			2
			3
		1	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: It is December 30,
	1	2	10:30 a.m. We are in Room 413 of the State Capitol. I'm
	1 THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	3	Commissioner Nelson. I'm going to run the meeting today.
	2 OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA 3 ====================================	4	Commissioners Hanson and Fiegen are present on the phone.
	4 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION EL19-003	5	We have one item of business today. That is in
	BY CROWNED RIDGE WIND, LLC FOR A PERMIT OF A WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN CONVENIENCE OF CONVENIENCE	6	EL19-003, In the Matter of the Application by Crowned
	IN GRANT AND CODINGTON COUNTIES	7	Ridge Wind, LLC for a Permit of a Wind Energy Facility in
	7 Transcript of Proceeding December 30, 2019	8	Grant and Codington Counties.
	8 10:30 a.m. 9	9	And the question, shall the Commission grant
	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, 10 GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN	10	Applicant's request for limited and temporary waiver? Or
	CHRIS NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN (ACTING CHAIRMAN) 11 KRISTIE FIEGEN, COMMISSIONER	11	how shall the Commission proceed? And we will go first
	12 COMMISSION STAFF Karen Cremer	12	to Crowned Ridge.
	13 Adam de Hueck Eric Paulson	13	MR. SCHUMACHER: Commissioners, thank you.
	14 Jon Thurber Patrick Steffensen 15 Joseph Rezac	14	Miles Schumacher, Lynn, Jackson, Shultz & Lebrun for
	15 Joseph Rezac Lorena Reichert 16 Darren Kearney	15	Applicant.
	Brittany Mehlhaff 17 Deb Gregg	16	Thank you for the opportunity to provide a brief
	Kaitlyn Baucom 18 A P P E A R A N C E S	17	overview of Crowned Ridge's request for a limited and
	19 Miles Schumacher and Brian Murphy,	18	temporary waiver of Condition No. 2 of the July 26, 2019,
	 appearing on behalf of Crowned Ridge Wind; Kristi Mogen and Amber Christenson, 	19	Final Order so that Crowned Ridge may install the low
	22	20	noise trailing edge, or LNTE, attachment to its wind
	Kristen Edwards, 23 appearing on behalf of Staff.	21	turbine blades when weather conditions permit.
	24 Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR Precision Reporting, 213 S. Main, Onida, South Dakota	22	Specifically, Crowned Ridge proposes to curtail
	25 cwittler@venturecomm.net	23	wind turbines 21, 29, 37, 44, 48, and 95 at wind speeds
		24	above 9 meters per second until it is able to install the
		24	necessary low noise trailing edge attachments on the
		20	necessary low noise craning edge accaemients on the
			4
	2		4
1	The following transcript of proceedings was	1	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as
2	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota	2	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report.
	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,	2 3	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will
2 3 4	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters
2 3 4 5	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,	2 3 4 5	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second.
2 3 4 5 6	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the
2 3 4 5	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1,
2 3 4 5 6	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the
2 3 4 5 6 7	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request. As the request, affidavits, and supporting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request. As the request, affidavits, and supporting documents show, Crowned Ridge can curtail the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request. As the request, affidavits, and supporting documents show, Crowned Ridge can curtail the above-mentioned six turbines at wind speeds above
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request. As the request, affidavits, and supporting documents show, Crowned Ridge can curtail the above-mentioned six turbines at wind speeds above 9 meters per second and ensure compliance with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request. As the request, affidavits, and supporting documents show, Crowned Ridge can curtail the above-mentioned six turbines at wind speeds above 9 meters per second and ensure compliance with the Commission sound thresholds set forth in Condition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request. As the request, affidavits, and supporting documents show, Crowned Ridge can curtail the above-mentioned six turbines at wind speeds above 9 meters per second and ensure compliance with the Commission sound thresholds set forth in Condition No. 26. Staff's report also notes that granting Crowned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request. As the request, affidavits, and supporting documents show, Crowned Ridge can curtail the above-mentioned six turbines at wind speeds above 9 meters per second and ensure compliance with the Commission sound thresholds set forth in Condition No. 26. Staff's report also notes that granting Crowned Ridge's waiver request will have an imperceptible impact
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request. As the request, affidavits, and supporting documents show, Crowned Ridge can curtail the above-mentioned six turbines at wind speeds above 9 meters per second and ensure compliance with the Commission sound thresholds set forth in Condition No. 26. Staff's report also notes that granting Crowned Ridge's waiver request will have an imperceptible impact on the sound.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The following transcript of proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 30th day of December, 2019,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	14 turbines listed in Footnote 2 of the waiver request as well as Turbine No. 52, as noted in the Staff report. The curtailment is programmed into each turbine and will occur automatically once wind speeds go above 9 meters per second. Crowned Ridge also proposes to provide the Commission with quarterly updates starting on April 1, 2020, on the progress of the installation of the LNTE attachments. To support the waiver request Crowned Ridge has submitted the Affidavits of Joshua Tran, a project director who has 10 years of experience in the construction and engineering of wind projects, and Jay Haley, who modeled the sound for the waiver request. As the request, affidavits, and supporting documents show, Crowned Ridge can curtail the above-mentioned six turbines at wind speeds above 9 meters per second and ensure compliance with the Commission sound thresholds set forth in Condition No. 26. Staff's report also notes that granting Crowned Ridge's waiver request will have an imperceptible impact on the sound. With me on the line today to answer questions

	5		7
1	Mr. Murphy, and David Gill, who is an executive director	1	anyone on the phone I'm the one who's going to suffer the
2	of development for NextEra Energy Resources.	2	most impacts from this turbine project. I live right in
3	Thank you.	3	the project. I have 14 turbines within two miles of me.
4	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.	4	I have a substation nearly a mile from me. I have
5	We will now go to Intervenors. We've got a	5	transmission lines. I have things going on around me all
6	couple in the room. Whoever wants to speak, if you'd	6	over the place.
7	like to, go ahead.	7	There's five Intervenors in this docket. We've
8	You've got to be up here. And punch the button	8	worked together on many issues. We've submitted
9	on the microphone so it turns green.	9	documents to the Commission as five Intervenors. But I
10	MS. CHRISTENSON: Good morning. Amber	10	am speaking for myself today as being the most impacted.
11	Christenson.	11	I have followed my sound and flicker, of course,
12	Since our last meeting you told me to bring	12	throughout the whole process. When I started at the
13	meaningful information. I believe I brought some very	13	county it was I thought I had that a little bit more
14	meaningful information, and I'm just going to drop that	14	clear. 44.2 I believe it was at the county permit
15	as an elephant in the room just to get us started. Shall	15	hearing. When Crowned Ridge came to the PUC initially
16	we?	16	they raised they changed it to 42.5 because they added
17	Crowned Ridge Wind was permitted for	17	low noise trailing edge blades.
18	2.3 turbines and low noise trailing edge blades.	18	On the very last day of the evidentiary hearing
19	Instead, Crowned Ridge has installed 2.7 megawatt	19	my sound was 38.6. And you, Commissioner Nelson,
20	generators and only less than 10 percent of the low noise	20	graciously said I appreciate that you have taken care of
21	trailing edge blades that they were going to.	21	the Intervenor and reduced her sound like that. You
22	We Intervenors drove around looking at blades,	22	know, the Commission appreciated that they took that into
23	which is how we came upon all the 2.7 generators. So we	23	account.
24	contacted a noise expert to look at what they submitted	24	They also submitted the last day of the
25	as affidavits, and those are meaningless, totally	25	evidentiary hearing that I would have zero flicker.
	6		8
1	meaningless, because they're for 2.3s, which is what the	1	July 29, I believe, they submitted. My sound magically
2	permit said they were supposed to have.	2	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours
2 3	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley	2 3	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary
2 3 4	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the	2 3 4	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit.
2 3 4 5	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators.	2 3 4 5	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my
2 3 4 5 6	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator.	2 3 4 5 6	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception
2 3 4 5 6 7	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines	2 3 4 5 6 7	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that. They changed from at the county they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no leases, not vetting their experts' credentials, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that. They changed from at the county they were supposed to be 1.7s and 80 meter hub heights. They got	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no leases, not vetting their experts' credentials, not notifying the PUC and Intervenors of material changes in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that. They changed from at the county they were supposed to be 1.7s and 80 meter hub heights. They got to the PUC. They changed to they changed turbines and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no leases, not vetting their experts' credentials, not notifying the PUC and Intervenors of material changes in hub heights, no flicker study to reflect any of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that. They changed from at the county they were supposed to be 1.7s and 80 meter hub heights. They got to the PUC. They changed to they changed turbines and went with 2.3s and 80 meters. So they're not in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no leases, not vetting their experts' credentials, not notifying the PUC and Intervenors of material changes in hub heights, no flicker study to reflect any of those changes, changing generators to 2.7, which are not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that. They changed from at the county they were supposed to be 1.7s and 80 meter hub heights. They got to the PUC. They changed to they changed turbines and went with 2.3s and 80 meters. So they're not in compliance with the County Application.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no leases, not vetting their experts' credentials, not notifying the PUC and Intervenors of material changes in hub heights, no flicker study to reflect any of those changes, changing generators to 2.7, which are not approved in the permit. We didn't talk about a 2.7 at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that. They changed from at the county they were supposed to be 1.7s and 80 meter hub heights. They got to the PUC. They changed to they changed turbines and went with 2.3s and 80 meters. So they're not in compliance with the County Application. Tyler Wilhelm will tell you, well, we said in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no leases, not vetting their experts' credentials, not notifying the PUC and Intervenors of material changes in hub heights, no flicker study to reflect any of those changes, changing generators to 2.7, which are not approved in the permit. We didn't talk about a 2.7 at all. And now they have less than 10 percent of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that. They changed from at the county they were supposed to be 1.7s and 80 meter hub heights. They got to the PUC. They changed to they changed turbines and went with 2.3s and 80 meters. So they're not in compliance with the County Application. Tyler Wilhelm will tell you, well, we said in the Application we do 485 feet. Yes, you did. But you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no leases, not vetting their experts' credentials, not notifying the PUC and Intervenors of material changes in hub heights, no flicker study to reflect any of those changes, changing generators to 2.7, which are not approved in the permit. We didn't talk about a 2.7 at all. And now they have less than 10 percent of the turbines in compliance with the low noise trailing edge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that. They changed from at the county they were supposed to be 1.7s and 80 meter hub heights. They got to the PUC. They changed to they changed turbines and went with 2.3s and 80 meters. So they're not in compliance with the County Application. Tyler Wilhelm will tell you, well, we said in the Application we do 485 feet. Yes, you did. But you also submitted to the PUC, to the Intervenors, to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no leases, not vetting their experts' credentials, not notifying the PUC and Intervenors of material changes in hub heights, no flicker study to reflect any of those changes, changing generators to 2.7, which are not approved in the permit. We didn't talk about a 2.7 at all. And now they have less than 10 percent of the turbines in compliance with the low noise trailing edge blades, and they want a waiver.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	permit said they were supposed to have. I'm not blaming Tran and I'm not blaming Haley because Crowned Ridge Wind supplied them with the information. But they're definitely all 2.7 generators. We never saw one 2.3 generator. Not only that, they changed heights of turbines from 80 meters they were permitted as 80 meters, and they changed them to 90 meter turbines. There's no flicker study to show that. And all of that is in the PUC documents, all the tables from the PUC hearing to what they permitted or what they submitted to you. There's no I have a table of which ones changed, if you need to know, but I'm sure Staff can look at that. They changed from at the county they were supposed to be 1.7s and 80 meter hub heights. They got to the PUC. They changed to they changed turbines and went with 2.3s and 80 meters. So they're not in compliance with the County Application. Tyler Wilhelm will tell you, well, we said in the Application we do 485 feet. Yes, you did. But you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	went up to 42.5, and my flicker went to 6:56, six hours and 56 minutes. So it changed from the evidentiary hearing to the time we got the permit. Now they're going to curtail turbines, and my noise goes up to 43.7, which is a doubling of perception in sound noise. I'm going to call it noise. From what they told the Commission at the end of the hearing to what they're saying today, my sound has effectively doubled. I believe this has been a poorly managed project right from the start. They haven't completed studies they were supposed to, not securing necessary easements, mapping the project through land where they had no leases, not vetting their experts' credentials, not notifying the PUC and Intervenors of material changes in hub heights, no flicker study to reflect any of those changes, changing generators to 2.7, which are not approved in the permit. We didn't talk about a 2.7 at all. And now they have less than 10 percent of the turbines in compliance with the low noise trailing edge

	9		11
1		1	11
2	how they're supposed to be monitored, but apparently they're not being monitored. And so I would like you to	2	this will be taken seriously to protect us citizens from this project.
3	deny their waiver. I would like a stop order until this	3	Tim Noick [phonetic] lives real close to these,
4	generator and turbine height and flicker study are all	4	and he talked to me about it. He doesn't know that
5	rectified.	5	they're 2.7s yet. And he has an autistic child, and they
6	You said at the hearing that's a lofty goal for	6	are voicing their concern to me about the infrasound and
7	you to try to get these done by the end of the year. And	7	the noise. They live one mile south of Kevin and Dawn
8	that's when we thought it was going to be 130 turbines.	8	Dahlgren's turbines, three-quarters of a mile south of
9	But they said no problem. They've owned those blades	9	Gary and Kathy Granquist's turbines.
10	since 2015. The blades were manufactured in various	10	Jake Peterson also has a child with a medical
11	parts of the world, not South Dakota, but they've had	11	condition that is requiring regular draining of fluid
12	them since 2015. They had plenty of time to attach	12	from his brain. He was to specifically to have a
13	things, which we hadn't talked about attachments at the	13	1.7 turbine at the county level. A 2.7 turbine has been
14	hearing, but they had plenty of time to attach those	14	installed just across the road. No trailing edge blades.
15	sometime between July and October before they even	15	And that's on David and Cindy Larson's land. There's
16	shipped them to the available areas.	16	three of them in a row.
17	So until I remember something else, that's what	17	Bob Tuttle [phonetic] called me yesterday in my
18	I have to say today. I do have photos of some of the	18	motel room in Strandburg. He called yesterday saying all
19	generators if you need.	19	the turbines are spinning, then held up his phone and
20	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And we'll just	20	said listen to all the noise. He said I thought they
21	kind of see where this goes. Obviously you raised a lot	21	could not operate without the waiver. No trailing edge
22	of issues that are kind of outside the question that	22	blades. That's what he relayed to me.
23	we've got to deal with today. But I do think we need to	23	My wife called yesterday also. She said I could
24	at least explore that and understand kind of what's	24	hear a lot of noise from the turbines. The turbines are
25	actually going on. So thank you.	25	at least 2.4 miles away.
-	10		
	10		12
1	10 Mr. Robish.	1	12 They were constructing in Codington and Grant
1		1 2	
	Mr. Robish.		They were constructing in Codington and Grant
2	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until	2	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in
2 3	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified.	2 3	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were
2 3 4	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay.	2 3 4	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the
2 3 4 5	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they	2 3 4 5	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits	2 3 4 5 6	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county.	2 3 4 5 6 7	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this stuff.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are. And I was wondering why they didn't contact the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this stuff. At the evidentiary hearing they were granted a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are. And I was wondering why they didn't contact the PUC for a waiver when they were installing the blades on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this stuff. At the evidentiary hearing they were granted a permit with 2.3 turbines that were to have low noise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are. And I was wondering why they didn't contact the PUC for a waiver when they were installing the blades on the eight that they had blades for when they discovered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this stuff. At the evidentiary hearing they were granted a permit with 2.3 turbines that were to have low noise trailing edge blades installed; the county at 2.3s and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are. And I was wondering why they didn't contact the PUC for a waiver when they were installing the blades on the eight that they had blades for when they discovered they didn't have any for the rest. This was real early
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this stuff. At the evidentiary hearing they were granted a permit with 2.3 turbines that were to have low noise trailing edge blades installed; the county at 2.3s and 1.7 turbines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are. And I was wondering why they didn't contact the PUC for a waiver when they were installing the blades on the eight that they had blades for when they discovered they didn't have any for the rest. This was real early this fall. It was warm out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this stuff. At the evidentiary hearing they were granted a permit with 2.3 turbines that were to have low noise trailing edge blades installed; the county at 2.3s and 1.7 turbines. At that hearing Tyler Wilhelm must have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are. And I was wondering why they didn't contact the PUC for a waiver when they were installing the blades on the eight that they had blades for when they discovered they didn't have any for the rest. This was real early this fall. It was warm out. And did they contact the PUC for a waiver when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this stuff. At the evidentiary hearing they were granted a permit with 2.3 turbines that were to have low noise trailing edge blades installed; the county at 2.3s and 1.7 turbines. At that hearing Tyler Wilhelm must have been very confident with his testimony at that hearing because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are. And I was wondering why they didn't contact the PUC for a waiver when they were installing the blades on the eight that they had blades for when they discovered they didn't have any for the rest. This was real early this fall. It was warm out. And did they contact the PUC for a waiver when they discovered they had installed the wrong size
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this stuff. At the evidentiary hearing they were granted a permit with 2.3 turbines that were to have low noise trailing edge blades installed; the county at 2.3s and 1.7 turbines. At that hearing Tyler Wilhelm must have been very confident with his testimony at that hearing because he sat in the back of this room blowing bubbles with his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are. And I was wondering why they didn't contact the PUC for a waiver when they were installing the blades on the eight that they had blades for when they discovered they didn't have any for the rest. This was real early this fall. It was warm out. And did they contact the PUC for a waiver when they discovered they had installed the wrong size generators, not what they were permitted for? That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Robish. And we'll just hold all our questions until everybody's testified. MR. ROBISH: Okay. All right. Okay. I'm wanting this waiver denied because they haven't complied with their permits too. The permits they granted out here were different than the county. And they also installed the 2.7 generator on the turbines. And I haven't been able to find any of the studies from these because they're so new. We were here to talk about waiver for blades, and it just goes we see that. Then it goes to this and this. There's no studies on it. I can't find them. Now maybe the PUC knows what they are, but I don't know what they are, and I want them to be accountable for this stuff. At the evidentiary hearing they were granted a permit with 2.3 turbines that were to have low noise trailing edge blades installed; the county at 2.3s and 1.7 turbines. At that hearing Tyler Wilhelm must have been very confident with his testimony at that hearing because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They were constructing in Codington and Grant County without all their permits. Amber reported them in Codington County. They went and inspected, and they were building without permits. They were fined, issued the permits, and then they displayed them at every building construction site of every turbine and the O&M building after they paid their fine. They were also reported in Grant County to the Planning and Zoning office. There were no fines that I am aware of, and still to this day no building permits are displayed at each construction site. I think maybe they're riding around in someone's pickup. I don't know. We've went to the Blatner [phonetic] we can't see them at any of their offices or anything. I don't know where their building permits are. And I was wondering why they didn't contact the PUC for a waiver when they were installing the blades on the eight that they had blades for when they discovered they didn't have any for the rest. This was real early this fall. It was warm out. And did they contact the PUC for a waiver when they discovered they had installed the wrong size

	13		15
1	I think this has been a mismanaged project from	1	approved to have 2.3s on many turbines.
2	the start. And what kind of a misery the citizens are	2	I'd like to look at their waiver because
3	going to endure for the next 40 years. These new ones I	3	there's even some issues in there. In the Affidavit of
4	saw on GE's website are so new they have a 40-year life	4	Jay Haley he says, "I completed the sound study the
5	cycle versus 20. And I think they just bought these this	5	sound model for the project using the same assumptions
6	summer. I don't know for sure.	6	used during the original studies."
7	And then Tyler said at the hearing that they	7	Those original studies, I went back and looked
8	could go into 2020. I don't know why they need a waiver.	8	at them. They've been updated, corrected, supplemented
9	He said they could do that. Miles and Tyler even stated	9	many times.
10	at the 12-9 Board of Adjustment hearing that we had that	10	Exhibit H, "The sound software (Inaudiable)
11	they had started construction before having all their	11	model was general ground attenuation and attenuation
12	permits when asked by the Board of Adjustment board	12	factor of .5, which represents typical mixed vegetation
13	member. There were many witnesses to that.	13	and prairie grass, weeds, brush as cover crop."
14	I just want these projects done right. We go	14	"The realistic sound pressure calculated was at
15	through all this process, rules and regulations and	15	1.5 (Inaudible) at the participating and nonparticipating
16	everything all drawn out. Nobody's watching the	16	existing occupied structures. The term realistic in this
17	henhouse. I don't understand what's going on, why we	17	case means that some amount of ground attenuation is
18	have to find all this stuff and bring it to you. Just do	18	accounted for."
19	it the right way. You guys understand?	19	They're asking for this waiver during the winter
20	Thank you.	20	with bare ground. Hard, frozen ground. That attenuation
21	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.	21	is zero. They didn't use the right attenuation factors
22	We have one Intervenor on the phone. Ms. Mogen,	22	in this ask.
23	would you like to say anything?	23	So we have many people in this project, and
24	MS. MOGEN: Yes, I would.	24	their attached document's showing 44.6. What happens
25	Commissioners, thank you for giving us this	25	when they put the correct ground attenuation there at
1	14 extra time. We have used it well. I'm actually still	1	16 zero for the cold weather, the frozen hard ground? That
2	not done evaluating. I've been working on this since	2	was totally missed by everybody. And so I don't believe
3	2:00 this morning. And you, Commissioner Nelson, had	3	their sound study is accurate and is a representation of
4	mentioned it being relevant to this waiver request.	4	what's going to happen here in the project.
5	Well, this is how it's relevant. Crowned Ridge	5	Changing the hub heights, I used the December 13
6	Wind submitted information with the waiver request	6	attachments, and I compared them to the last sound and
7	concerning Condition No. 2, the attachments. In those	7	modeling tables that were presented during the
8	attachments is where we found information that was	8	evidentiary hearing, and that was Exhibit A22 and A23 and
9	conflicting and new. And that is how this all ties	9	we found that Turbine No. 89, 90, 91, 97 went from
10	together with their waiver request.	10	80 meter hub height to 90 meters. Those turbines
11	I find it amazing that we're talking about a	11	surround the Lynches' home.
12	condition and waiver request and we're not even talking	12	The Lynches are Intervenors in this project.
13	about South Dakota Codified Laws. 49-41B-4 says that	13	Not one word has been said about that. And we have to go
14	"Any facility with respect to which a permit is required	14	digging through the minute details that they try and slip
15	shall thereafter be constructed, operated, and maintained	15	by. That is not right, and the Commission should
16	in conformity with the special permit, including any	16	definitely go after them and punish harshly for it.
17	terms, conditions, or modifications contained therein."	17	When they did that they also violated, as Amber
18	This is nothing like what has been presented at	18	and Alan had said they violated local agencies.
19	the county level or the state level. We have followed	19	Somewhere along the line we're missing the point that
20	this from the very beginning, and it is not anything like	20	Crowned Ridge Wind has permits with the PUC, the DENR,
21 22	that. I submitted some complaints right here so you	21 22	the local agencies, the FAA. They have to abide by every single one of those permits. None of the permits
22	can see those pictures of the 2.7s. I am so in disbelief	22	supersede another. Those rules for each permits
23	that they put in 2.7s when they were only approved to	23	followed.
25	have 2.3s, and at the county level they weren't even	25	They're not doing that. And Condition No. 2

	17		19
1	clearly states that they must follow the permit,	1	I listened carefully to the Intervenors. I came
2	especially the local agencies. The Commission has talked	2	up with three issues that I thought were relevant to your
3	about local control and local control during SB-15, at	3	inquiry today. If there were more, you know, please let
4	our hearing. It's very important. They need to tell	4	me know. I believe we have the right experts on the
5	Crowned Ridge you can't just willy-nilly out there and do	5	phone.
6	whatever you want because that is exactly what they have	6	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Yeah. And I'll just
7	done.	7	interrupt. You can go ahead and identify those.
8	Dave covered it Amber covered it very well.	8	I mean, obviously some very serious allegations
9	I submitted pictures of the 2.7 documentation, and that	9	were made, and we're going to have to kind of clean that
10	was yes. We were out there during construction,	10	up, figure out what's going on before we can move
11	talked to the guys, the construction guys. They're all	11	forward. But go ahead with your presentation, and we'll
12	very, very nice. Asked them what was going on, what this	12	go from there.
13	meant. We were out there learning about what we were	13	MR. MURPHY: Thank you.
14	going to be living near and around and driving through.	14	And the way I divided it up, to your point, is
15	You know, it was kind of amazing. KELOLAND the	15	allegations that I would consider either complaints or
16	other day was talking about the ice throw with this storm	16	would have been requests for reconsideration versus what
17	out in Highmore. I can't imagine what that area looks	17	needs to be cleaned up for the purposes of the waiver
18	like this morning with all the ice that I see.	18	today.
19	This project needs to the waiver needs to be	19	I believe the 2.3, 2.7 issue is relevant, and
20	denied. The project needs to be ended, the permit	20	Josh Tran can speak to that. Also the fact that we are
21	revoked, and Crowned Ridge needs to come over and give an	21	testing the turbines to ensure they're safe and that the
22	Application that is truly what they have done or take the	22	electricity that would run between the turbines and the
23	turbines down because they were definitely not permitted	23	substation are safe, and we can address that as well.
24	to this. And it's against state law, it's against the	24	And Jay Haley is on the phone to discuss his assumptions.
25	conditions, and it's against the local ordinances, the	25	So I would propose to go through each one of
	10		
	18		20
1	local conditional use permits.	1	20 those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if
1 2		1 2	
	local conditional use permits.	_	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if
2	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that	2	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were
2 3	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the	2 3	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE
2 3 4	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request.	2 3 4	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines.
2 3 4 5	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff.	2 3 4 5 6	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would
2 3 4 5 6 7	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen	2 3 4 5 6 7	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the industry for about 15 years, 10 of which have been in the engineering and construction department.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the industry for about 15 years, 10 of which have been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires compliance with the noise levels. And if there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the industry for about 15 years, 10 of which have been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these turbines are 2.3 megawatt machines. They're controlled by parameter settings at each turbine that do not allow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires compliance with the noise levels. And if there's a complaint, there's a process to go through that. But I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the industry for about 15 years, 10 of which have been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these turbines are 2.3 megawatt machines. They're controlled by parameter settings at each turbine that do not allow them to generate over 2.3 megawatts. We can show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires compliance with the noise levels. And if there's a complaint, there's a process to go through that. But I am interested to hear the Applicant's response before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the industry for about 15 years, 10 of which have been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these turbines are 2.3 megawatt machines. They're controlled by parameter settings at each turbine that do not allow them to generate over 2.3 megawatts. We can show evidence of that in the parameter screen shots, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires compliance with the noise levels. And if there's a complaint, there's a process to go through that. But I am interested to hear the Applicant's response before going forward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the industry for about 15 years, 10 of which have been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these turbines are 2.3 megawatt machines. They're controlled by parameter settings at each turbine that do not allow them to generate over 2.3 megawatts. We can show evidence of that in the parameter screen shots, if requested.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires complaince with the noise levels. And if there's a complaint, there's a process to go through that. But I am interested to hear the Applicant's response before going forward. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these turbines are 2.3 megawatt machines. They're controlled by parameter settings at each turbine that do not allow them to generate over 2.3 megawatts. We can show evidence of that in the parameter screen shots, if requested. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: This is Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires compliance with the noise levels. And if there's a complaint, there's a process to go through that. But I am interested to hear the Applicant's response before going forward. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And it is time for rebuttal, whoever would like 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the industry for about 15 years, 10 of which have been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these turbines are 2.3 megawatt machines. They're controlled by parameter settings at each turbine that do not allow them to generate over 2.3 megawatts. We can show evidence of that in the parameter screen shots, if requested. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: This is Commissioner Nelson. I just want to interject a question. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires compliance with the noise levels. And if there's a complaint, there's a process to go through that. But I am interested to hear the Applicant's response before going forward. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And it is time for rebuttal, whoever would like to handle that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these turbines are 2.3 megawatt machines. They're controlled by parameter settings at each turbine that do not allow them to generate over 2.3 megawatts. We can show evidence of that in the parameter screen shots, if requested. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: This is Commissioner Nelson. I just want to interject a question. And I apologize, but I'm trying to parse your words.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires complaince with the noise levels. And if there's a complaint, there's a process to go through that. But I am interested to hear the Applicant's response before going forward. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And it is time for rebuttal, whoever would like to handle that. Mr. Murphy. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our constructionwind construction projects. And I've been in the industry for about 15 years, 10 of which have been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these turbines are 2.3 megawatt machines. They're controlled by parameter settings at each turbine that do not allow them to generate over 2.3 megawatts. We can show evidence of that in the parameter screen shots, if requested. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: This is Commissioner Nelson. I just want to interject a question. And I apologize, but I'm trying to parse your words. Are these, in fact, 2.7 megawatt turbines that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 local conditional use permits. So I do ask that you fully investigate and that all of this information came about because of the information in their filing for the waiver request. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. We will go to Staff. MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. That was a lot of elephants in the room to pick through, and my e-mail went down. So I see Ms. Mogen was filing information as we were talking, but I was unable to open it. So I'm not exactly sure what she sent other than a few pictures I was able to open. We filed a memorandum, and I guess at this point we would just say that Condition No. 26 requires compliance with the noise levels. And if there's a complaint, there's a process to go through that. But I am interested to hear the Applicant's response before going forward. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And it is time for rebuttal, whoever would like to handle that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	those. And, Josh Tran, if you're still on the phone, if you could start with the point that the Intervenors were making that these are actually 2.7 versus 2.3 GE turbines. MR. TRAN: Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Tran, if you would just introduce yourself for the record, please. MR. TRAN: Yeah. My name is Joshua Tran with NextEra Energy. I am director of construction, responsible for overseeing all of our construction wind construction projects. And I've been in the engineering and construction department. To the point of the turbine output, these turbines are 2.3 megawatt machines. They're controlled by parameter settings at each turbine that do not allow them to generate over 2.3 megawatts. We can show evidence of that in the parameter screen shots, if requested. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: This is Commissioner Nelson. I just want to interject a question. And I apologize, but I'm trying to parse your words.

1	21 this site are on the 2X platform. So they have the	1	23 MP. TRAN: It would sir. They would have to do
2		2	MR. TRAN: It would, sir. They would have to do additional studies and coordination with the ISO and the
3	ability to go over the 2.3 megawatts. But they have been restricted at this site to 2.3. That is correct.	3	
4		4	offtakers to get approval for that.
5	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: You may continue. MR. TRAN: Are there any other questions as	5	MR. RISLOV: Okay. And, without that, there would be, I assume, congestion issues and operational
6	regards the megawatt output? They are also limited at	6	issues on the Midcontinent ISO?
7	the point of interconnection too. So it's a 200-megawatt	7	MR. TRAN: Depending on what the (Inaudible) is,
8	wind farm with 87 2.3 megawatt machines.	8	there's certainly the possibility.
9	COMMISSIONER HANSON: I would have one,	9	MR. RISLOV: Thank you.
10	Mr. Chairman.	10	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: You may continue.
11	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Go ahead.	11	MR. TRAN: I don't have anything else to add
12	COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'm curious. How are	12	unless there's additional questions on that subject.
13	those monitored to make certain that two years from now	13	MR. MURPHY: Joshua Tran, this is Brian Murphy.
14	they're not 2.7, that they're how difficult is it to	14	I would like you to talk about the test energy that you
15	change and what type of official monitoring takes place	15	have run and your coordination with Mr. Haley on the
16	to make certain that they remain at 2.3?	16	running of this test energy.
17	MR. TRAN: There are a number of things that	17	MR. TRAN: So currently we are under test energy
18	have to be done in order to change that setting. You	18	conditions. We are coordinating with MISO and Otter Tail
19	have to have secure passwords at each turbine and get on	19	to produce roughly 45, 50 megawatts from the site on
20	our corporate network.	20	various turbines. We're cycling through to ensure that
21	In order to manipulate any settings we have to	21	we have a voltage generation and curtailment testing. As
22	go through General Electric, which is the turbine	22	compliance, we have to be compliant with GIA and our
23	manufacturer, to get permission on that as well. And	23	power purchase agreements.
24	then you'd have to open up the control system and	24	MR. MURPHY: Mr. Tran, this is Brian Murphy
25	manipulate specific turbine settings.	25	again. It's my understanding you also coordinated the
	22		24
1	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much.	1	running of those turbines with Mr. Haley to ensure sound
2	MR. TRAN: One of the ways that we monitor that	2	was at a very low level. Can you explain that?
3	is through our remote operations center. We have an	3	MR. TRAN: Yeah. We limited the turbines to the
4	office in Juneau and a backup office in Orlando that	4	15 turbines that Mr. Haley had outlined to shut down at
5	controls all of our sites, and we'll have a site team	5	9 meters per second. So all those turbines will not
6	operations and maintenance team on-site as well to ensure	6	operate over 9 meters per second.
7	that these turbines are operating as they as they	7	We have a setting called storm shutdown. So
8	should.	8	basically it reduces the cutout speed from about
9	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	9	20 meters per second to 9 meters per second at these
10	MR. TRAN: Any other questions regarding the	10 11	particular turbines.
11 12	2.3 megawatt? ACTING CHAIR NELSON: I'm going to have	12	MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy again, Joshua Tran. What I was asking was a different question.
13	Mr. Rislov ask a question.	13	Did you coordinate with Mr. Haley on the running
14	MR. RISLOV: This is Greg Rislov, Commissioner	14	of the turbines at a low speed level during the test
15	Advisor.	15	energy phase? And how was that coordinated?
16	Does your interconnection agreement specify	16	MR. TRAN: We have a list of turbines that
17	2.3 versus 2.7? And I assume the Midwest or the	17	have that were recommended to be shut down at 9 meters
18	Midcontinent ISO would be involved in this?	18	per second. And we worked with General Electric and the
19	MR. TRAN: Yes, sir. Our GIA or Generator	19	commissioning team on-site to reduce the setting of those
20	Interconnection Agreement with Otter Tail and the MISO	20	turbines.
21	has 87 2.3 megawatt turbines.	21	Is that answering your question?
22	MR. RISLOV: So let's say there was for some	22	MR. MURPHY: No. So it's harder with you on the
23	reason a decision to move above 2.3. Would that also	23	phone.
			•
24	have to be vetted with Otter Tail and the Midcontinent	24	My understanding, and you tell me if I'm
		24 25	

	25		27
1	Mr. Haley, and that the turbines in general were run at	1	Brian Murphy we cannot hear Brian Murphy.
2	very low speed levels during the test energy, around 5 or	2	MR. MURPHY: I apologize. I was explaining that
3	6 meters per second. That's what I would like you to	3	it's my understanding that anything other than the 2.3s
4	explain.	4	would be material modifications with MISO and have to be
5	MR. TRAN: Yes. That is correct. So we've	5	re-studied.
6	actually shut down even lower. So all the turbines have	6	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And the other question is
7	been carefully put online and taken off for a couple	7	regarding Ms. Christenson's claim that the tower heights
8	hours at a time.	8	have changed from what was permitted.
9	So if I took six turbines that we are talking	9	Is Mr. Tran the person who's going to address
10	about with the lower, the noise requirements and the	10	that, or do you have somebody else?
11	curtailment requirements on all 15 were coordinated with	11	MR. MURPHY: I would hesitate to put Mr. Tran on
12	Mr. Haley	12	the spot, given that this is brand new information.
13	MR. MURPHY: And	13	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Well, somebody's going to
14	MR. TRAN: to not exceed any noise	14	need to answer it today.
15	restrictions.	15	MS. EDWARDS: Can I interrupt? I think
16	MR. MURPHY: Can you explain why you have to run	16	Mr. Thurber can answer that.
17	test energy, the specific reason why you do it before you	17	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Well, we can wait and deal
18	go into full operations?	18	with that during the regular rounds of questions.
19	MR. TRAN: We want to validate all the turbine	19	MR. MURPHY: And, Commissioner Nelson, I'm more
20	settings to ensure that the turbines can send and receive	20	than happy to get the right people on the phone to answer
21	signals and can be controlled as specified. So we go	21	each one of the allegations. But I would need the
22	through a long conditioning process for each turbine	22	information like which turbines. I listened intently,
23	where we do all of our mechanical and electrical checks	23	but it was not clear to me which ones they were. And I'd
24	and then check all the software and in order to validate	24	just like to get that photographic evidence, whatever
25	all of that we have to run these at a minimum output of	25	evidence they have, so I can verify.
	26		28
1	about of about a couple hundred kilowatts.	1 2	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We'll just wait until our
3	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Murphy, I've got a couple other questions. Do you want to continue along	2	regular round of questions and see what comes out of that. So you may continue.
4	this line first? Do you have any other questions?	4	MR. MURPHY: Mr. Haley, the Intervenors question
5	MR. MURPHY: I was going to go just to Haley to	5	whether you were using the appropriate assumptions when
6	discuss his assumptions.	6	you ran the model for the waiver. Can you address that
7	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Let me ask Mr. Tran a	7	allegation?
8	couple of questions. The first follows up on	8	MR. HALEY: Sure. The assumptions that were
9	Mr. Rislov's question about the output and coordinating	9	programmed into the model remain the same as she
10	with MISO.	10	indicated. The reason for that was to try to keep
11	MR. TRAN: Uh-huh.	11	our numbers and our comparisons as much of an
12	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Is it correct that MISO is	12	apples-to-apples comparison as possible.
13	concerned with the total output of the project and they	13	The difference that we did make was that we
14	are not concerned with the output of each individual	14	modeled 200 megawatts rather than the 300 megawatts,
15	turbine?	15	which all of the original Application reports and
16	MR. TRAN: That is incorrect. They are	16	appendices were based on a 300-megawatt layout. And
17	concerned with the output of each individual turbine, and	17	since only 200 megawatts have been built and are the
18	we submit to them a study showing each individual	18	subject of this temporary waiver, we only modeled the
19	turbine's max output. So, again it's 87 2.3-megawatt	19	200-megawatt layout in this case, which is why some of
20	turbines.	20	those numbers look different to her.
21	MR. MURPHY: I might be able to add as well, I	21	MR. MURPHY: Those are all the questions that I
22	believe it's a material modification if the turbine is	22	would have that I thought was in the realm of the waiver.
23	changed. And when we've dealt with MISO so any you	23	Happy to address any issues that come up during the
24	know, they are expecting 2.3s.	24	hearing.
		25	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. So what we're

	29		31
1	going to do now is we're going to go to Commissioner	1	less than the 30 hours I believe it is as I recall that
2	questions and see where those go.	2	we allotted for that.
3	Commissioner Hanson, I'm going to give you the	3	Do you have the flicker, what it is? And was it
4	first opportunity to ask your questions.	4	originally zero?
5	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.	5	MR. HALEY: I'm going to have to look that up.
6	The last speaker from the Application discussed	6	It will take me a second.
7	a little bit of what I was concerned with regarding sound	7	MS. MOGEN: Can I clarify that's Amber
8	level. When Ms. Mogen spoke of originally being told it	8	Christenson who is in the project and being impacted
9	was going to be around 36 and then it increased to I	9	terribly. Not Kristi Mogen. I didn't bring my changes
10	believe 42 and if I recall correctly, she said it is now	10	to the board today.
11	somewhere around 43.7, I think.	11	Thank you.
12	Was that what the last speaker was referring to?	12	COMMISSIONER HANSON: And I assume that was
13	I don't think it was. I'm curious why it changes there,	13	Ms. Christenson that was just speaking?
14	if there are changes.	14	MS. MOGEN: I'm sorry. It was Kristi Mogen.
15	MR. HALEY: This is Jay Haley, the sound	15	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And I
16	modeler. I can respond to that.	16	appreciate that clarification. We do have to identify
17	I don't really know. I would have to get those	17	ourselves because the court reporter, unfortunately, is
18	numbers that she mentioned and review the reports and	18	not present here today but will be transcribing this from
19	appendices that have been submitted. But I'm not aware	19	the tape. So we need to make sure we identify. This
20	of those changes that she's talking about, other than	20	obviously is Commissioner Nelson.
21	changes that would have resulted from modifications to	21	MS. MOGEN: This is Kristi Mogen. While we're
22	the array over time.	22	waiting for them to look that up, can we find out I
23	There were some changes to the array that took	23	mean, this (Inaudible) definitely different than 2.3
24	place, at which time we remodeled and refiled new	24	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Ms. Mogen, we're going to
25	results. That's the only thing I can think of that she	25	do this in an orderly fashion. Commissioner Hanson has
	30		32
		1	the fleer at this point. Us is waiting on a response
1	might be referring to.	1	the floor at this point. He is waiting on a response.
2	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most	2	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you.
	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location?		MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on
2 3	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table	2 3	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again.
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location?	2 3 4	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts.	2 3 4 5	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that?	2 3 4 5 6	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what about Ms. Mogen?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility through that with your Application with the PUC?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what about Ms. Mogen? MR. HALEY: Ms. Mogen's numbers are quite low.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility through that with your Application with the PUC? MR. HALEY: That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what about Ms. Mogen? MR. HALEY: Ms. Mogen's numbers are quite low. 21.6 with curtailment, 21.8 with the 23 LNTE blades, and 21.9 with all LNTE blades. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Forgive me. I was writing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility through that with your Application with the PUC? MR. HALEY: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. And a bit curious on a couple of things. One is Ms. Mogen said that the blades have been known since 2015. I assume
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what about Ms. Mogen? MR. HALEY: Ms. Mogen's numbers are quite low. 21.6 with curtailment, 21.8 with the 23 LNTE blades, and 21.9 with all LNTE blades.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility through that with your Application with the PUC? MR. HALEY: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. And a bit curious on a couple of things. One is Ms. Mogen said that the blades have been known since 2015. I assume I'm going to make an assumption, but I'll let you give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what about Ms. Mogen? MR. HALEY: Ms. Mogen's numbers are quite low. 21.6 with curtailment, 21.8 with the 23 LNTE blades, and 21.9 with all LNTE blades. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Forgive me. I was writing it down. What was the very last one? MR. HALEY: 21.9.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility through that with your Application with the PUC? MR. HALEY: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. And a bit curious on a couple of things. One is Ms. Mogen said that the blades have been known since 2015. I assume I'm going to make an assumption, but I'll let you give the correct answer that they were ordered in 2015 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what about Ms. Mogen? MR. HALEY: Ms. Mogen's numbers are quite low. 21.6 with curtailment, 21.8 with the 23 LNTE blades, and 21.9 with all LNTE blades. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Forgive me. I was writing it down. What was the very last one? MR. HALEY: 21.9. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And obviously	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility through that with your Application with the PUC? MR. HALEY: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. And a bit curious on a couple of things. One is Ms. Mogen said that the blades have been known since 2015. I assume I'm going to make an assumption, but I'll let you give the correct answer that they were ordered in 2015 and when did you receive them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what about Ms. Mogen? MR. HALEY: Ms. Mogen's numbers are quite low. 21.6 with curtailment, 21.8 with the 23 LNTE blades, and 21.9 with all LNTE blades. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Forgive me. I was writing it down. What was the very last one? MR. HALEY: 21.9. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And obviously I'm saving the entire PUC from catching a cold by not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility through that with your Application with the PUC? MR. HALEY: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. And a bit curious on a couple of things. One is Ms. Mogen said that the blades have been known since 2015. I assume I'm going to make an assumption, but I'll let you give the correct answer that they were ordered in 2015 and when did you receive them? MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. Joshua Tran,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what about Ms. Mogen? MR. HALEY: Ms. Mogen's numbers are quite low. 21.6 with curtailment, 21.8 with the 23 LNTE blades, and 21.9 with all LNTE blades. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Forgive me. I was writing it down. What was the very last one? MR. HALEY: 21.9. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And obviously I'm saving the entire PUC from catching a cold by not being there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility through that with your Application with the PUC? MR. HALEY: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. And a bit curious on a couple of things. One is Ms. Mogen said that the blades have been known since 2015. I assume I'm going to make an assumption, but I'll let you give the correct answer that they were ordered in 2015 and when did you receive them? MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. Joshua Tran, can you answer that question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have you examined the most recent sound level for her location? MR. HALEY: Yes. It was submitted with a table of the Intervenors' impacts. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what is that? MR. HALEY: One second. Mrs. Christenson, with the curtailed scenario, it's 42.2 decibels, which is the same as if we had the LNTE blades installed. That would be with the 23 LNTE blades that we're talking about. When it's ultimately completed and all the LNTE blades are installed, the number drops to 40.6 decibels. COMMISSIONER HANSON: And what about Ms. Mogen? MR. HALEY: Ms. Mogen's numbers are quite low. 21.6 with curtailment, 21.8 with the 23 LNTE blades, and 21.9 with all LNTE blades. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Forgive me. I was writing it down. What was the very last one? MR. HALEY: 21.9. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And obviously I'm saving the entire PUC from catching a cold by not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. MOGEN: Okay. Thank you. MR. HALEY: And this is Jay Haley. I'm back on again. We did not run the Shadow Flicker Analysis for this temporary waiver request. We only ran the noise modeling. So the last shadow flicker numbers that were reported were six hours 39 minutes. Wait a minute. Wrong line. Six hours 56 minutes. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And that's based upon how the how you propose to build the facility and how you've applied to build the facility through that with your Application with the PUC? MR. HALEY: That is correct. COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. And a bit curious on a couple of things. One is Ms. Mogen said that the blades have been known since 2015. I assume I'm going to make an assumption, but I'll let you give the correct answer that they were ordered in 2015 and when did you receive them? MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. Joshua Tran,

12-30-19 Transcript of Hearing (EL19-003)

	33			35
1	beginning of July through October.	1	the governing restrictions on the megawatts from a I	00
2	MR. MURPHY: Okay.	2	believe it was a 2X platform facility that could	
3	MR. TRAN: So we	3	produce a unit that can produce higher than the 2.3	
4	MR. MURPHY: This is Brian.	4	but it's a 2X platform and certainly it's governed to	
5	Joshua, the question is the the allegation is	5	restrictions under numerous restrictions and it's not	
6	that we have owned them and had possession of them since	6	about to be above a 2.3?	
7	2015. So if you're aware if you're not aware, we will	7	Someone tell me why there's such a lack of	
8	get the right person on the phone to answer the question.	8	communication here.	
9	If you are aware.	9	MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I can try.	
10	MR. TRAN: We didn't take possession of the	10	I do know I work on a number of wind projects	
11	blades until the summer of this past year. Not in 2015.	11	where it is not uncommon for individuals in the project	
12	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. So you don't	12	area to have questions and to have those questions	
13	know this is Commissioner Hanson. So you don't know	13	answered in the field either through a project manager or	
14	when they were actually owned by the Applicant; you just	14	a liaison similar to the one you all appointed in this	
15	know that they were you started receiving them July,	15	case.	
16	and I believe it was October that you stated that you	16	With respect to this specific issue, it's the	
17	received the last ones.	17	first time that I'm hearing it was a concern. It may be	
18	How many of them have the trailing edge attached	18	for some I'm hearing it is a concern because they are	
19	to them at this time on?	19	reading the turbines and what's written on the turbines.	
20	MR. TRAN: Eight.	20	I do believe that we'd be more than happy to explain to	
21	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Eight of them.	21	the Intervenors or anyone in the project area the nuances	
22	I'm curious. Can you tell me why the trailing	22	of these machines or any other questions they have.	
23	edge wasn't applied a lot earlier, if you had been	23	I do take your point that the Intervenors are	
24	receiving them since July?	24	raising these issues today. And like I said in the	
25	MR. TRAN: They started the trailing edges	25	beginning, I'm happy to go through each one of them and	
	34			36
1	didn't come in the blades themselves started being	1	have them answered in a meaningful way. That will	
2	delivered in July. The trailing edges were not being	2	probably require getting other individuals from the	
3	received until September. They were not installed on	3	company on the phone who have that particular expertise to do that.	
5	manufacturing, which would have been obviously better for everybody. So they stopped installing them the middle of	4 5	Joshua Tran, for example, is not in our	
6	October due to the temperatures not being conducive to	6	procurement division of our company so he would not be,	
7	what we needed them to be.	7	unfortunately, the right person to explain how we	
8	COMMISSIONER HANSON: So can you give me a good	8	procured the turbines and when they were delivered.	
9	reason why they hadn't been ordered earlier? Is there	9	But, again, I make the offer to get the right	
10	some exceptional reason for a lack of better management	10	folks on the phone. And, as I started with, questions	
11	on why they weren't received at least a lot earlier? I	11	come to us, to our project manager or liaisons. They	
12	mean, you folks know what the weather's like up here.	12	should be answering these questions in a timely manner.	
13	MR. TRAN: Sure. I do not know the answer to	13	And it's my experience we do that in other projects.	
14	that question, sir.	14	COMMISSIONER HANSON: If I may continue,	
15	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. I don't believe	15	Mr. Chair, just with that line.	
	COMMISSIONER HANSON. ORay. I don't believe	16	To the parties, to Ms. Mogen and	
16	you're the person to answer this. Maybe you are. But it			
16 17		17	Ms. Christenson, I would like to hear from you as well	
	you're the person to answer this. Maybe you are. But it	_		
17	you're the person to answer this. Maybe you are. But it just seems to be with all of the allegations and the	17	Ms. Christenson, I would like to hear from you as well	
17 18	you're the person to answer this. Maybe you are. But it just seems to be with all of the allegations and the what in some cases are perfectly reasonable answers and	17 18	Ms. Christenson, I would like to hear from you as well regarding the communication factor and why this rises to	
17 18 19	you're the person to answer this. Maybe you are. But it just seems to be with all of the allegations and the what in some cases are perfectly reasonable answers and in some cases there aren't answers and others there's	17 18 19	Ms. Christenson, I would like to hear from you as well regarding the communication factor and why this rises to the Commission to be the party that somehow has to be a	
17 18 19 20	you're the person to answer this. Maybe you are. But it just seems to be with all of the allegations and the what in some cases are perfectly reasonable answers and in some cases there aren't answers and others there's sort of lacking in good common sense answers.	17 18 19 20	Ms. Christenson, I would like to hear from you as well regarding the communication factor and why this rises to the Commission to be the party that somehow has to be a go-between on something of this nature.	
17 18 19 20 21	you're the person to answer this. Maybe you are. But it just seems to be with all of the allegations and the what in some cases are perfectly reasonable answers and in some cases there aren't answers and others there's sort of lacking in good common sense answers. It seems to be a terrible communication between	17 18 19 20 21	Ms. Christenson, I would like to hear from you as well regarding the communication factor and why this rises to the Commission to be the party that somehow has to be a go-between on something of this nature. It should be far more simple than I assume	
17 18 19 20 21 22	you're the person to answer this. Maybe you are. But it just seems to be with all of the allegations and the what in some cases are perfectly reasonable answers and in some cases there aren't answers and others there's sort of lacking in good common sense answers. It seems to be a terrible communication between the citizens in that area and especially those with party	17 18 19 20 21 22	Ms. Christenson, I would like to hear from you as well regarding the communication factor and why this rises to the Commission to be the party that somehow has to be a go-between on something of this nature. It should be far more simple than I assume you know who you could contact in this regard with	

	37		39
1	someone makes an Application and you only have a very	1	or not, it was not in the Application. So I thought this
2	short period of time to get ready for that Application	2	was the appropriate body.
3	before the Commission, I understand that there's	3	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you.
4	significant challenges there, but I don't understand why	4	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Mr. Robish, anything to
5	phone calls weren't immediately made. And perhaps there	5	add for Commissioner Hanson's question?
6	were. Inform me if they were to the Applicant.	6	MR. ROBISH: No. This is Al Robish. I don't
7	Do you have a relationship with them where you	7	have anymore to add.
8	can communicate, someone you feel comfortable in	8	I was at the meeting when she was talking about
9	discussing these issues with?	9	that in Codington. And she wanted to expand and explain
10	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Here's what I want to do	10	it, and they shut her off and said, no, you're done
11	to get that question answered. Ms. Christenson, do you	11	talking, wouldn't let her explain. Tyler just came back
12	want to handle take that?	12	in the room from the bathroom so he didn't even know what
13	Yeah. I guess if you want to sit over here,	13	we had been talking about. So she didn't get to explain.
14	because I think we're going to have rounds and rounds of	14	They just cut her right off. So I witnessed that.
15	questions.	15	Thank you.
16	And while you're approaching, Ms. Christenson,	16	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Ms. Mogen, do you have a
17	I'm going to maybe put just a little finer point on	17	response to Commissioner Hanson's question?
18	Commissioner Hanson's question.	18	MS. MOGEN: I do.
19	You know, you brought a number of allegations	19	You know, the PUC is the regulatory body here.
20	this morning. Have those been raised with the liaison	20	They're the ones that gave the permit. And the whole
21	for this project?	21	fact that they're going to try and explain away that they
22	With that, take it away.	22	used 2.7 with a generator
23	MS. CHRISTENSON: Amber Christenson.	23	This has a totally different sound profile,
24	No. They haven't been raised with the liaison.	24	whether it's governed or not. It's not what they
25	This is all new information. I have spoken to Brian	25	presented. It's not what we received information on. I
	38		40
4			
1	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's	1	question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and
2	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says,	2	question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now?
2 3	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain.	2 3	question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body.
2 3 4	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel	2 3 4	question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains
2 3 4 5	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager.	2 3 4 5	 question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a
2 3 4 5 6	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus	2 3 4 5 6	 question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It
2 3 4 5 6 7	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning	2 3 4 5 6 7	 question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that
2 3 4 5 6	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in	2 3 4 5 6	 question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the question, saying, Oh, no. We said on our Application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there efforts is the liaison on? I don't see that he's on</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the question, saying, Oh, no. We said on our Application they would be 485 feet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there efforts is the liaison on? I don't see that he's on the line here.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the question, saying, Oh, no. We said on our Application they would be 485 feet. And my time to speak had passed so I couldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there efforts is the liaison on? I don't see that he's on the line here. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: No.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the question, saying, Oh, no. We said on our Application they would be 485 feet. And my time to speak had passed so I couldn't clarify, no, it's supposed to be 80 and you built it at 90 and that changes the flicker considerably when you raise the hub height 10 meters. It's 10 percent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there efforts is the liaison on? I don't see that he's on the line here. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: No. MS. EDWARDS: Yes, he is.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the question, saying, Oh, no. We said on our Application they would be 485 feet. And my time to speak had passed so I couldn't clarify, no, it's supposed to be 80 and you built it at 90 and that changes the flicker considerably when you raise the hub height 10 meters. It's 10 percent. So no. I haven't talked to the liaison about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there efforts is the liaison on? I don't see that he's on the line here. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: No. MS. EDWARDS: Yes, he is. MR. RINIKER: This is Brian. I'm on the call. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: He is. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Oh, Brian. You're on the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the question, saying, Oh, no. We said on our Application they would be 485 feet. And my time to speak had passed so I couldn't clarify, no, it's supposed to be 80 and you built it at 90 and that changes the flicker considerably when you raise the hub height 10 meters. It's 10 percent. So no. I haven't talked to the liaison about the 2.7 generators and didn't realize that he would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there efforts is the liaison on? I don't see that he's on the line here. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: No. MS. EDWARDS: Yes, he is. MR. RINIKER: This is Brian. I'm on the call. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: He is. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Oh, Brian. You're on the line.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the question, saying, Oh, no. We said on our Application they would be 485 feet. And my time to speak had passed so I couldn't clarify, no, it's supposed to be 80 and you built it at 90 and that changes the flicker considerably when you raise the hub height 10 meters. It's 10 percent. So no. I haven't talked to the liaison about the 2.7 generators and didn't realize that he would be the person to talk to about that. I thought that was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there efforts is the liaison on? I don't see that he's on the line here. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: No. MS. EDWARDS: Yes, he is. MR. RINIKER: This is Brian. I'm on the call. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: He is. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Oh, Brian. You're on the line. COUID you explain what type of discussions have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the question, saying, Oh, no. We said on our Application they would be 485 feet. And my time to speak had passed so I couldn't clarify, no, it's supposed to be 80 and you built it at 90 and that changes the flicker considerably when you raise the hub height 10 meters. It's 10 percent. So no. I haven't talked to the liaison about the 2.7 generators and didn't realize that he would be the person to talk to about that. I thought that was a material change that wasn't in the Application. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there efforts is the liaison on? I don't see that he's on the line here. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: No. MS. EDWARDS: Yes, he is. MR. RINIKER: This is Brian. I'm on the call. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: He is. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Oh, Brian. You're on the line. Could you explain what type of discussions have taken place between you as a go-between for the parties?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about a couple of noise complaints that I've made. He's been very helpful. Nothing he can do about it, he says, but at least he's kind and listens so I can complain. As for contacting the Applicant, I don't feel like we get a straight answer from the project manager. I did bring my concern of the 80 meter hub height versus the 90 meter hub height to our Codington County Planning and Zoning officer, who he did issue building permits in the nonpermitted size. So he permitted them at 90 instead of 80. And I brought that in front of the Board of Adjustment, and that's where Tyler Wilhelm actually misdirected the board, totally misdirected the board, in answering the question, saying, Oh, no. We said on our Application they would be 485 feet. And my time to speak had passed so I couldn't clarify, no, it's supposed to be 80 and you built it at 90 and that changes the flicker considerably when you raise the hub height 10 meters. It's 10 percent. So no. I haven't talked to the liaison about the 2.7 generators and didn't realize that he would be the person to talk to about that. I thought that was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	question nameplate. What's the revenue off of this, and how is South Dakota going to request that now? You guys the PUC is a regulatory body. Crowned Ridge Wind poo-poos us and redirects and explains away things. This cannot be explained away. There is a different turbine with a different sound profile. It changes everything. It changes everything. And that can't be explained away. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Commissioner Hanson, you may continue. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I just unfortunately, I don't think I heard the answer that I was searching for. Are there efforts is the liaison on? I don't see that he's on the line here. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: No. MS. EDWARDS: Yes, he is. MR. RINIKER: This is Brian. I'm on the call. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: He is. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Oh, Brian. You're on the line. COUID you explain what type of discussions have

12-30-19 Transcript of Hearing (EL19-003)

	41		43
1	roads and changes in collection and crop damage	1	asking me to answer.
2	complaints.	2	COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. How much
3	I did have two noise complaints from Amber, one	3	louder will the sound be at instead of a 0.5, at a 0.3
4	as recent as Friday. And I had a few calls early on in	4	at, say, a Mogen or Christenson residence?
5	the project relating to the 300 to 200 megawatt	5	MR. HALEY: I don't know what that would be
6	conversion and the deferment of such.	6	off the top of my head. I would have to run the
7	But I haven't really had any questions about the	7	calculations.
8	size of the turbine or anything like that. No questions	8	COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. If it was at
9	regarding interconnect or anything like that as well.	9	43.7 and with at a 0.5, what would it be at a 0.3?
10	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. Thank you. Thank	10	MR. HALEY: Like I say, I can't answer that off
11	you very much, Brian. Appreciate it.	11	the top of my head. I would have to run the calculations
12	A question for the Applicant. When you examined	12	to give you a reasonable answer.
13	the noise did you take into consideration the middle of	13	COMMISSIONER HANSON: With your experience,
14	winter when we have snow on the ground and basically	14	approximately how much higher would it be?
15	sound travels for a much farther distance?	15	MR. HALEY: I really don't want to speculate on
16	MR. HALEY: This is Jay Haley, the noise	16	that. I don't know what that would be without running
17	consultant. We used the ground attenuation factor of	17	the calculations. It's not it's not that simple when
18	0.5, which is I'll call it a middle of the road	18	you've got a collection of turbines in an array and
19	representation, that it's not representing an extreme at	19	they're all making a contribution. You pretty much have
20	either end in the dead of winter or in the middle of the	20	to model it to know.
21	summer when all the crops and vegetation is at its	21	COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. Well,
22 23	fullest. So it's a balance of those two. COMMISSIONER HANSON: So it's an average of	22 23	regardless of how this ends up today, I would like that information provided to us.
23 24	the what it's like in the winter as opposed to what	23	Mr. Chairman, I have some other questions, but I
25	it's like in the summer, and what it's like in the winter	25	know that my learned colleagues will have very pertinent
	42		44
1	would actually be what level would you use? 0.0.	1	and interesting questions and I would like to listen to
2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Probably not a zero.	2	those at this time.
3	You'd maybe go down to a .3 or something like that. But	3	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
4	it's really dependent on the landscape. The landscape is	4	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.
5	not always entirely covered in snow.	5	Commissioner Fiegen.
6	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, my experience is out	6	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you, Commissioner
7	in the country and I guess I won't testify, but noise	7	Nelson. I do have two questions, and then I'll let my
8	travels a lot farther when there's snow on the ground,	8	other Commissioners continue to start. I have a question
9	even in the city limits.	9	of the Applicant and then a question for the Staff.
10	0.3 is a 40 percent decrease. That would say to	10	When this came up to us it was a very immediate
11	me that the sound level is going to travel farther.	11	response that the Applicant wanted because of this
12	What's your	12	December 31 operational situation where there was a I
13	MR. HALEY: Well, it's not going to be a linear	13 14	think it was a \$75,000 fine per day by Xcel Energy.
14 15	relationship like that. But, yes, to your point, it would travel further under the harder ground conditions	14	At the time of the hearing last time the Commission I believe and I can't remember which
16	than under softer ground conditions.	16	Commissioner asked or maybe it was an Intervenor asked
17	COMMISSIONER HANSON: And I recognize that	17	the Applicant if they had talked to Xcel Energy to see if
18	engineering that 40 percent, I didn't mean to imply	18	they would impose that fine. And they didn't know at
19	that it's going to be 40 percent farther, but it	19	that time.
20	certainly is going to be farther than it would have been	20	So I'm asking again the Applicant and then I'm
21	by a considerable distance. So what is it going to be?	21	going to ask the Staff if that fine will be imposed and
22	What would you expect it to be in your	22	if the Commission would really have more time to make
23	avagriance? Vaulue get a let of avagriance in this	23	this decision if the fine would not be imposed.
20	experience? You've got a lot of experience in this		
24	field. You can give us a SWAG estimate here.	24	So, Applicant, if you could start. Thank you.

	45		47
1	is Brian Murphy for the Applicant.	1	immediacy of the decision today by the three
2	We have not contacted Xcel, to my knowledge, to	2	Commissioners is because of that fine and that's really
3	discuss a waiver of that provision. As I was mentioning	3	why we're meeting December 30, although we might have a
4	at the original hearing and if I wasn't clear, I	4	lot more questions?
5	apologize, we view that as a legal obligation, a right of	5	Is that your understanding, Public Utilities
6	Xcel that they can exercise. We have not missed a	6	Commission Staff?
7	commercial operation date to put us in that position	7	MS. EDWARDS: That is our understanding, yes.
8	before so we're not accustomed to asking a customer to	8	And I have reached back out to Xcel. So I should know, I
9	waive something that they they negotiated as a hard	9	would think, this afternoon, but that's all I know for
10	penalty.	10	now.
11	At the same time, I believe I mentioned based on	11	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Right. Okay. Thank you.
12	my experience as a lawyer that's done many rate cases	12	And then I'm going to go to the Applicant and
13	that if I was in their shoes, I'm not sure what I would	13	the Staff for this question. As you remember, the
14	say to my regulator if I left that amount of money on the	14	evidentiary hearing was quite burdensome for people to
15	table.	15	continue to ask for more documentation. One of them
16	But to your immediate question, to the best of	16	certainly was the sound study.
17	my knowledge, we have not contacted Northern States Power	17	So the final sound study that you gave us, was
18	or Xcel.	18	that the 2.3 turbine and not the 2.7 turbine that was
19	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Thank you. And	19	curtailed or like Governor Governor. You just got a
20	when you talk about not missed an operational date, I	20	promotion Commissioner Hanson stated, you govern it
21	just remember the evidentiary hearing being so confusing	21	back?
22	for not only the three Commissioners but for the	22	And I'm going to start with the Applicant and
23	Intervenors, the Staff, et cetera. It was probably one	23	then go to the Staff.
24	of the most confusing evidentiary hearings that I've been	24	MR. HALEY: This is Jay Haley, the noise
25	a part of with a wind docket.	25	consultant. The noise data that was used and modeled was
	46		48
1	And I remember there was somebody, and I can't	1	for a turbine generator operating at 2.3 megawatts.
2	remember if it was one of the three Commissioners that	2	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So when you say state
3	continued to ask, can you really have this ready December	3	operated at 2.3 megawatts, a lot of times when the
4	of 2019.	4	Commissioners look at turbines and the sound study we
5	So I'm going to go to Staff now and find out	5	usually look at a certain model number, I believe anyway
6	I know you have relationships with Xcel Energy. Have	6	when I read those sound studies. And we've had so many different wind dockets.
7	you had the opportunity to visit with them about this	7	different wind dockets.
8 9	mattard	0	
10	matter?	8	So don't you usually put the turbine model
11	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff.	9	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused
12	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to	9 10	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that?
13	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But	9 10 11	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the
	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time	9 10 11 12	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that
14	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract.	9 10 11 12 13	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was
14 15	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well.	9 10 11 12 13 14	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine.
14 15 16	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well. At the time I don't think that they knew. I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: What's 1.16 [sic] mean?
15	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well. At the time I don't think that they knew. I haven't talked to them since the last time we had this	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: What's 1.16 [sic] mean? MR. HALEY: The 2.3 is the size of the
15 16	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well. At the time I don't think that they knew. I haven't talked to them since the last time we had this hearing. One thing that I would point out is I don't	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: What's 1.16 [sic] mean? MR. HALEY: The 2.3 is the size of the generator. The 116 is the rotor diameter.
15 16 17	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well. At the time I don't think that they knew. I haven't talked to them since the last time we had this	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: What's 1.16 [sic] mean? MR. HALEY: The 2.3 is the size of the
15 16 17 18	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well. At the time I don't think that they knew. I haven't talked to them since the last time we had this hearing. One thing that I would point out is I don't think we found a way that this could go back into rates.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: What's 1.16 [sic] mean? MR. HALEY: The 2.3 is the size of the generator. The 116 is the rotor diameter. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So what you're telling me
15 16 17 18 19	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well. At the time I don't think that they knew. I haven't talked to them since the last time we had this hearing. One thing that I would point out is I don't think we found a way that this could go back into rates. So Mr. Murphy brought up being a regulatory	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: What's 1.16 [sic] mean? MR. HALEY: The 2.3 is the size of the generator. The 116 is the rotor diameter. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So what you're telling me is when you did the model it was not the 2.7 model that
15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well. At the time I don't think that they knew. I haven't talked to them since the last time we had this hearing. One thing that I would point out is I don't think we found a way that this could go back into rates. So Mr. Murphy brought up being a regulatory attorney and the regulators wanting them to capture it.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: What's 1.16 [sic] mean? MR. HALEY: The 2.3 is the size of the generator. The 116 is the rotor diameter. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So what you're telling me is when you did the model it was not the 2.7 model that was governed down?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well. At the time I don't think that they knew. I haven't talked to them since the last time we had this hearing. One thing that I would point out is I don't think we found a way that this could go back into rates. So Mr. Murphy brought up being a regulatory attorney and the regulators wanting them to capture it. I'm just not sure that we could do that. So I don't	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: What's 1.16 [sic] mean? MR. HALEY: The 2.3 is the size of the generator. The 116 is the rotor diameter. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So what you're telling me is when you did the model it was not the 2.7 model that was governed down? MR. HALEY: It would be the noise profile
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. And to be honest, when this came in I did reach out to one of our contacts at Xcel and asked him about it. But I had to be very cautious because you at the same time don't want to create an anticipatory breach of contract. And I'm certain Mr. Murphy had that same thought as well. At the time I don't think that they knew. I haven't talked to them since the last time we had this hearing. One thing that I would point out is I don't think we found a way that this could go back into rates. So Mr. Murphy brought up being a regulatory attorney and the regulators wanting them to capture it. I'm just not sure that we could do that. So I don't think that they would probably have that fear.	 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	So don't you usually put the turbine model number, which is like a 2.3 model? Or am I all confused about that? MR. HALEY: Well, we get a document from the turbine manufacturer that labels the turbine model that that data is representing, and in this case it was representing a 2.3-116 model turbine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: What's 1.16 [sic] mean? MR. HALEY: The 2.3 is the size of the generator. The 116 is the rotor diameter. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So what you're telling me is when you did the model it was not the 2.7 model that was governed down? MR. HALEY: It would be the noise profile would be the same for a 2.7 operated at 2.3 or a 2.3

	49		51
1	to go to the Staff.	1	As you can tell today, trust is a really
2	Did the expert of the Staff understand the	2	important factor to me and I know all three
3	complexity of the sound model? And I'm just going to ask	3	Commissioners. Trust is really important. And I feel
4	the Staff in general. I certainly have questions as a	4	like today there are things that have came up that is
5	Commissioner today, more questions than I started with,	5	starting to make me uncomfortable with how we get
6	and I'm going to leave it an open-ended question.	6	information from the Applicant. So today I will ask a
7	Thank you, Staff.	7	final question of Staff after we're all done of the
8	MR. KEARNEY: This is Darren Kearney for Staff.	8	decision today.
9	And first I would just note that the installation of	9	So that's my questions right now, Commissioner
10	2.72 megawatt machines is news to us today. We haven't	10	Nelson. And if I could ask questions at the end, I'd
11	reviewed a filing from the company notifying us of that.	11	appreciate it.
12	If they did file it, we might have overlooked it.	12	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Absolutely.
13	All the sound modeling that has been produced in	13	I do have a series of questions and they're
14	the past has been 2.3 megawatt machine-based nameplate.	14	going to be kind of scattered among all three parties.
15	The sound pressure level data that our sound expert	15	I'm going to start with Mr. Thurber.
16	reviewed for this waiver request to verify the proper	16	Can you explain to us this issue of the 80
17	cutout wind speed was based on a 2.3-116 machine.	17	versus 90 meter tower heights?
18	So Staff would want to verify that through	18	MR. THURBER: In the Application I believe
19	either GE or GE's technical data that what Mr. Haley has	19	it's on page 20 in the original Application 80 and 90
20	stated to us is, in fact, the case where if you limit a	20	meter hub heights were under consideration. And if you
21	2.72 megawatt machine at 2.3, the sound pressure levels	21	look at the description within the sound model profile of
22	would remain the same. So that's the verification that	22	the turbines, they do have certain turbines modeled at
23	Staff would need to see from the company in order to	23	80 versus 90 meter hub heights. So it's been
24	stand by our memo with this new information.	24	communicated through the process.
25	And in follow-up to Commissioner Hanson's	25	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And so is it accurate that
	50		52
1	question about Amber Christenson's property and her	1	the permit that was granted was with the understanding
2	statement that it was modeled at 38 or around there, now	2	that certain of those turbines would be 80 high and
3	it's modeled at 42, approximately 42, well, during the	3	certain would be 90 high? Is that correct?
4 5	hearing one of the models ran was without the Turbine 67	4 5	MR. THURBER: That's my understanding. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, Ms. Christenson, that
6	and 68, which the company had switched to alternates. And without those turbine and the model, she was at 38.	6	was not what you alleged today. So my question for you,
7	The turbines are considered alternates today.	7	do you have evidence that they have put 90 meter turbines
8	They have not been constructed. And so from Staff's	8	in locations that were permitted for 80?
9	review it's my opinion that the information that was	9	MS. CHRISTENSON: Amber Christenson.
10	submitted based on this waiver request overstates the	10	Yes. According to their tables, yes. They've
11	impact to what Ms. Christenson should expect, unless the	11	all been submitted to the PUC so
12	company ends up building Turbines 67 and 68.	12	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay.
13	So that's kind of why there is the two the	13	Mr. Thurber.
14	gap there between a 38 or a 42. And Staff asked the	14	MR. THURBER: You know, that seems like a
15	company when you're going to decide if you're going to	15	complaint that we would want to evaluate. I have not
16	build those turbines or not because they're not part of	16	seen that evidence. So if that information's provided,
17	the first phase project, and they said they're holding on	17	we can go through a normal complaint process where
18	to those turbines for the 100 megawatt phase.	18	evidence is submitted, Staff reviews it, provides the
19	So that's kind of the status of that and why the	19	complaint information to the Applicant, gets that, gets a
20	modeling now is showing you 42 versus what was at the	20	response from the Applicant, and then we would evaluate
21		21	it. Or, I mean, it would, you know, generally get filed
22	hearing when they had removed those turbines from the	21	it. Or, I mean, it would, you know, generally get mea
~~		22	with the Commission. But, yeah, we would want to pursue
23	hearing when they had removed those turbines from the	22	
	hearing when they had removed those turbines from the model.	22	with the Commission. But, yeah, we would want to pursue

	53		55
1	with a copy of that today? And if not, if you would do	1	55 MS. CHRISTENSON: It's the table it was your
2	that, and, Mr. Murphy, if you would respond.	2	Affidavit. Your Affidavit for the waiver.
3	MR. MURPHY: I mean, I don't have a copy of any	3	MR. HALEY: I'm looking now.
4	of the allegations.	4	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And, Staff, if you've got
5	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Well, let's just take this	5	any insight into where that number might have come from,
6	80 to 90 height meter issue right now.	6	feel free to jump in.
7	MR. MURPHY: I'm happy to	7	MR. KEARNEY: I do not recall off the top of my
8	MS. MOGEN: Commissioner Nelson, this is Kristi	8	head, and I don't have access to our website right now
9	Mogen. It has been submitted.	9	for some reason.
10	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Well, I know it's been	10	MS. CHRISTENSON: I'd like to make one
11	submitted to the docket filing perhaps. And we're not	11	clarification too. They make noise suggestions to the
12	even sure of that.	12	boundary and to the structure, and our permitting our
13	What I'm asking is if we can just walk a copy to	13	county ordinance is to the boundary of our property line
14	Mr. Murphy. And that's happening right now.	14	for noise, just so you know, not the structure.
15	MS. MOGEN: Okay.	15	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And, Ms. Christenson, can
16	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to just be a	16	you remind me what your receptor ID is?
17	little bit at ease while there's a little bit of a	17	MS. CHRISTENSON: CR1C29 nonparticipator. It's
18	conference going between Ms. Christenson and Mr. Murphy	18	the fifth page of your Attachment 1, Mr. Haley, if that
19	so that Mr. Murphy can respond.	19	helps you, about a little over halfway down.
20	(Pause.)	20	MR. HALEY: I'm still looking for the Affidavit.
21	MR. MURPHY: Commissioner Nelson, I've been	21	Can you tell me what the date of that is?
22	handed a table. I would have to compare the table to the	22	, MS. CHRISTENSON: It's Attachment 1 that you
23	maps that we submitted. I don't know the 87 turbines off	23	submitted with your waiver request. Or that Crowned
24	the top of my head. I'm happy to take a break to do that	24	Ridge submitted for the waiver.
25	and see if there's, you know, a response that I can give	25	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Yeah. I'm seeing it. I
	- 4		
	54		56
1	54 today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that.	1	56 found it.
1 2		1 2	
	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that.		found it.
2	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go	2	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the
2 3	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do	2 3	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1.
2 3 4	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to	2 3 4	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the
2 3 4 5	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether	2 3 4 5	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver?
2 3 4 5 6	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean,	2 3 4 5 6	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for
2 3 4 5 6 7	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2. MS. CHRISTENSON: Mr. Haley's Attachment 1,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence? MR. HALEY: Yes. I think that's correct. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2. MS. CHRISTENSON: Mr. Haley's Attachment 1, Table A and Table or Table A2 and Table A3. So one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence? MR. HALEY: Yes. I think that's correct. And at the residence it's listed as 42.1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2. MS. CHRISTENSON: Mr. Haley's Attachment 1, Table A and Table or Table A2 and Table A3. So one 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence? MR. HALEY: Yes. I think that's correct. And at the residence it's listed as 42.1. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I appreciate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2. MS. CHRISTENSON: Mr. Haley's Attachment 1, Table A and Table or Table A2 and Table A3. So one must be Tran's. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Mr. Haley, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence? MR. HALEY: Yes. I think that's correct. And at the residence it's listed as 42.1. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I appreciate helping me understand that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2. MS. CHRISTENSON: Mr. Haley's Attachment 1, Table A and Table or Table A2 and Table A3. So one must be Tran's. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Mr. Haley, I'm going to go to you. Do you understand what she is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence? MR. HALEY: Yes. I think that's correct. And at the residence it's listed as 42.1. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I appreciate helping me understand that. So, Mr. Haley, Ms. Mogen's contention that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2. MS. CHRISTENSON: Mr. Haley's Attachment 1, Table A and Table or Table A2 and Table A3. So one must be Tran's. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Mr. Haley, I'm going to go to you. Do you understand what she is referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence? MR. HALEY: Yes. I think that's correct. And at the residence it's listed as 42.1. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I appreciate helping me understand that. So, Mr. Haley, Ms. Mogen's contention that you should have used something less than .5 for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2. MS. CHRISTENSON: Mr. Haley's Attachment 1, Table A and Table or Table A2 and Table A3. So one must be Tran's. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Mr. Haley, I'm going to go to you. Do you understand what she is referring to? MR. HALEY: I'm sorry, but I actually do not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence? MR. HALEY: Yes. I think that's correct. And at the residence it's listed as 42.1. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I appreciate helping me understand that. So, Mr. Haley, Ms. Mogen's contention that you should have used something less than .5 for the attenuation certainly makes sense to me. Actually I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2. MS. CHRISTENSON: Mr. Haley's Attachment 1, Table A and Table or Table A2 and Table A3. So one must be Tran's. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Mr. Haley, I'm going to go to you. Do you understand what she is referring to? MR. HALEY: I'm sorry, but I actually do not know what table she is referring to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence? MR. HALEY: Yes. I think that's correct. And at the residence it's listed as 42.1. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I appreciate helping me understand that. So, Mr. Haley, Ms. Mogen's contention that you should have used something less than .5 for the attenuation certainly makes sense to me. Actually I'm going to go to Staff with this question first.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 today. I'm happy to take a 15-minute break and do that. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, let's go through the rest of my questions. And we may well do that. And part of that may be whether Staff prefers to try to get at least some initial answer today or whether you'd rather take some additional time. But, I mean, this is a substantive issue. Staff, do you have a preference whether we Okay. While they're conferring I'm going to continue with my questions. Ms. Christenson, in relation to the decibel level projected at your residence, we've heard a lot of explanation. Your contention that it would be at 43.7, I'm not finding that. Where did you get that? What I'm seeing is the 42.2. MS. CHRISTENSON: Mr. Haley's Attachment 1, Table A and Table or Table A2 and Table A3. So one must be Tran's. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Mr. Haley, I'm going to go to you. Do you understand what she is referring to? MR. HALEY: I'm sorry, but I actually do not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	found it. Actually I'm thinking it's December 13 is the one I'm looking at. Attachment 1. MR. MURPHY: Jay, are you looking in the attachments that you provided as part of the waiver? MR. HALEY: Yeah. I found the request for waiver, and I'm looking at Attachment 1 right now. Yeah. I see there's a 43.7 at the boundary. MR. MURPHY: And, again, this is Brian Murphy. If I'm correct, the threshold at the boundary was 50 dBA. MR. HALEY: That's correct. MR. MURPHY: Okay. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So that probably explains the discrepancy, whether it's at the boundary or the residence? MR. HALEY: Yes. I think that's correct. And at the residence it's listed as 42.1. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I appreciate helping me understand that. So, Mr. Haley, Ms. Mogen's contention that you should have used something less than .5 for the attenuation certainly makes sense to me. Actually I'm

	57		59	9
1	know you don't have your sound expert with you. Do you	1	And Mr. Tran can explain how that happens.	Ū
2	have any insight as to whether .3 is appropriate, or	2	MR. TRAN: Sure. Thank you. This is Josh Tran.	
3	should it be .0 or somewhere in between those two?	3	And I want to make it real clear that this site was	
4	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards again.	4	designed, engineered, all the studies on the collection	
5	Unfortunately, we would need to run that by Mr. Hessler.	5	system, on the turbines, on the foundation loading	
6	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.	6	systems, were all done as a 2.3 megawatt turbine.	
7	I'll say I understand why you ran it the way you	7	So that being said, we have not done any	
8	did. You wanted consistency. But the fact of the matter	8	engineering or studies on the 2.7 for the site. And	
9	is this waiver is primarily for the winter season, and .5	9	there's multiple limitations that are going to govern	
10	does not make sense for the winter season.	10	these turbines to a 2.3 megawatt turbine.	
11	MS. EDWARDS: Commissioner Nelson, I did miss	11	MR. MURPHY: So, Mr. Tran, this is Mr. Murphy.	
12	something from one of my brains here, Mr. Thurber. There	12	If you can explain if not, I think Mr. Gill can	
13	is a 2 dBA uncertainty factor built in. So that would	13	explain how GE brands these particular series. And	
14	probably mitigate any concerns.	14	maybe, Mr. Gill, you want to start and Joshua can help.	
15	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I don't disagree with	15	MR. GILL: Yeah. I'd be happy to. My name is	
16	that. But I think it would be wise to have it run in the	16	David Gill with NextEra Energy Resources, executive	
17	most realistic fashion, still understanding that we've	17	director.	
18	got that 2 on top of it.	18	I'm sorry for all the confusion here. We look	
19	MS. EDWARDS: Sure. And just within the last	19	at these things all the time and so to us this kind of	
20	few seconds, I did hear from Xcel, and they're willing to	20	seems like a small detail but I understand how the	
21	call in. So if you'd like.	21	confusion here is causing some some consternation,	
22	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. If anybody would	22	let's call it.	
23	like to talk to them. Okay.	23	GE makes these turbines, and typically they	
24	So for Mr. Murphy or whoever, this reference to	24	start off on some sort of megawatt like a 2.3 megawatt.	
25	a 2 we went through a long hearing. We had a long	25	And over time they often progress and become 2.5, 2.7.	
	58		60	0
1	Application. This reference to a 2X platform, I've never heard that term. Here we are	1	It's all really based on software. So it's the exact same turbines. It's the exact same blades. It's the	
3		3	exact same foundations, exact same you know, all the	
4	MR. TRAN: This is Josh Tran, NextEra Energy. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Here we are, two days away	4	pieces are the exact same in terms of the turbine.	
5	from when this thing's supposed to be operational, and	5		
6			You actually have to pay more if you want to buy	
6	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the	6	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine.	
7	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this	6 7	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had	
7 8	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during	6	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have	
7	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this	6 7 8	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the	
7 8 9	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing?	6 7 8 9	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have	
7 8 9 10	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and	6 7 8 9 10	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the	
7 8 9 10 11	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a	6 7 8 9 10 11	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements	
7 8 9 10 11 12	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start, and then I'm going to have Josh Tran come in and help	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project is based on using a 2.3 megawatt machine. The 2.3	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start, and then I'm going to have Josh Tran come in and help with the more technical aspects. In the Application we	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project is based on using a 2.3 megawatt machine. The 2.3 megawatt machine looks the same as a 2.7, 2.5. We	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start, and then I'm going to have Josh Tran come in and help with the more technical aspects. In the Application we did explain that it was a 2 megawatt series from GE. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project is based on using a 2.3 megawatt machine. The 2.3 megawatt machine looks the same as a 2.7, 2.5. We internally say 2X because the 2. megawatt machines can	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start, and then I'm going to have Josh Tran come in and help with the more technical aspects. In the Application we did explain that it was a 2 megawatt series from GE. They view these as generic series that can be 2.3, can be 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project is based on using a 2.3 megawatt machine. The 2.3 megawatt machine looks the same as a 2.7, 2.5. We internally say 2X because the 2. megawatt machines can come in different configurations in terms of how many	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start, and then I'm going to have Josh Tran come in and help with the more technical aspects. In the Application we did explain that it was a 2 megawatt series from GE. They view these as generic series that can be 2.3, can be 2.5, can be 2.7. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project is based on using a 2.3 megawatt machine. The 2.3 megawatt machine looks the same as a 2.7, 2.5. We internally say 2X because the 2. megawatt machines can come in different configurations in terms of how many megawatts you want it to produce.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start, and then I'm going to have Josh Tran come in and help with the more technical aspects. In the Application we did explain that it was a 2 megawatt series from GE. They view these as generic series that can be 2.3, can be 2.5, can be 2.7. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project is based on using a 2.3 megawatt machine. The 2.3 megawatt machine looks the same as a 2.7, 2.5. We internally say 2X because the 2. megawatt machines can come in different configurations in terms of how many megawatts you want it to produce. But, you know, in terms of the sound studies,	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start, and then I'm going to have Josh Tran come in and help with the more technical aspects. In the Application we did explain that it was a 2 megawatt series from GE. They view these as generic series that can be 2.3, can be 2.5, can be 2.7. When you look at our Application, and it's on page 19, it kind of goes through that step process. I	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project is based on using a 2.3 megawatt machine. The 2.3 megawatt machine looks the same as a 2.7, 2.5. We internally say 2X because the 2. megawatt machines can come in different configurations in terms of how many megawatts you want it to produce. But, you know, in terms of the sound studies, the shadow flicker studies, all those things are going to	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start, and then I'm going to have Josh Tran come in and help with the more technical aspects. In the Application we did explain that it was a 2 megawatt series from GE. They view these as generic series that can be 2.3, can be 2.5, can be 2.7. When you look at our Application, and it's on page 19, it kind of goes through that step process. I understand it wouldn't be as clear as what is and I haven't seen the photograph that says it's 2.7. But with that said, we were in that Application trying to explain	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project is based on using a 2.3 megawatt machine. The 2.3 megawatt machine looks the same as a 2.7, 2.5. We internally say 2X because the 2. megawatt machines can come in different configurations in terms of how many megawatts you want it to produce. But, you know, in terms of the sound studies, the shadow flicker studies, all those things are going to be the same are going to be based on what we model for 2.3 megawatts. So while there may be a plate or a sticker on that machine that says 2.7, most likely the	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 we've got a new term, 2X, that's been thrown on the table. So I want you to explain to me, where did this term come from? Why did we not hear about this during the hearing? And then explain to me what technically and physically happens with a turbine to take it from a nameplate of 2.7 to 2.3. Help me understand this. MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. I'll start, and then I'm going to have Josh Tran come in and help with the more technical aspects. In the Application we did explain that it was a 2 megawatt series from GE. They view these as generic series that can be 2.3, can be 2.5, can be 2.7. When you look at our Application, and it's on page 19, it kind of goes through that step process. I understand it wouldn't be as clear as what is and I haven't seen the photograph that says it's 2.7. But with 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You actually have to pay more if you want to buy a 2.5 to get that software grade or 2.7 megawatt machine. You know, those cost more, in addition to what we had mentioned before about the interconnection. We only have interconnection for a 200 megawatt project. And the details for the turbines, the exact specs. for the turbines are included in those interconnection agreements as well as the Power Purchase Agreement. So everything we have done here for this project is based on using a 2.3 megawatt machine. The 2.3 megawatt machine looks the same as a 2.7, 2.5. We internally say 2X because the 2. megawatt machines can come in different configurations in terms of how many megawatts you want it to produce. But, you know, in terms of the sound studies, the shadow flicker studies, all those things are going to be the same are going to be based on what we model for 2.3 megawatts. So while there may be a plate or a	

	61		63
1	newest they stick on the nameplate capacity for, you	1	to 2.7?
2	know, whatever it is that the maximum production for that	2	MR. TRAN: Yes, sir. Those parameters do
3	turbine is.	3	communicate back to the pitch settings of the turbine
4	But, you know, we have programmed it,	4	that feather the blades in and out and limit generator
5	conditioned it, designed it for 2.3. So accurately all	5	speed as well. So all that's being done with that
6	of the noise studies, everything that has been done, is	6	software control.
7	based on an accurate profile for that machine. So that's	7	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And so is it the
8	kind of a description of the 2 megawatt machine.	8	generating speed that is it the maximum generating
9	A couple of other points, if you don't mind me	9	speed that determines the maximum output?
10	clarifying questions that you had asked before, there was	10	MR. TRAN: Yes. That, and it gets a little bit
11	a question about when the turbines were purchased. And	11	more complicated. I don't want to get too technical with
12	the 2015 somebody had mentioned I think you will	12	the Commission. But the generator speed and the
13	recall that there are safe harbor turbines in order to	13	converter limitations down power.
14	qualify for the production tax credits. We did have to	14	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Well, talk to me about
15	order safe harbor turbines.	15	these converter limitations. Are we talking in the
16	Originally it was 13, but then it was shifted	16	transformer?
17	down to 9 when the project downsized from 300 to 200	17	MR. TRAN: No. At the base of the tower there's
18	megawatts. So those 9 turbines were purchased earlier,	18	a converter that switches from AC to DC, and that also
19	but, again, they didn't have the LNTEs already installed.	19	manages the output of the turbine. So it's a redundant
20	All the new turbines that Josh had mentioned	20	system from the generator well, it starts from the
21	that were direct shipped to the site between I believe it	21	rotor to the generator down to the converter.
22	was July and September, those are all purchased on an	22	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. That helps me. So
23	annual basis. We do turbine purchase orders on a yearly	23	if feathering the blades and the maximum rotation speed
24	basis based on how many turbines we think we're going to	24	is part of that computation that limits the output, is
25	use across the portfolio of projects that we have at	25	that going to change the noise output as opposed to a
	62 NextEra.	4	64
1	And so when we go to GE we don't just buy	1	2.7 megawatt turbine or a turbine being run at 2.7? Mr. Haley or whoever can answer that.
3	turbines for Crowned Ridge, for example. We buy turbines	3	MR. HALEY: This is Jay Haley. I can take a
4	for all the projects that we think we're going to build	4	I can take that question.
5	in any given year. And so we would have purchased those	5	The noise profile for a 2.7 machine is going to
6	turbines this year, with the exception of the safe harbor	6	be different than the noise profile for a 2.3.
7	turbines.	7	MR. MURPHY: If I may.
8	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So help me	8	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Certainly.
9	understand. We've got a generator that's capable of	9	MR. MURPHY: Jay, this is Brian Murphy. Can you
10	doing 2.7 megawatts output. Software limits it to 2.3.	10	elaborate on that? I think what you're saying is if you
11	How does it limit it? Does it slow down the maximum	11	were to upgrade the software to 2.7, the noise profile
12	RPMs? Help me understand how it limits it.	12	would be different. But I don't want to put words in
13	MR. TRAN: This is Josh Tran. There's a set	13	your mouth.
14	point for power limitation within the software. There's	14	MR. HALEY: Yeah. What you just said is exactly
15	a power limitation parameter at each turbine that's set	15	what I meant.
16	to 2.3. And there's a series of other settings as well	16	So the noise profile for a machine operating at
17	that has cutout load limiting and thrust and then RPM.	17	2.7 would be different than the profile for a machine
18	I'll open up the exact parameter settings right now.	18	operating at 2.3 megawatts. And the profile at 2.7 would
19	So the software package along with the	19	typically be at higher levels than at 2.3.
20 21	parameters all limit that turbine to 2.3 megawatts.	20	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.
21 22	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. I'm going to ask it one more time.	21 22	Mr. Murphy, apparently the nameplate on this turbine is 2.7; correct?
22	Explain to me how what changes on the	22	MR. MURPHY: Subject to check, that's what I'm
23	turbine is it the feathering of the blades? Is it the	23 24	hearing the Intervenors said they went out and took
	maximum RPM? What changes to limit it to 2.3 as opposed	25	pictures on and that it has the ability to go up to 2.7
25			processor on and that it has the ability to go up to 2π

	65		67
1	with up grades in the software.	1	are some of the things that are going through my head
2	MR. TRAN: This is Josh Tran. I'd like to add	2	right now.
3	some more clarity to that. The generator component of	3	MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards again.
4	that turbine has the ability its nameplated at that	4	Amanda Rome from Xcel is on the line, and I think she's
5	2.7. The turbine as a whole is a 2.3.	5	had conversations, which have been trying to follow via
6	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So and obviously our state	6	e-mail, with other folks on that issue and whether or not
7	taxation structure taxes based on the nameplate capacity.	7	COD of January 7, which is the next meeting time, is
8	So are you paying taxes on 2.7 or 2.3?	8	doable.
9	MR. MURPHY: It would be my understanding	9	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, Ms. Rome, let me just
10	would be 2.3. But I would like to look into that with	10	ask a couple more questions here, and then we'll let you
11	our tax experts and get back to you.	11	weigh in.
12	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.	12	For Mr. Murphy, we've talked about the status of
13	So I guess my question for Staff, we've got this	13	Alternate Turbines 67 and 68. It's been represented by
14	issue of 2X, 2.7, 2.3. What did we permit?	14	Staff that it's their understanding that these will not
15	MS. EDWARDS: The nameplate in the permit was	15	be constructed unless you move forward with the last
16	2.3. I know Sweetland came back for a material deviation	16	100 megawatts.
17	when they changed their nameplate. That's the only other	17	Is that, in fact, the case?
18	time I can think of that happening.	18	MR. MURPHY: Yes. That's correct.
19	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So we've permitted 2.3.	19	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Okay,
20	These folks have told us that that is what this will be	20	Ms. Rome. I don't know how much of today's discussion
21	operated at. Do you believe that that complies with our	21	you have picked up on or Ms. Edwards has advised you of,
22	permit?	22	but if you'd like to share the thoughts of Xcel as to
23	MS. EDWARDS: Unfortunately, I cannot answer	23	where we're at today in regard to this contract.
24	that question today. We need to think it through. This	24	And introduce yourself fully, if you would.
25	is all new information, and we'd have to run it by our	25	MS. ROME: Sure. Amanda Rome, vice president
	66		22
			68
1	sound expert.	1	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my
2	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer.	2	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E.
2 3	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you.	2 3	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series
2 3 4	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the	2 3 4	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of
2 3 4 5	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it	2 3 4 5	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that
2 3 4 5 6	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct?	2 3 4 5 6	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even
2 3 4 5 6 7	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to	2 3 4 5 6 7	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined. I'm not sure how the contract was reworked from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would work. The PTCs and the risk of the PTCs sits with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined. I'm not sure how the contract was reworked from 300 megawatts down to 200 megawatts. With it being a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would work. The PTCs and the risk of the PTCs sits with NextEra is my understanding, not NSP. I'm not sure how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined. I'm not sure how the contract was reworked from 300 megawatts down to 200 megawatts. With it being a PPA, what is the LNP that Xcel is paying by not being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would work. The PTCs and the risk of the PTCs sits with NextEra is my understanding, not NSP. I'm not sure how much conversation was around that piece of it. I'll stop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined. I'm not sure how the contract was reworked from 300 megawatts down to 200 megawatts. With it being a PPA, what is the LNP that Xcel is paying by not being served with this power? Is it a benefit?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would work. The PTCs and the risk of the PTCs sits with NextEra is my understanding, not NSP. I'm not sure how much conversation was around that piece of it. I'll stop there to see if I confused matters further or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined. I'm not sure how the contract was reworked from 300 megawatts down to 200 megawatts. With it being a PPA, what is the LNP that Xcel is paying by not being served with this power? Is it a benefit? So, I mean, whether it's a refund or not, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would work. The PTCs and the risk of the PTCs sits with NextEra is my understanding, not NSP. I'm not sure how much conversation was around that piece of it. I'll stop there to see if I confused matters further or not. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined. I'm not sure how the contract was reworked from 300 megawatts down to 200 megawatts. With it being a PPA, what is the LNP that Xcel is paying by not being served with this power? Is it a benefit? So, I mean, whether it's a refund or not, you know, we would have to think it through. I do know that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would work. The PTCs and the risk of the PTCs sits with NextEra is my understanding, not NSP. I'm not sure how much conversation was around that piece of it. I'll stop there to see if I confused matters further or not. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I'm going to go back to my fellow commissioners
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined. I'm not sure how the contract was reworked from 300 megawatts down to 200 megawatts. With it being a PPA, what is the LNP that Xcel is paying by not being served with this power? Is it a benefit? So, I mean, whether it's a refund or not, you know, we would have to think it through. I do know that operational start dates do get delayed. I'm not sure how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would work. The PTCs and the risk of the PTCs sits with NextEra is my understanding, not NSP. I'm not sure how much conversation was around that piece of it. I'll stop there to see if I confused matters further or not. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined. I'm not sure how the contract was reworked from 300 megawatts down to 200 megawatts. With it being a PPA, what is the LNP that Xcel is paying by not being served with this power? Is it a benefit? So, I mean, whether it's a refund or not, you know, we would have to think it through. I do know that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would work. The PTCs and the risk of the PTCs sits with NextEra is my understanding, not NSP. I'm not sure how much conversation was around that piece of it. I'll stop there to see if I confused matters further or not. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I'm going to go back to my fellow commissioners and see what additional questions they may have. Commissioner Hanson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sound expert. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And I respect that answer. Thank you. Staff and this is probably tangential to the real issue today, but this issue of Xcel and the fine, it would appear this is being done on a PPA; correct? So would not a fine flow back through the PPA to the benefit of customers? Mr. Thurber. MR. THURBER: I think it would have to be a special regulatory filing because the fuel clause generally is set up on specific expense accounts in accumulated and calculated and, I mean, there's a fairly standard tariff process that's outlined. I'm not sure how the contract was reworked from 300 megawatts down to 200 megawatts. With it being a PPA, what is the LNP that Xcel is paying by not being served with this power? Is it a benefit? So, I mean, whether it's a refund or not, you know, we would have to think it through. I do know that operational start dates do get delayed. I'm not sure how other parties have worked through these processes in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and general counsel of Xcel Energy. I apologize for my voice. Last name is R-O-M-E. So I just jumped on the call based on a series of e-mails from Ms. Edwards so I haven't heard a lot of it, and I sort of want to caveat my responses with that because I just am jumping here and I haven't even reviewed the contract recently. But my understanding is, and I think this is the discussion, I think I jumped in on, per the PPA they are required to go COD by tomorrow or they're subject to the you know, the LDs, the liquidated damages. You know, I heard some discussion with Mr. Thurber on how that would flow back to the customer, but that's my understanding of how the contract would work. The PTCs and the risk of the PTCs sits with NextEra is my understanding, not NSP. I'm not sure how much conversation was around that piece of it. I'll stop there to see if I confused matters further or not. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I'm going to go back to my fellow commissioners and see what additional questions they may have.

	69		71
1	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I don't know legally even	1	(Discussion off the record.)
2	if I can ask this question. So I'll ask it and just	2	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So he's got
3	the parties will just have to say we can't answer that	3	questions before we go into recess. Okay. So we'll just
4	because of legal constraints.	4	hang tight here.
5	So the \$75,000 fine per day, is that an issue on	5	Commissioner Hanson, have you joined us?
6	the table that we have to consider today? I asked it	6	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Nelson.
7	more bluntly about an hour ago. And so if anybody would	7	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Yes.
8	like to weigh in on that, they can.	8	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I would like to give the
9	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Well, this is Commissioner	9	Staff an assignment when we're all on recess. And I
10	Nelson. I'll weigh in on it.	10	heard Staff talk about concerns that they need to
11	You know, whether or not it's imposed or not	11	actually they have learned a lot of new information today
12	imposed and what the ultimate customer benefit may or may	12	and are uncomfortable answering several questions because
13	not be, I don't think that's relevant to really what we	13	they have to go do more research.
14	need to decide today. I think there's some greater	14	So I just want to make sure that we'll be asking
15	issues that have been placed on our plate today that are	15	that question again in 15 minutes. Because I'm not for
16	really what need to be resolved before any of that can be	16	sure if Staff can do all their research in 15 minutes.
17	resolved.	17	So I just want to make sure I get to ask that question
18	But that's just my opinion. If anybody else	18	once again.
19	wants to weigh in, fine.	19	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Yeah. Absolutely. And
20	MS. ROME: This is Amanda Rome. I'm not sure	20	I'll just tell you from my perspective I'm not expecting
21	since I didn't hear all of the conversation, I'm not	21	them to have answers for all of those in 15 minutes. My
22	really sure if I can weigh in any further other than to	22	focus right now is on this 80 versus 90 meter deal. But
23	say I think that we would have to take a look at the	23	if they do, great, but I'm not expecting that.
24	contract as the company and see whether we would want to,	24	Commissioner Hanson, here's where we're at.
25	you know, proceed with those liquidated damages.	25	We'll let you ask whatever questions you might have, and
1	70 ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you, Ms. Rome.	1	72 then we've agreed to take a 15-minute recess to allow
2	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you.	2	Crowned Ridge to try to figure out what the issue is with
3	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen, any	3	the 80 versus 90 meter height on certain of the turbines.
-		•	
4	additional questions?	4	-
-	additional questions? COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No.		But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner
4 5 6		4 5 6	But go ahead with your questions.
5	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No.	5	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner
5 6	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have	5 6	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker
5 6 7	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson?	5 6 7	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying
5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back.	5 6 7 8	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up
5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if	5 6 7 8 9	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement.
5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me	5 6 7 8 9 10	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today. And so it almost seems to me like we need to get some	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief. The foundation of the entire request seems to rest upon the \$75,000 fine that is imminent if they do not immediately begin providing electricity. And so my
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today. And so it almost seems to me like we need to get some understanding of where that is at before we can proceed. Commissioner Fiegen, would you be okay if we did a 15-minute recess to allow Mr. Murphy and his people to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief. The foundation of the entire request seems to rest upon the \$75,000 fine that is imminent if they do not immediately begin providing electricity. And so my question would be to Ms. Rome, unless it has already been
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today. And so it almost seems to me like we need to get some understanding of where that is at before we can proceed. Commissioner Fiegen, would you be okay if we did a 15-minute recess to allow Mr. Murphy and his people to figure out what's going on?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief. The foundation of the entire request seems to rest upon the \$75,000 fine that is imminent if they do not immediately begin providing electricity. And so my question would be to Ms. Rome, unless it has already been asked and answered, is is that a reality?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today. And so it almost seems to me like we need to get some understanding of where that is at before we can proceed. Commissioner Fiegen, would you be okay if we did a 15-minute recess to allow Mr. Murphy and his people to figure out what's going on? COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Absolutely.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief. The foundation of the entire request seems to rest upon the \$75,000 fine that is imminent if they do not immediately begin providing electricity. And so my question would be to Ms. Rome, unless it has already been asked and answered, is is that a reality? Is that something that the Applicant is facing
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today. And so it almost seems to me like we need to get some understanding of where that is at before we can proceed. Commissioner Fiegen, would you be okay if we did a 15-minute recess to allow Mr. Murphy and his people to figure out what's going on? COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Absolutely. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief. The foundation of the entire request seems to rest upon the \$75,000 fine that is imminent if they do not immediately begin providing electricity. And so my question would be to Ms. Rome, unless it has already been asked and answered, is is that a reality? Is that something that the Applicant is facing for certainty if they do not begin generating electricity
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today. And so it almost seems to me like we need to get some understanding of where that is at before we can proceed. Commissioner Fiegen, would you be okay if we did a 15-minute recess to allow Mr. Murphy and his people to figure out what's going on? COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Absolutely. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And who knows how long the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief. The foundation of the entire request seems to rest upon the \$75,000 fine that is imminent if they do not immediately begin providing electricity. And so my question would be to Ms. Rome, unless it has already been asked and answered, is is that a reality? Is that something that the Applicant is facing for certainty if they do not begin generating electricity by the deadline?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today. And so it almost seems to me like we need to get some understanding of where that is at before we can proceed. Commissioner Fiegen, would you be okay if we did a 15-minute recess to allow Mr. Murphy and his people to figure out what's going on? COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Absolutely. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And who knows how long the recess will have to be today. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief. The foundation of the entire request seems to rest upon the \$75,000 fine that is imminent if they do not immediately begin providing electricity. And so my question would be to Ms. Rome, unless it has already been asked and answered, is is that a reality? Is that something that the Applicant is facing for certainty if they do not begin generating electricity by the deadline? MS. ROME: Sorry, Commissioner. This is Amanda
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today. And so it almost seems to me like we need to get some understanding of where that is at before we can proceed. Commissioner Fiegen, would you be okay if we did a 15-minute recess to allow Mr. Murphy and his people to figure out what's going on? COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Absolutely. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And who knows how long the recess will have to be today. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Yeah. So we're going to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief. The foundation of the entire request seems to rest upon the \$75,000 fine that is imminent if they do not immediately begin providing electricity. And so my question would be to Ms. Rome, unless it has already been asked and answered, is is that a reality? Is that something that the Applicant is facing for certainty if they do not begin generating electricity by the deadline? MS. ROME: Sorry, Commissioner. This is Amanda Rome.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner do we have Commissioner Hanson? Okay. We don't have him back. So here's what I'm going to do on the fly, if this is okay with Commissioner Fiegen. It appears to me if the issue that's been raised about this 80 versus 90 meter hub height has is accurate, we've got much bigger questions on our plate than we came in with today. And so it almost seems to me like we need to get some understanding of where that is at before we can proceed. Commissioner Fiegen, would you be okay if we did a 15-minute recess to allow Mr. Murphy and his people to figure out what's going on? COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Absolutely. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And who knows how long the recess will have to be today. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But go ahead with your questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Commissioner Nelson, I sincerely apologize. I pressed the speaker button instead of the mute button and ended up saying good-bye to the meeting. So I haven't heard anything up until the very end of Commissioner Fiegen's statement. And so if I'm being redundant, please stop me. I was I assure you I had articulated an outstanding question prior to cutting myself off. However, I'll try and be brief. The foundation of the entire request seems to rest upon the \$75,000 fine that is imminent if they do not immediately begin providing electricity. And so my question would be to Ms. Rome, unless it has already been asked and answered, is is that a reality? Is that something that the Applicant is facing for certainty if they do not begin generating electricity by the deadline? MS. ROME: Sorry, Commissioner. This is Amanda

	73		75
1	have to look to see what the language is around the	1	And so, Mr. Murphy, we'll let you address that.
2	contract and if there's any other reason why we would	2	MR. MURPHY: Thank you. This is Brian Murphy.
3	not we would not seek those contractual damages from	3	Over the break we did get with our technical
4	other, you know, frustration of purpose or some kind of	4	folks, and, to start with, the numbers that Intervenors
5	other legal reason why we think they aren't subject to	5	are saying are at a 90 are at a 90. And those were
6	them. But, otherwise, it is part of the contract that we	6	actually modeled at 90 for the purposes of this waiver.
7	have, you know, in the past pursued against parties that	7	The series of events that get us to not as many
8	don't meet those deadlines.	8	safe harbors is the deferral from the 300 and having a
9	COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. So your very	9	200 megawatt array and having to have 5 percent safe
10	last few words there were going to be my second question.	10	harbors in that array and then having enough safe harbors
11	So it sounds as if you at least implied, if not stated,	11	if and when we go forward with the 100 array.
12	that you do pursue these fines in events where the	12	So for the purposes of the waiver request,
13	Applicant is not compliant; is that correct?	13	Mr. Haley has confirmed and hopefully he's back on the
14	MS. ROME: That is correct. Commissioner, it's	14	phone, that he did model these with the 90 versus the 80.
15	situational. And so there are sometimes when the facts	15	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. So I heard and
16	we find don't warrant it based on kind of the language of	16	understood everything that you said, but you didn't
17	the contract. But they're absolutely (Inaudible)	17	address probably the key issue, and that is the permit
18	historically we've pursued those if we think the	18	was granted for 80 meter turbines at these particular
19	counterparty is at fault for not hitting those	19	locations; correct?
20	milestones.	20	MR. MURPHY: I have searched, and I'm happy to
21	COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. Thank you very	21	have someone point it out to me. I do not believe it was
22	much.	22	permitted specifically per location. And when I went
23	And, Mr. Chairman, my decision here today	23	through the maps we did not indicate a specific location
24	doesn't weigh entirely by any means on the \$75,000 fine,	24	until we were about to start construction and then soon
25	but I felt that was foundational from the standpoint that	25	thereafter we went to this 100, 200 bifurcation. So I
	74		76
1	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my	1	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were
2	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely.	2	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point.
2 3	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the	2 3	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further
2 3 4	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had	2 3 4	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review,
2 3 4 5	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate	2 3 4 5	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards
2 3 4 5 6	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding	2 3 4 5 6	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction.
2 3 4 5 6 7	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question	2 3 4 5 6 7	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive on so I guess I have to assume that the fine would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. Mnd the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive on so I guess I have to assume that the fine would be would be levied and so I'll go on to the nuances that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain turbines.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that the fine would be would be levied and so I'll go on to the nuances that we're faced today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain turbines. However, in the sound study when you review the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive on so I guess I have to assume that the fine would be would be levied and so I'll go on to the nuances that we're faced today. And that I have at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain turbines. However, in the sound study when you review the tables and the configuration of the turbines in the sound
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive on so I guess I have to assume that the fine would be would be levied and so I'll go on to the nuances that we're faced today. And that's all the questions that I have at this time. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain turbines. However, in the sound study when you review the tables and the configuration of the turbines in the sound study, they have the hub height identified in the table
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive on so I guess I have to assume that the fine would be would be levied and so I'll go on to the nuances that we're faced today. And that's all the questions that I have at this time. Thank you. At this point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain turbines. However, in the sound study when you review the tables and the configuration of the turbines in the sound study, they have the hub height identified in the table for the wind turbines. So that would have factored into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive on so I guess I have to assume that the fine would be would be levied and so I'll go on to the nuances that we're faced today. And the questions that I have at this time. Thank you. ActING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. At this point then we will take a 15-minute recess. Let's come back at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain turbines. However, in the sound study when you review the tables and the configuration of the turbines in the sound study, they have the hub height identified in the table for the wind turbines. So that would have factored into our review of the sound analysis and shadow flicker
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive on so I guess I have to assume that the fine would be would be levied and so I'll go on to the nuances that we're faced today. And that's all the questions that I have at this time. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. At this point then we will take a 15-minute recess. Let's come back at a quarter to 1:00, at 12:45. We are in recess. (A short recess is taken.) ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We will call the meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain turbines. However, in the sound study when you review the tables and the configuration of the turbines in the sound study, they have the hub height identified in the table for the wind turbines. So that would have factored into our review of the sound analysis and shadow flicker analysis where if the hub height changed from what was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive on so I guess I have to assume that the fine would be would be levied and so I'll go on to the nuances that we're faced today. And that's all the questions that I have at this time. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. At this point then we will take a 15-minute recess. Let's come back at a quarter to 1:00, at 12:45. We are in recess. (A short recess is taken.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain turbines. Mowever, in the sound study when you review the tables and the configuration of the turbines in the sound study, they have the hub height identified in the table for the wind turbines. So that would have factored into our review of the sound analysis and shadow flicker analysis where if the hub height changed from what was filed in the original permit, we'd want to make sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if they were not going to be fined at all, it answered my question of whether I was supporting this completely. So now it gets into all the nuances of the discussions and arguments and questions that we've had up to this juncture. So I just very much appreciate Commissioner Fiegen bringing up the foundation regarding the \$75,000 fine was something that it was a question that I asked originally, and I was very interested in that. And the answer at that time was very similar to the answer that we heard today. And so I at this juncture it's still not something that we can be positive on so I guess I have to assume that the fine would be would be levied and so I'll go on to the nuances that we're faced today. And that's all the questions that I have at this time. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. At this point then we will take a 15-minute recess. Let's come back at a quarter to 1:00, at 12:45. We are in recess. (A short recess is taken.) ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We will call the meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't recall having, you know, on the record that we were going to build it at this point or at that point. Now, happy to be corrected and to do further looking at it, but based on the map set I helped review, I don't recall doing that, other than towards construction. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: So, with that, we'll pitch that hand grenade to Staff and see if they can respond to that on the fly. And if not, you know, we'll take whatever time it needs. MR. KEARNEY: Thank you. This is Karen Kearney for Staff, and I do not recall in the Application itself the company identifying the specific heights for certain turbines. However, in the sound study when you review the tables and the configuration of the turbines in the sound study, they have the hub height identified in the table for the wind turbines. So that would have factored into our review of the sound analysis and shadow flicker analysis where if the hub height changed from what was filed in the original permit, we'd want to make sure that the shadow flicker and sound levels are still below the

12-30-19 Transcript of Hearing (EL19-003)

	77		79
1	kind of sort that out. We'll see where we end up going	1	COMMISSIONER HANSON: No. Thank you much.
2	with it, but I appreciate your explanation and I do	2	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen,
3	understand your explanation.	3	questions.
4	So at this point I'm going to ask my two	4	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you, Commissioner
5	colleagues if they've got any further questions before we	5	Nelson.
6	go to motions.	6	So for the Applicant, is it your understanding
7	Commissioner Hanson, any further questions?	7	that in the Application, like Mr. Kearney said, you have
8	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And	8	13 turbines in your Application that are at 80 feet and
9	I very much appreciate Mr. Kearney's answer.	9	the rest possibly at 90 feet?
10	Mr. Kearney, the question for you, you stated	10	Is that my understanding?
11	that it was that the hub height was stated in the	11	MR. MURPHY: This is Brian Murphy. Correct.
12	in a particular portion of the permit, but you didn't	12	That's in Section 6.1 of our Application.
13	specify what that height was. Would you share that with	13	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And you do actually have
14	us?	14	13 at 80 feet currently?
15	MR. KEARNEY: This is Darren Kearney, and you	15	MR. MURPHY: Currently, no. I was trying to
16	kind of cut out there so maybe if I don't correctly	16	explain to Commissioner Nelson at the time of deferring
17	answer your question, please reask it.	17	the 100 megawatts we had to make a split between those
18	But within the Application itself they	18	safe harbors, and at that time we have delegated the
19	identified that 13 turbines will be 80 meters and the	19	number of safe harbors needed to qualify for the PTCs at
20	remainder will be 90 meter hub heights. They didn't	20	5 percent. That's why there's 13 in the Application that
21	specify which turbine numbers those 80 or 90 meters were	21	would ultimately be the case if we build all 300. But
22	associated with in the application itself.	22	currently we're only capable of building 200.
23	However, in an appendix to the Application and	23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And 9 safe harbors.
24	the sound study and shadow flicker modeling, there's a	24	MR. MURPHY: Right. And 9 safe harbors.
25	list of the turbines and the parameters associated with	25	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So if you could clarify,
	78		
	10		80
1	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the	1	80 how many of the turbines that are currently built are at
1 2		1 2	
	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the		how many of the turbines that are currently built are at
2	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with	2	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then?
2 3	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was	2 3	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but
2 3 4	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony	2 3 4	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that.
2 3 4 5	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was	2 3 4 5	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but
2 3 4 5 6	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff after the permit was issued, and I have not been able	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant. MR. GILL: One clarification. David Gill with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 each turbine, and that's where the hub height and thewell, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff after the permit was issued, and I have not been able to have not had the time to go in to verify whether or 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant. MR. GILL: One clarification. David Gill with NextEra.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff after the permit was issued, and I have not been able to have not had the time to go in to verify whether or not those changes were accounted for or if they made a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant. MR. GILL: One clarification. David Gill with NextEra. The way that we have gotten these turbines is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff after the permit was issued, and I have not been able to have not had the time to go in to verify whether or not those changes were accounted for or if they made a change in that preconstruction filing with the updated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant. MR. GILL: One clarification. David Gill with NextEra. The way that we have gotten these turbines is they're actually 2.3 model with all 2.3 capabilities, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff after the permit was issued, and I have not been able to have not had the time to go in to verify whether or not those changes were accounted for or if they made a change in that preconstruction filing with the updated project information in that filing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant. MR. GILL: One clarification. David Gill with NextEra. The way that we have gotten these turbines is they're actually 2.3 model with all 2.3 capabilities, and if you wanted to change it to 2.7, you'd have to change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	each turbine, and that's where the hub height and the well, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff after the permit was issued, and I have not been able to have not had the time to go in to verify whether or not those changes were accounted for or if they made a change in that preconstruction filing with the updated project information in that filing. So it could have been identified in that filing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant. MR. GILL: One clarification. David Gill with NextEra. The way that we have gotten these turbines is they're actually 2.3 model with all 2.3 capabilities, and if you wanted to change it to 2.7, you'd have to change the software to take it up. You had mentioned taking it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 each turbine, and that's where the hub height and thewell, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff after the permit was issued, and I have not been able to have not had the time to go in to verify whether or not those changes were accounted for or if they made a change in that preconstruction filing with the updated project information in that filing. So it could have been identified in that filing by the company. I just haven't had time to go through 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant. MR. GILL: One clarification. David Gill with NextEra. The way that we have gotten these turbines is they're actually 2.3 model with all 2.3 capabilities, and if you wanted to change it to 2.7, you'd have to change the software to take it up. You had mentioned taking it down. So it's a subtle distinction, but they came as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 each turbine, and that's where the hub height and thewell, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff after the permit was issued, and I have not been able to have not had the time to go in to verify whether or not those changes were accounted for or if they made a change in that preconstruction filing with the updated project information in that filing. So it could have been identified in that filing by the company. I just haven't had time to go through and verify yet. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant. MR. GILL: One clarification. David Gill with NextEra. The way that we have gotten these turbines is they're actually 2.3 model with all 2.3 capabilities, and if you wanted to change it to 2.7, you'd have to change the software to take it up. You had mentioned taking it down. So it's a subtle distinction, but they came as 2.3.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 each turbine, and that's where the hub height and thewell, I guess that's where the hub height associated with each turbine is identified through that. So what was reviewed by Staff for our testimony in the permit was during the permit proceedings was the sound studies provided based on those hub heights identified in the sound studies. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kearney. I appreciate that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: We're going to be at ease for just a moment. There may be some additional Staff response. MR. KEARNEY: There's also a preconstruction filing that the Applicant was required to make to Staff after the permit was issued, and I have not been able to have not had the time to go in to verify whether or not those changes were accounted for or if they made a change in that preconstruction filing with the updated project information in that filing. So it could have been identified in that filing by the company. I just haven't had time to go through 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	how many of the turbines that are currently built are at 80 feet then? MR. MURPHY: Nine. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I did hear that. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I heard the number, but didn't write it down properly. And also to the Applicant, I do appreciate your response to the model, the model of the 2.3 and the 2.7. It is my understanding now that the model of the turbine and the machine, everything, is the same thing. The only thing that is different is the software that you can turn it down. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, but is my understanding correct? And that question is to the Applicant. MR. GILL: One clarification. David Gill with NextEra. The way that we have gotten these turbines is they're actually 2.3 model with all 2.3 capabilities, and if you wanted to change it to 2.7, you'd have to change the software to take it up. You had mentioned taking it down. So it's a subtle distinction, but they came as

		81		83
1	And so then I'm going to go back to the Staff	•	1	that you raised was your contention that the Applicant is
2	with my final question.		2	out of compliance with their county permits; correct?
3	It appears that the modeling of the sound would		3	And I guess my question is are there issues
4	be appropriate and they would still comply with our		4	beyond tower height, generator size, noise level, issues
5	permit if we approved the waiver today. So that		5	beyond that where you believe they are out of compliance
6	question because the industry standard although we		6	with the county permits?
7	can differ right now, the industry standard of the sound		7	MS. CHRISTENSON: Amber Christenson. The way
8	studies is similar to what we've always done in the		8	you list them, no. Not off the top of my head. Not the
9	past.		9	way you listed. But there are nuances in there, yes.
10	So my question to the Staff, the Public		10	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.
11	Utilities Commission Staff, is the waiver would be		11	And the reason I said that is I think we're
12	appropriate, and we would adhere to all permit conditions		12	going to deal with those. And so I wanted to know
13	that the PUC have voted on; is that correct?		13	whether if we deal with that boundary, if there were
14	MR. KEARNEY: This is Darren Kearney for Staff.		14	other things that we needed to be looking at.
15	And I will note that during the recess we were able to		15	But, given your answer, I think if we deal with
16	get ahold of David Hessler, our noise expert, and he's		16	those questions, then maybe we've got it surrounded.
17	offered up that the company's responses make sense to		17	Does that make sense?
18	him.		18	MS. CHRISTENSON: Amber Christenson. Yeah.
19	We explained to him what the company had		19	There was only one more issue that we had in
20	communicated to us. He said he wouldn't expect a large		20	front of the counties where the permits in our Findings
21	variation in sound power level between the 2.3 to the		21	of Fact at the county say they could not proceed our
22	2.72 machine and that there was no real cause for alarm,		22	conditional use permits would not become effective until
23	that he considered, as far as that response.		23	all permits were granted by the state and federal
24	And for the ground attenuation factor of being		24	agencies.
25	.5, his response was that he models his models run a		25	At the county levels in both Grant and Codington
1	.5 year-round because there's an inherent	82	1	84
2	conservativeness built into that .5 ground attenuation		2	County they combined their Application they have Crowned Ridge II and Crowned Ridge I together as one CUP.
3	factor. And he would not recommend modeling a 0.0		3	Our contention is they don't have a state permit for
4	because from his experience he has noticed that sound		4	Crowned Ridge II so it really is not effective at the
5	or that snow might actually have some absorptive		5	county level. The permits aren't effective.
6	ability to absorb the sound being emitted from the		6	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: I hear your argument.
7	turbines.		7	Mr. Murphy, would you like to address that?
8	And so after discussing with our noise expert,		8	MR. MURPHY: Yeah. I'll defer to Miles
9	our Staff's concern about the sound power levels were		9	Schumacher who's been handling our county appeal. This
10	alleviated, and we think that the waiver request would		10	is actually an issue that's been appealed.
11	comply with the permit limit based on the modeling that		11	Miles.
12	was provided to us as part of that waiver request. And		12	MR. SCHUMACHER: Thank you. Thank you. Miles
13	that's kind of a long answer to your question, but		13	Schumacher for Applicant.
14	hopefully		14	I attended hearings before the Board of
15	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you.		15	Adjustment both in Grant and Codington Counties where
16	MR. KEARNEY: I didn't ramble on too long.		16	those arguments were raised. At both Grant and Codington
17	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Perfect. Thank you,		17	Board of Adjustment meetings it was specifically found
18	Mr. Kearney. I certainly appreciate you guys doing your		18	that the Applicant was currently in full compliance in
19	work, and I hope the Applicant works harder to		19	the matter before them, which was an appeal of a denial
20 21	communicate with our Staff so we don't get to this point in the future.		20 21	of a stop order by the zoning office from each county.
21	In the future. Thank you.		21 22	The denial was upheld by both counties. So those matters have been heard and resolved at the county
23	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. I'm going	to	23	level in favor of the Applicant.
24	ask maybe just one more question for Ms. Christenson.		24	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you, Mr. Schumacher.
25	One issue that we really haven't talked about		25	So is that issue being litigated currently?
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<u> </u>	

	85		87
1	MR. SCHUMACHER: No. The process is unless	1	waiver period, which will largely be wintertime, should
2	there's been some appeal of the finding of the Board of	2	be different than an average attenuation over the entire
3	Adjustment, no. Any current matters that I'm aware of	3	year, that makes sense to me.
4	have been heard and determined by the Board of	4	Now I understand Mr. Hessler may disagree. But
5	Adjustment, which is where the appeal of the denial of	5	even Mr. Haley said, you know, maybe .3 is the right
6	the stop order by the zoning officer is heard.	6	place to be. And so I would like to see the sound study
7	And as far as an appeal of the determination by	7	redone for the purposes of this waiver using .3.
8	the Board of Adjustment to Circuit Court, I'm not aware	8	And then I guess the issue of county compliance.
9	of any.	9	Hopefully we've got all of those issues kind of
10	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Thank you. One	10	encapsulated in the things that I would like to see
11	last opportunity for either of my colleagues if you've	11	answered in this deferral period.
12	got questions.	12	Additional discussion on the motion.
13	If not, we will go to motions. And I'm going	13	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair, this is
14	to as I sometimes do, I'm going to throw a motion out,	14	Commissioner Hanson.
15	and we'll see where it goes.	15	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Go ahead.
16	I'm going to move that we postpone this issue	16	COMMISSIONER HANSON: I appreciate the motion.
17	until January 7 or at whatever point in time after that	17	I appreciate the January 7 date. If it takes longer than
18	the issues that I will enunciate have been resolved.	18	that, then it takes longer than that.
19	Discussion on the motion.	19	The fact is that bringing something to the
20	Well, obviously, I think most of the folks	20	Commission last minute was I believe preventable. It
21	involved would have liked to have this resolved today,	21	appears that having received the blades beginning in July
22	other than the Intervenors. I will readily grant that.	22	they could have anticipated and they certainly if they
23	But there's been a lot put on the table today that we	23	were planning on putting the leading edge installing
24	need to chew through and that I need answers for.	24	them, then they certainly could have begun that at an
25	First, this issue of and I asked Staff	25	earlier time period. And if they're hard pressed, they
1	86 specifically the question of the turbine model and the	1	88
2	way that it is being utilized and the software settings	2	can they could find other means by which to do it. There are very large buildings that manufacture
3	and everything that has been represented to us today.	3	these, and they could move into those buildings and place
4	Does that, in fact, comply with the permit that was	4	them on at that time or fix them to them.
5	granted?	5	But the questions that are brought up and the
6	Staff indicated that they couldn't answer that	6	concerns that were brought up by the Applicants and the
7	question today. I respect that restraint in answering	7	follow-up questions really just created a great deal of
8	that because it's a big question. And we need to have	8	concern to me. As we have gone through this process it
9	the answer right. And so I respect that, but I need to	9	just seems to get murkier and murkier.
10	have that answered before I can deal with this waiver	10	And Commissioner Fiegen had stated and I
11	question.	11	don't know if I had stated it earlier or not, but I had
12	Secondly, the issue of the 80 versus 90 meter	12	written it down that this is a trust me request. And
13	hub heights. I understand perfectly Mr. Murphy's	13	Commissioner Fiegen talked about a matter of trust. And
14	rationale. I get why they did that. I appreciate the	14	it is. And with something like this it just becomes more
15	fact that in the sound modeling that they did for the	15	and more uncomfortable as we go through the process.
16	waiver requests that they had the appropriate heights	16	I just think that I won't say it's the
17	modeled.	17	construction up to this point has been poorly managed
18	But I also need some assurance from Staff that	18	because certainly it's a very, very complex process, but
19	those changes, in fact, comply with the permit that was	19	you can't have any slips (Inaudible) while you're doing
20	issued. And I don't know that we can answer that	20	of something of this magnitude. And there has to be
21	question affirmatively today, but that is an important	21	better communication that when a business recognizes that
		22	there's a problem with communication that any questions
22	question.		
23	The sound study. I heard and I think and,	23	are answered. And they need to make certain they have a

	89		91
1	The nameplate well, the compliance with the	1	turn everything up and have problems. That makes sense
2	permit is foundational. If they're not in compliance,	2	to me.
3	then there's a much larger challenge to be faced here.	3	But I guess maybe my question for Staff is is it
4	And nameplate and sound and flicker, these are not	4	anticipated that they can, in fact, do this kind of
5	these are not new to this process right now.	5	testing prior to commercial operation or prior to being
6	So at the very least this needs to be deferred	6	in full compliance with the permit? Is that legally
7	so we can get those answered. Otherwise, you know, my	7	permitted?
8	only other position on this would be to deny the waiver.	8	MS. EDWARDS: That's generally considered part
9	So that is the very least that I think needs to be done	9	of the construction phase, and so it is our understanding
10	at this juncture.	10	that it would be permissible.
11	Thank you, Mr. Chair.	11	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And I think
12	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Additional discussion.	12	from a practical standpoint that makes sense to me.
13	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you for the motion,	13	Mr. Murphy, if I'm not mistaken, you indicated
14	Commissioner Nelson.	14	that your output during testing is limited to 45 or 50
15	As you know, when a Commissioner wants a	15	megawatts. Is that correct?
16	deferral, I try to support that.	16	MR. MURPHY: Yes. That's correct.
17	The Applicant answered some of my questions	17	ACTING CHAIR NELSON: And that we would not
18	today, and certainly the model gave me a lot more	18	anticipate anything greater than that until the permit
19	assurance of the models that they're using, how GE	19	has been fully validated and we're ready to move forward;
20	develops a turbine and how one turbine can be at	20	correct?
21	different nameplate capacity. And I appreciate that	21	MR. MURPHY: That's my understanding. I'll want
22	explanation.	22	to confirm it with Josh Tran who is actually on the boots
23	I wish I would have had the Intervenors'	23	on the ground in the commissioning.
24	information even early this morning at 8 o'clock. It's	24	Josh, is that your understanding?
25	hard to see stuff on the fly while people are talking and	25	MR. TRAN: This is Josh Tran. That's correct.
	90		00
			92
1	try to open documents and study them.	1	We will remain limited.
2	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I	2	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously
2 3	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning	2 3	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are
2 3 4	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so	2 3 4	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in
2 3 4 5	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be	2 3 4 5	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating.
2 3 4 5 6	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered.	2 3 4 5 6	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the
2 3 4 5 6 7	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Nelson votes aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study would not be relevant since they changed the meter hub
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion carries.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study would not be relevant since they changed the meter hub height and some people are going to be greatly affected
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion carries. Now I want to bring up maybe the elephant in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study would not be relevant since they changed the meter hub height and some people are going to be greatly affected by that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion carries. Now I want to bring up maybe the elephant in the room issue. Obviously the permit is not valid until we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study would not be relevant since they changed the meter hub height and some people are going to be greatly affected by that. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion carries. Now I want to bring up maybe the elephant in the room issue. Obviously the permit is not valid until we get these issues resolved. But we also understand that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study would not be relevant since they changed the meter hub height and some people are going to be greatly affected by that. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: That is a great comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion carries. Now I want to bring up maybe the elephant in the room issue. Obviously the permit is not valid until we get these issues resolved. But we also understand that you're going through this testing phase where you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study would not be relevant since they changed the meter hub height and some people are going to be greatly affected by that. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: That is a great comment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion carries. Now I want to bring up maybe the elephant in the room issue. Obviously the permit is not valid until we get these issues resolved. But we also understand that you're going through this testing phase where you're operating some turbines and making sure that everything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study would not be relevant since they changed the meter hub height and some people are going to be greatly affected by that. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: That is a great comment. I would concur with that. And so include that. You get the picture.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion carries. Now I want to bring up maybe the elephant in the room issue. Obviously the permit is not valid until we get these issues resolved. But we also understand that you're going through this testing phase where you're operating some turbines and making sure that everything is proper.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study would not be relevant since they changed the meter hub height and some people are going to be greatly affected by that. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: That is a great comment. I would concur with that. And so include that. You get the picture. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	try to open documents and study them. So although I know we had a tight time frame, I wish I would have seen that. Even early this morning would have helped. But I will support the deferral so all the questions that Commissioner Nelson has can be answered. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Any additional discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye; those opposed, nay. Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen votes aye. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Nelson votes aye. Motion carries. Now I want to bring up maybe the elephant in the room issue. Obviously the permit is not valid until we get these issues resolved. But we also understand that you're going through this testing phase where you're operating some turbines and making sure that everything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	We will remain limited. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. And obviously I think you've got the message today that surprises are not a good thing, and so let's not have a surprise in this area that we're not anticipating. Fellow Commissioners, anything to add for the good of the order? Yes. Ms and actually. So we're at the point of public comment, and so whether or not you can be our comment. Go ahead. Just introduce yourself. MS. CHRISTENSON: I apologize. Amber Christenson. I would like the Commission to perhaps authorize or ask for a flicker study because the flicker study would not be relevant since they changed the meter hub height and some people are going to be greatly affected by that. Thank you. ACTING CHAIR NELSON: That is a great comment. I would concur with that. And so include that. You get the picture.

	93
1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 30th day of
11	December, 2019, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 18th day of
14	January, 2020.
15	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
16	
17	
	/s/ Cheri McComsey Wittler
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
	Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
-	Certified Realtime Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	r			
¢	21:16, 22:11, 22:17,	21.9 [2] - 30:17, 30:20	91:14	A3 [1] - 54:17
\$	22:21, 22:23, 31:23,	213 [1] - 1:24	500 [1] - 2:3	abide [1] - 16:21
\$75,000 [5] - 44:13,	35:3, 35:6, 47:18,	23 [2] - 30:10, 30:16	500 [1] = 2:0 52 [1] - 4:2	ability [4] - 21:2,
69:5, 72:15, 73:24,	48:1, 48:3, 48:9,	26 [3] - 3:18, 4:20,	56 [3] - 4:2 56 [3] - 8:3, 30:25,	64:25, 65:4, 82:6
74:7	48:16, 48:22, 49:14,	26 [3] - 3:18, 4:20, 18:15	30 [3] - 0.3, 30.25, 32:9	able [6] - 3:24, 10:9,
	49:21, 58:12, 58:17,		52.3	
1	58:25, 59:6, 59:10,	29 [2] - 3:23, 8:1	<u>^</u>	18:13, 26:21, 78:16,
Ι		2:00 [1] - 14:3	6	81:15
/s [1] - 93:17	59:24, 60:14, 60:22,	2X [7] - 21:1, 35:2,	6 [2] - 25:3, 30:25	above -entitled [2] -
	61:5, 62:10, 62:16,	35:4, 58:1, 58:6,	6.1 [1] - 79:12	2:2, 93:10
0	62:20, 62:25, 64:6,	60:16,65:14		above -mentioned [1]
U	64:18, 64:19, 65:5,		67 [3] - 50:4, 50:12,	- 4:17
0 [1] - 57:3	65:8, 65:10, 65:14,	3	67:13	absolutely [4] - 51:12,
0.0 [2] - 42:1, 82:3	65:16, 65:19, 80:8,		68 [3] - 50:5, 50:12,	70:19, 71:19, 73:17
0.3 [3] - 42:10, 43:3,	80:19, 80:23, 81:21	3 [5] - 42:3, 56:24,	67:13	absorb [1] - 82:6
43:9	2.3-116 [2] - 48:14,	57:2, 87:5, 87:7	6:56 [1] - 8:2	absorptive [1] - 82:5
0.5 [3] - 41:18, 43:3,	49:17	30 [4] - 1:7, 3:1, 31:1,		AC [1] - 63:18
43 :9	2.3-megawatt [1] -	47:3	7	access [2] - 40:25,
ч	26:19	300 [6] - 28:14, 41:5,		55:8
4	2.3s [7] - 6:1, 6:18,	61:17,66:16,75:8,	7 [3] - 67:7, 85:17,	according [2] - 48:24,
1	10:19, 14:25, 15:1,	79:21	87:17	52:10
1 [6] - 4:7, 54:16,	26:24, 27:3	300 - megawatt [1] -		account [1] - 7:23
55:18, 55:22, 56:3,	2.4 [1] - 11:25	28:16	8	
55.18, 55.22, 50.3, 56:7	2.5 [4] - 58:18, 59:25,	30 th [2] - 2:4, 93:10	0	accountable [1] -
	2.3 [4] - 58.16, 59.25, 60:6, 60:15	31 [1] - 44:12	8 [1] - 89:24	10:15
1.16 [1] - 48:15	2.7 [42] - 5:19, 5:23,	36 [1] - 29:9	80 [26] - 6:8, 6:16,	accounted [2] - 15:18,
1.5 [1] - 15:15		36 [1] - 29.9 37 [1] - 3:23	6:18, 6:23, 16:10,	78:18
1.7 [2] - 10:20, 11:13	6:5, 8:18, 8:19, 10:8,		38:6, 38:10, 38:17,	accounts [1] - 66:12
1.7s [1] - 6:16	11:13, 17:9, 19:19,	38 [3] - 50:2, 50:6,	51:16, 51:19, 51:23,	accumulated [1] -
10 [6] - 4:12, 5:20,	20:3, 20:23, 21:14,	50:14	52:2, 52:8, 53:6,	66:13
8:20, 20:12, 38:19	22:17, 38:21, 38:25,	38.6 [1] - 7:19	70:11, 71:22, 72:3,	accurate [4] - 16:3,
100 [5] - 50:18, 67:16,	39:22, 47:18, 48:19,	39 [1] - 32:8	75:14, 75:18, 77:19,	51:25, 61:7, 70:12
75:11, 75:25, 79:17	48:22, 58:12, 58:18,		77:21,79:8,79:14,	accurately [1] - 61:5
10:30 [3] - 1:8, 2:5, 3:2	58:22, 59:8, 59:25,	4	80:2, 86:12	accustomed [1] - 45:8
116 [1] - 48:17	60:6, 60:15, 60:23,	10	87 [4] - 21:8, 22:21,	ACTING [96] - 1:10,
12-9 [1] - 13:10	62:10, 63:1, 64:1,	40 [4] - 13:3, 42:10,	26:19, 53:23	3:1, 5:4, 9:20, 13:21,
12:45 [1] - 74:20	64:5,64:11,64:17,	42:18, 42:19	89 [1] - 16:9	18:5, 18:20, 19:6,
12:52 [1] - 92:24	64:18,64:22,64:25,	40-year [1] - 13:4		20:6, 20:20, 21:4,
13 [7] - 16:5, 56:2,	65:5,65:8,65:14,	40.6 [1] - 30:13	9	21:11, 22:12, 23:10,
61:16, 77:19, 79:8,	80:8, 80:20	413 [1] - 3:2	J	26:2, 26:7, 26:12,
79:14, 79:20	2.72 [3] - 49:10, 49:21,	42 [5] - 29:10, 50:3,	9 [11] - 3:24, 4:4, 4:18,	
	81:22	50:14, 50:20	24:5, 24:6, 24:9,	27:6, 27:13, 27:17,
130 [1] - 9:8	2.7s [3] - 11:5, 14:23,	42.1 [1] - 56:17	24:17, 61:17, 61:18,	28:1, 28:25, 31:15,
14 [2] - 4:1, 7:3	14:24	42.2 [2] - 30:9, 54:15	79:23, 79:24	31:24, 37:10, 39:4,
15 [7] - 20:12, 24:4,	20 [3] - 13:5, 24:9,	42.5 [2] - 7:16, 8:2	90 [23] - 6:9, 16:9,	39:16, 40:9, 40:16,
25:11, 70:24, 71:15,	51:19	43.7 [5] - 8:6, 29:11,	16:10, 38:7, 38:10,	40:19, 44:4, 51:12,
71:16, 71:21	200 [9] - 28:14, 28:17,	43:9, 54:13, 56:8	38:18, 51:17, 51:19,	51:25, 52:5, 52:12,
15-minute [4] - 54:1,	41:5, 60:9, 61:17,	44 [1] - 3:23	51:23, 52:3, 52:7,	52:24, 53:5, 53:10,
70:17, 72:1, 74:19	66:16, 75:9, 75:25,	44 [1] - 3:23 44.2 [1] - 7:14		53:16, 54:2, 54:19,
18th [1] - 93:13			53:6, 70:12, 71:22, 72:3, 75:5, 75:6	54:24, 55:4, 55:15,
19 [1] - 58:20	79:22	44.6 [1] - 15:24	72:3, 75:5, 75:6,	55:25, 56:13, 56:18,
1:00 [1] - 74:20	200 - megawatt [2] -	45 [2] - 23:19, 91:14	75:14, 77:20, 77:21,	57:6,57:15,57:22,
	21:7, 28:19	48 [1] - 3:23	79:9, 86:12	58:4, 62:8, 62:21,
2	2015 [7] - 9:10, 9:12,	485 [2] - 6:21, 38:15	91 [1] - 16:9	63:7,63:14,63:22,
-	32:18, 32:20, 33:7,	49-41 B-4 [1] - 14:13	95 [1] - 3:23	64:8,64:20,65:6,
2 [10] - 3:18, 4:1, 14:7,	33:11, 61:12		97 [1] - 16:9	65:12, 65:19, 66:2,
16:25, 57:13, 57:18,	2019 [5] - 1:7, 2:4,	5		67:9,67:19,68:19,
57:25,58:16,60:16,	3:18, 46:4, 93:11		A	69:9, 70:1, 70:3,
61:8	2020 [3] - 4:8, 13:8,	5 [9] - 15:12, 25:2,		70:6, 70:20, 70:23,
2.3 [56] - 5:18, 6:6,	93:14	56:21, 57:9, 75:9,	a.m [3] - 1:8, 2:5, 3:2	71:2, 71:7, 71:19,
10:18, 19:19, 20:3,	21 [1] - 3:23	79:20, 81:25, 82:1,	A2 [1] - 54:17	74:18, 74:22, 75:15,
20:15, 20:17, 20:24,	21.6 [1] - 30:16	82:2	A22 [1] - 16:8	76:7, 76:24, 78:11,
	21.8 [1] - 30:16	50 [3] - 23:19, 56:10,	A23 [1] - 16:8	
21:2, 21:3, 21:8,				78:24, 79:2, 82:23,

83:10, 84:6, 84:24,	almost [1] - 70:14	oppondix (4) 77.02	orrow (a) 20.22	60:14, 60:21, 61:7,
85:10, 87:15, 89:12,		appendix [1] - 77:23	array [6] - 29:22,	61:24, 65:7, 66:24,
	Alternate [1] - 67:13	apples [2] - 28:12	29:23, 43:18, 75:9,	
90:8, 90:13, 90:16,	alternates [2] - 50:5,	apples -to-apples [1] -	75:10, 75:11	68:3, 73:16, 76:4,
91:11, 91:17, 92:2,	50:7	28:12	articulated [1] - 72:11	78:6, 82:11
92:20	Amanda [4] - 67:4,	applicant [3] - 72:19,	aspects [1] - 58:15	basis [2] - 61:23,
Adam [1] - 1:13	67:25, 69:20, 72:22	73:13, 78:15	assignment [1] - 71:9	61:24
add [6] - 23:11, 26:21,	amazing [2] - 14:11,	Applicant [26] - 3:15,	associated [3] -	bathroom [1] - 39:12
39:5, 39:7, 65:2,	17:15	33:14, 34:23, 37:6,	77:22, 77:25, 78:2	Baucom [1] - 1:17
92:6	Amber [13] - 1:21,	38:4, 41:12, 44:9,	assume [6] - 22:17,	become [2] - 59:25,
added [1] - 7:16	5:10, 12:2, 16:17,	44:11,44:17,44:20,	23:5, 31:12, 32:18,	83:22
addition [1] - 60:7	17:8, 31:7, 37:23,	44:24, 45:1, 47:12,	36:21, 74:13	becomes [1] - 88:14
additional [9] - 23:2,	41:3, 50:1, 52:9,	47:22, 51:6, 52:19,	assumption [1] -	BEFORE [1] - 1:9
23:12, 54:6, 68:21,	83:7, 83:18, 92:12	52:20, 79:6, 80:7,	32:19	begin [2] - 72:16,
70:4, 78:12, 87:12,	amount [2] - 15:17,	80:15, 82:19, 83:1,	assumptions [5] -	72:20
89:12,90:8	45:14	84:13,84:18,84:23,	15:5, 19:24, 26:6,	beginning [5] - 14:20,
address [7] - 19:23,	Analysis [1] - 32:5	89:17	28:5, 28:8	33:1, 35:25, 80:13,
27:9, 28:6, 28:23,	analysis [2] - 76:19,	Applicant 's [2] - 3:10,	assurance [2] - 86:18,	87:21
75:1, 75:17, 84:7	76:20	18:18	89:19	begun [1] - 87:24
adhere [1] - 81:12	AND [1] - 1:5	Applicants [1] - 88:6	assure [1] - 72:11	behalf [2] - 1:20, 1:23
Adjustment [7] -	annual [1] - 61:23	APPLICATION [1] -	attach [2] - 9:12, 9:14	below [1] - 76:22
13:10, 13:12, 38:11,	answer [28] - 4:23,	1:4	attached [3] - 15:24,	benefit [3] - 66:8,
84:17, 85:3, 85:5,	27:14, 27:16, 27:20,	application [4] -	33:18, 93:11	66:18, 69:12
85:8	32:20, 32:23, 33:8,	76:12, 77:18, 77:22,	attachment [2] - 3:20,	best [1] - 45:16
adjustment [1] - 84:15	34:13, 34:16, 38:5,	77:23	54:16	better [4] - 34:4,
advised [1] - 67:21	40:13, 43:1, 43:10,	Application [24] - 3:6,	Attachment [4] -	34:10, 34:24, 88:21
advisor [1] - 22:15	43:12, 54:5, 64:2,	6:19, 6:21, 17:22,	55:18, 55:22, 56:3,	between [12] - 9:15,
affected [1] - 92:17	65:23, 66:2, 69:3,	28:15, 29:6, 32:14,	56:7	19:22, 34:21, 36:20,
Affidavit [4] - 15:3,	74:10, 74:11, 77:9,	37:1, 37:2, 38:14,	attachments [7] -	40:23, 50:14, 53:18,
55:2, 55:20	77:17,82:13,83:15,	38:23, 38:24, 39:1,	3:25, 4:9, 9:13, 14:7,	57:3, 61:21, 79:17,
affidavits [3] - 4:11,	86:6, 86:9, 86:20	51:18, 51:19, 58:1,	14:8, 16:6, 56:5	81:21
4:15, 5:25	answered [12] - 35:13,	58:15, 58:19, 58:23,		
		00.10, 00.10, 00.20,		Devona 2 - 03.4.
	36:1, 37:11, 50:25,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12,	attendance [1] - 4:25 attended [1] - 84:14	beyond [2] - 83:4, 83:5
affirmatively [1] - 86:21			attended [1] - 84:14	83:5
affirmatively [1] - 86:21	36:1, 37:11, 50:25,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] -	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20,	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18,	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17,	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2,	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16,	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] -	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7,	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23,	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25,	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciate [1] - 7:22	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10,	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold [1] - 81:16	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] -	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9,	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold [1] - 81:16 Al [1] - 39:6	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold [1] - 81:16 Al [1] - 39:6 Alan [1] - 16:18	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12,	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3 Blatner [1] - 12:13
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold [1] - 81:16 AI [1] - 39:6 Alan [1] - 16:18 alarm [1] - 81:22	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3 Blatner [1] - 12:13 blowing [1] - 10:23
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold [1] - 81:16 Al [1] - 39:6 Alan [1] - 16:18 alarm [1] - 81:22 allegation [2] - 28:7,	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1, 72:6, 92:12	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2, 81:4, 81:12, 86:16	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12, 90:15, 90:16	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3 Blatner [1] - 12:13 blowing [1] - 10:23 bluntly [1] - 69:7
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold [1] - 81:16 Al [1] - 39:6 Alan [1] - 16:18 alarm [1] - 81:22 allegation [2] - 28:7, 33:5	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1, 72:6, 92:12 appeal [5] - 84:9,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2, 81:4, 81:12, 86:16 approval [1] - 23:3	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12,	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3 Blatner [1] - 12:13 blowing [1] - 10:23 bluntly [1] - 69:7 Board [7] - 13:10,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold [1] - 81:16 Al [1] - 39:6 Alan [1] - 16:18 alarm [1] - 81:22 allegations [6] - 19:8,	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1, 72:6, 92:12	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2, 81:4, 81:12, 86:16 approval [1] - 23:3 approved [4] - 8:19,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12, 90:15, 90:16	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3 Blatner [1] - 12:13 blowing [1] - 10:23 bluntly [1] - 69:7 Board [7] - 13:10, 13:12, 38:11, 84:17,
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold [1] - 81:16 Al [1] - 39:6 Alan [1] - 16:18 alarm [1] - 81:22 allegation [2] - 28:7, 33:5 allegations [6] - 19:8, 19:15, 27:21, 34:17,	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1, 72:6, 92:12 appeal [5] - 84:9, 84:19, 85:2, 85:5, 85:7	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2, 81:4, 81:12, 86:16 approval [1] - 23:3 approved [4] - 8:19, 14:24, 15:1, 81:5	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12, 90:15, 90:16 B	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3 Blatner [1] - 12:13 blowing [1] - 10:23 bluntly [1] - 69:7 Board [7] - 13:10, 13:12, 38:11, 84:17, 85:2, 85:4, 85:8
affirmatively [1] - 86:21 afternoon [1] - 47:9 agencies [4] - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago [1] - 69:7 agreed [1] - 72:1 Agreement [1] - 60:12 agreement [2] - 22:16, 22:20 agreements [2] - 23:23, 60:11 ahead [8] - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold [1] - 81:16 AI [1] - 39:6 Alan [1] - 16:18 alarm [1] - 81:22 allegation [2] - 28:7, 33:5 allegations [6] - 19:8, 19:15, 27:21, 34:17, 37:19, 53:4	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1, 72:6, 92:12 appeal [5] - 84:9, 84:19, 85:2, 85:5, 85:7 appealed [1] - 84:10	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2, 81:4, 81:12, 86:16 approval [1] - 23:3 approved [4] - 8:19, 14:24, 15:1, 81:5 April [1] - 4:7	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12, 90:15, 90:16 B backup [1] - 22:4	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3 Blatner [1] - 12:13 blowing [1] - 10:23 bluntly [1] - 69:7 Board [7] - 13:10, 13:12, 38:11, 84:17, 85:2, 85:4, 85:8 board [5] - 13:12,
affirmatively $[1]$ - 86:21 afternoon $[1]$ - 47:9 agencies $[4]$ - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago $[1]$ - 69:7 agreed $[1]$ - 72:1 Agreement $[1]$ - 60:12 agreement $[2]$ - 22:16, 22:20 agreements $[2]$ - 23:23, 60:11 ahead $[8]$ - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold $[1]$ - 81:16 Al $[1]$ - 39:6 Alan $[1]$ - 16:18 alarm $[1]$ - 81:22 allegation $[2]$ - 28:7, 33:5 allegations $[6]$ - 19:8, 19:15, 27:21, 34:17, 37:19, 53:4 alleged $[1]$ - 52:6	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1, 72:6, 92:12 appeal [5] - 84:9, 84:19, 85:2, 85:5, 85:7 appealed [1] - 84:10 appear [1] - 66:6	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2, 81:4, 81:12, 86:16 approval [1] - 23:3 approved [4] - 8:19, 14:24, 15:1, 81:5 April [1] - 4:7 area [5] - 17:17, 34:22,	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12, 90:15, 90:16 B backup [1] - 22:4 balance [1] - 41:22	83:5 bifurcation $[1] - 75:25$ big $[1] - 86:8$ bigger $[1] - 70:13$ bit $[6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10$ blades $[29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21$ blaming $[2] - 6:3$ Blatner $[1] - 12:13$ blowing $[1] - 10:23$ bluntly $[1] - 69:7$ Board $[7] - 13:10, 13:12, 38:11, 84:17, 85:2, 85:4, 85:8$ board $[5] - 13:12, 31:10, 38:13, 84:14$
affirmatively $[1]$ - 86:21 afternoon $[1]$ - 47:9 agencies $[4]$ - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago $[1]$ - 69:7 agreed $[1]$ - 72:1 Agreement $[1]$ - 60:12 agreement $[2]$ - 22:16, 22:20 agreements $[2]$ - 23:23, 60:11 ahead $[8]$ - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold $[1]$ - 81:16 Al $[1]$ - 39:6 Alan $[1]$ - 16:18 alarm $[1]$ - 81:22 allegation $[2]$ - 28:7, 33:5 allegations $[6]$ - 19:8, 19:15, 27:21, 34:17, 37:19, 53:4 alleged $[1]$ - 52:6 alleviated $[1]$ - 82:10	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1, 72:6, 92:12 appeal [5] - 84:9, 84:19, 85:2, 85:5, 85:7 appealed [1] - 84:10 appear [1] - 66:6 appearing [3] - 1:20,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2, 81:4, 81:12, 86:16 approval [1] - 23:3 approved [4] - 8:19, 14:24, 15:1, 81:5 April [1] - 4:7 area [5] - 17:17, 34:22, 35:12, 35:21, 92:5	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12, 90:15, 90:16 B backup [1] - 22:4 balance [1] - 41:22 bare [1] - 15:20	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3 Blatner [1] - 12:13 blowing [1] - 10:23 bluntly [1] - 69:7 Board [7] - 13:10, 13:12, 38:11, 84:17, 85:2, 85:4, 85:8 board [5] - 13:12, 31:10, 38:13, 84:14 Bob [1] - 11:17
affirmatively $[1]$ - 86:21 afternoon $[1]$ - 47:9 agencies $[4]$ - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago $[1]$ - 69:7 agreed $[1]$ - 72:1 Agreement $[1]$ - 60:12 agreement $[2]$ - 22:16, 22:20 agreements $[2]$ - 23:23, 60:11 ahead $[8]$ - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold $[1]$ - 81:16 Al $[1]$ - 39:6 Alan $[1]$ - 16:18 alarm $[1]$ - 81:22 allegation $[2]$ - 28:7, 33:5 allegations $[6]$ - 19:8, 19:15, 27:21, 34:17, 37:19, 53:4 alleged $[1]$ - 52:6 alleviated $[1]$ - 82:10 allotted $[1]$ - 31:2	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipated [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1, 72:6, 92:12 appeal [5] - 84:9, 84:19, 85:2, 85:5, 85:7 appealed [1] - 84:10 appear [1] - 66:6 appearing [3] - 1:20, 1:21, 1:23	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2, 81:4, 81:12, 86:16 approval [1] - 23:3 approved [4] - 8:19, 14:24, 15:1, 81:5 April [1] - 4:7 area [5] - 17:17, 34:22, 35:12, 35:21, 92:5 areas [1] - 9:16 argument [1] - 84:6	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12, 90:15, 90:16 B backup [1] - 22:4 balance [1] - 41:22 bare [1] - 15:20 base [1] - 63:17	83:5 bifurcation [1] - 75:25 big [1] - 86:8 bigger [1] - 70:13 bit [6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10 blades [29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21 blaming [2] - 6:3 Blatner [1] - 12:13 blowing [1] - 10:23 bluntly [1] - 69:7 Board [7] - 13:10, 13:12, 38:11, 84:17, 85:2, 85:4, 85:8 board [5] - 13:12, 31:10, 38:13, 84:14 Bob [1] - 11:17 body [3] - 39:2, 39:19,
affirmatively $[1]$ - 86:21 afternoon $[1]$ - 47:9 agencies $[4]$ - 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 83:24 ago $[1]$ - 69:7 agreed $[1]$ - 72:1 Agreement $[1]$ - 60:12 agreement $[2]$ - 22:16, 22:20 agreements $[2]$ - 23:23, 60:11 ahead $[8]$ - 5:7, 19:7, 19:11, 21:11, 54:25, 72:4, 87:15, 92:11 ahold $[1]$ - 81:16 Al $[1]$ - 39:6 Alan $[1]$ - 16:18 alarm $[1]$ - 81:22 allegation $[2]$ - 28:7, 33:5 allegations $[6]$ - 19:8, 19:15, 27:21, 34:17, 37:19, 53:4 alleged $[1]$ - 52:6 alleviated $[1]$ - 82:10	36:1, 37:11, 50:25, 72:18, 74:1, 86:10, 87:11, 88:23, 89:7, 89:17, 90:6 answering [5] - 24:21, 36:12, 38:13, 71:12, 86:7 answers [5] - 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 71:21, 85:24 anticipate [1] - 91:18 anticipated [2] - 87:22, 91:4 anticipating [1] - 92:5 anticipatory [1] - 46:13 anyway [1] - 48:5 apologize [6] - 20:22, 27:2, 45:5, 68:1, 72:6, 92:12 appeal [5] - 84:9, 84:19, 85:2, 85:5, 85:7 appealed [1] - 84:10 appear [1] - 66:6 appearing [3] - 1:20,	79:7, 79:8, 79:12, 79:20, 84:1 applied [2] - 32:13, 33:23 appointed [2] - 35:14, 93:8 appreciate [15] - 7:20, 31:16, 51:11, 56:18, 74:5, 77:2, 77:9, 78:9, 80:7, 80:25, 82:18, 86:14, 87:16, 87:17, 89:21 Appreciate [1] - 41:11 appreciated [1] - 7:22 approaching [1] - 37:16 appropriate [7] - 28:5, 39:2, 56:25, 57:2, 81:4, 81:12, 86:16 approval [1] - 23:3 approved [4] - 8:19, 14:24, 15:1, 81:5 April [1] - 4:7 area [5] - 17:17, 34:22, 35:12, 35:21, 92:5 areas [1] - 9:16	attended [1] - 84:14 attenuation [12] - 15:11, 15:17, 15:20, 15:21, 15:25, 41:17, 56:22, 81:24, 82:2, 86:25, 87:2 attorney [1] - 46:20 authorize [1] - 92:14 autistic [1] - 11:5 automatically [1] - 4:4 available [1] - 9:16 Avenue [1] - 2:3 average [2] - 41:23, 87:2 aware [7] - 12:10, 29:19, 33:7, 33:9, 85:3, 85:8 aye [4] - 90:9, 90:12, 90:15, 90:16 B backup [1] - 22:4 balance [1] - 41:22 bare [1] - 15:20 base [1] - 63:17 based [18] - 28:16,	83:5 bifurcation $[1] - 75:25$ big $[1] - 86:8$ bigger $[1] - 70:13$ bit $[6] - 7:13, 29:7, 32:16, 53:17, 63:10$ blades $[29] - 3:21, 5:18, 5:21, 5:22, 7:17, 8:22, 9:9, 9:10, 10:11, 10:19, 11:14, 11:22, 12:17, 12:18, 12:24, 30:10, 30:11, 30:12, 30:16, 30:17, 32:18, 32:25, 33:11, 34:1, 60:2, 62:24, 63:4, 63:23, 87:21$ blaming $[2] - 6:3$ Blatner $[1] - 12:13$ blowing $[1] - 10:23$ bluntly $[1] - 69:7$ Board $[7] - 13:10, 13:12, 38:11, 84:17, 85:2, 85:4, 85:8$ board $[5] - 13:12, 31:10, 38:13, 84:14$ Bob $[1] - 11:17$

bought [1] - 13:5	са
boundary [6] - 55:12,	{
55:13, 56:8, 56:10,	са
56:14,83:13	7
brain [1] - 11:12	са
brains [1] - 57:12	6
brand [1] - 27:12	Ca
brands [1] - 59:13	са
breach [1] - 46:13	са
break [3] - 53:24,	са
54:1,75:3	2
Brian [19] - 1:19,	са
23:13, 23:24, 24:11,	са
27:1, 32:22, 33:4,	2
35:9, 37:25, 40:18,	4
40:20, 41:11, 45:1,	7
56:9, 58:13, 64:9,	са
75:2, 79:11	3
brief [2] - 3:16, 72:13	са
bring [5] - 5:12, 13:18,	са
31:9, 38:6, 90:18	са
bringing [2] - 74:6,	са
87:19	Ce
Brittany [1] - 1:16	Ce
brought [6] - 5:13,	2
37:19, 38:11, 46:19,	Ę
88:5, 88:6	7
brush [1] - 15:13	8
bubble [1] - 10:24	ce
bubbles [1] - 10:23	3
build [6] - 32:12,	3
32:13, 50:16, 62:4,	4
76:2, 79:21	8
building [8] - 12:4,	8
12:6, 12:7, 12:10,	Ce
12:15, 38:8, 50:12,	CI
79:22	ę
buildings [2] - 88:2,	Ce
88:3	ę
built [5] - 28:17,	CI
38:17, 57:13, 80:1,	Ce
82:2	CI
burdensome [1] -	4
47:14	CI
business [2] - 3:5,	ę
88:21	
button [3] - 5:8, 72:7	2
buy [3] - 60:5, 62:2,	4
62:3	4
BY [1] - 1:4	2
bye [1] - 72:8	2
<u> </u>	2
C	2
calculated [2] - 15:14,	5
66:13	Ę
calculations [3] -	Ę
43:7, 43:11, 43:17	Ę
cannot [3] - 27.1	

cannot [3] - 27:1,

40:5,65:23

apabilities [1] -80:19 apable [2] - 62:9, 79.22 apacity [3] - 61:1, 65:7, 89:21 apitol [3] - 2:3, 3:2 apture [1] - 46:20 are [1] - 7:20 arefully [2] - 19:1, 25:7 arries [1] - 90:17 ase [8] - 15:17, 28:19, 35:15, 48:13, 49:20, 52:23, 67:17, 79:21 ases [3] - 34:18, 34:19,45:12 atching [1] - 30:22 ausing [1] - 59:21 autious [1] - 46:12 aveat [1] - 68:5 enter [1] - 22:3 ertain [11] - 21:13, 21:16, 46:14, 48:5, 51:22, 52:2, 52:3, 72:3, 74:23, 76:13, 88:23 ertainly [15] - 23:8, 30:25, 34:25, 35:4, 36:25, 42:20, 47:16, 49:4, 56:22, 64:8, 82:18,87:22,87:24, 88:18,89:18 ertainty [1] - 72:20 ERTIFICATE [1] -93:2 ertified [2] - 93:6, 93:19 ERTIFY [1] - 93:8 etera [1] - 45:23 hair [3] - 29:5, 36:15, 44:3 **HAIR** [95] - 3:1, 5:4, 9:20, 13:21, 18:5, 18:20, 19:6, 20:6, 20:20, 21:4, 21:11, 22:12, 23:10, 26:2, 26:7, 26:12, 27:6, 27:13, 27:17, 28:1, 28:25, 31:15, 31:24, 37:10, 39:4, 39:16, 40:9, 40:16, 40:19, 44:4, 51:12, 51:25, 52:5, 52:12, 52:24, 53:5, 53:10, 53:16, 54:2, 54:19, 54:24, 55:4, 55:15, 55:25,

56:13, 56:18, 57:6,

57:15, 57:22, 58:4,

62:8, 62:21, 63:7, 63:14, 63:22, 64:8, 64:20, 65:6, 65:12, 65:19, 66:2, 67:9, 67:19, 68:19, 69:9, 70:1, 70:3, 70:6, 70:20, 70:23, 71:2, 71:7, 71:19, 74:18, 74:22, 75:15, 76:7, 76:24, 78:11, 78:24, 79:2, 82:23, 83:10, 84:6,84:24,85:10, 87:15, 89:12, 90:8, 90:13, 90:16, 91:11, 91:17, 92:2, 92:20 **chair** [4] - 77:8, 78:10, 87:13, 89:11 **CHAIRMAN** [3] - 1:10, 1:10 **chairman** [1] - 73:23 **Chairman** [3] - 21:10, 22:9, 43:24 challenge [1] - 89:3 challenges [1] - 37:4 **change** [7] - 21:15, 21:18, 38:23, 63:25, 78:19,80:20 changed [13] - 6:7, 6:9, 6:13, 6:15, 6:17, 7:16, 8:3, 26:23, 27:8,65:17,76:20, 92:16 changes [17] - 8:16, 8:18, 29:13, 29:14, 29:20, 29:21, 29:23, 31:9, 38:18, 40:7, 40:25, 41:1, 62:23, 62:25, 78:18, 86:19 changing [2] - 8:18, 16:5 check [2] - 25:24, 64:23 checks [1] - 25:23 CHERI [1] - 93:5 **Cheri** [3] - 1:24, 93:17, 93:18 **chew** [1] - 85:24 child [2] - 11:5, 11:10 CHRIS [1] - 1:10 Christenson [21] -1:21, 5:11, 30:8, 31:8, 31:13, 36:17, 37:11, 37:16, 37:23, 43:4, 50:11, 52:5, 52:9, 52:25, 53:18, 54:11, 55:15, 82:24, 83:7, 83:18, 92:13 CHRISTENSON [11] -5:10, 37:23, 52:9, 54:16, 55:1, 55:10,

55:17, 55:22, 83:7, 83:18,92:12 Christenson 's [2] -27:7, 50:1 Cindy [1] - 11:15 Circuit [1] - 85:8 citizens [4] - 11:1, 13:2, 34:22, 88:24 city [1] - 42:9 claim [1] - 27:7 clarification [3] -31:16, 55:11, 80:16 clarify [3] - 31:7, 38:17,79:25 clarifying [1] - 61:10 clarity [1] - 65:3 clause [1] - 66:11 clean [1] - 19:9 cleaned [1] - 19:17 clear [5] - 7:14, 27:23, 45:4, 58:21, 59:3 clearly [1] - 17:1 close [1] - 11:3 co [1] - 4:25 co-counsel [1] - 4:25 **COD** [2] - 67:7, 68:10 Codified [1] - 14:13 **Codington** [7] - 3:8, 12:1, 12:3, 38:7, 39:9, 83:25, 84:16 **CODINGTON** [1] - 1:5 codington [1] - 84:15 **cold** [2] - 16:1, 30:22 colleagues [3] -43:25, 77:5, 85:11 collection [3] - 41:1, 43:18.59:4 combined [1] - 84:1 comfortable [1] - 37:8 commencing [1] - 2:5 comment [3] - 92:9, 92:10,92:20 commercial [2] - 45:7, 91:5 Commission [20] -3:9, 3:11, 4:7, 4:19, 4:24, 7:9, 7:22, 8:8, 16:15, 17:2, 36:19, 37:3, 44:15, 44:22, 47:6, 52:22, 63:12, 81:11, 87:20, 92:14 COMMISSION [3] -1:1, 1:9, 1:12 Commissioner [57] -3:3, 7:19, 14:3, 20:20, 22:14, 26:25, 27:19, 29:1, 29:3, 31:20, 31:25, 33:13, 37:18, 39:5, 39:17, 40:10, 44:5, 44:6,

44:16, 47:20, 49:5, 49:25, 51:9, 53:8, 53:21, 57:11, 68:22, 68:23, 68:25, 69:9, 70:3, 70:6, 70:7, 70:10, 70:16, 71:5, 71:6, 71:24, 72:5, 72:9, 72:22, 73:14, 74:6, 77:7, 78:25, 79:2, 79:4, 79:16, 87:14, 88:10, 88:13, 89:14, 89:15, 90:5, 90:11, 90:13, 90:14 COMMISSIONER [65] - 1:11, 21:9, 21:12, 22:1, 22:9, 26:25, 29:5, 30:2, 30:6, 30:14, 30:18, 30:21, 31:12, 32:11, 32:16, 33:12, 33:21, 34:8, 34:15, 36:14, 39:3, 40:11, 40:20, 41:10, 41:23, 42:6, 42:17, 43:2, 43:8, 43:13, 43:21, 44:6, 45:19, 46:23, 47:11, 48:2, 48:15, 48:18, 48:24, 50:23, 69:1, 70:2, 70:5, 70:19, 70:21, 71:6, 71:8, 72:5, 73:9, 73:21, 77:8, 78:8, 79:1, 79:4, 79:13, 79:25, 80:4, 80:24,82:15,82:17, 87:13, 87:16, 89:13, 90:12, 90:14 commissioners [2] -68:20, 92:6 Commissioners [10] -3:4, 3:13, 13:25, 18:24, 44:8, 45:22, 46:2, 47:2, 48:4, 51:3 commissioning [2] -24:19, 91:23 **common** [1] - 34:20 communicate [3] -37:8, 63:3, 82:20 communicated [2] -51:24,81:20 communication [6] -34:21, 34:24, 35:8, 36:18, 88:21, 88:22 **company** [11] - 36:3, 36:6, 49:11, 49:23, 50:5, 50:12, 50:15, 69:24, 76:13, 78:22, 81:19 company 's [1] - 81:17 compare [1] - 53:22

compared [1] - 16:6	76:16	73:2, 73:6, 73:17	12:2, 12:3, 12:8,	40:2, 93:7, 93:13
comparison [1] -	configurations [1] -	contractual [1] - 73:3	38:7, 84:1	damage [1] - 41:1
28:12	60:17	contribution [1] -	couple [9] - 5:6, 25:7,	damages [4] - 68:11
comparisons [1] -	confirm [1] - 91:22	43:19	26:1, 26:3, 26:8,	69:25, 72:25, 73:3
28:11	confirmed [1] - 75:13	control [5] - 8:24,	32:17, 38:1, 61:9,	Darren [4] - 1:16,
complain [1] - 38:3	conflicting [1] - 14:9	17:3, 21:24, 63:6	67:10	49:8, 77:15, 81:14
complaint [4] - 18:17,	conformity [1] - 14:16	controlled [2] - 20:15,	course [1] - 7:11	data [4] - 47:25, 48:1
52:15, 52:17, 52:19	confused [2] - 48:9,	25:21	court [1] - 31:17	49:15, 49:19
complaints [5] -	68:18	controls [1] - 22:5	Court [1] - 85:8	date [4] - 45:7, 45:20
14:22, 19:15, 38:1,	confusing [2] - 45:21,	controversial [1] -	cover [1] - 15:13	55:21, 87:17
41:2, 41:3	45:24	88:25	covered [3] - 17:8,	Dated [1] - 93:13
completed [3] - 8:12,	confusion [2] - 59:18,	conversation [2] -	42:5	dates [1] - 66:21
15:4, 30:12	59:21	68:17, 69:21	CR1C29 [1] - 55:17	Dave [1] - 17:8
completely [1] - 74:2	congestion [1] - 23:5	conversations [1] -	create [1] - 46:13	David [5] - 5:1, 11:15
complex [1] - 88:18	conservativeness [1]	67:5	created [1] - 88:7	59:16, 80:16, 81:1
complexity [1] - 49:3	- 82:2	conversion [1] - 41:6	credentials [1] - 8:15	Dawn [1] - 11:7
compliance [12] -	consider [3] - 18:25,	converter [4] - 63:13,	credits [1] - 61:14	days [1] - 58:4
4:18, 6:19, 8:21,	19:15, 69:6	63:15, 63:18, 63:21	Cremer [1] - 1:12	dBA [2] - 56:10, 57:1
18:16, 23:22, 83:2,	considerable [1] -	coordinate [1] - 24:13	crop [2] - 15:13, 41:1	DC [1] - 63:18
83:5, 84:18, 87:8,	42:21	coordinated [3] -	crops [1] - 41:21	de [1] - 1:13
89:1, 89:2, 91:6	considerably [2] -	23:25, 24:15, 25:11		
compliant [2] - 23:22,	30:25, 38:18	coordinating [2] -	Crowned [25] - 1:20,	dead [1] - 41:20
73:13	consideration [2] -	23:18, 26:9	3:6, 3:12, 3:17, 3:19,	deadline [1] - 72:21
complicated [1] -			3:22, 4:6, 4:10, 4:16,	deadlines [1] - 73:8
63:11	41:13, 51:20	coordination [2] -	4:20, 5:17, 5:19, 6:4,	deal [8] - 9:23, 27:17
	considered [3] - 50:7,	23:2, 23:15	7:15, 14:5, 16:20,	71:22, 83:12, 83:13
complied [1] - 10:6	81:23, 91:8	copy [3] - 53:1, 53:3,	17:5, 17:21, 40:4,	83:15, 86:10, 88:7
complies [1] - 65:21	consistency [1] - 57:8	53:13	55:23, 62:3, 72:2,	dealt [1] - 26:23
comply [4] - 81:4,	consternation [1] -	corporate [1] - 21:20	84:2, 84:4	Deb [1] - 1:17
82:11, 86:4, 86:19	59:21	correct [25] - 15:25,	CROWNED [1] - 1:4	December [9] - 1:7,
component [1] - 65:3	constraints [1] - 69:4	21:3, 25:5, 26:12,	CRR [1] - 1:24	2:4, 3:1, 16:5, 44:1
computation [1] -	constructed [4] -	32:15, 32:20, 52:3,	CUP [1] - 84:2	46:3, 47:3, 56:2,
63:24	14:15, 50:8, 67:15,	56:10, 56:11, 56:16,	curious [4] - 21:12,	93:11
concern [6] - 11:6,	74:24	64:22, 66:6, 67:18,	29:13, 32:17, 33:22	decibel [1] - 54:11
35:17, 35:18, 38:6,	constructing [2] -	73:13, 73:14, 75:19,	current [1] - 85:3	decibels [2] - 30:9,
82:9,88:8	12:1, 58:25	79:11, 80:14, 81:13,	curtail [3] - 3:22, 4:16,	30:13
concerned [4] - 26:13,	construction [14] -	83:2, 91:15, 91:16,	8:5	decide [2] - 50:15,
26:14, 26:17, 29:7	4:13, 12:6, 12:11,	91:20, 91:25, 93:12	curtailed [2] - 30:8,	69:14
concerning [1] - 14:7	13:11, 17:10, 17:11,	corrected [2] - 15:8,	47:19	decision [5] - 22:23,
concerns [4] - 36:23,	20:9, 20:10, 20:11,	76:3	curtailment [4] - 4:3,	44:23, 47:1, 51:8,
57:14,71:10,88:6	20:13, 75:24, 76:6,	correctly [2] - 29:10,	23:21, 25:11, 30:16	73:23
concluded [1] - 92:24	88:17,91:9	77:16	customer [3] - 45:8,	decrease [1] - 42:10
concur [1] - 92:21	consultant [2] - 41:17,	cost [1] - 60:7	68:13,69:12	defer [1] - 84:8
Condition [5] - 3:18,	47:25	counsel [2] - 4:25,	customers [1] - 66:8	deferment [1] - 41:6
4:19, 14:7, 16:25,	contact [3] - 12:16,	68:1	cut [2] - 39:14, 77:16	deferral [4] - 75:8,
18:15	12:21, 36:22	counterparty [1] -	cutout [3] - 24:8,	87:11,89:16,90:4
condition [3] - 11:11,	contacted [3] - 5:24,	73:19	49:17,62:17	deferred [1] - 89:6
14:12,76:23	45:2, 45:17	COUNTIES [1] - 1:5	cutting [1] - 72:12	deferring [1] - 79:16
conditional [2] - 18:1,	contacting [1] - 38:4	Counties [1] - 3:8	cwittler @	definitely [4] - 6:5,
83:22	contacts [1] - 46:11	counties [3] - 83:20,	venturecomm .net	16:16, 17:23, 31:2
conditioned [1] - 61:5	contained [1] - 14:17	84:15,84:21	[1] - 1:25	delayed [1] - 66:21
conditioning [1] -	contention [4] - 54:13,	country [1] - 42:7	cycle [1] - 13:5	delegated [1] - 79:18
25:22	56:20, 83:1, 84:3	county [18] - 6:15,	cycling [1] - 23:20	delivered [2] - 34:2,
conditions [7] - 3:21,	continue [9] - 21:4,	7:13, 7:14, 10:7,		36:8
14:17, 17:25, 23:18,	23:10, 26:3, 28:3,	10:19, 11:13, 14:19,	D	denial [3] - 84:19,
42:15, 42:16, 81:12	36:14, 40:10, 44:8,	14:25, 55:13, 83:2,		84:21, 85:5
conducive [1] - 34:6	47:15, 54:10	83:6, 83:21, 83:25,	Dahlgren 's [1] - 11:8	denied [2] - 10:5,
conference [1] - 53:18	continued [1] - 46:3	84:5, 84:9, 84:20,	DAKOTA [2] - 1:2,	17:20
conferring [1] - 54:9	contract [10] - 46:13,	84:22,87:8	93:1	
confident [1] - 10:22	66:15, 67:23, 68:7,	COUNTY [1] - 93:3	Dakota [8] - 1:24, 2:2,	DENR [1] - 16:20
	68.14 60.24 72.24	County (6) - 6:19	2 4 9 11 14 13	deny [2] - 9:3, 89:8

age [1] - 41:1 ages [4] - 68:11, :25, 72:25, 73:3 en [4] - 1:16, 8, 77:15, 81:14 [4] - 47:25, 48:13, 15, 49:19 [4] - 45:7, 45:20, :21, 87:17 ed [1] - 93:13 **s** [1] - 66:21 [1] - 17:8 **d** [5] - 5:1, 11:15, 16,80:16,81:16 **n** [1] - 11:7 [1] - 58:4 [2] - 56:10, 57:13 1] - 63:18] - 1:13 [1] - 41:20 lline [1] - 72:21 llines [1] - 73:8 [8] - 9:23, 27:17, 22,83:12,83:13, 15,86:10,88:7 [1] - 26:23 [1] - 1:17 ember [9] - 1:7, , 3:1, 16:5, 44:12, :3, 47:3, 56:2, :11 **bel** [1] - 54:11 **bels** [2] - 30:9, 13 **de** [2] - 50:15, 14 sion [5] - 22:23, 23, 47:1, 51:8, 23 ease [1] - 42:10 **r** [1] - 84:8 rment [1] - 41:6 rral [4] - 75:8, :11, 89:16, 90:4 rred [1] - 89:6 rring [1] - 79:16 **itely** [4] - 6:5, 16, 17:23, 31:23 yed [1] - 66:21 gated [1] - 79:18 vered [2] - 34:2, 8 al [3] - 84:19, :21, 85:5 ed [2] - 10:5, 20 **R** [1] - 16:20 **y** [2] - 9:3, 89:8

department [1] - 20:13

County [6] - 6:19,

68:14, 69:24, 72:24,

configuration [1] -

2:4, 9:11, 14:13,

dependent [1] - 42:4	45:25, 53:11	57:19,65:15,65:23,	52:20	explains [2] - 40:4,
description [2] -	dockets [1] - 48:7	67:3, 91:8	evaluating [1] - 14:2	56:14
51:21, 61:8	document [1] - 48:11	Edwards [7] - 1:22,	events [2] - 73:12,	explanation [4] -
designed [2] - 59:4,	document 's [1] -	18:8, 46:9, 57:4,	75:7	54:13, 77:2, 77:3,
61:5	15:24	67:3, 67:21, 68:4	evidence [6] - 20:18,	89:22
detail [1] - 59:20	documentation [2] -	effective [3] - 83:22,	27:24, 27:25, 52:7,	explore [1] - 9:24
details [2] - 16:14,	17:9, 47:15	84:4, 84:5	52:16, 52:18	extra [1] - 14:1
60:10	documents [4] - 4:16,	effectively [1] - 8:9	evidentiary [8] - 7:18,	extreme [1] - 41:19
determination [1] -	6:11, 7:9, 90:1	efforts [1] - 40:14	7:25, 8:3, 10:17,	
85:7	done [17] - 9:7, 13:14,	eight [3] - 12:18,	16:8, 45:21, 45:24,	F
determined [1] - 85:4	14:2, 17:7, 17:22,	33:20, 33:21	47:14	Г
determines [1] - 63:9	21:18, 39:10, 45:12,	either [5] - 19:15,	exact [7] - 60:1, 60:2,	FAA [1] - 16:21
development [1] - 5:2	51:7, 59:6, 59:7,	35:13, 41:20, 49:19,	60:3, 60:4, 60:10,	faced [2] - 74:15, 89:3
develops [1] - 89:20	60:13, 61:6, 63:5,	85:11	62:18	FACILITY [1] - 1:5
deviation [1] - 65:16	66:6, 81:8, 89:9	EL 19-003 [2] - 1:4, 3:6	exactly [3] - 17:6,	facility [5] - 3:7, 14:14,
diameter [1] - 48:17	doubled [1] - 8:10	elaborate [1] - 64:10	18:12, 64:14	32:13, 35:2
differ [1] - 81:7	doubling [1] - 8:6	Electric [2] - 21:22,	examined [2] - 30:2,	facing [1] - 72:19
difference [1] - 28:13	down [18] - 17:23,	24:18	41:12	Fact [1] - 83:21
different [15] - 10:7,	18:10, 24:4, 24:17,	electrical [1] - 25:23	example [2] - 36:5,	fact [12] - 19:20,
24:12, 28:20, 31:23,	25:6, 30:19, 42:3,	electricity [3] - 19:22,	62:3	20:23, 39:21, 49:20,
39:23, 40:6, 48:7,	48:20, 55:19, 61:17,	72:16, 72:20	exceed [1] - 25:14	57:8,67:17,86:4,
60:17, 64:6, 64:12,	62:11, 63:13, 63:21,	elephant [2] - 5:15,	excellent [1] - 52:24	86:15,86:19,86:25,
64:17, 80:11, 87:2,	66:16, 80:6, 80:12,	90:18	exception [1] - 62:6	87:19,91:4
89:21	80:22, 88:12	elephants [1] - 18:9	exceptional [1] -	factor [7] - 15:12,
difficult [1] - 21:14	downsized [1] - 61:17	emitted [1] - 82:6	34:10	36:18, 41:17, 51:2,
digging [1] - 16:14	draining [1] - 11:11	encapsulated [1] -	executive [2] - 5:1,	57:13, 81:24, 82:3
direct [1] - 61:21	drawn [1] - 13:16	87:10	59:16	factored [1] - 76:18
director [4] - 4:12, 5:1,	driving [1] - 17:14	end [6] - 8:8, 9:7,	exercise [1] - 45:6	factors [1] - 15:21
20:9, 59:17	drop [1] - 5:14	41:20, 51:10, 72:9,	Exhibit [2] - 15:10,	facts [1] - 73:15
disagree [2] - 57:15,	drops [1] - 30:13	77:1	16:8	fairly [1] - 66:14
87:4	drove [1] - 5:22	ended [3] - 17:20,	existing [1] - 15:16	fall [1] - 12:20
disbelief [1] - 14:23	due [1] - 34:6	49:6, 72:7	expand [1] - 39:9	far [3] - 36:21, 81:23,
discovered [3] -	duly [1] - 93:8	ends [2] - 43:22, 50:12	expect [3] - 42:22,	85:7
12:18, 12:22, 12:24	duly -appointed [1] -	endure [1] - 13:3	50:11, 81:20	farm [1] - 21:8
discrepancy [1] -	93:8	energy [7] - 3:7,	expecting [3] - 26:24,	fashion [2] - 31:25,
56:14	during [14] - 15:6,	23:14, 23:16, 23:17,	71:20, 71:23	57:17
discuss [3] - 19:24,	15:19, 16:7, 17:3,	24:15, 25:2, 25:17	expense [1] - 66:12	fault [1] - 73:19
26:6, 45:3	17:10, 24:14, 25:2,	ENERGY [1] - 1:5	experience [9] - 4:12,	favor [2] - 84:23, 90:9
discussed [1] - 29:6	27:18, 28:23, 50:3,	Energy [8] - 5:2, 20:9,	36:13, 42:6, 42:23,	fear [1] - 46:22
discussing [2] - 37:9,	58:8, 78:5, 81:15,	44:13, 44:17, 46:6,	43:13, 45:12, 66:24,	feather [1] - 63:4
82:8	91:14	58:3, 59:16, 68:1	82:4	feathering [2] - 62:24,
discussion [7] -	•••••	engineered [1] - 59:4	expert [9] - 5:24,	63:23
67:20, 68:9, 68:12,	Е	engineering [4] - 4:13,	48:25, 49:2, 49:15,	federal [1] - 83:23
85:19, 87:12, 89:12,		20:13, 42:18, 59:8	57:1, 66:1, 81:16,	feet [6] - 6:21, 38:15,
90:8	e-mail [2] - 18:10, 67:6	ensure [6] - 4:18,	82:8, 86:24	79:8, 79:9, 79:14,
Discussion [1] - 71:1	e-mails [1] - 68:4	19:21, 22:6, 23:20,	expertise [1] - 36:3	80:2
discussions [2] -	early [4] - 12:19, 41:4,	24:1, 25:20	experts [2] - 19:4,	fellow [2] - 68:20, 92:6
40:22, 74:4	89:24,90:3	entire [3] - 30:22,	65:11	felt [1] - 73:25
displayed [2] - 12:5,	ease [2] - 53:17, 78:11	72:14, 87:2	experts ' [1] - 8:15	few [4] - 18:13, 41:4,
12:11	easements [1] - 8:13	entirely [2] - 42:5,	explain [21] - 24:2,	57:20, 73:10
disrespectful [1] -	East [1] - 2:3	73:24	25:4, 25:16, 34:25,	Fiege n [12] - 3:4, 44:5,
10:24	edge [12] - 3:20, 3:25,	entitled [2] - 2:2,	35:20, 36:7, 39:9,	68:25, 70:3, 70:10,
distance [2] - 41:15,	5:18, 5:21, 7:17,	93:10	39:11, 39:13, 39:21,	70:16, 74:6, 79:2,
42:21	8:21, 10:19, 11:14,	enunciate [1] - 85:18	40:22, 51:16, 58:7,	88:10, 88:13, 90:13,
distinction [1] - 80:22	11:21, 33:18, 33:23,	Eric [1] - 1:13	58:10, 58:16, 58:23,	90:14
divided [1] - 19:14	87:23	especially [3] - 17:2,	59:1, 59:12, 59:13,	FIEGEN [27] - 1:11,
division [1] - 36:6	edges [2] - 33:25, 34:2	34:22, 88:24	62:23, 79:16	26:25, 44:6, 45:19,
DO [1] - 93:8	EDWARDS [12] - 18:7,	estimate [1] - 42:24	explained [3] - 40:5,	46:23, 47:11, 48:2,
doable [1] - 67:8	27:15, 40:17, 46:9,	et [1] - 45:23	40:8, 81:19	48:15, 48:18, 48:24,
	47:7, 57:4, 57:11,	evaluate [2] - 52:15,	explaining [1] - 27:2	50:23, 69:1, 70:2,
docket [3] - 7:7,				

70:5, 70:19, 70:21,	forgive [1] - 30:18	go-between [2] -	23:15, 24:1, 24:4,	68:4, 68:12, 71:10 , 6
71:6, 71:8, 79:4,	forth [2] - 4:19, 76:23	36:20, 40:23	24:13, 25:1, 25:12,	72:8, 74:11, 75:15,
79:13, 79:25, 80:4,	forward [5] - 18:19,	goal [1] - 9:6	26:5, 28:4, 29:15,	80:5, 84:22, 85:4,
80:24,82:15,82:17,	19:11, 67:15, 75:11,	good -bye [1] - 72:8	32:3, 41:16, 47:24,	85:6, 86:23
89:13,90:14	91:19	govern [3] - 38:25,	49:19, 54:19, 55:18,	hearing [31] - 6:11,
Fiegen 's [1] - 72:9	foundation [3] - 59:5,	47:20, 59:9	56:20, 56:24, 64:2,	7:15, 7:18, 7:25, 8:4,
field [2] - 35:13, 42:24	72:14, 74:6	governed [3] - 35:4,	64:3, 75:13, 87:5	8:8, 9:6, 9:14, 10:17,
fifth [1] - 55:18	foundational [2] -	39:24, 48:20	Haley 's [1] - 54:16	10:21, 10:22, 10:25,
figure [3] - 19:10,	73:25, 89:2	governing [1] - 35:1	halfway [1] - 55:19	13:7, 13:10, 16:8,
70:18, 72:2	foundations [1] - 60:3	Governor [2] - 47:19	hand [1] - 76:8	17:4, 28:24, 35:17,
file [1] - 49:12	frame [1] - 90:2	graciously [1] - 7:20	handed [1] - 53:22	35:18, 44:14, 45:4,
filed [3] - 18:14, 52:21,	free [1] - 55:6	grade [1] - 60:6	handle [2] - 18:22,	45:21, 46:17, 47:14,
76:21	Friday [1] - 41:4	grades [1] - 65:1	37:12	50:4, 50:21, 57:25,
filing [9] - 18:4, 18:11,	front [2] - 38:11, 83:20	Granquist 's [1] - 11:9	handling [1] - 84:9	58:9,64:24,68:23,
49:11, 53:11, 66:11,	frozen [2] - 15:20,	Grant [4] - 3:8, 12:1,	hang [1] - 71:4	90:9
78:15, 78:19, 78:20,	16:1	12:8, 83:25	HANSON [39] - 1:10,	hearings [2] - 45:24,
78:21	frustration [1] - 73:4	GRANT [1] - 1:5	21:9, 21:12, 22:1,	84:14
final [4] - 3:19, 47:17,	fuel [1] - 66:11	grant [4] - 3:9, 84:15,	22:9, 29:5, 30:2,	height [16] - 9:4,
51:7, 81:2	full [3] - 25:18, 84:18,	84:16, 85:22	30:6, 30:14, 30:18,	16:10, 38:6, 38:7,
Findings [1] - 83:20	91:6	granted [6] - 10:7,	30:21, 31:12, 32:11,	38:19, 53:6, 70:12,
fine [14] - 12:7, 44:13,	fullest [1] - 41:22	10:17, 52:1, 75:18,	32:16, 33:12, 33:21,	72:3, 76:17, 76:20,
44:18,44:21,44:23,	fully [3] - 18:2, 67:24,	83:23, 86:5	34:8, 34:15, 36:14,	77:11, 77:13, 78:1,
47:2,66:5,66:7,	91:19	granting [1] - 4:20	39:3, 40:11, 40:20,	78:2, 83:4, 92:17
69:5, 69:19, 72:15,	future [1] - 82:21	grass [1] - 15:13	41:10, 41:23, 42:6,	heights [14] - 6:7,
73:24, 74:7, 74:13		great [3] - 71:23, 88:7,	42:17, 43:2, 43:8,	6:16, 8:17, 16:5,
fined [2] - 12:4, 74:1	G	92:20	43:13, 43:21, 72:5,	27:7, 51:17, 51:20,
finer [1] - 37:17		greater [2] - 69:14,	73:9, 73:21, 77:8,	51:23, 74:24, 76:13,
fines [2] - 12:9, 73:12	gap [1] - 50:14	91:18	78:8, 79:1, 87:13,	77:20, 78:6, 86:13,
first [9] - 3:11, 26:4,	Gary [1] - 11:9	greatly [1] - 92:17	87:16,90:12	86:16
26:8, 29:4, 35:17,	GARY [1] - 1:10	green [1] - 5:9	Hanson [15] - 3:4,	held [2] - 2:2, 11:19
49:9, 50:17, 56:23,	GE [8] - 20:3, 20:25,	Greg [1] - 22:14	29:3, 31:25, 33:13,	help [5] - 58:12, 58:14,
85:25	49:19, 58:16, 59:13,	Gregg [1] - 1:17	40:10, 47:20, 68:22,	59:14, 62:8, 62:12 helped [3] - 40:25,
five [2] - 7:7, 7:9	59:23, 62:2, 89:19	grenade [1] - 76:8	68:23, 70:7, 71:5,	76:4, 90:4
fix [1] - 88:4	GE's [2] - 13:4, 49:19	ground [14] - 15:11,	71:24, 77:7, 78:25, 87:14, 90:11	helpful [1] - 38:2
flicker [17] - 6:10,	general [4] - 15:11,	15:17, 15:20, 15:25,	Hanson 's [4] - 37:18,	helping [1] - 56:19
7:11, 7:25, 8:2, 8:17,	25:1, 49:4, 68:1	16:1, 41:14, 41:17,	39:5, 39:17, 49:25	helps [2] - 55:19,
9:4, 30:24, 31:3,	General [2] - 21:22, 24:18	42:8, 42:15, 42:16,	happy [10] - 27:20,	63:22
32:7, 38:18, 60:20, 76:19, 76:22, 77:24,	generally [3] - 52:21,	81:24, 82:2, 91:23	28:23, 35:20, 35:25,	henhouse [1] - 13:17
89:4, 92:15	66:12, 91:8	guess [9] - 18:14,	53:7, 53:24, 54:1,	HEREBY [1] - 93:8
Flicker [1] - 32:5	generate [1] - 20:17	37:13, 42:7, 65:13,	59:15, 75:20, 76:3	hesitate [1] - 27:11
floor [1] - 32:1	generating [3] - 63:8,	74:13, 78:2, 83:3,	harbor [3] - 61:13,	Hessler [3] - 57:5,
flow [2] - 66:7, 68:13	72:20	87:8, 91:3	61:15, 62:6	81:16, 87:4
fluid [1] - 11:11	generation [1] - 23:21	gum [1] - 10:24	harbors [7] - 75:8,	high [2] - 52:2, 52:3
fly [3] - 70:9, 76:9,	generator [14] - 6:6,	guys [5] - 13:19,	75:10, 79:18, 79:19,	higher [3] - 35:3,
89:25	9:4, 10:8, 22:19,	17:11, 40:3, 82:18	79:23, 79:24	43:14, 64:19
focus [1] - 71:22	39:22, 48:1, 48:17,	U	hard [5] - 15:20, 16:1,	Highmore [1] - 17:17
folks [6] - 34:12,	62:9, 63:4, 63:12,	Н	45:9, 87:25, 89:25	historically [1] - 73:18
36:10, 65:20, 67:6,	63:20, 63:21, 65:3,	HALEY [27] - 28:8,	harder [3] - 24:22,	hitting [1] - 73:19
75:4, 85:20	83:4	29:15, 30:4, 30:7,	42:15, 82:19	hold [1] - 10:2
follow [4] - 17:1,	generators [7] - 5:20,	30:15, 30:20, 31:5,	harshly [1] - 16:16	holding [1] - 50:17
49:25, 67:5, 88:7	5:23, 6:5, 8:18, 9:19,	32:3, 32:15, 41:16,	head [6] - 43:6, 43:11,	home [1] - 16:11
follow -up [2] - 49:25,	12:23, 38:21	42:13, 42:25, 43:5,	53:24, 55:8, 67:1,	honest [1] - 46:10
88:7	generic [1] - 58:17	43:10, 43:15, 47:24,	83:8	hope [1] - 82:19
followed [3] - 7:11,	GIA [2] - 22:19, 23:22	48:11, 48:16, 48:21,	hear [10] - 11:24,	hopefully [3] - 75:13,
14:19, 16:24	Gill [5] - 5:1, 59:12,	54:22, 55:3, 55:20,	18:18, 27:1, 36:17,	82:14, 87:9
following [1] - 2:1	59:14, 59:16, 80:16	56:6, 56:11, 56:16,	57:20, 58:8, 69:21,	hour [1] - 69:7
follows [1] - 26:8	GILL [2] - 59:15, 80:16	64:3, 64:14	80:4, 80:5, 84:6	hours [6] - 8:2, 25:8,
Footnote [1] - 4:1	given [3] - 27:12, 62:5,	Haley [26] - 4:14, 4:24,	heard [15] - 40:12,	30:25, 31:1, 32:8,
FOR [1] - 1:4	83:15	6:3, 15:4, 19:24,	54:12, 56:24, 58:2,	32:9

hub [19] - 6:16, 8:17, 16:5, 16:10, 38:6, 38:7, 38:19, 51:20, 51:23, 70:12, 76:17, 76:20, 77:11, 77:20, 78:1, 78:2, 78:6, 86:13, 92:16 Hueck [1] - 1:13 hundred [1] - 26:1	81:6, 81:7 inform [1] - 37:6 information [21] - 5:13, 5:14, 6:5, 14:6, 14:8, 18:3, 18:4, 18:11, 27:12, 27:22, 37:25, 39:25, 43:23, 49:24, 50:9, 51:6, 52:19, 65:25, 71:11,	35:16, 38:8, 51:16, 53:6, 54:7, 65:14, 66:5, 67:6, 69:5, 70:11, 72:2, 74:23, 75:17, 82:25, 83:19, 84:10, 84:25, 85:16, 85:25, 86:12, 87:8, 90:19 issued [3] - 12:4,	55:7, 76:11, 77:15, 78:14, 81:14, 82:16 Kearney [9] - 1:16, 49:8, 76:11, 77:10, 77:15, 78:9, 79:7, 81:14, 82:18 Kearney 's [1] - 77:9 keep [1] - 28:10 KELOLAND [1] -	learned [2] - 43:25, 7 71:11 learning [1] - 17:13 leases [1] - 8:15 least [8] - 9:24, 11:25, 34:11, 38:3, 54:5, 73:11, 89:6, 89:9 leave [1] - 49:6 Lebrun [1] - 3:14
l ice [2] - 17:16, 17:18 ID [1] - 55:16 identified [5] - 76:17, 77:19, 78:3, 78:7, 78:21 identify [3] - 19:7, 31:16, 31:19	78:20, 89:24 information 's [1] - 52:16 infrasound [1] - 11:6 inherent [1] - 82:1 initial [1] - 54:5 inquiry [1] - 19:3 insight [2] - 55:5, 57:2 inspected [1] - 12:3 install [2] - 3:19, 3:24	78:16, 86:20 issues [16] - 7:8, 9:22, 15:3, 19:2, 23:5, 23:6, 28:23, 35:24, 37:9, 40:24, 69:15, 83:3, 83:4, 85:18, 87:9, 90:20 item [1] - 3:5 itself [3] - 76:12, 77:18, 77:22	17:15 Kevin [1] - 11:7 key [1] - 75:17 kilowatts [1] - 26:1 kind [22] - 9:21, 9:22, 9:24, 13:2, 17:15, 19:9, 38:3, 50:13, 50:19, 51:14, 58:20, 59:19, 60:25, 61:8, 66:23, 73:4, 73:16,	left [2] - 45:14, 74:23 legal [3] - 45:5, 69:4, 73:5 legally [2] - 69:1, 91:6 less [4] - 5:20, 8:20, 31:1, 56:21 level [17] - 11:13, 14:19, 14:25, 24:2, 24:14, 29:8, 30:3, 42:1, 42:11, 49:15,
identifying [1] - 76:13 II [2] - 84:2, 84:4 imagine [1] - 17:17 immediacy [1] - 47:1 immediate [2] - 44:10, 45:16 immediately [2] - 37:5, 72:16 imminent [1] - 72:15 impact [2] - 4:21,	installation [2] - 4:8, 49:9 installed [9] - 5:19, 10:8, 10:19, 11:14, 12:22, 30:10, 30:12, 34:3, 61:19 installing [3] - 12:17, 34:5, 87:23 instead [4] - 5:19, 38:10, 43:3, 72:7	J Jackson [1] - 3:14 Jake [1] - 11:10 January [4] - 67:7, 85:17, 87:17, 93:14 Jay [11] - 4:14, 4:24, 15:4, 19:24, 29:15, 32:3, 41:16, 47:24,	77:1, 77:16, 82:13, 87:9, 91:4 knowledge [2] - 45:2, 45:17 known [1] - 32:18 knows [2] - 10:14, 70:21 Kristen [5] - 1:22, 18:7, 46:9, 57:4, 67:3	54:12, 81:21, 83:4, 84:5, 84:23, 86:25 levels [7] - 18:16, 25:2, 49:21, 64:19, 76:22, 82:9, 83:25 levied [1] - 74:14 liaison [5] - 35:14, 37:20, 37:24, 38:20, 40:14 liaisons [1] - 36:11
50:11 impacted [2] - 7:10, 31:8 impacts [2] - 7:2, 30:5 imperceptible [1] - 4:21 implied [1] - 73:11 imply [1] - 42:18 important [4] - 17:4,	intently [1] - 27:22 interconnect [1] - 41:9 interconnection [6] - 21:7, 22:16, 22:20, 60:8, 60:9, 60:11 interested [2] - 18:18, 74:8	56:4, 64:3, 64:9 joined [1] - 71:5 Jon [1] - 1:14 Joseph [1] - 1:15 Josh [12] - 4:24, 19:20, 20:1, 58:3, 58:14, 59:2, 61:20, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:24, 91:25	Kristi [5] - 1:21, 31:9, 31:14, 31:21, 53:8 KRISTIE [1] - 1:11 L labels [1] - 48:12 lack [2] - 34:10, 35:7 lacking [1] - 34:20	life [1] - 13:4 likely [1] - 60:23 limit [7] - 49:20, 62:11, 62:20, 62:25, 63:4, 76:23, 82:11 limitation [2] - 62:14, 62:15 limitations [3] - 59:9,
51:2, 51:3, 86:21 impose [1] - 44:18 imposed [4] - 44:21, 44:23, 69:11, 69:12 IN [2] - 1:4, 1:5 Inaudiable [1] - 15:10 Inaudible [5] - 15:15, 23:7, 31:23, 73:17, 88:19	interesting [1] - 44:1 interject [1] - 20:21 internally [1] - 60:16 interrupt [2] - 19:7, 27:15 Intervenor [3] - 7:21, 13:22, 44:16 Intervenors [16] - 5:5, 5:22, 6:22, 7:7, 7:9,	Joshua [8] - 4:11, 20:8, 23:13, 24:12, 32:22, 33:5, 36:5, 59:14 July [9] - 3:18, 8:1, 9:15, 33:1, 33:15, 33:24, 34:2, 61:22, 87:21 jump [1] - 55:6	land [2] - 8:14, 11:15 landscape [2] - 42:4 language [2] - 73:1, 73:16 large [2] - 81:20, 88:2 largely [1] - 87:1 larger [1] - 89:3 Larson 's [1] - 11:15 last [18] - 5:12, 7:18,	63:13, 63:15 limited [6] - 3:10, 3:17, 21:6, 24:3, 91:14, 92:1 limiting [1] - 62:17 limits [4] - 42:9, 62:10, 62:12, 63:24 line [9] - 4:23, 16:19, 26:4, 32:9, 36:15, 10, 15, 10, 15, 10, 15, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10
include [1] - 92:21 included [1] - 60:11 including [1] - 14:16 incorrect [1] - 26:16 increased [1] - 29:9 indicate [1] - 75:23 indicated [3] - 28:10, 86:6, 91:13	8:16, 16:12, 19:1, 20:2, 28:4, 35:21, 35:23, 45:23, 64:24, 75:4, 85:22 Intervenors '[2] - 30:5, 89:23 introduce [3] - 20:7, 67:24, 92:11 investigate [1] - 18:2	jumped [2] - 68:3, 68:9 jumping [2] - 32:24, 68:6 juncture [3] - 74:5, 74:12, 89:10 Juneau [1] - 22:4	7:24, 16:6, 29:6, 29:12, 30:19, 32:7, 33:17, 36:23, 44:14, 46:16, 57:19, 67:15, 68:2, 73:10, 85:11, 87:20 law [1] - 17:24 Laws [1] - 14:13	40:15, 40:21, 55:13, 67:4 linear [1] - 42:13 lines [1] - 7:5 liquidated [3] - 68:11, 69:25, 72:25 list [3] - 24:16, 77:25, 83:8 listed [3] - 4:1, 56:17,
individual [3] - 26:14, 26:17, 26:18 individuals [2] - 35:11, 36:2 industry [3] - 20:12,	involved [2] - 22:18, 85:21 ISO [4] - 22:18, 22:25, 23:2, 23:6 issue [24] - 19:19,	Kaitlyn [1] - 1:17 Karen [2] - 1:12, 76:11 Kathy [1] - 11:9 KEARNEY [7] - 49:8,	lawyer [1] - 45:12 layout [2] - 28:16, 28:19 LDs [1] - 68:11 leading [1] - 87:23	83:9 listen [2] - 11:20, 44:1 listened [2] - 19:1, 27:22 listens [1] - 38:3

r				
litigated [1] - 84:25	mail [2] - 18:10, 67:6	meeting [8] - 3:3,	mind [1] - 61:9	70:25, 78:12
live [2] - 7:2, 11:7	mails [1] - 68:4	5:12, 36:24, 39:8,	minding [1] - 8:24	money [1] - 45:14
		47:3, 67:7, 72:8,	minimum [1] - 25:25	monitor [1] - 22:2
lives [1] - 11:3	Main [1] - 1:24	47:3, 07:7, 72:0, 74:22		
living [1] - 17:14	maintained [1] - 14:15		minute [3] - 16:14,	monitored [3] - 9:1, 9:2, 21:13
LLC [2] - 1:4, 3:7	maintenance [1] -	meetings [1] - 84:17	32:9, 87:20	,
LNP [1] - 66:17	22:6	megawatt [25] - 5:19,	minutes [7] - 8:3,	monitoring [1] - 21:15
LNTE [7] - 3:20, 4:8,	managed [2] - 8:11,	20:15, 20:23, 21:6,	30:25, 32:8, 32:10,	morning [6] - 5:10,
30:10, 30:12, 30:16,	88:17	21:8, 22:11, 22:21,	71:15, 71:16, 71:21	14:3, 17:18, 37:20,
30:17	management [1] -	41:5, 49:10, 49:14,	misdirected [2] -	89:24, 90:3
LNTEs [1] - 61:19	34:10	49:21, 50:18, 58:16,	38:12, 38:13	most [7] - 7:2, 7:10,
load [1] - 62:17	manager [3] - 35:13,	59:6, 59:10, 59:24,	misery [1] - 13:2	30:2, 45:24, 57:17,
loading [1] - 59:5	36:11, 38:5	60:6, 60:9, 60:14, 60:45, 60:46, 61:0	mismanaged [1] -	60:23, 85:20
local [7] - 16:18,	manages [1] - 63:19	60:15, 60:16, 61:8,	13:1	motel [1] - 11:18
16:21, 17:2, 17:3,	manipulate [2] -	64:1, 75:9	MISO [6] - 22:20,	motion [6] - 85:14,
17:25, 18:1	21:21, 21:25	megawatts [20] -	23:18, 26:10, 26:12,	85:19, 87:12, 87:16,
location [3] - 30:3,	manner [1] - 36:12	20:17, 21:2, 23:19,	26:23, 27:4	89:13, 90:17
75:22, 75:23	manufacture [1] - 88:2	28:14, 28:17, 35:1,	miss [1] - 57:11	motions [2] - 77:6,
locations [2] - 52:8,	manufactured [1] -	48:1, 48:3, 60:18,	missed [3] - 16:2,	85:13
75:19	9:10	60:22, 61:18, 62:10,	45:6, 45:20	mouth [1] - 64:13
lofty [1] - 9:6	manufacturer [2] -	62:20, 64:18, 66:16,	missing [1] - 16:19	move [6] - 19:10,
look [14] - 5:24, 6:14,	21:23, 48:12	67:16, 79:17, 91:15	mistaken [1] - 91:13	22:23, 67:15, 85:16,
15:2, 28:20, 31:5,	manufacturing [1] -	Mehlhaff [1] - 1:16	mitigate [1] - 57:14	88:3, 91:19
31:22, 48:4, 48:5,	34:4	member [1] - 13:13	mixed [1] - 15:12	MR [126] - 3:13, 10:4,
51:21, 58:19, 59:18,	map [1] - 76:4	memo [1] - 49:24	model [25] - 15:5,	18:24, 19:13, 20:5,
65:10, 69:23, 73:1	mapping [1] - 8:14	memorandum [1] -	15:11, 28:6, 28:9,	20:8, 20:25, 21:5,
looked [2] - 12:24,	maps [2] - 53:23,	18:14	38:24, 43:20, 48:5,	21:17, 22:2, 22:10,
15:7	75:23	mentioned [8] - 4:17,	48:8, 48:9, 48:12,	22:14, 22:19, 22:22,
looking [8] - 5:22,	material [5] - 8:16,	14:4, 29:18, 45:11,	48:14, 48:19, 49:3,	23:1, 23:4, 23:7,
55:3, 55:20, 56:3,	26:22, 27:4, 38:23,	60:8, 61:12, 61:20,	50:6, 50:22, 51:21,	23:9, 23:11, 23:13,
56:4, 56:7, 76:4,	65:16	80:21	60:21,75:14,80:8,	23:17, 23:24, 24:3,
83:14	matter [7] - 2:2, 3:6,	mentioning [1] - 45:3	80:9,80:19,86:1,	24:11, 24:16, 24:22,
looks [3] - 17:17,	46:8, 57:8, 84:19,	mess [1] - 8:23	89:18	25:5, 25:13, 25:14,
60:15, 72:24	88:13, 93:10	message [1] - 92:3	modeled [9] - 4:14,	25:16, 25:19, 26:5,
Lorena [1] - 1:15	MATTER [1] - 1:4	meter [17] - 6:9, 6:16,	28:14, 28:18, 47:25,	26:11, 26:16, 26:21,
lost [1] - 68:24	matters [3] - 68:18,	16:10, 38:6, 38:7,	50:2, 50:3, 51:22,	27:2, 27:11, 27:19,
louder [1] - 43:3	84:22,85:3	51:17, 51:20, 51:23,	75:6, 86:17	28:4, 28:8, 28:21,
low [11] - 3:19, 3:25,	max [1] - 26:19	52:7, 53:6, 70:12,	modeler [1] - 29:16	29:15, 30:4, 30:7,
5:18, 5:20, 7:17,	maximum [6] - 61:2,	71:22, 72:3, 75:18,	modeling [10] - 16:7,	30:15, 30:20, 31:5,
8:21, 10:18, 24:2,	62:11, 62:25, 63:8,	77:20, 86:12, 92:16	32:7, 49:13, 50:20,	32:3, 32:15, 32:22,
24:14, 25:2, 30:15	63:9, 63:23	meters [17] - 3:24, 4:4,	77:24, 81:3, 82:3,	32:24, 33:2, 33:3,
lower [2] - 25:6, 25:10	MCCOMSEY [1] - 93:5	4:18, 6:8, 6:18, 6:23,	82:11, 86:15, 86:25	33:4, 33:10, 33:20,
Lynches [1] - 16:12	McComsey [3] - 1:24,	16:10, 24:5, 24:6,	models [4] - 50:4,	33:25, 34:13, 35:9,
Lynches ' [1] - 16:11	93:17, 93:18	24:9, 24:17, 25:3,	81:25, 89:19	39:6, 40:18, 40:24,
Lynn [1] - 3:14	mean [12] - 12:25,	38:19, 77:19, 77:21	modification [1] -	41:16, 42:13, 42:25,
	19:8, 31:23, 34:12,	microphone [1] - 5:9	26:22	43:5, 43:10, 43:15,
М	42:18, 48:15, 52:21,	Midcontinent [3] -	modifications [3] -	44:25, 47:24, 48:11,
	53:3, 54:6, 66:13,	22:18, 22:24, 23:6	14:17, 27:4, 29:21	48:16, 48:21, 49:8,
machine [14] - 49:14,	66:19, 90:24	middle [4] - 34:5,	Mogen [16] - 1:21,	51:18, 52:4, 52:14,
49:17, 49:21, 60:6,	meaningful [3] - 5:13,	41:13, 41:18, 41:20	13:22, 18:10, 29:8,	53:3, 53:7, 53:21,
60:14,60:15,60:23,	5:14, 36:1	Midwest [1] - 22:17	30:14, 30:24, 31:9,	54:22, 55:3, 55:7,
61:7, 61:8, 64:5,	meaningless [2] -	might [7] - 26:21,	31:14, 31:21, 31:24,	55:20, 56:4, 56:6,
64:16,64:17,80:10,	5:25, 6:1	30:1, 47:3, 49:12,	32:17, 36:16, 39:16,	56:9, 56:11, 56:12,
81:22	means [3] - 15:17,	55:5, 71:25, 82:5	43:4, 53:9, 86:24	56:16, 58:3, 58:13,
machine -based [1] -	73:24, 88:1	mile [3] - 7:4, 11:7,	MOGEN [8] - 13:24,	59:2, 59:11, 59:15,
49:14	meant [2] - 17:13,	11:8	31:7, 31:14, 31:21,	62:13, 63:2, 63:10,
machines [5] - 20:15,	64:15	Miles [3] - 1:19, 3:14,	32:2, 39:18, 53:8,	63:17, 64:3, 64:7,
21:8, 35:22, 49:10,	mechanical [1] -	84:8	53:15	64:9, 64:14, 64:23,
60:16	25:23	miles [5] - 7:3, 11:25,	Mogen 's [2] - 30:15,	65:2, 65:9, 66:10,
magically [1] - 8:1	medical [1] - 11:10	13:9, 84:11, 84:12	56:20	67:18, 75:2, 75:20,
magnitude [1] - 88:20	meet [1] - 73:8	milestones [1] - 73:20	moment [3] - 68:24,	76:11, 77:15, 78:14,

79:11, 79:15, 79:24,	nature [1] - 36:20	never [2] - 6:6, 58:1	о	operations [3] - 22:3, 9
80:3, 80:16, 81:14,	nay [1] - 90:10	new [14] - 10:10, 13:3,	0	22:6, 25:18
82:16,84:8,84:12,	near [1] - 17:14	13:4, 14:9, 27:12,	O&M [1] - 12:7	opinion [2] - 50:9,
85:1, 91:16, 91:21,	nearly [1] - 7:4	29:24, 37:25, 49:24,	o'clock [1] - 89:24	69:18
91:25	necessary [2] - 3:25,	58:6,61:20,65:25,	obligation [1] - 45:5	opportunity [4] - 3:16,
MS [35] - 5:10, 13:24,	8:13	66:23, 71:11, 89:5	obviously [9] - 9:21,	29:4, 46:7, 85:11
18:7, 27:15, 31:7,	need [21] - 6:14, 9:19,	newest [2] - 60:24,	19:8, 30:21, 31:20,	opposed [4] - 41:24,
31:14, 31:21, 32:2,	9:23, 13:8, 17:4,	61:1	34:4,65:6,85:20,	62:25, 63:25, 90:10
37:23, 39:18, 40:17,	27:14, 27:21, 31:19,	news [1] - 49:10	90:19,92:2	order [12] - 3:19, 9:3,
46:9, 47:7, 52:9,	49:23, 57:5, 65:24,	next [2] - 13:3, 67:7	occupied [1] - 15:16	21:18, 21:21, 25:24,
53:8, 53:15, 54:16,	69:14,69:16,70:14,	NextEra [7] - 5:2,	occur [1] - 4:4	49:23, 61:13, 61:15,
55:1, 55:10, 55:17,	71:10, 85:24, 86:8,	20:9, 58:3, 59:16,	October [4] - 9:15,	74:23,84:20,85:6,
55:22, 57:4, 57:11,	86:9, 86:18, 88:23	62:1, 68:16, 80:17	33:1, 33:16, 34:6	92:7
57:19, 65:15, 65:23,	needed [3] - 34:7,	nice [1] - 17:12	OF [6] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:5,	ordered [2] - 32:20,
67:3, 67:25, 69:20,	79:19, 83:14	nilly [1] - 17:5	93:1, 93:3	34:9
72:22, 73:14, 83:7,	needs [8] - 17:19,	nine [1] - 80:3	offer [1] - 36:9	orderly [1] - 31:25
83:18, 91:8, 92:12	17:20, 17:21, 19:17,	nobody 's [1] - 13:16	offered [1] - 81:17	orders [1] - 61:23
multiple [1] - 59:9 murkier [2] - 88:9	76:10, 89:6, 89:9	Noick [1] - 11:3	office [4] - 12:9, 22:4,	ordinance [1] - 55:13
	negotiated [1] - 45:9	noise [37] - 3:20, 3:25,	84:20	ordinances [1] - 17:25
Murphy [33] - 1:19, 5:1, 18:23, 23:13,	Nelson [20] - 3:3, 7:19,	5:18, 5:20, 5:24,	officer [2] - 38:8, 85:6	original [6] - 15:6,
5:1, 18:23, 23:13, 23:24, 24:11, 26:2,	14:3, 20:21, 26:25,	7:17, 8:6, 8:7, 8:21,	offices [1] - 12:14	15:7, 28:15, 45:4,
23.24, 24.11, 20.2, 27:1, 32:22, 35:9,	27:19, 31:20, 44:7,	10:18, 11:7, 11:20,	official [1] - 21:15	51:19, 76:21
45:1, 46:14, 46:19,	51:10, 53:8, 53:21,	11:24, 18:16, 25:10,	offtakers [1] - 23:3	originally [4] - 29:8,
52:25, 53:2, 53:14,	57:11, 69:10, 71:6,	25:14, 32:6, 38:1,	often [1] - 59:25	31:4, 61:16, 74:8
53:18, 53:19, 56:9,	72:6, 79:5, 79:16,	41:3, 41:13, 41:16,	on-site [3] - 22:6,	Orlando [1] - 22:4
57:24, 58:13, 59:11,	89:14, 90:5, 90:16	42:7, 47:24, 47:25,	24:19, 32:25	otherwise [2] - 73:6,
64:9, 64:21, 67:12,	NELSON [96] - 1:10, 3:1, 5:4, 9:20, 13:21,	48:21, 55:11, 55:14, 61:6, 63:25, 64:5,	once [2] - 4:4, 71:18	89:7
70:17, 75:1, 75:2,	18:5, 18:20, 19:6,	64:6, 64:11, 64:16,	one [36] - 3:5, 6:6, 7:1,	Otter [3] - 22:20,
76:25, 79:11, 84:7,	20:6, 20:20, 21:4,	81:16, 82:8, 83:4	11:7, 13:22, 16:13, 16:22, 19:25, 21:9,	22:24, 23:18 ourselves [1] - 31:17
91:13	21:11, 22:12, 23:10,	none [2] - 16:22, 90:9	22:2, 27:21, 30:7,	outlined [2] - 24:4,
MURPHY [42] - 18:24,	26:2, 26:7, 26:12,	nonparticipating [1] -	30:19, 32:17, 35:14,	66:14
19:13, 23:13, 23:24,	27:6, 27:13, 27:17,	15:15	35:25, 41:3, 45:23,	output [14] - 20:14,
24:11, 24:22, 25:13,	28:1, 28:25, 31:15,	nonparticipator [1] -	46:2, 46:11, 46:17,	21:6, 25:25, 26:9,
25:16, 26:5, 26:21,	31:24, 37:10, 39:4,	55:17	46:25, 47:15, 50:4,	26:13, 26:14, 26:17,
27:2, 27:11, 27:19,	39:16, 40:9, 40:16,	nonpermitted [1] -	54:17, 55:10, 56:3,	26:19, 62:10, 63:9,
28:4, 28:21, 32:22,	40:19, 44:4, 51:12,	38:9	57:12, 62:22, 80:16,	63:19, 63:24, 63:25,
33:2, 33:4, 35:9,	51:25, 52:5, 52:12,	normal [1] - 52:17	82:24, 82:25, 83:19,	91:14
44:25, 53:3, 53:7,	52:24, 53:5, 53:10,	Northern [1] - 45:17	84:2, 85:10, 89:20	outside [1] - 9:22
53:21, 56:4, 56:9,	53:16, 54:2, 54:19,	Notary [2] - 93:7,	ones [6] - 6:13, 6:23,	outstanding [1] -
56:12, 58:13, 59:11,	54:24, 55:4, 55:15,	93:18	13:3, 27:23, 33:17,	72:12
64:7, 64:9, 64:23,	55:25,56:13,56:18,	note [2] - 49:9, 81:15	39:20	overlooked [1] - 49:12
65:9, 67:18, 75:2,	57:6, 57:15, 57:22,	noted [1] - 4:2	Onida [2] - 1:24, 93:13	overseeing [1] - 20:10
75:20, 79:11, 79:15,	58:4, 62:8, 62:21,	notes [1] - 4:20	online [1] - 25:7	overstates [1] - 50:10
79:24, 80:3, 84:8,	63:7, 63:14, 63:22,	nothing [2] - 14:18,	open [6] - 18:12,	overview [1] - 3:17
91:16, 91:21 Murphy 's [1] - 86:13	64:8, 64:20, 65:6,	38:2	18:13, 21:24, 49:6,	owned [3] - 9:9, 33:6,
	65:12, 65:19, 66:2,	noticed [1] - 82:4	62:18,90:1	33:14
must [4] - 10:21, 16:23, 17:1, 54:18	67:9, 67:19, 68:19,	notifying [2] - 8:16,	open -ended [1] - 49:6	
mute [1] - 72:7	69:9, 70:1, 70:3,	49:11	operate [3] - 11:21,	Р
nute [1] - 12.1	70:6, 70:20, 70:23, 71:2, 71:7, 71:19,	NSP [1] - 68:16	24:6, 58:25	n m
N	74:18, 74:22, 75:15,	nuances [4] - 35:21,	operated [4] - 14:15,	p.m [1] - 92:24
	76:7, 76:24, 78:11,	74:3,74:14,83:9	48:3, 48:22, 65:21	package [1] - 62:19
name [3] - 20:8, 59:15,	78:24, 79:2, 82:23,	number [9] - 21:17,	operating [5] - 22:7,	page [3] - 51:19,
68:2	83:10, 84:6, 84:24,	30:13, 35:10, 37:19,	48:1, 64:16, 64:18,	55:18, 58:20
nameplate [11] - 40:1,	85:10, 87:15, 89:12,	48:5, 48:9, 55:5,	90:22	paid [1] - 12:7
49:14, 58:12, 61:1,	90:8, 90:13, 90:16,	79:19, 80:5	operation [2] - 45:7,	parameter [4] - 20:16,
64:21,65:7,65:15,	91:11, 91:17, 92:2,	numbers [7] - 28:11,	91:5	20:18, 62:15, 62:18 parameters [3] -
65:17, 89:1, 89:4,	92:20	28:20, 29:18, 30:15,	operational [5] - 23:5,	62:20, 63:2, 77:25
89:21	network [1] - 21:20	32:7, 75:4, 77:21	44:12, 45:20, 58:5, 66:21	parse [1] - 20:22
nameplated [1] - 65:4		numerous [1] - 35:5	66:21	paroo [1] - 20.22

part [8] - 45:25, 50:16,	permits [19] - 10:6,	18:14, 19:14, 20:2,	proceeding [1] - 92:24	providing [1] - 72:16 10
54:4, 56:5, 63:24,	12:2, 12:4, 12:5,	20:14, 21:7, 32:1,	Proceeding [1] - 1:7	provision [1] - 45:3
			_	
73:6, 82:12, 91:8	12:10, 12:15, 13:12,	35:23, 37:17, 42:14,	proceedings [4] - 2:1,	PTCs [3] - 68:15,
participating [1] -	16:20, 16:22, 18:1,	46:17, 62:14, 74:18,	78:5, 93:9, 93:12	79:19
15:15	38:8, 83:2, 83:6,	75:21, 76:2, 77:4,	process [14] - 7:12,	Public [4] - 47:5,
particular [5] - 24:10,	83:20, 83:22, 83:23,	82:20, 85:17, 88:17,	10:25, 13:15, 18:17,	81:10, 93:7, 93:18
36:3, 59:13, 75:18,	84:5	92:9	25:22, 51:24, 52:17,	PUBLIC [2] - 1:1, 1:9
77:12	permitted [11] - 5:17,	points [1] - 61:9	58:20,66:14,85:1,	public [2] - 6:23, 92:9
parties [6] - 36:16,	6:8, 6:12, 12:23,	poo [1] - 40:4	88:8, 88:15, 88:18,	PUC [16] - 6:11, 6:17,
40:23, 51:14, 66:22,	17:23, 27:8, 38:10,	poo -poos [1] - 40:4	89:5	6:22, 7:15, 8:16,
69:3, 73:7	52:8, 65:19, 75:22,	poorly [2] - 8:11,	processes [1] - 66:22	10:14, 12:17, 12:21,
parts [1] - 9:11	91:7	88:17	procured [1] - 36:8	16:20, 30:22, 32:14,
party [2] - 34:22,	permitting [1] - 55:12	poos [1] - 40:4	procurement [1] -	39:19, 40:3, 52:11,
36:19	person [5] - 27:9,	portfolio [1] - 61:25	36:6	81:13
	33:8, 34:16, 36:7,	portion [1] - 77:12		
passed [1] - 38:16	38:22		produce [4] - 23:19,	punch [1] - 5:8
passwords [1] - 21:19		position [2] - 45:7,	35:3, 60:18	punish [1] - 16:16
past [5] - 33:11, 49:14,	personally [1] - 4:25	89:8	produced [1] - 49:13	purchase [2] - 23:23,
66:23, 73:7, 81:9	perspective [1] -	positive [1] - 74:12	production [2] - 61:2,	61:23
Patrick [1] - 1:14	71:20	possession [2] - 33:6,	61:14	Purchase [1] - 60:12
Paulson [1] - 1:13	pertinent [1] - 43:25	33:10	Professional [2] -	purchased [4] - 61:11,
Pause [1] - 53:20	Peterson [1] - 11:10	possibility [1] - 23:8	93:6, 93:19	61:18, 61:22, 62:5
pay [1] - 60:5	phase [5] - 24:15,	possible [1] - 28:12	profile [11] - 39:23,	purpose [1] - 73:4
paying [2] - 65:8,	50:17, 50:18, 90:21,	possibly [1] - 79:9	40:6, 48:21, 51:21,	purposely [1] - 20:24
66:17	91:9	postpone [1] - 85:16	61:7, 64:5, 64:6,	purposes [4] - 19:17,
penalty [1] - 45:10	phone [14] - 3:4, 7:1,	Power [2] - 45:17,	64:11, 64:16, 64:17,	75:6, 75:12, 87:7
	11:19, 13:22, 19:5,	60:12	64:18	
people [6] - 15:23,	19:24, 20:1, 24:23,			pursue [2] - 52:22,
27:20, 47:14, 70:17,	27:20, 33:8, 36:3,	power [7] - 23:23,	programmed [3] - 4:3,	73:12
89:25,92:17		62:14, 62:15, 63:13,	28:9, 61:4	pursued [2] - 73:7,
per [14] - 3:24, 4:5,	36:10, 37:5, 75:14	66:18, 81:21, 82:9	progress [2] - 4:8,	73:18
4:18, 24:5, 24:6,	phonetic [3] - 11:3,	PPA [4] - 66:6, 66:7,	59:25	put [10] - 14:24, 15:25,
24:9, 24:18, 25:3,	11:17, 12:13	66:17,68:9	project [28] - 4:11, 7:2,	25:7, 27:11, 37:17,
				,,,,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5,	photograph [1] -	practical [1] - 91:12	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7,
	photograph [1] - 58:22	practical [1] - 91:12 prairie [1] - 15:13		
44:13, 68:9, 69:5,			7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22	58:22	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10,	58:22 photographic [1] -	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] -	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4,	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] -	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23,	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18,	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18,	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects $[7] - 4:13$, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects $[7] - 4:13$, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25 permissible [1] -	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6,	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarter[1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25 permissible [1] - 91:10	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14,	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7,
$\begin{array}{c} 44:13,68:9,69:5,\\74:25,75:22\\ \textbf{percent} \ [8] - 5:20,\\8:20,38:19,42:10,\\42:18,42:19,75:9,\\79:20\\ \textbf{perception} \ [1] - 8:6\\ \textbf{Perfect} \ [1] - 82:17\\ \textbf{perfectly} \ [2] - 34:18,\\86:13\\ \textbf{perhaps} \ [3] - 37:5,\\53:11,92:14\\ \textbf{period} \ [4] - 37:2,87:1,\\87:11,87:25\\ \textbf{permissible} \ [1] - \\91:10\\ \textbf{permission} \ [1] - 21:23\\ \end{array}$	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15,	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25 permissible [1] - 91:10 permission [1] - 21:23 PERMIT [1] - 1:5	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6,	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 pretty [1] - 43:19	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25 permissible [1] - 91:10 permission [1] - 21:23 PERMIT [1] - 1:5 permit [34] - 3:7, 3:21, 6:2, 7:14, 8:4, 8:19,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 pretty [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] -	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25 permissible [1] - 91:10 permission [1] - 21:23 PERMIT [1] - 1:5 permit [34] - 3:7, 3:21, 6:2, 7:14, 8:4, 8:19, 10:18, 14:14, 14:16,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15 planning [3] - 12:9,	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 prety [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] - 87:20	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13 propose [2] - 19:25, 32:12	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 47:4, 49:4,
$\begin{array}{c} 44:13,68:9,69:5,\\74:25,75:22\\ \textbf{percent} [8]-5:20,\\8:20,38:19,42:10,\\42:18,42:19,75:9,\\79:20\\ \textbf{perception} [1]-8:6\\ \textbf{Perfect} [1]-82:17\\ \textbf{perfectly} [2]-34:18,\\86:13\\ \textbf{perhaps} [3]-37:5,\\53:11,92:14\\ \textbf{period} [4]-37:2,87:1,\\87:11,87:25\\ \textbf{permissible} [1]-\\91:10\\ \textbf{permission} [1]-21:23\\ \textbf{PERMIT} [1]-1:5\\ \textbf{permit} [34]-3:7,3:21,\\6:2,7:14,8:4,8:19,\\10:18,14:14,14:16,\\16:23,17:1,17:20,\\ \end{array}$	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15 planning [3] - 12:9, 38:7, 87:23	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 pretty [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] - 87:20 previously [1] - 36:23	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13 propose [2] - 19:25, 32:12 proposes [2] - 3:22,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 47:4, 49:4, 49:5, 50:25, 51:9,
$\begin{array}{c} 44:13,68:9,69:5,\\74:25,75:22\\ \textbf{percent} \ [8] - 5:20,\\8:20,38:19,42:10,\\42:18,42:19,75:9,\\79:20\\ \textbf{perception} \ [1] - 8:6\\ \textbf{Perfect} \ [1] - 82:17\\ \textbf{perfectly} \ [2] - 34:18,\\86:13\\ \textbf{perhaps} \ [3] - 37:5,\\53:11,92:14\\ \textbf{period} \ [4] - 37:2,87:1,\\87:11,87:25\\ \textbf{permissible} \ [1] - \\91:10\\ \textbf{permission} \ [1] - 21:23\\ \textbf{PERMIT} \ [1] - 1:5\\ \textbf{permit} \ [34] - 3:7,3:21,\\6:2,7:14,8:4,8:19,\\10:18,14:14,14:16,\\16:23,17:1,17:20,\\39:20,52:1,65:14,\\ \end{array}$	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15 planning [3] - 12:9, 38:7, 87:23 plate [3] - 60:22,	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 pretty [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] - 87:20 previously [1] - 36:23 primarily [1] - 57:9	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13 propose [2] - 19:25, 32:12 proposes [2] - 3:22, 4:6	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 47:4, 49:4, 49:5, 50:25, 51:9, 51:10, 51:13, 54:3,
$\begin{array}{c} 44:13,68:9,69:5,\\74:25,75:22\\ \textbf{percent} \ [8] - 5:20,\\8:20,38:19,42:10,\\42:18,42:19,75:9,\\79:20\\ \textbf{perception} \ [1] - 8:6\\ \textbf{Perfect} \ [1] - 82:17\\ \textbf{perfectly} \ [2] - 34:18,\\86:13\\ \textbf{perhaps} \ [3] - 37:5,\\53:11,92:14\\ \textbf{period} \ [4] - 37:2,87:1,\\87:11,87:25\\ \textbf{permissible} \ [1] - \\91:10\\ \textbf{permission} \ [1] - 21:23\\ \textbf{PERMIT} \ [1] - 1:5\\ \textbf{permit} \ [34] - 3:7,3:21,\\6:2,7:14,8:4,8:19,\\10:18,14:14,14:16,\\16:23,17:1,17:20,\\39:20,52:1,65:14,\\65:15,65:22,74:25,\\ \end{array}$	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15 planning [3] - 12:9, 38:7, 87:23 plate [3] - 60:22, 69:15, 70:13	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 pretty [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] - 87:20 previously [1] - 36:23 primarily [1] - 57:9 pro [1] - 1:21	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13 propose [2] - 19:25, 32:12 proposes [2] - 3:22, 4:6 protect [1] - 11:1	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarter[1] - 74:20 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 47:4, 49:4, 49:5, 50:25, 51:9, 51:10, 51:13, 54:3, 54:10, 61:10, 67:10,
$\begin{array}{c} 44:13,68:9,69:5,\\74:25,75:22\\ \textbf{percent} \ [8] - 5:20,\\8:20,38:19,42:10,\\42:18,42:19,75:9,\\79:20\\ \textbf{perception} \ [1] - 8:6\\ \textbf{Perfect} \ [1] - 82:17\\ \textbf{perfectly} \ [2] - 34:18,\\86:13\\ \textbf{perhaps} \ [3] - 37:5,\\53:11,92:14\\ \textbf{period} \ [4] - 37:2,87:1,\\87:11,87:25\\ \textbf{permissible} \ [1] - \\91:10\\ \textbf{permission} \ [1] - 21:23\\ \textbf{PERMIT} \ [1] - 1:5\\ \textbf{permit} \ [34] - 3:7,3:21,\\6:2,7:14,8:4,8:19,\\10:18,14:14,14:16,\\16:23,17:1,17:20,\\39:20,52:1,65:14,\\65:15,65:22,74:25,\\75:17,76:21,77:12,\\ \end{array}$	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15 planning [3] - 12:9, 38:7, 87:23 plate [3] - 60:22, 69:15, 70:13 platform [5] - 21:1,	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 pretty [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] - 87:20 previously [1] - 36:23 primarily [1] - 57:9 pro [1] - 1:21 problem [2] - 9:9,	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13 propose [2] - 19:25, 32:12 proposes [2] - 3:22, 4:6 protect [1] - 11:1 provide [2] - 3:16, 4:6	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 47:4, 49:4, 49:5, 50:25, 51:9, 51:10, 51:13, 54:3, 54:10, 61:10, 67:10, 68:21, 70:4, 70:13,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25 permissible [1] - 91:10 permission [1] - 21:23 PERMIT [1] - 1:5 permit [34] - 3:7, 3:21, 6:2, 7:14, 8:4, 8:19, 10:18, 14:14, 14:16, 16:23, 17:1, 17:20, 39:20, 52:1, 65:14, 65:15, 65:22, 74:25, 75:17, 76:21, 77:12, 78:5, 78:16, 81:5,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15 planning [3] - 12:9, 38:7, 87:23 plate [3] - 60:22, 69:15, 70:13 platform [6] - 21:1, 35:2, 35:4, 48:23,	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 pretty [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] - 87:20 previously [1] - 36:23 primarily [1] - 57:9 pro [1] - 1:21	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13 propose [2] - 19:25, 32:12 proposes [2] - 3:22, 4:6 provide [2] - 3:16, 4:6 provide [6] - 43:23,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 47:4, 49:4, 49:5, 50:25, 51:9, 51:10, 51:13, 54:3, 54:10, 61:10, 67:10, 68:21, 70:4, 70:13, 71:3, 71:12, 71:25, 72:4, 74:4, 74:16,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25 permissible [1] - 91:10 permission [1] - 21:23 PERMIT [1] - 1:5 permit [34] - 3:7, 3:21, 6:2, 7:14, 8:4, 8:19, 10:18, 14:14, 14:16, 16:23, 17:1, 17:20, 39:20, 52:1, 65:14, 65:15, 65:22, 74:25, 75:17, 76:21, 77:12, 78:5, 78:16, 81:5, 81:12, 82:11, 84:3,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15 planning [3] - 12:9, 38:7, 87:23 plate [3] - 60:22, 69:15, 70:13 platform [5] - 21:1, 35:2, 35:4, 48:23, 58:1	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 pretty [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] - 87:20 previously [1] - 36:23 primarily [1] - 57:9 pro [1] - 1:21 problem [2] - 9:9,	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13 propose [2] - 19:25, 32:12 proposes [2] - 3:22, 4:6 protect [1] - 11:1 provide [2] - 3:16, 4:6 provided [6] - 43:23, 52:16, 52:25, 56:5,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 47:4, 49:4, 49:5, 50:25, 51:9, 51:10, 51:13, 54:3, 54:10, 61:10, 67:10, 68:21, 70:4, 70:13, 71:3, 71:12, 71:25, 72:4, 74:4, 74:16, 77:5, 77:7, 78:25,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25 permissible [1] - 91:10 permission [1] - 21:23 PERMIT [1] - 1:5 permit [34] - 3:7, 3:21, 6:2, 7:14, 8:4, 8:19, 10:18, 14:14, 14:16, 16:23, 17:1, 17:20, 39:20, 52:1, 65:14, 65:15, 65:22, 74:25, 75:17, 76:21, 77:12, 78:5, 78:16, 81:5, 81:12, 82:11, 84:3, 86:4, 86:19, 89:2,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15 planning [3] - 12:9, 38:7, 87:23 plate [3] - 60:22, 69:15, 70:13 platform [5] - 21:1, 35:2, 35:4, 48:23, 58:1 plenty [2] - 9:12, 9:14	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 prety [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] - 87:20 previously [1] - 36:23 primarily [1] - 57:9 pro [1] - 1:21 problem [2] - 9:9, 88:22	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13 propose [2] - 19:25, 32:12 proposes [2] - 3:22, 4:6 protect [1] - 11:1 provide [2] - 3:16, 4:6 provided [6] - 43:23, 52:16, 52:25, 56:5, 78:6, 82:12	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 47:4, 49:4, 49:5, 50:25, 51:9, 51:10, 51:13, 54:3, 54:10, 61:10, 67:10, 68:21, 70:4, 70:13, 71:3, 71:12, 71:25, 72:4, 74:4, 74:16, 77:5, 77:7, 78:25, 79:3, 80:13, 83:16,
44:13, 68:9, 69:5, 74:25, 75:22 percent [8] - 5:20, 8:20, 38:19, 42:10, 42:18, 42:19, 75:9, 79:20 perception [1] - 8:6 Perfect [1] - 82:17 perfectly [2] - 34:18, 86:13 perhaps [3] - 37:5, 53:11, 92:14 period [4] - 37:2, 87:1, 87:11, 87:25 permissible [1] - 91:10 permission [1] - 21:23 PERMIT [1] - 1:5 permit [34] - 3:7, 3:21, 6:2, 7:14, 8:4, 8:19, 10:18, 14:14, 14:16, 16:23, 17:1, 17:20, 39:20, 52:1, 65:14, 65:15, 65:22, 74:25, 75:17, 76:21, 77:12, 78:5, 78:16, 81:5, 81:12, 82:11, 84:3,	58:22 photographic [1] - 27:24 photos [1] - 9:18 physically [1] - 58:11 pick [1] - 18:9 picked [1] - 67:21 pickup [1] - 12:12 picture [1] - 92:22 pictures [4] - 14:23, 17:9, 18:13, 64:25 piece [1] - 68:17 pieces [1] - 60:4 Pierre [1] - 2:3 pitch [2] - 63:3, 76:7 place [6] - 7:6, 21:15, 29:24, 40:23, 87:6, 88:3 placed [1] - 69:15 planning [3] - 12:9, 38:7, 87:23 plate [3] - 60:22, 69:15, 70:13 platform [5] - 21:1, 35:2, 35:4, 48:23, 58:1	prairie [1] - 15:13 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] - 78:14, 78:19 preference [1] - 54:8 prefers [1] - 54:4 present [2] - 3:4, 31:18 presentation [1] - 19:11 presented [3] - 14:18, 16:7, 39:25 president [1] - 67:25 pressed [2] - 72:6, 87:25 pressure [3] - 15:14, 49:15, 49:21 prety [1] - 43:19 preventable [1] - 87:20 previously [1] - 36:23 primarily [1] - 57:9 pro [1] - 1:21 problem [2] - 9:9, 88:22 problems [1] - 91:1	7:3, 8:11, 8:14, 8:23, 11:2, 13:1, 15:5, 15:23, 16:4, 16:12, 17:19, 17:20, 26:13, 31:8, 35:11, 35:13, 35:21, 36:11, 37:21, 38:5, 41:5, 50:17, 60:9, 60:13, 61:17, 78:20 projected [1] - 54:12 projects [7] - 4:13, 13:14, 20:11, 35:10, 36:13, 61:25, 62:4 promotion [1] - 47:20 proper [2] - 49:16, 90:23 properly [1] - 80:6 property [2] - 50:1, 55:13 propose [2] - 19:25, 32:12 proposes [2] - 3:22, 4:6 protect [1] - 11:1 provide [2] - 3:16, 4:6 provided [6] - 43:23, 52:16, 52:25, 56:5,	45:7, 48:8, 52:7, 64:12, 85:23 putting [1] - 87:23 Q qualify [2] - 61:14, 79:19 quarter [1] - 74:20 quarterly [1] - 4:7 quarters [1] - 11:8 questions [56] - 4:23, 10:2, 21:5, 22:10, 23:12, 26:3, 26:4, 26:8, 27:18, 28:2, 28:21, 29:2, 29:4, 35:12, 35:22, 36:10, 36:12, 37:15, 41:7, 41:8, 43:24, 44:1, 44:7, 47:4, 49:4, 49:5, 50:25, 51:9, 51:10, 51:13, 54:3, 54:10, 61:10, 67:10, 68:21, 70:4, 70:13, 71:3, 71:12, 71:25, 72:4, 74:4, 74:16, 77:5, 77:7, 78:25,

88:22, 89:17, 90:5	recently [1] - 68:7	28:9, 49:22, 92:1	response [10] - 18:18,	6:25, 10:23, 11:18, 11
quite [2] - 30:15,	receptor [1] - 55:16	remainder [1] - 77:20	32:1, 39:17, 44:11,	18:9, 39:12, 90:19
47:14	recess [9] - 70:17,	remember [6] - 9:17,	52:20, 53:25, 78:13,	rotation [1] - 63:23
47.14			80:8, 81:23, 81:25	
	70:22, 71:3, 71:9,	44:15, 45:21, 46:1,		rotor [2] - 48:17, 63:21
R	72:1, 74:19, 74:20,	46:2, 47:13	responses [2] - 68:5,	roughly [1] - 23:19
R-O-M-E [1] - 68:2	74:21, 81:15	remind [1] - 55:16	81:17	round [2] - 28:2, 82:1
raise [1] - 38:19	recognize [1] - 42:17	remodeled [1] - 29:24	responsible [1] -	rounds [3] - 27:18,
raised [7] - 7:16, 9:21,	recognizes [1] - 88:21	remote [1] - 22:3	20:10	37:14
,	recommend [1] - 82:3	removed [1] - 50:21	rest [4] - 12:19, 54:3,	row [1] - 11:16
37:20, 37:24, 70:11,	recommended [1] -	report [2] - 4:2, 4:20	72:15, 79:9	RPM [2] - 62:17, 62:25
83:1, 84:16	24:17	Reported [1] - 1:24	restraint [1] - 86:7	RPMs [1] - 62:12
raising [1] - 35:24	reconsideration [1] -	reported [3] - 12:2,	restricted [2] - 20:24,	RPR [1] - 1:24
ramble [1] - 82:16	19:16	12:8, 32:8	21:3	rules [2] - 13:15,
ran [4] - 28:6, 32:6,	record [3] - 20:7, 71:1,	reporter [2] - 31:17,	restrictions [4] -	16:23
50:4, 57:7	76:1	93:9	25:15, 35:1, 35:5	run [14] - 3:3, 19:22,
rate [1] - 45:12	rectified [1] - 9:5	Reporter [4] - 93:6,	resulted [1] - 29:21	23:15, 25:1, 25:16,
rates [1] - 46:18	redirects [1] - 40:4	93:19, 93:19	results [1] - 29:25	25:25, 32:5, 43:6,
rather [3] - 28:14,	redone [1] - 87:7	Reporting [1] - 1:24	revenue [1] - 40:1	43:11, 57:5, 57:16,
54:6,90:25	reduce [1] - 24:19	reports [2] - 28:15,	review [5] - 29:18,	64:1, 65:25, 81:25
rationale [1] - 86:14	reduced [1] - 7:21	29:18	50:9, 76:4, 76:15,	running [4] - 23:16,
re [1] - 27:5	reduced [1] - 7.21 reduces [1] - 24:8	representation [2] -	76:19	24:1, 24:13, 43:16
re-studied [1] - 27:5	reduces [1] - 24.8 redundant [2] - 63:19,	16:3, 41:19	reviewed [4] - 49:11,	27.1, 27.10, 40.10
reach [1] - 46:10		represented [2] -	49:16, 68:7, 78:4	S
reached [1] - 47:8	72:10	• • • •		3
read [1] - 48:6	reference [2] - 57:24,	67:13,86:3	reviews [1] - 52:18	safe [12] - 19:21,
readily [1] - 85:22	58:1	representing [3] -	revoked [1] - 17:21	19:23, 61:13, 61:15,
reading [1] - 35:19	referring [4] - 29:12,	41:19, 48:13, 48:14	reworked [1] - 66:15	62:6, 75:8, 75:9,
ready [3] - 37:2, 46:3,	30:1, 54:21, 54:23	represents [1] - 15:12	Rezac [1] - 1:15	75:10, 79:18, 79:19,
91:19	refiled [1] - 29:24	request [23] - 3:10,	Ridge [23] - 1:20, 3:7,	79:23, 79:24
	reflect [2] - 6:24, 8:17	3:17, 4:1, 4:10, 4:14,	3:12, 3:19, 3:22, 4:6,	sat [1] - 10:23
real [5] - 11:3, 12:19,	refund [1] - 66:19	4:15, 4:21, 14:4,	4:10, 4:16, 5:17,	saving [1] - 30:22
59:3, 66:5, 81:22	regard [2] - 36:22,	14:6, 14:10, 14:12,	5:19, 6:4, 7:15, 14:5,	saw [2] - 6:6, 13:4
realistic [3] - 15:14,	67:23	18:4, 32:6, 40:2,	16:20, 17:5, 17:21,	
15:16, 57:17	regarding [6] - 22:10,	49:16, 50:10, 55:23,	40:4, 55:24, 62:3,	SB-15 [1] - 17:3
reality [1] - 72:18	27:7, 29:7, 36:18,	56:6,72:14,75:12,	72:2, 84:2, 84:4	scattered [1] - 51:14
realize [1] - 38:21	41:9, 74:6	82:10, 82:12, 88:12	RIDGE [1] - 1:4	scenario [1] - 30:8
really [16] - 29:17,	regardless [1] - 43:22	requested [1] - 20:19	Ridge 's [2] - 3:17,	SCHUMACHER [3] -
41:7, 42:4, 43:15,	regards [1] - 21:6	requests [2] - 19:16,	4:21	3:13, 84:12, 85:1
44:22, 46:3, 47:2,	Registered [2] - 93:5,	86:16	riding [1] - 12:12	Schumacher [5] -
51:1, 51:3, 60:1,	93:19	require [1] - 36:2	RINIKER [2] - 40:18,	1:19, 3:14, 84:9,
69:13,69:16,69:22,	regular [3] - 11:11,	required [3] - 14:14,	40:24	84:13, 84:24
82:25, 84:4, 88:7	27:18, 28:2	68:10, 78:15	rises [1] - 36:18	screen [1] - 20:18
realm [1] - 28:22	regulations [1] - 13:15	requirements [2] -	risk [1] - 68:15	se [1] - 1:21
Realtime [2] - 93:6,	regulator [1] - 45:14	25:10, 25:11	Rislov [2] - 22:13,	searched [1] - 75:20
93:19	regulators [1] - 46:20	requires [1] - 18:15	22:14	searching [1] - 40:13
reask [1] - 77:17	regulatory [5] - 39:19,	requiring [1] - 11:11	RISLOV [4] - 22:14,	season [3] - 56:25,
reason [10] - 22:23,	40:3, 46:19, 66:11,	research [2] - 71:13,	22:22, 23:4, 23:9	57:9, 57:10
25:17, 28:10, 34:9,	76:23	71:16	Rislov 's [1] - 26:9	second [12] - 3:24,
34:10, 55:9, 60:24,		residence [4] - 43:4,	road [2] - 11:14, 41:18	4:5, 4:18, 24:5, 24:6,
73:2, 73:5, 83:11	Reichert [1] - 1:15	54:12, 56:15, 56:17	roads [1] - 41:1	24:9, 24:18, 25:3,
reasonable [2] -	related [1] - 40:25	resolve [1] - 40:25	Robish [3] - 10:1,	30:7, 31:6, 73:10
34:18, 43:12	relating [1] - 41:5	resolved [6] - 69:16,	39:4, 39:6	secondly [1] - 86:12
rebuttal [1] - 18:21	relation [1] - 54:11	69:17, 84:22, 85:18,	ROBISH [2] - 10:4,	seconds [1] - 57:20
receive [2] - 25:20,	relationship [3] - 37:7,	85:21, 90:20	39:6	section [1] - 79:12
32:21	42:14, 88:24			secure [1] - 21:19
received [6] - 32:25,	relationships [1] -	Resources [2] - 5:2,	Rome [8] - 67:4, 67:9,	securing [1] - 8:13
		59:16	67:20,67:25,69:20,	- · ·
	46:6	*****************	70.4 70.47 70.00	See [24] - 9.21 10.12
33:17, 34:3, 34:11,	relayed [1] - 11:22	respect [5] - 14:14,	70:1, 72:17, 72:23	see [24] - 9:21, 10:12, 12:14 14:23 17:18
33:17, 34:3, 34:11, 39:25, 87:21		35:16, 66:2, 86:7,	ROME [4] - 67:25,	12:14, 14:23, 17:18,
33:17, 34:3, 34:11, 39:25, 87:21 receiving [2] - 33:15,	relayed [1] - 11:22	35:16, 66:2, 86:7, 86:9	ROME [4] - 67:25, 69:20, 72:22, 73:14	12:14, 14:23, 17:18, 18:10, 28:2, 29:2,
33:17, 34:3, 34:11, 39:25, 87:21 receiving [2] - 33:15, 33:24	relayed [1] - 11:22 relevant [6] - 14:4,	35:16, 66:2, 86:7, 86:9 respond [4] - 29:16,	ROME [4] - 67:25, 69:20, 72:22, 73:14 Room [1] - 3:2	12:14, 14:23, 17:18, 18:10, 28:2, 29:2, 40:14, 44:17, 49:23,
33:17, 34:3, 34:11, 39:25, 87:21 receiving [2] - 33:15,	relayed [1] - 11:22 relevant [6] - 14:4, 14:5, 19:2, 19:19,	35:16, 66:2, 86:7, 86:9	ROME [4] - 67:25, 69:20, 72:22, 73:14	12:14, 14:23, 17:18, 18:10, 28:2, 29:2,

68:21,69:24,70:24,	74:10,81:8	76:19, 76:22, 77:24,	Staff 's [3] - 4:20, 50:8,	stuff [3] - 10:16, 12
73:1, 76:8, 77:1,	simple [2] - 36:21,	78:6, 78:7, 81:3,	82:9	13:18, 89:25
85:15, 87:6, 87:10,	43:17	81:7, 81:21, 82:4,	stand [1] - 49:24	subject [6] - 23:12,
89:25	sincerely [1] - 72:6	82:6, 82:9, 86:15,		
		86:23, 86:24, 87:6,	standard [3] - 66:14,	28:18, 64:23, 68:10,
seeing [2] - 54:15,	single [1] - 16:22		81:6, 81:7	72:25, 73:5
55:25	sit [1] - 37:13	89:4	standpoint [3] - 36:25,	submit [1] - 26:18
seek [1] - 73:3	site [12] - 12:6, 12:11,	sounds [1] - 73:11	73:25,91:12	submitted [20] - 4:11,
send [1] - 25:20	21:1, 21:3, 22:5,	South [8] - 1:24, 2:2,	start [13] - 8:12, 13:2,	5:24, 6:12, 6:22, 7:8,
sense [9] - 34:20,	22:6, 23:19, 24:19,	2:4, 9:11, 14:13,	20:2, 44:8, 44:24,	7:24, 8:1, 14:6,
56:22, 57:10, 81:17,	32:25, 59:3, 59:8,	40:2, 93:7, 93:13	47:22, 51:15, 58:13,	14:22, 17:9, 29:19,
83:17, 87:3, 90:24,	61:21	south [2] - 11:7, 11:8	59:14, 59:24, 66:21,	30:4, 50:10, 52:11,
91:1, 91:12	sites [1] - 22:5	SOUTH [2] - 1:2, 93:1	75:4, 75:24	52:18, 53:9, 53:11,
sent [1] - 18:12	sits [1] - 68:15	speaker [3] - 29:6,	started [8] - 5:15,	53:23, 55:23, 55:24
September [2] - 34:3,	situation [2] - 44:12,	29:12, 72:6	7:12, 13:11, 33:15,	substantive [1] - 54:7
61:22	88:25	SPEAKER [2] - 42:2,	33:25, 34:1, 36:10,	substation [2] - 7:4,
series [8] - 51:13,	situational [1] - 73:15	79:23	49:5	19:23
58:16, 58:17, 58:24,	six [5] - 4:17, 8:2,	speaking [2] - 7:10,	starting [2] - 4:7, 51:5	subtle [1] - 80:22
59:13, 62:16, 68:3,	25:9, 32:8, 32:9	31:13	starts [1] - 63:20	suffer [1] - 7:1
75:7	size [5] - 12:22, 38:9,	special [2] - 14:16,	State [3] - 2:3, 3:2,	suggestions [1] -
serious [2] - 19:8,	41:8, 48:16, 83:4	66:11	93:7	55:11
36:25	slip [1] - 16:14	specific [6] - 21:25,	state [6] - 14:19,	SULLY [1] - 93:3
seriously [1] - 11:1		25:17, 35:16, 66:12,	17:24, 48:2, 65:6,	summer [4] - 13:6,
	slips [1] - 88:19			•••
served [1] - 66:18	slow [1] - 62:11	75:23, 76:13	83:23, 84:3	33:11, 41:21, 41:25
set [6] - 4:19, 62:13,	small [1] - 59:20	specifically [5] - 3:22,	STATE [2] - 1:2, 93:1	supersede [1] - 16:23
62:15, 66:12, 76:4,	snow [4] - 41:14, 42:5,	11:12, 75:22, 84:17,	statement [2] - 50:2,	supplemented [1] -
76:23	42:8, 82:5	86:1	72:9	15:8
setting [3] - 21:18,	so [1] - 52:11	specified [1] - 25:21	States [1] - 45:17	supplied [1] - 6:4
24:7, 24:19	softer [1] - 42:16	specify [3] - 22:16,	states [1] - 17:1	support [3] - 4:10,
settings [8] - 20:16,	software [13] - 15:10,	77:13, 77:21	status [3] - 34:23,	89:16,90:4
21:21, 21:25, 25:20,	25:24, 60:1, 60:6,	specs [1] - 60:10	50:19,67:12	supporting [2] - 4:15,
62:16, 62:18, 63:3,	62:10, 62:14, 62:19,	speculate [1] - 43:15	Steffensen [1] - 1:14	74:2
86:2	63:6, 64:11, 65:1,	speed [9] - 24:8,	step [1] - 58:20	supposed [7] - 6:2,
several [1] - 71:12	80:11, 80:21, 86:2	24:14, 25:2, 49:17,	stick [1] - 61:1	6:16, 8:13, 8:25, 9:1,
Shadow [1] - 32:5	someone [6] - 34:23,	63:5, 63:8, 63:9,	sticker [1] - 60:23	38:17, 58:5
shadow [5] - 32:7,	34:25, 35:7, 37:1,	63:12, 63:23	still [8] - 12:10, 14:1,	surprise [1] - 92:4
60:20, 76:19, 76:22,	37:8, 75:21	speeds [3] - 3:23, 4:4,	20:1, 55:20, 57:17,	surprises [1] - 92:3
77:24	sometime [1] - 9:15	4:17	74:12, 76:22, 81:4	surround [1] - 16:11
shall [4] - 3:9, 3:11,	sometimes [2] -	spinning [1] - 11:19	stop [5] - 9:3, 68:17,	surrounded [1] -
5:15, 14:15	73:15, 85:14	split [1] - 79:17	72:10, 84:20, 85:6	83:16
share [2] - 67:22,	somewhere [3] -	spoken [1] - 37:25	stopped [1] - 34:5	SWAG [1] - 42:24
77:13	16:19, 29:11, 57:3	spot [1] - 27:12	store [1] - 8:24	Sweetland [1] - 65:16
shifted [1] - 61:16	soon [1] - 75:24	SS [1] - 93:2	storm [2] - 17:16, 24:7	switched [1] - 50:5
shipped [2] - 9:16,	sorry [5] - 31:14,	STAFF [1] - 1:12	straight [1] - 38:5	switches [1] - 63:18
61:21	54:22, 59:18, 72:22,	Staff [49] - 1:23, 4:2,	Strandburg [1] - 11:18	system [3] - 21:24,
shoes [1] - 45:13	54.22, 59.16, 72.22, 80:5	6:14, 18:6, 18:8,	-	59:5, 63:20
short [2] - 37:2, 74:21		44:9, 44:21, 45:23,	structure [3] - 55:12,	
shorthand [2] - 93:9	sort [4] - 34:20, 59:24,		55:14, 65:7	systems [1] - 59:6
	68:5, 77:1	46:5, 46:9, 46:25, 47:6, 47:13, 47:23,	structures [1] - 15:16	
shots [1] - 20:18	sound [55] - 4:14,		studied [1] - 27:5	Т
show [3] - 4:16, 6:10,	4:19, 4:22, 7:11,	49:1, 49:2, 49:4,	studies [16] - 6:24,	Table [3] - 54:17
20:17	7:19, 7:21, 8:1, 8:7,	49:7, 49:8, 49:18,	8:12, 10:10, 10:13,	table [12] - 6:13, 30:4,
showing [3] - 15:24,	8:9, 15:4, 15:5,	49:23, 50:14, 50:23,	15:6, 15:7, 23:2,	
26:18, 50:20	15:10, 15:14, 16:3,	51:7, 52:18, 54:4,	48:6, 59:4, 59:8,	45:15, 53:22, 54:17, 54:23, 55:1, 58:7,
Shultz [1] - 3:14	16:6, 24:1, 29:7,	54:8, 55:4, 56:23,	60:19, 60:20, 61:6,	
shut [4] - 24:4, 24:17,	29:15, 30:3, 39:23,	65:13, 66:4, 67:14,	78:6, 78:7, 81:8	69:6, 76:17, 85:23
25:6, 39:10	40:6, 41:15, 42:11,	71:9, 71:10, 71:16,	study [17] - 6:10, 8:17,	tables [4] - 6:11, 16:7,
shutdown [1] - 24:7	43:3, 47:16, 47:17,	76:8, 76:12, 78:4,	9:4, 15:4, 16:3,	52:10, 76:16
sic [1] - 48:15	48:4, 48:6, 49:3,	78:12, 78:15, 81:1,	26:18, 47:16, 47:17,	Tail [3] - 22:20, 22:24,
signals [1] - 25:21	49:13, 49:15, 49:21,	81:10, 81:11, 81:14,	48:4, 76:15, 76:17,	23:18
significant [1] - 37:4	51:21, 57:1, 60:19,	82:20, 85:25, 86:6,	77:24,86:23,87:6,	tangential [1] - 66:4
similar [3] - 35:14,	66:1,76:15,76:16,	86:18,91:3	90:1, 92:15	tape [1] - 31:19
	1			

tariff [1] - 66:14	Tim [1] - 11:3	transactions [1] -	26:20, 27:22, 35:19,	44:10, 46:19, 49:25 , <u>1</u> 3
tax [2] - 61:14, 65:11	timely [1] - 36:12	66:25	36:8, 43:18, 48:4,	50:12, 51:4, 62:18,
taxation [1] - 65:7	today [47] - 3:3, 3:5,	transcribing [1] -	50:7, 50:12, 50:16,	64:25, 65:1, 66:12,
taxes [2] - 65:7, 65:8	4:23, 7:10, 8:9, 9:18,	31:18	50:18, 50:21, 51:22,	67:21, 72:7, 72:8,
team [3] - 22:5, 22:6,	9:23, 18:25, 19:3,	transcript [1] - 2:1	52:2, 52:7, 53:23,	74:5, 74:6, 77:1,
24:19	19:18, 27:14, 31:10,	Transcript [1] - 1:7	59:5, 59:10, 59:23,	80:21, 81:17, 88:5,
technical [4] - 49:19,	31:18, 35:24, 43:22,	transcription [1] -	60:2, 60:10, 60:11,	88:6, 88:7, 88:17,
58:15, 63:11, 75:3	47:1, 49:5, 49:10,	93:12	60:24, 61:11, 61:13,	90:18, 91:1
technically [1] - 58:10	50:7, 51:1, 51:4,	transformer [1] -	61:15, 61:18, 61:20,	updated [2] - 15:8,
temperatures [1] -	51:6, 51:8, 52:6,	63:16	61:24, 62:3, 62:6,	78:19
34:6	53:1, 54:1, 54:5,	transmission [1] - 7:5	62:7, 72:3, 75:18,	updates [1] - 4:7
temporary [4] - 3:10,	65:24, 66:5, 67:23,	transpire [1] - 66:25	76:14, 76:16, 76:18,	upgrade [1] - 64:11
3:18, 28:18, 32:6	69:6, 69:14, 69:15,	travel [2] - 42:11,	77:19, 77:25, 79:8,	upheld [1] - 84:21
term [4] - 15:16, 58:2,	70:13, 70:22, 71:11,	42:15	80:1, 80:18, 82:7,	UTILITIES [2] - 1:1,
58:6, 58:8	73:23, 74:11, 74:15,	travels [2] - 41:15,	90:22	1:9
terms [4] - 14:17,	81:5, 85:21, 85:23,	42:8	turn [2] - 80:11, 91:1	Utilities [2] - 47:5,
60:4, 60:17, 60:19	86:3, 86:7, 86:21,	true [1] - 93:11	turns [1] - 5:9	81:11
	89:18,92:3	truly [1] - 17:22	Tuttle [1] - 11:17	utilized [1] - 86:2
terrible [1] - 34:21 terribly [1] - 31:9	today 's [1] - 67:20	trust [4] - 51:1, 51:3,	two [9] - 7:3, 21:13,	
test [7] - 23:14, 23:16,	together [3] - 7:8,	88:12, 88:13	41:3, 41:22, 44:7,	V
23:17, 24:14, 25:2,	14:10, 84:2	try [12] - 9:7, 16:14,	50:13, 57:3, 58:4,	v
23:17, 24:14, 25:2, 25:17, 90:25	tomorrow [1] - 68:10	28:10, 35:9, 39:21,	77:4	valid [1] - 90:19
testified [1] - 10:3	took [5] - 7:22, 25:9,	54:5, 54:24, 70:24,	Tyler [6] - 6:20, 10:21,	validate [2] - 25:19,
testify [1] - 42:7	29:23, 64:24, 93:9	72:2, 72:13, 89:16,	13:7, 13:9, 38:12,	25:24
testimony [3] - 10:22,	top [6] - 43:6, 43:11,	90:1	39:11	validated [1] - 91:19
48:25, 78:4	53:24, 55:7, 57:18,	trying [4] - 20:22,	type [3] - 21:15, 40:22,	variation [1] - 81:21
testing [5] - 19:21,	83:8	58:23, 67:5, 79:15	66:25	various [2] - 9:10,
23:21, 90:21, 91:5,	total [1] - 26:13	turbine [52] - 3:21,	typical [1] - 15:12	23:20
91:14	totally [4] - 5:25, 16:2,	4:3, 7:2, 9:4, 11:13,	typically [2] - 59:23,	vegetation [2] - 15:12,
THE [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4,	38:13, 39:23	12:6, 20:14, 20:16,	64:19	41:21
[J] - I.I, I.Z, I. T ,		12.0, 20.11, 20.10,		
1.0	towards [1] - 76:5	21.19 21.22 21.25		verification [1] - 49:22
1:9 themselves [1] - 34:1		21:19, 21:22, 21:25, 25:19, 25:22, 26:15,	U	verification [1] - 49:22 verify [5] - 27:25,
themselves [1] - 34:1	tower [4] - 27:7,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15,	U	
themselves [1] - 34:1 thereafter [2] - 14:15,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6,	ultimate [1] - 69:12	verify [5] - 27:25,
themselves [1] - 34:1 thereafter [2] - 14:15, 75:25	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11,	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17,
themselves [1] - 34:1 thereafter [2] - 14:15, 75:25 therein [1] - 14:17	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23
themselves [1] - 34:1 thereafter [2] - 14:15, 75:25 therein [1] - 14:17 they 've [6] - 9:9, 9:11,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11,	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5,
themselves [1] - 34:1 thereafter [2] - 14:15, 75:25 therein [1] - 14:17 they 've [6] - 9:9, 9:11, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17,
themselves [1] - 34:1 thereafter [2] - 14:15, 75:25 therein [1] - 14:17 they 've [6] - 9:9, 9:11, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17,
themselves [1] - 34:1 thereafter [2] - 14:15, 75:25 therein [1] - 14:17 they've [6] - 9:9, 9:11, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing's [1] - 58:5	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22,</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] -	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12</pre>
themselves [1] - 34:1 thereafter [2] - 14:15, 75:25 therein [1] - 14:17 they 've [6] - 9:9, 9:11, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's [1] - 58:5 thinking [1] - 56:2 thoughts [1] - 67:22	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] - 51:5, 71:12, 88:15	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] - 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon [1] - 35:11 under [5] - 23:17, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16,	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] - 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon [1] - 35:11 under [5] - 23:17, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] - 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon [1] - 35:11 under [5] - 23:17, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood [1] -	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] - 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon [1] - 35:11 under [5] - 23:17, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood [1] - 75:16	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine 's [1] - 26:19	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] - 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon [1] - 35:11 under [5] - 23:17, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood [1] - 75:16 unfortunately [5] -	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine 's [1] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] - 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon [1] - 35:11 under [5] - 23:17, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood [1] - 75:16 unfortunately [5] - 31:17, 36:7, 40:12,	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17,</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine 's [1] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] - 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon [1] - 35:11 under [5] - 23:17, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood [1] - 75:16 unfortunately [5] - 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbines [1] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5,	ultimate [1] - 69:12 ultimately [2] - 30:11, 79:21 unable [1] - 18:11 uncertainty [1] - 57:13 uncomfortable [3] - 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon [1] - 35:11 under [5] - 23:17, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood [1] - 75:16 unfortunately [5] - 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED [2] - 42:2, 79:23	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$, 85:14	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17, 22:2, 22:10, 22:19,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine [3] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5, 8:21, 9:8, 10:9,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$ 42:2, 79:23 unit $[1] - 35:3$	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2 voicing [1] - 11:6</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$, 85:14 thrown $[1] - 58:6$	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17, 22:2, 22:10, 22:19, 23:1, 23:7, 23:11,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine ' s [1] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5, 8:21, 9:8, 10:9, 10:18, 10:20, 11:8,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$ 42:2, 79:23 unit $[1] - 35:3$ unless $[5] - 23:12$,	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2 voicing [1] - 11:6 voltage [1] - 23:21</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$, 85:14 thrown $[1] - 58:6$ thrust $[1] - 62:17$	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17, 22:2, 22:10, 22:19, 23:1, 23:7, 23:11, 23:17, 24:3, 24:16,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine 's [1] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5, 8:21, 9:8, 10:9, 10:18, 10:20, 11:8, 11:9, 11:19, 11:24,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$ 42:2, 79:23 unit $[1] - 35:3$ unless $[5] - 23:12$, 50:11, 67:15, 72:17,	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2 voicing [1] - 11:6 voltage [1] - 23:21 vote [1] - 90:9</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$, 85:14 thrown $[1] - 58:6$ thrust $[1] - 62:17$ Thurber $[7] - 1:14$,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17, 22:2, 22:10, 22:19, 23:1, 23:7, 23:11, 23:17, 24:3, 24:16, 25:5, 25:14, 25:19, 26:11, 26:16, 32:24, 33:3, 33:10, 33:20,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5, 8:21, 9:8, 10:9, 10:18, 10:20, 11:8, 11:9, 11:19, 11:24, 15:1, 16:10, 17:23,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$ 42:2, 79:23 unit $[1] - 35:3$ unless $[5] - 23:12$, 50:11, 67:15, 72:17, 85:1	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2 voicing [1] - 11:6 voltage [1] - 23:21 vote [1] - 90:9 voted [1] - 81:13</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$, 85:14 thrown $[1] - 58:6$ thrust $[1] - 62:17$ Thurber $[7] - 1:14$, 27:16, 51:15, 52:13,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17, 22:2, 22:10, 22:19, 23:1, 23:7, 23:11, 23:17, 24:3, 24:16, 25:5, 25:14, 25:19, 26:11, 26:16, 32:24, 33:3, 33:10, 33:20, 33:25, 34:13, 58:3,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbines [1] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5, 8:21, 9:8, 10:9, 10:18, 10:20, 11:8, 11:9, 11:19, 11:24, 15:1, 16:10, 17:23, 19:21, 19:22, 20:4,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$ 42:2, 79:23 unit $[1] - 35:3$ unless $[5] - 23:12$, 50:11, 67:15, 72:17, 85:1 up $[38] - 5:8, 8:2, 8:6$,	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2 voicing [1] - 11:6 voltage [1] - 23:21 vote [1] - 90:9 voted [1] - 81:13 votes [2] - 90:14,</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$, 85:14 thrown $[1] - 58:6$ thrust $[1] - 62:17$ Thurber $[7] - 1:14$, 27:16, 51:15, 52:13, 57:12, 66:9, 68:13	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17, 22:2, 22:10, 22:19, 23:1, 23:7, 23:11, 23:17, 24:3, 24:16, 25:5, 25:14, 25:19, 26:11, 26:16, 32:24, 33:3, 33:10, 33:20, 33:25, 34:13, 58:3, 59:2, 62:13, 63:2,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbines [1] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5, 8:21, 9:8, 10:9, 10:18, 10:20, 11:8, 11:9, 11:19, 11:24, 15:1, 16:10, 17:23, 19:21, 19:22, 20:4, 20:15, 20:23, 20:25,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$ 42:2, 79:23 unit $[1] - 35:3$ unless $[5] - 23:12$, 50:11, 67:15, 72:17, 85:1 up $[38] - 5:8, 8:2, 8:6$, 11:19, 19:2, 19:10,	<pre>verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2 voicing [1] - 11:6 voltage [1] - 23:21 vote [1] - 90:9 voted [1] - 81:13 votes [2] - 90:14,</pre>
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$, 85:14 thrown $[1] - 58:6$ thrust $[1] - 62:17$ Thurber $[7] - 1:14$, 27:16, 51:15, 52:13, 57:12, 66:9, 68:13 THURBER $[4] - 51:18$,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17, 22:2, 22:10, 22:19, 23:1, 23:7, 23:11, 23:17, 24:3, 24:16, 25:5, 25:14, 25:19, 26:11, 26:16, 32:24, 33:3, 33:10, 33:20, 33:25, 34:13, 58:3, 59:2, 62:13, 63:2, 63:10, 63:17, 65:2,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbines [1] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5, 8:21, 9:8, 10:9, 10:18, 10:20, 11:8, 11:9, 11:19, 11:24, 15:1, 16:10, 17:23, 19:21, 19:22, 20:4, 20:15, 20:23, 20:25, 22:7, 22:21, 23:20,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$ 42:2, 79:23 unit $[1] - 35:3$ unless $[5] - 23:12$, 50:11, 67:15, 72:17, 85:1 up $[38] - 5:8, 8:2, 8:6$, 11:19, 19:2, 19:10, 19:14, 19:17, 21:24,	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2 voicing [1] - 11:6 voltage [1] - 23:21 vote [1] - 90:9 voted [1] - 81:13 votes [2] - 90:14, 90:16 W
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$, 85:14 thrown $[1] - 58:6$ thrust $[1] - 62:17$ Thurber $[7] - 1:14$, 27:16, 51:15, 52:13, 57:12, 66:9, 68:13 THURBER $[4] - 51:18$, 52:4, 52:14, 66:10	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17, 22:2, 22:10, 22:19, 23:1, 23:7, 23:11, 23:17, 24:3, 24:16, 25:5, 25:14, 25:19, 26:11, 26:16, 32:24, 33:3, 33:10, 33:20, 33:25, 34:13, 58:3, 59:2, 62:13, 63:2, 63:10, 63:17, 65:2, 91:25	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5, 8:21, 9:8, 10:9, 10:18, 10:20, 11:8, 11:9, 11:19, 11:24, 15:1, 16:10, 17:23, 19:21, 19:22, 20:4, 20:15, 20:23, 20:25, 22:7, 22:21, 23:20, 24:1, 24:3, 24:4,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$ 42:2, 79:23 unit $[1] - 35:3$ unless $[5] - 23:12$, 50:11, 67:15, 72:17, 85:1 up $[38] - 5:8, 8:2, 8:6$, 11:19, 19:2, 19:10, 19:14, 19:17, 21:24, 26:8, 28:23, 31:5,	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2 voicing [1] - 11:6 voltage [1] - 23:21 vote [1] - 90:9 voted [1] - 81:13 votes [2] - 90:14, 90:16 W wait [3] - 27:17, 28:1,
themselves $[1] - 34:1$ thereafter $[2] - 14:15$, 75:25 therein $[1] - 14:17$ they 've $[6] - 9:9, 9:11$, 15:8, 52:10, 60:25, 77:5 thing 's $[1] - 58:5$ thinking $[1] - 56:2$ thoughts $[1] - 67:22$ three $[8] - 11:8, 11:16$, 19:2, 45:22, 46:2, 47:1, 51:2, 51:14 three -quarters $[1] -$ 11:8 threshold $[1] - 56:10$ thresholds $[1] - 4:19$ throughout $[1] - 7:12$ throw $[2] - 17:16$, 85:14 thrown $[1] - 58:6$ thrust $[1] - 62:17$ Thurber $[7] - 1:14$, 27:16, 51:15, 52:13, 57:12, 66:9, 68:13 THURBER $[4] - 51:18$,	tower [4] - 27:7, 51:17, 63:17, 83:4 trailing [13] - 3:20, 3:25, 5:18, 5:21, 7:17, 8:21, 10:19, 11:14, 11:21, 33:18, 33:22, 33:25, 34:2 Tran [24] - 4:11, 4:24, 6:3, 19:20, 20:1, 20:6, 20:8, 23:13, 23:24, 24:12, 26:7, 27:9, 27:11, 32:22, 36:5, 58:3, 58:14, 59:1, 59:2, 59:11, 62:13, 65:2, 91:22, 91:25 TRAN [33] - 20:5, 20:8, 20:25, 21:5, 21:17, 22:2, 22:10, 22:19, 23:1, 23:7, 23:11, 23:17, 24:3, 24:16, 25:5, 25:14, 25:19, 26:11, 26:16, 32:24, 33:3, 33:10, 33:20, 33:25, 34:13, 58:3, 59:2, 62:13, 63:2, 63:10, 63:17, 65:2,	25:19, 25:22, 26:15, 26:17, 26:22, 40:6, 41:8, 47:18, 48:1, 48:8, 48:12, 48:14, 50:4, 50:6, 58:11, 59:6, 59:10, 60:4, 61:3, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:3, 63:19, 64:1, 64:22, 65:4, 65:5, 74:24, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 80:9, 86:1, 89:20 Turbine [2] - 4:2, 16:9 turbine [3] - 26:19 Turbines [1] - 67:13 turbines [89] - 3:23, 4:1, 4:17, 5:18, 6:7, 6:9, 6:17, 7:3, 8:5, 8:21, 9:8, 10:9, 10:18, 10:20, 11:8, 11:9, 11:19, 11:24, 15:1, 16:10, 17:23, 19:21, 19:22, 20:4, 20:15, 20:23, 20:25, 22:7, 22:21, 23:20, 24:1, 24:3, 24:4, 24:5, 24:10, 24:14,	ultimate $[1] - 69:12$ ultimately $[2] - 30:11$, 79:21 unable $[1] - 18:11$ uncertainty $[1] - 57:13$ uncomfortable $[3] -$ 51:5, 71:12, 88:15 uncommon $[1] - 35:11$ under $[5] - 23:17$, 35:5, 42:15, 42:16, 51:20 understood $[1] -$ 75:16 unfortunately $[5] -$ 31:17, 36:7, 40:12, 57:5, 65:23 UNIDENTIFIED $[2] -$ 42:2, 79:23 unit $[1] - 35:3$ unless $[5] - 23:12$, 50:11, 67:15, 72:17, 85:1 up $[38] - 5:8, 8:2, 8:6$, 11:19, 19:2, 19:10, 19:14, 19:17, 21:24,	verify [5] - 27:25, 49:16, 49:18, 78:17, 78:23 versus [13] - 13:5, 19:16, 20:3, 22:17, 38:6, 50:20, 51:17, 51:23, 70:11, 71:22, 72:3, 75:14, 86:12 vetted [1] - 22:24 vetting [1] - 8:15 via [1] - 67:5 vice [1] - 67:25 VICE [1] - 1:10 view [2] - 45:5, 58:17 violated [2] - 16:17, 16:18 visit [1] - 46:7 voice [1] - 68:2 voicing [1] - 11:6 voltage [1] - 23:21 vote [1] - 90:9 voted [1] - 81:13 votes [2] - 90:14, 90:16 W

waiting [2] - 31:22,	witnessed [1] - 39:14
32:1	witnesses [1] - 39.14
waive [1] - 45:9	
waive [1] - 45.9 waiver [48] - 3:10,	WITTLER [1] - 93:5
3:18, 4:1, 4:10, 4:14,	Wittler [3] - 1:24,
4:21, 8:22, 9:3, 10:5,	93:17, 93:18
4.21, 0.22, 9.3, 10.3, 10:11, 11:21, 12:17,	wondering [2] - 10:25, 12:16
12:21, 13:8, 14:4,	
14:6, 14:10, 14:12,	word [1] - 16:13
15:2, 15:19, 17:19,	words [3] - 20:22,
18:4, 18:25, 19:17,	64:12, 73:10
28:6, 28:18, 28:22,	works [1] - 82:19
32:6, 45:3, 49:16,	world [1] - 9:11
50:10, 55:2, 55:23,	write [1] - 80:6
55:24, 56:5, 56:7,	writing [1] - 30:18
57:9, 75:6, 75:12,	written [2] - 35:19, 88:12
81:5, 81:11, 82:10,	00.12
82:12, 86:10, 86:16,	v
87:1, 87:7, 89:8	Х
walk [1] - 53:13	Xcel [14] - 44:13,
wants [3] - 5:6, 69:19,	44:17, 45:2, 45:6,
89:15	45:18, 46:6, 46:11,
warm [1] - 12:20	47:8, 57:20, 66:5,
warrant [1] - 73:16	66:17, 67:4, 67:22,
watching [2] - 8:25,	68:1
13:16	
ways [1] - 22:2	Y
weather [2] - 3:21,	-
16:1	year [6] - 9:7, 33:11,
weather 's [1] - 34:12	62:5, 62:6, 82:1,
website [2] - 13:4,	87:3
55:8	year -round [1] - 82:1
weeds [1] - 15:13	yearly [1] - 61:23
weigh [6] - 67:11,	years [4] - 4:12, 13:3,
69:8, 69:10, 69:19,	20:12, 21:13
69:22, 73:24	yesterday [3] - 11:17,
whole [3] - 7:12,	11:18, 11:23
39:20, 65:5	yourself [3] - 20:7,
wife [1] - 11:23	67:24,92:11
Wilhelm [3] - 6:20,	
10:21, 38:12	Z
willing [1] - 57:20	zero [6] - 7:25, 15:21,
willy [1] - 17:5	16:1, 30:24, 31:4,
willy -nilly [1] - 17:5	42:2
Wind [7] - 1:20, 3:7,	zoning [4] - 12:9,
5:17, 6:4, 14:6,	38:8, 84:20, 85:6
16:20, 40:4	. ,
wind [14] - 3:7, 3:20,	
3:23, 4:4, 4:13, 4:17,	
20:11, 21:8, 35:10,	
45:25, 48:7, 49:17,	
76:18	
WIND [2] - 1:4, 1:5	
winter [8] - 15:19,	
41:14, 41:20, 41:24,	
41:25, 56:25, 57:9,	
57:10	
wintertime [1] - 87:1	
wise [1] - 57:16	
wish [2] - 89:23, 90:3	