 miles. The construction will progress about a mile and a quarter to a mile and a half a day. And the typical landowner -- and we always have to wrap it around weather conditions -- we believe will be impacted approximately 8 to 12 weeks once we start construction.

The next series of slides -- and I'm going to try and run down them -- is just showing some of the assembly line steps that we talked about. And one of the key items of building a

pipeline in the Midwest is restoring your land to its former productivity. And Mr. Koski mentioned the construction mitigation reclamation plan. It spells out techniques and methods like this, which is topsoil stripping where we literally remove the topsoil from an area of the construction right of way. Once we complete the construction, we replace it.

The next operation from the pipeyards where we would make along the construction right of way we move all the pipe to the right of way. We use a term called "stringing" where we string the pipe along the construction right of way. And people find it somewhat hard to believe that this large (Inaudible) of pipe, but we literally have to bend it to fit the topography of the earth and also make turns in the pipeline. And there are hydraulic machines that will do that.

This represents excavation of the trench line. We use two different methods, wheel trench or backhoes. My personal thoughts is probably the southern two-thirds of the state would probably excavate with wheel trenches, the northern maybe third by backhoe simply because for me it appears to be wetter than the northern stretches.

The pipe is drawn together by welding. And we use either a manual stick weld technique, or we use an automatic welding technique. Both of those methods that are utilized will either be inspected by radiography or ultrasonic inspection.

Both of those technologies are very similar to what you would

see in a medical facility.

The next slide is where we make the welds we cannot have a mill coating. We have to coat that in the field. And it's generally either sprayed or brush-applied field joint coating. And then the entire coating of pipeline is checked with a device we call a jeep. And it literally is an electronic device that goes along the length of the pipe, and if there is a nick or damage in the coating, it makes a jeeping sound, and it's repaired or patched before it goes in.

Long sections of pipe lowered into the trench in very long sections, maybe 2 to 3,000 feet in length, which they're tied in together with underground welds called tying welds.

This line just represents the padding and backfill. If we run into rock or other material that could be damaging to the coating of the pipeline, we will put a padding material around the pipe. If there's no material like that, we would just use the spoiled material out of the trench. But if we use padding material, it would be made from the soil that comes out of the trench.

I mentioned before we would simply push the topsoil to one side of the right of way, and we'll bring it back and spread it over the entire width of the right of way.

Foreign utilities or other utilities. Any time you build a linear project like a pipeline you literally cross hundreds, if not thousands of existing utilities, whether

they're fiberoptic cables, other pipelines, water lines, sewer lines. We generally work with the utility owner for requirements to cross those utilities. And we typically cross beneath them. We are required by code to have a 1-foot clearance and separation with the existing utilities.

Most any improved or well-used road will be bored, will not be opened. And that's simply to relieve our concerns of maintenance of it in the future.

Once the pipeline is completed, it will be filled with water, typically taken from a river or a stream along the pipeline. Pump it full of water, and the pipeline is pressure tested to 125 percent of maximum operating pressure of the pipeline. Those records are recorded, and they're maintained for the life of the pipeline.

The other device that you see is what we call a caliper pig. It's an electronic device that checks the geometry of the pipeline. If for any reason we have dented or buckled the pipe during the course of construction, this device would locate it, and we would remove that damage prior to putting the pipeline in service.

Lastly is reclamation. And these slides represent three things that we've experienced in the Midwest. With our heavy compaction -- heavy equipment, compaction is probably the most damage that we can do to your farmland. And we bring and we rip the soil to relieve compaction much like I assume

periodically that you guys do yourselves on your farms.

Rock picking is that with our construction, rock or glacial till in this area, we typically pick that up back to the density and the size that existed on the adjacent right of ways.

And, lastly, we have allowed (Inaudible) areas over the roads (Inaudible).

This particular slide is a before construction and after construction of a same location. And the key point of this slide is we will make every effort to restore the land and the productivity. But we will not make the statement that we can restore it to 100 percent. We will restore it to the best of our ability. We believe we can bring the agricultural land back to its original production. And this slide simply represents that it is put back to a level that's good with the environment. But we don't want to leave the impression that anybody would tell you it would be exactly the same.

I believe with this I'll turn it back to Robert Jones.

MR. JONES: Thank you, Buster. How are we doing for time here? We're still good? I appreciate your patience. I know this is a long presentation, but there's a lot of information we're trying to get up to you.

Keystone's key regulations and standards. Keystone is subjected to federal -- compliance with federal regulations and, of course, with national codes. These regulations are intended to protect the public, our employees, and the environment. And

obviously our goal is to prevent any pipeline leaks. So to do that we have a lot of design safety features.

To minimize the risk of damage, the top of the pipe will be buried 48 inches below the surface of the ground. That exceeds code. Code is 30 inches. So Keystone is 48 inches.

We are going to use high-strength steel from the mills. The pipe will be externally coated when it comes out of the mill. It will be coated with an epoxy coating that is fused to the steel.

If there is a damaging of coating when it's in the pipe, an additional protection is cathodic protection. Cathodic protection is a low-voltage electric current that's supplied to the pipeline.

I'm going to talk about SCADA protection and leak detention in the next slide.

Marker signs. When we cross an area where we think somebody may be excavating the pipeline or likely case of that, like a railway crossing or road crossing, there will be marker signs where the pipeline crosses that crossing.

And isolation valves. A number of isolation valves. I believe Mr. Koski mentioned there will be 50 isolation valves, and that is to provide additional environmental protection in the unlikely event of a leak because it minimizes the area of exposure.

TransCanada has developed over its 50 years of

experience a quality control and a quality assurance program that begins with the acquisition of the steel. So we first inspected the steel to make sure it meets the properties of the codes, national codes and standards. We need to -- are exceeding all the codes and all standards. That QCQA follows through the (Inaudible) pipe. The delivery of the pipe right to the site, and Buster went through all the construction activities as well.

I think it's very important for you to understand after fabricating the whole pipe we fill it full of water. We test it to 125 percent of it's maximum allowable pressure, and that ensures that we find any possible defects or flaws that might have been in the pipe or in the wells.

The entire pipeline system is monitored 24 hours a day, 365 days a year by highly skilled employees in a control center. We also have a fully redundant control center.

The SCADA computer system detects any changes in pressure or any upsets along the pipeline and assures that the facilities are operating properly. If there's an incident, we'll shut the line down immediately.

A dedicated leak detection system monitors the volume balances within the pipeline. The best way to explain that is to measure what goes in and measure what goes out, and they have to be the same.

Pipeline maintenance. TransCanada has a very

Я

extensive pipeline maintenance program that we've developed over our 50 years of experience. We have got years of experience inspecting pipelines and repairing pipelines. Some of the activities we do to ensure that there isn't any damage on the line is aerial patrol to make sure nobody is digging over top or unauthorized crossing.

One of the key pipeline maintenance features are these pigs. Buster showed you a picture of these computerized tools that go into the line. We call them smart pigs. And we are scheduled to run one of these smart pigs within the first three years, and that would look for any possible pipeline anomaly that may have passed the hydro test but still is in the line. Once we've got the data, we'll investigate the defect, and we'll repair it immediately.

We'll participate in a number of public awareness programs and -- to make sure that people know that the pipelines is there so they don't dig over it without authorization. You certainly can cross the pipeline with our authorization. And we're going to participate in the South Dakota One-Call program.

Keystone will be submitting a detailed oil spill response plan with PHMSA, which is a federal regulator for pipelines prior to the commencement of operation. Spill response and cleanup equipment will be located prior to operations, will be prepositioned at locations that are determined by the spill analysis, and that spill analysis is

based on the worst possible discharge case. So it will be -most likely have a safety factor built well into any potential
unlikely event of a spill.

If there is a spill, in the unlikely event that we do have a leak, Keystone will remediate the area under the direction and coordination of federal regulators and state agencies.

TransCanada's commitment. We have worked hard to be a good neighbor in the communities that we have a presence. We do that by respecting the health and safety and well-being of our employees, our contractors, and the communities. And we look forward to being a part of your community here in South Dakota.

As Buster mentioned, you can contact us at any time. We have a website. We have a 1-800 number. And all stakeholders are reminded they can contact us in either one of these forms.

So that concludes our presentation. I thank you for your patience, and I look forward to your questions.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Koenecke, and everybody else from TransCanada. At this point we'll see if the Commissioners have any clarifying questions, and after that we will go to the public comment period.

Any Commissioner questions? Commissioner Hanson, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Try it

again.

Thank you. On your presentation you explained that -I appreciate the explanation of leak detection and, of course,
mitigation and those, I think, are primary concerns of mine at
least. You discussed a little bit the SCADA system and that
there will be functioning --

All right. Who else?

That they will be functioning 24-seven. I'm curious on the sensitivity of your SCADA system.

MR. THOMAS: Thank you. Good morning. My name is Brian Thomas, and my responsibilities with the Keystone project will be for the control room, which will be located in Calgary. And I'm also assisting with various other operational aspects of the program, including emergency response.

With respect to the SCADA system itself, as I mentioned, the control center will be located in Calgary, Alberta. We will be receiving information from all of the pump stations, valve sites, and other equipment that's along the Keystone Pipeline. At the moment we are estimating that we will have an 8-second scanning cycle.

So what that means is that we will complete a complete loop of all of the pump stations and other equipment along the pipeline system every eight seconds, which I think would be, you know, considered extremely fast in comparison to other systems. And it is keeping up with the current technology in terms of

availability of the communications of the data.

We will have as well both a primary and then a secondary communication systems, such that in the event the primary system goes down for any reason we will be able to swing to the backup communication system so it will be the two redundant communication links with all of the facilities along the pipeline.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: That certainly helps from a standpoint of telling me how quickly the response might be. However, I'm still curious on the sensitivity.

How well will you be able to monitor what degree of a leak or a rupture or challenge on the pipeline itself? I know you're going through this loop every 8 seconds. However, I don't imagine you detect I'll say a drop, just for an example, or a gallon or a barrel.

What is the degree of sensitivity to the pipeline for the SCADA system to detect a leak?

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So to begin with, in the presentation Mr. Jones mentioned the leak detection system that will be available again in the Calgary control center. That system we are estimating its threshold will be 2 percent. So it would detect a 2 percent leak in approximately 102 minutes. Now larger leaks it will detect much faster. But as the size of the leak is smaller and smaller, it takes more and more time for the computer model to detect that.

Now I don't want to leave you with the impression, though, that we won't be able to detect leaks that are smaller than 2 percent. It's just that it's difficult to make an accurate prediction as to an exact time of locate when you get below that 2 percent threshold. So there will be an ability both within the leak detection system to detect smaller leaks.

We're also going to be doing our routine aerial controls. We'll certainly have employees in the area. There's landowners that would potentially pick up the odor and call the control center. You have many other ways as well to address the leaks that are smaller than 2 percent.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I understand the consistency of this product is not like oil that we would imagine oil to be that we would put in the crank case of our vehicles, that it's more of a consistency of molasses, for instance. Is that accurate?

MR. THOMAS: I would say that's a reasonable description. Keystone is looking at two commodity types. And the first is the molasses type. It's diluted bitumen material. It would be (Inaudible) so it's quite thick and gooey, so to speak.

And there's another product that is an upgraded product that is typically called synthetic oil. It is much lighter and very similar I'll say to conventional crude oil that you may be familiar with.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Can you tell me what the freezing -- at what level this would freeze? At a -- like our pipeline at -- I guess minimum temperature will be around 5 degrees Celsius. And this material in terms of a pour point is significantly less than that.

I don't actually have that number. I believe it's less than minus 40 degrees Fahrenheit.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. If you could get that number, I would appreciate it. I'm familiar with water pipelines, and so to an extent I'm certainly challenged when I look at construction and such for petroleum pipeline. And when I hear that you're planning to bury it 48 inches beneath the surface, it doesn't seem -- it's below the frost line in South Dakota, but it still doesn't seem quite far enough for a person who's familiar with water pipelines.

But if your freezing temperature is minus 40 degrees as opposed to 30 degrees, it's helpful for us to know that. And I appreciate knowing that.

Could you tell me what your commitment is -- I recognize the presentation on mitigation, and the information that you've provided us is very much appreciated. But could you tell us what your commitment is to returning property to its original state, if there is need for mitigation?

MR. GRAY: Is the company by the easement agreement that it takes is responsible for any future -- and when I say

any future damages, like I had indicated on the construction, damage payment provisions, the 100 and 75 and the 50 percent being our belief after 3 years that we will return the cropland to its normal or average productivity.

If in any event that did not occur, and for whatever reason that productivity did not return, a landowner has a right to assess his crop, bring forth that damage or diminished crop return to us to seek compensation of that damage. And that is how the process would work.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. I may have some further questions, but I'll let
the other Commissioners have an opportunity.

Thank you.

2.1

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks, Commissioner Hanson. And I should also note the Commissioners will have three other presentations so certainly we'll be asking lots of other questions that if you only attend one other meeting, you might not hear us ask.

Commissioner Kolbeck, do you have questions?

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Yes. Thank you. I guess my first question would be do the electrical utilities needed along this route, do they need any advanced training or service to serve these pump stations?

MR. JONES: The facilities we're constructing, the pump stations which the electrical utilities will serve, are

very standard so there's no additional. The substation requirements, high voltage lines that serve the substations, are very standard and typical to this area so, no, there's no additional training required from the electrical utilities.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. Has Keystone given any thought to small wind, other means to offset the fossil fuels that are actually going to be coming through our states to help with the power generation consumption that we'll be using?

MR. THOMAS: I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question?

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Has Keystone given any thought to small wind or other renewable energies to help offset the 7.7 megawatts that they'll be using in the pump stations?

MR. JONES: Sorry. No, we haven't. Certainly as a consumer, we're going to pay for that electricity. TransCanada is in the business of transmitting electricity, and we have wind facilities that we have built everywhere. But such a proposition is really much more suited to the electrical utilities.

That's really a responsibility for them to be able to provide different industries, whether it be the pipeline or any other industry that wanted to come to South Dakota, you know, they would acquire the power and then the power utility would determine the best way that they should produce that power,

whether it be wind, coal, gas fire, et cetera.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And I guess I know with the pipeline there's a lot of concern with explosions or leaking. What is the flash point actually of crude oil?

What would actually have to happen to cause an explosion?

MR. JONES: Very good question. Crude oil pipelines don't explode. Crude oil pipelines have a very low flash point. The -- I've actually been on oil spills where you could not take a lighter and light the oil. So it has to get a flash point -- where you have an explosion you would need to have a confined space -- in other words, you have to be in a tank with vapors coming off the oil and a mixture of just the right oxygen and then a spark to create an explosion.

For that to occur in the pipeline, the pipeline would have to be down, and there would have to be oxygen in the line. This pipeline won't have any oxygen in it. It's just 100 percent liquid. For it to explode you need to have all three combinations. You need oxygen, you need fuel, and you need an ignition source.

So, you know, the pipeline -- the liquid pipeline won't explode.

Now natural gas pipelines are different situations.

But, in any event, whether it's a natural gas or liquid

pipeline, they're certainly designed so they won't fail or cause

explosion.

б

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I just have one question about all the things your construction supervisor was mentioning of the soil reclamation, how they were going to put it aside.

Is that in the easement that landowners would sign, or is that in the CMR from the State Department?

MR. GRAY: Those construction requirements are stated in the CMR. They are not imbedded in the easement documents. But they will be a part of the permits that we receive. And that's actually the reason we are not putting that information in these documents, that we believe it's apprised in our permit requirements which we're bound to just like the easement agreement.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And my last question here. In one of the slides of the reclamation it showed that there were trees there, and then there was the before and then the after. The after obviously didn't have the trees.

Are they replaced, or is that something you negotiate with landowners? Can they be replaced, or is that part of the 50-foot easement, you can't plant trees?

MR. GRAY: Is the tree removal, we would remove trees over the width of the 110 feet. Once we've completed construction and our rights outside of the 50 feet expire, we have no rights after that. Typically we need to maintain a width enough for in the unlikely event that we need to access

the right of way we need to be able to get up and down it.

And we will typically work with the landowner on a special instance to even reduce into that 50 feet what we would allow planting of trees back.

The literal planting of them, if requested, it's a negotiable item with the landowner in the easement process. If the landowner desires we plant them, if he desires us to replant them, if he desires the third party to plant them, all of those are negotiable.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: All right. Thank you. That's all for now.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks, very much, Commissioner Kolbeck.

At this time let's see if Mr. Rislov or Mr. Smith have any questions.

Let's go ahead and proceed then to our public comment period. And this is the portion that I think the Commissioners are most interested in certainly. I should mention the sign-up sheet is in the back. If for some reason it didn't come by your seat, please at some point sign up at the sign-up sheet.

We do have a microphone right here in the middle in the front. If for some reason you prefer not to stand, that's okay. We're not going to make you stand up in front of us.

Just let Ms. Van Bockern know or whichever staff member is, you know, schlepping the microphone around. We'll make sure it gets

to you.

You know, we've got -- my guess is there would be about 180 people here. And if everybody took five minutes, we'll be here for about 9 hours. So I'm trying to balance, trying to get as much information from you all as we possibly can while making sure we get that opportunity to hear from everyone.

As a result, the Commissioners have agreed that those people with relatively short comments -- say, if you've got 2 minutes or you've got 3 minutes, we really want to hear from you first. Because if people have larger presentations, we don't want to have to make you stay an hour or two hours so you can get your peace heard. So I do think we will ask for people with shorter comments to go first.

We will also ask for landowners to go first because we're particularly interested with what people who have land that could be affected by this pipeline -- we want to hear from them and give their comments.

You know, we're not going to have a lot of limitations on what somebody can and can't say. So rather than put rules down, we're just going to make a request. That is that you try to refrain from hearsay. We really want to know what you think, what you believe, concerns you've got. That doesn't mean we don't want to hear your neighbor's concerns, but we prefer to hear it from your neighbor. But if they're not going to be

here, that's fine, we'll take them. But try to refrain from hearsay, when possible.

If you've got questions, great. Please try to direct those questions through the Chairman so we can maintain some level of order.

I would also mention if you've got comments that appear to be really repetitive, maybe 5 or 10 or 40 people before you said it, maybe just mention that, you know, I would echo Mr. Smith's comments about concerns about environmental protection. I think that will help us get your concerns into the record without having to have a word-by-word redundancy that way.

And also, you know, ask people to stay focused on this project and what the Commission's authority is over this project. Again, you know, talk about what you really want to talk about. But I think if you start talking about tangential issues, issues that, you know, Gary Hanson, Steve Kolbeck, Dusty Johnson can't do anything about, I think we may not have an opportunity to talk to some people who do want to talk about what this Commission has the authority to do.

And finally I would mention that if you've got articles that you really want us to read, I mean, I'll absolutely make a commitment. I mean, we will put those into the record, and we will read them. Staff will read them. And they will be part of the official proceeding in this case.

But I would ask that you don't read letters. Don't read articles. Don't read journals. Let's just give those to one of us, and we will make sure they get entered into the record. If you want a minute to explain what you're putting into the record, I think that's completely appropriate, but try not to read verbatim we'd really ask.

At this time I'll pause to, you know, Commission advisors or Commissioners to see if I've forgotten anything.

With that, do any landowners who have relatively brief comments, would they like an opportunity? If you do, just come to the mic or raise your hand if for some medical reason or comfort reason you don't want to come to the mic.

Again, please state your name and your hometown or rather where you're living now and speak slowly and clearly so that our court reporter can get it all.

MR. DAN RUPIPER: Dan Rupiper, Yankton, South Dakota, happen to be a City Commissioner and a landowner.

As I understand it, you have had about three sitings going through the community or around the community. And as a landowner, I would suspect that there are many of us are not aware of where the sited plans are for the pipeline to go through.

So we don't know, we're not aware of whether the pipeline will actually affect us, go by us. I have heard that the land that I own will be affected because it would be within

the 110-foot proximity. I don't know if the easement would take part of my land or none of it.

And it would be nice to see some sort of plan as to exactly where that's going to go or to find out how soon we would know this and whether we would be contacted, et cetera.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Excellent question. Let's go ahead and pause, look to Mr. Koenecke and his team for the answer.

MR. GRAY: Yes. One of the things I was going to say today is we have a set of room maps here in the building. I believe that earlier we had them over on this table. They're over on this side or in the back. And we'd be happy to visit with you concerning that.

MR. DAN RUPIPER: That route map, would it include going by small lots or going through small lots within the community? It's a very -- you know, it's very difficult to see anything on the ones I've seen back here.

MR. GRAY: Okay. I can't speak for -- some relatively detailed route maps are included on this table. I'm not talking about the wall maps. But certainly after the meeting here I'd be happy to visit with you. Or leave your phone number. I'd be happy to visit with you.

MR. J. JAMES NEU: My name is J. James Neu. J. James.

I own the building at 705 Brambly (phonetic), just east of

Yankton. According to the map that I see at the county offices,

Thanks very much.

Yeah.

Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON:

the pipeline will run virtually within 50, 100 feet of the building I own. Brambly Road is a one-lane, one-street road. It has been leased to a local company for some 16 years. The lease has ran out. They employed something like 50 people, 60 people in there virtually all the time. Other buildings up and down Brambly Road are industrial buildings, I don't know how many employees involved in them.

However, I'm looking at this thing as this pipeline meanders down through South Dakota. I would assume they virtually missed every community in the whole state, with the exception of Yankton. In the event of a spill, with one single route down Brambly Road those employees will be out of work for some period of time until that spill is cleaned up and repaired.

Might I suggest that they consider going to the east side of the Jim River and then swinging west or going straight south? We know the River quite well and at least I'm not an engineer but it appears to me like the same type of load water crossing is available a few miles down than it is directly south of Yankton.

In addition, in the event of a spill, when -- I have this building. I have it leased out. The people buy interruptible insurance. What happens if this thing happened to rupture on the thing? There is no interruptible insurance available for the ruptured pipelines say a few feet from your door.

Now granted I'm sure you'll say we'll fix it up and we'll reimburse you. But, however, I never hear we'll reimburse you for the attorney fees in the event there is a dispute between the two. Just thought I would throw it out.

I think the thing should bypass Yankton. We've got a free shot all the way across the state. Bypass Yankton. If you want to move a little west along the river, that's fine. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Other comments?

MS. JEANETTE SCHRAMM: My name is Jeanette Schramm. I live at 1705 Pearl Street in Yankton. My husband's family has farmed and owned property for 100 years, and we feel an obligation to return that property to our families in the condition that we've received it.

Now promises have been filed, but I'm sure you've all tried to contact people and when you try to contact them they're not available. And generations down the road nobody's going to remember what was said here or done here.

So we're asking this: When you submit a contract to us, TransCanada, include these promises, include what's going to happen if you don't follow them, and we'll probably look at that contract that you have as nontransferable. If you sell the company, it isn't worth the paper it's written on.

So we need to have a different type of contract with

guarantees and people that are going to be contacted and you'll be held responsible for your actions.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I should just -- I think that's a good comment. I should note that if the pipeline were to be approved by the Commission, presumably there would be some conditions, and those conditions would have the legal weight of the law. And I think your comments are well taken.

Thanks.

MS. MARGARET RAHN: My name is Margaret Rahn. And I live on 801 Dakota Street in Yankton. What I want to know what is the policy -- how possible is it for a spill to come on to land half a mile away from the oil pipe?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Let's go ahead and look to TransCanada for any answer they might have about the logistics of that.

I believe -- and let me know. I'm going to try to rephrase your question. Let me know if I'm wrong.

How likely is it, how possible is it, for a spill to put product on to a location, an area of land, that is a half-mile away? Or we'll say, you know, some significant distance away from the pipeline itself?

MS. TILLQUIST: My name is Heidi Tillquist. I work with TransCanada. I work with a lot of the spill statistics.

As far as the chance of spill occurring within a 1-mile segment along the pipeline, we would estimate based on

all the studies we've done, a frequency of no more than one in every 9,000 years. So very infrequent event. Chance of it migrating half-mile away is fairly unlikely. It can migrate -- I think they've had some instances where it's been hundreds of yards and things, but half-mile is extremely unlikely.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Go ahead toward the back, sir. Go ahead.

MR. ED GLEICH: May name is Ed Gleich (phonetic). (Inaudible).

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Hold the microphone a little closer to your mouth.

MR. ED GLEICH: (Inaudible).

MS. VAN BOCKERN: The question was the volume going through the pipeline every day.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Good question. We'll take that one first. The volume.

MR. JONES: The pipeline is designed to move 495,000 barrels a day. We have contracts for 360,000 barrels a day. So we would anticipate to start with 340,000 barrels a day. As we grow with contracts and the need in the Midwest, it will grow to 495,000 barrels a day.

And if the expansion proposal is successful in refineries in the Cushing area, with like access to Canadian crude, then the pipeline can be expanded with additional pump stations with no more additional impact to landowners to 590,000

1 barrels a day.

1.5

2.1

And again compared to the 20 million barrels of oil every day that's used in the United States, it's a very small volume.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My comment is about the 2 percent loss on (Inaudible).

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Senator Kloucek, go ahead.

SENATOR FRANK KLOUCEK: (Inaudible).

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: The reason we've got to face this way, we've got to make sure Cheri --

SENATOR FRANK KLOUCEK: Okay. Well, these folks can't hear out here.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We'll turn up the volume and to a certain extent also we want to make sure when you're speaking you get that microphone relatively closely.

SENATOR FRANK KLOUCEK: Okay. They can't hear the feedback you guys are giving. You'll have to hold it closely. (Inaudible) I'm a shy Senator from Scotland. I've got four constituents' questions. I'm trying to keep it short. They're very disappointed in the P.A. system today. I feel for them. If they could talk closer to the mic, I can hear it.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Senator, hold on a second. I want to make sure we get everything you need done, done. Let's pause for a moment. Let's get the volume turned up a little bit.

We'll try to reface this a little bit. We'll do what we can.

(Discussion off the record)

1.3

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you for your patience, everybody. Let's go ahead. Go ahead, Mr. Kloucek, Senator Kloucek.

SENATOR FRANK KLOUCEK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I guess the first question would be the CRP program, how that would affect that. And if we have an issue with (Inaudible) water and our rural utilities, you know, if there are some leaks that are going to affect those, the turnaround time and the compensation programs, have a lot of landowners concerned about the compensation for those damages and what will happen, of course, with the CRP program.

That would be my first kind of shot at questions. I do have a lot of concerns from those people, especially our good drinking water and our public utilities, rural electric program that has the lines that are going to be crossed there.

The second -- do you want to touch on that one, Brett?

MR. KOENECKE: Thanks for the questions, Senator.

Keep that list handy. Tell me about your question about CRP.

Tell me some more about that so we can decide if we can help you.

SENATOR FRANK KLOUCEK: The CRP will be how they'll be notified, do they have the input on the issue, how we'll be affected if it's going to be tore up continually. Of course, in the CRP once that's tore up, you lose your benefits. If you

have to go in and destroy that CRP ground, you lose that.

1.8

What happens if there's a leak, it goes through the CRP ground? I understand they're going to reseed it once they make the original pipeline. What happens once they have a major leak, if there is one, in that situation and the CRP is destroyed? Is there going to be full compensation for that as well?

MR. KOENECKE: Thanks for clarifying that.

MR. KOSKI: With respect to the CRP issue we've had extensive discussion with the FSA concerning CRP. And we've -- we fully expect that it will work similar to how it does on other pipeline projects that involve CRP.

When a landowner identifies that they have CRP and are receiving CRP payments, we will work with the landowner and work with the local FSA to assure the FSA that we will restore back to the CRP land in a manner that's consistent with the requirements of the FSA and the NRCF in such a way the landowners do not lose such payments from the CRP program.

If they do lose payments from the CRP program, whatever reason, if one-year restoration is not sufficient, for whatever reason it's unusual or if that would occur, Keystone would compensate for the loss of income with the CRP.

SENATOR FRANK KLOUCEK: Okay. We have a constituent that's within 300 feet of their house or closer. It's a rural farm home setting, and it's really close to their house and

Yankton and other where. Wondering if the program -- there's alternative routes around that house? Are you guys going to be doing any looking at that? Should they request that they build that pipeline away from this person's house? They're very concerned about the close proximity to their households.

MR. GRAY: To the extent in routing the pipeline across the state any time you go 1,080 miles it's virtually impossible to miss every resident, every business the landowner is going to fall on.

These pipelines do coexist in not only rural home environments but also in semi-urban and urban environments. By our standards that we construct pipelines to, even though for a liquid pipeline there is an offset unless we have a 4-foot depth of coverage, which our pipeline would have, mandated by codes, we are -- do not have other codes with standards that require minimal setbacks any greater than that.

And I think that the -- just as I think some gentleman has mentioned, there's existing pipelines coming across the Missouri River here at Yankton just I think east of the bridge and you kind of come into the urban area, but most major cities, most cities with airports have jet fuel and refined products, pipelines running in those environments.

We build to codes and standards like highway departments do, railroads, road bridges, electrical utilities. We coexist with the program.

SENATOR FRANK KLOUCEK: Okay. Then I have one real short question, a concluding question and I'm done. I have a question on the \$6.4 million in taxes. How much really does stay in the county?

б

Is it true that only 20 percent of that amount stays in that county, or what is the actual figures, the tax dollars that are generated stay locally?

MR. KOENECKE: Thanks for the question, Senator. I'll try and answer that myself. My research indicates that the pipeline would be centrally assessed. Meaning that its value would be assessed at the State Department of Revenue as an entire project from one end of the state to the other and that the State Department of Revenue would then send the particular values for the counties out to the county auditors for application of the particular mill levy from those counties and those dollars stay right there. That's my understanding of the code as set forth.

SENATOR FRANK KLOUCEK: And then in conclusion I just wish you the best, and we strongly ask that you treat our constituents fairly. That's what we want. We want them to be compensated fairly and treated fairly. And, of course, in politics money is the mother's milk of politics.

And my final question would be to our PUC

Commissioners and to the elected legislators and Congress woman

and senators, that we would all take a pledge, especially as

this pipeline is being built, if you would consider taking a pledge not to accept any money from Trans America (sic) pipeline at this time until it's completed, just a pledge of good faith not to have any acceptance of their money for campaign purposes.

2.1

The Commission, would they be willing to sign a pledge to not accept money from TransCanada? We'll turn it over to you.

And thank you and good luck to you and just ask that you treat our constituents fairly, pay them and, of course, relocate their houses if they can't -- maybe a relocation process or something for housing on that safety side. I'm really concerned about that and that you treat them well.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Senator Kloucek. We'd respond directly to your request. I would note South Dakota State law currently forbids -- prohibits any -- really any business contributions of any kind of any elected officials. If you're a business, you cannot make a contribution to an elected official. So for campaign purposes.

Ms. Van Bockern, who is next up? We'll go right up here in front.

MR. ED LAMMERS: I'm Ed Lammers, resident of Yankton with property across the River, which I think you may miss. I'm not real sure. One of the things that I didn't hear from you -- maybe I missed it -- it has to do with wildlife, how wildlife is --

You know, we have a lot of people come in to this state, spend a lot of money to shoot pheasants and so on and so forth. And if you displace the habitat, if you force habitat to be overloaded and you move your system through the area, where is that compensated to the owner or to whom?

11 .

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: All right. Mr. Koenecke, we'll hear from TransCanada, from the Applicant now.

MR. KOENECKE: This line crosses jurisdictions up here.

MR. GRAY: I think your question broaches upon two subjects. And one is indeed affecting habitat and maybe another is where there's a commercial business, whether it's hunting leases or whatever. There's two aspects. I think that's why we're passing the baton back and forth.

But I'll address the conversation. And if you have lease -- hunting leases on your property that are impacted by the construction or operation of our pipeline, we would be responsible for those damages. It is very, very common in our easement negotiations for a landowner to say he has a particular water fowl species or mule dear, hunting season they say between October 15 and December 1 and actually request us to restrict some of our construction operation during that period.

For us we have to assess it as to the commercial impacts to our construction. And we would sit down and either work out what we could do to compensate you for your loss, even

to the extent if we have to find leases for your hunters to go to, other than your own, or we restrict our construction operation so we don't impair that business.

1.3

I think with that -- I don't know, Mike, relative to the environmental studies that go on.

MR. ELLIS: My name is Scott Ellis. I'm supporting TransCanada with the environmental studies that are going on. And we have done extensive mapping of the wildlife habitats along the line. We've identified the areas that will be --

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Ellis, I'm sorry to interrupt.

I have a couple of people cup their hands to their ear asking
the mic be closer to your mouth. Restate your name and start
over. We appreciate it.

Just worth noting. It's going to sound really, really loud to everyone over there. The speaker is right behind you.

I've noticed the same thing with myself, but I try to power over and through yourself into the crowd. Thanks.

MR. ELLIS: Okay. Hopefully this is not too loud. My name is Scott Ellis. I'm supporting TransCanada in developing and doing the environmental studies along the line. And we have mapped a lot of the habitat for wildlife. We've done a number of primarily threatened endangered plant and animal studies in the State of South Dakota.

But I think if I -- I think what I heard you say is was you're concerned -- I think Buster addressed the question of

compensation, but I think you're probably -- another concern you have is restoration where, you know, you're coming back to putting back the habitat that was there originally and just what mechanism and what sort of approach we would take.

And I think it's kind of the same where we're saying we sit down with the landowners and talk about any special needs that they have in terms of looking at wildlife restoration, you know, what it would take in terms of kind of plantings that were needed and some idea how long it would take to get that back. And still kind of considering the fact you do have to leave some access down the permanent right of way but still considering the fact that you have some special habitat restoration needs would certainly be considered.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Other comments, other short comments?

MS. LILLIAN ANDERSON: My name is Lillian Anderson.

I'm from Langford, South Dakota, which is 18 miles from the

North Dakota border.

I have one question -- I actually have one (Inaudible). When they said it took 2 percent before things shut down, that's 365,400 gallons of oil that will be in your soil before they shut anything down.

My next question is I've seen Mr. -- Buster Gray probably the fourth time. Each time they've told me they would not tolerate abuse of the landowners. I have three names:

Van Rork, Jack Stanley, and Kathy Gibson (phonetic). Are they still working for TransCanada? I understand two of them have been sent to Nebraska, but that just moves the problem from here to there. Another one of their land agents has a record for abuse and assault.

Is this going on?

2.0

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thanks for your question,
Ms. Anderson. At this time, TransCanada, see if they have any
comments.

MR. KOENECKE: I appreciate the question. There were several in there. Would you like to tell us which one you want answered first?

MS. LILLIAN ANDERSON: I want to know if those people are still working for TransCanada.

MR. KOENECKE: Would you say their names again, please.

MS. LILLIAN ANDERSON: Jack Stanley, Kathy Gibson, and Van Rork.

MR. GRAY: Ms. Anderson, I will say to you I'm jotting the names down. To my knowledge unless they've been moved or released, they would still be working for the project.

However, as I will state to you today is today is the first day that I've been given names, particularly of people that you're indicating are not conducting their business properly.

1 MS. ANDERSON: My house was one. But I will certainly investigate the 2 MR. GRAY: 3 individuals that you have mentioned. MS. ANDERSON: How long is it going to take you to 4 5 investigate? This is the third time I've reported Van Rork. 6 MR. GRAY: Ms. Anderson, all I'll suggest to you is by 7 the end of the week I will investigate these three individuals. I just strongly suggest to you this is the first complaint that 8 9 I've heard. 1.0 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you very much. 11. comments? Let's go ahead and feel free to raise your hand. 12 Ms. Van Bockern is belopping all over the room. Right over here up front. 13 Yeah. 14 MR. DON TUCKER: My name is Don Tucker. I live in The pipeline's going to go through some of my 15 Yankton. 16 property, I think. Can the county or the city build a road over the pipeline within the right of way or -- the easement? Can 17 18 the county and the city build a structure -- they said no structures, but can they build a road over it? Can they put in 19 2.0 a sewer system around it, those kinds of things? Can that go on that 110-foot area? 21 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you for the question. We'll 22 23 look to the Applicant.

24

25

Is to construct or -- whether public,

private, or whatever type of facility across us, you will need

to come to us and seek permission to do such. However, any time you build a pipeline 1,080 miles long, whether it's other utilities, whether it's roads, highways, drainage ditches, drain tiles, all of these type things that develop over time, we have to deal with literally on a case-by-case basis.

11.

But generally particularly other utilities are not precluded from crossing our easement. We may request some separation, or we may request you do certain things to cross it, but you would simply have to seek our permission and have a reasonable plan to do such.

I would also state, though, whether it's a city or county or landowner, if you know about a potential improvement or development today, that if you communicate that to our land group that you're going to build a road or some other utilities, we will design our pipeline to accommodate it today. And that's very common in our practice.

MR. DON TUCKER: We have a street that goes nowhere.

It's called the Eighth Street Extension. It comes around Gehl's (phonetic) property and heads up north. Dead-ended it there, but they've -- supposed to bring it up to Whiting Drive (phonetic), which is on the east side of some property that I own.

At the same time I notice you guys went around Gehl Manufacturing with the pipeline route. Then you cut back in and come up my property. And I'm wondering a couple of

things. Why did you go around Gehl and, number two, when you go up a section line do you split that in half and put half on one person's property and half on another? Or how do you go up a section line? Like I live right on a section line.

MR. GRAY: I believe, Mr. Tucker, I would ask you before you leave if you come over with Ms. Roth if you could leave your name, we'll look at the route at that particular spot. I'm just not familiar with you today.

But the second question about going up a section line is typically when we go up a section line we will put all of the easement and work space on one side. We typically do not split the middle unless there's some topographic reason or buildings or something that would force us to do that. We typically like to be all at one side. So without a specific location if I could look at it on a map, I might explain more clearly.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I know Commissioner Kolbeck has a follow-up question. Commissioner, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: This kind of pertains to your question too. I've heard questions about roads and counties. What happens if a road is lowered? Who's responsibility is that? Can it be lowered?

Obviously if you're thinking about drainage questions, obviously roads aren't perfect the first time around. That was my question.

MR. GRAY: My experience and my career is that it's

many times on a case-by-case basis. But generally when we cross the road you have extra depth to cover simply because of wanting to be further away from things where county workers clean out ditches and do various things, and we typically put extra depth there.

If there's lowering the road that's -- I'm going to guess a number, a foot or two, I would suggest to you it's probably little exposure to having to do anything. But if there's a major road improvement where you're redesigning the grade of an entire road for several miles and then indeed you would either have to in your permit conditions state what requirements and limits you had with us even to the extent that we have to make the improvements to facilitate your road.

Or in the instance where you did not, you might be requested to compensate us for the redesign or relocation of the utility.

But we would strongly suggest to state and county officials that any areas that there are even the slightest thoughts of significant improvements over the next 5 to 10 years, if you will tell us about them, we will design to accommodate today. And that's in both (Inaudible).

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. I should note it is about 10 to 1. We're going to need to take a short break at 1 o'clock so the court reporter can rest her hands for just a minute. Even though we're taking a short break, it will just be

relatively short. So if we don't get to you before 1, we'll get to you. Yes. Go ahead, ma'am.

MS. JUDY KAUFMAN: I'm Judy Kaufman. I live in Hutchinson County or have land in Hutchinson County. You addressed an oil line break, and you said -- when the rural water breaks it comes bubbling out of the ground. What does oil do? Does it come up, underneath? You said an odor. Is it an odor of oil?

What are we to expect in the worst-case scenario?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks for your question, very much. Applicant.

MR. THOMAS: I'm going to answer the first part of your question, and if I could, I'd just get you to restate the second question.

In the event of a leak, again, as we said, it is very unlikely, but it does behave much like a water leak, excuse me, in that it will come to the surface. And really that is because the pipeline is in this trench that's been backfilled with the original material, but the original material hasn't been compacted to the same extent as the virgin soil on either side.

So as a result of that, the oil will come to the surface in by far the majority of the cases.

So I hope that answers the first part of your question, and if I could just ask you to restate your second question, I missed that.

MS. JUDY KAUFMAN: Someone said something about an odor. Are you talking about the odor of oil, or what was the odor?

MR. THOMAS: Yes. That was me. With respect to the odor, crude oil and the types of commodities that Keystone will transport will definitely have an odor. And I guess it's difficult to describe the odor. But there's a certain amount of sulfur within this crude oil. And that is typically what you would smell. So there is definitely an odor along with the crude oil that in the event of a spill it's definitely noticeable.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Good. Thank you for the question. Yes. Go ahead, sir.

MR. OREN STAHL: I'm Oren Stahl. And you are going through my land. Plus a half-mile away you are having a pumping station.

Can you tell me the loudness of this pumping station?

Is it going to be loud or is there going to be vibration or tell

me a little bit about it.

And plus I can agree with the woman back there that said something about being treated rudely. I had an easement, and my lawyer told me not to sign it because it gives me no rights. And once I told her I had a lawyer look at it, she was pretty huffy about it. And I believe it's one of the names that was on there.

So I also -- you say on the videotape that we can farm over it. But we've had a 5-inch rain now. And we had washouts 3 feet deep. We drive over it with our equipment, we don't know exactly where the line is, we have 100,000-pound piece of equipment and this easement doesn't give us no right. It's our fault if we break the line.

Can you address that then too?

MR. THOMAS: I'll just begin with addressing the first part of the question in regards to pump station noise and vibration.

The pump stations, as Mr. Jones mentioned, will utilize electric-driven pumps and motors, or at least the pumps will be driven by the motors. They are 5,000 horsepower. And there's no question that they make noise. There is also associated with these pump stations a pressure control valve. And that is actually the device that we use to control the pressure itself on the pipeline. And they do emit some noise as well.

As a commitment, Keystone will undertake whatever actions are necessary to mitigate that noise issue, and it will involve things -- such things as planting trees, potentially erecting shelters over some of these facilities such that we can limit any of the noise-related issues.

So it's really going to be a case-by-case type of situation. If there is a noise issue, Keystone will address

that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: This is Commissioner Johnson. I might just ask, can you give us a ballpark of what the noise would be? Are we talking 40 decibels, 60 decibels at a quarter mile? Just kind of give people a ballpark of how loud that would be.

MR. THOMAS: Yes. From a decibel perspective we would mitigate down to 55 decibels.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Could you give people an idea of what -- how loud that is?

MR. THOMAS: It was about like that lawn tractor we heard just a few minutes ago. It would be I guess something similar to like a secondary highway that would be, well, a reasonable way off or off in the distance. So it's a very --well, low level of noise.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks very much. Let's go ahead and take one more comment or question before we take a short break.

MR. KOENECKE: Commissioner, he had more questions.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'm sorry. You had additional questions. Let's pause and make sure that we get those answers.

MR. GRAY: A couple of items. But if the agent's name that you're registering the complaint, if you have that name and could give it to me, I would appreciate it.

Then I think the two comments were that the easement

per your legal advice was not having any rights, and that's coupled with your farm question relative to the rain and the weight of the equipment.

2.0

We have heard over the past couple of months feedback from landowners concerning the complexity of the easement agreement relative to the indemnity or liability languages that are in it. We are moving forward to rework the easement document to make the language less complex and more direct from the feedback that we've heard from landowners.

But unequivocally, unequivocally, liability and responsibility for the -- any damages created by the company are the responsibility of the company. Unequivocally in the easement document that's been presented to you and in the new one that is being I guess condensed to make it less complex, and it's all in one location of the easement document, it is our intention to bring that easement document out to the landowners and the public, hopefully within the week to 10 days that we'll have that out to the agents where it is not -- hopefully it is not interpreted as your legal counsel has interpreted it.

Then, secondly, the rain and -- all I can tell you is relative to things is that, you know, when you have huge events and problems and issues that you've described, whether it's acts of God or nature, that we would ask that all people use prudence and caution into their operations. But when we construct this pipeline we will compact a trench line to an extent to prevent

the type of erosion that you described to the best of our ability.

Can we absolutely assure you that there will be no roads if you have a 5-inch rain like you described? Certainly not. Our comments would be under those conditions and things we would expect landowners to operate prudently and recognizing that a pipeline being present.

The only other aspect -- there's some -- there's some 3 to 400,000 miles of pipeline in the United States, a large diameter, cross-country pipeline regulated by the Federal Government. These pipelines cross the Midwest. They cross the state of Mississippi where I'm from. It's highly agricultural.

And the comments being that the heavy farm equipment at the depth of coverage we are, are not a problem. We do not restrict you from crossing our pipeline. Certainly if there were erosion, it would heighten our concern, but I would suggest to you that it has not been an issue in our industry over the course of time or history.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Did we get all of your questions answered, sir?

MR. OREN STAHL: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Let's take a 10 -- we will go ahead and take -- since you're standing, we'll go ahead with you and after that we'll take a 10-minute break so the court reporter can rest the hands a little bit.

MS. JEANETTE SCHRAMM: Jeanette Schramm. I live at 1705 Pearl. On the property that I own there's a housing development. When you speak of the odor of sulfur going through the pipeline, it's certainly going to affect the living conditions of those people in that housing development.

1.1.

I wonder how many other people that you're coming close to their homes that's going to have an effect.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I would just -- before we get to the Applicant's answer, we've got a lot of people sort of chattering and it sounds real quiet where you're at but the cumulative effect of that is really loud.

Folks in back, we're actually having a hard time hearing some of the questions because of that. Again, we're just about two minutes away from the break. Let's let the Applicant answer that question.

MR. GRAY: The reference to odor of the oil was in reference to the unlikely event of a leak or a spill. This product's totally contained in about a three-eighths-inch thick steel pipe, and as such there will be no odor.

I'm sorry. Is the reference to odor is in reference to the unlikely event of a spill or a leak. And the oil is contained in an approximate three-eighths-inch steel pipeline, and there will be no odor from it in its normal operation.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: With that, we will take a short break. Try to reconvene in 10 minutes. Thanks very much.

(A short recess is taken)

2.0

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: It is a little after 1:15. We'll go ahead and reconvene this public hearing. We will see -- I think we're still on a relatively short comments. I think so far your questions and comments have been excellent, been on target. We definitely appreciate all the input so far.

Are there relatively short comments from those people in attendance? Just go ahead and raise your hand or step to the microphone.

MS. VAN BOCKERN: I had a question over the break, and I think this would be one the company can answer.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Maybe just note that this is not a question from Commission staff.

MS. VAN BOCKERN: No. This is from a citizen in attendance today. The question was that if this 2 percent leak does happen, how many gallons that might be? And I believe that may have been asked earlier, but it was asked again.

And how that would affect aquifers and home wells, and in the event they are affected, what TransCanada would do to help fix it?

MR. KOENECKE: Thank you very much for the question. Heidi, is this your area of expertise?

MS. TILLQUIST: I guess I'm going to answer it -- the second half of the question because I'll have to have somebody else talk about the 2 percent for me.

In answer to spills over aquifers, in the majority of the pipeline route there's isolating materials that will protect aquifers. But there are some areas that do have more isolating soils. They basically form a barrier between the pipeline and the aquifer.

2.4

If a spill did occur over an aquifer, given the soil characteristics, the spill response time, TransCanada's got an emergency response plan. All of these things would interact, and we believe that TransCanada would respond in a timely fashion and be able to intercept the majority of the material before it ever entered an aquifer.

So that's -- I guess that's the basic answer I want to give.

If an aquifer did become contaminated, there are regulations. There's (Inaudible) remediation activities. It would fall into a regulatory category, and TransCanada would be responsible for cleaning up.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Other questions or comments? Did you have another citizen's comment, Ms. Van Bockern?

MR. KOENECKE: I think, Commissioner, we still are working on the 2 percent calculation.

While they're doing that I'll take a minute to detail clearly under state law TransCanada's required to respond and to assist and pay for the mitigation and remediation efforts.

That's been in state law for a number of years according to my

research. So there's no question that the state has made preparation in that regard.

Maybe we'll come back to that question. It looks like they've got a steam-powered calculator here.

We've got an answer.

MR. THOMAS: The 2 percent number works out to 14,800 barrels. And I just -- don't want to leave you with the impression that the leak detection system is incapable and just systems in general are incapable of picking up leaks that are smaller than that. That is very much the threshold that we're comfortable with providing at this point.

As we get to know the system and see how it performs and so on, we certainly expect to be able to improve and do better with the computer-based model than the 2 percent. That is, I'll just mention as well, a pretty common industry threshold. Somewhere between, you know, 1 and 2 percent is what's typically out there.

Now over and above the computer module, the -- the computer model, pardon me, we will have these other systems in place that will also detect leaks, and they will certainly detect them down to the lower limits than that. But at the moment to be conservative we're just indicating this 2 percent, which is something that we certainly think we'll be able to achieve.

We've looked at, excuse me, additional instrumentation

that will be installed on the pipeline, additional pressure monitoring points, additional flow meters and so on, and we're pretty much to the threshold of what we can achieve with its instrumentation. And if we had more instrumentation, we actually can't get a benefit and lower that number any. So we've optimized the amount of instrumentations out there, and that really gives us this 2 percent number.

1.5

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Yeah. Go ahead. We've got a question right here. Go ahead and step up to the mic, if you want.

MR. DARRELL NELSON: Hi. I'm Darrell Nelson from Yankton here. My question for you is very similar here. If there is a contamination, would the affected soil be removed and replaced with like kind soil?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Good question. Let's look to the Applicant.

MS. TILLQUIST: It will depend on the site-specific situation. In some cases the soil can be taken off and taken somewhere and treated, and there will be clean soil brought in. Some cases it's left on the ground and you can use things like fertilizer and things to actually conduct bioremediation of the site.

It's a matter of working with the state and federal agencies to make sure that the oil levels are taken down below thresholds which will affect the vegetation, impose human health

1 hazards so all will be remediated to levels that are safe.

1.3

2.1

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Commissioner Kolbeck has a follow-up.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Yeah. I just had a clarifying question on that. With the soil that will be removed that's contaminated, is it the 50-foot easement, or does it go -- if it goes for 300 feet, is 300 feet worth of dirt removed or just the 50-foot easement?

MS. TILLQUIST: It would be the area that's contaminated that's above the safety thresholds.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: So the total contaminated area is taken away and replaced with new soil.

MR. KOENECKE: The two concepts are actually quite separate. The easement is simply right of way for the pipeline to exist and function, but in the event of a spill, Commissioner, my understanding is working with the state and federal authorities, DENR and probably pipeline safety, you would determine what the spill area is without regard to that easement whatsoever and remediate and take care of the problem no matter where it exists and how far it went to a safe level as probably dictated by rules and regulations as someone set forth.

Does that help?

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: Thank you.

MR. DARRELL NELSON: I'd like to elaborate on that a little bit. You refer to a threshold level for safety, but will

that threshold level still allow the use of the agricultural crops on the ground? It would? That's all regulated under EPA law, isn't it?

MR. JONES: That's correct. My experience is when there's been a spill they do on-site restorations that within three years the soil's ready again for ground use. But, again, it's a site-by-site specific analysis that needs to happen, and it's all done with the guidance of the state agencies and the federal authorities.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Commissioner Kolbeck.

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: And other than you being on public record here, what is his guarantee? Is that in that CMR that you spoke of earlier, or is that in the easement?

MR. KOENECKE: Would you restate that for me, Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: I guess just maybe to help put -- by -- what is the guarantee that that's going to happen?

Is that in the state law, the federal law, CMR, or the easement?

MR. KOENECKE: To my way of thinking it's in the interplay in the federal law with the state as to what happens when there's an oil release and how those matters are handled. They're not contained within the easement because the oil's not with respect to that easement. It's going to go where it's going to go.

In the unlikely event it will leak, those matters are

going to be tightly handled by the Environmental Protection

Agency and certainly the Department of Environment and Natural

Resources.

1.8

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Comments or questions from the public? Yeah. Did you want the mic brought to you, or did you want to come forward?

MR. MIKE ANDERSON: Hi. My name is Mike Anderson. I have family that has land near Freeman, and they've got a couple of questions. One is dealing with the crop damage that is paid back. We have first year, second year, third year of 100 percent, 75 percent, and 50 percent respectfully.

Is that paid to the tenant of the land, or is that paid to the landowner?

MR. GRAY: The crop damage is actually belonged to the tenant. One of the things we have to deal with is the contractual relationship between the tenant and the landowner is we will strive to see that the tenant is the recipient of the crop damages. But in some instances -- in some instances if there are not formal agreements in place between tenants and landowners, it's not as simple as I've prescribed.

Whether you are a tenant or whether you are a landowner, that should be discussed in detail with the landowner. But by right the tenant is owed the damages.

MR. MIKE ANDERSON: Okay. My second question deals with the easements and the reasons that have been talked between

the landowners and some of the people that have come around requesting easements. And that is if an agreement is made on the easement going across their land and for some unknown reason the project was rerouted or it does not go across their land, how does that affect the easement?

Does the easement stay in place? Do they keep the easement, perpetual thing?

MR. GRAY: I would suggest to you if we would reroute the pipeline off your property after we had acquired an easement, one of the first things I would say to you is we would not seek recovery on those payments. That would just be our tough luck for lack of a better word.

But typically we're not wanting to have your land incumbered and we would probably request that you come to us or we would come back to you and simply release the easement and put on record at the courthouse to relieve that burden or that -- on your title.

Because we certainly don't want it either.

MR. MIKE ANDERSON: And then I just have one comment regarding the numbers of the gallons of potential oil spill as far as detection. It's been brought up several times.

You noted you would be able to detect a 2 percent loss over 102 minutes. My comment would be you might have overstated the amount of barrels lost in that 102 minutes. Because a 2 percent loss over an entire day would be 10,000 barrels if we

were talking 14,000, 102 minutes.

MR. GRAY: I'll have to give this to the guy that calculated it.

Mr. Commissioner, could we just double-check everything and maybe at the end of the hearing we would get that on the record?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Absolutely. When I was younger my mother advised me never to do math in public. As she always has been, she was probably right then and now. So go ahead and check your math, and we'll get an opportunity yet. We'll make sure that gets into the record. We're still in relatively brief -- where is the mic? Go for it.

MR. RANDY JENKS: My name is Randy Jenks. J-E-N-K-S. Two very short questions that deal with easements. The easement that you had -- once a pipeline has gone through, a rural water line we want to go across the pipeline, do you have any special requirements?

I understand you have a permitting process, but casement of the water line, water line load?

MR. GRAY: Typically I would say on a case-by-case basis we would look at the request, and we would not necessarily make you go beneath us if you could go above us and have adequate clearance for your frost depths and things that you have for water lines.

But typically our main requirements are 12-inch

spacing between our facility and your facility and, secondly, that we have qualified people present when you excavate around our facility. Those are the two key items with foreign or other utilities that cross us.

MR. RANDY JENKS: Would there be special insurance requirements for that?

MR. GRAY: Typically there is not. And typically again as a utility ourselves dealing with hundreds and thousands of other utilities we kind of recognize that whatever requirements that we put on other people subject to the type we put on ourselves. So the utility industry as a whole has a pretty good gentleman's kind of arrangements and agreements about these not --

But if I ask for a bond for you to cross me, in all likelihood next time you're going to ask for a bond we can cross too.

MR. RANDY JENKS: More or less leads me to my second question. On all of those rural water lines that are out there you will be crossing we hold existing easements that are recorded. What's your plan for how to address that?

MR. GRAY: Our plan would be to contact each of the utilities along the pipeline route, whether again it's a water line or an electric utilities or whatever utility. We make every effort to contact every utility that we will cross or encroach upon to meet with you. We will typically send you a

letter with a map that says we understand you have the utilities in this region of the project. Would you identify those utilities and identify your crossing requirements that you have?

Many utilities -- this may be hard to believe. Many utilities would simply give us a formal letter back identifying the requirements, contact notice requirements. Some utilities we cross would ask us to enter into like a crossing agreement, like a contract that says we're going to notify you we've crossed this, we'll do these things. And as long as they are reasonable, we generally have no problem in executing those requirements.

MR. MIKE ANDERSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Go ahead.

MR. JEREMY NAGEL: My name is Jeremy Nagel, N-A-G-E-L.

I'm the emergency management director for Yankton County. Just
a series of brief questions.

The first one with a placement pipeline in Yankton County we suddenly have a potential target of national interest that we didn't have before.

What is TransCanada's program, policy, as far as mitigation, prevention, and surveillance damage of this type of pipeline?

MR. JONES: We have pipelines that cross the U.S. in a number of very high consequence areas right into the Bronx in New York City. The design line is such that I wouldn't suggest

that you couldn't discover it and excavate it in such to cause significant intentional damage. However, it's an extremely unlikely event considering how deep this line would be and that that would go unnoticed, especially when you consider the number of landowners, the air patrol, a program that we do have.

1.8

The main thing we've been asked to do by the department of land security -- sorry. Homeland Security is that we don't post where the pipeline is on the Internet with regards to great amount of detail, and that may be some of the frustration that folks are having here. Because they're trying to find exactly where it is and we want to be able to just inform those specific landowners, and we don't want to have it posted all over the world so that people can fly to the Dakotas and cause trouble.

So that is one of the requests from Homeland Security is that we don't post a detailed location of the pipeline on any map. That's the requirement I am aware of.

At our pump stations if they are thought to be in an area of high traffic, we have put security in. They always are fenced or walked to try and ensure that nobody can just walk right up and get egress to the site.

MR. JEREMY NAGEL: Just to follow up to that somewhat related. When you talk about valves or shutoff valves, what's the response time should that need to be done? Is that an automatic thing, or does that mean an employee coming down and

manually closing the valve? If so, what's the maximum expected time to achieve, if that were to happen?

MR. JONES: It is an automatic computerized system in Calgary would notice the incident or loss of pressure and automatically shut down the system. The timing I'd have to give you to Mr. Thomas to give you the specifics.

MR. THOMAS: In the case that you've described there's two things that have to occur. Basically first the pipeline is shut down. By that I mean all the pumps along the pipeline are shut down. That, of course, is all done remotely from the control center in Calgary.

And then once the pumps are shut down, the valves themselves are closed. So if I just kind of back up, the valves themselves take about 3 minutes to close. It will take the operator about 7 minutes to actually shut all the pumps off. So combined we're looking at about 10 minutes to actually shut the pipeline down and close all the lines and valves.

MR. JEREMY NAGEL: One last thing and I'll be done.

As it's developed what's the process for integrating with our local emergency planning with your planning and getting you folks in here so we can update some of that and make sure we've got our basis covered and so on?

MR. THOMAS: Thank you. That's a very good question.

We are expecting to begin that process in the first quarter of

2008. And by that I mean that is the time we will actually have

people with feet on the ground dealing with all the various local, state agencies in developing a detailed and comprehensive oil spill response plan. And not only will we be dealing with the local and state agencies, but we'll also be dealing with and looking for various oil spill response contractors and so on such that we can develop a comprehensive plan that would involve those sorts of folks in our response efforts as well.

MR. JEREMY NAGEL: Thank you.

MR. KOENECKE: Commissioner, if it's all right with you, I'll give the microphone back with Brian, and we'll discuss the spill.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Absolutely.

MR. THOMAS: Yes. We have gone through and actually recalculated. We did have an error. And the 2 percent represents 616 barrels in 102 minutes. So if you basically do the math on that, it's just a little under 26,000 gallons.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. Other brief comments or questions? Yes. Right here.

MR. RICK ALTHOFF: Yes. I'm Rick Althoff from Yankton here. And I think mine's more of a comment. I think a lot of questions have been asked, and I'd just like to make a comment that all of this is determinate on the integrity and the fairness of the corporate side of America. And all of it -- the other side is dealing with making sure that everything's applied for the best well wishes of the citizens of South Dakota.

And, you know, this forum has certainly proved that that can come together. I hope that we can have a good neighbor relationship. I think this is a wonderful -- a positive opportunity for the State of South Dakota to develop their economic foundation, particularly in hopes of attaining high-tech jobs and keeping our young people in this state, and I see it as being a positive step that way. And I just hope that we can continue on that forward and keep it that way.

You know, looking at transmission of lines and roads and all of these issues, this is just another way if we're going to be an energy-dependant country and continue to enhance and build upon our continued independence, we have to have transmission lines such as this. And I see it as being one of the least intrusive ways to enhance our energy appropriation for the -- not only our state but for our country. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks for the comments. Yeah. Go ahead, sir.

MR. JIM SCHMIDT: I have one more question about the crop damage. My name is Jim Schmidt. I'm from Harrisburg, and my mother is coowner of some ground that this pipeline is proposed to go through.

It's my understanding that although the cropland is not set out in the easement, it is set out in the agreement filed with the PUC; is that correct?

MR. GRAY: I guess -- I guess there's confusion to me

the commenting on is there's no -- there's no agreement not going to be filed with the PUC.

1.8

2.4

MR. JIM SCHMIDT: So all of these figures on the crop damage is not spelled out in the easement or with the PUC or -- because I heard you say that there's going to be 100 percent first year, 75 percent second year, and where is that spelled out in writing anywhere?

MR. GRAY: What I was going to describe is we have a document, I don't know that you've seen it, but it's called a construction restriction binding agreement. And it can certainly be spelled out on that particular document what the damage payment would be.

MR. JIM SCHMIDT: Would this be an addendum to the easement that you would be willing to sign then?

MR. GRAY: It is not an addendum. It's like a contract between TransCanada and the landowner, but it is not an addendum to the easement.

MR. JIM SCHMIDT: Okay. And then I have another question is when would that be paid? Because we were told that it would all be paid up front. Is it going to be paid in the fall, or is it going to be paid at the beginning of the three-year period for all three years or how is that going to be done then?

MR. GRAY: Our policy right now is to pay the first year damages at the time of execution of easement, that that

first year damage would be paid in coordination with the payment for the easement itself. The second year and third year of damages would be paid at those points in time. And I -- I guess I would suggest to you it's negotiable as to when you want to set up that second or third year payment.

MR. JIM SCHMIDT: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Other comments or questions?

Everybody's done just a phenomenal job of keeping their comments brief.

Go ahead, Mr. Hohn.

14.

MR. HOHN: Thank you. Can everybody hear? It's been real tough for me to hear. I hope everybody can -- we're thinned out a little bit, but I hope you can hear what I have to say.

The PowerPoint, a presentation you might (Inaudible) your future hearing now that we know that's possible.

TransCanada's been kind enough to allow me to use their easel rent-free.

My name is Curt Hohn, H-O-H-N. I'm the general manager of the WEB Water system. It's one of the largest rural water systems in South Dakota, from Aberdeen, South Dakota. We are opposed to the proposed pipeline route which will cross our water system and the communities we serve in the northern part of the state. There are a couple of reasons which I'd like to explain to you.

The reason I'm here is this is all one state. If piper crosses us, it crosses you. Obviously the route is connected. And we want to explain to you why people in our part of the state are not in favor of this route.

I want to show you something that TransCanada probably won't, and that is that a photo of an oil leak. This gentleman is going to help me get it up. This is an oil leak that occurred at the Bemidji, Minnesota about 28 years ago. It was a crude oil pipeline. And you can come up and look at this after. This is an old railroad line. This is where the pipe route was.

Here's where the leak was. These circles are where some of the pooling was. In relation to the state it's in the northern part of Bemidji. This has been studied in great detail independently by the U.S. Geological Survey. And what it shows is this oil continues to move toward a lake not far from this site.

According to the U.S.G.S. report about half of the oil or a little better was cleaned up at the time of the spill. And then about 100,000 gallons remain. 28 years later nothing is growing at this site.

I'm a farm kid. I always thought Kochia weed would grow anywhere. It won't grow in an oil-infested soil. So with all due respect to these fine people, they say we will make every effort, it will be our responsibility, the fact is if you have an oil spill on your land, it's gone in your lifetime.

And so when you look at the easement, one-time payment, which over 50 to 100 years figures out to less than cash rent would be, you've got to think about whether that's a good bargain.

2.0

And so the question we've raised -- it's been raised by farmers in my area and I'll raise here today, a one-time payment is one thing. A perpetual payment would be a better improvement. Because when you own this land and you're crossing it you change the value of the property because the landowner can't continue to use it the way they used to and there's a high risk. It would seem only reasonable that there be a payment every year to the landowner for that risk as long as oil flows.

TransCanada will ship about 28 million -- \$28 million worth of oil across your land every day, 28 million a day.

10 billion a year at the current price. Why shouldn't they treat our landowners better?

I provide drinking water to 8,000 rural hookups and farmers, 104 towns, five ethanol plants. This pipeline is going to cross a 12-inch line near Andover, and if it spills or leaks is going to take that part of our system down.

That's not just a place where I sell water. That's a place where I live and work. I think people should be treated fairly, and I don't think we have been.

I have another concern or question for the chief executive of this company. This is a map that was taken out of

the information submitted by TransCanada to the State Department and the president requesting a federal permit. And it was -- I don't believe it was in any of the map presentations that were given today.

The question about why the alternate route over I-29 was not taken.

If you would have come to somebody's home and stayed for the weekend as a guest, would you decide which room you're going to stay in, or would that be up to your host?

Several years ago TransCanada decided which route they were going to take through South Dakota, a foreign oil company deciding where they're going to go without asking anybody.

Wouldn't it have been better to have came in and said, We're looking at several routes, what do you think?

I think that the Interstate highway, I-29, is a great location. And now that the records TransCanada filed with the PUC as confidential and would not release until they were forced to, now that that came out five days -- five days before this hearing, a mountain of information that nobody would ever have enough time to examine, now that came out all the sudden an oil refinery is proposed, announced at Elk Point.

Now if you come up and look at this map, those of you who know this area better than I, if I'm not mistaken that I-29 alternative route would have ended at Elk Point.

This was an acceptable route, a preferred route. We

б

really didn't ask for it over in our part of the state. Instead of taking good, fine, productive land out of production and putting an oil line out that carries liabilities and risks, why not put it in the state road ditch, a wide state road ditch that would give you good access by highway for inspection and emergency response, that would limit the impact it would have on landowners and farmers?

You know, they don't make anymore farm ground. If you take land out of production, and this will -- and they say, well, yeah, but you can farm it, you can use it. Think about what an oil line, 30-inch oil line under your soil is going to do when they heat it up and you try to grow corn and soybeans.

The temperature of soil has a lot to do with what you can raise on it, and the placement where these kind of oil lines are built, it changes the use of the land. But if you put that in a state road ditch, the land is already there. The State owns it. Mike Rounds could give you an easement in one synch.

They're saying, well, there's a safety concern about building in the state highway. WEB Water has 155 miles of large (Inaudible) pipeline, and much of that 30-inch pipeline, same size as this pipe, was placed in the road ditch along Highway 12 between Selby, South Dakota and Mina, South Dakota. I helped build most of it. It worked fine.

It worked in the road ditch. We had plenty of room. Those places where we felt we needed a little more working room

or access we got a temporary easement from the landowner for a year, drive our equipment and store our materials. We put the pipe in the ditch. And if you drove that Highway 12 today and looked at that route, you'd have a hard time telling where that pipeline is.

.11

How do you cross approaches? We bored them. We bored under them. TransCanada certainly has more money than the rural water system would have. They could afford to do that. The State would get the easement money. And better yet these gentlemen and ladies say, Don't worry, chances of a leak are limited.

The chances of a leak are very limited. That's great if it's not on your land. Somebody told me once, a farmer, he said the best pipeline is a pipeline that's on somebody else's land. People in town always think it's okay for farmers to give easements so we can get drinking water to cities, but if you ask them, the people in town, let's put a big 30-inch pipe in your backyard, nobody seems to want to do that.

What you're asking the landowner to do is give up full use of his property that he's paying taxes on, that may have been in the family for years. And before you do that, I think you have to look at I-29 closer.

And I think with all due respect to TransCanada, I think our Public Utilities Commission should sit down with the people of South Dakota and give that a good look. Because, you

know, if we're going to have a pipeline in this state, a big oil pipeline, this would be a first. We don't want to do it wrong.

And we don't want to have regrets.

2.0

I don't think we turn over the decisions to experts from out of state who fly in and then leave and we're left with whatever happens.

The emergency response plan is not filed yet,
Mr. Jones, as far as I know. None has been filed. If there is
one, I wish you'd hand me a copy. Because we'd like to know how
you are going to respond to a leak when you need these people in
Omaha. We have ice storms and snow storms here. It's hard to
get people even from Aberdeen to Britton. And, you know, these
things seem to happen the worst possible time.

Our local fire departments between Britton and Andover and Carpenter and Freeman and those places are not capable -- do not have the equipment to fight oil and gas leaks and fires.

They're volunteers.

I have employees that work for me that are volunteers in the fire department. You talk about emergency responders. We fund the things with pancake feeds.

I-29 would offer a better option there because you have larger cities like Watertown and Brookings and Sioux Falls that have professional fire departments that could respond and would have the special Tyvac suits and the air packs to go out and deal with the fumes that are going to come out of an oil

leak or an oil fire.

As far as the accessing and right of way, we did not need to work on the state roadway when we put a 30-inch pipeline in near Selby or Bowdle, but the state highway department would have allowed us a permit and that two-lane road with barriers and flaggers.

Interstate 29 is four-lane. I'm sure Governor Rounds and the State would allow you to use one of those lanes if you needed to for staging given you're going to move about a mile at a stretch.

So if you look at the best ground, come from Manitoba down through North Dakota and South Dakota. You get this line in as quick as possible in a way that is the least amount of impact, and in the long run it will be easier to maintain and operate if it's I-29.

You're bringing it through our area. They're bringing it through ground water that's at 45 feet of depth. If you have a leak, you're going to ruin our entire aquifers.

The first area is around Britton where the BDM Rural water system, one of my colleagues, serves people all the way from the Jim River to the Minnesota line.

Your only source of water in that area is an aquifer that's 45 foot deep. And your pipeline is going to go right across it. Now that map, Commissioners, is not in the packet that was filed with you. Not that I saw. So I hope you find it

so you can see what I'm talking about. It's not my map. It's a Government map, U.S. Geological Survey, South Dakota Geological Survey. The aguifers are at 45 feet in that area.

The same thing is true in Day County and Clark County. If you have a leak, if you have a -- and by the way, TransCanada doesn't refer to it as a leak. They call it a spill. If I spill coffee on my desk, that's a spill. If you have a 1,700 psi pipe that leaks, that's not a spill. Any farmer who's ever had a piece of hydraulic equipment fail, you know what 1,700 psi looks like. If you put your hand in front of it, you'll inject the oil in your hand. 1,700 psi is tremendous pressure.

Now assuming this pipeline was built with 40-foot lengths of steel, which is common as I understand for the industry, that's 132 wells each mile. 132. 29,000 wells from North Dakota to Yankton. Every one of them's a potential place to fail. Will it pass the first test? Of course. It should. And I don't doubt that Mr. Gray has a team to inspect it.

I see water lines that were put in 20 years ago that failed in tremendous fashion because of some flaw or some failure that wasn't caught during the initial construction.

The difference between my 38-inch pipe and his is if I spew water into a field at 200 psi, I just ruined the crop and pay crop damage for that year. If they spew oil, the land is gone for a long time.

Now we talk about how little it will leak out. And

there's all of these calculations. But the reality is what has actually happened. The U.S. Geological Survey, which has studied oil leaks, crude oil leaks, has many times and has reports on it and they're independent of the oil industry, says that a leak of some 84,000 gallons can contaminate 400 acres 3 feet deep.

The oil spill at Prudhoe Bay, British Petroleum, very respected long-time oil company, 200,000 gallons, more than a section. Now if you happen to be the unlucky farmer who gets up in the morning to check your crop -- maybe you haven't been over there for a day or two because you know people aren't driving by this every day. There's times in the fall or winter when people hardly go across some of this farmland. And you happen to find that leak that's been leaking for days or weeks, their SCADA system is not going to find that pinhole because the one we use won't find a pinhole even in water. We find it when somebody gets stuck or locates them. And by then you can have 200,000 more gallons of oil very quickly.

How does that get cleaned up? They have to get in there with equipment, heavy equipment, pumping trucks and tanks, suck the oil up and pull it out of the area. Everybody acknowledges you won't get all of it so there will be some left. If it happens to me in some of these areas with shallow aquifers, that aquifer is gone. You can't get it out of the water. It will be there indefinitely.

Some of this you can't treat out of the water, some of these chemicals and petroleum products. And so it's going to be a very serious problem. And it's going to be resting with state officials, your state taxes, trying to get these people to come back and clean it up, and frankly to get it all out won't be practical so they'll be expected to live with it.

At one of the meetings Mr. Gray said if for any reason TransCanada or whoever TransCanada sells this to fails to clean it up under the Federal Government's program with the oil fund -- seeking fund and emergency fund they can come in and fix it, clean it up. That fund has been behind for years. If the oil leak is in the I-29 corridor in the west ditch and somebody calls the Governor, whoever that happens to be, if he calls TransCanada or whoever owns it at the time, he'll probably get something done. If you're a farmer out in whatever township on a back road, what do you think the odds are?

I'm skeptical. They'll come in and stop the leak because they don't want to lose the oil. But whether they get that all cleaned up to your satisfaction as a landowner is another matter.

As far as \$320 million worth of construction impact, most of that is pipe and materials that we don't even make here. It's brought into the state. And while it will be taxed, it's not anything that's purchased in South Dakota.

I got a couple other comments. Some time ago

1.

2.0

documents were opened and released. They had been marked confidential. To our amazement TransCanada marked everything confidential, including the table of contents. Some of that information has been opened up.

2.1

Now they say one person here told me that was a mistake, they didn't intend to do it. Well, it was done. All of this information filed April 27, some of it just became available five days ago.

I would ask that TransCanada to volunteer to release everything except those few, few pages that appropriate state and federal officials say are confidential, not determined by you but by somebody in some position of authority, and if you don't do it, the Commission open them up.

Because people need to know what's going on. They shouldn't have been filed confidentially in the first place, not if you want a good working relationship with South Dakota people.

The Northern Border pipeline was built through
South Dakota some 20 years ago. I was a young man building a
water system in (Inaudible). Got to know some of the people
that operated it. 31 miles of pipe were built in that county.
There were 40 leaks. 31 miles, 40 leaks. I think the average
(Inaudible). They were fixed. Here's the remarkable thing.

20 years later they're operating that pipeline with less people to maintain it than they did when it was new. You

have farm machinery and equipment -- I run a water system. I can tell you I'm not aware of any way you can reduce your labor costs unless you reduce maintenance and by reducing maintenance you're reducing safety.

Where is the oversight? And you know TransCanada is one of the partners in that pipeline, as I understand it. Why is it that all the senior people were let go when Enron was running the pipeline and managing it, senior people. What's left? Some very nice young people, but they've never seen a failure.

These pipes, we have got a picture on the back, that red picture over on the far, three maps west of the pop machine is a pipe that failed in Carlsbad, New Mexico on the El Paso Gas Pipeline.

What happened was there were bellies in the pipe.
Water caustics, the corrosives settled out, and the pipe 5:30 in the morning failed. 12 people camping nearby were killed.

Now they'll say, well, that was a gas line. We have oil lines. The premise is the same. The reason that pipe failed according to the National Transportation Safety Board was there was no maintenance, wasn't proper maintenance.

Two or three years before that failure they had a similar failure on the same line. The maintenance wasn't there. The care wasn't there.

Can you build it properly? Probably. Would we know?

Probably not. Because I don't think anybody at the state level is looking at these plans and actually approving them. But let's say they build it properly. There's still the issue of maintenance.

1.2

Well, what's the connection between that pipe or a gas line and an oil line? The oil -- the pipe failure you read about in Prudhoe Bay the BP had a problem with some years ago. 200,000 gallons spilled. According to information coming out in Congressional hearings the end of May of this year, they were moving tar sands, crude oil, and the sand was not all removed from the oil. It settled out in the belly of the pipe. There was corrosion. The chemicals that were sent through to try to treat the pipe never reached the corrosion because the sand kept them away. And that's why it failed.

And according to the Chicago Tribune, and I've given the documents to the Commission to approve this, the reporter covering that reported that the failure was not reported and found by the SCADA system. You know how they found it? Some guy driving by with his window down smelled it.

The failure in Carlsbad -- the pipe failure and fire lasted 55 minutes because the pump station that had the automatic valve didn't shut off, and one of those pump stations was named Keystone. I'm Irish and German. The Irish side is always skeptical and superstitious. Why would you name an oil pipeline running through South Dakota Keystone after a pump

station that failed in New Mexico?

And there is a connection. Because TransCanada bought part of the pipe facilities owned by El Paso Gas.

My point is these people are coming into the state. They want the right of eminent domain as a private company. They want to be able to condemn farmers' land and private land if you're not willing to cooperate. Why would we want to give the right of eminent domain to a foreign company?

That was supposed to be left to roads and REAs and local utilities that provide a direct benefit. WEB Water and rural water systems have the right of eminent domain. You know how many times we used it on WEB to put in 6,700 miles of pipe? Never.

The reason we didn't is because our board of directors are locally elected, and they just did not want to have to condemn somebody. Last resort.

If I knew somebody, utility manager, and you're not happy in my area, you go to the board. You might even see the director at church. Who do you appeal to if two or three or four years from now you're not happy with what TransCanada has done? You call the 800 number in Alberta? By then the pipe will be built.

Mr. Chairman, in closing I wanted to come down to Yankton to tell people that there's more to this than meets the eye. I think you need to look very carefully. If this fails,

and pipelines fail and they leak -- in fact, in their own assessments and the documents they filed with the U.S. State Department, they predicted failure. They predict it less than U.S.G.S. and other independent parties. This pipe will fail, and it's just a question of when and where. And then what mechanism will be in place either at the state level or in easement documents to protect that one landowner, that one family, that one (Inaudible).

That's the duty I think of people like Commissioners sitting before us. I think it's also the responsibility of the DNRD and others in state Government. It's a big responsibility. It's a daunting one.

But the challenge will be for that one citizen, family, landowner, wetland resources damaged, then what? What do we do? And how are we protected? And my feeling is if it's not in writing and if the CEO of TransCanada has not signed it, I don't think it's much better than a kiss in the back seat of a Buick on a Saturday night.

You need -- we need documentation, not promises. We need things in writing to protect our community. It won't cross the WEB pipeline unless we have a document. And my first choice is move it. You don't need to be in our area. We live -- the area you're proposing to cross, Marshall, Day, and Clark County, the people from out of the area may look at it and say it's the sticks. It's the end of the world. There's nothing there.

We think there's everything there. We have clean air, clean water. We don't worry about our kids getting asthma. We don't worry about how much benzine is in the water. We don't worry about if our father or son goes out to fight a fire they're going to end up fighting a fire in a oil line, that they're overcome by hydrogen sulfide, which is -- according to Canadian safety people is a serious problem.

We live in an area that is still pristine, one of the few left, and I would rather not see an oil pipeline come in because no matter what you do, no matter how well you think you're going to build it, what man builds fate and Murphy's Law can undo and now we have a spill and now you just damaged something that didn't need to be damaged.

If the oil line fails over the 12-inch line that serves Day County 1,000 farms, eight towns, Pickerel Lake, a new soybean plant we're building, all of those things will be affected. Just to build the pipeline system that was there would cost \$11 million.

Because when the -- when the petroleum gets next to the plaster it seeps through the wall. And now you got petroleum casing in your water and pretty soon it takes out the rubber gasket and it ruins the plastic pipe. And now you've got to replace it. And it isn't just replace the spill. You've got to worry about how far it went into the system before you caught it.

You also have to worry about what customers might want to sue you, WEB, because you allowed this to happen. So we take it as a very serious thing. I think the best place for it is a public right of way. It might cost you a little more money. You'll have a whole lot less resistance.

And to my friends and neighbors and fellow

South Dakotans who live down there in the Yankton, Elk Point,

Sioux Falls area, read your paper, the Argus Leader, it sounds

like there's quite a bit of interest in the oil refinery in

Elk Point.

My advice to you is if you invite an oil refinery into southeast or eastern South Dakota, then you've got to take the pipe. Because we don't want it.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Hohn. I should note for the record that presumably you wanted this packet of information entered in as well?

MR. HOHN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. This is a courtesy that is entitled Testimony Presented By Curt Hohn, and we do have a hardcopy here.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I would ask the Applicant if the PowerPoint can be entered into the record as well.

MR. KOENECKE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And electronic as well.

MR. KOENECKE: We'd be glad to do that too,

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Suppose follow the official legal procedure. First we should ask if any of the interveners have any opposition to Exhibit 1.

Hearing none, that will be entered.

MR. KOENECKE: I'd have to come over and take a look at it. It wasn't provided to me.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Let's pause. We'll give you some time during other public comment to review that.

Exhibit 2, which was the PowerPoint, was presented.

Any of you interveners here have any opposition to that

Exhibit 2 being entered?

Okay. We will go ahead and General Counsel John Smith has asked to make a couple of clarifying comments.

MR. SMITH: Just one comment. I would note that Mr. Hohn made the comment that the emergency response plan was not filed. And it was. It's been part of the file since the beginning, and it was made public here a month or so ago. It was one of those documents that initially they had inadvertently and incorrectly marked as confidential, but it's now there and for everybody to look at.

We are going to be attempting to on the website if you guys have gone on the website to take additional steps to make the huge amount of material that's in the file easier for people

to access.

1.8

Right now it's a little tough to find stuff in there.

It's all there, but it's a little tough. And we're going to try to make that a little more user-friendly so you can get as it a little easier. Thank you.

I have one other note too, and I just want to note this again. Chairman Johnson noted this at the outset. I just want to make it clear that under South Dakota State law this Commission explicitly is prohibited from routing a pipeline. We can't do that. We can deny this particular application, but this Commission here has absolutely zero authority under South Dakota State law to say, no, you move it over Interstate 90. We cannot do that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Let's -- we'll give Mr. Koenecke some additional time to look at that filed testimony. Any other comments or questions?

Feel free to raise your hand, and Ms. Van Bockern will make sure you get the microphone.

I know that from time to time there have been words and phrases and perhaps even more that will be difficult to hear. We will have a transcript of this meeting, this public hearing, and it will be posted on the website. So if you have any questions about what was said, that will be a resource available to you.

Yes. Mr. Hohn. Wait while we bring the mic over.

MR. HOHN: Mr. Chairman, I don't know if I should direct this to you or Mr. Smith or who, but at the hearing on June 12 requesting documents to be released at that time I think the question came up about does the Commission have any regulatory authority over condemnation, and I think John said you didn't.

Now today you're saying you have no authority over the route. What authority does the PUC have? Could you define that?

MR. SMITH: Yes. I would. And I think I left in the back of the room there -- and I don't know if there were enough for everybody, but I sat up statutes. It's on a piece of paper like this. And the whole code of course is a lot bigger than that. But it's a few of the applicable statutes.

The fact of the matter is this Commission doesn't have any legal authority really at all over eminent domain. That's strictly a function of state law. And it's handled by the courts. It's one of the sections of the eminent domain law, Section 10.1. It's the Circuit Court that has the ability to -- they have the authority to decide whether a pipeline gets to -- or anything, transmission line, what have you. It's to use right of eminent domain.

And the bottom line is it's this simple. Under state law with respect to pipelines if the pipeline is a "common carrier" under state law it's just a matter of black-and-white

state law. There's no board or anything anywhere that decides that. It's that's just the way it is. If they're a common carrier, they have it as a matter of law.

That's what the statute says. And again I've got additional copies if some of you out there would like to see that.

And, again, I guess the other question was with respect to the documents. All of the documents in the file are public right now, all of them, except for very specific location identifications of cultural artifacts, most of which are Native American type grave yards, that kind of thing, and the very explicit locations of endangered species locations, raptor nests and that kind of thing.

Those have been explicitly requested to remain confidential by both the Historical Office of the State of South Dakota and by the United States Fish & Wildlife Service.

And the reasons that those are kept confidential is because for one thing with respect to cultural resources if you happen to be a landowner who has those on your land, it's just an invitation for thieves. I mean, that's the bottom line. And I happen to be a family that had a bunch of those on our land. And so there's good common sense reasons for that.

You know, if a person believes that it may be -- go ahead. If there's -- if anybody out there wants specific access to those particular descriptions of where those grave yards,

et cetera are, we have procedures that can allow people to access that. But in general, I mean, I guess with respect to my own family situation normally we know if we have that on our property. And if we don't have it on our property, in general a lot of people out there don't want that advertised to the whole world. So that's why that stuff is -- otherwise, everything in the file is currently available to the public, and it's available on our website. And we have I think given the information as to how you can get on there.

б

MR. HOHN: Mr. Smith, what about the land ownership list that people cross? Is that public?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Hohn, I'm just going to respond to your question and Mr. Smith's response. We've got a little bit far afield of what we're here to do today. That doesn't mean the question you're asking isn't going to be responded to. But for all of those of you on Tuesday, tomorrow, at the Commission -- regularly scheduled Commission meeting we do have an official action item on our agenda with regard to this confidential discussion.

And I would just note that -- clarification of what Mr. Smith said. I don't think it matters what people want to be confidential. We're looking at state law. You know, what has to remain confidential because of the federal -- because of a federal or state rule or law.

But also Mr. Hohn had asked a question about what is

the Commission's authority. And I think on page 3 of his testimony he did a very good job of laying out the four basic issues before the Public Utilities Commission. And this will be posted on the website, and I think Mr. Hohn does an excellent job of talking about exactly what is the Commission's authority under that citing statute.

Mr. Hohn.

1.1

MR. HOHN: Mr. Chairman, I'm glad you brought that up. Because, you know, when we hear people say, well, we don't have authority for this and we don't have authority for that, I think the question in most people's minds will be what will you be able to do.

And you can as a Commission put conditions on this project and restrictions and limitations. And also you determine as outlined -- I took it right out of your notice -- that TransCanada has the burden of proof that they comply with all state and federal laws. TransCanada has to prove they won't pose a threat of serious injury to the environment. TransCanada has to prove they will not substantially impair the health, safety, or welfare of the environment or inhabitants and they've got to prove they will not interfere with the orderly development.

And you're looking at that as a board or a Commission to see whether all of those things apply, as I understand. And at the end when you look at approving a permit you could place

restrictions -- you might not be able to tell them where to place the pipe, the route, although I would question I wouldn't know why you couldn't, but you'll have to determine that. But you can put conditions on and say in the interest of the people of South Dakota what's good for us as well as you, here's some things we'd like to see.

And I hope you look closely at that because that is very important. No matter where this goes, if it goes, we've got it for 50 or 100 years. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Hohn. And I think your -- I think your point is well taken that certainly the Commission is very concerned about the proposed route.

Thanks.

Other questions, comments? Let's look to

Mr. Koenecke. Mr. Koenecke, did you have a problem with

Exhibit 1 being offered? And of course this is a little bit

different. Anybody can offer information.

MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Commissioner. This is a little bit different. I'll just say I don't think I have a legal objection to this. But to the extent that someone either at the Commission or on Commission staff is telling the world that what's in here is factual or correct in all respects, that I do have a problem with that.

The misstatements of fact that went on during Mr. Hohn's half-hour presentation a few minutes ago, I

practically ran out of ink to write them all down. And a lot of them are contained in the document.

1.

For example, he showed the picture up there of the oil spills 27 years later now nothing growing. He didn't bother telling the fine people who sat through his audience the picture was from 1991, 16 years ago.

We get to the list further in this document about 12 or 15 things that can be done, and there are legal impediments to a number of things that he doesn't bother to tell people within this document.

I guess I want to go on record to say I challenge the veracity of a huge amount of information that was provided in this multicolored document that was just provided to me, and I think it bears saying somebody needs to stand up and say, hey, wait a minute. Just because it's printed on paper, doesn't mean it's so.

We look forward to having the debate about what is right and wrong in this document. Make no mistake about that. I look forward to having that discussion as this process moves forward. But I take issue with a great number of statements that are made in this document.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Koenecke. It is worth noting any of the information that's offered today, nobody's been sworn. This is not testimony in the legal sense. There is a time and place for that. Rather than call it

exhibits, let's just call them handouts. We can have them labeled that way on the website. I would ask staff to consider posting information onto the links to this transcript and other information just indicating that, you know, no one was sworn. This was information that was offered and has not necessarily been verified.

With that, would that -- yes, Mr. Hohn.

MR. HOHN: (Inaudible)

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Let's get a mic to you, Mr. Hohn.

MR. HOHN: Mr. Chairman, I can take the same exceptions to the P.R. piece that TransCanada presented in PowerPoint. I don't agree with what -- the summary that he presented, and he doesn't agree with what I presented. And we'll deal with that in a hearing process. This isn't a court of law.

What you've presented, some of it is very broad and very slim. For example, you never -- just one issue. You imply all the experience that TransCanada has, and yet you don't own or operate an oil line right now. It's all gas. But people looking at it would think, gee, they run a lot of oil pipes.

I mean, we'll get into the detail of that in the proper hearings. But you take exception to mine. I register exception to yours. Because I see it's nothing more than P.R. puffness, like a lot of the slick literature you mail out. You're not telling us the facts, what happens when things go

south.

And my job and anybody else who has concerns, it's our right as citizens of the state to come to this public body and raise those. You can object. But to take exception and try to exclude it I think it's getting a little too rough a little too early.

MR. KOENECKE: You think so?

MR. HOHN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I do think we'll just make a notation on the website that information provided at the public hearings this week was not provided under oath. And I think that will allow this Commission as well as individual citizens to give the information offered the appropriate weight.

MR. KOENECKE: That's abundantly fair, Commissioner. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thanks very much, Mr. Koenecke, Mr. Hohn. Any other comments or questions?

I want to -- on behalf of Commissioner Kolbeck,

Commissioner Hanson, myself, we do want to thank you for taking
the time to come out today. And with that the hearing is ended.

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF HUGHES )
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered Professional
6	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary Public in and
7	for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 25th day of June 2007,
11	and that the attached is a true and correct transcription of the
12	proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Pierre, South Dakota this 20th day of July 2007.
14	
15	
16	Chri Mu
17	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
18	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	