

STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA  
BEFORE THE  
SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF  
NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY  
FOR APPROVAL OF 2025 TRANSMISSION  
COST RECOVERY ELIGIBILITY AND THE  
RATE RIDER ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

DOCKET NO. EL24-\_\_\_\_

**PETITION  
FOR TRANSMISSION  
COST RECOVERY**

**OVERVIEW**

Northern States Power Company, doing business as Xcel Energy submits to the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission this Petition for approval of our Transmission Cost Recovery (TCR) Rider Tracker Account report for 2024, the 2025 TCR Rider revenue requirements, and the proposed TCR Adjustment Factor.

SDCL §§ 49-34A Sections 25.1 through 25.4 authorizes the Commission to approve a tariff mechanism for the automatic annual adjustment of charges for a public utility to recover the South Dakota jurisdictional portion of eligible investments and expenses related to new or modified transmission facilities, including associated facilities such as substations and transformers (TCR Statutes).

Pursuant to the above-referenced statute, we specifically request Commission approval of the following:

- Eligibility of six new projects;
- 2025 TCR revenue requirements of \$991,151
- A TCR Adjustment Factor of \$0.000441 per kWh to be implemented on January 1, 2025;
- The 2024 TCR Tracker Report for approved transmission project investments;
- Proposed revisions to the TCR Rider tariff sheet; and
- The proposed customer notice.

If approved as proposed, the average bill impact for a residential customer using 750 kWh per month would be \$0.33 per month. This is an increase of \$0.18 per month from the current TCR Adjustment Factor. Based on the information provided in this Petition and the merits of the projects for which the Company requests recovery pursuant to the TCR Statutes, Xcel Energy respectfully requests Commission approval of these projects for TCR recovery and the revenue requirements associated with these projects.

## **I. REQUIRED INFORMATION**

This Petition sets forth information specified in SDCL § 49-34A Sections 25.1 through 25.4 and ARSD 20:10:13:26 regarding the proposed TCR Adjustment Factor and tariff.

### **(1) Name and Address of the Public Utility**

Northern States Power Company  
500 West Russell Street  
Sioux Falls, SD 57104  
(605) 339-8303

### **(2) Section and Sheet Number of Tariff Schedule**

We propose to revise tariff sheet number 71 in Section 5 of the Northern States Power Company South Dakota Electric Rate Book. Attachment 16 depicts the proposed tariff sheet that would implement the revised TCR Adjustment Factor.

### **(3) Description of the Tariff Change**

This request revises the TCR Adjustment Factor shown as a separate line item on customer bills. The current TCR Adjustment Factor of \$0.000205 became effective on January 1, 2024. We propose the new 2025 TCR Adjustment Factor of \$0.000441 be effective on January 1, 2025.

### **(4) Reason for the Requested Change**

The Company was initially authorized to establish the TCR Rider by the Commission's January 13, 2009 Order in Docket No. EL07-007. The Company continues to make significant investments in new transmission facilities in order to maintain system reliability, meet customer demand, and to transmit wind energy from South Dakota, North Dakota, and western Minnesota. The TCR Adjustment Factor has been updated annually since the mechanism was approved in 2009 to reflect new facilities. To ensure that customers are not under or overcharged, we record the actual revenues and costs in our tracker account and collect or return any differences during the next recovery period based on the estimated end of year balance in the tracker account.

This Petition includes costs for 2025 and the true-up amount from previous years. None of these costs are included in current base rates.

**(5) Present Rate**

The current rate for all customer classes is \$0.000205 per kWh, implemented on January 1, 2024 and approved in the Commission’s November 22, 2023 Order in Docket No. EL23-026.

**(6) Proposed Rate**

**A. Proposed Tariff**

*i. Authority*

The following statutes establish Commission authority for considering and approving the revised TCR Adjustment Factor proposed in this Petition. The Company proposes a 2025 TCR Adjustment Factor for all customer classes of \$0.000441 per kWh. The TCR Adjustment Factor was calculated by dividing the forecasted balance of the TCR Tracker Account by the forecasted retail sales for the calendar year; it is rounded to the nearest \$0.000001 per kWh.

*S.D. Codified Law § 49-34A-25.1 - Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, the commission may approve a tariff mechanism for the automatic annual adjustment of charges for the jurisdictional costs of new transmission facilities with a design capacity of thirty-four and one-half kilovolts or more and which are more than five miles in length. For the purposes of §§ 49-34A-25.1 to 49-34A-25.4, inclusive, electric transmission facilities and electric transmission lines covered by this section include associated facilities such as substations and transformers.*

*S.D. Codified Law § 49-34A-25.2 - Upon filing of an application consistent with rules promulgated by the commission by any public utility providing transmission service, the commission may approve, reject, or modify, after notice, hearing, and comment, a tariff that:*

*(1) Allows the public utility to recover on a timely basis the costs net of revenues of facilities described in § 49-34A-25.1.*

The Company proposes to recover through the TCR Rider the jurisdictional annual revenue requirements associated with transmission projects that are determined by the Commission to be eligible for recovery under SDCL § 49-34A-25.1.

We have calculated our revenue requirement consistent with the methodology initially approved by the Commission in Docket No. EL12-035 and in all subsequent TCR

Rider proceedings, most recently in Docket No. EL23-026. The 2025 overall rate of return is based on the Company’s forecasted capital structure—including long-term debt and equity balances as of December 31, 2024 and the 12-month average short-term debt balance as of December 31, 2024—and the return on equity (ROE) approved by the Commission in our last concluded electric rate case.<sup>1</sup>

*ii. Implementation*

Attachment 16 provides the proposed revised tariff sheet to implement the proposed TCR Adjustment Factor based on forecasted costs for the 2025 calendar year. As required by the Commission, for each 12-month period ending December 31, a true-up adjustment to the Tracker Account will be calculated reflecting the difference between the TCR Rider recoveries from customers and the actual revenue requirements for the period.

**B. Eligible Transmission Projects**

*i. Summary*

The Company seeks TCR Rider eligibility determination for the following six projects:

- Line 0732 Rebuild: Black Oak to Elrosa
- Line 0982 Rebuild: Crandall to Lake Crystal
- MISO LRTP2 Alexandria to Big Oaks
- MISO LRTP4 Wilmarth to North Rochester to Tremval
- MISO LRTP5 Tremval to Eau Claire to Jump River
- MISO LRTP6 Tremval to Rocky Run to Columbia

Table 1 below lists the projects previously approved for TCR Rider recovery and reaffirmed for inclusion most recently in Docket No. EL23-026.

---

<sup>1</sup> Docket No. EL22-017.

**Table 1**  
**Dockets in which Projects were Approved**

Docket	Project
<b>EL19-032</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Eau Claire 345 kV Upgrade</li> </ul>
<b>EL20-025</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Line 0795 Rebuild: Freeport to West St. Cloud</li> <li>• Canisota Junction to Salem Rebuild</li> <li>• Long Lake to Baytown</li> </ul>
<b>EL21-025</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bayfield Loop</li> <li>• Line 0723 Rebuild: Bird Island to Atwater               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Bird Island to Lake Lillian</li> <li>○ Cosmos to Lake Lillian</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Line 0761 Rebuild: Lake City to Zumbrota</li> <li>• Line 0790 Rebuild: Dassel to Delano               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Dassel to Cokato</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Line 0794 Rebuild: Black Oak to Douglas County</li> <li>• Line 0795 Rebuild: Freeport to West St. Cloud               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Avon to Brockway Tap</li> <li>○ St. John's to Watab River</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Line 5401 Rebuild: Maple Lake to Wakefield</li> </ul>
<b>EL22-022</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Huntley-South Bend 161 kV Rebuild</li> <li>• Line 0714 Rebuild: Watonwan to Madelia</li> <li>• Line 0717/0771 Thru Flow Mitigation</li> <li>• Line 0726 Rebuild: Pipestone to Rock River to Woodstock</li> <li>• Line 0741 Rebuild: Big Swan to Atwater</li> <li>• Line 0749 Rebuild: Waseca to ITC Tap</li> <li>• Line 0754 Rebuild: Linn Street to Becker</li> <li>• Line 0782 Rebuild: Westgate to Gleason Lake</li> <li>• Line 0795 Rebuild: Freeport to West St. Cloud New Segments in 2023</li> <li>• Line 0859 Rebuild: Inver Hills to Chemolite</li> </ul>
<b>EL23-026</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brookings Second Circuit</li> <li>• Line 0708 Rebuild: Eagle Lake to Waterville</li> <li>• Line 0736 Rebuild: Arden Hills to Lawrence Creek</li> <li>• Line 0822 Rebuild: Str 107 to Empire</li> </ul>

A complete list of projects is provided in Attachment 1 with a detailed description of the proposed new projects, as well as discussion of any notable updates to cost and in-service date information for previously approved projects. Each project's regulatory approval and construction timeline are provided in Attachment 2. Maps of the new projects are provided as Attachment 18.

In addition to these projects, we are also seeking recovery of Schedule 26 and 26A net revenues and expenses (also known as Regional Expansion Criteria and Benefits, or RECB costs) as provided for under the Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) Tariff and discussed later in this Petition.

*ii. Supporting Information*

The TCR Statute requires certain information be provided in support of this request. This required information is provided throughout this Petition and in the attached exhibits.

SDCL § 49-34A-25.3 states:

*A public utility may file annual rate adjustments to be applied to customer bills paid under the tariff approved pursuant to § 49-34A-25.2. In the utility's filing, the public utility shall provide:*

*(1) A description of and context for the facilities included for recovery.*

Attachment 1 contains the list of projects we believe are eligible for recovery in the TCR Rider and for which we are requesting recovery.

*(2) A schedule for implementation of applicable projects.*

Attachment 2 contains an implementation schedule for each of the transmission projects identified in Attachment 1. We explain the reasons for any in-service date changes between our current projections and the projections in our last TCR filing in Attachment 1.

*(3) The public utility's costs for these projects.*

Attachment 3 provides the capital expenditure forecast for each project included in the TCR Rider. Actual capital expenditures are reported through June 2024 and forecast capital expenditures are reported through 2029. Attachment 12 shows the development of 2025 revenue requirements for each project for the South Dakota jurisdiction, based on the capital expenditures shown in Attachment 3.

Xcel Energy operates the transmission assets of Northern States Power Company – Minnesota (NSPM) and Northern States Power Company – Wisconsin (NSPW) as one transmission system. Pursuant to the terms of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) regulated *Restated Agreement to Coordinate Planning and Operations and Interchange Power and Energy between Northern States Power Company (Minnesota) and*

*Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin)* (Interchange Agreement), all transmission costs are shared between NSPM and NSPW based on load ratio share using a FERC-approved 36-month coincident peak demand allocator. The NSPM portion is then further allocated to its respective state jurisdictions (South Dakota, North Dakota, and Minnesota) based on a similar 12-month coincident peak (CP) methodology. A composite allocator is derived for purposes of assigning the transmission revenue requirements to South Dakota, as shown on Attachment 11.

*(4) A description of the public utility's efforts to ensure the lowest reasonable costs to ratepayers for the project.*

The Company has made extensive efforts to ensure the lowest reasonable cost to ratepayers for the proposed TCR-eligible projects. First, Xcel Energy transmission planners analyze up to a dozen project alternatives for a given project. Each alternative is then evaluated based on performance, cost, efficiency as measured by energy losses, and the enhancement of reliability to local consumers. Such analysis was performed for the projects included in this Petition for cost recovery. Second, where possible, Xcel Energy has competitively bid engineering, equipment procurement, and construction for the projects included in this Petition. Third, Xcel Energy has developed a standard design for collector stations, thereby minimizing design and engineering costs.

*(5) Calculations to establish that the rate adjustment is consistent with the terms of the tariff established in § 49-34A-25.2.*

Attachment 9 contains the calculation of the proposed 2025 TCR Adjustment Factor consistent with the terms of the TCR tariff proposed in Attachment 16. Attachment 13 demonstrates the revenue requirement model logic and aids in confirming the calculation is accurate.

*iii. MISO RECB Charges (MISO Schedule 26 and 26A)*

This Petition includes costs associated with RECB designated transmission projects. Xcel Energy incurs charges from MISO to pay for a portion of transmission investments of other electric utilities pursuant to Attachment FF of the MISO Open Access Transmission, Energy, and Operating Reserve Markets Tariff. Attachment FF specifies the cost allocation procedures for new transmission projects within the MISO footprint. Projects subject to RECB cost allocation are identified and selected through the MISO Transmission Expansion Plan (MTEP). Allocation and cost recovery methods for RECB projects are specified in detail in Attachment FF, Attachment GG, MM, Schedule 26 and Schedule 26A of the MISO Tariff. MISO's

annual MTEP review process identifies those transmission projects that will be included in Appendix A to the MTEP and the appropriate cost-sharing mechanism is identified for each project.

The cost allocation methodology applied to RECB projects in this Petition conforms to the hybrid methodology approved by the Commission in the Settlement Stipulation in our TCR Rider Petition in Docket No. EL12-035 and reaffirmed in subsequent TCR dockets. The regional transmission projects Xcel Energy proposes for hybrid allocation are discussed below.

The net annual RECB expense (Attachment 15) has increased slightly between 2024 mixed actuals and forecast and the 2025 forecast due to the addition of LRTP project revenue requirements starting in 2025.

*iv. Regional Transmission Projects Subject to Hybrid Method of Cost Allocation*

In accordance with the Commission's Orders in our TCR filings since 2012, the Company has used a hybrid, or split cost allocation method for regional transmission investments (those that qualify for regional cost allocation through MISO's tariff). The projects included in this Petition that are subject to hybrid allocation are LRTP2 - Alexandria to Big Oaks, LRTP4 - Wilmarth to North Rochester to Tremval, LRTP5 - Tremval to Eau Claire to Jump River, and LRTP6 - Tremval to Rocky Run to Columbia. The percentage breakdown of the allocation split between state and FERC jurisdictions remains the same as reflected in past TCR filings. This hybrid methodology best facilitates cost allocation of investments to the jurisdiction creating the need for the investment.

Under the hybrid method, the regionally shared project costs will be allocated on a percent-of responsibility basis. In addition, the regional transmission investments for which the Company is allocated MISO Schedule 26 and 26A charges will be allocated to the state jurisdiction. They will be included in retail rate base for TCR recovery until they are rolled into base rates in a future rate case.

*v. Impact on TCR Rider of MISO Complaints at the FERC*

*a. Complaint Background*

The FERC has taken a number of actions related to the return on equity (ROE) that MISO transmission owners (TOs) charge for regionally shared facilities. We provide a description of the resolved and still pending proceedings below. Future true-ups



through the TCR may be necessary as additional FERC decisions are finalized, and we will update the Commission on these issues in future TCR Rider petitions.

In November 2013, a group of industrial customers in the MISO region filed a complaint asking FERC to reduce the 12.38 percent ROE used in the transmission formula rates of jurisdictional MISO transmission owners, including NSPM. On September 28, 2016, the FERC issued an Order based on the methodology originally adopted in FERC Opinion 531, a case involving the base ROE for transmission owners in the New England ISO, approving a 10.32 percent ROE in September 2016, applicable for a refund period from November 12, 2013 to February 10, 2015 (the first refund period) and prospectively from the date of the order. The total prospective ROE is 10.82 percent, which includes a 50 basis point adder for Regional Transmission Organization (RTO) membership.

In February 2015, an intervenor in the original ROE complaint filed a second complaint proposing to reduce the MISO region ROE, resulting in a second period of refund from February 12, 2015 to May 11, 2016 (the second refund period). In June 2016, based on the Opinion 531 methodology, the administrative law judge recommended an ROE of 9.70 percent, the midpoint of the upper half of the discounted cash flow (DCF) range.

On April 14, 2017, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals vacated and remanded Opinion 531. The court decision found that the FERC had not established that the prior ROE was unjust and unreasonable, and that the FERC also failed to adequately support the newly approved ROE.

In October 2018, the FERC issued an ROE order that addressed the D.C. Circuit's actions. Under a new proposed two-step ROE approach, the FERC indicated an intention to dismiss an ROE complaint if the existing ROE falls within the range of just and reasonable ROEs based on equal weighting of the Discounted Cash Flow model, Capital Asset Pricing Model, and Expected Earnings model. The FERC proposed that if necessary, it would then set a new ROE by averaging the results of these models plus a Risk Premium model.

The FERC subsequently made preliminary determinations in a November 2018 Order that the MISO TO's base ROE in effect for the first complaint period (12.38 percent) was outside the range of reasonableness, and should be reduced. The FERC's preliminary analysis using the proposed ROE approach indicated a base ROE of 10.28 percent for the first complaint period, compared to the previously ordered base ROE of 10.32 percent. The FERC ordered additional briefings on the new methodology, which were filed in February and April 2019.

On March 21, 2019, the FERC announced a Notice of Inquiry (NOI) seeking public comments on whether, and if so how, to revise ROE policies in light of the D.C. Circuit Court decision. The FERC also initiated an NOI on whether to revise its policies on incentives for electric transmission investments, including the RTO membership incentive. The comment periods concluded in August 2019.

In November 2019, the FERC issued an Order adopting a new ROE methodology and setting the MISO base ROE at 9.88 percent (10.38 percent with the RTO adder), effective September 28, 2016 and for the first refund period. FERC also dismissed the second complaint.

In December 2019, MISO TOs filed a request for rehearing. Customers also filed requests for rehearing claiming, among other points, that the FERC erred by dismissing the second complaint without refunds. The FERC accepted the requests for rehearing in January 2020; however, it is uncertain when the FERC will act on the requests or any other pending matters related to the 2019 NOIs.

In January 2020, complainant-aligned parties filed a protective petition for review of the FERC's November 2019 order with the D.C. Circuit. Also in January 2020, FERC issued tolling orders granting the requests for rehearing of the November 2019 order solely for the purpose of further consideration.

In May 2020, the FERC issued an order on the merits of the various requests for rehearing of its November 2019 decision. The FERC modified its ROE methodology to raise the MISO base ROE to 10.02 percent (10.52 percent with the RTO adder). The FERC also upheld its prior decision to deny the second complaint without refunds.

In June 2020, several parties including complainant-aligned parties and utility-aligned parties filed requests for rehearing of FERC's May 2020 Order. In June and July 2020, the MISO TOs and several utility-aligned parties filed petitions for review of FERC's November 2019 and May 2020 orders at the D.C. Circuit.

In July 2020, complainant-aligned parties filed an additional protective petition for review in the D.C. Circuit. In July 2020, complainant-aligned parties filed an additional protective petition for review in the D.C. Circuit.

On August 9, 2022, the D.C. Circuit issued a decision on the appeals of FERC's Orders in the two MISO ROE complaint proceedings. The court held that it would vacate all of FERC's substantive orders on the ROE complaints, including FERC's 2016, 2018, 2019, and 2020 Orders, and remanded the proceedings back to FERC for

further consideration. The court's decision rejected various arguments raised in opposition to FERC's 2018, 2019, and 2020 Orders, but agreed with appellants that FERC did not adequately explain its decision to incorporate the Risk Premium methodology into its ROE calculation. The court also stated that appellants' arguments challenging FERC's denial of refunds for the second complaint proceeding were without merit, but the court technically did not rule on that issue because it vacated all of FERC's Orders on other grounds. On remand, FERC may again revise the ROE methodology for the complaint refund period. Additionally, the court's decision creates some uncertainty regarding what ROE is now currently in effect while the remand is pending before FERC. FERC has stated that it will issue an order on remand by early November 2024.

In the FERC NOI proceeding regarding modifications to the ROE 50-basis point adder for ROE participation, FERC has received comments but has not yet issued any policy or rule modifications.

b. FERC Action Since our Last TCR Petition

FERC has taken no additional action relating to the complaints since our TCR filing was made in 2023.

c. Impact of FERC Actions on the TCR

Refunds for the first refund period, based on the September 2016 FERC Order, were settled with MISO in May 2017, and the impact of those refund settlements was included in 2017 carry-over balance and the resulting calculation of the 2018 revenue requirements in a prior TCR proceeding, Docket No. EL17-036.

Refunds for the first refund period and the period from November 21, 2019 - December 31, 2019 based on the November 2019 FERC Order authorizing a 9.88 percent base ROE (10.38 percent with the adder) were settled with MISO in early 2020, and the impact of those refund settlements were included in the 2020 actual RECB line item in our Petition in Docket No. EL21-025. Resettlements to the 10.02 percent ROE (10.52 percent with the RTO adder) for 2019 and 2020, approved by the FERC in the May 2020 Order, were processed during 2020. Additional resettlements were processed during 2021 for the first refund period, as well as the period from September 28, 2016 - December 31, 2016. The remaining open periods were resettled to the 10.52 percent ROE in late 2021 and early 2022. The final, actual resettlements to date were reflected in the 2021 and 2022 RECB line item in Docket No. EL22-022.

In calculating the 2025 TCR revenue requirement, we applied the last-authorized 10.52 percent MISO ROE, which includes the RTO adder, for 2025 activity. However, future adjustments to the TCR Tracker may be necessary given the D.C. Circuit Court decision and the remand pending before FERC. We will keep the Commission informed of any additional outcomes in these proceedings.

### **C. Tracker Account and Accounting**

The Company uses a Tracker Account as the accounting mechanism for eligible TCR project costs. The revenue requirements included in the Tracker are only those related to South Dakota's share of eligible projects. In making our calculations, the Company used the most current data available at the time of this filing and applied the composite demand allocator described previously, which serves to:

- Allocate a share of the total costs to NSPW.
- Exclude the portion of Company costs not related to serving South Dakota retail customers. This step allocates a share of costs to the North Dakota and Minnesota retail jurisdictions.

The result of this allocation process is that South Dakota electric customers are allocated approximately 6 percent and 5.9 percent of total transmission costs in both 2024 and 2025. Stronger sales and customer growth in South Dakota compared to North Dakota has continued, a trend which is forecasted to continue for the foreseeable future. By performing this cost allocation process, we ensure that electric customers in other jurisdictions are allocated a share of TCR revenue requirements, consistent with the Company's allocation of similar costs in a general rate case.

Each month as revenues are collected from retail customers, the Company tracks the amount of recovery under the TCR Adjustment Factor and compares that amount with the monthly revenue requirements. The difference is recorded in the Tracker Account as the amount of over- or under-recovery. The under-recovered amounts are recorded in FERC Account 182.3, Other Regulatory Assets, and the over-recovered amounts are recorded in FERC Account 254, Other Regulatory Liabilities (the Tracker Accounts). A carrying charge is calculated monthly on the over- or under-recovered balance and added to the tracker balance. Any over- or under-recovery balance at the end of the year is used in the calculation of the rate adjustment factor for the next year's forecasted revenue requirement.

## D. Project Cost Recovery

### *i. Summary*

The Cost Recovery and TCR Rate section provides support for the proposed 2025 TCR rate. This information is summarized as follows:

- The projected TCR Tracker Account activity for 2025, including both revenue requirements and projected revenues, is included in Attachment 7.
- The projected 2025 revenue requirement proposed to be recovered through the TCR Adjustment Factor from South Dakota electric customers is \$991,151. Support for this amount is included in Attachment 7. These calculations are discussed in detail below.
- Projected revenues are calculated by month as shown in Attachment 10 and are based on forecast 2025 State of South Dakota budget sales by calendar month.
- The development of the TCR Adjustment Factor is included in Attachment 9. The proposed TCR Adjustment Factor is shown below.

Included in the \$991,151 of revenue requirements is the 2024 TCR Tracker Report true-up over-collection balance of \$43,045.

### *ii. Proposed 2025 TCR Adjustment Factor*

The Company's TCR rate design is the annual calculated revenue requirement (including the current year South Dakota jurisdictional project costs and the carryover balance from the previous year) divided by the total annual forecast energy sales to South Dakota retail customers from January through December 2025. This calculation is shown on Attachment 9, and the detailed annual forecast of energy sales is shown on Attachment 10.

Based on this rate design, we propose the following TCR Adjustment Factor:

**Table 2**  
**2025 Rate Factor Calculation**

Retail	
<b>TCR Adjustment Factor per kWh</b>	<b>\$0.000441</b>
<b>SD Retail Sales (MWh) 2025</b>	<b>2,246,080</b>
<i>2025 Revenue Requirement</i>	<i>\$1,034,196</i>
<i>2024 Carry-Forward Balance</i>	<i>\$(43,045)</i>
<b>2025 Net SD Retail Cost</b>	<b>\$991,151</b>

The average bill impact for a residential customer using 750 kWh per month is \$0.33 per month. This is an increase of \$0.18 per month from the current TCR Adjustment Factor, which was a negative rate resulting in a credit to customers.

*iii. 2025 TCR Rider Revenue Requirements*

Attachment 7 sets forth the 2025 revenue requirement in support of the proposed TCR Adjustment Factor. SDCL § 49-34A-25.2 outlines the requirements for the calculation of the adjustment. Below is an explanation of how the Company applies these provisions.

*S.D. Codified Law § 49-34A-25.2 (2) Allows a return on investment at the level approved in the public utility's last general rate case, unless a different return is found to be consistent with the public interest.*

Please see Section 6 (A)(i) above for the discussion of the overall cost of capital to be used in the TCR Rider revenue requirement calculations.

*S.D. Codified Law § 49-34A-25.2 (3) Provides for a current return on construction work in progress, if the recovery from retail customers for the allowance for funds used during construction is not sought through any other mechanism.*

The Company's 2025 TCR revenue requirement model includes a current return on capital expenditures beginning with the cumulative Construction Work in Progress (CWIP) balance for each project at eligibility date, or the date construction expenditures begin after that date, whichever is sooner. The beginning CWIP balance includes Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) incurred prior to the project eligibility date. After that date, the South Dakota jurisdictional portion of

costs does not include AFUDC and a current return is calculated on the CWIP balance.

*S.D. Codified Law § 49-34A-25.2(4) Allocates project costs appropriately between wholesale and retail customers;*

Project costs are allocated to the State of South Dakota retail jurisdiction based on the demand allocator, excluding demands for NSPW as well as the Company's North Dakota and Minnesota demands. In addition, to ensure no double recovery occurs from Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT) revenue collected from non-NSP native load customers, the Company will apply an OATT revenue credit calculated based on a forecast of OATT revenue collections divided by the transmission revenue requirements included in the OATT rate calculation for the Company's pricing zone under the MISO Transmission and Energy Markets Tariff (MISO TEMT). Attachment 14 provides details of the OATT credit factor for 2023 through 2025.

For purposes of calculating projected revenue requirements, the Company proposes to use 2025 forecast demand allocators. Any resulting over- or under-recovery from customers as a result of the use of the 2025 demand factors will be reflected in the true up of 2025 revenues when determining the 2027 TCR Adjustment Factor. These demand allocators are shown in Attachment 11.

In addition, consistent with the Commission's past TCR docket Orders, we include the following related costs: Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (ADIT), current and deferred taxes, and book depreciation.

Consistent with the Commission's Order in Docket No. EL16-031, property taxes associated with these transmission projects have been removed from the TCR tracker and are instead collected through the Fuel Clause Rider, which is subject to an annual property tax true-up in April. Attachment 12 shows the revenue requirement calculations for the proposed TCR projects.

## **(7) Proposed Effective Date of Modified Rate**

Consistent with the 30-day notice requirement set forth in SDCL § 49-34A-17, we propose to implement rates on January 1, 2025. If the Commission acts to suspend the proposed rates and our Petition has not been approved in time to implement rates on January 1, 2025, we propose to implement the rates the first billing cycle following Commission approval, or at the time the proposed rates are no longer subject to suspension.

**(8) Approximation of Annual Increase in Revenue**

Attachment 4 shows a summary of the TCR Tracker Account activity from 2023 through 2026. Attachments 5, 6, 7, and 8 provide detail for each year. When the Tracker Account balance from 2024 is combined with the revenue requirements from Attachment 7 for 2025, it results in a revenue requirement of \$991,151 for 2025. We have calculated this amount to be passed to customers from January through December 2025 through this tariff mechanism. Pending the timing of Commission approval, we will recalculate the TCR Adjustment Factor based on when we can implement the new rate. The proposed 2025 revenue requirement represents an increase of \$534,802 compared to the TCR Rider revenue requirements approved for 2024.

**(9) Points Affected**

The proposed TCR Adjustment Factor would be applicable to all areas served by Xcel Energy in South Dakota.

**(10) Estimation of the Number of Customers whose Cost of Service will be Affected and Annual Amounts of either Increases or Decreases, or both, in Cost of Service to those Customers**

This tariff rider is proposed to be applied to all customers throughout all customer classes as described within this Petition. Xcel Energy presently serves approximately 105,812 customers in 33 communities in eastern South Dakota.

**(11) Statement of Facts, Expert Opinions, Documents, and Exhibits to Support the Proposed Changes**

Supporting information is provided in narrative throughout this Petition and in the attached Exhibits.

**II. PLANNED CUSTOMER NOTICE**

In accordance with ARSD 20:10:16:01(2), the Company plans to provide notice to customers comparing the prior rate and the new rate through a bill insert. Attachment 17 includes the language we propose be included with customers' bills the month the TCR Adjustment Factor is implemented, or as soon as is practicable after implementation of the TCR Adjustment Factor.



We will work with Commission Staff to determine if there are any suggestions to modify this bill onsert. To the extent that multiple new rider rates are implemented on the same date, we will coordinate the various rider customer notices.

### **III. CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

Pursuant to ARSD 20:10:01:41, we provide the following support for our request to classify certain documentation as confidential trade secret data.

We request confidential treatment of Attachments 11, 12, and 13 pursuant to ARSD 20:10:01:41. The Company submits the following justification for confidential treatment of Attachments 11, 12, and 13.

- (1) An identification of the document and the general subject matter of the materials or the portions of the document for which confidentiality is being requested.**

We request confidential treatment on the grounds that the material is proprietary and trade secret information, the disclosure of which would result in material damage to the Company's financial or competitive position. Attachments 11, 12, and 13 contain financial information that is not available to the general public.

- (2) The length of time for which confidentiality is being requested and a request for handling at the end of that time. This does not preclude a later request to extend the period of confidential treatment.**

The Company requests that Attachments 11, 12, and 13 be recognized as trade secret data in perpetuity.

- (3) The name, address, and phone number of a person to be contacted regarding the confidentiality request.**

Steve T. Kolbeck  
Principal Manager  
Xcel Energy  
500 W. Russell Street  
P.O. Box 988  
Sioux Falls, SD 57101  
(605) 339-8350  
[steve.t.kolbeck@xcelenergy.com](mailto:steve.t.kolbeck@xcelenergy.com)

- (4) The statutory or common law grounds and any administrative rules under which confidentiality is requested. Failure to include all possible grounds for confidential treatment does not preclude the party from raising additional grounds in the future.**

The claim for confidential treatment is based on ARSD 20:10:01:39 (4) and SDCL § 1-27-30. The information contained within the referenced documents meets the definition of “trade secret” under SDCL § 37-29-1(4)(1), the South Dakota Uniform Trade Secrets Act, which is defined as information that “Derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use, and... is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.” The information also meets the definition of “proprietary information” under SDCL § 1-27-28, which is defined as “information on pricing, costs, revenue, taxes, market share, customers, and personnel held by private entities and used for that private entity’s business purposes.”

- (5) The factual basis that qualifies the information for confidentiality under the authority cited.**

The Company’s cost of capital is trade secret consistent with the Settlement Stipulation and Commission Order in Docket No. EL22-017.

#### **IV. CONTACT INFORMATION**

We request that all communications regarding this proceeding, including data requests, be directed to:

Christine Schwartz  
Regulatory Administrator  
Xcel Energy  
414 Nicollet Mall, 401 – 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Minneapolis, MN 55401  
[Regulatory.Records@xcelenergy.com](mailto:Regulatory.Records@xcelenergy.com)

## **CONCLUSION**

Xcel Energy respectfully requests that the Commission approve the proposed transmission projects as eligible for recovery and approve the revised TCR Adjustment Factor for 2025 described in this filing. The Company appreciates the interest and efforts of South Dakota policymakers in supporting that effort.

Dated: August 30, 2024

Northern States Power Company