STAFF MEMORANDUM

TO: COMMISSIONERS AND ADVISORS

FROM: LORENA REICHERT & AMANDA REISS

RE: GE20-001 - In the Matter of the Filing by MidAmerican Energy Company for Approval of

Its Reconciliation and Annual Report for 2019 and 2019 Reconciliation for the Energy

Efficiency Cost Recovery Factor

DATE: July 21, 2020

OVERVIEW

Since 2009, MidAmerican Energy Company (MidAmerican) offered energy efficiency programs to both electric and natural gas customers located within their service territory. In this docket, MidAmerican submitted its 2019 annual report, 2019 reconciliation of expenses and revenues, and proposed 2019 Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery (ECR) rates for Commission review and approval.

This memo provides a summary of MidAmerican's 2019 plan performance and Staff's recommendations.

2019 ENERGY EFFICIENCY PLAN RESULTS

In 2019, MidAmerican came in over budget for both gas and electric programs. Actual spending, energy savings, and further discussion are provided in detail below.

Electric Program Budgeted vs. Actuals

Results from MidAmerican's 2019 electric programs are provided in Table 1. Looking at energy savings, MidAmerican experienced less energy savings than forecasted. Combining all electric programs, energy savings were 156,579 kWh in 2019, or 11% less than the energy savings goal for the year. From a spending perspective, MidAmerican came in 18% above the budget in 2019 for all electric programs. Electric program spending was 43% over budget for residential programs and 28% under budget for nonresidential programs.

Table 1. 2019 Electric Program Results Summary									
	Energy Savings (kWh)				Expenditures				
Program	Budgeted Actual Variance				dgeted	Variance			
Residential Equipment	49,010	37,397	-24%	\$	24,537	\$	25,087	2%	
Residential Load Management	2,288	0	-100%	\$	17,725	\$	39,409	122%	
Appliance Recycling	14,092	12,633	-10%	\$	4,391	\$	2,231	-49%	
Total Residential	65,390	50,030	-23%	\$	46,653	\$	66,727	43%	
Nonresidential Equipment	109,792	106,549	-3%	\$	24,804	\$	17,830	-28%	
Total Nonresidential	109,792	106,549	-3%	\$	24,804	\$	17,830	-28%	
Total All Electric Programs	175,182	156,579	-11%	\$	71,457	\$	84,557	18%	

Gas Program Budgeted vs. Actuals

Results from MidAmerican's 2019 gas programs are provided in Table 2. Focusing on energy savings, the residential gas programs had 45% more energy savings than the goal and nonresidential gas programs had 79% fewer energy savings than the goal. Total energy savings for all programs in 2018 was 207,679 therms, or 25% more energy savings than the overall goal for the year.

Looking at spending, MidAmerican came in over budget for the residential gas program and under budget for nonresidential gas programs in 2019. Residential program spending was 21% over the budget and nonresidential program spending was 74% below the budget. In 2019, a total of \$624,839 was spent out of the approved \$553,158 budget.

Table 2. 2019 Gas Program Results Summary								
	Energ	gy Savings (the	erms)	Expenditures				
Program	Budgeted	Actual	Variance	Budgeted	Actual	Variance		
Residential Equipment	138,914	202,093	45%	\$ 504,393	\$ 612,004	21%		
Total Residential	138,914	202,093	45%	\$ 504,393	\$ 612,004	21%		
Nonresidential Equipment	27,137	5,586	-79%	\$ 48,765	\$ 12,835	-74%		
Small Commercial Energy Audit	0	0	0%	\$ -	\$ -	0%		
Total Nonresidential	27,137	5,586	-79%	\$ 48,765	\$ 12,835	-74%		
Total All Gas Programs	166,051	207,679	25%	\$ 553,158	\$ 624,839	13%		

Benefit/Cost Analysis of Electric Programs

Table 3 shows the 2019 benefit/cost test results for the electric programs. The total resource cost (TRC) test is highlighted in the table. This test is used by Staff to determine whether the program is cost effective. Overall, MidAmerican's electric energy efficiency programs were demonstrated to be cost effective.

Table 3. 2019 Electric Program Benefit/Cost Test Results									
Program	TRC	PART	UTILITY	SOCIETAL					
Residential Equipment Residential Appliance Recycling Nonresidential Equipment	1.61 1.48 1.25	2.38 4.44 3.34	0.77 0.43 0.44	1.51 1.05 2.08	2.46 1.79 2.35				
Total Electric Energy	1.41	2.96	0.56	1.71	2.38				
Residential Load Management	-	1	-	-	-				

Benefit/Cost Analysis of Gas Programs

Table 4 shows the 2019 benefit/cost test results for the gas programs. Again, the total TRC test is highlighted in the table. All measures included in the gas program passed the TRC test threshold except for one. The residential equipment – furnace measure reported a TRC score of only 0.83¹.

Table 4. 2019 Gas Program Benefit/Cost Test Results									
Program TRC PAR RIM UTILITY SO									
Residential Equipment	0.84	1.23	0.69	2.34	1.39				
Nonresidential Equipment	1.21	1.66	0.76	2.84	2.01				
Total Gas	0.85	1.23	0.69	2.35	1.14				

2019 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE

Recovery of the 2019 performance incentive is included in the proposed electric and gas ECR rates for 2020. Since both the gas and electric programs came in over budget in 2019, the performance incentives were calculated using budgeted program costs. The electric program has an incentive of \$4,944 and the gas program has an incentive of \$38,279. They are included in their respective ECR factors for recovery in 2020.

2020 ENERGY EFFICIENCY COST RECOVERY FACTORS

Staff reviewed MidAmerican's calculations for the gas and electric 2020 ECR factors and found the factors to be properly calculated. All ECR factors, except for the electric nonresidential factor, are proposed to be reset to zero with the January 2021 billing month.

The company is proposing that the electric nonresidential factor be deemed fully reconciled, as the

¹ See Exhibit E, Page 12 of 15, included in MidAmerican's initial filing.

factor has an over collection of less than \$5,000. This proposal is in line with the reconciliation methods used in GE17-003, where factors with a collection of the absolute value of \$5000 are considered fully reconciled. This treatment will be used when the company files any further reconciliations to these factors in April 2021.

Table 5, below, provides the proposed 2020 electric ECR factors and the estimated annual bill impact for a typical customer's utility bill from the current ECR rates.

Table 5. 2020 Proposed ECR Factors and Estimated Annual Bill Increase (Decrease) from Current Rate										
Program	Class	Cu	rrent ECR Factor	Proposed ECR Factor		Average 2020 Fall Difference Usage1		Estimated Annual Bill Impact		
Flactuia	Residential	\$	-	\$	0.00103	\$	0.00103	4,742	\$	4.88
Electric	Nonresidential	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-	N/A	\$	-
Gas[2]	Residential	\$	-	\$	0.00785	\$	0.00785	209	\$	1.64
Gas[Z]	Nonresidential	\$	-	\$	(0.00117)	\$	(0.00117)	1,713	\$	(2.00)

¹⁾ Average Fall 2020 Usage was calculated based on August-December 2019 actual sales and customers

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff makes the following recommendations to the Commission:

- 1) That the Commission approve the 2019 reconciliation amounts;
- 2) That the Commission approve the 2019 fixed percentage incentive, and;
- 3) That the Commission approves the gas and electric Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Factors and tariff sheets filed on June 30, 2020, with an effective date of July 30, 2020.

²⁾ Current Factor was approved in GE19-002