

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY ENGIE NORTH AMERICA, INC. FOR
A PERMIT FOR A WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN HYDE COUNTY, SOUTH
DAKOTA, FOR TRIPLE H WIND FARM**

SD PUC DOCKET EL _____

PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF **CLAYTON DERBY**, Western EcoSystems
Technology, Inc., ON BEHALF OF ENGIE NORTH AMERICA, INC.

February 6, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Witness Introduction1

II. Purpose and Coverage of Testimony.....1-11

1 **Q. Please state your name, employer and business address for the record.**

2 A. Clayton Derby. Employed by Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc. or WEST. 415
3 West 17th Street, Cheyenne, Wyoming

4 **Q. Briefly describe your educational background.**

5 A. I have a Bachelor's degree from the Moorhead State University and a Master's degree
6 from the University of Wyoming

7 **Q. Briefly describe your professional experience.**

8 A. I have been employed as a consultant for 24 years and have been working on all aspects
9 of wind-wildlife related evaluations across the U.S. during that time.

10 **Q. Have you attached a resume or CV.**

11 A. Yes, my resume is attached.

12 **Q. Have you previously submitted or prepared testimony in this proceeding in South
13 Dakota?**

14 A. No, I have not.

15 **Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?**

16 A. I am planning to address portions of Section 9 of the application, which discusses
17 anticipated impacts on Terrestrial Ecosystems. This section discusses the existing terrestrial
18 ecosystem, the Project's potential impacts to it and potential avoidance, minimization and
19 mitigation techniques to minimize impacts. Terrestrial ecosystem wildlife and vegetation data
20 was identified and gathered through literature searches, federal and state agency reports and
21 consultations, natural resource databases, and field studies. Biologists from Western Ecosystems
22 Technology, Inc. (WEST) conducted field surveys on behalf of Triple H within and surrounding
23 the Project Area to provide site-specific information on terrestrial resources. The results of these

24 surveys are summarized in Section 9 of the application.

25 **Q. Did you categorize project lands by vegetation types?**

26 A. Yes. The Project Area is located within the Northwestern Glaciated Plains Level III
27 Ecoregion, an area characterized by significant surface irregularity and high concentrations of
28 seasonal and semi-permanent wetlands (prairie potholes). Approximately 63 percent of the
29 Project Area is mapped as cultivated cropland and approximately 26 percent is mapped as
30 grassland pasture. As shown in Table 9-1 of the application, the remainder is wetlands, hay
31 ground, trees, and developed acres.

32 **Q. How will the project impact grasslands?**

33 A. Grasslands are important and valuable communities, providing habitat to a diverse range
34 of taxa, including highly specialized, habitat-specific birds, rare and economically-important
35 pollinators and a wide range of mammals. Once covering millions of acres across North
36 America, it is estimated by some that mixed grass prairies have declined by approximately 68
37 percent. Aside from direct impacts, another concern associated with turbine development in
38 grasslands, particularly native or unbroken grasslands, is habitat fragmentation created by the
39 development of access roads and displacement of some birds from around turbines once
40 operating. Fragmented habitat not only supports edge-generalist species such white-tailed deer
41 and American robins, but simultaneously deters many species that require large areas of
42 undisturbed land to breed. Triple H worked with the SDGFP to redesign the site layout to
43 minimize impacts to native grassland areas, as identified by shapefile data layer from South
44 Dakota State University. Best efforts were made to utilize cropland and planted grasslands for
45 turbine placement and existing disturbed corridors (e.g., roads, transmission lines, fence rows) to
46 reduce habitat fragmentation and direct impacts to the vegetation. Turbines placed within areas

47 mapped by SDSU as potentially undisturbed land will be inspected for signs indicative of past
48 disturbance or tillage by a qualified biologist prior to construction in order to determine if these
49 areas are undisturbed grasslands. In areas where impacts to undisturbed grasslands cannot be
50 avoided, Triple H will employ BMPs such as revegetation with native grasslands and erosion
51 control measures and will restore areas of disturbed soils as soon as possible after construction
52 activities have been completed.

53 **Q. Have you considered noxious weeds relative to the project?**

54 A. Noxious and invasive weeds are regulated by state and federal rules and regulations
55 (SDCL 38-22 and 7 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 360, respectively) and designed to stop
56 the spread of plants that are detrimental to the environment, crops, livestock and/or public health.
57 According to the South Dakota Department of Agriculture (SDDOA), 11 listed species of
58 noxious weeds have the potential to occur and are regulated within Hyde County. Three of these
59 species are listed statewide and the remaining eight species are locally listed for Hyde County
60 (Table 9-2 of the application). Noxious weeds have the potential to spread through a variety of
61 mechanisms. They are often carried on vehicles' undercarriage and tires and thrive in highly
62 disturbed areas, rapidly out-competing native vegetation- particularly when exposed soil
63 conditions are present. It is anticipated that pockets of noxious and invasive weed populations
64 are currently present within the Project area. With construction activities potentially taking place
65 nearby, the threat of these species spreading via work crews, vehicles or other vessels exists.
66 Triple H will develop and implement a Noxious and Invasive Weed Management Plan that will
67 identify and establish the procedures to prevent the introduction and spread of noxious and
68 invasive weeds during construction and ongoing operations. This plan will be based on the
69 construction schedule and the potential for weeds to be spread during that timeframe. During

70 restoration, Triple H will utilize seed mixes free of noxious and invasive weeds. Triple H will
71 coordinate with SDGFP, USFWS, USDA NRCS and landowners on seed mixes to be used
72 during restoration. Therefore, the Project will work to have beneficial impact in the Project Area
73 by reducing and controlling the spread of noxious and invasive species that are already present
74 and by restoring disturbed areas with approved reseedings and controlling weeds in restored
75 areas.

76 **Q. What impacts to tree cover are anticipated?**

77 A. Based on digitized data, the land cover Trees classification comprises approximately 0.9
78 percent or 251.1 acres, of the Project Area. Typical trees include shelterbelts with a mixture of
79 evergreen and deciduous species located along field borders and near residences. As part of the
80 Northern Long-eared Bat (NLEB) Habitat Assessment (Appendix C of the application), WEST
81 conducted a desktop assessment of potential suitable habitat, which included deciduous forest,
82 evergreen forest, mixed forest and woody wetlands. Two forested areas greater than 15 acres in
83 size were mapped within the Project Area. As demonstrated in Table 9-3 of the application,
84 Triple H has avoided all permanent impacts to trees, including to two areas greater than 15 acres
85 in size that occur within the Project Area near Chapelle Lake identified as potential NLEB
86 habitat (as described in the NLEB Assessment, Appendix C of the application) by more than
87 1,000 feet. No major tree clearing activities will take place.

88 **Q. Have you quantified the acres impacted by the project?**

89 A. Yes, those are found in the application. The Project will permanently impact
90 approximately 77 acres and temporarily impact approximately 553 acres. Table 9-3 of the
91 application identifies the acreages of WEST-digitized land cover classes that will be directly
92 affected by construction and operation of the Project. Overall, 66 percent of the Project's

93 construction and operations related impacts will occur in vegetation types that have experienced
94 prior disturbance or alteration, including Cropland, Grass Hay and Developed land cover types.

95 Permanent impact acreages provided in Table 9-3 of the application identifies amounts of
96 vegetation that will be permanently removed and replaced by wind turbine foundations, MET
97 towers, O&M facility, collector substation, transmission poles, permanent access roads and the
98 interconnection switching station.

99 **Q. What impacts have been analyzed to grassland vegetation in the project area?**

100 A. Based on the WEST-digitized land cover classification, Project construction activities
101 have the potential to impact various vegetation categorized as grassland pasture. A subset of this
102 category, areas of potentially undisturbed grassland, as mapped using data from SDSU indicate
103 that approximately 25 acres could be permanently impacted.

104 **Q. Does the Project impact USFWS easements in the area?**

105 A. The Project has been designed to avoid impacts to USFWS grassland easements and the
106 delineated features associated with the USFWS wetland easement program. Four turbines and
107 associated access roads and collector lines are located on easements that were “top leased” with
108 USFWS grassland easements (Figure 12 in Appendix A). The phrase “top leased” references the
109 circumstance in which a subsequent lease is executed covering land upon which a current lease
110 already exists. In this instance, Triple H easements were recorded prior to the USFWS grassland
111 easements. The South Dakota state law principle of “first in time - first in rights” applies here.
112 As a result, the Project easements are prior and thus superior to the USFWS grassland easements
113 in the identified “top leased” areas. Based on discussions with USFWS (Appendix N in the
114 application), the agency understands and agrees that the Triple H easements are superior rights
115 because they were in-place before the USFWS grassland easements. As a result, National

116 Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) will not be triggered due to Triple H placing the four turbines
117 and associated roads and collector lines on the “top-leased” lands. The USFWS grassland
118 easement program is further discussed in Section 11.2.1.4 of the application.

119 **Q. How did you analyze the project area for effects on biological resources?**

120 A. In accordance with USFWS Wind Energy Guidelines (WEG or Guidelines) Tiers 1 and
121 2, a landscape-level site analysis was conducted utilizing desktop resources to identify potential
122 sensitive species or habitats that could be located near the Project. Resources reviewed included
123 South Dakota Natural Heritage information, SDGFP Wildlife Action Plan, USFWS Information,
124 Planning and Consultation (IPAC), NLCD mapping, aerial imagery, eBird, USGS Breeding Bird
125 Survey, NatureServe and USGS Gap data, among other sources.

126 Wildlife species associated with grasslands and tilled agricultural landscapes are expected
127 to be the most common species within the Project Area. Note that since the time of the Tier 2
128 Site Characterization Study (Appendix D in the application), the Project Area has shifted. Shifts
129 in project area are a typical occurrence, as one purpose of the WEG studies is to adjust
130 boundaries as better information becomes available. Figure 10 (Appendix A in the application)
131 shows the original 2016 Project Area was expanded in 2017. Following the 2017 expansion, the
132 Project Area was then contracted into the current Project Area. The current Project Area is
133 limited to Hyde County but extends outside of the bounds of portions of the 2016 Project Area.

134 **Q. How did Triple H start to determine bird use of the area?**

135 A. In an effort to characterize potential use of the Project Area by breeding birds, the two
136 nearest USGS Breeding Bird Survey (BBS) routes were analyzed. Each route is approximately
137 24.5 miles (39.4 kilometer [km]) long, with survey points located every half-mile. Standard
138 survey protocol dictates that all birds seen or heard are tallied for a 3-minute period at each point

139 along the route. From 2011 to 2014, a total of 86 bird species were recorded along the two BBS
140 routes closest to the Project. The most abundant species observed were the brown-headed
141 cowbird, western meadowlark, common grackle, dickcissel, red-winged blackbird, mourning
142 dove and cliff swallow.

143 **Q. Were raptors analyzed differently?**

144 A. Following a desktop assessment of potential raptor roosting habitat, prey base and species
145 distributions, a total of 16 diurnal raptors, one vulture and six owls were determined to have the
146 potential to occur within the Project Area. Of these species, one vulture, five species of diurnal
147 raptors and five species of owls have the potential to nest near or within the Project Area (Table
148 9-4 of the application).

149 **Q. Are there potential effects on native gamebirds found in the area?**

150 A. The Project Area occurs within the occupied range of the greater prairie-chicken and
151 sharp-tailed grouse, referred to collectively as prairie grouse. These two species of gamebirds are
152 native to the area and prefer large expanses of grasslands with tall residual grass or shrubs that
153 can provide cover while nesting and short or sparse grass on slightly elevated ground for leks
154 (area where prairie grouse congregate during spring for mating), which provides maximum
155 visibility for female grouse while simultaneously enabling a clear view of avian and mammalian
156 predators.

157 **Q. What about bats and bat mortality?**

158 A. Based on range maps from Bat Conservation International, eight bat species are possible
159 residents and/or migrants in the Project Area (Table 9-5 of the application). The Townsend's big-
160 eared bat is included due to the greater overall range map, but is unlikely to occur based on
161 habitat restrictions. Six species that have potential to occur in the Project Area based on range

162 maps (Table 9-5 of the application) have been documented as fatalities at wind energy facilities.
163 These species include big brown bat, eastern red bat, hoary bat, little brown bat, northern long-
164 eared bat and silver-haired bat.

165 **Q. Are there endangered species implicated in the Project area?**

166 A. Six wildlife species listed as federally threatened or endangered under the Endangered
167 Species Act have been verified to occur or have the potential to occur in Hyde County. This
168 includes four federally listed avian species (rufa red knot, interior least tern, piping plover,
169 whooping crane), one federally listed bat species (northern long-eared bat) and one federally
170 listed fish species (pallid sturgeon; see Table 9-6 of the application).

171 **Q. Will the Project have a mitigation strategy?**

172 A. The Project has been sited to avoid or minimize impacts to federally and state- protected
173 species. Pending completion of pre-construction avian and bat studies and reporting, Triple H
174 will prepare a Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy (BBCS) that will be implemented during
175 construction and operation of the Project. The BBCS will consist of Triple H's corporate
176 standards for minimizing impacts to avian and bat species during construction and operation of
177 wind energy projects and will be developed in a manner that is consistent with the USFWS
178 Land- Based WEG. It will include Triple H's commitments to wind project siting, construction
179 practices and design standards, operational practices, permit compliance and construction and
180 operation worker training. These are all further discussed in greater detail in Section 9.2.3 of the
181 application.

182 Dated this 6th day of February, 2019.

183 

184 Clayton Derby, Western EcoSystems Technology, Inc.