

IV. MODELING ASSUMPTIONS AND INPUTS

Since filing our initial Resource Plan in July 2019, the Company has made several changes to its modeling approaches, inputs, and assumptions. Some of these changes in modeling approaches implemented based on discussions with the Department of Commerce (DOC or Department), and feedback from the Commission and stakeholders. Others reflect the passage of time and availability of more recent input and assumptions source material. While a more complete set of updated Strategist and EnCompass modeling assumptions is included in this section, we provide a summary of major changes below.

Topic	Assumption	Change from Initial Filing	Rationale for Change	Sensitivity Performed?
<i>Modeling constraints</i>				
Carbon emissions constraint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No constraint; baseload scenarios may not meet 80 percent reduction goal 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed modeling constraint of 80 percent carbon reduction by 2030 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with DOC preferred approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
“No Going Back” wind replacement capacity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No assumption that existing wind will be replaced when plants or contracts reach end of life 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed wind replacement capacity from baseline modeling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with DOC preferred approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Reliability Requirement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Modeling does not include 5.7 GW firm, dispatchable capacity floor; model optimizes resources to develop expansion plans 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed reliability requirement from baseline modeling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EnCompass modeling better accounts for reliability in hourly chronological modeling Alignment with DOC preferred approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Attachment A: Supplement Details
IV. Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Topic	Assumption	Change from Initial Filing	Rationale for Change	Sensitivity Performed?
Near term wind availability constraint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No generic wind option made available for model to select before 2026 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generic wind available to select in modeling for each year 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transmission constraints in near term are highly cost prohibitive, such that most greenfield projects are withdrawing from the interconnection queue 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tested alternate sensitivity where wind is available in 2023
Market sales limit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limits market sales to 25 percent of retail load in EnCompass modeling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not applicable; no market sales limit capability in Strategist 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limit sales risk exposure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tested alternate scenarios with unlimited market
Market and technology assumptions				
Market hourly price shaping	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Shaped hourly market prices based on retail load 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hourly market price shaped based on thermal load 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment with DOC preferred approach 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Fuel price forecasts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated to Fall 2019 forecast vintage 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changed from vintage available prior to previous filing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous inputs outdated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High and low fuel price forecasts
Technology price forecasts for wind, solar, and storage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used National Renewable Energy Labs (NREL) <i>Annual Technology Baseline (ATB) 2019</i> assumptions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated from 2018 ATB to 2019 ATB for wind and solar Shifted from using internal price assumptions to 2019 ATB for storage 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous inputs outdated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used High and low technology price forecasts in sensitivities

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Attachment A: Supplement Details
IV. Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Topic	Assumption	Change from Initial Filing	Rationale for Change	Sensitivity Performed?
Wind resource production	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Used 2019 NREL ATB price inputs for Technology Resource Group (TRG) 2 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previously used 2018 ATB price assumptions for TRG 1, which reflected a higher capacity factor expectation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We believe TRG 2 capacity factors better align with wind resource quality for remaining sites in our region 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Solar resource production	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assumed 22 percent capacity factor in first year, with 0.5 percent per year degradation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previously assumed 17.7 percent leveled capacity factor 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Better alignment with performance of our existing solar resources 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Renewable transmission interconnect cost	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wind: \$500/kW Solar: \$200/kW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wind: Increased from \$400/kW for greenfield wind Solar: Increased from \$140/kW 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MISO transmission constraints create upward pressure on interconnection costs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Solar capacity accreditation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 50 percent ELCC to 2023, declining to 30 percent in 2033 at a rate of 2 percent per year 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 50 percent ELCC for the full analysis period 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aligns with assumptions used in MISO MTEP 2019 modeling 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Performed alternate scenario with 50 percent ELCC held constant

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Attachment A: Supplement Details
IV. Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Topic	Assumption	Change from Initial Filing	Rationale for Change	Sensitivity Performed?
Wind capacity accreditation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 16.7 percent ELCC throughout the planning period 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 15.6 percent ELCC throughout the planning period 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated to reflect MISO Zone 1 ELCC rather than MISO-wide assumptions Updated to match MISO's most recent Wind and Solar Capacity Credit report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Effective Reserve Margin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reserve margin updated to 3.46 percent, based on latest MISO LOLE Study (2020-2021) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2.98 percent effective reserve margin 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated to most recent LOLE study result 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
<i>Upper Midwest System Assumptions</i>				
Unit retirement dates	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All existing unit retirement years with end of financial life 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Selected units used differing retirement dates for resource planning purposes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conforms with Commission direction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Seasonal coal dispatch	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> King and Sherco 2 do not dispatch from March-May and September-November, through 2023 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No units were modeled with seasonal dispatch 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reflects Commission-approved operational practices 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None
Load forecasts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated to fall 2019 internal forecast vintage 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changed from fall 2018 internal forecast 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous inputs outdated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Attachment A: Supplement Details
IV. Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Topic	Assumption	Change from Initial Filing	Rationale for Change	Sensitivity Performed?
DER forecasts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated to latest vintage for each technology 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changed from vintage available prior to previous filing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous inputs outdated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sensitivity on low load/high DER adoption
EV adoption forecasts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated to latest vintage, aligned with most recent forecasts used in IDP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changed from vintage available prior to previous filing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous inputs outdated Conforms with Commission direction to better align forecasts across filings 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sensitivity on high EV adoption
Nuclear budgets	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated to most recent vintage for Nuclear Decommissioning Trust, Operations and Maintenance and Capital Expenditure budgets 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changed from vintage available prior to previous filing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Previous inputs outdated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None

A. Discount Rate and Capital Structure

The discount rate used for levelized cost calculations and the present value of modeled costs is 6.47 percent. The rates shown below were calculated by taking a weighted average of each NSP jurisdiction's last allowed/settled electric retail rate case.

Table IV-1: Discount Rate and Capital Structure

Discount Rate and Capital Structure				
	Capital Structure	Allowed Return	Before Tax Electric WACC	After Tax Electric WACC
Long-Term Debt	45.72%	4.79%	2.19%	1.58%
Common Equity	52.39%	9.25%	4.85%	4.85%
Short-Term Debt	1.89%	3.55%	0.07%	0.05%
Total			7.10%	6.47%

B. Inflation Rates

The inflation rates are used for existing resources, generic resources, and other costs related to general inflationary trends in the modeling and are developed using long-term forecasts from Global Insight. The general inflation rate of 2 percent is from their long-term forecast for “Chained Price Index for Total Personal Consumption Expenditures” published in the second quarter of 2018.

C. Reserve Margin

The reserve margin at the time of MISO’s peak is 8.9 percent from the 2020-2021 LOLE Study Report, published November 2019. The coincidence factor between the NSP System and MISO system peak is 95 percent. Therefore, the effective reserve margin is:

$$\begin{aligned} & (95 \text{ percent coincidence factor}) \times (1 + 8.9 \text{ percent}) - 1 \\ & = \mathbf{3.46 \text{ percent effective reserve margin for NSP}} \end{aligned}$$

D. CO₂ Costs

The Present Value of Societal Cost (PVSC) Base Case CO₂ values are based on the high environmental cost values for CO₂ through 2024 (page 31 of the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission’s Order Updating Environmental Cost Values in Docket No. E999/CI-14-643 issued January 3, 2018.). All prices are converted to 2018 real dollars using the 2017 Gross Domestic Product Implicit Price Deflator (GDPIPD) of 113.416 and then escalated at general inflation thereafter.

The PVSC Base Case values starting in 2025 are based on the “high” end of the range of regulated costs (see page 12 of MPUC Order Establishing 2018 and 2019 Estimate of Future Carbon Dioxide Regulation Costs in Dockets No. E999/CI-07-1199 and E999/DI-17-53 issued June 11, 2018). All prices escalate at general inflation.

The Order Establishing 2018 and 2019 Estimate of Future Carbon Dioxide Regulation Costs requires four alternative scenarios to be run in addition to the PVSC Base Case. The Order Extending Deadline for Filing Next Resource Plan issued January 30, 2019 also requires a scenario using the midpoint of the Commission’s most recently approved externalities and regulatory costs of carbon. The values in the PVSC Base Case and alternative scenarios are set out below.

Table IV-2: CO₂ Costs

CO2 Costs (\$ per short ton)						
Year	Low Environmental Cost	High Environmental Cost	Low Environmental/ Regulatory Costs	Mid Environmental/ Regulatory Costs	PVSC - High Environmental/ Regulatory Costs	PVRR - Omitting CO2 Cost Considerations
2018	\$9.09	\$42.76	\$9.09	\$25.92	\$42.76	\$0.00
2019	\$9.49	\$44.58	\$9.49	\$27.04	\$44.58	\$0.00
2020	\$9.90	\$46.45	\$9.90	\$28.18	\$46.45	\$0.00
2021	\$10.32	\$48.39	\$10.32	\$29.35	\$48.39	\$0.00
2022	\$10.77	\$50.38	\$10.77	\$30.57	\$50.38	\$0.00
2023	\$11.22	\$52.43	\$11.22	\$31.82	\$52.43	\$0.00
2024	\$11.69	\$54.55	\$11.69	\$33.12	\$54.55	\$0.00
2025	\$12.16	\$56.72	\$5.00	\$15.00	\$25.00	\$0.00
2026	\$12.67	\$58.97	\$5.10	\$15.30	\$25.50	\$0.00
2027	\$13.17	\$61.29	\$5.20	\$15.61	\$26.01	\$0.00
2028	\$13.70	\$63.67	\$5.31	\$15.92	\$26.53	\$0.00
2029	\$14.24	\$66.12	\$5.41	\$16.24	\$27.06	\$0.00
2030	\$14.80	\$68.64	\$5.52	\$16.56	\$27.60	\$0.00
2031	\$15.37	\$71.24	\$5.63	\$16.89	\$28.15	\$0.00
2032	\$15.97	\$73.91	\$5.74	\$17.23	\$28.72	\$0.00
2033	\$16.57	\$76.67	\$5.86	\$17.57	\$29.29	\$0.00
2034	\$17.21	\$79.50	\$5.98	\$17.93	\$29.88	\$0.00
2035	\$17.85	\$82.41	\$6.09	\$18.28	\$30.47	\$0.00
2036	\$18.52	\$85.41	\$6.22	\$18.65	\$31.08	\$0.00
2037	\$19.20	\$88.50	\$6.34	\$19.02	\$31.71	\$0.00
2038	\$19.91	\$91.68	\$6.47	\$19.40	\$32.34	\$0.00
2039	\$20.62	\$94.96	\$6.60	\$19.79	\$32.99	\$0.00
2040	\$21.38	\$98.32	\$6.73	\$20.19	\$33.65	\$0.00
2041	\$22.14	\$101.78	\$6.86	\$20.59	\$34.32	\$0.00
2042	\$22.94	\$105.34	\$7.00	\$21.00	\$35.01	\$0.00
2043	\$23.74	\$109.00	\$7.14	\$21.42	\$35.71	\$0.00
2044	\$24.58	\$112.76	\$7.28	\$21.85	\$36.42	\$0.00
2045	\$25.43	\$116.63	\$7.43	\$22.29	\$37.15	\$0.00
2046	\$26.33	\$120.61	\$7.58	\$22.73	\$37.89	\$0.00
2047	\$27.23	\$124.71	\$7.73	\$23.19	\$38.65	\$0.00
2048	\$28.17	\$128.92	\$7.88	\$23.65	\$39.42	\$0.00
2049	\$29.12	\$133.24	\$8.04	\$24.13	\$40.21	\$0.00
2050	\$30.12	\$137.69	\$8.20	\$24.61	\$41.02	\$0.00
2051	\$31.14	\$142.26	\$8.37	\$25.10	\$41.84	\$0.00
2052	\$32.18	\$146.97	\$8.53	\$25.60	\$42.67	\$0.00
2053	\$33.26	\$151.80	\$8.71	\$26.12	\$43.53	\$0.00
2054	\$34.36	\$156.76	\$8.88	\$26.64	\$44.40	\$0.00
2055	\$35.50	\$161.87	\$9.06	\$27.17	\$45.28	\$0.00
2056	\$36.66	\$167.11	\$9.24	\$27.71	\$46.19	\$0.00
2057	\$37.86	\$172.51	\$9.42	\$28.27	\$47.11	\$0.00

E. All Other Externality Costs

The values of the criteria pollutants are derived from the high and low values for each of the three locations, as determined in the Minnesota Commission Order Updating Environmental Cost Values in Docket No. E999/CI-14-643 issued January 3, 2018. The midpoint externality costs are the average of the low and high values. All prices are escalated to 2018 real dollars using the 2017 GDPIPD of 113.416. The high, low and midpoint externality costs will be used in the CO₂ sensitivities as described above.

Table IV-3: Externality Costs

MPUC Low Externality Costs 2018 \$ per short ton				
	Urban	Metro Fringe	Rural	<200mi
SO2	\$6,116	\$4,829	\$3,643	\$0
NOx	\$2,934	\$2,622	\$2,110	\$28
PM2.5	\$10,697	\$6,856	\$3,654	\$872
CO	\$1.65	\$1.17	\$0.31	\$0.31
Pb	\$4,857	\$2,562	\$624	\$624

MPUC High Externality Costs 2018 \$ per short ton				
	Urban	Metro Fringe	Rural	<200mi
SO2	\$15,288	\$12,030	\$8,878	\$0
NOx	\$8,390	\$7,798	\$6,771	\$158
PM2.5	\$26,721	\$17,091	\$8,973	\$1,327
CO	\$3.51	\$2.08	\$0.63	\$0.63
Pb	\$6,011	\$3,094	\$695	\$695

MPUC Midpoint Externality Costs 2018 \$ per short ton				
	Urban	Metro Fringe	Rural	<200mi
SO2	\$10,702	\$8,430	\$6,261	\$0
NOx	\$5,662	\$5,210	\$4,441	\$93
PM2.5	\$18,709	\$11,974	\$6,313	\$1,099
CO	\$2.58	\$1.63	\$0.47	\$0.47
Pb	\$5,434	\$2,828	\$659	\$659

F. Demand and Energy Forecast

The Company's fall 2019 load forecast is used as the base assumption and assumes that EV impacts growth continues throughout the forecast period. The energy efficiency (EE) forecast included in the base forecast developed by the Company's Load Forecasting department assumes somewhat less energy efficiency (EE) savings

levels than those included in our initial Resource Plan's Preferred Plan. Please see Attachment A Section II for more information.

The "Load Forecast with EE" shown in Table IV-4 below is the starting point for the load inputs. In all modeling scenarios, the "EE" is removed – the removal of these EE program effects, which have a 14-year life, impacts the load forecast through 2048. In the initial filing, the three EE Bundles (discussed below) were optimized as Proview Alternatives. For this supplemental filing, the first two EE Bundles are included in all scenarios. The resulting forecast, before the optimized EE bundles are added, is shown below in Table IV-4 as "Forecast Without EE." The forecasts shown do not include the impact of DG solar, as DG solar is modeled as a resource, not a load modifier.

Table IV-4: Demand and Energy Forecast

Demand and Energy Forecast				
Year	Demand (MW)		Energy (GWh)	
	Forecast with EE	Forecast without EE	Forecast with EE	Forecast without EE
2018	9,152	9,152	43,914	43,914
2019	9,084	9,084	43,558	43,558
2020	9,099	9,230	43,170	43,806
2021	9,079	9,312	42,741	44,018
2022	9,126	9,462	42,628	44,549
2023	9,165	9,604	42,440	45,004
2024	9,184	9,728	42,339	45,555
2025	9,238	9,849	42,324	45,976
2026	9,311	9,992	42,470	46,565
2027	9,414	10,164	42,757	47,296
2028	9,504	10,327	43,221	48,216
2029	9,525	10,416	43,006	48,432
2030	9,605	10,566	43,224	49,093
2031	9,679	10,710	43,420	49,734
2032	9,775	10,880	43,903	50,678
2033	9,979	11,058	44,532	51,299
2034	10,190	11,246	45,426	52,203
2035	10,343	11,269	46,158	52,299
2036	10,502	11,325	47,028	52,527
2037	10,673	11,393	47,647	52,503
2038	10,803	11,420	48,209	52,422
2039	10,936	11,449	48,833	52,394
2040	11,073	11,518	49,603	52,729
2041	11,209	11,585	50,055	52,737
2042	11,338	11,645	50,635	52,873
2043	11,467	11,701	51,267	53,048
2044	11,614	11,780	52,023	53,374
2045	11,722	11,818	52,468	53,375
2046	11,839	11,865	53,010	53,473
2047	11,951	11,903	53,545	53,547
2048	12,021	11,998	54,150	54,160
2049	12,045	12,045	54,202	54,202
2050	12,097	12,097	54,407	54,407
2051	12,149	12,149	54,611	54,611
2052	12,199	12,199	54,947	54,947
2053	12,252	12,252	55,022	55,022
2054	12,305	12,305	55,226	55,226
2055	12,357	12,357	55,431	55,431
2056	12,409	12,409	55,765	55,765
2057	12,461	12,461	55,840	55,840

The low load sensitivity includes high customer-adoption-based DG/DER growth and higher EE savings, which reduces load. The high load sensitivity includes high

electrification load. These assumptions are shown in Table IV-5 and Table IV-6 and are incremental/decremental to the forecast shown in Table IV-4.

Table IV-5: High Load Sensitivity

High Electrification		
Year	Energy (GWh)	Demand (MW)
2018	35	8
2019	46	6
2020	59	7
2021	166	20
2022	276	33
2023	390	47
2024	507	62
2025	592	65
2026	692	77
2027	812	85
2028	939	98
2029	1,202	118
2030	1,578	162
2031	2,028	205
2032	2,538	251
2033	3,137	305
2034	3,857	367
2035	4,716	438
2036	5,657	515
2037	6,672	596
2038	7,741	679
2039	8,851	766
2040	9,996	854
2041	11,114	940
2042	12,199	1,025
2043	13,241	1,118
2044	14,229	1,796
2045	15,159	2,520
2046	16,037	3,173
2047	16,877	3,796
2048	17,696	4,647
2049	18,660	4,908
2050	19,530	5,407
2051	20,634	5,947
2052	21,645	6,418
2053	22,656	6,896
2054	23,666	7,384
2055	24,677	7,877
2056	25,688	8,352
2057	26,699	8,840

**Demand values are coincident to system peak*

Table IV- 6: Low Load Sensitivity

Year	High DER Growth	
	Energy (GWh)	Demand (Nameplate MW)
2018	0	0
2019	0	0
2020	0	0
2021	207	122
2022	180	106
2023	159	94
2024	270	159
2025	258	152
2026	423	250
2027	423	250
2028	635	374
2029	641	379
2030	740	437
2031	826	487
2032	913	538
2033	996	588
2034	1,082	639
2035	1,167	689
2036	1,256	739
2037	1,338	790
2038	1,423	840
2039	1,509	891
2040	1,598	941
2041	1,631	963
2042	1,580	933
2043	1,529	903
2044	1,482	872
2045	1,425	842
2046	1,350	797
2047	1,296	765
2048	1,245	733
2049	1,187	701
2050	1,131	668
2051	1,063	628
2052	1,009	594
2053	932	550
2054	872	515
2055	807	476
2056	742	437
2057	671	396

G. Energy Efficiency Bundles

The EE “Program” and “Maximum” Bundles are based on the Minnesota DOC’s Minnesota Energy Efficiency Potential Study: 2020-2029 published December 4, 2018. The “Optimal” Bundle was developed by the Company. The bundles are decremental (reducing energy and demand) to the “Forecast without EE” shown in Table IV-4.

Table IV- 7: Energy Efficiency Bundles

Year	Energy(MWh)			Demand (MW)			Costs (\$000)		
	Bundle 1: Program	Bundle 2: Optimal	Bundle 3: Max	Bundle 1: Program	Bundle 2: Optimal	Bundle 3: Max	Bundle 1: Program	Bundle 2: Optimal	Bundle 3: Max
2018	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2019	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2020	621	43	231	97	18	36	100,989	12,598	148,331
2021	1,326	91	493	207	38	77	113,525	13,905	167,221
2022	1,913	148	702	301	60	113	121,239	21,425	177,197
2023	2,555	211	928	407	86	154	133,614	23,931	196,474
2024	3,094	279	1,110	520	116	197	148,406	26,120	217,388
2025	3,629	346	1,289	635	146	241	152,433	26,077	223,293
2026	4,330	414	1,533	759	176	289	160,445	26,236	233,779
2027	5,054	482	1,785	886	206	338	167,718	26,637	242,963
2028	5,785	551	2,040	1,012	235	387	174,161	27,018	249,373
2029	6,454	606	2,280	1,127	259	432	162,170	23,442	233,114
2030	7,110	659	2,516	1,241	283	477	162,170	23,442	233,114
2031	7,753	710	2,748	1,354	307	522	162,170	23,442	233,114
2032	8,339	760	2,960	1,460	329	564	162,170	23,442	233,114
2033	8,909	808	3,168	1,564	352	605	162,170	23,442	233,114
2034	9,464	857	3,370	1,667	374	646	162,170	23,442	233,114
2035	9,250	846	3,294	1,648	370	638	0	0	0
2036	8,739	835	3,073	1,579	366	600	0	0	0
2037	8,088	789	2,829	1,470	347	557	0	0	0
2038	7,450	741	2,590	1,369	327	517	0	0	0
2039	6,841	685	2,372	1,267	304	475	0	0	0
2040	6,197	626	2,144	1,154	278	430	0	0	0
2041	5,543	562	1,919	1,036	250	384	0	0	0
2042	4,871	499	1,685	916	221	337	0	0	0
2043	4,220	434	1,457	796	191	291	0	0	0
2044	3,561	377	1,218	678	165	245	0	0	0
2045	2,912	318	990	562	139	201	0	0	0
2046	2,276	265	761	451	116	156	0	0	0
2047	1,746	212	573	349	93	117	0	0	0
2048	1,216	159	384	248	70	79	0	0	0
2049	686	106	195	146	46	40	0	0	0
2050	156	53	7	45	23	1	0	0	0
2051	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2052	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2053	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2054	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2055	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2056	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2057	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

***Demand values are coincident to system peak*

H. Demand Response Forecast

The base demand response forecast was developed by the Company and is included in all scenarios and sensitivities. The three demand response “Bundles” are from the Brattle Potential Study provided as Appendix G2. The Bundles are incremental to the base demand response forecast. In the initial filing, the three DR Bundles were optimized as Proview Alternatives. For this Supplement, the first DR Bundle is included in all scenarios.

Table IV-8: Demand Response Forecast

Demand (MW) Adjusted For Reserve Margin					Costs (\$000)		
Year	Base Demand Response Forecast	Bundle 1	Bundle 2	Bundle 3	Bundle 1	Bundle 2	Bundle 3
2018	852	0	0	0	0	0	0
2019	928	0	0	0	0	0	0
2020	1012	33	107	90	1,752	7,659	11,311
2021	1027	165	112	98	8,917	8,150	12,587
2022	1041	232	117	107	12,748	8,676	14,016
2023	1055	294	121	110	16,489	9,137	14,758
2024	1066	341	133	101	19,512	10,277	13,829
2025	1072	382	145	92	22,305	11,459	12,858
2026	1077	394	152	93	23,475	12,207	13,326
2027	1078	407	159	95	24,786	13,080	13,845
2028	1077	423	168	97	26,245	14,086	14,418
2029	1071	440	178	99	27,859	15,231	15,047
2030	1059	458	190	102	29,637	16,522	15,734
2031	1048	478	202	104	31,551	17,926	16,467
2032	1037	499	215	107	33,612	19,451	17,251
2033	1026	521	228	110	35,832	21,109	18,088
2034	1016	545	243	113	38,224	22,911	18,984
2035	1005	570	259	116	40,802	24,870	19,943
2036	995	596	275	120	43,582	26,999	20,971
2037	985	624	293	123	46,580	29,313	22,072
2038	976	654	312	127	49,814	31,829	23,253
2039	966	686	332	132	53,305	34,564	24,522
2040	957	720	353	136	57,073	37,537	25,884
2041	948	720	353	136	58,215	38,288	26,402
2042	939	720	353	136	59,379	39,054	26,930
2043	930	720	353	136	60,566	39,835	27,468
2044	922	720	353	136	61,778	40,632	28,018
2045	914	720	353	136	63,013	41,444	28,578
2046	906	720	353	136	64,274	42,273	29,150
2047	898	720	353	136	65,559	43,118	29,733
2048	890	720	353	136	66,870	43,981	30,327
2049	882	720	353	136	68,208	44,860	30,934
2050	875	720	353	136	69,572	45,758	31,552
2051	868	720	353	136	70,963	46,673	32,183
2052	860	720	353	136	72,382	47,606	32,827
2053	853	720	353	136	73,830	48,558	33,484
2054	847	720	353	136	75,307	49,530	34,153
2055	840	720	353	136	76,813	50,520	34,836
2056	833	720	353	136	78,349	51,531	35,533
2057	827	720	353	136	79,916	52,561	36,244

**Demand values are coincident to system peak.*

I. Fuel Price Forecasts

Natural gas price forecasts are developed using a blend of market information (New York Mercantile Exchange, or NYMEX, futures prices) and long-term fundamentally-based forecasts from Wood Mackenzie, Cambridge Energy Research Associates (CERA) and Petroleum Industry Research Associates (PIRA).

Coal price forecasts are developed using two major inputs: the current contract volumes and prices combined with current estimates of required spot volumes and prices to cover non-contracted coal needs. Typically coal volumes and prices are under contract on a plant by plant basis for a one to five-year term with annual spot volumes filling the estimated fuel requirements of the coal plant based on recent unit dispatch. The spot coal price forecasts are developed from price forecasts provided by Wood Mackenzie, JD Energy, and John T Boyd Company, as well as price points from recent Request for Proposal (RFP) responses for coal supply. Added to the spot coal forecast, which is just for the coal commodity, are: transportation charges, SO₂ costs, freeze control and dust suppressant, as required.

In addition to resources that exist within the NSP System, the Company is a participant in the MISO Market. Electric power market prices are developed from fundamentally-based forecasts from Wood Mackenzie, CERA and PIRA using a similar methodology as is used for the gas price forecast. Table IV-9 below shows the market prices under zero CO₂ cost assumptions. The market purchases and sales limit for transaction volume between the Company and MISO is 1,350 MWh/h in 2018, 1,800 MWh/h from 2019-2022, and 2,300 MWh/h for 2023 and beyond.

High and low-price sensitivities were performed by adjusting the growth rate up and down by 50 percent from the base forecast starting when the long-term fundamentally-based forecasts are blended with market information (NYMEX futures prices).

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
 Attachment A: Supplement Details
 IV. Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table IV-9: Fuel and Market Price Forecasts

Year	Base Price Forecast				Low Price Forecast				High Price Forecast			
	Fuel Price (\$/mmBTu)		Market Price (\$/MWh)		Fuel Price (\$/mmBTu)		Market Price (\$/MWh)		Fuel Price (\$/mmBTu)		Market Price (\$/MWh)	
	Generic Coal	Ventura Hub	Minn Hub On-Peak	Minn Hub Off-Peak	Generic Coal	Ventura Hub	Minn Hub On-Peak	Minn Hub Off-Peak	Generic Coal	Ventura Hub	Minn Hub On-Peak	Minn Hub Off-Peak
2018	\$2.19	\$2.74	\$28.60	\$21.61	\$2.19	\$2.74	\$28.60	\$21.61	\$2.19	\$2.74	\$28.60	\$21.61
2019	\$2.08	\$2.60	\$26.93	\$20.98	\$2.08	\$2.60	\$26.93	\$20.98	\$2.08	\$2.60	\$26.93	\$20.98
2020	\$2.11	\$2.26	\$25.78	\$20.13	\$2.11	\$2.26	\$25.78	\$20.13	\$2.11	\$2.26	\$25.78	\$20.13
2021	\$2.14	\$2.23	\$25.32	\$19.06	\$2.14	\$2.23	\$25.32	\$19.06	\$2.14	\$2.23	\$25.32	\$19.06
2022	\$2.19	\$2.33	\$26.92	\$20.45	\$2.17	\$2.28	\$26.33	\$20.00	\$2.24	\$2.38	\$27.52	\$20.90
2023	\$2.25	\$2.45	\$29.31	\$22.19	\$2.19	\$2.34	\$27.96	\$21.17	\$2.36	\$2.57	\$30.68	\$23.23
2024	\$2.30	\$2.58	\$30.00	\$23.20	\$2.22	\$2.40	\$27.94	\$21.60	\$2.46	\$2.76	\$32.16	\$24.87
2025	\$2.35	\$2.79	\$31.47	\$24.36	\$2.24	\$2.50	\$28.17	\$21.80	\$2.57	\$3.11	\$35.04	\$27.12
2026	\$2.40	\$2.98	\$32.30	\$24.99	\$2.27	\$2.58	\$28.01	\$21.67	\$2.69	\$3.42	\$37.09	\$28.70
2027	\$2.45	\$3.12	\$33.35	\$26.71	\$2.29	\$2.64	\$28.28	\$22.64	\$2.81	\$3.66	\$39.16	\$31.36
2028	\$2.51	\$3.26	\$34.09	\$26.97	\$2.32	\$2.71	\$28.25	\$22.35	\$2.93	\$3.92	\$40.92	\$32.38
2029	\$2.57	\$3.44	\$35.21	\$28.25	\$2.34	\$2.78	\$28.42	\$22.79	\$3.07	\$4.24	\$43.38	\$34.80
2030	\$2.62	\$3.70	\$38.27	\$30.69	\$2.37	\$2.88	\$29.83	\$23.92	\$3.20	\$4.71	\$48.76	\$39.09
2031	\$2.68	\$3.87	\$39.33	\$32.07	\$2.40	\$2.95	\$29.97	\$24.44	\$3.35	\$5.04	\$51.22	\$41.77
2032	\$2.75	\$4.02	\$39.75	\$33.14	\$2.43	\$3.01	\$29.71	\$24.77	\$3.51	\$5.34	\$52.76	\$43.99
2033	\$2.81	\$4.10	\$39.93	\$33.46	\$2.45	\$3.03	\$29.58	\$24.79	\$3.67	\$5.48	\$53.47	\$44.80
2034	\$2.87	\$4.20	\$41.13	\$34.56	\$2.48	\$3.07	\$30.08	\$25.28	\$3.83	\$5.70	\$55.76	\$46.86
2035	\$2.94	\$4.35	\$42.15	\$35.66	\$2.51	\$3.13	\$30.32	\$25.65	\$4.00	\$6.00	\$58.12	\$49.17
2036	\$2.99	\$4.47	\$42.79	\$36.60	\$2.53	\$3.17	\$30.37	\$25.97	\$4.14	\$6.24	\$59.80	\$51.13
2037	\$3.07	\$4.65	\$44.00	\$38.21	\$2.56	\$3.24	\$30.61	\$26.58	\$4.36	\$6.63	\$62.69	\$54.44
2038	\$3.14	\$4.86	\$44.95	\$39.45	\$2.60	\$3.31	\$30.60	\$26.85	\$4.58	\$7.08	\$65.43	\$57.42
2039	\$3.23	\$5.04	\$45.82	\$40.48	\$2.63	\$3.37	\$30.63	\$27.06	\$4.83	\$7.47	\$67.88	\$59.98
2040	\$3.31	\$5.22	\$46.61	\$41.48	\$2.66	\$3.43	\$30.61	\$27.25	\$5.06	\$7.87	\$70.25	\$62.53
2041	\$3.37	\$5.32	\$46.52	\$41.48	\$2.69	\$3.46	\$30.27	\$26.99	\$5.26	\$8.10	\$70.79	\$63.12
2042	\$3.45	\$5.47	\$47.61	\$42.64	\$2.72	\$3.51	\$30.57	\$27.38	\$5.51	\$8.43	\$73.40	\$65.74
2043	\$3.53	\$5.62	\$48.37	\$43.71	\$2.75	\$3.56	\$30.64	\$27.69	\$5.77	\$8.78	\$75.56	\$68.28
2044	\$3.62	\$5.78	\$49.72	\$44.99	\$2.79	\$3.61	\$31.04	\$28.09	\$6.05	\$9.17	\$78.79	\$71.29
2045	\$3.70	\$5.99	\$51.23	\$46.37	\$2.82	\$3.68	\$31.45	\$28.46	\$6.31	\$9.65	\$82.57	\$74.73
2046	\$3.78	\$6.17	\$52.49	\$47.53	\$2.85	\$3.73	\$31.74	\$28.74	\$6.59	\$10.09	\$85.85	\$77.73
2047	\$3.86	\$6.29	\$53.27	\$48.57	\$2.88	\$3.77	\$31.89	\$29.08	\$6.88	\$10.40	\$87.98	\$80.22
2048	\$3.95	\$6.46	\$54.39	\$49.88	\$2.91	\$3.82	\$32.15	\$29.49	\$7.20	\$10.80	\$90.96	\$83.42
2049	\$4.04	\$6.66	\$55.69	\$50.92	\$2.95	\$3.88	\$32.43	\$29.65	\$7.53	\$11.30	\$94.52	\$86.43
2050	\$4.13	\$6.77	\$56.64	\$51.71	\$2.98	\$3.91	\$32.70	\$29.85	\$7.87	\$11.60	\$96.97	\$88.53
2051	\$4.22	\$6.96	\$58.23	\$53.16	\$3.01	\$3.96	\$33.16	\$30.27	\$8.21	\$12.08	\$101.05	\$92.24
2052	\$4.31	\$7.13	\$59.62	\$54.42	\$3.04	\$4.01	\$33.56	\$30.63	\$8.57	\$12.51	\$104.64	\$95.53
2053	\$4.41	\$7.29	\$61.00	\$55.68	\$3.08	\$4.06	\$33.94	\$30.99	\$8.94	\$12.95	\$108.29	\$98.85
2054	\$4.50	\$7.46	\$62.38	\$56.95	\$3.11	\$4.10	\$34.33	\$31.34	\$9.33	\$13.39	\$111.97	\$102.21
2055	\$4.60	\$7.62	\$63.76	\$58.21	\$3.14	\$4.15	\$34.71	\$31.69	\$9.73	\$13.83	\$115.69	\$105.61
2056	\$4.69	\$7.79	\$65.15	\$59.47	\$3.17	\$4.19	\$35.09	\$32.03	\$10.12	\$14.28	\$119.45	\$109.05
2057	\$4.79	\$7.95	\$66.53	\$60.73	\$3.21	\$4.24	\$35.46	\$32.37	\$10.52	\$14.74	\$123.26	\$112.52

*Coal prices are delivered prices, while gas and market prices are hub prices.

J. Baseload Retirement “Leave Behind” Costs

Based on the MISO Y2 retirement studies performed on existing coal and nuclear resources, the Company developed transmission reinforcement or “leave behind” estimates, which reflect costs required to mitigate localized grid impacts of the retirement of major baseload resources. The reinforcement costs are included as a one-time charge based on the timing of the resource retirement.

Specifically, we have included the following proxy leave behind costs related to our baseload resource retirements as estimated from the MISO studies. We applied these costs in the modeling as soon as the resource is retired, over a three-year period, to reflect the estimated local transmission reinforcement costs assumed to be required upon retirement. All numbers below are in real dollar terms (\$2020).

- King: \$48 million
- Sherco 3: \$48 million
- Monticello: \$96 million
- Prairie Island 1: \$96 million
- Prairie Island 2: \$96 million

K. Surplus Capacity Credit

The surplus capacity credit of up to 500 MW is applied for all twelve months of each year and is priced at the avoided capacity cost of a generic brownfield H-Class combustion turbine on an economic carrying charge basis.

Table IV-10: Surplus Capacity Credit

	Surplus Capacity Credit																			
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
\$/kw-mo	4.57	4.66	4.75	4.85	4.95	5.05	5.15	5.25	5.35	5.46	5.57	5.68	5.80	5.91	6.03	6.15	6.27	6.40	6.53	6.66
	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057
\$/kw-mo	6.79	6.93	7.07	7.21	7.35	7.50	7.65	7.80	7.96	8.12	8.28	8.44	8.61	8.79	8.96	9.14	9.32	9.51	9.70	9.89

L. Effective Load Carrying Capability Capacity Credit for Wind, Solar, and Battery Resources

The ELCC for existing wind units is based on current MISO accreditation. The ELCC for generic wind is equal to 16.7 percent of their nameplate rating per MISO 2020/2021 Wind Capacity Report. The ELCC for generic solar is based on the values

provided in MISO’s MTEP 2019 in Appendix E,¹ and is 50 percent of the alternating current (AC) nameplate capacity through 2023, declining 2 percent annually to 30 percent by 2033 where it remains for the rest of the forecast period. The ELCC assigned for a generic 4-hour battery is equal to 100 percent of the AC equivalent capacity. The ELCC used for hybrid options are the same as the individual components.

M. Spinning Reserve Requirement

Spinning reserve is the online reserve capacity that is synchronized to the grid to maintain system frequency stability during contingency events and unforeseen load swings. The level of spinning reserve modeled is 137 MW and is based on a 12-month rolling average of spinning reserves carried by the NSP System within MISO.

N. Emergency Energy

Emergency energy is used to cover events where there are not enough resources or market purchase energy available to meet system energy requirements. In Strategist, this is set to \$500/MWh. Encompass uses the default value of \$10,000/MWh. The primary reason for this difference is the way the models utilize this input. In Strategist’s dispatch approach, the emergency energy is determined after the dispatch, when all resources have been utilized and an energy shortfall still exists. In EnCompass, emergency energy is a “soft constraint” that allows emergency energy to “dispatch” as a last resort resource, in order for the model to find a feasible solution. The EnCompass price is set to a high level to ensure that all other available resources – including those that may have a very high effective \$/MWh cost resulting from startup costs spread over a very small required run time – are utilized before emergency energy.

O. Transmission Delivery Costs and Interconnection Costs

Transmission delivery costs for generic resources were developed by the Company. They are based on evaluation of recent and historical MISO studies and queue results. These costs represent “grid upgrades” to ensure deliverability of energy from these facilities to the overall bulk electric system.

¹ Available at: <https://cdn.misoenergy.org//MTEP19%20Appendix%20E-Futures%20Assumptions382958.pdf>

We note additionally that interconnection costs for generic resources are included in the capital costs in Table IV-14 in Part U of this section and represent “behind the fence” costs associated with substation and representative gen-tie construction.

Table IV-11: Transmission Delivery Costs

Transmission Delivery Costs				
	CC	CT	Wind	Solar
\$/kw	500	200	500	200

P. Integration and Congestion Costs

Integration costs are taken from studies conducted by Enernex and apply to new wind and solar resources only. Congestion costs were not included in the model.

Table IV-12: Integration Costs

Integration Costs (\$/MWh)		
Year	Wind	Solar
2018	0.00	0.00
2019	0.00	0.00
2020	0.41	0.41
2021	0.42	0.42
2022	0.43	0.43
2023	0.44	0.44
2024	0.45	0.45
2025	0.46	0.46
2026	0.47	0.47
2027	0.48	0.48
2028	0.49	0.49
2029	0.49	0.49
2030	0.50	0.50
2031	0.51	0.51
2032	0.53	0.53
2033	0.54	0.54
2034	0.55	0.55
2035	0.56	0.56
2036	0.57	0.57
2037	0.58	0.58
2038	0.59	0.59
2039	0.60	0.60
2040	0.62	0.62
2041	0.63	0.63
2042	0.64	0.64
2043	0.65	0.65
2044	0.67	0.67
2045	0.68	0.68
2046	0.69	0.69
2047	0.71	0.71
2048	0.72	0.72
2049	0.74	0.74
2050	0.75	0.75
2051	0.77	0.77
2052	0.78	0.78
2053	0.80	0.80
2054	0.81	0.81
2055	0.83	0.83
2056	0.84	0.84
2057	0.86	0.86

Q. Distributed Solar Generation and Community Solar Gardens

The distributed solar and Community Solar Gardens inputs are based on the most recent Company forecasts. Distributed Solar is modeled assuming a degradation of half a percent annually in generation. Community Solar Gardens are modeled

assuming a degradation of half a percent annually in generation, and a twenty-five-year service life. After a “vintage” of additions reach end of life, it is assumed 90% of the capacity is replaced at then-current costs.

Table IV-13: Distributed Solar Forecast

Distributed Solar (Nameplate MW)			
Year	Solar Rewards	Community Gardens	Total
2018	29	246	274
2019	61	504	565
2020	80	658	738
2021	95	714	809
2022	109	787	897
2023	123	841	964
2024	138	852	989
2025	152	853	1,005
2026	166	854	1,020
2027	180	855	1,035
2028	194	857	1,050
2029	208	858	1,066
2030	222	859	1,080
2031	236	860	1,095
2032	249	861	1,110
2033	263	862	1,125
2034	276	863	1,140
2035	290	864	1,154
2036	303	866	1,169
2037	317	867	1,184
2038	330	868	1,198
2039	343	869	1,212
2040	357	870	1,227
2041	370	871	1,241
2042	383	869	1,252
2043	396	852	1,247
2044	409	830	1,239
2045	421	818	1,239
2046	434	814	1,248
2047	447	808	1,255
2048	460	805	1,264
2049	472	805	1,277
2050	491	806	1,297
2051	504	807	1,311
2052	518	808	1,326
2053	531	809	1,340
2054	545	810	1,355
2055	559	811	1,369
2056	572	812	1,384
2057	586	812	1,398

R. Owned Unit Modeled Operating Characteristics and Costs

Company-owned units are modeled based upon their tested operating characteristics and projected costs. Below is a list of typical operating and cost inputs for each company owned resource.

- a. Retirement Date
- b. Maximum Capacity
- c. Current Unforced Capacity (UCAP) Ratings
- d. Minimum Capacity Rating
- e. Seasonal Deration
- f. Heat Rate Profiles
- g. Variable O&M
- h. Fixed O&M
- i. Maintenance Schedule
- j. Forced Outage Rate
- k. Emission rates for SO₂, NO_x, CO₂, Mercury and particulate matter (PM)
- l. Contribution to spinning reserve
- m. Fuel prices
- n. Fuel delivery charges

S. Thermal PPA Operating Characteristics and Costs

PPAs are modeled based upon their tested operating characteristics and contracted costs. Below is a list of typical operating and cost inputs for each thermal PPA.

- a. Contract term
- b. Maximum Capacity
- c. Minimum Capacity Rating
- d. Seasonal Deration
- e. Heat Rate Profiles
- f. Energy Schedule
- g. Capacity Payments
- h. Energy Payments
- i. Maintenance Schedule
- j. Forced Outage Rate
- k. Emission rates for SO₂, NO_x, CO₂, Mercury and PM
- l. Contribution to spinning reserve
- m. Fuel prices
- n. Fuel delivery charges

T. Renewable Energy (PPAs and Owned) Operating Characteristics and Costs

PPAs are modeled based upon their tested operating characteristics and contracted costs. Company owned units are modeled based upon their tested operating characteristics and projected costs. Below is a list of typical operating and cost inputs for each renewable energy unit.

- a. Contract term
- b. Name Plate Capacity
- c. Accredited Capacity
- d. Annual Energy
- e. Hourly Patterns
- f. Capacity and Energy Payments
- g. Integration Costs

Wind and solar hourly patterns are developed through a “Typical Meteorological Year” process where individual months are selected from the years 2017-2020 to develop a representative typical year. Actual generation data from the selected months is used to develop the profile for each unit. For units where generation data is not complete or not available, data from a nearby similar unit is used.

U. Generic Assumptions

Generic resources are modeled based upon their expected operating characteristics and projected costs. Generic thermal costs are developed by the Company. Generic renewable and battery costs are based on data from the NREL 2019 ATB. Utility-scale wind and solar costs shown in Tables IV-18 through IV-20 include transmission costs from Table IV-11 while DG/distributed solar does not.

The modeling no longer assumes “no going back” on renewables, which was the replacement of renewable resources for a similar resource when they reached the end of their life, but rather allows all renewable additions to be optimized.

In addition to base cost data for renewables, low and high costs are used for various sensitivities. Low and high wind, solar, and battery costs are also based on the 2019 ATB data. Below is a list of typical operating and cost inputs for each generic resource.

Thermal

- a. Retirement Date
- b. Maximum Capacity
- c. UCAP Ratings
- d. Minimum Capacity Rating
- e. Seasonal Deration
- f. Heat Rate Profiles
- g. Variable O&M
- h. Fixed O&M
- i. Maintenance Schedule
- j. Forced Outage Rate
- k. Emission rates for SO₂, NO_x, CO₂, Mercury and PM
- l. Contribution to spinning reserve
- m. Fuel prices
- n. Fuel delivery charges

Renewable

- a. Contract term
- b. Name Plate Capacity
- c. Accredited Capacity
- d. Annual Energy
- e. Hourly Patterns
- f. Capacity and Energy Payments
- g. Integration Costs

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Attachment A: Supplement Details
IV. Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table IV-14: Thermal Generic Information (Costs in 2018 Dollars)

Thermal Generic Information					
Resource	Sherco CC	Generic CC	Generic CT	Generic CT	Generic CT
Technology	7H	7H	7H	7F	7H
Location Type	Brownfield	Greenfield	Brownfield	Brownfield	Greenfield
Cooling Type	Wet	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
Book life	40	40	40	40	40
Nameplate Capacity (MW)	835	901	374	232	374
Summer Peak Capacity (MW)	750	856	331	206	331
Capital Cost (\$000) 2018\$	\$837,068	\$906,588	\$174,700	\$114,766	\$193,500
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$000) 2018\$	NA	\$410,505	NA	NA	\$74,804
Ongoing Capital Expenditures (\$000-yr) 2018\$	\$6,200	\$6,200	\$1,784	\$892	\$1,784
Gas Demand (\$000-yr) 2018\$	\$31,725	\$19,058	\$2,165	\$1,342	\$2,165
Capital Cost (\$/kW) 2018\$	\$1,002	\$1,006	\$467	\$495	\$517
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$/kW) 2018\$	NA	\$455	NA	NA	\$200
Ongoing Capital Expenditures (\$/kW-yr) 2018\$	\$7.42	\$6.88	\$4.77	\$3.85	\$4.77
Gas Demand (\$/kW-yr) 2018\$	\$37.98	\$21.14	\$5.79	\$5.79	\$5.79
Fixed O&M Cost (\$000/yr) 2018\$	\$6,592	\$6,592	\$1,253	\$1,203	\$1,253
Variable O&M Cost (\$/MWh) 2018\$	\$1.04	\$1.04	\$0.99	\$1.03	\$0.99
Levelized \$/kw-mo (All Fixed Costs) \$2018	\$15.26	\$16.06	\$5.91	\$6.22	\$8.06
Summer Heat Rate 100% Loading (btu/kWh)	6,359	6,848	9,264	10,025	9,264
Summer Heat Rate 75% Loading (btu/kWh)	6,547	6,874	9,738	10,581	9,738
Summer Heat Rate 50% Loading (btu/kWh)	6,985	7,334	11,120	12,515	11,120
Summer Heat Rate 25% Loading (btu/kWh)	8,004	8,404	11,558	13,430	11,558
Forced Outage Rate	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Maintenance (weeks/yr)	5	5	2	2	2
CO2 Emissions (lbs/MMBtu)	118	118	118	118	118
SO2 Emissions (lbs/MWh)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
NOx Emissions (lbs/MWh)	0.05	0.05	0.90	0.32	0.90
PM10 Emissions (lbs/MWh)	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03
Mercury Emissions (lbs/MMWh)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table IV-15: Renewable Generic Information (Costs in 2018 Dollars)

Renewable Generic Information				
Resource	Wind	Utility Scale Solar	Distributed Solar Commercial	Distributed Solar Residential
ELCC Capacity Credit (%)	16.7%	50% declines to 30%		
Capacity Factor	50.0%	22.0%	18.0%	18.0%
Book life	25	25	25	25
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$/kW)	500	200	0	0

Table IV-16: Storage Generic Information (Costs in 2018 Dollars)

Storage Generic Information	
Resource	Battery
Technology	Li Ion
Location Type	NA
Book life	40
Nameplate Capacity (MW)	321
Summer Peak Capacity (MW)	321
Storage Volume (hrs)	4
Cycle Efficiency (%)	85
Equivalent Full Cycles per Year	250
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$000) 2018\$	0
Levelized \$/kw-mo (All Fixed Costs) \$2023	\$18.18

Table IV-17: Levelized Capacity Costs by Year

Levelized Capacity Costs by In-Service Year (\$/kw-mo)								
COD	CT - 7H Greenfield	CT - 7F Brownfield	CT - 7H Brownfield	CC	Sherco CC	Base Battery	Low Battery	High Battery
2018	\$8.06	\$6.22	\$5.91	\$16.06	\$15.26			
2019	\$8.22	\$6.34	\$6.02	\$16.38	\$15.56			
2020	\$8.38	\$6.47	\$6.15	\$16.71	\$15.87	\$20.04	\$17.86	\$22.94
2021	\$8.55	\$6.60	\$6.27	\$17.05	\$16.19	\$19.44	\$16.81	\$23.19
2022	\$8.72	\$6.73	\$6.39	\$17.39	\$16.51	\$18.82	\$15.73	\$23.45
2023	\$8.89	\$6.86	\$6.52	\$17.73	\$16.85	\$18.18	\$14.62	\$23.71
2024	\$9.07	\$7.00	\$6.65	\$18.09	\$17.18	\$17.52	\$13.47	\$23.97
2025	\$9.25	\$7.14	\$6.78	\$18.45	\$17.53	\$16.84	\$12.30	\$24.24
2026	\$9.44	\$7.28	\$6.92	\$18.82	\$17.88	\$16.63	\$11.75	\$24.51
2027	\$9.63	\$7.43	\$7.06	\$19.20	\$18.23	\$16.41	\$11.18	\$24.78
2028	\$9.82	\$7.58	\$7.20	\$19.58	\$18.60	\$16.19	\$10.60	\$25.06
2029	\$10.02	\$7.73	\$7.34	\$19.97	\$18.97	\$15.95	\$10.00	\$25.34
2030	\$10.22	\$7.88	\$7.49	\$20.37	\$19.35	\$15.71	\$9.38	\$25.62
2031	\$10.42	\$8.04	\$7.64	\$20.78	\$19.74	\$15.83	\$9.38	\$26.06
2032	\$10.63	\$8.20	\$7.79	\$21.19	\$20.13	\$15.94	\$9.37	\$26.50
2033	\$10.84	\$8.36	\$7.95	\$21.62	\$20.53	\$16.04	\$9.36	\$26.94
2034	\$11.06	\$8.53	\$8.11	\$22.05	\$20.94	\$16.15	\$9.35	\$27.40
2035	\$11.28	\$8.70	\$8.27	\$22.49	\$21.36	\$16.26	\$9.33	\$27.86
2036	\$11.50	\$8.88	\$8.44	\$22.94	\$21.79	\$16.36	\$9.31	\$28.32
2037	\$11.73	\$9.05	\$8.60	\$23.40	\$22.23	\$16.46	\$9.28	\$28.80
2038	\$11.97	\$9.24	\$8.78	\$23.87	\$22.67	\$16.56	\$9.25	\$29.28
2039	\$12.21	\$9.42	\$8.95	\$24.34	\$23.12	\$16.65	\$9.21	\$29.78
2040	\$12.45	\$9.61	\$9.13	\$24.83	\$23.59	\$16.74	\$9.17	\$30.27
2041	\$12.70	\$9.80	\$9.31	\$25.33	\$24.06	\$16.83	\$9.13	\$30.78
2042	\$12.96	\$10.00	\$9.50	\$25.83	\$24.54	\$16.76	\$9.00	\$30.97
2043	\$13.22	\$10.20	\$9.69	\$26.35	\$25.03	\$16.66	\$8.85	\$31.12
2044	\$13.48	\$10.40	\$9.88	\$26.88	\$25.53	\$16.55	\$8.70	\$31.25
2045	\$13.75	\$10.61	\$10.08	\$27.42	\$26.04	\$16.42	\$8.53	\$31.35
2046	\$14.02	\$10.82	\$10.28	\$27.96	\$26.56	\$16.26	\$8.35	\$31.41
2047	\$14.30	\$11.04	\$10.49	\$28.52	\$27.09	\$16.08	\$8.16	\$31.44
2048	\$14.59	\$11.26	\$10.70	\$29.09	\$27.64	\$15.88	\$7.95	\$31.42
2049	\$14.88	\$11.48	\$10.91	\$29.68	\$28.19	\$15.65	\$7.73	\$31.35
2050	\$15.18	\$11.71	\$11.13	\$30.27	\$28.75	\$15.39	\$7.49	\$31.23
2051	\$15.48	\$11.95	\$11.35	\$30.88	\$29.33	\$15.70	\$7.64	\$31.85
2052	\$15.79	\$12.19	\$11.58	\$31.49	\$29.91	\$16.01	\$7.79	\$32.49
2053	\$16.11	\$12.43	\$11.81	\$32.12	\$30.51	\$16.33	\$7.95	\$33.14
2054	\$16.43	\$12.68	\$12.05	\$32.76	\$31.12	\$16.66	\$8.10	\$33.80
2055	\$16.76	\$12.93	\$12.29	\$33.42	\$31.75	\$16.99	\$8.27	\$34.48
2056	\$17.10	\$13.19	\$12.54	\$34.09	\$32.38	\$17.33	\$8.43	\$35.17
2057	\$17.44	\$13.45	\$12.79	\$34.77	\$33.03	\$17.68	\$8.60	\$35.87

Table IV-18: Base Renewable Levelized Costs by Year

Levelized Costs by First Full Year of Operation \$/MWh (LCOE)				
	Wind	Utility Scale Solar	Distributed Solar Commercial	Distributed Solar Residential
2018				
2019				
2020	\$28.29	\$46.12	\$61.16	\$92.16
2021	\$32.32	\$48.12	\$64.63	\$94.44
2022	\$36.53	\$53.73	\$74.07	\$105.71
2023	\$40.91	\$53.81	\$73.54	\$102.31
2024	\$36.03	\$53.87	\$72.96	\$98.77
2025	\$50.24	\$53.93	\$72.35	\$95.07
2026	\$50.28	\$53.97	\$71.70	\$91.23
2027	\$50.32	\$53.99	\$71.00	\$87.23
2028	\$50.36	\$54.01	\$70.26	\$83.07
2029	\$50.41	\$54.00	\$69.47	\$78.75
2030	\$50.46	\$53.98	\$68.64	\$74.26
2031	\$51.13	\$54.60	\$69.31	\$74.25
2032	\$51.81	\$55.21	\$69.97	\$74.23
2033	\$52.50	\$55.83	\$70.64	\$74.17
2034	\$53.19	\$56.45	\$71.31	\$74.08
2035	\$53.89	\$57.07	\$71.98	\$73.96
2036	\$54.60	\$57.70	\$72.65	\$73.81
2037	\$55.31	\$58.32	\$73.32	\$73.62
2038	\$56.03	\$58.96	\$73.98	\$73.40
2039	\$56.76	\$59.59	\$74.65	\$73.15
2040	\$57.49	\$60.23	\$75.31	\$72.86
2041	\$58.23	\$60.94	\$75.87	\$73.52
2042	\$58.98	\$61.66	\$76.42	\$74.18
2043	\$59.73	\$62.38	\$76.97	\$74.84
2044	\$60.49	\$63.10	\$77.51	\$75.49
2045	\$61.26	\$63.83	\$78.04	\$76.15
2046	\$62.03	\$64.57	\$78.56	\$77.43
2047	\$62.81	\$65.31	\$79.08	\$78.73
2048	\$63.60	\$66.05	\$79.58	\$80.05
2049	\$64.39	\$66.80	\$80.08	\$81.40
2050	\$65.19	\$67.55	\$80.56	\$82.76
2051	\$66.49	\$68.90	\$82.17	\$84.42
2052	\$67.82	\$70.28	\$83.81	\$86.11
2053	\$69.17	\$71.69	\$85.49	\$87.83
2054	\$70.56	\$73.12	\$87.20	\$89.59
2055	\$71.97	\$74.58	\$88.94	\$91.38
2056	\$73.41	\$76.08	\$90.72	\$93.20
2057	\$74.88	\$77.60	\$92.54	\$95.07

**Distributed Solar costs represent at the meter values before grossing up for losses.*

Table IV-19: Low Renewable Levelized Costs by Year

Low Levelized Costs by First Full Year of Operation \$/MWh (LCOE)				
	Wind	Utility Scale Solar	Distributed Solar Commercial	Distributed Solar Residential
2018				
2019				
2020	\$25.70	\$40.39	\$46.57	\$80.57
2021	\$28.96	\$41.44	\$44.77	\$80.58
2022	\$32.43	\$45.30	\$50.58	\$87.80
2023	\$36.12	\$44.66	\$49.46	\$82.47
2024	\$30.57	\$43.99	\$48.30	\$76.99
2025	\$44.15	\$43.29	\$47.11	\$71.34
2026	\$43.59	\$42.57	\$45.87	\$65.52
2027	\$43.05	\$41.82	\$44.59	\$59.54
2028	\$42.55	\$41.04	\$43.26	\$53.38
2029	\$42.07	\$40.23	\$41.89	\$47.05
2030	\$41.62	\$39.40	\$40.48	\$40.54
2031	\$42.10	\$39.43	\$40.22	\$40.29
2032	\$42.57	\$39.45	\$39.94	\$40.02
2033	\$43.05	\$39.46	\$39.63	\$39.73
2034	\$43.53	\$39.45	\$39.30	\$39.41
2035	\$44.01	\$39.43	\$38.95	\$39.06
2036	\$44.50	\$39.59	\$38.57	\$38.69
2037	\$44.98	\$39.74	\$38.16	\$38.29
2038	\$45.47	\$39.88	\$37.72	\$37.86
2039	\$45.96	\$40.01	\$37.25	\$37.41
2040	\$46.45	\$40.14	\$36.75	\$36.92
2041	\$46.94	\$40.51	\$37.10	\$37.03
2042	\$47.43	\$40.89	\$37.46	\$37.13
2043	\$47.92	\$41.26	\$37.81	\$37.22
2044	\$48.41	\$41.63	\$38.17	\$37.31
2045	\$48.90	\$42.01	\$37.15	\$37.38
2046	\$49.40	\$42.47	\$37.76	\$37.91
2047	\$49.89	\$42.93	\$38.38	\$38.45
2048	\$50.38	\$43.40	\$39.01	\$39.00
2049	\$50.88	\$43.87	\$39.65	\$39.55
2050	\$51.37	\$44.34	\$40.30	\$40.11
2051	\$52.40	\$45.23	\$41.10	\$40.92
2052	\$53.44	\$46.13	\$41.93	\$41.74
2053	\$54.51	\$47.06	\$42.76	\$42.57
2054	\$55.60	\$48.00	\$43.62	\$43.42
2055	\$56.71	\$48.96	\$44.49	\$44.29
2056	\$57.85	\$49.94	\$45.38	\$45.18
2057	\$59.01	\$50.94	\$46.29	\$46.08

**Distributed Solar costs represent at the meter values before grossing up for losses.*

Table IV-20: High Renewable Levelized Costs by Year

High Levelized Costs by First Full Year of Operation \$/MWh (LCOE)				
	Wind	Utility Scale Solar	Distributed Solar Commercial	Distributed Solar Residential
2018				
2019				
2020	\$31.34	\$47.98	\$68.45	\$98.01
2021	\$36.42	\$50.93	\$73.59	\$105.38
2022	\$41.69	\$58.00	\$86.61	\$124.02
2023	\$47.16	\$59.16	\$88.34	\$126.50
2024	\$43.38	\$60.35	\$90.11	\$129.03
2025	\$58.71	\$61.55	\$91.91	\$131.61
2026	\$59.88	\$62.79	\$93.75	\$134.24
2027	\$61.08	\$64.04	\$95.63	\$136.93
2028	\$62.30	\$65.32	\$97.54	\$139.67
2029	\$63.55	\$66.63	\$99.49	\$142.46
2030	\$64.82	\$67.96	\$101.48	\$145.31
2031	\$66.11	\$69.32	\$103.51	\$148.22
2032	\$67.43	\$70.71	\$105.58	\$151.18
2033	\$68.78	\$72.12	\$107.69	\$154.20
2034	\$70.16	\$73.56	\$109.85	\$157.29
2035	\$71.56	\$75.03	\$112.04	\$160.43
2036	\$72.99	\$76.53	\$114.28	\$163.64
2037	\$74.45	\$78.07	\$116.57	\$166.91
2038	\$75.94	\$79.63	\$118.90	\$170.25
2039	\$77.46	\$81.22	\$121.28	\$173.66
2040	\$79.01	\$82.84	\$123.70	\$177.13
2041	\$80.59	\$84.50	\$126.18	\$180.67
2042	\$82.20	\$86.19	\$128.70	\$184.29
2043	\$83.85	\$87.91	\$131.28	\$187.97
2044	\$85.52	\$89.67	\$133.90	\$191.73
2045	\$87.23	\$91.47	\$136.58	\$195.57
2046	\$88.98	\$93.30	\$139.31	\$199.48
2047	\$90.76	\$95.16	\$142.10	\$203.47
2048	\$92.57	\$97.06	\$144.94	\$207.54
2049	\$94.43	\$99.01	\$147.84	\$211.69
2050	\$96.31	\$100.99	\$150.79	\$215.92
2051	\$98.24	\$103.01	\$153.81	\$220.24
2052	\$100.20	\$105.07	\$156.89	\$224.65
2053	\$102.21	\$107.17	\$160.02	\$229.14
2054	\$104.25	\$109.31	\$163.23	\$233.72
2055	\$106.34	\$111.50	\$166.49	\$238.40
2056	\$108.46	\$113.73	\$169.82	\$243.16
2057	\$110.63	\$116.00	\$173.22	\$248.03

**Distributed Solar costs represent at the meter values before grossing up for losses.*

V. Market Purchases and Sales Carbon Rate

In order to estimate emissions rates associated with market purchases, the Company assumes an annual average carbon emissions pounds/MWh rate, as shown in the table below. These estimates were developed using MISO's MTEP Futures modeling results. Market sales emissions rates reflect an average emissions rate for our system resources and vary according to each individual scenario and sensitivity capacity expansion portfolio.

Table IV-21: Market Purchase Carbon Rate

Market Purchase CO2 Rate																					
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	
lbs/MWh	1372	1307	1241	1176	1110	1045	1042	1039	1036	1034	1031	1018	1006	993	980	968	955	943	930	917	
	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	
lbs/MWh	905	892	880	867	854	842	829	817	804	792	779	766	754	741	729	716	703	691	678	666	

W. Sherco CC Size Alternatives

In its October 17, 2019 hearing in this docket, the Commission directed the Company to model different size alternatives for the planned Sherco CC. The Company developed three size alternatives – two smaller units and one larger unit – to test in sensitivity modeling. Cost and performance assumptions for each of these alternatives are detailed in Table IV-22 below.

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
 Attachment A: Supplement Details
 IV. Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table IV-22: Sherco CC Alternatives

Thermal Generic Information				
Resource	Sherco CC	7HA.01 1x1	7HA.02 1x1	7HA.02 2x1
Technology	7H	7H	7H	7F
Location Type	Brownfield	Brownfield	Brownfield	Brownfield
Cooling Type	Wet	Wet	Wet	Wet
Book life	40	40	40	40
Nameplate Capacity (MW)	835	405	592	1202
Summer Peak Capacity (MW)	750	395	576	1170
Capital Cost (\$000) 2018\$	\$837,068	\$473,751	\$629,206	\$941,199
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$000) 2018\$	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ongoing Capital Expenditures (\$000-yr) 2018\$	\$6,200	\$4,190	\$4,190	\$8,775
Gas Demand (\$000-yr) 2018\$	\$31,723	\$31,723	\$31,723	\$31,723
Capital Cost (\$/kW) 2018\$	\$1,002	\$1,171	\$1,064	\$783
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$/kW) 2018\$	NA	NA	NA	NA
Ongoing Capital Expenditures (\$/kW-yr) 2018\$	\$7.43	\$10.35	\$7.08	\$7.30
Gas Demand (\$/kW-yr) 2018\$	\$37.99	\$78.41	\$53.63	\$26.38
Fixed O&M Cost (\$000/yr) 2018\$	\$6,592	\$7,150	\$7,150	\$8,647
Variable O&M Cost (\$/MWh) 2018\$	\$1.04	\$1.72	\$1.72	\$1.09
Levelized \$/kw-mo (All Fixed Costs) \$2018	\$15.26	\$18.36	\$14.11	\$10.95
Summer Heat Rate 100% Loading (btu/kWh)	6,359	6,322	6,208	6,452
Summer Heat Rate 75% Loading (btu/kWh)	6,547	6,419	6,257	6,403
Summer Heat Rate 50% Loading (btu/kWh)	6,985	6,681	6,516	6,812
Summer Heat Rate 25% Loading (btu/kWh)	8,004	7,553	7,388	7,479
Forced Outage Rate	3%	3%	3%	3%
Maintenance (weeks/yr)	5	5	5	5
CO2 Emissions (lbs/MMBtu)	118	118	118	118
SO2 Emissions (lbs/MWh)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
NOx Emissions (lbs/MWh)	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
PM10 Emissions (lbs/MWh)	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Mercury Emissions (lbs/MMWh)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00