

ROBERT B. ANDERSON TIMOTHY M. ENGEL MICHAEL F. SHAW BRETT KOENECKE JUSTIN L. BELL DOUGLAS A. ABRAHAM KARA C. SEMMLER TERRA M. FISHER LAURA C. ROWE

WWW.MAYADAM.NET

December 19, 2019

GLENN W. MARTENS 1881-1963 KARL GOLDSMITH 1885-1966 WARREN W. MAY 1920-2018 BRENT A. WILBUR 1949-2006 TELEPHONE 605 224-8803

FAX 605 224-6289

E-MAIL brett@mayadam.net

Public Utilities Commission Attn: Patricia Van Gerpen, Executive Director 500 East Capitol Avenue Pierre, SD 57501

RE: In the Matter of the Application by Triple H Wind Project, LLC for a Permit to Construct and Operate the Triple H Wind Project in Hyde County, South Dakota EL19-007

Our file: 7446

Dear Ms. Van Gerpen:

Applicant herewith files the two attached maps, Exhibits 1 and 2, seeking to include the Southwest Quarter of Section 13, Township 111, Range 73 within the stated project boundary for the Triple H Wind Farm. Applicant considers that parcel to be participating and within the project boundary.

At all times relevant the SW/4 13-111-73 (SW/4) was under easement and participating in the project. The SW/4 is also known to the Project as containing PID 186 and PID 187. The affected turbines are now known as C-01, C-02 and C-05.

Sheet 3 of Figure 2-b to the application shows collector lines crossing the red boundary line in the area of Turbine Location 63/64. The project boundary line on the south border of SW/4 was inadvertently drawn one half mile north excluding the SW/4 rather than including it. Other application figures reflect the same inadvertency.

As the project layout has been finalized, collection lines from the turbines, and a crane path between them, are projected to cross the SW/4. The crane path is construction activity and thus temporary. The collector lines are buried facilities and thus permanent. The easement on the property permits these activities.

December 19, 2019 Public Utilities Commission Page **2** of **2** 

Condition 23 of the permit allows for applicant adjustments to access roads, collector system, and other ancillary facilities. SW/4 was surveyed prior to permitting and contains nothing which would conflict with the language of Condition 23.

The SW/4 is within the statutory notice provisions for the filing. The owners of the SW/4 were sent and received notice of these proceedings as required by statute. Because of the way the parcel lies, and because of the inclusion of surrounding parcels in the project area, notice of proceedings would not be different. The same persons would have been and were notified.

Sincerely yours,

MAY, ADAM, GERDES & THOMPSON LLP

Sett Loweke

BRETT KOENECKE

BK | jrw