

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2021 5:02 PM
To: PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] EL21-011

PUC:

Although I have a contract with BHE due to solar panels, I did not receive any notice of this hearing or the proposed amendment to contracts.

I do not know Mr. Richard Bell, but I implore you to heed his arguments for NOT consenting to this amendment. He provides scientific studies to dispute the opinions of BHE.

It is ridiculous for me to pay for energy I am producing. This completely discourages anyone wanting to decrease their carbon footprint and being a responsible citizen. It is also detrimental to the businesses in SD that have behind-the-meter businesses to encourage renewable energy.

How is it that solar power is a positive for the company in Colorado (as claimed on BHE website in Colorado) but is a burden in SD?

I am definitely opposed to the granting of BHE amendment.

Rebecca Porter-Watson

[REDACTED]

Sturgis, SD 57785

[REDACTED]

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10