

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

APPENDIX F2 – STRATEGIST MODELING ASSUMPTIONS & INPUTS

A. Discount Rate and Capital Structure

The discount rate used for levelized cost calculations and the present value of modeled costs is 6.53 percent. The rates shown below were calculated by taking a weighted average of each NSP jurisdiction’s last allowed/settled electric retail rate case.

Table 1: Discount Rate and Capital Structure

Discount Rate and Capital Structure				
	Capital Structure	Allowed Return	Before Tax Electric WACC	After Tax Electric WACC
Long-Term Debt	46.16%	4.80%	2.22%	1.60%
Common Equity	52.35%	9.35%	4.90%	4.90%
Short-Term Debt	1.49%	3.65%	0.05%	0.04%
Total			7.17%	6.53%

B. Inflation Rates

The inflation rates are used for existing resources, generic resources, and other costs related to general inflationary trends in the modeling and are developed using long-term forecasts from Global Insight. The general inflation rate of 2% is from their long-term forecast for “Chained Price Index for Total Personal Consumption Expenditures” published in the second quarter of 2018.

C. Reserve Margin

The reserve margin at the time of MISO’s peak is 8.4 percent from the 2018-2019 LOLE Study Report published November 2017. The coincidence factor between the NSP System and MISO system peak is 5 percent. Therefore, the effective reserve margin is:

$$(1 - 5\%) * (1 + 8.4\%) - 1 = 2.98\%.$$

D. CO₂ Costs

The PVSC Base Case CO2 values are based on the high environmental cost values for CO2 through 2024 (page 31 of the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission’s Order Updating Environmental Cost Values in Docket No. E999/CI-14-643 issued January 3, 2018.). All prices are converted to 2018 real dollars using the 2017 GDPDIPD of

2020-2034 Upper Midwest Resource Plan

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

113.416 and then escalate at general inflation thereafter.

The PVSC Base Case values starting in 2025 are based on the "high" end of the range of regulated costs (see page 12 of MPUC Order Establishing 2018 and 2019 Estimate of Future Carbon Dioxide Regulation Costs in Dockets No.E999/CI-07-1199 and E-999/DI-17-53 issued June 11, 2018). All prices escalate at general inflation.

The Order Establishing 2018 and 2019 Estimate of Future Carbon Dioxide Regulation Costs requires four alternative scenarios to be run in addition to the PVSC Base Case. The Order Extending Deadline for Filing Next Resource Plan issued January 30, 2019 also requires a scenario using the midpoint of the Commission's most recently approved externalities and regulatory costs of carbon. The values in the PVSC Base Case and alternative scenarios are set out below.

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 2: CO2 Costs

CO2 Costs (\$ per short ton)						
Year	Low Environmental Cost	High Environmental Cost	Low Environmental/ Regulatory Costs	Mid Environmental/ Regulatory Costs	PVSC - High Environmental/ Regulatory Costs	PVRR - Omitting CO2 Cost Considerations
2018	\$9.09	\$42.76	\$9.09	\$25.92	\$42.76	\$0.00
2019	\$9.49	\$44.58	\$9.49	\$27.04	\$44.58	\$0.00
2020	\$9.90	\$46.45	\$9.90	\$28.18	\$46.45	\$0.00
2021	\$10.32	\$48.39	\$10.32	\$29.35	\$48.39	\$0.00
2022	\$10.77	\$50.38	\$10.77	\$30.57	\$50.38	\$0.00
2023	\$11.22	\$52.43	\$11.22	\$31.82	\$52.43	\$0.00
2024	\$11.69	\$54.55	\$11.69	\$33.12	\$54.55	\$0.00
2025	\$12.16	\$56.72	\$5.00	\$15.00	\$25.00	\$0.00
2026	\$12.67	\$58.97	\$5.10	\$15.30	\$25.50	\$0.00
2027	\$13.17	\$61.29	\$5.20	\$15.61	\$26.01	\$0.00
2028	\$13.70	\$63.67	\$5.31	\$15.92	\$26.53	\$0.00
2029	\$14.24	\$66.12	\$5.41	\$16.24	\$27.06	\$0.00
2030	\$14.80	\$68.64	\$5.52	\$16.56	\$27.60	\$0.00
2031	\$15.37	\$71.24	\$5.63	\$16.89	\$28.15	\$0.00
2032	\$15.97	\$73.91	\$5.74	\$17.23	\$28.72	\$0.00
2033	\$16.57	\$76.67	\$5.86	\$17.57	\$29.29	\$0.00
2034	\$17.21	\$79.50	\$5.98	\$17.93	\$29.88	\$0.00
2035	\$17.85	\$82.41	\$6.09	\$18.28	\$30.47	\$0.00
2036	\$18.52	\$85.41	\$6.22	\$18.65	\$31.08	\$0.00
2037	\$19.20	\$88.50	\$6.34	\$19.02	\$31.71	\$0.00
2038	\$19.91	\$91.68	\$6.47	\$19.40	\$32.34	\$0.00
2039	\$20.62	\$94.96	\$6.60	\$19.79	\$32.99	\$0.00
2040	\$21.38	\$98.32	\$6.73	\$20.19	\$33.65	\$0.00
2041	\$22.14	\$101.78	\$6.86	\$20.59	\$34.32	\$0.00
2042	\$22.94	\$105.34	\$7.00	\$21.00	\$35.01	\$0.00
2043	\$23.74	\$109.00	\$7.14	\$21.42	\$35.71	\$0.00
2044	\$24.58	\$112.76	\$7.28	\$21.85	\$36.42	\$0.00
2045	\$25.43	\$116.63	\$7.43	\$22.29	\$37.15	\$0.00
2046	\$26.33	\$120.61	\$7.58	\$22.73	\$37.89	\$0.00
2047	\$27.23	\$124.71	\$7.73	\$23.19	\$38.65	\$0.00
2048	\$28.17	\$128.92	\$7.88	\$23.65	\$39.42	\$0.00
2049	\$29.12	\$133.24	\$8.04	\$24.13	\$40.21	\$0.00
2050	\$30.12	\$137.69	\$8.20	\$24.61	\$41.02	\$0.00
2051	\$31.14	\$142.26	\$8.37	\$25.10	\$41.84	\$0.00
2052	\$32.18	\$146.97	\$8.53	\$25.60	\$42.67	\$0.00
2053	\$33.26	\$151.80	\$8.71	\$26.12	\$43.53	\$0.00
2054	\$34.36	\$156.76	\$8.88	\$26.64	\$44.40	\$0.00
2055	\$35.50	\$161.87	\$9.06	\$27.17	\$45.28	\$0.00
2056	\$36.66	\$167.11	\$9.24	\$27.71	\$46.19	\$0.00
2057	\$37.86	\$172.51	\$9.42	\$28.27	\$47.11	\$0.00

E. All Other Externality Costs

The values of the criteria pollutants are derived from the high and low values for each of the 3 locations, as determined in the Minnesota Commission Order Updating Environmental Cost Values in Docket No. E999/CI-14-643 issued January 3, 2018.

2020-2034 Upper Midwest Resource Plan

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

The midpoint externality costs are the average of the low and high values. All prices are escalated to 2018 real dollars using the 2017 GPDIPD of 113.416. The high, low and midpoint externality costs will be used in the CO2 sensitivities as described above.

Table 3: Externality Costs

MPUC Low Externality Costs 2018 \$ per short ton				
	Urban	Metro Fringe	Rural	<200mi
SO2	\$6,116		\$4,829	\$3,643
NOx	\$2,934		\$2,622	\$2,110
PM2.5	\$10,697		\$6,856	\$3,654
CO	\$1.65		\$1.17	\$0.31
Pb	\$4,857		\$2,562	\$624

MPUC High Externality Costs 2018 \$ per short ton				
	Urban	Metro Fringe	Rural	<200mi
SO2	\$15,288		\$12,030	\$8,878
NOx	\$8,390		\$7,798	\$6,771
PM2.5	\$26,721		\$17,091	\$8,973
CO	\$3.51		\$2.08	\$0.63
Pb	\$6,011		\$3,094	\$695

MPUC Midpoint Externality Costs 2018 \$ per short ton				
	Urban	Metro Fringe	Rural	<200mi
SO2	\$10,702		\$8,430	\$6,261
NOx	\$5,662		\$5,210	\$4,441
PM2.5	\$18,709		\$11,974	\$6,313
CO	\$2.58		\$1.63	\$0.47
Pb	\$5,434		\$2,828	\$659

F. Demand and Energy Forecast

The Company's fall 2018 load forecast is used as the base assumption and assumes that EV impacts grow through 2023 are then held constant for the remaining forecast period. The energy efficiency (EE) forecast included in this forecast assumes impacts at a 75 percent rebate level which equals roughly 1.5 percent of sales through the planning period.

The "Load Forecast with 1.5% EE" shown in Table 4 below is the starting point for the Strategist load inputs. In all modeling scenarios, the "1.5% EE" is removed - the removal of these EE program effects, which have a 14-year life, impacts the load forecast through 2047. In its place, three EE Bundles (discussed below) are included

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

in Strategist as Proview Alternatives and any number of these bundles (from 0 to all 3) is allowed to be selected as part of the optimization process. The resulting forecast, before the optimized EE bundles are added, is shown below in Table 4 as “Forecast Without 1.5% EE.” The forecasts shown do not include the impact of DG solar, as DG solar is modeled as a resource in Strategist, not a load modifier.

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 4: Strategist Demand and Energy Forecast

Demand and Energy Forecast				
Year	Demand (MW)		Energy (GWh)	
	Forecast with 1.5% EE	Forecast without 1.5% EE	Forecast with 1.5% EE	Forecast without 1.5% EE
2018	9,152	9,152	43,914	43,914
2019	9,136	9,136	43,798	43,798
2020	9,156	9,227	43,865	44,310
2021	9,191	9,333	43,560	44,447
2022	9,251	9,464	43,529	44,860
2023	9,285	9,569	43,394	45,168
2024	9,329	9,684	43,425	45,650
2025	9,354	9,780	43,257	45,919
2026	9,403	9,900	43,281	46,386
2027	9,487	10,055	43,493	47,042
2028	9,593	10,262	44,089	48,093
2029	9,635	10,403	43,972	48,408
2030	9,697	10,567	44,130	49,010
2031	9,740	10,713	44,172	49,496
2032	9,856	10,956	44,661	50,445
2033	10,005	11,211	44,875	51,087
2034	10,137	11,343	45,232	51,443
2035	10,248	11,368	45,534	51,302
2036	10,374	11,408	46,042	51,382
2037	10,482	11,430	46,126	51,006
2038	10,576	11,438	46,287	50,723
2039	10,674	11,449	46,541	50,534
2040	10,777	11,467	46,946	50,505
2041	10,873	11,476	46,975	50,081
2042	10,964	11,481	47,143	49,805
2043	11,057	11,488	47,407	49,626
2044	11,169	11,514	47,823	49,603
2045	11,241	11,500	47,879	49,210
2046	11,328	11,500	48,076	48,964
2047	11,424	11,510	48,372	48,816
2048	11,536	11,536	48,977	48,977
2049	11,626	11,626	48,811	48,811
2050	11,715	11,715	49,042	49,042
2051	11,804	11,804	49,274	49,274
2052	11,893	11,901	49,640	49,640
2053	11,982	11,992	49,736	49,736
2054	12,071	12,083	49,968	49,968
2055	12,160	12,174	50,199	50,199
2056	12,249	12,265	50,567	50,567
2057	12,339	12,356	50,662	50,662

The low load sensitivity includes high customer-adoption-based DG/DER growth and higher EE savings, which reduces load. The high load sensitivity includes high electrification load. These assumptions are shown in Table 5 and Table 6, and are incremental/decremental to the forecast shown in Table 4.

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 5: High Load Sensitivity

High Electrification		
Year	Energy (GWh)	Demand (MW)
2018	35	8
2019	46	6
2020	59	7
2021	166	20
2022	276	33
2023	390	47
2024	507	62
2025	627	77
2026	785	96
2027	976	117
2028	1,194	141
2029	1,579	171
2030	2,122	207
2031	2,802	250
2032	3,622	302
2033	4,593	362
2034	5,706	430
2035	6,969	509
2036	8,320	592
2037	9,751	681
2038	11,248	772
2039	12,797	866
2040	14,387	961
2041	15,950	1,055
2042	17,472	1,146
2043	18,940	1,245
2044	20,341	1,930
2045	21,665	2,660
2046	22,904	3,318
2047	24,054	3,945
2048	25,112	4,800
2049	26,076	5,056
2050	26,947	5,554
2051	28,051	6,093
2052	29,061	6,564
2053	30,072	7,041
2054	31,083	7,528
2055	32,093	8,021
2056	33,104	8,496
2057	34,115	8,984

**Demand values are coincident to system peak*

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs
Table 6: Low Load Sensitivity

Year	High DER Growth		
	Energy (GWh)	ELCC (MW)	Demand (Nameplate MW)
2018	0	0	0
2019	0	0	0
2020	0	0	0
2021	189	72	144
2022	173	66	131
2023	159	60	121
2024	144	55	109
2025	135	51	103
2026	230	87	175
2027	228	87	173
2028	369	140	280
2029	377	143	286
2030	432	164	328
2031	490	186	373
2032	553	210	420
2033	617	235	469
2034	687	261	522
2035	760	289	578
2036	840	319	637
2037	920	350	700
2038	1,007	383	766
2039	1,099	418	836
2040	1,200	455	910
2041	1,225	466	931
2042	1,187	451	902
2043	1,148	437	873
2044	1,112	422	844
2045	1,070	407	814
2046	1,014	385	771
2047	974	370	740
2048	935	354	709
2049	891	339	677
2050	850	323	646
2051	799	304	607
2052	759	287	575
2053	701	266	532
2054	657	249	498
2055	607	230	461
2056	559	211	422
2057	506	192	383

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

G. Energy Efficiency Bundles

The EE “Program” and “Maximum” Bundles are based on the Minnesota Department of Commerce’s Minnesota Energy Efficiency Potential Study: 2020-2029 published December 4, 2018. The “Optimal” Bundle was developed by the Company. The bundles are incremental to the “Forecast without 1.5% EE” shown in Table 4. They are also dependent on the Bundle before it being selected (i.e. Bundle 2 cannot be selected if Bundle 1 is not selected). The Bundles are included in Strategist as Proview Alternatives and any number of these Bundles (from 0 to all 3) is allowed to be selected as part of the optimization process.

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 7: Energy Efficiency Bundles

Year	Energy(MWh)			Demand (MW)			Costs (\$000)		
	Bundle 1: Program	Bundle 2: Optimal	Bundle 3: Max	Bundle 1: Program	Bundle 2: Optimal	Bundle 3: Max	Bundle 1: Program	Bundle 2: Optimal	Bundle 3: Max
2018	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2019	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2020	621	43	231	97	18	36	100,989	12,598	148,331
2021	1,326	91	493	207	38	77	113,525	13,905	167,221
2022	1,913	148	702	301	60	113	121,239	21,425	177,197
2023	2,555	211	928	407	86	154	133,614	23,931	196,474
2024	3,094	279	1,110	520	116	197	148,406	26,120	217,388
2025	3,629	346	1,289	635	146	241	152,433	26,077	223,293
2026	4,330	414	1,533	759	176	289	160,445	26,236	233,779
2027	5,054	482	1,785	886	206	338	167,718	26,637	242,963
2028	5,785	551	2,040	1,012	235	387	174,161	27,018	249,373
2029	6,454	606	2,280	1,127	259	432	162,170	23,442	233,114
2030	7,110	659	2,516	1,241	283	477	162,170	23,442	233,114
2031	7,753	710	2,748	1,354	307	522	162,170	23,442	233,114
2032	8,339	760	2,960	1,460	329	564	162,170	23,442	233,114
2033	8,909	808	3,168	1,564	352	605	162,170	23,442	233,114
2034	9,464	857	3,370	1,667	374	646	162,170	23,442	233,114
2035	9,250	846	3,294	1,648	370	638	0	0	0
2036	8,739	835	3,073	1,579	366	600	0	0	0
2037	8,088	789	2,829	1,470	347	557	0	0	0
2038	7,450	741	2,590	1,369	327	517	0	0	0
2039	6,841	685	2,372	1,267	304	475	0	0	0
2040	6,197	626	2,144	1,154	278	430	0	0	0
2041	5,543	562	1,919	1,036	250	384	0	0	0
2042	4,871	499	1,685	916	221	337	0	0	0
2043	4,220	434	1,457	796	191	291	0	0	0
2044	3,561	377	1,218	678	165	245	0	0	0
2045	2,912	318	990	562	139	201	0	0	0
2046	2,276	265	761	451	116	156	0	0	0
2047	1,746	212	573	349	93	117	0	0	0
2048	1,216	159	384	248	70	79	0	0	0
2049	686	106	195	146	46	40	0	0	0
2050	156	53	7	45	23	1	0	0	0
2051	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2052	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2053	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2054	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2055	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2056	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2057	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

***Demand values are coincident to system peak*

H. Demand Response Forecast

The base demand response forecast was developed by the Company and is included in all scenarios and sensitivities. The three demand response “Bundles” are from the Brattle Potential Study provided as Appendix G2. The Bundles are incremental to the base demand response forecast and, the same as for EE, are dependent on the Bundle before it being selected (i.e. Bundle 2 cannot be selected if Bundle 1 is not selected). These Bundles are included in Strategist as Proview Alternatives and any number of

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

the Bundles (from 0 to all 3) is allowed to be selected as part of the optimization process.

Table 8: Demand Response Forecast

Demand (MW) Adjusted For Reserve Margin					Costs (\$000)		
Year	Base Demand Response Forecast	Bundle 1	Bundle 2	Bundle 3	Bundle 1	Bundle 2	Bundle 3
2018	848	0	0	0	0	0	0
2019	924	0	0	0	0	0	0
2020	940	270	107	89	14,380	7,659	11,311
2021	955	290	112	97	15,724	8,150	12,587
2022	970	312	116	106	17,212	8,676	14,016
2023	989	322	120	110	18,124	9,137	14,758
2024	1007	339	132	101	19,512	10,277	13,829
2025	1023	380	145	92	22,305	11,459	12,858
2026	1038	392	151	93	23,475	12,207	13,326
2027	1053	406	159	95	24,786	13,080	13,845
2028	1066	421	168	97	26,245	14,086	14,418
2029	1054	438	178	99	27,859	15,231	15,047
2030	1043	456	189	101	29,637	16,522	15,734
2031	1032	476	201	104	31,551	17,926	16,467
2032	1021	497	214	106	33,612	19,451	17,251
2033	1010	519	227	109	35,832	21,109	18,088
2034	1000	542	242	112	38,224	22,911	18,984
2035	990	567	257	116	40,802	24,870	19,943
2036	981	594	274	119	43,582	26,999	20,971
2037	972	630	293	125	46,580	29,313	22,072
2038	963	660	312	129	49,814	31,829	23,253
2039	954	692	332	133	53,305	34,564	24,522
2040	945	726	353	138	57,073	37,537	25,884
2041	937	726	353	138	58,215	38,288	26,402
2042	929	726	353	138	59,379	39,054	26,930
2043	921	726	353	138	60,566	39,835	27,468
2044	913	726	353	138	61,778	40,632	28,018
2045	906	726	353	138	63,013	41,444	28,578
2046	898	726	353	138	64,274	42,273	29,150
2047	891	726	353	138	65,559	43,118	29,733
2048	884	726	353	138	66,870	43,981	30,327
2049	876	726	353	138	68,208	44,860	30,934
2050	869	726	353	138	69,572	45,758	31,552
2051	862	726	353	138	70,963	46,673	32,183
2052	854	726	353	138	72,382	47,606	32,827
2053	847	726	353	138	73,830	48,558	33,484
2054	839	726	353	138	75,307	49,530	34,153
2055	832	726	353	138	76,813	50,520	34,836
2056	825	726	353	138	78,349	51,531	35,533
2057	817	726	353	138	79,916	52,561	36,244

**Demand values are coincident to system peak.*

I. Fuel Price Forecasts

The natural gas prices are developed using a blend of market information (New York Mercantile Exchange futures prices) and long-term fundamentally-based forecasts from Wood Mackenzie, Cambridge Energy Research Associates (CERA) and Petroleum Industry Research Associates (PIRA).

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Coal price forecasts are developed using two major inputs: the current contract volumes and prices combined with current estimates of required spot volumes and prices to cover non-contracted coal needs. Typically coal volumes and prices are under contract on a plant by plant basis for a one to five year term with annual spot volumes filling the estimated fuel requirements of the coal plant based on recent unit dispatch. The spot coal price forecasts are developed from price forecasts provided by Wood Mackenzie, JD Energy, and John T Boyd Company, as well as price points from recent Request for Proposal (RFP) responses for coal supply. Added to the spot coal forecast, which is just for the coal commodity, are: transportation charges, SO₂ costs, freeze control and dust suppressant, as required.

In addition to resources that exist within the NSP System, the Company is a participant in the MISO Market. Electric power market prices are developed from fundamentally-based forecasts from Wood Mackenzie, CERA and PIRA using a similar methodology as is used for the gas price forecast. Table 9 below shows the market prices under zero CO₂ cost assumptions. The market purchases and sales limit for transaction volume between the Company and MISO is 1,350 MWh/h in 2018, 1,800 MWh/h from 2019-2022, and 2,300 MWh/h for 2023 and beyond.

High and low price sensitivities were performed by adjusting the growth rate up and down by 50 percent from the base forecast starting in year 2022.

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 9: Fuel and Market Price Forecasts

Year	Base Price Forecast				Low Price Forecast				High Price Forecast			
	Fuel Price (\$/mmBTu)		Market Price (\$/MWh)		Fuel Price (\$/mmBTu)		Market Price (\$/MWh)		Fuel Price (\$/mmBTu)		Market Price (\$/MWh)	
	Generic Coal	Ventura Hub	Minn Hub On-Peak	Minn Hub Off-Peak	Generic Coal	Ventura Hub	Minn Hub On-Peak	Minn Hub Off-Peak	Generic Coal	Ventura Hub	Minn Hub On-Peak	Minn Hub Off-Peak
2018	\$2.19	\$2.74	\$28.60	\$21.61	\$2.19	\$2.74	\$28.60	\$21.61	\$2.19	\$2.74	\$28.60	\$21.61
2019	\$2.08	\$2.67	\$27.10	\$21.12	\$2.08	\$2.67	\$27.10	\$21.12	\$2.08	\$2.67	\$27.10	\$21.12
2020	\$2.11	\$2.44	\$24.36	\$18.97	\$2.11	\$2.44	\$24.36	\$18.97	\$2.11	\$2.44	\$24.36	\$18.97
2021	\$2.14	\$2.37	\$23.37	\$17.97	\$2.14	\$2.37	\$23.37	\$17.97	\$2.14	\$2.37	\$23.37	\$17.97
2022	\$2.23	\$2.52	\$24.93	\$19.30	\$2.19	\$2.44	\$24.18	\$18.72	\$2.26	\$2.59	\$25.68	\$19.88
2023	\$2.29	\$2.82	\$28.39	\$22.16	\$2.24	\$2.59	\$26.08	\$20.36	\$2.34	\$3.06	\$30.80	\$24.04
2024	\$2.37	\$3.07	\$30.69	\$23.93	\$2.29	\$2.70	\$27.02	\$21.07	\$2.45	\$3.47	\$34.66	\$27.03
2025	\$2.42	\$3.26	\$32.82	\$25.48	\$2.34	\$2.79	\$28.06	\$21.79	\$2.51	\$3.79	\$38.13	\$29.61
2026	\$2.48	\$3.42	\$34.50	\$27.03	\$2.38	\$2.85	\$28.81	\$22.58	\$2.59	\$4.06	\$41.02	\$32.14
2027	\$2.55	\$3.51	\$35.03	\$27.53	\$2.43	\$2.89	\$28.86	\$22.68	\$2.68	\$4.24	\$42.22	\$33.19
2028	\$2.62	\$3.60	\$35.52	\$27.78	\$2.48	\$2.93	\$28.90	\$22.60	\$2.77	\$4.40	\$43.35	\$33.90
2029	\$2.69	\$3.82	\$37.34	\$29.17	\$2.54	\$3.02	\$29.53	\$23.07	\$2.87	\$4.79	\$46.83	\$36.59
2030	\$2.76	\$4.09	\$39.20	\$30.60	\$2.59	\$3.13	\$29.95	\$23.38	\$2.97	\$5.31	\$50.84	\$39.69
2031	\$2.84	\$4.26	\$41.18	\$32.22	\$2.64	\$3.19	\$30.85	\$24.13	\$3.07	\$5.63	\$54.45	\$42.60
2032	\$2.92	\$4.47	\$42.61	\$33.54	\$2.70	\$3.27	\$31.17	\$24.53	\$3.18	\$6.05	\$57.66	\$45.38
2033	\$3.00	\$4.74	\$45.01	\$35.50	\$2.75	\$3.37	\$31.99	\$25.24	\$3.30	\$6.60	\$62.64	\$49.41
2034	\$3.08	\$4.93	\$46.64	\$37.01	\$2.81	\$3.44	\$32.51	\$25.80	\$3.42	\$6.99	\$66.15	\$52.51
2035	\$3.17	\$4.94	\$46.91	\$37.38	\$2.87	\$3.44	\$32.65	\$26.02	\$3.54	\$7.02	\$66.64	\$53.11
2036	\$3.26	\$5.00	\$46.72	\$37.35	\$2.93	\$3.46	\$32.33	\$25.85	\$3.67	\$7.15	\$66.75	\$53.37
2037	\$3.35	\$5.17	\$48.19	\$38.46	\$2.99	\$3.52	\$32.81	\$26.19	\$3.81	\$7.51	\$69.97	\$55.84
2038	\$3.44	\$5.40	\$49.56	\$40.01	\$3.06	\$3.60	\$33.03	\$26.67	\$3.95	\$8.00	\$73.47	\$59.32
2039	\$3.51	\$5.65	\$51.50	\$41.70	\$3.11	\$3.68	\$33.54	\$27.16	\$4.05	\$8.57	\$78.09	\$63.23
2040	\$3.61	\$5.90	\$53.12	\$43.28	\$3.18	\$3.76	\$33.87	\$27.60	\$4.20	\$9.14	\$82.24	\$67.00
2041	\$3.69	\$6.08	\$54.73	\$44.58	\$3.24	\$3.82	\$34.39	\$28.01	\$4.31	\$9.55	\$85.97	\$70.04
2042	\$3.77	\$6.27	\$56.47	\$46.00	\$3.30	\$3.88	\$34.93	\$28.46	\$4.42	\$10.01	\$90.07	\$73.38
2043	\$3.85	\$6.46	\$58.13	\$47.35	\$3.36	\$3.94	\$35.44	\$28.88	\$4.53	\$10.45	\$94.04	\$76.61
2044	\$3.93	\$6.57	\$59.12	\$48.17	\$3.43	\$3.97	\$35.75	\$29.12	\$4.65	\$10.72	\$96.46	\$78.59
2045	\$4.02	\$6.66	\$59.90	\$48.80	\$3.49	\$4.00	\$35.99	\$29.32	\$4.77	\$10.93	\$98.37	\$80.14
2046	\$4.11	\$6.77	\$60.93	\$49.63	\$3.56	\$4.03	\$36.29	\$29.57	\$4.89	\$11.21	\$100.88	\$82.19
2047	\$4.20	\$6.96	\$62.70	\$51.07	\$3.63	\$4.09	\$36.82	\$29.99	\$5.02	\$11.69	\$105.27	\$85.75
2048	\$4.29	\$7.17	\$64.55	\$52.57	\$3.70	\$4.15	\$37.37	\$30.44	\$5.15	\$12.21	\$109.93	\$89.54
2049	\$4.38	\$7.25	\$65.25	\$53.15	\$3.77	\$4.17	\$37.57	\$30.60	\$5.29	\$12.41	\$111.72	\$91.01
2050	\$4.48	\$7.37	\$66.39	\$54.08	\$3.85	\$4.21	\$37.90	\$30.87	\$5.43	\$12.73	\$114.66	\$93.38
2051	\$4.58	\$7.52	\$67.67	\$55.12	\$3.92	\$4.25	\$38.27	\$31.17	\$5.57	\$13.10	\$117.97	\$96.08
2052	\$4.68	\$7.66	\$68.99	\$56.19	\$4.00	\$4.29	\$38.64	\$31.47	\$5.72	\$13.49	\$121.42	\$98.90
2053	\$4.79	\$7.81	\$70.33	\$57.28	\$4.08	\$4.33	\$39.02	\$31.78	\$5.87	\$13.88	\$124.95	\$101.77
2054	\$4.89	\$7.96	\$71.68	\$58.39	\$4.16	\$4.38	\$39.39	\$32.08	\$6.03	\$14.28	\$128.56	\$104.71
2055	\$5.00	\$8.12	\$73.07	\$59.51	\$4.25	\$4.42	\$39.77	\$32.39	\$6.18	\$14.69	\$132.28	\$107.74
2056	\$5.11	\$8.27	\$74.48	\$60.67	\$4.33	\$4.46	\$40.16	\$32.71	\$6.34	\$15.12	\$136.13	\$110.87
2057	\$5.21	\$8.43	\$75.92	\$61.83	\$4.41	\$4.50	\$40.54	\$33.02	\$6.49	\$15.55	\$140.05	\$114.06

*Coal prices are delivered prices, while gas and market prices are hub prices.

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

J. Baseload Retirement “Leave Behind” Costs

Based on the MISO Y2 retirement studies performed on existing coal and nuclear resources, the Company developed transmission reinforcement or “leave behind” estimates, which reflect costs required to mitigate localized grid impacts of the retirement of major baseload resources. The reinforcement costs are included as a one-time charge based on the timing of the resource retirement.

Specifically, we have included the following proxy leave behind costs related to our baseload resource retirements as estimated from the MISO studies. We applied these costs in the modeling as soon as the resource is retired, over a three year period, to reflect the estimated local transmission reinforcement costs assumed to be required upon retirement. All numbers below are in real dollar terms (\$2020).

- King: \$48 million
- Sherco 3: \$48 million
- Monticello: \$96 million
- Prairie Island 1: \$96 million
- Prairie Island 2: \$96 million

K. Surplus Capacity Credit

The surplus capacity credit of up to 500 MW is applied for all twelve months of each year and is priced at the avoided capacity cost of a generic brownfield H-Class combustion turbine on an economic carrying charge basis.

Table 10: Surplus Capacity Credit

Surplus Capacity Credit																				
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
\$/kw-mo	4.62	4.71	4.81	4.90	5.00	5.10	5.20	5.31	5.41	5.52	5.63	5.74	5.86	5.98	6.10	6.22	6.34	6.47	6.60	6.73
	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057
\$/kw-mo	6.87	7.00	7.14	7.29	7.43	7.58	7.73	7.89	8.04	8.20	8.37	8.54	8.71	8.88	9.06	9.24	9.42	9.61	9.80	10.00

L. Effective Load Carrying Capability (ELCC) Capacity Credit for Wind, Solar, and Battery Resources

The ELCC for existing wind units is based on current MISO accreditation. The ELCC for generic wind is equal to 15.6% of their nameplate rating per MISO 2017/2018 Wind Capacity Report. The ELCC for generic solar is 50% of the AC nameplate capacity. The ELCC for a generic 4-hour battery is equal to 100% of their AC equivalent capacity.

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

M. Spinning Reserve Requirement

Spinning reserve is the on-line reserve capacity that is synchronized to the grid to maintain system frequency stability during contingency events and unforeseen load swings. The level of spinning reserve modeled is 137 MW and is based on a 12 month rolling average of spinning reserves carried by the NSP System within MISO.

N. Emergency Energy

Emergency energy is \$500/MWh and is used to cover events where there are not enough resources available to meet system energy requirements.

O. Transmission Delivery Costs and Interconnection Costs

Transmission delivery costs for generic resources were developed by the Company. They are based on evaluation of recent and historical MISO studies and queue results. These costs represent “grid upgrades” to ensure deliverability of energy from these facilities to the overall bulk electric system.

We note additionally that interconnection costs for generic resources are included in the capital costs in Table 14 in Part U of this Appendix, and represent “behind the fence” costs associated with substation and representative gen-tie construction.

Table 11: Transmission Delivery Costs

Transmission Delivery Costs				
	CC	CT	Wind	Solar
\$/kw	500	200	400	140

P. Integration and Congestion Costs

Integration costs are taken from studies conducted by Enernex and apply to new wind and solar resources only. Congestion costs were developed by the Company using the MISO MTEP 2018 models and looking at the average congestion costs between representative wind bus locations and NSP.NSP. Congestion costs are applied to new wind projects only.

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 12: Integration and Congestion Costs

Integration and Congestion Costs (\$/MWh)				
Year	Integration		Congestion	
	Wind	Solar	Wind	Solar
2018	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2019	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2020	0.41	0.41	3.43	0.00
2021	0.42	0.42	3.50	0.00
2022	0.43	0.43	3.57	0.00
2023	0.44	0.44	3.64	0.00
2024	0.45	0.45	3.71	0.00
2025	0.46	0.46	3.79	0.00
2026	0.47	0.47	3.86	0.00
2027	0.48	0.48	3.94	0.00
2028	0.49	0.49	4.02	0.00
2029	0.49	0.49	4.10	0.00
2030	0.50	0.50	4.18	0.00
2031	0.51	0.51	4.27	0.00
2032	0.53	0.53	4.35	0.00
2033	0.54	0.54	4.44	0.00
2034	0.55	0.55	4.53	0.00
2035	0.56	0.56	4.62	0.00
2036	0.57	0.57	4.71	0.00
2037	0.58	0.58	4.80	0.00
2038	0.59	0.59	4.90	0.00
2039	0.60	0.60	5.00	0.00
2040	0.62	0.62	5.10	0.00
2041	0.63	0.63	5.20	0.00
2042	0.64	0.64	5.30	0.00
2043	0.65	0.65	5.41	0.00
2044	0.67	0.67	5.52	0.00
2045	0.68	0.68	5.63	0.00
2046	0.69	0.69	5.74	0.00
2047	0.71	0.71	5.86	0.00
2048	0.72	0.72	5.97	0.00
2049	0.74	0.74	6.09	0.00
2050	0.75	0.75	6.22	0.00
2051	0.77	0.77	6.34	0.00
2052	0.78	0.78	6.47	0.00
2053	0.80	0.80	6.60	0.00
2054	0.81	0.81	6.73	0.00
2055	0.83	0.83	6.86	0.00
2056	0.84	0.84	7.00	0.00
2057	0.86	0.86	7.14	0.00

Q. Distributed Generation and Community Solar Gardens

The distributed solar inputs are based on the most recent Company forecasts. Annual additions are modeled assuming a degradation of half a percent annually in generation, and a twenty five year service life. After a “vintage” of additions reach end of life, it is assumed 90% of the capacity is replaced at then-current costs. The Company expects

2020-2034 Upper Midwest Resource Plan

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

a transition from Solar*Rewards to non-incentivized DG over time due to the end of statutory provisions.

Table 13: Distributed Solar Forecast

Distributed Solar (Nameplate MW)				
Year	Solar Rewards	Net Metered	Community Gardens	Total
2018	29	18	246	293
2019	41	27	504	573
2020	49	37	641	727
2021	53	47	649	749
2022	56	58	657	771
2023	57	70	665	792
2024	57	83	673	813
2025	56	96	681	834
2026	56	109	689	854
2027	56	122	697	875
2028	55	135	705	895
2029	55	147	713	915
2030	55	160	720	935
2031	55	172	728	955
2032	54	185	736	975
2033	54	197	744	995
2034	51	212	751	1,014
2035	45	229	759	1,033
2036	39	247	766	1,052
2037	34	262	774	1,070
2038	27	280	781	1,088
2039	16	301	789	1,106
2040	8	319	796	1,123
2041	4	333	804	1,141
2042	0	346	808	1,154
2043	0	358	796	1,154
2044	0	368	781	1,149
2045	0	379	776	1,155
2046	0	389	783	1,171
2047	0	399	789	1,188
2048	0	409	795	1,205
2049	0	419	802	1,221
2050	0	429	808	1,237
2051	0	439	814	1,254
2052	0	449	821	1,270
2053	0	459	827	1,286
2054	0	469	833	1,302
2055	0	479	839	1,318
2056	0	488	845	1,334
2057	0	498	852	1,350

R. Owned Unit Modeled Operating Characteristics and Costs

Company owned units are modeled based upon their tested operating characteristics and projected costs. Below is a list of typical operating and cost inputs for each

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

company owned resource.

- a. Retirement Date
- b. Maximum Capacity
- c. Current Unforced Capacity (UCAP) Ratings
- d. Minimum Capacity Rating
- e. Seasonal Deration
- f. Heat Rate Profiles
- g. Variable O&M
- h. Fixed O&M
- i. Maintenance Schedule
- j. Forced Outage Rate
- k. Emission rates for SO₂, NO_x, CO₂, Mercury and particulate matter (PM)
- l. Contribution to spinning reserve
- m. Fuel prices
- n. Fuel delivery charges

S. Thermal Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) Operating Characteristics and Costs

PPAs are modeled based upon their tested operating characteristics and contracted costs. Below is a list of typical operating and cost inputs for each thermal PPA.

- a. Contract term
- b. Maximum Capacity
- c. Minimum Capacity Rating
- d. Seasonal Deration
- e. Heat Rate Profiles
- f. Energy Schedule
- g. Capacity Payments
- h. Energy Payments
- i. Maintenance Schedule
- j. Forced Outage Rate
- k. Emission rates for SO₂, NO_x, CO₂, Mercury and PM
- l. Contribution to spinning reserve
- m. Fuel prices
- n. Fuel delivery charges

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

T. Renewable Energy (PPAs and Owned) Operating Characteristics and Costs

PPAs are modeled based upon their tested operating characteristics and contracted costs. Company owned units are modeled based upon their tested operating characteristics and projected costs. Below is a list of typical operating and cost inputs for each renewable energy unit.

- a. Contract term
- b. Name Plate Capacity
- c. Accredited Capacity
- d. Annual Energy
- e. Hourly Patterns
- f. Capacity and Energy Payments
- g. Integration Costs

Wind hourly patterns are developed through a “Typical Wind Year” process where individual months are selected from the years 2014-2017 to develop a representative typical year. Actual generation data from the selected months is used to develop the profile for each wind farm. For farms where generation data is not complete or not available, data from nearby similar farms is used.

Solar hourly patterns are taken from the ELCC Study from Fall 2013 and updated to reflect the ELCC as stated above.

U. Generic Assumptions

Generic resources are modeled based upon their expected operating characteristics and projected costs. Generic thermal costs are developed by the Company. Generic battery costs are based on Public Service of Colorado All-Source Solicitation bids (Nov 28, 2017) with a 10% annual price improvement rate. Generic renewable costs and capacity factors are from National Renewable Energy Laboratory’s 2018 Annual Technology Baseline data. Utility-scale wind and solar costs shown in Tables 16-18 include transmission costs from Table 10, while DG/distributed solar does not.

The Reference Case assumes “no going back” on renewables, meaning that we are committed to pursuing repowering and/or contract extension opportunities for renewable resources that will expire , and renewable resources are replaced “in-kind” when they reach end of life. Starting in 2023, generic solar is added to maintain at a minimum the 2015 IRP Preferred Plan solar levels. In 2023, there is approximately 1,800 GWhs of solar (both utility scale and DG solar) on the system which will grow to approximately 4,500 GWhs by 2028. The Company has already procured the levels

2020-2034 Upper Midwest Resource Plan

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

of wind contemplated in the previous Resource Plan, so no minimum level of generic wind additions are needed. Additional renewables are included as Proview Alternatives.

In addition to base cost data for renewables, low and high costs are used for various sensitivities. Low and high wind and solar costs are based on the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's 2018 Annual Technology Baseline data. Low and high battery costs are based the percent difference in the NREL ATB low / high battery costs compared to the NREL ATB base costs, with this percent difference applied to the Company's base battery cost forecast. Below is a list of typical operating and cost inputs for each generic resource.

Thermal

- a. Retirement Date
- b. Maximum Capacity
- c. UCAP Ratings
- d. Minimum Capacity Rating
- e. Seasonal Deration
- f. Heat Rate Profiles
- g. Variable O&M
- h. Fixed O&M
- i. Maintenance Schedule
- j. Forced Outage Rate
- k. Emission rates for SO₂, NO_x, CO₂, Mercury and PM
- l. Contribution to spinning reserve
- m. Fuel prices
- n. Fuel delivery charges

Renewable

- a. Contract term
- b. Name Plate Capacity
- c. Accredited Capacity
- d. Annual Energy
- e. Hourly Patterns
- f. Capacity and Energy Payments
- g. Integration Costs

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 14: Thermal Generic Information (Costs in 2018 Dollars)

Thermal Generic Information					
Resource	Sherco CC	Generic CC	Generic CT	Generic CT	Generic CT
Technology	7H	7H	7H	7F	7H
Location Type	Brownfield	Greenfield	Brownfield	Brownfield	Greenfield
Cooling Type	Wet	Dry	Dry	Dry	Dry
Book life	40	40	40	40	40
Nameplate Capacity (MW)	835	901	374	232	374
Summer Peak Capacity (MW)	750	856	331	206	331
Capital Cost (\$000) 2018\$	\$837,068	\$906,588	\$174,700	\$114,766	\$193,500
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$000) 2018\$	NA	\$410,505	NA	NA	\$74,804
Ongoing Capital Expenditures (\$000-yr) 2018\$	\$6,200	\$6,200	\$1,784	\$892	\$1,784
Gas Demand (\$000-yr) 2018\$	\$15,000	\$19,058	\$2,165	\$1,342	\$2,165
Gas Pipeline CIAC (\$000) 2018 \$	\$192,000	NA	NA	NA	NA
Capital Cost (\$/kW) 2018\$	\$1,002	\$1,006	\$467	\$495	\$517
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$/kW) 2018\$	NA	\$455	NA	NA	\$200
Ongoing Capital Expenditures (\$/kW-yr) 2018\$	\$7.42	\$6.88	\$4.77	\$3.85	\$4.77
Gas Demand (\$/kW-yr) 2018\$	\$17.96	\$21.14	\$5.79	\$5.79	\$5.79
Fixed O&M Cost (\$000/yr) 2018\$	\$6,592	\$6,592	\$1,253	\$1,203	\$1,253
Variable O&M Cost (\$/MWh) 2018\$	\$1.04	\$1.04	\$0.99	\$1.03	\$0.99
Levelized \$/kw-mo (All Fixed Costs) \$2018	\$14.46	\$16.19	\$5.96	\$6.27	\$8.14
Summer Heat Rate 100% Loading (btu/kWh)	6,359	6,848	9,264	10,025	9,264
Summer Heat Rate 75% Loading (btu/kWh)	6,547	6,874	9,738	10,581	9,738
Summer Heat Rate 50% Loading (btu/kWh)	6,985	7,334	11,120	12,515	11,120
Summer Heat Rate 25% Loading (btu/kWh)	8,004	8,404	11,558	13,430	11,558
Forced Outage Rate	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
Maintenance (weeks/yr)	5	5	2	2	2
CO2 Emissions (lbs/MMBtu)	118	118	118	118	118
SO2 Emissions (lbs/MWh)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
NOx Emissions (lbs/MWh)	0.05	0.05	0.90	0.32	0.90
PM10 Emissions (lbs/MWh)	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03
Mercury Emissions (lbs/MMWh)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 15: Renewable Generic Information (Costs in 2018 Dollars)

Renewable Generic Information				
Resource	Wind	Utility Scale Solar	Distributed Solar Commercial	Distributed Solar Residential
ELCC Capacity Credit (%)	15.6%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%
Capacity Factor	50.0%	17.7%	14.0%	14.8%
Book life	25	25	25	25
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$/kW)	400	140	0	0

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 16: Storage Generic Information (Costs in 2018 Dollars)

Storage Generic Information	
Resource	Battery
Technology	Li Ion
Location Type	NA
Book life	40
Nameplate Capacity (MW)	321
Summer Peak Capacity (MW)	321
Storage Volume (hrs)	4
Cycle Efficiency (%)	88
Equivalent Full Cycles per Year	156
Electric Transmission Delivery (\$000) 2018\$	0
Levelized \$/kw-mo (All Fixed Costs) \$2023	\$10.53

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 17: Levelized Capacity Costs by In-Service Year

Levelized Capacity Costs by In-Service Year (\$/kw-mo)								
COD	CT - 7H Greenfield	CT - 7F Brownfield	CT - 7H Brownfield	CC	Sherco CC	Base Battery	Low Battery	High Battery
2018	\$8.14	\$6.27	\$5.96	\$16.19	\$14.46			
2019	\$8.31	\$6.40	\$6.08	\$16.51	\$14.75			
2020	\$8.47	\$6.53	\$6.20	\$16.84	\$15.04			
2021	\$8.64	\$6.66	\$6.33	\$17.18	\$15.35			
2022	\$8.81	\$6.79	\$6.46	\$17.52	\$15.65			
2023	\$8.99	\$6.93	\$6.58	\$17.88	\$15.97	\$10.53	\$8.03	\$13.71
2024	\$9.17	\$7.07	\$6.72	\$18.23	\$16.28	\$9.48	\$6.99	\$12.51
2025	\$9.35	\$7.21	\$6.85	\$18.60	\$16.61	\$8.91	\$6.35	\$11.92
2026	\$9.54	\$7.35	\$6.99	\$18.97	\$16.94	\$8.53	\$5.90	\$11.41
2027	\$9.73	\$7.50	\$7.13	\$19.35	\$17.28	\$8.24	\$5.53	\$11.04
2028	\$9.93	\$7.65	\$7.27	\$19.74	\$17.63	\$8.02	\$5.20	\$10.73
2029	\$10.13	\$7.80	\$7.41	\$20.13	\$17.98	\$7.83	\$4.92	\$10.49
2030	\$10.33	\$7.96	\$7.56	\$20.53	\$18.34	\$7.68	\$4.65	\$10.28
2031	\$10.53	\$8.12	\$7.71	\$20.94	\$18.71	\$7.54	\$4.51	\$10.19
2032	\$10.75	\$8.28	\$7.87	\$21.36	\$19.08	\$7.42	\$4.39	\$10.13
2033	\$10.96	\$8.44	\$8.03	\$21.79	\$19.46	\$7.31	\$4.27	\$10.08
2034	\$11.18	\$8.61	\$8.19	\$22.23	\$19.85	\$7.22	\$4.16	\$10.05
2035	\$11.40	\$8.79	\$8.35	\$22.67	\$20.25	\$7.13	\$4.05	\$10.02
2036	\$11.63	\$8.96	\$8.52	\$23.12	\$20.65	\$7.05	\$3.94	\$10.02
2037	\$11.86	\$9.14	\$8.69	\$23.59	\$21.07	\$6.98	\$3.83	\$10.03
2038	\$12.10	\$9.32	\$8.86	\$24.06	\$21.49	\$6.91	\$3.73	\$10.05
2039	\$12.34	\$9.51	\$9.04	\$24.54	\$21.92	\$6.85	\$3.63	\$10.07
2040	\$12.59	\$9.70	\$9.22	\$25.03	\$22.36	\$6.79	\$3.53	\$10.09
2041	\$12.84	\$9.89	\$9.40	\$25.53	\$22.80	\$6.73	\$3.44	\$10.11
2042	\$13.10	\$10.09	\$9.59	\$26.04	\$23.26	\$6.68	\$3.36	\$10.13
2043	\$13.36	\$10.29	\$9.78	\$26.56	\$23.72	\$6.63	\$3.28	\$10.15
2044	\$13.63	\$10.50	\$9.98	\$27.09	\$24.20	\$6.58	\$3.20	\$10.17
2045	\$13.90	\$10.71	\$10.18	\$27.63	\$24.68	\$6.54	\$3.12	\$10.20
2046	\$14.18	\$10.92	\$10.38	\$28.19	\$25.18	\$6.50	\$3.10	\$10.13
2047	\$14.46	\$11.14	\$10.59	\$28.75	\$25.68	\$6.46	\$3.09	\$10.07
2048	\$14.75	\$11.37	\$10.80	\$29.33	\$26.19	\$6.42	\$3.07	\$10.01
2049	\$15.05	\$11.59	\$11.02	\$29.91	\$26.72	\$6.38	\$3.06	\$9.96
2050	\$15.35	\$11.82	\$11.24	\$30.51	\$27.25	\$6.35	\$3.04	\$9.91
2051	\$15.65	\$12.06	\$11.46	\$31.12	\$27.80	\$6.31	\$3.03	\$9.85
2052	\$15.97	\$12.30	\$11.69	\$31.74	\$28.35	\$6.28	\$3.01	\$9.80
2053	\$16.29	\$12.55	\$11.93	\$32.38	\$28.92	\$6.25	\$3.00	\$9.76
2054	\$16.61	\$12.80	\$12.16	\$33.03	\$29.50	\$6.22	\$2.98	\$9.71
2055	\$16.94	\$13.06	\$12.41	\$33.69	\$30.09	\$6.19	\$2.97	\$9.66
2056	\$17.28	\$13.32	\$12.66	\$34.36	\$30.69	\$6.16	\$2.95	\$9.62
2057	\$17.63	\$13.58	\$12.91	\$35.05	\$31.30	\$6.13	\$2.94	\$9.58

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 18: Base Renewable Levelized Costs by In-Service Year

Levelized Costs by In-Service Year \$/MWh (LCOE)				
COD	Wind	Utility Scale Solar	Distributed Solar Commercial	Distributed Solar Residential
2018				
2019				
2020	\$29.79	\$40.00	\$73.92	\$97.93
2021	\$29.65	\$40.00	\$71.77	\$91.35
2022	\$34.04	\$40.00	\$70.71	\$88.46
2023	\$38.61	\$49.48	\$69.59	\$87.04
2024	\$43.39	\$49.90	\$68.41	\$85.55
2025	\$52.15	\$50.32	\$67.18	\$83.98
2026	\$52.55	\$50.74	\$65.88	\$82.34
2027	\$52.98	\$51.17	\$64.53	\$80.63
2028	\$53.42	\$51.59	\$63.11	\$78.83
2029	\$53.89	\$52.01	\$61.62	\$76.95
2030	\$54.39	\$52.43	\$60.07	\$74.98
2031	\$54.95	\$53.10	\$60.66	\$75.15
2032	\$55.54	\$53.78	\$61.25	\$75.28
2033	\$56.16	\$54.47	\$61.84	\$75.40
2034	\$56.80	\$55.16	\$62.43	\$75.49
2035	\$57.47	\$55.86	\$63.02	\$75.56
2036	\$58.17	\$56.57	\$63.61	\$75.60
2037	\$58.91	\$57.28	\$64.20	\$75.61
2038	\$59.67	\$58.00	\$64.78	\$75.60
2039	\$60.47	\$58.72	\$65.37	\$75.56
2040	\$61.30	\$59.45	\$65.95	\$75.49
2041	\$62.17	\$60.13	\$66.88	\$76.33
2042	\$63.07	\$60.81	\$67.82	\$77.18
2043	\$64.01	\$61.50	\$68.77	\$78.04
2044	\$64.99	\$62.18	\$69.74	\$78.89
2045	\$66.01	\$62.87	\$70.71	\$79.76
2046	\$67.07	\$63.57	\$71.70	\$80.62
2047	\$68.17	\$64.27	\$72.70	\$81.49
2048	\$69.32	\$64.97	\$73.71	\$82.36
2049	\$70.52	\$65.68	\$74.73	\$83.24
2050	\$71.76	\$66.38	\$75.76	\$84.07
2051	\$73.20	\$67.71	\$77.28	\$85.75
2052	\$74.66	\$69.07	\$78.83	\$87.47
2053	\$76.16	\$70.45	\$80.40	\$89.22
2054	\$77.68	\$71.86	\$82.01	\$91.00
2055	\$79.23	\$73.29	\$83.65	\$92.82
2056	\$80.82	\$74.76	\$85.32	\$94.68
2057	\$82.43	\$76.25	\$87.03	\$96.57

**Distributed Solar costs represent at the meter values before grossing up for losses.*

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 19: Low Renewable Levelized Costs by In-Service Year

Low Levelized Costs by In-Service Year \$/MWh (LCOE)				
COD	Wind	Utility Scale Solar	Distributed Solar Commercial	Distributed Solar Residential
2018				
2019				
2020	\$25.51	\$35.18	\$56.57	\$94.61
2021	\$24.43	\$35.18	\$51.50	\$85.46
2022	\$27.80	\$35.18	\$50.18	\$81.18
2023	\$31.28	\$43.52	\$48.81	\$78.32
2024	\$34.89	\$43.21	\$47.40	\$75.38
2025	\$42.41	\$42.88	\$45.95	\$72.34
2026	\$41.50	\$42.54	\$44.44	\$69.21
2027	\$40.53	\$42.17	\$42.89	\$65.98
2028	\$39.52	\$41.79	\$41.28	\$62.65
2029	\$38.00	\$41.39	\$39.63	\$59.22
2030	\$37.80	\$40.97	\$37.93	\$55.69
2031	\$37.66	\$41.28	\$37.65	\$53.91
2032	\$38.06	\$41.58	\$37.35	\$52.04
2033	\$38.48	\$41.88	\$37.03	\$50.07
2034	\$38.90	\$42.28	\$36.68	\$48.02
2035	\$39.34	\$42.25	\$36.30	\$45.87
2036	\$39.80	\$42.39	\$35.90	\$43.62
2037	\$40.26	\$42.52	\$35.47	\$41.27
2038	\$40.75	\$42.64	\$35.01	\$38.81
2039	\$41.24	\$42.75	\$34.52	\$36.25
2040	\$41.75	\$42.85	\$33.99	\$33.57
2041	\$42.27	\$43.27	\$34.47	\$34.11
2042	\$42.80	\$43.39	\$34.95	\$34.64
2043	\$43.35	\$43.37	\$35.44	\$35.19
2044	\$43.92	\$43.33	\$35.94	\$35.75
2045	\$44.50	\$44.15	\$36.44	\$36.31
2046	\$45.09	\$43.34	\$36.95	\$36.88
2047	\$45.70	\$43.39	\$37.46	\$37.46
2048	\$46.32	\$43.42	\$37.98	\$38.05
2049	\$46.96	\$43.44	\$38.50	\$38.65
2050	\$47.62	\$43.97	\$39.04	\$39.22
2051	\$48.57	\$44.85	\$39.82	\$40.00
2052	\$49.54	\$45.74	\$40.61	\$40.80
2053	\$50.53	\$46.66	\$41.43	\$41.62
2054	\$51.54	\$47.59	\$42.25	\$42.45
2055	\$52.57	\$48.54	\$43.10	\$43.30
2056	\$53.63	\$49.51	\$43.96	\$44.17
2057	\$54.70	\$50.50	\$44.84	\$45.05

**Distributed Solar costs represent at the meter values before grossing up for losses.*

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368
Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 20: High Renewable Levelized Costs by In-Service Year

High Levelized Costs by In-Service Year \$/MWh (LCOE)				
COD	Wind	Utility Scale Solar	Distributed Solar Commercial	Distributed Solar Residential
2018				
2019				
2020	\$34.70	\$50.52	\$88.96	\$124.70
2021	\$35.40	\$50.52	\$91.58	\$127.20
2022	\$40.61	\$50.52	\$93.41	\$128.14
2023	\$46.03	\$62.48	\$95.28	\$130.70
2024	\$51.64	\$63.73	\$97.19	\$133.32
2025	\$61.25	\$65.01	\$99.13	\$135.98
2026	\$62.49	\$66.31	\$101.11	\$138.70
2027	\$63.76	\$67.63	\$103.14	\$141.48
2028	\$65.06	\$68.99	\$105.20	\$144.30
2029	\$66.38	\$70.37	\$107.30	\$147.19
2030	\$67.72	\$71.77	\$109.45	\$150.13
2031	\$69.10	\$73.21	\$111.64	\$153.14
2032	\$70.50	\$74.67	\$113.87	\$156.20
2033	\$71.93	\$76.17	\$116.15	\$159.32
2034	\$73.39	\$77.69	\$118.47	\$162.51
2035	\$74.88	\$79.24	\$120.84	\$165.76
2036	\$76.39	\$80.83	\$123.26	\$169.08
2037	\$77.94	\$82.45	\$125.72	\$172.46
2038	\$79.52	\$84.09	\$128.24	\$175.91
2039	\$81.13	\$85.78	\$130.80	\$179.42
2040	\$82.77	\$87.49	\$133.42	\$183.01
2041	\$84.45	\$89.24	\$136.09	\$186.67
2042	\$86.16	\$91.03	\$138.81	\$190.41
2043	\$87.90	\$92.85	\$141.58	\$194.21
2044	\$89.68	\$94.70	\$144.42	\$198.10
2045	\$91.49	\$96.60	\$147.30	\$202.06
2046	\$93.34	\$98.53	\$150.25	\$206.10
2047	\$95.23	\$100.50	\$153.25	\$210.22
2048	\$97.15	\$102.51	\$156.32	\$214.43
2049	\$99.12	\$104.56	\$159.45	\$218.72
2050	\$101.12	\$106.65	\$162.63	\$223.09
2051	\$103.14	\$108.79	\$165.89	\$227.55
2052	\$105.21	\$110.96	\$169.21	\$232.10
2053	\$107.31	\$113.18	\$172.59	\$236.75
2054	\$109.46	\$115.44	\$176.04	\$241.48
2055	\$111.65	\$117.75	\$179.56	\$246.31
2056	\$113.88	\$120.11	\$183.15	\$251.24
2057	\$116.16	\$122.51	\$186.82	\$256.26

**Distributed Solar costs represent at the meter values before grossing up for losses.*

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

ATTACHMENT A: HEAT RATE UPDATED

In Docket No. E999/CI-06-159 (In the Matter of Commission Investigation and Determination under the Electricity Title, Section XII, of the Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005), the Minnesota Commission required the Company to file information on the fossil fuel efficiency (heat rate) of our generation units, and actions we are taking to increase the fuel efficiency of those units.

Heat rate data for the Company's owned generating units is provided publicly in our annual Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Financial Report, FERC Form No. 1. We include a copy of the pertinent unit heat rate data from FERC Form No. 1 for 2018 in Table 21 below.

Table 21: 2018 FERC Heat Rates

Unit	Heat Rate
AS King	10,013
Sherco	10,546
Monticello	10,505
Prairie Island	10,487
Black Dog (NG)	7,870
High Bridge	6,863
Riverside	7,172
French Island	23,570
Wilmarth	10,637

The Company's Performance Monitoring department performs routine heat rate testing and conducts heat balances of its generating units. In addition, testing, assessments, and reporting on boilers, air heaters, cooling towers, and enthalpy drop tests on steam turbines are also conducted. These tools factor into our assessment of the condition of these individual components, as well as how their respective performance levels will impact the overall efficiency of a given generating unit. Table 22 below shows a summary of NSP System heat rate testing from 2015-2018.

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

Table 22: Heat Rate Tests – 2015-2018

Plant/Unit	Type of Unit Test	Type of Test	Year Tested
Sherco U1	Coal Boiler	Heat Rate	2015
Bayfront U4	Combustion Turbine	Calculated Adjustment for Fuel Change	2016
King U1	Coal Boiler	Heat Rate	2016
Sherco U2	Coal Boiler	Heat Rate	2015, 2016
Black Dog U5/U2	Combined Cycle	Heat Rate	2015, 2017
High Bridge CC	Combined Cycle	Heat Rate	2017, 2018
Sherco U3	Coal Boiler	Heat Rate	2017
Black Dog U6	Combustion Turbine	Heat Rate	2018
Riverside U7,U9,U10	Combined Cycle	Heat Rate	2017,2018

As part of its heat rate testing and reporting protocol, the Performance Monitoring group identifies potential heat rate improvement opportunities and validates actual performance enhancements. The Company does not look at heat rate improvements in isolation when considering plant improvement projects; rather, we perform a collective assessment of potential safety, efficiency, and environmental performance improvements as well as overall economics in developing our generation asset management objectives. Looking forward, the Company plans to continue our proactive cycle of heat rate testing and overall unit assessments at our generation units and implement improvements as opportunities arise.

ATTACHMENT B: WATER AND PLANT OPERATIONS

The Minnesota Commission’s August 5, 2013 Notice of Information in Future Resource Plan Filings in Docket No. E002/RP-10-825 suggested utilities should consider adding to their initial resource plan filings the supplemental information listed at page 4 of the Commission’s May 10, 2013 Order in Minnesota Power Docket No. E015/RP-13-53 (Order Point No. 4).

The Company’s generating units are geographically positioned along major Minnesota waterways. The access to water accommodates the thermal needs of these generating units. As such, the Company’s plant operations are governed by and comply with all applicable cooling water intake and discharge rules and regulations, which may indirectly affect Strategist modeling as discussed below.

The Clean Water Act Section 316(a) sets thermal limitations for discharges and the criteria and processes for allowing thermal variances. The Company’s power plant discharge temperature limits and allowances for thermal emergency provisions are

Xcel Energy

Docket No. E002/RP-19-368

Appendix F2: Strategist Modeling Assumptions & Inputs

outlined in the plants' National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits. Additionally, Xcel Energy has policies which outline the conditions and procedures to implement during periods of energy emergencies that allow for limited thermal variances.

Section 316(b) of the Clean Water Act governs the design and operation of intake structures in order to minimize adverse environmental impacts to aquatic life. EPA issued new rules in August 2014 that will impact all plants that withdraw water for cooling purposes. The new rules require improvements to intake screening technology to minimize the number of aquatic organisms that are killed due to being stuck to the screens (referred to as "impingement"). The rules also created a process for the state permitting agency to evaluate and determine if additional improvements are required to minimize the number of smaller organisms that pass through the intake screens and enter the plant cooling water system (referred to as "entrainment"). While the costs associated with the impingement compliance requirements are definable, the costs associated with the entrainment compliance requirements are uncertain.

Timing of the compliance requirements is site-specific and is determined by each site's NPDES permit renewal timeline.

While specific conditions, such as high water discharge temperatures, are not directly modeled in Strategist, the model reflects the impact of reducing plant output due to high water temperatures. Modeling in Strategist includes two methods to account for impacts due to changes in plant operations: each resource is modeled using a unit specific median unforced capacity rating, and the system needs are modeled with a planning reserve margin. By modeling the system needs with a planning reserve margin, the base level of required resources is assumed to be higher than those needed to meet the forecasted peak system demand. By modeling all units with an assumed level of forced outage, the base level of all available resources, modeled in aggregate, is assumed to be sufficient to represent resource availability due to emergency changes in plant operations. Thus the impact of reducing plant output due to high water temperatures is reflected through corrections to both obligation and resource adjustments.

ATTACHMENT C: ICAP LOAD AND RESOURCES TABLE

The following table shows load and resources using Installed Capacity Rating (ICAP) for the planning period, in compliance with the Minnesota Commission's August 5, 2013 Notice of Information in Future Resource Plan Filings.¹

Table 23: Load and Resources Tables, 2020-2034 Planning Period

ICAP Rating - Load and Resources 2020-2034 Planning Period															
	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034
Determination of Need															
Forecast Load	9,112	9,087	9,103	9,075	9,048	8,998	8,965	8,963	9,014	9,016	9,042	9,052	9,166	9,295	9,301
MISO System Coincident (ICAP)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Coincident Load	9,112	9,087	9,103	9,075	9,048	8,998	8,965	8,963	9,014	9,016	9,042	9,052	9,166	9,295	9,301
MISO Planning Reserve	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%	17.1%
Obligation	10,670	10,641	10,660	10,627	10,595	10,537	10,498	10,495	10,556	10,558	10,589	10,599	10,733	10,885	10,892
Existing and Approved Resources															
Load Management, Existing	940	955	970	989	1,007	1,023	1,038	1,053	1,066	1,054	1,043	1,032	1,021	1,010	1,000
Load Management, Potential Study	270	290	312	322	339	380	392	406	421	438	456	476	497	527	550
Coal	2,471	2,471	2,471	2,471	1,773	1,773	1,773	1,062	1,062	1,062	1,062	1,062	1,062	1,062	1,062
Nuclear	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,697	1,053	1,053	527
Natural Gas/Oil	3,511	3,511	3,511	3,511	3,347	3,032	2,784	2,260	2,139	2,139	2,139	2,139	1,858	1,858	1,858
MEC	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	720	720
Sterco CC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	786	786	786	786	786	786	786	786
Biomass/RDF	107	107	107	84	84	60	60	60	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Hydro	887	1,009	1,002	1,002	1,002	152	152	152	152	152	152	152	145	142	142
Wind	3,954	4,200	4,200	4,054	4,054	4,034	4,012	3,913	3,848	3,739	3,735	3,439	3,372	2,984	2,620
Distributed Solar	42	48	55	60	66	72	78	83	89	95	100	105	111	116	121
Solar*Rewards Community	335	339	344	348	352	356	360	365	369	373	377	381	385	389	393
Grid Scale Solar	182	182	181	180	179	178	177	176	175	174	174	173	172	171	170
Existing Resources	15,117	15,530	15,569	15,438	14,620	13,477	13,243	12,732	12,543	12,448	12,460	11,536	11,200	10,837	9,968
Existing and Approved Net Resource															
(Need)/Surplus	4,446	4,889	4,909	4,811	4,025	2,941	2,745	2,237	1,987	1,890	1,871	937	466	-48	-924
Reference Plan Resource Additions/Retirements															
Natural Gas/Oil	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	220	570	920
Wind	0	0	0	126	171	242	307	379	389	496	512	568	598	1,122	2,702
Solar	0	0	0	0	0	251	251	752	1,002	1,252	1,253	1,753	2,004	2,004	2,004
Reference Plan Resource Adjustments	0	0	0	126	172	492	558	1,131	1,391	1,749	1,765	2,321	2,822	3,696	5,627
Reference Plan Net Resource (Need)/Surplus	4,446	4,889	4,909	4,937	4,197	3,433	3,303	3,367	3,379	3,639	3,636	3,258	3,288	3,647	4,702

¹ See Docket No. E002/RP-10-825. In addition to noting amendments to Minn. Stat. § 216B.2422, subd. 4, the Notice suggested utilities should consider adding to their initial resource plan filings the supplemental information listed at page 4 of the Commission's May 10, 2013 Order in Minnesota Power Docket No. E015/RP-13-53 (Order Point No. 2).