(The following is an excerpt from the June 7th, 2021, Commissioner Proceedings, Hughes County, South Dakota)

COMMISSIONER: Okay. Wind project update.

Thanks, Ben.

COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER: Come on in.

MR. WILLIS: Good afternoon. My name is Casey Willis. I'm with ENGIE North America, so I'm the project developer for a project that we have partially in Hughes County, partially in Hyde County called the North Bend Wind Project. So, first off, I apologize for not being here before. Obviously, there's been some limitations for a lot of folks in the past 16 months or so. This is actually my first authorized travel out here, so thank you for allowing me to come in front of you.

Just to give you kind of an overview. We have been working out here with the landowners since about 2015 signing easements. It's usually the start of how a wind project begins and develops is we partner with some of the landowners to determine if there's interest.

The project itself is located on about 40,000 acres of easements that have been signed over time. This represents about 75 landowner

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

groups. In that period of time, once we have a significant period of easements signed, we've been doing what I'd call baseline biological and environmental studies over the past couple of years. It was partially in conjunction with the adjacent Triple H wind project, which is now operating, and in addition to that, finalizing interconnect studies.

The interconnect studies are kind of the significant milestone for any wind project. Here in this area, it's the Southwest Power Pool where you enter into the interconnection queue and they evaluate the capacity on the system and what happens when you inject wind power at a particular location, what upgrades are needed, how does that factor in with existing resources' demand, other energies that have queue positions, so that process is fairly technical and it goes through several iterations and takes years to complete.

So we're now at a point where we know that basically the queue position that we have, that it's viable. In some instances, you can have a queue position where you think it will work great, and, unfortunately, it triggers eighty, a hundred million dollars of upgrades that can't be absorbed by a project. Project doesn't work in that location.

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

In this instance, we think it does. Our queue position is on a WAPA line. It's kind of on the southeast side of the project that exists right there. It's the Fort Thompson to Oahe 230-kilovolt line.

As of the moment right now, we have not formally signed a turbine supply agreement. Part of the reason for that is we also have not signed a power purchase agreement to sell power from the project, nor have signed the balance of plan, which is who the — the construction contractor. Those are what I would deem as, like, the key major contracts.

Generally, you try to sign them all at the same time.

We're fairly confident this project will be very competitive, similar to how Triple H was. And we've been very competitive in submitting bids into various proposals to sell power to different entities, and we think we'll be successful at some point in the not too distant future.

Right now, if everything aligns perfectly, we would look to start construction in 2022. This would obviously -- we obviously would need permits in hand before, in order to do that.

So if everything worked out perfectly, we'd look

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

at starting construction in early 2022 and attempt to complete construction and have it be operating by the end of 2022. That may not happen. It could slip slightly, just depending on how things progress out in terms of negotiations and selling power.

So the second -- the map in here just shows the general project boundary of how it sits across the Hughes and Hyde County line. Right at the moment, we kind of envision it split 50/50 between turbine locations, and it shows the location that we're interconnecting into.

In terms of the project size, what we're targeting is a 200-megawatt project. This would be considered kind of a moderate-sized project. In comparison, the Triple H project is slightly bigger at 250-megawatts.

The turbine model that we believe is the most competitive here is the GE model. It's just slightly different than the one that was used at Triple H. It's just it happens that the turbine manufacturers continually innovate the models they offer and so this is basically like a slight upgrade. It's the new model for the next -- you know, the next year that they would deliver part -- or

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

turbines for it.

So what we're looking at using is a G -- it's a General Electric 2.82 127 machine. What that means is that each turbine can generate up to 2.82 megawatts each, and the rotor on the turbine is 127 meters.

So based on that, what we're going to look to do is prepare permit applications that would request a total of 78 locations of which we would only build 71. That difference represents alternatives that are within there. It gives us a little bit of flexibility in the event that, as we do geotech studies, that there's something from a soils standpoint that would not work with one location, we can supplement it out for another, but no more than 71 would be built.

So I mentioned that the size of the rotor is 127 meters. What that means is that at the 12 o'clock position, the turbine would be just under 500 feet.

So for reference, the Triple H turbines out there are 486 feet at tip height, so it's slightly taller. From a broad perspective, these are actually on the smaller size for wind turbines these days. What we're finding is that the

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

nameplate capacity of the turbine has been increasing and the size of the turbines have been larger with time.

The reason -- the reason we're able to use a smaller turbine here is the higher consistent wind speeds in that area that we found.

I'd mention again, the point of interconnect is on the Fort Thompson to Oahe. We're currently working with state lands on a location that WAPA would own and build a switch arc right at that location.

This project would not have an overhead transmission line. What happens is that we'll build this project's substation immediately adjacent to it. All of the -- all of the turbines have been collected at a 34.5 kilovolt level. What that means is they're basically -- it's a lower voltage after it's stepped up in the turbine. They're strung together. And all of those lines are trenched and in the ground so that they're not overhead.

And then this last video that I include in here is -- it shows the usable turbine area. And the reason I include this is that at the start of when I started speaking, I mentioned 40,000 acres under an easement. Oftentimes, there's an assumption that we

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

can place turbines anywhere, and that doesn't -that's not the case, really.

It's -- once you factor in those setbacks that we would use as a company, or in this case, county setbacks that have been adopted, it significantly reduces the area where you can consider placing a turbine.

So in this figure, it reduces it down by over -almost 80 percent. 21 percent of the leased area we
can actually use and consider. After that, there's
even spacing aspects. We can't put turbines too
close to each other, perpendicular to the wind or
parallel to the wind, otherwise they wag each other
in terms of the performance, so there's a fairly
limited area where you can place the turbines.

So overall, this project would represent a capital investment of about 250 to \$270 million.

The project is likely to create about six to eight new full-time positions during operation.

This is lightly lower than a stand-alone project and it's because the Triple H project employs -- I don't know the exact figure. We'll call it 15 to 18 because it's the same turbine model. Because they're in close proximity, we anticipate that there would be some efficiencies there where we'd

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

hire anywhere from six to eight, but that's just kind of -- that's a best guess at this point.

During construction, we typically see about up to 400 people on-site at any one time -- excuse me. Up to 400 people that are employed, 130 on-site at any one time.

The property taxes in South Dakota are dictated by state statute. It's based on the production from the site itself. And also the nameplate capacity of the project as a whole. And the reason -- I would guess the reason for that is in certain years there's a higher production and lower production, so by including a calculation based on the size of the project, it balances that out.

Our estimate, based on the annual production over the life of the project, is that it will produce just under a million dollars a year or about 29 million in taxes over the life of the project.

That's split out between the state, the counties, and the school districts -- the school district calculation.

The state would receive about 300,000 or 8.8 over the 30-year life. The counties, roughly 337,000 annually, or about 10.1 million, and the school district calculation tracks alongside of that.

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

What we find -- and obviously this is going to generate, you know, income for the local -- for the residents that are participating. And we find that there's a fair amount of indirect benefit that comes with other local services that are used in conjunction with the project operation as well as in -- during construction itself.

So that's kind of a high-level overview of what we're contemplating. And I am here for any questions that you may have.

COMMISSIONER: Casey, I have a quick question for you.

MR. WILLIS: Sure.

COMMISSIONER: I mean, we're hearing all the positives and the dollars and everything. There was a lot of questions back when we were setting the setbacks about health and effects on wildlife. Have you guys done any updated studies? I am assuming that concerns you guys. Have you done any updated studies on anything?

MR. WILLIS: So I'll touch on the health one.

That doesn't. The reason I say that is there's

fairly significant studies that I can provide you

that have documented that there is not health

effects caused by wind turbines. These are done

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

and replicated in different countries, different 1 2 county agencies, different states. I can provide 3 you a list of those studies, but that's fairly conclusive. 4 5 From the biological aspect, I mentioned that 6 we've done three years of studies. In large part --7 you know, this particular area I don't find is 8 particularly sensitive, and a large part is because

We don't find this from our studies in our baseline work. And even what we found at Triple H, which has a very similar kind of habitat dynamic, that the impacts are fairly minimal.

there's a lot of tilled areas used in agricultural

COMMISSIONER: Okay. Do you have any other questions?

COMMISSIONER: ENGIE, is it a U.S. company or is it a foreign company?

MR. WILLIS: It's a French company.

COMMISSIONER: It's a French company.

MR. WILLIS: So it's a -- I should go beyond that. It's a conglomerate that is Belgium and French, and it has ties to building the Suez Canal, but yet -- so my aspect, I work for ENGIE North America and our headquarters are based in Houston.

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

production.

COMMISSIONER: Is there any U.S. companies that puts up wind turbines?

MR. WILLIS: I'm sure the answer is yes, but you get various players in the market. So I -- this project itself -- this project itself, I worked for the prior company called Infinity Renewables. We were entirely a U.S.-based company. The difference is is that our role at that time was develop and de-risk a project, because the capital costs associated with building it were -- far exceeded what a small company can do.

There are a lot of companies that operate like that. And then they partner with a larger partner with a balance sheet they can build on and operate it.

What ENGIE did is they bought out Infinity. I came on as an employee along with 20 or 30 other folks, so they're an owner-operator long-term and always have been, but they brought in a group that can develop as well. So that's a long way of me saying, in some instances there are, like NextEra is a Florida-based company that builds projects. They have a project in Hyde County. There are probably other ones, but there definitely are a lot of European-owned utilities that have groups in the

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

U.S. that owner-operate projects.

\_

COMMISSIONER: I just know from past, you know, experience, when you're dealing with an overseas company, when it comes to money or problems, you're toast. If you have to go to court on something, they're gone.

I used to ship grain to China. I got paid before it got to Seattle, you know, stuff like that. So if there was ever an issue, you know, there was already prior inspection. But, you know, I've seen foreign companies come in, do projects. When it doesn't work out, they either try to flip them or they dissolve and you're left with damage. How can we be sure that ENGIE won't be one of them?

MR. WILLIS: Right. So grain, you can pick up and move, right? I can't pick up and move a project once this is done. I'll give you the example of the Triple H project, that is a \$300 million project that is in the ground.

Let's assume ENGIE went bankrupt. There's power purchase agreements with Wal-Mart and Boston

University that have significant value. They would take -- someone would buy that project out of bankruptcy -- Brett could probably speak to this a bit better than I can -- it would own and operate the

Paige K. Frantzen
Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

project because there's still significant value. In terms of protecting the community, there's a decommissioning bond and plan associated with that project that is required by the Public Utilities

Commission to ensure that the infrastructure would be removed in the event that an entity was not there.

I don't see that as an issue. That really hasn't occurred. There's value in these projects. You can't move them.

COMMISSIONER: So -- if it's okay, Chairman.

With that being said, you can't move them and the life is 30 years, then what? Because what happens that we're seeing right now, and it's been reported, especially down south, is when these things have been basically decommissioned, some of them are being cut up and put in landfills where they take them. A lot of them aren't being taken because the landfills won't take them anymore because they don't -- they'll never go away, what they're built from.

Number two is that when they sit there long enough and it's time to get rid of them, the company that originally started it is long gone and sold again and sold to the third company that took the last bit of money. Even though they had a bond during the revenue days, the bond is now gone and

Paige K. Frantzen
Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1.3

2.0

1	they've bankrupted. And now there is nobody to
2	take it down, and the farmers or the landowners or
3	the counties or the state, which is what they're
4	fighting over right now, on how to handle this.
5	So, I mean, it's new territory for a lot of us,
6	and some of them are still being rebuilt and going.
7	But our concern is for the guy that says, Okay, now
8	what happens with ENGIE, because ENGIE does not keep
9	them, I'm understanding. They sell them as well.
10	MR. WILLIS: No.
11	COMMISSIONER: They've kept all their windmills
12	they've built? Every one so far?
13	MR. WILLIS: Correct. We're operators.
14	COMMISSIONER: When you say "operators"
15	MR. WILLIS: We own and operate the projects.
16	We don't we don't
17	COMMISSIONER: For how long?
18	MR. WILLIS: 30 the life of the project. I
19	mean, there could be circumstances where, as a
20	company farther down the line, that you're right, it
21	could be sold to a different entity.
22	COMMISSIONER: Are any of these entities owned
23	by a U.S. company?
24	MR. WILLIS: From my company from
25	COMMISSIONER: Any of these windmill companies
	Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	that you know out here right now.
2	MR. WILLIS: NextEra is a significant player in
3	the U.S. market. What are the projects in the south
4	that you're referencing?
5	COMMISSIONER: In Oklahoma right now.
6	MR. WILLIS: What's that?
7	COMMISSIONER: In Oklahoma. I can't give you a
8	name
9	MR. WILLIS: Okay.
10	COMMISSIONER: right off the top of my head.
11	MR. WILLIS: The recycling aspect, no, that's a
12	significant issue that the industry is aware of.
13	It's something that we'd like to resolve, but, yeah,
14	there are some issues. It's not every part can be
15	recycled. That is absolutely the case. The blades,
16	in particular, are composite.
17	COMMISSIONER: Right. And they're dealing with
18	that in Sioux Falls right now. They're hauling them
19	as long as they're taking them, but even that, we're
20	told, is going to come to an end. So then what
21	happens to them?
22	MR. WILLIS: The aspect that I mentioned, again,
23	is
24	COMMISSIONER: Because they'll never go away. I
25	mean, these things, what we're told, the carbon
	Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	fibers will never disintegrate, ever.
2	MR. WILLIS: Right. The actual removal is
3	covered in the decommissioning plan as required by
4	the PUC during the life of the project. We're
5	required to fund it, so that ensures the removal of
6	it.
7	COMMISSIONER: As long as you still have
8	financial
9	MR. WILLIS: Or anybody that owns it has to is
10	required to take on that commitment.
11	COMMISSIONER: As long as they have the financial
12	wherewithal to do it; correct?
13	MR. WILLIS: No. I mean, you want to explain the
14	bond better than I can?
15	MR. KOENECKE: Sure. The all the wind farms
16	that have been built since well, this
17	current bulge, since 2017 have been required to
18	escrow funds through a South Dakota bank to pay for
19	the decommissioning, so that builds up a cash balance
20	over time
21	COMMISSIONER: So that will never go away?
22	MR. KOENECKE: so that goes along with the
23	project and can't be spent without authority of the
24	Public Utilities Commission.
25	COMMISSIONER: Why was there some states or even
	Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

in different counties, why are they putting moratoriums on building wind turbines here in the last six months to a year? What's going on in them areas?

MR. WILLIS: I don't know.

MR. KOENECKE: I'm not familiar with --

MR. WILLIS: Perception sometimes.

MR. KOENECKE: I would say one thing I know is that there are some counties that haven't done the hard work of putting their zoning and construction ordinances in place. That -- I'm familiar with that, I guess. But as far as other reasons, I couldn't speak to what those are.

If a county hasn't prepared and hasn't done the work and are not ready for it, and then they feel, Oh, my gosh, there's an announcement, we've got to react to that. I guess, I've seen that. But, otherwise, I don't know about a moratorium that's just been put in place. I couldn't speak to that.

COMMISSIONER: Do you have another one?

COMMISSIONER: Yes. On the WAPA line you said you're going to be using, so am I understanding correctly that the power that is generated from these dams right now doesn't utilize the line fully today, so there's room on that line for more power?

Paige K. Frantzen
Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	MR. WILLIS: It depends on how the power flows
2	from that area. That's taken into account because
3	the power generated from dams, gas-fired power
4	plants, coal-powered
5	COMMISSIONER: Let's just talk about WAPA here
6	with our dams.
7	MR. WILLIS: Right.
8	COMMISSIONER: Is this line empty then? It's
9	not used?
10	MR. WILLIS: It's not that it's empty. It's
11	there's capacity to allow just additional generation,
12	so those dams would have been factored into the
13	analysis as the baseline.
14	COMMISSIONER: So when you say there's capacity
15	available, that's assuming that the dams are not
16	running or if they're running at full?
17	MR. WILLIS: I would imagine it's the latter.
18	COMMISSIONER: So if they're all running at full
19	capacity
20	MR. WILLIS: Yes.
21	COMMISSIONER: there's still capacity on that
22	line for these?
23	MR. WILLIS: It doesn't necessarily mean it all
24	goes through that line. It can go to a variety of
25	locations. It depends on where the substations are.
	Paige K. Frantzen

Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1 So the one that it interconnects to is the fairly 2 large one north of Fort Thompson. 3 COMMISSIONER: So let me ask you this, then: 4 the wind turbines that are operating, if they're 5 operating, because they go on and off based upon the 6 wind. 7 MR. WILLIS: Right. COMMISSIONER: Will they interfere with this dam, 8 9 mainly Oahe or Fort Thompson, would their power 10 source having to shut or go, they'll -- it never 11 effects when there are things awry, then? 12 MR. WILLIS: To my knowledge, no. 1.3 COMMISSIONER: Will all the power be dumped right 14 on just that WAPA line or it's going to go into other 15 lines as well. 16 It kind of flows -- you don't direct MR. WILLIS: 17 They go from a high to a low source, 18 right? 19 COMMISSIONER: Okay. 20 MR. WILLIS: They go to the load center. So they 21 would generally stay locally. 22 That said, there are -- you know, I mentioned --23 I keep mentioning Triple H because it's an obvious 24 example. We had a power purchase contract with 25 Wal-Mart. We're not delivering electrons directly

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	to Wal-Mart stores. It's you know, it's a paper
2	transaction
3	COMMISSIONER: Right.
4	MR. WILLIS: that's tied to their corporate
5	incentives.
6	COMMISSIONER: Right.
7	MR. WILLIS: They fund, invest in renewables.
8	That's kind of how it works.
9	COMMISSIONER: Because who kind of controls most
10	of where do we buy our power from now? Who is
11	that big company?
12	COMMISSIONER: East River?
13	COMMISSIONER: No. Where do they get it from?
14	COMMISSIONER: Basin Electric.
15	COMMISSIONER: Basin Electric.
16	COMMISSIONER: Yep.
17	COMMISSIONER: So you'll be dumping a lot of this
18	into Basin Electric; right?
19	MR. WILLIS: No, it's the WAPA system. Triple H
20	is in the Basin system.
21	COMMISSIONER: Okay.
22	MR. WILLIS: It's all part of the Southwest Power
23	Pool as a whole, which is the regional transmission
24	authority that they all operate within.
25	COMMISSIONER: I mean, I've got to be honest with
	Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	you, after watching Texas this year, it's kind of a
2	head-scratcher. You know, I don't know if we all
3	have enough pickups to power our houses if we get
4	pretty dependent on renewable energy.
5	MR. WILLIS: Yeah. So we recommend that that
6	was not caused what occurred in Texas, in terms
7	of the winter, was not completely caused by
8	renewables. And that's been
9	COMMISSIONER: I agree.
10	MR. WILLIS: Right?
11	COMMISSIONER: They just got a little too
12	dependent and
13	MR. WILLIS: No. Actually, it has to do with
14	winterization of energy resources as a whole. So
15	this was something that was flagged ten to fifteen
16	years ago in a prior freeze as a problem, and that
17	was what happened, to a lot of oil and gas facilities
18	as well. Certainly renewables went down.
19	We had projects in Texas as well. What happens
20	is that you know, in South Dakota we use winter
21	packages in the turbines because it's consistently
22	cold.
23	In Texas we don't typically do that. It's kind
24	of like taking a parka to Miami in the summer.
25	You're probably not going to need it.

Paige K. Frantzen
Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1 The same goes with a lot of the energy 2 productions facilities in Texas. There's other 3 aspects, too, ERCOT is really unique. 4 isolated island. Texas is independent and always has 5 been. They can't pull any power from additional 6 areas to offset when generation goes down. 7 another component that was problematic as well. Thank you. Connie? 8 COMMISSIONER: 9 COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 just a couple of questions, Casey. When we were 11 talking about our setbacks, were you the one that was 12 on the phone that time with us? 13 MR. WILLIS: I was, yes. 14 COMMISSIONER: Okay. Well, thank you for being 15 here. It's nice to put a face with a name. 16 MR. WILLIS: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER: And I -- at that time I had a 18 question and asked about the residents, so I'd like 19 to kind of look at that map. 20 MR. WILLIS: Sure. 21 COMMISSIONER: Where we have all of these little 22 dots and -- so these are the -- these are people 23 where they're actually living on these little dots. 24 Is that --25 MR. WILLIS: Yes.

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	COMMISSIONER: what I'm seeing?
2	MR. WILLIS: They're occupied residents per the
3	county's description, yes.
4	COMMISSIONER: So when we were talking about
5	that, about my question back then was: How many
6	people are within this project area? And you didn't
7	have that answer.
8	MR. WILLIS: I still don't know that I have that
9	necessarily.
10	COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	MR. WILLIS: I don't know the exact number. I am
12	going to guess, and I am only going to guess this
13	because I've seen our noise analysis
14	COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	MR. WILLIS: that will be coming with an
16	application. It's probably 50 homes, give or take.
17	If in 40 acres plus a half-mile boundary around that
18	40 excuse me 40,000 acres, so it's a fairly large
19	area. I want to say 50 to 60 homes.
20	COMMISSIONER: So what does it mean by so I'm
21	just looking at the map. Just, please, bear with me.
22	So what what does it mean by the proposed net
23	locations? What's those triangles?
24	MR. WILLIS: Those are so what we use are net
25	towers, which are essentially and this is what
	Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	we've used to test the wind speeds at various levels.
2	It helps us to assess whether something is viable or
3	not. I've had projects that we put them up and wind
4	speed is not what we thought. Those are temporary.
5	COMMISSIONER: Okay.
6	MR. WILLIS: So they're placed out there.
7	There's probably five or six of them over significant
8	periods of time that are up right now. And that's
9	what we use to assess the wind speeds.
10	COMMISSIONER: Okay. So I just have a couple of
11	requests, if that's
12	COMMISSIONER: Go ahead.
13	COMMISSIONER: Okay. So my questions are or
14	my request to you would be I'm a numbers person,
15	so my question would be: I'd like to know, could I
16	get a copy of your calculations of how you generated
17	971,000 a year for taxes?
18	MR. WILLIS: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER: And how that was broke down
20	amongst the state, counties, and school districts?
21	MR. WILLIS: Yeah. I can do that to a certain
22	degree. What it does depend on is the net capacity
23	factor.
24	COMMISSIONER: Sure.
25	MR. WILLIS: That's a proprietary thing.
	Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1 COMMISSIONER: Okay. 2 MR. WILLIS: It's not something -- we use the 3 accurate one, but it's kind of -- it's not something 4 that's shared publicly, but that's what we base the 5 tax calculations on. 6 COMMISSIONER: I guess I don't understand. 7 MR. WILLIS: So it's -- it's kind of like asking someone: How much is in your bank account? That's 8 9 the rough equivalent, so it's proprietary. It's what 10 we collect. It's based on the --11 COMMISSIONER: You might be looking for more 12 capacity factor. 13 MR. WILLIS: Capacity factor is -- the net 14 capacity factor is the average wind production once 15 you factor in electrical losses. 16 COMMISSIONER: Yeah. 17 MR. WILLIS: So it's the 50 percent value. median, I should say. So in certain areas you hear 18 19 net capacity factor at 40 percent. So 40 percent of 20 the time it's produced -- it produces 40 percent of 21 the power over 365 days a year. 22 COMMISSIONER: Sure. Okay. So can you tell 23 me -- let's say it's 40 percent, whatever that 24 number is. 25 MR. WILLIS: Yeah.

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

COMMISSIONER: Whatever that is, can you tell me what the ones that are currently right there, like they're right in here already; right? Are you estimating those same numbers? You guys have -- you own something real close to this; right?

MR. WILLIS: Right.

COMMISSIONER: Can you tell me what those actual numbers are? And where I am trying to go with this is: Are those numbers close to what this is -- what those estimates are?

MR. WILLIS: But remember, they're variable.

So -- right? You're going to have some instances
where wind production is lower than expected.

COMMISSIONER: Yep.

MR. WILLIS: Net capacity is the 50 percent of the median and sometimes it's higher, so it depends on what the wind production was for a particular year.

In terms of Triple H, we just started operating within the first six months so we haven't paid the taxes at least for the first year yet. I can tell you what the estimates were. It's the same idea. It's based on the net capacity factor, but it's no different than, you know, the calculation -- I can provide the calculations. It will have the average

Paige K. Frantzen
Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	estimate, but it won't include the capacity factor.
2	COMMISSIONER: Okay. Great. Thanks. I'm
3	trying to debate whether to ask this next question.
4	COMMISSIONER: Go ahead.
5	COMMISSIONER: I guess I will. So here is my
6	last question: Is there federal funding tied to
7	this? How does that work? I'm just curious because
8	I'm a number person, so
9	MR. WILLIS: No, that's fine.
10	COMMISSIONER: is it so much per tower? How
11	does that work?
12	MR. WILLIS: So it's called a production tax
13	credit. There's a tax credit.
14	COMMISSIONER: Okay.
15	MR. WILLIS: I think it's 2.1 I don't even
16	remember off the top of my head, but either it's
17	2.1 let me get back to you on the exact number
18	COMMISSIONER: Okay.
19	MR. WILLIS: because it's variable. There's
20	an so essentially what happens is we have a tax
21	equity partner that will come in. Usually it's a
22	bank that has a tax liability. That's how it's
23	monetized essentially, the federal tax credit.
24	COMMISSIONER: Right. Okay. So dumb it down
25	for me.

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com COMMISSIONER: We do that with housing all the time. If you're going to build with housing authority, whatever, you get a tax credit back when you buy it, the banks do. So how I -- I think what your question is is how do you do that with this? How is that calculated out? I can get you to the penny on -- South Dakota Housing is doing a tax credit for a senior housing center. So I would imagine the tax credit is handled the same way for this; correct?

COMMISSIONER: It figures into the financing is,

I think -- my limited understanding of it is when
these guys put the project out for financing and go
through that process, that gets figured in at that
point is how I understand it.

MR. WILLIS: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER: I haven't done that kind of work on that side of a transaction, but the financing is where they take that out and turn that into -- it's essentially financial reward or whatever you want to say to the wind farm company. It figures into their costs of doing business and their costs of production, and all of those things, but that's where it comes in at is in the financing part with the bonds that are sold or however they choose to do it.

Paige K. Frantzen
Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	COMMISSIONER: Does that make sense?
2	COMMISSIONER: Kind of. So okay. So you go
3	to a bank or you bond it. The turbine, the project
4	itself gets you borrow the money to borrow this
5	250 to 270 million to build the towers?
6	MR. WILLIS: It's not bonded, necessarily. This
7	gets a little outside of my background, so I
8	apologize for that. I'll try to give you a better
9	explanation when I come in.
10	COMMISSIONER: Okay.
11	MR. WILLIS: Essentially you have an entity.
12	It's not bonded, but you have an entity that has a
13	tax liability that wants to look to offset that, so
14	they're putting up they're contributing a portion
15	into the project, it's kind of a silent partner, to
16	utilize that tax credit for themselves.
17	COMMISSIONER: Okay.
18	COMMISSIONER: So instead of really going out
19	and borrowing funds at 7 percent, it may be down to
20	1.5, and that bank basically eats the rest for the
21	credit for that, and they get a credit or tax deal
22	for it. I can show you on a
23	COMMISSIONER: Yeah, I okay.
24	COMMISSIONER: And I think that can all
25	COMMISSIONER: And we can take this offside.

Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	I'm just curious how it works.
2	MR. WILLIS: I can get you a better explanation
3	from our finance folks better than I can explain it.
4	COMMISSIONER: Great. Thank you.
5	COMMISSIONER: Any more questions for Casey?
6	Tom? Melanie? Any more questions?
7	COMMISSIONER: One more thing. The health deal,
8	there's no health issues to any of the public here.
9	But do you have your people that sign up for it, do
10	they have to sign any paperwork saying that you're
11	held harmless of any health issues?
12	MR. WILLIS: I mean, I think there's hold
13	harmless language in most development easements that
14	I'm aware of. Yeah, we have those, for sure.
15	COMMISSIONER: So if there's no health issue,
16	there shouldn't really need to be a health
17	MR. WILLIS: It's a common
18	COMMISSIONER: held harmless.
19	MR. WILLIS: You're the lawyer here.
20	MR. KOENECKE: They're complex agreements and
21	they cover a number of things. And there's certainly
22	nothing in there that would hold us harmless from
23	negligence or criminal standpoint, but there are
24	things in there as far as you do agree to live with
25	some of the known effects as well and so
	Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	COMMISSIONER: What are they?
2	MR. WILLIS: Generally, noise.
3	MR. KOENECKE: Generally.
4	MR. WILLIS: And flicker.
5	MR. KOENECKE: Shadow flicker would be the two
6	that I can think of. If you're going to take the
7	money from hosting a turbine and be a part of the
8	project, you don't get to then be an opponent of the
9	project.
10	COMMISSIONER: You can't sue yourself basically.
11	MR. KOENECKE: That's kind of the general line
12	of thinking there, but certainly there's no exemption
13	from negligence or criminal matters or anything like
14	that.
15	COMMISSIONER: Any more questions? Okay.
16	Thanks, gentlemen, for your time.
17	MR. WILLIS: Thank you.
18	COMMISSIONER: Nice meeting you, too, by the way.
19	MR. WILLIS: Yeah.
20	COMMISSIONER: Appreciate you coming in.
21	MR. WILLIS: Yes. It's much nicer in person than
22	over the phone. Thank you.
23	(End of transcription)
24	
25	
	Paige K. Frantzen

Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA )
2	:SS COUNTY OF LINCOLN )
3	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
4	I, Paige K. Frantzen, Court Reporter and Notary
5	Public within and for the State of South Dakota:
6	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I transcribed the audio
7	tape recording of the proceedings described on page 1
8	hereof, and that to the best of my ability, knowledge, and
9	belief, this transcript contains a true and correct
10	transcription of said recording.
11	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not related by
12	consanguinity or affinity within the fourth degree to any
13	party, his attorney, or an employee of any of them; that I
14	am not financially interested in this action; and that I
15	am not the attorney or employee of any party.
16	To all of which I have affixed my signature this
17	12th day of September, 2021.
18	
19	/s/ Paige K. Frantzen
20	Paige K. Frantzen, Notary Public
21	Expiration Date: December 22, 2023
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Paige K. Frantzen Paige.Frantzen@gmail.com