

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION BY CROWNED RIDGE
WIND II, LLC FOR A PERMIT OF A
WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN GRANT,
CODINGTON AND DEUEL COUNTIES**

)
)
)
)
)

**Docket EL19-003
Post Construction Compliance Sound
Monitoring Protocol**

Dear Ms. Van Gerpen, Commissioners, Staff and Intervenors,

Please post to the docket, my concerns I relayed today at the meeting of the Commission regarding the discussion of the Post Construction Sound Monitoring Protocol for Crowned Ridge Wind, LLC.

As I mentioned during the meeting, I would like the record to reflect that I do not agree with the following statements made in the proposal:

- In the Introduction, paragraph 1, the document states, “All of the 87 wind turbines within the site are GE 2.3-116 units with a rotor diameter of 116 meters.”
- In the second paragraph of the Introduction, the document again states, “These wind turbines are all GE 2.3-116 units at a 90 meter hub height.”
- On page 4, in the middle of the final paragraph, the document states, “All wind turbines at CRW are operating under Enhanced Power Curve Operation (EPCO).”

The above mentioned statements are not yet determined. None of these statements have been under review of an evidentiary hearing. There has been no documentation of these claims. There

has been no testimony under oath, no documented proof provided to the Commission, Staff, Intervenors or the Public.

I understand the protocol is for the study of 'noise', however, the claims made within the document provided as protocol must not be noted as 'fact', as they are only representations made to the sound monitoring company by Crowned Ridge Wind at this point, and I do not want the Commission, Staff, Intervenors, nor the Public to misunderstand that these claims are conceded to as 'facts'; they are not facts, they are assertions.

Thank you.

/s/Amber Christenson

Amber Christenson

16217 466th Ave

Strandburg, SD 57265

605-756-4119