BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY DEUEL HARVEST WIND ENERGY SOUTH LLC FOR ENERGY FACILITY PERMITS OF A WIND ENERGY FACILITY AND A 345 KV TRANSMISSION FACILITY IN DEUEL COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA FOR THE SOUTH DEUEL WIND PROJECT

SD PUC DOCKET EL24-____

PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL HANKARD ON BEHALF OF DEUEL HARVEST WIND ENERGY SOUTH LLC

June 28, 2024

1 2 I.

INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS

- 3 Q. Please state your name, employer and business address.
- A. My name is Michael Hankard. I am the president and principal of Hankard
 Environmental, Inc. ("Hankard Environmental"). My business address is 211 East
 Verona Avenue, Verona, Wisconsin 53593.
- 7

8 Q. On whose behalf are you providing this testimony?

9 Α. I am providing this testimony on behalf of Deuel Harvest Wind Energy South LLC 10 ("South Deuel Wind") in support of its Facility Permit Application ("Application") to 11 the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission. The Application is for a permit to 12 construct and operate a wind energy facility which will have a nameplate capacity 13 of up to 260 megawatts ("MW") and deliver up to 250 MW to the point of interconnection ("Wind Energy Facility"), and a transmission facility which will 14 operate at 345 kilovolts ("kV") and be approximately 6 miles in length 15 16 ("Transmission Facility"). The Wind Energy Facility and the Transmission Facility 17 are collectively referred to as the Project.

18

19 Q. Briefly describe your educational background and professional experience.

20 Α. I have been measuring, analyzing, researching, and reporting on environmental 21 noise levels for more than 30 years. My focus over the last 15 years has been 22 noise from utility-scale wind turbines, but I also have extensive experience with 23 noise from mining operations, industrial plants, roadways, rail lines, commercial 24 developments, and a host of other sources. I have worked on projects across the 25 United States, as well as internationally, and have been principally responsible for 26 noise measurements, analysis, and control on over 500 projects. I have interacted 27 with a wide cross-section of project participants, including the public, local and 28 state agencies, owners, operators, designers, and planners. I have a B.S. in 29 electrical engineering from the University of Maine with a specialization in 30 acoustics. I am a full member of the Institute of Noise Control Engineering and the 31 Acoustical Society of America, and a member of the ANSI/ACP 111-1 Wind

1

- Turbine Sound Modeling Standard Subcommittee. My statement of qualifications
 is attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u>.
- 34

Q. Expand on your professional expertise regarding sound from wind turbines, and how it is relevant to these proceedings.

37 I have conducted some of the most in-depth noise measurement studies of Α. 38 operating wind turbines in the United States. This experience includes spending 39 many days and nights at residences located within wind farms listening to and measuring turbine noise and has given me a first-hand understanding of the 40 41 characteristics of wind turbine noise emissions. In addition, I have spent hundreds 42 of hours reviewing measured noise levels, listening to audio recordings, and have 43 developed time- and frequency-based methods for separating wind turbine noise 44 from that of the wind blowing through vegetation, traffic, insects/frogs, etc. I used 45 the results of these real-world studies to validate the accuracy of the noise model 46 I employed to predict noise emissions from the Project. Thus, the model of wind 47 turbine noise emissions I use is accurate and is calibrated to predict the maximum 48 wind turbine noise level over a one-hour period that is expected to occur at each 49 residence. Finally, I have participated in public and agency hearings regarding 50 wind turbines at which the full spectrum of wind turbine noise issues was debated. 51 This includes audible noise, low frequency noise, and infrasound. In preparation 52 for these proceedings, I have read the relevant and significant research papers on these subjects published by acoustical consultants, government agencies, 53 54 university researchers, and health professionals.

55

56 II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

57

58 Q. What is your role with respect to the Project?

59 **A.** Hankard Environmental was retained to conduct noise modeling for the Project. 60 The firm conducted acoustic modeling of the Project's proposed layout and 61 prepared an associated report entitled Noise Analysis, which is provided in 62 Appendix M of the Application.

2

63		
64	Q.	What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony?
65	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the methodology and results of the
66		acoustic modeling Hankard Environmental conducted for the Project. In addition, I
67		will discuss how the modeling demonstrates that the Project will comply with
68		applicable acoustic regulations.
69		
70	Q.	Identify the sections of the Application that you are sponsoring for the
71		record.
72	Α.	I am sponsoring the following portions of the Application:
73		Section 11.3: Sound
74		Appendix M: Noise Analysis
75		
76	Q.	What exhibits are attached to your Direct Testimony?
77	Α.	I am sponsoring the following exhibit:
78		Exhibit 1: Michael Hankard Statement of Qualifications
79		
80	III.	ACOUSTIC ANALYSIS
81		
82	Q.	What was the purpose of the acoustic modeling and analysis discussed in
83		the Noise Analysis?
84	Α.	The purpose of the Noise Analysis was to model the sound level to be produced
85		by the Project and determine through analysis whether the noise generated by the
86		Project will comply with the applicable noise standard in Deuel County, which
87		establishes a maximum dBA level at non-participating residences. To ensure
88		compliance with that requirement, my modeling was designed to assess the
89		maximum sound level that could be generated by each turbine in any given hour
90		(one-hour $L_{\mbox{\scriptsize eq}}).$ Consistent with these goals, the Noise Analysis describes the
91		results of the acoustic modeling we conducted, which demonstrates that Project
92		sound levels will meet Deuel County's 45 dBA noise standard at the perimeter of
93		existing, non-participating residences.

94

95 Q. Are you aware of any federal or state sound level regulations for wind energy 96 conversion facilities located in South Dakota?

A. No. There are no federal noise regulations that apply to this Project. One noiserelated requirement at the state level is South Dakota Administrative Rule
20:10:22:33.02(5), which requires that an application for an Energy Facility Permit
include "Anticipated noise levels at the exterior of all occupied residences located
within the affected area during construction and operation." The Noise Analysis
satisfies this requirement.

103

104Q.Has Deuel County established sound level requirements for wind energy105facilities?

A. Yes. Section 1215.03(13)(a) of the Deuel County Zoning Ordinance provides that the "Noise level for non-participating residences shall not exceed 45 DBA, average A-Weighted Sound pressure. The noise level is to be measured at the perimeter of existing non-participating residences." This is the only numerical noise limit applicable to wind energy systems in Deuel County, South Dakota.

111

112Q.Could you provide an overview of the methodology used in conducting the113acoustic modeling analysis for the Project?

- A. Noise levels from the Project were predicted using the modeling method set forth
 in the International Organization for Standardization ("ISO") Standard 9613 2:2024: Attenuation of Sound During Propagation Outdoors. The method was
 implemented using the SoundPLAN (v8.2) acoustical modeling program.
- 118
- 119Three different turbine models were included in the analysis: Siemens Gamesa120("SG") model 4.4-164 wind turbines, utilizing 71 turbine locations, and all turbines121to be equipped with low-noise blades; Vestas model V163-4.5 wind turbines,122utilizing 71 turbine locations, and all turbines to be equipped with serrated trailing123edge ("STE") blades; and General Electric ("GE") Sierra model 3.8-154 wind124turbines, utilizing 73 turbine locations, and all turbines to be equipped with low

- noise trailing edge ("LNTE") blades.¹ For each analysis, two 150 mVA main power
 transformers for the collector substation were also modeled.
- 127

128 In the SoundPLAN model, each turbine was represented as an acoustical point 129 source located at its hub height, which is 98 meters above the ground for the GE 130 3.8-154 and V163-4.5 units, 97.5 meters for the SG 4.4-164 units, and three 131 meters for the main power transformers. No directivity was applied to any noise 132 source, thus assuming maximum acoustic output in all directions. All turbines were 133 assumed to be operating in full, normal, and continuous operation and the main 134 power transformers (two 150 MVA) were assumed to be operating fully. The 135 locations of the turbines and main power transformers were provided by South 136 Deuel Wind. Also, in the SoundPLAN model, 132 receptors (residences) were 137 located within approximately 1.25 miles of any turbine or the substation. The 138 geographic locations of the residences were provided by South Deuel Wind and 139 reviewed by Hankard Environmental.

140

141 **Q.** Please summarize the results of the analysis.

A. Noise levels from the Project are predicted to not exceed 45 dBA at all non participating residences within 1.25 miles of the Project turbines and main power
 transformers. At non-participating residences within the study area, predicted
 noise levels are as follows:

146

• SG 4.4-164 Low Noise: range of 31 to 42 dBA with an average of 37 dBA.

147

• V163-4.5 STE: range of 35 to 44 dBA with an average of 40 dBA.

GE Sierra 3.8-154 LNTE: range of 36 to 45 dBA with an average of 41 dBA.
 The modeling approach employed in the Noise Analysis consistently overpredicts
 measured levels. That is, actual noise levels from the Project are expected to be
 less than those listed in the Noise Analysis and lower than the Deuel County limits.
 Moreover, a majority of the time, noise levels will be lower than predicted when the

¹ The SG and Vestas turbine models do not include proposed turbine locations 69 and 76 to match the corresponding Shadow Flicker Analysis prepared for the Project. All turbine models at all proposed turbine locations can be constructed in compliance with Deuel County's 45 dBA limit at all non-participating residences.

turbines are not producing full acoustic output due to low winds, and/or
atmospheric conditions are not as conducive to sound propagation as assumed in
this analysis.

156

Q. Are you aware of any post-construction noise studies for other wind farms
 that support the accuracy and conservativeness of the pre-construction
 noise modeling you conducted for the Project?

- 160 Α. Yes. The noise level modeling method employed on this Project has been 161 validated by many acoustical consultants, including Hankard Environmental. Hankard Environmental has conducted numerous wind turbine noise level 162 163 compliance surveys, and routinely compares the results of these measurements with corresponding predicted levels using the same methods employed on this 164 165 Project. The noise modeling method used in the Noise Analysis has been demonstrated by Hankard Environmental and other acoustical consultants to over-166 167 predict actual maximum one-hour Leg levels by at least 1 dBA.
- 168

169 IV. CONCLUSION

170

171 **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?

- 172 **A.** Yes.
- 173
- 174
- 175 Dated this 28th day of June, 2024

Michand Hanh

- 176 177
- 178 Michael Hankard