

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY ENGIE NORTH AMERICA, INC. FOR
A PERMIT FOR A WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN HUGHES AND HYDE COUNTIES,
SOUTH DAKOTA, FOR NORTH BEND WIND PROJECT**

SD PUC DOCKET EL _____

**PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MANUELA ELIZONDO, TETRA TECH,
ON BEHALF OF ENGIE NORTH AMERICA, INC.**

June 11, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Witness Introduction.....1

II. Purpose and Coverage of Testimony.....2-9

1 **Q. Please state your name, employer and business address for the record.**

2 A. My name is Manuela Elizondo and I am a Project Manager with Tetra Tech, Inc. My
3 office location is 350 Indiana Street, Suite 500, Golden, Colorado.

4 **Q. Briefly describe your educational background.**

5 A. I have a Bachelor of Arts in Environmental Studies and a Bachelor of Arts in
6 Geography from Villanova University in Radnor, Pennsylvania.

7 **Q. Briefly describe your professional experience.**

8 A. I have approximately 6 years of environmental consulting experience, largely related to
9 supporting environmental permitting and compliance activities throughout the energy and
10 transportation sectors. I have been involved in various aspects of project work, including wetland
11 delineations and wetland permitting, siting and routing, agency consultations, impact analyses,
12 mitigation planning, land use permitting, public outreach, and state and federal level
13 environmental review documents and permitting of energy projects. Over the last 4 years this
14 work has consisted largely of renewable energy projects in the Midwest.

15 **Q. Have you attached a resume or CV.**

16 A. Yes, my resume is attached.

17 **Q. Have you previously submitted or prepared testimony in this proceeding in South
18 Dakota?**

19 A. No, I have not.

20 **Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?**

21 A. My purpose is to support several sections of the application including:

22 Section 7- Effect on Physical Environment

23 Section 8-Effect on Hydrology

24 Section 10-Effect on Aquatic Ecosystems

25 Section 11.4-Visual Resources

26 Section 13-Water Quality

27 Section 14-Air Quality

28 **Q. Please describe the physical environment of the proposed project area.**

29 A. The Project Area lies within the Coteau du Missouri division of the Great Plains
30 Province. The Coteau du Missouri is a north-south trending, 25 to 80-mile-wide highland
31 extending through South Dakota occupying a belt of territory between the Missouri River Trench
32 and James River Lowland physiographic divisions (Speck 1988; Helgerson and Duchossois
33 1987). The James River Lowland division, located east of the Project Area, is approximately 500
34 feet lower in elevation than the Coteau du Missouri. The Project Area is in south-western Hyde
35 County and east-central Hughes County traversing portions of the Ree Hills. The Ree Hills have
36 the highest elevation in Hyde County with an elevation of 2,190 feet above mean sea level
37 located east of the Project Area. Hughes County highest elevation of 2,055 feet above mean sea
38 level is located southwest of the Project Area. Figure 4a (Appendix A) shows the topographic
39 relief within the Project Area ranges from approximately 1,800 to 2,145 feet above mean sea
40 level, which represents a variation of approximately 345 feet (U.S. Geological Survey [USGS]
41 7.5-minute topographic quadrangles Chapelle Lake, Chapelle Lake NW, Chapelle Lake SE,
42 Chapelle Lake SW and De Grey NE; USGS 2021a).

43 **Q. What is the underlying geology of the region?**

44 A. The surficial geology of Hyde and Hughes counties consists of late Wisconsin age
45 glacial deposits, which form a mantle up to 500 feet thick over the Pierre shale bedrock and
46 consist primarily of till and outwash. In the Project Area, these surficial deposits generally range

47 from 50 feet in thickness up to 150 feet in thickness (Helgerson and Duchossois 1987).

48 **Q. Is there significant risk of seismic activity or subsidence in the area?**

49 A. The risk of seismic activity in the Project Area is extremely low to negligible. The
50 potential for subsidence within the Project Area is negligible.

51 **Q. Are there expected impacts on local geological conditions?**

52 A. The geologic conditions within the Project Area are appropriate for the construction of
53 the Project and will result in negligible impacts on geologic resources. Excavation, bearing and
54 groundwater conditions are anticipated to be conducive to construction and operation of the
55 Project facilities.

56 **Q. What about farmland in the area?**

57 A. Approximately 50 percent of the Project Area is classified as not prime farmland and
58 approximately 3 percent of the Project Area is classified as prime farmland (Table 7-2; Figure 5b
59 in Appendix A). Approximately 16 percent of the Project Area is classified as farmland of
60 statewide importance. The remaining land within the Project Area is considered prime farmland
61 if irrigated (31 percent).

62 **Q. Are there expected impacts to soils?**

63 A. Construction activities such as clearing, grading, trench excavation and backfilling, as
64 well as the movement of construction equipment within the construction workspace, may result
65 in impacts to soil resources. Potential impacts on soil resources include soil erosion, soil
66 compaction, reduction of soil fertility and changes to other soil characteristics. Clearing removes
67 protective cover and exposes soil to the effects of wind and precipitation, which may increase the
68 potential for soil erosion and movement of sediments into sensitive environmental areas. Grading
69 and equipment traffic may compact soil, reducing porosity and percolation rates, which could

70 result in increased runoff potential. Contamination from release of fuels, lubricants and coolants
71 from construction equipment could also impact soils. The majority of these impacts are
72 temporary and related to construction activities; however, there will be permanent impacts
73 associated with aboveground facilities.

74 Table 7-2 provides a summary of farmland types affected by the Project. Land impacted
75 by the installation of these facilities will be converted to impervious surfaces, thereby resulting
76 in long-term operational impacts altering the soil composition at these locations.

77 **Q. What Mitigation Measures will be used for Soil Resources?**

78 A. Wind facilities are predominantly designed with turbines situated at higher elevations to
79 minimize obstructions to wind. The current layout sites access roads away from steep slopes to
80 the degree possible. The underground collector lines also avoid crossing steep ravines.
81 Geotechnical soil borings will be conducted at wind turbine foundation locations prior to
82 construction to determine the soil suitability to support turbine foundations. This information
83 will help dictate final design parameters of the turbine and structure foundations.

84 **Q. What permits are required for construction due to impacts on soils?**

85 A. Construction of the Project will require coverage under the South Dakota Department of
86 Environment and Natural Resources (SDDENR) General Permit for Storm Water Discharges
87 Associated with Construction Activities. To maintain compliance with provisions of this General
88 Permit, North Bend Wind will prepare a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) to
89 identify potential sources of stormwater pollution from the Project Area and specify best
90 management practices (BMPs) to control erosion and sedimentation and minimize negative
91 impacts caused by stormwater discharges from the Project. The SWPPP will be prepared prior to
92 construction of the Project. The SWPPP will be implemented from the initiation of construction

93 and used through site restoration efforts. Once construction has been completed, North Bend
94 Wind will backfill graded and excavated areas with the stored native material and return surface
95 conditions to pre-construction conditions. During Project operation, stormwater volume,
96 stormwater flow and erosion and sediment impact to surface water and groundwater resources
97 are not anticipated to change from pre-construction conditions.

98 **Q. Have you considered impacts on groundwater resources?**

99 A. Construction of the Project is not anticipated to have long-term impacts on groundwater
100 resources. As discussed, disturbances associated with Project construction activities are primarily
101 limited to the upper 3 to 6 feet with excavations for turbine foundations reaching up to 10 feet,
102 which are above the water table of most of the aquifers in the Project Area. Construction
103 activities such as trenching and backfilling and dewatering that encounter shallow surficial
104 aquifers may result in negligible to minor short-term and very localized fluctuations in
105 groundwater levels depending on the proximity and connectivity of groundwater and extent of
106 the excavated area. Once the construction activity has been completed, the groundwater levels
107 typically recover quickly.

108 **Q. Are there mitigation techniques to be found in construction decisions?**

109 A. Turbines and the MET tower will be constructed on higher elevation portions of the
110 Project Area to maximize the wind resource and as such, generally avoid direct impacts to
111 wetlands and waterbodies, which tend to be in lower topographic positions. Prior to construction,
112 North Bend Wind will conduct wetland and waterbody delineations within the Project Area
113 according to the USACE Wetlands Delineation Manual, Great Plains Regional Supplement
114 (Environmental Laboratory 1987). Access roads, collector systems, the interconnection
115 switching station, and the collection substation will be designed to avoid or minimize impacts to

116 wetland and waterway features whenever feasible. Temporary impacts associated with crane
117 paths will also be minimized. Installation of underground utilities is expected to avoid impacts
118 by boring under water features as necessary and will minimize impacts to wetlands and
119 waterbodies or where possible make them coincident with other impacts (e.g., crane paths).
120 Where crossings of streams and drainageways cannot be avoided by access roads, appropriately
121 designed crossings (i.e., culverts, low-water crossings) will be constructed to maintain existing
122 drainage. Temporary impacts may also result from construction matting to access certain
123 locations.

124 **Q. What about impacts to surface waters and wetlands?**

125 A. Temporary and long-term operational impacts to surface waters and wetlands are
126 discussed in Sections 8.2.2.1 through 8.2.2.4. Construction activities in the vicinity of these
127 waterbodies and wetlands may temporarily increase sedimentation due to erosion and from
128 changes in runoff patterns and water volumes due to increased impervious surfaces. This could
129 temporarily degrade the water quality of aquatic habitat supporting these species. Impacts are
130 anticipated to be short term and localized. As described in Section 8.2.3, for surface water and
131 wetlands, BMPs will be designed and utilized to control sedimentation and erosion during the
132 construction phase of the Project.

133 **Q. Please discuss the visual impacts of the project.**

134 A. As previously discussed, North Bend Wind has collocated linear Project features such as
135 access roads and collector and communication systems with existing disturbances where
136 possible. This is consistent with the South Dakota Bat Working Group's and South Dakota
137 Department of Game, Fish and Parks (SDGFP's, Undated) Siting Guidelines for Wind Power
138 Projects in South Dakota for reducing impacts to visual resources. Similarly, operation of the

139 Project will not introduce new visual components into the Project vicinity. The Project vicinity
140 already includes wind turbines from the South Dakota Wind Energy Center and the Triple H
141 Wind Project, as well as existing electrical transmission lines.

142 The magnitude of visual impacts associated with the Project will depend on several
143 factors, including:

144 Distance of the proposed Project facilities from viewers;

145 Duration of views (highway travelers vs. permanent residents);

146 Weather and lighting conditions;

147 The presence and arrangements of lights on the turbines and other structures; and

148 Viewer attitudes toward renewable energy and wind power.

149 To minimize visual impacts of the Project, North Bend Wind has incorporated setback
150 requirements and commitments into the design of the Project (Table 12-1). In accordance with
151 Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) regulations, the towers will be painted to reduce
152 potential glare and minimize visual impact.

153 **Q. Are any impacts to surface or groundwater anticipated? If so, please describe them.**

154 A. Groundwater and surface water resources are discussed in Section 8.0. As discussed, the
155 excavation and exposure of soils during the construction and decommissioning of wind turbines,
156 access roads, underground collector lines and other Project facilities may temporarily cause
157 sediment runoff during rain events. This sediment may temporarily increase the total suspended
158 solids loading in receiving waters. However, erosion control BMPs will keep sediments on site
159 that might otherwise increase sediment loading in receiving waters.

160 Construction of the Project will require coverage under the General Permit for Storm
161 Water Discharges Associated with Construction Activities issued by the SDDENR. A condition

162 of this permit is the development and implementation of a SWPPP. The SWPPP will be
163 developed during civil engineering design of the Project and will prescribe BMPs to control
164 erosion and sedimentation. The BMPs may include silt fence, wattles, erosion control blankets,
165 temporary stormwater sedimentation ponds, revegetation and/or other features and methods
166 designed to control stormwater runoff and mitigate erosion and sedimentation. The BMPs will be
167 implemented to reduce the potential for impacts to drainage ways and streams by sediment
168 runoff. Because erosion and sediment control will be in place for construction, operation and
169 decommissioning of the Project, impacts to water quality are not expected to be significant.

170 The potential for fuel spills during construction and operation will be mitigated by
171 secondary containment of any on-site fuel storage that will be inspected regularly, with
172 containment being remediated promptly in accordance with the Project's Spill Prevention,
173 Control and Countermeasures Plan (SPCC) Plan. Fuel handling activities and spill remediation
174 will also adhere to the procedures outlined in the Project's SPCC Plan.

175 **Q. What impacts from construction are anticipated to air quality in the area?**

176 A. As found in Section 14, temporary construction impacts include fugitive dust emissions
177 and short-term emissions from diesel trucks and construction equipment. Temporary impacts will
178 result if a batch plant is required. Any air quality effects resulting from construction will be
179 short term and limited to the time of construction activities and will not result in North American
180 Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) exceedances for particulate matter or significantly
181 contribute to greenhouse gas emissions.

182

183

184

185 Dated this 11th day of June, 2021.

186 /s/

187 Manuela Elizondo, Project Manager – Tetra Tech