

**DATA REQUIREMENTS**  
**PURSUANT TO DOCKET NO. F-3563**

1. Background

The currently effective Rates 95 and 96 were filed on October 16, 2020, approved by the Commission on December 14, 2020 and implemented on January 1, 2021. The energy payments currently on file for these rates were generated by Montana-Dakota's production costing model (PLEXOS) for the twelve months ending December 31, 2021. The capacity payment in effect for Rate 96 reflects the MISO capacity clearing price for Zone 1 currently and effective in 2031 is based on the projected costs of an installed peaking turbine.

After reviewing the energy payments currently on file for Rates 95 and 96, Montana-Dakota recommends that these rate schedules be updated to reflect the projected avoided energy costs for the test year of calendar year 2022. The methodology used in developing the proposed energy payments is identical to that used in developing the currently effective rates.

The Company is proposing no changes to the methodology used in developing the capacity payments provision of its Rate 96 tariff.

2. Explanation

A. The proposed rate changes affect all classes of cogeneration and small power production service. Montana-Dakota currently has two customers on its Occasional Power Purchase Rate 95 Non-Time Differentiated in South Dakota. Currently there are no customers taking service on Rate 96.

B. The proposed tariff sheets are:

Section No. 3, 6th Revised Sheet No. 35  
Occasional Power Purchase Non-Time Differentiated Rate 95

Section No. 3, 6th Revised Sheet No. 36  
Short-Term Power Purchase Rate 96 Time Differentiated

C. The proposed rate changes apply to cogeneration and small power production services with a design capacity of 100 Kw or less, that are Qualifying Facilities as defined under 18 CFR, Part 292.

D. The affected tariffs are applicable to all areas served by Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. in South Dakota.

E. There are no additional special conditions, limitations, qualifications or restrictions upon the proposed tariffs.

3. Financial Impact

The proposed change to customers would be minimal.

4. Precedential Effect

None.