
From: Steven W. Greber [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 6, 2020 3:27 PM
To: PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] Docket EL19-003

Dear PUC Commissioners and Staff,

DOCKET EL19-003

I am writing to voice my strong opposition to the waiver request of

Crowned Ridge Wind.

Crowned Ridge Wind is NOT in compliance with the permit issued by

the PUC. They are not even CLOSE to being in compliance.

As a person who lives near this project, I demand the commission

enforce every provision in the permit. There was a process and

decisions were made and agreed to. We expect compliance.

The above is pretty much a form letter, but it does state the facts.

The PUC should hold fast and stick to the provisions set forth in the permit agreement. I do not believe that they will because they do not have the best

interest for the state of South Dakota or the welfare of the folks and their property rights. If they did we would not even be writing these letters. Our elected officials should be protecting our state and the people's property rights, health, well being, and quality of living. Instead they appear to be selling us out. Continued wastefully tax money is spent on this wind turbine blunder that cannot stand on its own and run many folks out of state.

I am currently sailing somewhere in the South China Sea on a US Government Vessel as a US Merchant Marine Officer. When I first moved to South Dakota nearly 30 years ago if they had told me that wind turbines were to be built nearby I would have moved on. And if wind turbines are built near me as planned I will move on. I probably will not sell because my property value will have plummeted. But I will not continue the upkeep allowing it to become even more worthless therefore pay less in property tax. Hopefully in 30 to 40 years when the wind turbines are junk and abandoned and the owners of the land have to foot the bill of deconstruction. Maybe then South Dakota again will become the state that I could live in or at least be worth something to my children.

Please think.

Sincerely,

n ar reber
[Redacted]
[Redacted] 238
[Redacted]
[Redacted]
[Redacted]