STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the matter of the Petition of Gevo Net-)	
Zero 1, LLC to have Kingsbury Electric	,	Consolidated
Cooperative, Inc. Assigned as its Electric)	Consolidated
Provider in the Service Area of Otter Tail)	Docket Nos. EL24-024
Power Company	`	177.04.007
)	and EL24-025

PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF CHRISTOPHER RYAN

ON BEHALF OF GEVO NET-ZERO 1, LLC

October 11, 2014

- 1 Q: Please state your name and business address for the record.
- 2 A: Christopher Ryan. My business address is 345 Inverness Dr. S., Bldg. C, Suite 310,
- 3 Englewood, CO 80112.
- 4 Q: Please state your title and responsibilities.
- 5 A: I am the President and Chief Operating Officer of Gevo, Inc. ("Gevo Corp") and Gevo
- 6 Net-Zero 1, LLC ("NZ1"). I am responsible for the execution of the Net-Zero 1 Project (the
- 7 "Project"). All the Project functions engineering, finance, legal, government affairs, etc. report
- 8 to me.
- 9 Q: When did Gevo Corp form NZ1?
- 10 A: Gevo Corp formed NZ1 as a limited liability company on August 9, 2021.
- 11 Q: Can you please provide an overview of the Project?
- 12 A: NZ1, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Gevo Corp, owns the Project. Gevo Corp serves
- various renewable markets, including renewable premium gasoline, sustainable aviation fuel

- 14 (SAF), specialty oxygenate blend stocks, renewable diesel and material feedstocks. The Project
- will produce, from No. 2 corn, SAF, corn oil, wet distillers grains, renewable diesel and renewable
- 16 naphtha.
- 17 Q: Where is the Project going to be located?
- 18 A: The Project will be constructed on 145 acres within 245 acres of property owned by NZ1
- on US Highway 14 just outside of Lake Preston, South Dakota. Exhibit 1 of NZ1's petition of
- June 28, 2024 shows all 245 acres owned by NZ1 and NZ1's petition includes the legal boundaries
- 21 for the entirety of the site. We reattach the exhibits showing the site and a draft site plan as Exhibits
- 22 CR-1 and CR-2 for convenience.
- 23 Q: Are there any developments currently located on the project site?
- 24 A: No. The project site is undeveloped.
- 25 Q: Does NZ1 currently have electric demand at the project site?
- 26 **A:** No.
- 27 Q: Why did Gevo Corp select Lake Preston, South Dakota for the Project?
- 28 A: Gevo Corp selected the Lake Preston site after an extensive site evaluation process. The
- 29 Lake Preston site is strategically located in a region of historically high-corn production, near
- 30 major rail networks, and offers the opportunity for CO₂ transportation to proximately located
- 31 sequestration locations in North Dakota. Further, the Lake Preston site is proximately located to
- 32 extensive wind energy resources. Finally, the Lake Preston community and the State of South
- 33 Dakota welcomed development of the Project.
- O: Does NZ1 have a minimum of 2,000 kW of contracted demand from KEC?
- 35 A: Yes, Section 2 of the Electric Service Agreement provides that KEC shall "sell and deliver"
- to NZ1, and NZ1 "agrees to purchase and receive" from KEC, "all of the electrical power and

- energy requirements" of NZ1. Further, Section 3(c) provides that the electric service shall be
- 38 "firm." NZ1's electric demand will be 40-45 MW at a 90% load factor.
- 39 Q: Does the Electric Service Agreement include a "demand" or similar charge?
- 40 A: Yes, KEC's tariff under which the Project will take service includes a monthly demand
- charge, based on the highest actual demand of NZ1 over the Project's coincident peak.
- 42 Q: Does Otter Tail Power Company currently have adequate power supply available at
- 43 the site?
- 44 A: We do not believe so. Otter Tail does not currently have adequate power supply available
- at the site. In our discussions with Otter Tail, they indicated that Otter Tail needed to construct new
- 46 facilities to support the NZ1 and associated electric demand. As the existing facilities are
- 47 inadequate to serve the load of this size, we do not believe wasteful duplication will occur, and
- 48 that certainly isn't our intent.
- 49 Q: Can you describe the developments and improvements to be undertaken by
- 50 Kingsbury Electric Cooperative to provide electric service to NZ1, the timing of such
- 51 improvements, and the economic factors relating thereto?
- 52 A: In its direct testimony to be filed on or before November 1, KEC will provide a full
- summary of the facilities that the cooperatives expect to build to serve the Project.
- 54 Q: What is the proximity of adequate facilities from which electric service of the type
- required may be delivered?
- 56 A: Currently, there are not adequate facilities available to supply the NZ1 Facility with electric
- 57 service to meet its electric demand.
- Does NZ1 contemplate that NZ1 will own and operate facilities on the site in addition
- 59 to the Project?

60 A: At present, NZ1 does not anticipate that it will own and operate facilities on the site other than the Project. However, it is possible that NZ1 may own and operate additional infrastructure 61 on the site that is connected, incidental and/or appurtenant to, the Project. For example, NZ1 could 62 63 construct and own CO₂ liquefaction infrastructure on the site to support the sequestration of CO₂, 64 a byproduct of the ethanol production process. Why does the Project require on-site hydrogen supply from Dakota Renewable 65 Q: Hydrogen, LLC? 66 67 A: Hydrogen is a necessary raw material used in the final step of SAF production. Shipping 68 hydrogen is generally higher cost and less reliable than on-site hydrogen production. Therefore, on-site production is the preferred route to obtain hydrogen. Moreover, NZ1's competitive 69 70 advantage will be the production of low-cost, low-carbon intensity SAF. Hydrogen production through electrolysis has a lower carbon footprint than hydrogen production through the conversion 71 of natural gas via steam methane reformation. NZ1's hydrogenation process requires a steady 72 supply of hydrogen. 73 74 Q: Why does the Project require directly connected wind power from Kingsbury County Wind Fuel, LLC ("KCWF")? 75 A: The State of California Low Carbon Fuel Standard ("LCFS") is an important economic 76 77 assumption for the production and sale of sustainable aviation fuel and the non-recourse financing of the Project. The Project generates monetizable credits under the LCFS program. LCFS requires 78 79 a direct connection between the generation and consumption of electricity. NZ1 assumes that the LCFS credits may provide up to [TRADE SECRET/CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION] 80 BEGINS! \$** [TRADE SECRET/CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ENDS] per gallon of 81 SAF sold into California. There are additional low carbon fuel markets in development in the US 82

- and Canada and around the world. These markets are also to likely require directly connected
- renewable power as part of their credit standards.
- 85 Q: Does NZ1 have a contract with KCWF to purchase electricity from it?
- 86 A: No. The Project will be a retail electric service customer of KEC.
- 87 Q: Why does the Project desire to obtain electric service from Kingsbury Electric
- 88 Cooperative Inc. ("KEC")?
- 89 A: KEC's actions demonstrated its clear intent to develop and maintain a collaborative
- 90 working relationship with NZ1 and DRH and to serve the NZ1 and DRH loads on reasonable terms
- 91 and conditions. KEC worked expeditiously to negotiate a definitive Electric Service Agreement
- 92 with NZ1. KEC, in conjunction with East River Electric, is NZ1's preferred electric service
- 93 provider for the Project.
- 94 Q: Did the Project engage in electric service discussions with Otter Tail Power ("OTP")?
- 95 A: Yes. NZ1, DRH and KCWF undertook negotiations with OTP for electric service from
- early 2022 to mid-2023. OTP's commercial and financial requirements for such electric service
- 97 and the interconnection with the KCWF wind farm made OTP's proposed electric service
- 98 unfinanceable from a debt and equity perspective. OTP's proposed terms and conditions would
- have negatively affected the equity and debt financing for NZ1.
- 100 Q: Did the Project engage in discussions with OTP regarding a service exemption
- 101 agreement?
- 102 A: Yes. KEC, NZ1 and OTP were unable to reach agreement on the terms and conditions of a
- service exemption agreement. Specifically, OTP imposed several commercial terms that would
- have made the Project unfinanceable from a debt and equity perspective.
- 105 [TRADE SECRET/CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION BEGINS]

106	* *	
107	[TRA	DE SECRET/CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ENDS
108	Q:	Is Otter Tail a viable alternative service provider for the Project?
109	A:	If the Project is unable to receive electric service from KEC, NZ1 will be unable to obtain
110	debt a	and equity financing on its current timetable for construction to commence in 2025. As such,
111	it is u	nlikely that NZ1 will proceed with the construction of the Project as electric service is one
112	of the	e many work streams being coordinated by NZ1 to be completed in early 2025 for an
113	affirm	native final investment decision and financial closing. At this point, OTP is not an option for
114	electr	ic service to the Project.
115	Q:	What benefits does the Project bring to the Lake Preston community and the State of
116	South	Dakota?
117	A:	The Project, during construction and over its 25-year design life, will generate both direct
118	and ir	ndirect benefits for the Lake Preston community, the surrounding counties, and the State of
119	South	Dakota. These benefits include:
120	•	Approximately 90 full-time, on-site jobs during the operational period salaries at or above
121	the pr	evailing wage established by the Department of Labor;
122	•	Demand for apprentices from South Dakota registered apprenticeship programs during the
123	consti	ruction period and in respect of any project repairs, such apprenticeship demand driven by
124	Inflati	ion Reduction Act and implementing regulations;
125	•	Support for apprenticeship programs at regional technical colleges;
126	•	Local, long-term, demand for approximately 36 million bushels of corn per year;
127	•	An estimated \$119 million per year in economic benefits to South Dakota (excluding
128	taxes)	;

- Verity LLC (a Gevo, Inc., subsidiary) collaborating with local farmers to reduce the carbon
- intensity of farming practices to generate a "green premium" for their corn;
- Sales of high-protein, low-carbon intensity wet distillers' grains;
- Service and labor demand during the construction period and associated indirect spending;
- Material annual tax revenue to South Dakota.
- 134 Q: Does this conclude your pre-filed written testimony?
- 135 **A:** Yes.