BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF CROWNED RIDGE WIND II, LLC FOR A FACILITIES PERMIT TO CONSTRUCTION 300.6 MEGAWATT WIND FACILITY

Docket No. EL19-027

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
OF MICHAEL MAROUS

January 8, 2020

1		INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Michael MaRous. I am the owner and president of MaRous & Company.
4		My business address is 300 South Northwest Highway, Suite 204, Park Ridge, Illinois
5		60068.
6		
7	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
8	A.	I am employed by MaRous & Company as President.
9		
10	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES?
11	A.	I am a consultant to Crowned Ridge Wind II, LLC ("CRW II") on the impact of the CRW
12		II wind project on property values.
13		
14	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME MICHAEL MAROUS WHO SUBMITTED
15		SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY ON SEPTEMBER 20, 2019?
16	A.	Yes.
17		
18	Q.	HAS THIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY BEEN PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER
19		YOUR DIRECT SUPERVISION?
20	A.	Yes.
21		
22	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY.
23 24	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address the testimony of Staff witness David
25		Lawrence, and Intervener witnesses Steven Greber and Amy Rall as they relate to
26		whether CRW II will impact property values.

27

1	Q.	HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF STAFF		
2		WITNESS LAWRENCE?		
3	A.	Yes. Mr. Lawrence is well respected in South Dakota due to his extensive experience in		
4		real estate evaluation in that state.		
5				
6	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS ON THE TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF		
7		STAFF WITNESS LAWRENCE?		
8	A.	Mr. Lawrence's Report is well-researched, and includes substantial documentation		
9		supporting his conclusion that there will not be a negative impact on property values as a		
10		result of CRW II.		
11	Q.	HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE TESTIMONY OF INTERVENER WITNESSES		
12		GREBER AND RALL?		
13	A.	Yes.		
14	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS ON THE TESTIMONY OF INTERVENER		
15		WITNESSES GREBER AND RALL?		
16	A.	Yes, in contrast to the studies and market analysis completed by myself and Staff witness		
17		Lawrence, Intervener witnesses Greber and Rall make broad generalities without any		
18		meaningful supporting evidence related to the impact of CRW II on property values. For		
19		instance, neither witnesses Greber or Rall provide specific facts and sales transactions,		
20		peer-reviewed studies, or a market analysis to support their claims. Therefore, even after		
21		reading the testimony of witnesses Greber and Rall, I stand by the conclusion in my		
22		Supplemental Testimony that CRW II will not have a negative impact on property values.		
23				

24

- 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.

insert)
) ss
Insert)

I, Michael MaRous, being duly sworn on oath, depose and state that I am the witness identified in the foregoing prepared testimony and I am familiar with its contents, and that the facts set forth are true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Michael MaRous
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2th day of

SEAL ERICK H CAMPOS Official Seal Notary Public - State of Illinois My Commission Expires Nov 20, 2023

Notary |

My Commission Expires // /20/2023