Docket No. EL22-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 6 Page 1 of 10



Results of Xcel Energy Minimum Distribution System & Zero Intercept Studies

1. Overview

An important step in the Class Cost of Service Study (CCOSS) process is to classify costs according to one of the following billing components based on the nature of the cost:

- 1. Demand Costs that are driven by customers' maximum kilowatt ("kW") demand.
- 2. Energy Costs that are driven by customers' energy or kilowatt-hours ("kWh") requirements.
- 3. Customer Costs that are related to the number of customers served.

For Distribution Plant Investment, costs are classified as being capacity or customer-related. Page 87 of the NARUC Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual and Table 1 below shows how FERC classifies distribution plant by function and sub-function

	Cost Classification	
Function/Sub-Function	Demand	Customer
Distribution Substations	Х	
Primary Transformers	Х	
Primary Lines	Х	Х
Secondary Lines	Х	Х
Secondary Transformers	X	Х
Service Drops		Х

Table 1 FERC Classification of Distribution Plant Investment

As shown in the table above, primary lines, secondary lines and secondary transformers are classified as both "demand" and "customer" related costs. Costs of these sub-functions are driven by **both** the number of customers on the distribution system and the capacity requirements they place on the system.

The Minimum System and Zero Intercept methods are two widely used methods for determining the percent of distribution plant investment that is customer-related and allocated to class with a customer based allocation factor, versus the percent of costs that are capacity-related and allocated to class with a demand based allocator. These methods are described on pages 86-96 of the NARUC Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual.

The Company has used the Minimum System method to do this classification for distribution plant investment in its rate cases since the 1990s. In this rate case the Company has completed both Minimum System and Zero Intercept studies. This exhibit describes the steps the Company has taken to complete these studies.

Docket No. EL22-___ Exhibit____(CJB-1), Schedule 6 Page 3 of 10

2. Steps for Completing a Minimum System Study

The following steps are taken to complete a minimum system study (these steps are also described on pages 90-92 of the NARUC manual):

Step 1: Determine the minimum sized conductor, transformer and service that are installed on the distribution system.

Step 2: Determine the installed cost per unit for the minimum sized plant. Installed costs include material costs, labor costs and equipment costs.

Step 3: Multiply the cost per unit of the minimum sized plant by the total inventory of each plant type

Step 4: The total cost of the minimum sized plant divided by the total cost of the actual sized distribution plant in the field. This ratio is deemed to be the customer-related portion of distribution plant investment, with the balance being the capacity-related portion.

The assumed minimum property unit configurations were determined by the Company's Distribution Engineering area according to its field experience and its evaluation of the smallest practical-sized equipment inventories held in the Company's inventory.

3. Steps for Completing a Zero Intercept Study

The steps for completing a zero or minimum intercept are described on pages 92-94 of the NARUC manual. A zero intercept study requires considerably more data and analysis than a minimum system study. A zero intercept study requires the following data:

- A listing of all the configurations of equipment installed for the following for the following distribution property units:
 - o Overhead Primary Conductor
 - Overhead Secondary Conductor
 - Overhead Transformers
 - Underground Primary Conductor
 - Underground Secondary Conductor
 - Underground Transformers
 - Primary Voltage Stepdown Transformers
- For each of the above property units, the equipment inventory is obtained for each property unit configuration.
- The maximum capacity rating for each property unit configuration.

Docket No. EL22-___ Exhibit____(CJB-1), Schedule 6 Page 4 of 10

- Ampacity for conductors
- kVa for Transformers
- The installed cost per unit for the most common property unit configurations.

After the above data is acquired, the following analysis steps are taken to complete a zero intercept study:

Step 1: The statistical analysis technique called linear regression is applied to the data acquired for each property unit. Specifically, the variable "cost per unit" as the dependent variable (Y axis) is regressed on the variable "maximum capacity" as the independent variable (X axis). The point where the regression line crosses the Y intercept is the theoretical "zero load" cost per unit.

Step 2: The zero load cost per unit is multiplied by the total inventory of the distribution property unit.

Step 3: The installed cost per unit for the most common property configurations is multiplied by the inventory of each configuration. The resulting product is then summed for each property unit.

Step 4: The result from step 2 is divided by the result from step 3. This ratio is classified as the customer component for each property unit.

4. Minimum System and Zero Intercept Data Sources

In short, data on the types, configurations, sizes and quantities of distribution equipment were obtained by querying the Company's Geographic Information System (GIS). Data on the installed unit costs for each equipment configuration were obtained by analyzing the costs of nearly 12,000 distribution work orders that were completed over a 13 year period. The goal in this data gathering step was to obtain installed costs for equipment configuration that comprise 90% of the population for a given property unit (i.e. underground primary conductor).

The Company acquired the data for both studies from the following sources:

• Distribution Equipment Inventory - This data was obtained by querying all of the data available on conductors, cables, transformers and secondary equipment in the Company's Geographic Information System (GIS) database. This data was then split into the following specific Property

Units: Overhead (OH) Primary, Underground (UG) Primary, OH Secondary, UG Secondary, OH Transformers and UG Transformers.

These Property Units were then further divided into specific sizes and configurations (i.e. 1/0 AL 3ph under the UG Primary Property Unit). The total length (feet) in the GIS was calculated for each specific configuration of conductors and cables, and the total amount of units in the GIS was calculated for each specific configuration of transformers.

- Minimum Size of Distribution Equipment The minimum-size conductor, cable, transformer, and secondary service equipment used in the Minimum System Study were selected by the Engineering Organization according to its field experience and its evaluation of the smallest practical-sized equipment inventories held in the Company's inventory. The "smallest practicalsized equipment" presently utilized on the Company's distribution system has been developed and refined over a number of decades as our industry has matured and progressed.
- Per Unit Installed Costs To acquire the data needed to determine the installed unit costs, the GIS was queried for all Work Orders added to the database for a 13 year period. When new equipment such as a cable or a transformer is added to the GIS, or when existing equipment is changed, the equipment is associated with a specific Work Order number. The Work Order number is an identification number for the specific job that was done to install the equipment. Therefore, when the Work Orders were queried from the GIS, all of the specific equipment installed in those Work Orders was acquired. This provided a large dataset of specific jobs that have been done in the past five years, as well as what was installed in those jobs.

To determine the costs associated with each Work Order, the Work Orders pulled from GIS were queried in the Company's financial management system. This query was able to pull the total cost for each Work Order, and the breakdown of how much was charged to each cost area (regular labor, overtime labor, equipment, stocked materials, etc). This then gave a breakdown of historic jobs, what was installed in those jobs, and how much the jobs cost.

Using the Work Order and cost data, the Work Orders were then filtered down to those in which only one Property Unit and one specific configuration was installed (i.e., a Work Order that only installs 350 feet of 1/0 AL 3ph would be used for the study, but a Work Order that installs both 350 feet of 1/0 AL 3ph and 200 feet of 750 AL 3ph would be filtered out). This was done to ensure accuracy in calculating the installed unit cost for a single specific configuration because we could not parse out the costs for the two different configurations from the entire cost of a Work Order. After filtering, the cost from nearly 12,000 work orders were used to develop the per unit installed costs.

Load Carrying Capacity of Distribution Equipment Configurations - The load-carrying capability
was factored into the analysis using the unique load-carrying capacity value for each specific
configuration. For transformers, this value was the nameplate kVA value. For conductors,
cables and secondary equipment, this value was the ampacity. The values for ampacity of the
various conductors, cables and secondary service equipment were acquired from the Company's
Distribution design and construction manuals. For three-phase conductors and cables, this
ampacity value was calculated as three times the single-phase value listed in the Company's
Distribution Design and Construction manuals.

5. Analysis Results

The data and results of the minimum system and zero intercept studies are shown in Attachments A to P of Schedule 5.

Attachments A to F show the inventory of the different equipment configurations for each property unit.

Attachment G shows the inventory of primary voltage distribution transformers. As shown in Table 1 above, there is no customer component to this property unit. Attachment G also shows the installed cost per unit and total replacement cost for primary voltage transformers so that transformer plant investment can be separated into primary and secondary voltages.

Attachments H through M show the graphical results of the zero intercept linear regression analysis for each property unit.

Attachment N shows the detailed minimum system and zero intercept calculations.

- Column 1: Lists the property unit.
- Column 2: For primary conductor, indicates if it's 1 phase or 3 phase.
- Column 3: Lists the specific configuration of the equipment.
- Column 4: Lists the inventory of the equipment configuration.
- Column 5: Shows the percent of total equipment total inventory that the specific configuration makes up.
- Column 6: Shows the cumulative percent of inventory that the configuration included in the study make up. As shown in Column 6, the Distribution Engineering area provided cost data for equipment configurations that make up 90% of the total inventory for a given property unit.
- Column 7: Shows the load carrying capacity of the given equipment configuration.
- Column 8: Shows the per unit installed cost as determined by the Distribution Engineering area.

- Column 9: Calculates the total cost of each equipment configuration by multiplying its equipment inventory in Column 4 by the per unit installed cost in Column 8. This result is summed across all equipment configurations to provide total installed costs for a given property unit.
- Column 10: Shows the cost per unit that was determined using the zero intercept method. This was determined by conducting a linear regression analysis using load carrying capacity (in Column 7) as the independent variable, with cost per unit (in Column 8) as the dependent variable.
- Column 11: Calculates total cost of each equipment configuration assuming the zero intercept cost is the cost per unit for all equipment configurations. The equipment inventory in Column 4 is multiplied by the zero intercept cost in Column 10. This result is summed across all equipment configurations to provide total cost for a given property unit, assuming the zero intercept cost is the cost for all equipment configurations. This total for a given property unit divided by the same total in Column 9 is the percent of costs that should be classified as customer-related using the zero intercept approach.
- Column 12: Shows the per unit installed cost of the minimum sized equipment configuration.
- Column 13: Calculates total cost of each equipment configuration assuming the cost of minimum system equipment configuration is the cost per unit for all equipment configurations. The equipment inventory in Column 4 is multiplied by the cost of the minimum system unit in Column 12. This result is summed across all equipment configurations to provide total cost for a given property unit assuming the cost of the minimum system unit is the cost for all equipment configurations. This total cost for a given property unit assuming the cost of the minimum system unit is the cost for all equipment configurations. This total for a given property unit divided by the same total in Column 9 is the percent of costs that should be classified as customer-related using the minimum system approach.

Table 2 below shows the percent of costs that would be classified as customer related using the minimum system method compared to the zero intercept method. As shown in Table 2, for 4 of the 6 property units the zero intercept method provided a lower customer component, while 2 of the 6 have a lower customer component using the minimum system method.

Docket No. EL22-___ Exhibit___(CJB-1), Schedule 6 Page 8 of 10

Table 2Percent of Distribution Plant Investment Classified as Customer-RelatedZero Intercept Method Vs the Minimum System Method

	% of Costs Classified as Customer-Related		
	Zero Intercept Method	Minimum System Method	
Property Unit			
Overhead Primary	35.3%	63.7%	
Overhead Secondary	78.6%	99.2%	
Overhead Transformers	73.6%	77.4%	
Underground Primary	53.0%	62.3%	
Underground Secondary	59.6%	100%	
Underground Transformers	87.0%	51.6%	

6. Application of Minimum System and Zero Intercept Results to Distribution Plant Investment

For a given property unit the Company used a "hybrid" of the two methods by applying the result that provided the lowest customer component as shown in Table 3 below.

Table 3Customer Vs Capacity Classification Applied to Distribution Plant Investment

Property Unit	% Classified as Customer-Related	% Classified as Capacity-Related
Overhead Primary (used Zero Intercept result)	35.3%	64.7%
Overhead Secondary (used Zero Intercept result)	78.6%	21.4%
Underground Primary (used Zero Intercept result)	53.0%	47.0%
Underground Secondary (used Zero Intercept result)	59.6%	40.4%
Weighted Average for Overhead and Underground	64.2%	35.8%
Transformers (used Zero Intercept for OH		
Transformers; used Minimum System for UG		
Transformers)		

Docket No. EL22-___ Exhibit____(CJB-1), Schedule 6 Page 9 of 10

Attachment O of Schedule 11 shows how the above results from the minimum system and zero intercept analyses are used to provide the needed cost separations.

The first step is to multiply the total inventory of each property unit (shown in Column 1) by the overall cost per unit (shown in Column 2) to provide the total replacement cost (shown in Column 3). The total replacement costs for each property unit are shown in percentages in Column 4.

These percentages are then applied to the Total Test Year Plant in Service as provided from the Jurisdictional Cost of Service Study (JCOSS) to separate costs into sub-function. The Total Test Year Plant in Service from the JCOSS is shown in Attachment O on line 11, column 5 for Overhead Distribution Plant; on line 22, column 5 for Underground Distribution Plant; and on line 27, column 5 for transformers. (Note that the cost of Overhead Distribution Plant that is directly assigned to the Lighting class was quantified as shown on Table 3 on Page 12 of Christopher Barthol's direct testimony). For Overhead Distribution Line the result as shown in Column 5 is a separation of Overhead Plant in Service costs into the following sub-functions:

- Overhead Primary Single Phase Lines (line 3)
- Overhead Primary Multi Phase Lines (line 6)
- Overhead Secondary Lines (line 9)
- Lighting (line 10)

For Underground Lines there was no direct assignment to the Lighting class. The result as shown in Column 5 is a separation of Underground Plant in Service costs into the following sub-functions:

- Underground Primary Single Phase Lines (line 14)
- Underground Primary Multi Phase Lines (line 17)
- Underground Secondary Lines (line 20)

For Transformers the result shown in Column 5 is a separation of Plant in Service costs into the following sub-functions:

- Primary Voltage Transformers (line 23)
- Secondary Voltage Transformers (line 26)

The final step as shown in Column 7 of Attachment O, was to apply the associated Customer & Capacity percentages as shown in Column 6 of Attachment O to the corresponding Plant in Service costs as shown in Column 5. The final result in Column 7 is a separation of distribution plant costs into sub-

function and cost classification. These are the inputs to the CCOSS model for the 2021 test year as shown in Schedule 4, page 4, column 1, lines 19 - 42.

7. Distribution Service Drops

Although FERC (as shown in Table 1) and many utilities classify distribution services as only being customer-related, the Company has split these costs into capacity and customer-related components. The Company does not have detailed property records on the configuration or footage of distribution service drops. As such, it wasn't possible to conduct a detailed minimum system or zero intercept studies as described above. As a substitute a simplified minimum system analysis was conducted as shown in Attachment P.

Column 2 of Attachment P lists the minimum conductor configuration used by the Company in Overhead and Underground applications.

In column 3 we assumed a minimum footage per service of 50 feet.

In order to the get an estimated cost per foot for each conductor configuration, staff in the Distribution Design ran a number of service installation work orders through the Company's distribution design software. The resulting unit costs are shown in Column 4.

The Total Installed Costs for minimum service drop configuration as shown in column 6 is obtained by multiplying the Minimum Service Footage (column 3) by the Unit Cost per Foot (column 4) by the number of customers with overhead or underground services (column 5). The total minimum installed cost (column 6 total) is divided by total plant investment for distribution services (column 7). This is percent of distribution service costs that was classified as customer-related as shown in column 8.

8. Load Carrying Capacity of Minimum System Design

The Company used the same 1.5 kW per customer for the load carrying capacity of the minimum system design. This is the same assumption that was made in the last rate case. This adjustment was applied to the distribution capacity cost allocation factors.