

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY DEUEL HARVEST WIND ENERGY
SOUTH LLC FOR ENERGY FACILITY PERMITS OF A WIND ENERGY FACILITY
AND A 345 KV TRANSMISSION FACILITY IN DEUEL COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA
FOR THE SOUTH DEUEL WIND PROJECT**

SD PUC DOCKET EL24-____

**PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOANNE BLANK
ON BEHALF OF DEUEL HARVEST WIND ENERGY SOUTH LLC**

June 28, 2024

1 **I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS**

2

3 **Q. Please state your name, employer and business address.**

4 **A.** My name is JoAnne Blank. I am a senior scientist and project manager in the
5 energy market sector at Stantec Consulting Services Inc. (“Stantec”). My business
6 address is 1165 Scheuring Road, De Pere, Wisconsin 54115.

7

8 **Q. On whose behalf are you providing this testimony?**

9 **A.** I am providing this testimony on behalf of Deuel Harvest Wind Energy South LLC
10 (“South Deuel Wind”) in support of its Facility Permit Application (“Application”) to
11 the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission. The Application is for a facility
12 permit to construct and operate a wind energy facility which will have a nameplate
13 capacity of up to 260 megawatts (“MW”) and deliver up to 250 MW to the point of
14 interconnection (“Wind Energy Facility”), and a transmission facility which will
15 operate at 345 kilovolts (“kV”) and be approximately 6 miles in length
16 (“Transmission Facility”). The Wind Energy Facility and the Transmission Facility
17 are collectively referred to as the Project.

18

19 **Q. Briefly describe your educational background and professional experience.**

20 **A.** I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, a
21 Master of Science degree in Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, and a Master of
22 Science degree in Environmental Monitoring. I have more than 20 years of
23 professional experience and have been with Stantec for 14 years.

24

25 I specialize in feasibility, permitting and compliance of power and renewable
26 energy projects across the United States. I have been involved in the design and
27 permitting of more than 25.0 gigawatts of wind and other renewable energy
28 projects. My project and management experience include federal, state, and local
29 permitting, feasibility analyses, expert witness testimony, project siting,
30 shadow/flicker analyses, sound studies, environmental permitting, National
31 Environmental Policy Act documents (Environmental Assessments and

32 Environmental Impact Statements), applications for Certificates of Public
33 Convenience and Necessity and Certificates of Authority, geospatial information
34 analysis and management, and post-construction compliance. I lead a team of
35 engineers and scientists that assess shadow flicker impacts and complete
36 decommissioning plans for renewable projects across the U.S. A copy of my
37 curriculum vitae is provided as Exhibit 1.

38

39 **II. OVERVIEW**

40

41 **Q. What is your role in the Project?**

42 **A.** I was retained by South Deuel Wind to conduct a shadow flicker analysis for the
43 proposed Project. My team and I conducted shadow flicker modeling for the
44 Project's proposed layout and prepared the associated shadow flicker analysis,
45 which is provided in Appendix N of the Application to the South Dakota Public
46 Utilities Commission.

47

48 **Q. What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony?**

49 **A.** The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the methodology and the results of the
50 shadow flicker modeling conducted for the Project.

51

52 **Q. Please identify the sections of the Application that you are sponsoring for
53 the record.**

54 **A.** I am sponsoring the following sections of the Application:

- 55 • Section 11.5: Shadow Flicker
- 56 • Appendix N: Shadow Flicker Analysis

57

58 **Q. What exhibits are attached to your Direct Testimony?**

59 **A.** I am sponsoring the following exhibit:

- 60 • Exhibit 1: JoAnne Blank Resume.

61

62 **III. SHADOW FLICKER ANALYSIS**

63

64 **Q. Was the Shadow Flicker Analysis provided as Appendix N to the Application**
65 **prepared by you or under your supervision and control?**

66 **A.** Yes.

67

68 **Q. What was the purpose of the shadow flicker modeling and analysis**
69 **discussed in the Shadow Flicker Analysis?**

70 **A.** The purpose of the Shadow Flicker Analysis was to estimate the potential annual
71 frequency of shadow flicker associated with the operation of the Project wind
72 turbines and to assess compliance with the shadow requirements of the Deuel
73 County Zoning Ordinance pursuant to Section 1215.03 of Deuel County's Zoning
74 Ordinance, Project shadow flicker at existing residences may not exceed 30 hours
75 annually.

76

77 **Q. What turbine models did you analyze?**

78 **A.** Modeling was completed for three potential turbine models proposed by South
79 Deuel Wind involving Vestas ("V"), Siemens Gamesa ("SG"), and General Electric
80 ("GE") wind turbines: specifically, the V163-4.5 turbine; the SG 4.4-164 turbine;
81 and the GE 3.8-154 turbine models. Seventy-three proposed turbine locations for
82 the GE 3.8-154 and 71 proposed turbine locations each for the V163-4.5 and SG
83 4.4-164 were analyzed in the Shadow Flicker Analysis prepared for the
84 Application. South Deuel Wind will construct and operate a subset of the turbine
85 locations described in the Shadow Flicker Analysis; therefore, expected annual
86 shadow flicker hours will be less than the results of the analyses presented.

87 **Q. Describe the methodology used in conducting the shadow flicker modeling.**

88 **A.** The WindPro's Version 3.6 software modeling application was used in the
89 assessment. WindPRO is physics-based, an industry-accepted modeling program
90 that calculates the number of hours per year that any given receptor may receive

91 shadow flicker from the source turbines. The application considers the attributes
92 and positions of the wind turbines in relation to receptors within the area. Shadow
93 flicker models also consider the sun's position as it passes through the Project
94 area each day and seasonally in addition to regional climatological information.
95 Climatological information was acquired from the National Climatic Data Center
96 and regional meteorological stations. The percentage of sunshine probability was
97 estimated from an analysis of average sunshine statistics for the Project region.

98
99 The WindPRO model calculates both a "potential" and "expected" scenario. The
100 "potential" scenario provides the periods when shadow flicker may occur on a
101 receptor; however, it is not representative of the shadow flicker that is expected to
102 occur. The "potential" scenario assumes no cloud cover, the sun is always shining
103 during daylight hours, and turbines are always operating and rotated to cast
104 maximum shadow on a receptor. The "expected" amount of annual shadow flicker
105 considers the percentage of sunshine based on local regional sunshine statistics;
106 the alignment of the blades in relation to the receptor due to wind direction; and
107 the amount of time that the blades would not be rotating due to wind speeds
108 outside of the turbine's operating parameters. The "potential" scenario, as
109 described, could not realistically occur; however, is useful as an indicator of the
110 potential times within which shadow flicker may occur. The Shadow Flicker
111 Analysis uses a conservative 90% operational time for purposes of calculating the
112 annual hours of expected shadow flicker.

113
114 The modeling was completed for three different turbine models currently under
115 consideration: the SG model, containing 71 SG 4.4-164 wind turbines with a 97.5-
116 meter hub height and a 164-meter rotor diameter; the Vestas model, containing 71
117 V163-4.5 wind turbines with a 98-meter hub height and a 163-meter rotor diameter;
118 and the GE model, containing 73 GE 3.8-154 wind turbines with a 98-meter hub
119 height and a 154-meter rotor diameter.

120

121 The Shadow Flicker Analysis is conservative in that it does not take into account
122 existing obstructions between the receptors and turbines, such as buildings or
123 trees, that will limit the amount of flicker actually experienced at the receptor.

124
125 A total of 132 potential receptors (residences) within 1.25 miles of the proposed
126 turbine locations were identified by South Deuel Wind and Stantec utilizing aerial
127 imagery and on-site reconnaissance.

128
129 **Q. What assumptions were included in your model?**
130 **A.** The model utilizes a “greenhouse” approach which defines each receptor as a one-
131 meter glass cube, representing a window able to receive shadow from all
132 directions. Vegetation surrounding receptors may block or diminish the effect of
133 shadow flicker; however, the reduction due to vegetation has not been considered
134 in the results summarized in the Shadow Flicker Analysis.

135
136 Other obstacles located between a receptor and a turbine, such as garages, out-
137 buildings, or silos, may reduce or eliminate the duration and/or intensity of shadow
138 flicker on a receptor. The analyses were performed using conservative model
139 inputs and did not include the blocking of shadow flicker due to vegetation or other
140 obstacles.

141
142 Shadow flicker is widely considered imperceptible at a distance greater than 1,500
143 meters; however, Stantec conservatively analyzed the impact at all distances when
144 more than 20 percent of the sun would be covered by a turbine blade. Shadow
145 flicker does not occur when the sun-angle is less than three degrees above the
146 horizon, due to atmospheric diffusion.

147
148 Further, the results discussed in the Shadow Flicker Analysis assume that all
149 turbines for each turbine model are operational. South Deuel Wind will construct
150 and operate a subset of the turbine locations analyzed; therefore, the total

151 expected annual shadow flicker hours will be less than the results of these
152 analyses.

153

154 **Q. What did the results of the Shadow Flicker Analysis show?**

155 **A.** Results of the analysis indicate that the majority of the 132 identified existing
156 residences analyzed within approximately 1.25 miles of turbines are expected to
157 receive 10 hours or less of shadow flicker each year. All receptors are expected to
158 receive no greater than 30 annual hours of shadow flicker, except that the GE and
159 SG models indicated that three receptors owned by Project participants may
160 receive greater than 30 annual hours of shadow flicker, prior to consideration of
161 vegetative blocking or applied mitigation. Likewise, the Vestas model indicates the
162 same at two participating receptors.

163

164 **Q. How will South Deuel Wind comply with the Deuel County Ordinance if the
165 final design of the Project indicates that existing residences will receive
166 more than the allowed limit of shadow flicker?**

167 **A.** South Deuel Wind has indicated that they will work with the owners of residences
168 as needed to identify, manage, and mitigate shadow flicker overages using
169 commercially reasonable mitigation measures. Mitigation measures that may be
170 offered include, but are not limited to, planting trees and/or vegetative buffers and
171 turbine curtailment.

172

173 **Q. Based on the results of the Shadow Flicker Analysis, will the Project comply
174 with the Deuel County shadow flicker limit?**

175 **A.** Yes, using the conservative modeling methodology described above, the Project
176 is not projected to result in shadow flicker levels above 30 hours per year at all but
177 five participant receptors. South Deuel Wind has indicated that for any receptor
178 where predicted shadow flicker is more than 30 hours per year, further site-specific
179 analyses will be conducted to ensure that shadow flicker is 30 hours or less per
180 year or take mitigative steps described above to limit shadow flicker at the existing

181 residences to 30 annual hours or less. Therefore, the Project will comply with the
182 Deuel County Zoning Ordinance.

183

184 **IV. CONCLUSION**

185

186 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

187 **A.** Yes.

188

189

190 Dated this 28th day of June, 2024

191

192



193 JoAnne J. Blank