

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF WILD SPRINGS SOLAR, LLC FOR AN  
ENERGY FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE WILD SPRINGS SOLAR PROJECT**

**SD PUC DOCKET EL 20-\_\_\_\_**

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF TODD MATTSON  
ON BEHALF OF WILD SPRINGS SOLAR, LLC

May 15, 2020

1 **I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS**

2

3 **Q. Please state your name, employer, and business address.**

4 A. My name is Todd Mattson. I am employed at Western EcoSystems Technology,  
5 Inc. ("WEST"), 7575 Golden Valley Road, Suite 300, Golden Valley, MN 55427.

6

7 **Q. Briefly describe your educational and professional background and duties.**

8 A. I have a Bachelor of Arts degree in Biology and a Master of Science degree in  
9 Zoology and Physiology (Wildlife Ecology). I began my career with the U.S. Fish  
10 and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") in 1991 and since that time have worked for  
11 environmental consulting firms and a renewable energy development company,  
12 ultimately joining WEST in 2013. Among other things, I have conducted and  
13 managed wildlife studies, prepared wildlife conservation and mitigation plans, and  
14 managed environmental permitting and compliance efforts. I am currently a  
15 director and senior ecologist at WEST. A copy of my resume is attached as Exhibit  
16 A6-1.

17

18 **Q. What is your role with respect to the Wild Springs Solar Project (the**  
19 **"Project")?**

20 A. WEST was engaged by Wild Springs Solar, LLC ("Wild Springs") to conduct certain  
21 environmental surveys and studies for the Project, as well as to prepare a Natural  
22 Resources Strategy ("NRS").

23

24 **Q. In the event you are not available to testify, is there another individual**  
25 **qualified to discuss the information in your testimony?**

26 A. Yes. Wally Erickson. Wally is a senior statistician/scientist at WEST with particular  
27 expertise in wildlife interactions at solar facilities. Wally holds a Bachelor of  
28 Science in Mathematics and Statistics from Winona State University and a Master  
29 in Statistics from the University of Wyoming. During his 29+ year career with  
30 WEST, he has been a project manager and/or statistician/scientist for over 300  
31 studies/projects in more than 35 states and 6 Canadian provinces. Wally is an

32 author/co-author for over 40 professional journal articles, book chapters, and other  
33 peer-reviewed papers and is a co-author of the book “Resource Selection by  
34 Animals (Second Edition)”.

35

36 **II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

37

38 **Q. What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony?**

39 A. The purpose of my Direct Testimony is to provide an overview of the wildlife studies  
40 conducted for the Project, discuss coordination with the USFWS and the South  
41 Dakota Game, Fish and Parks (“SDGFP”), and describe the Project’s Natural  
42 Resource Strategy.

43

44 **Q. What sections of the Project’s Energy Facility Permit Application  
45 (“Application”) are you sponsoring?**

46 A. I am sponsoring the following sections of the Application:

- 47 • Section 9.3.3: Wildlife
- 48 • Section 11.2.1.2: U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
- 49 • Section 11.2.2.3: South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks
- 50 • Section 11.2.2.4: South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks—Natural Heritage  
51 Program
- 52 • Appendix A: Agency Correspondence
- 53 • Appendix G: Natural Resource Strategy
- 54 • Appendix H: Wildlife Studies

55

56 **III. WILDLIFE**

57

58 **Q. Please describe the Project-specific wildlife surveys that have been  
59 conducted for the Project.**

60 A. As discussed in Section 9.3.3.1 of the Application, the following wildlife studies  
61 have been conducted for the Project:

- 62 • Sharp-tailed Grouse and Greater Prairie Chicken Lek Surveys: Surveys for  
63 sharp-tailed grouse and greater prairie-chicken leks were conducted

64 throughout the 2017 Land Control Area on April 10-14, 2017. No leks were  
65 documented within the 2017 Land Control Area. Wild Springs conducted a  
66 second lek survey of the current Land Control Area in April 2020; no leks were  
67 documented in the current Land Control Area.

68 • Ground-based Raptor Nest Surveys: Ground-based surveys for raptor nests  
69 were conducted in October and November 2019. Within the 2019 Land Control  
70 Area, surveyors documented only the remnants of one potential raptor nest in  
71 the western part of the Land Control Area; in its condition at that time, the nest  
72 was no longer functional. Wild Springs conducted additional ground-based  
73 surveys for raptor nests in April 2020; no raptor nests were recorded in the  
74 Land Control Area.

75

76 **Q. Will additional avian surveys be conducted?**

77 A. Yes. A breeding bird survey will be conducted prior to Project construction in May-  
78 June 2020. After the Project goes into operation, two breeding bird surveys will be  
79 completed within the Project site and adjacent reference areas for comparison (two  
80 years and four years after construction). These pre- and post-construction surveys  
81 will be designed to allow for an assessment of the wildlife habitat value and function  
82 within an operating solar facility.

83

84 **Q. Are there Birds of Conservation Concern (“BCC”) in the vicinity of the  
85 Project?**

86 A. Golden eagles have been sighted within one mile of the Project as recent as 2013,  
87 but sightings are infrequent and primarily occur west of the Project near the Black  
88 Hills National Forest. Lark buntings have also been sighted within one mile of the  
89 Project as recent as 2014, but the majority of sightings occur south and west of the  
90 Project in the Black Hills National Forest, Buffalo Gap National Grasslands, and  
91 Badlands National Park. Neither of these species were reported incidentally during  
92 field visits in 2017, 2019, and 2020. One burrowing owl observation was recorded  
93 in 2013 just to the west of the Project along Boxelder Creek; however, the majority  
94 of sightings occur south of the Project in the Buffalo Gap National Grasslands and  
95 Badlands National Park. Burrowing owls were incidentally observed during  
96 wetland delineations in Spring 2017 at a prairie dog colony within the Land Control  
97 Area.

98

99 **Q. Are there bats in the vicinity of the Project?**

100 A. As discussed in Section 9.3.3.2, six bat species occur in eastern South Dakota.  
101 These include big brown bat, eastern red bat, hoary bat, little brown bat, northern  
102 long-eared bat (“NLEB”), and silver-haired bat. These species could potentially  
103 occur in the Project vicinity during all seasons except winter, when they are  
104 hibernating or have migrated to warmer places. Trees and shrubs were identified  
105 within the Land Control Area based on desktop data, and WEST conducted an  
106 additional desktop analysis of the Land Control Area and identified scattered  
107 patches of shrubs and trees that would likely not be considered suitable habitat for  
108 these bat species. As such, it is unlikely that bat species will exhibit high use of  
109 the Project. Additional information regarding NLEB, specifically, is also included  
110 in Section 9.3.4 of the Application.

111

112 **Q. What other wildlife species may exist in the Land Control Area?**

113 A. As discussed in Section 9.3.3.2 of the Application, bats, prairie dogs, and other  
114 wildlife may occur in the Land Control Area. Other groups of wildlife that may occur  
115 in the Land Control Area include mammals, reptiles, and insects. In addition to  
116 bats, other mammals that may be present include white-tailed deer, mule deer,  
117 striped skunk, red fox, raccoon, badger, Virginia opossum, and coyote. Reptiles  
118 that may occur in the Land Control Area are plains garter snake, gopher snake,  
119 and prairie (eastern fence) lizard. Some pollinator insects may be present in the  
120 Land Control Area, including native bees, butterflies, and moths.

121

122 **Q. Please describe the Project’s potential impacts on wildlife species.**

123 A. As discussed in Section 9.3.3.3 of the Application, construction of the Project is  
124 expected to have minimal impacts on wildlife species individuals, and no impact  
125 on populations of these species. Although solar facility construction activities do  
126 involve short-term disturbances, responsibly developed solar power plants can  
127 provide shelter, protection, and stable use of land to support ongoing use by  
128 wildlife and support a biologically diverse community. With respect to Project

129 operations, although there is the potential for direct avian mortality at solar facilities  
130 due to collision with solar panels, solar facilities appear to pose a minimal risk for  
131 avian mortality relative to other sources of bird mortality. Further, wildlife studies  
132 at other solar facilities in grassland and agricultural settings suggest that the  
133 development of a solar farm can create habitat that may be used by small to  
134 medium sized wildlife species (larger wildlife will be excluded).

135

136 **Q. What measures will Wild Springs take to avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate**  
137 **impacts to wildlife?**

138 A. Wild Springs proposes a number of measures that will avoid, minimize, and/or  
139 mitigate impacts to prairie dog colonies, wetlands/waterbodies, and drainages.  
140 Wild Springs also proposes the following mitigative measures for wildlife:

141 • Wild Springs will implement USFWS and SDGFP recommendations on fencing  
142 or vegetation management to minimize the potential for prairie dog colony  
143 expansion into the Preliminary Development Area. This may mean maintaining  
144 vegetation near the prairie dog colonies at a height taller than outside the arrays  
145 to deter prairie dogs from encroaching. Additionally, if construction  
146 commences in the Fall of 2021, isolated burrows that could be used by  
147 burrowing owls for nesting outside the 2019 mapped colonies' extent and within  
148 the fenceline will be collapsed after the breeding season (May 15 to August  
149 15). Larger burrows that could be used by larger mammals (e.g., badger or  
150 Swift fox) will be left intact and monitored for activity during the natal denning  
151 season (April 15 to July 1) and collapsed if not active. Alternatively, if  
152 construction does not commence until the Spring of 2022, any existing burrows  
153 that could be used by burrowing owls for nesting or larger burrows that could  
154 be used by a badger or Swift fox will be collapsed outside of the nesting and  
155 denning season in the early Winter of 2021. Collapsing burrows prior to  
156 construction should minimize the potential for sensitive species like burrowing  
157 owls and Swift fox to use the Project area and potentially be disturbed by  
158 construction activities.

159 • If an active burrowing owl nest or Swift fox natal den are discovered in the  
160 Project area, Wild Springs will avoid construction within a quarter mile of the  
161 nest or den until after the nesting and/or natal denning season.

162 • To minimize impacts on big game species, Wild Springs will fence the perimeter  
163 of the Land Control Area to prevent big game species from entering and will  
164 ensure that no big game species are within the fence during construction.

165 • Wild Springs will need to remove up to five small, isolated trees in the Land  
166 Control Area that are not considered bat habitat and has sited the Project to

167 minimize construction activities in wetlands to minimize impacts on bats, which  
168 addresses a SDGFP recommendation to avoid bat habitat—in particular,  
169 forested areas and wetlands and other areas of potential high bat activity.

170 • Wild Springs proposes to conduct pre- and post-construction breeding bird  
171 surveys to assess if and how avian use of the Project might change.

172 These and other mitigation measures are discussed in Section 9.3.3.4 of the  
173 Application.

174

175 **IV. COORDINATION WITH USFWS AND SDGFP**

176

177 **Q. Discuss Wild Springs' coordination with USFWS and SDGFP regarding the**  
178 **studies and analyses conducted with respect to wildlife and habitat in and**  
179 **around the Project Area.**

180 A. Wild Springs initiated consultation with USFWS and SDGFP in June 2017 to  
181 introduce the Project and request information on habitats and species of concern.  
182 Since that time, Wild Springs has incorporated feedback from USFWS and SDGFP  
183 into the surveys, including survey protocols, it has conducted for the Project. Wild  
184 Springs will continue to coordinate with USFWS and SDGFP. Further information  
185 is provided in Sections 11.2.1.2, 11.2.2.3, and 11.2.2.4 of the Application.

186

187 **V. NATURAL RESOURCE STRATEGY**

188

189 **Q. What is the purpose of the Project's NRS?**

190 A. The purpose of the NRS is to provide a written record of the natural resources at  
191 the site, as well as Wild Springs' commitment to environmental management and  
192 sustainable development. As described in the NRS, Wild Springs is committed to  
193 responsibly developing, constructing, and operating the Project in a manner that  
194 balances the need for clean, renewable energy with consideration for on-site  
195 natural resource protection. The NRS was developed to document that  
196 commitment, the specific steps taken to assess natural resource conditions, and a  
197 plan for appropriate and sustainable site development and ongoing management.

198

199 **Q. What information is included in the NRS?**

200 A. The NRS: summarizes agency coordination regarding the Project; describes the  
201 Project's site assessments and surveys (including a description of existing  
202 resources in the vicinity of the Project); discusses potential Project natural  
203 resource impacts; identifies avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures;  
204 and discusses adaptive management with respect to the Project.

205

206 **Q. What agency coordination is reflected in the NRS?**

207 A. Wild Springs has coordinated with USFWS, SDGFP, and the South Dakota Natural  
208 Heritage Program throughout the Project development process, and the NRS  
209 reflects the comments and recommendations made during that agency  
210 coordination. As additional recommendations and comments are received from  
211 those agencies, the NRS may be updated accordingly.

212

213 **Q. What avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures are included in the  
214 NRS?**

215 A. The NRS includes avoidance, minimization, and mitigation measures related to  
216 each phase of the Project – siting and design, construction, and operations. In  
217 particular, those measures described in Section 4.3 of the NRS could contribute to  
218 the long-term positive impact that the Project could have on local wildlife and  
219 natural resources generally. In addition to maximizing restoration efforts through  
220 the use of vegetation that is local to the area throughout the Project, Wild Springs'  
221 emphasis on restoring and maintaining native vegetation in the areas of the Project  
222 outside of the arrays but within the fence will provide habitat for certain wildlife  
223 species (e.g., small birds, small mammals, amphibians, reptiles, etc.). Several  
224 studies have shown that wildlife can use these areas; as such, the habitat within  
225 the Project may be altered but will not necessarily be lost for ongoing wildlife use.  
226 These and other measures are described in more detail in Section 4 of the NRS.

227



228 VI. CONCLUSION

229

230 Q. Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?

231 A. Yes.

232

233 Dated this 15th day of May, 2020.



234

235

236 Todd Mattson

237

238 69995063