## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

## IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY ENGIE NORTH AMERICA, INC. FOR A PERMIT FOR A WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN HYDE COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA, FOR TRIPLE H WIND FARM

SD PUC DOCKET EL \_\_\_\_\_

PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CASEY WILLIS ON BEHALF OF ENGIE NORTH AMERICA, INC.

February 5, 2019

| ſ |    | EXHIBIT  |  |
|---|----|----------|--|
|   |    | l        |  |
|   | د- | 27-19 00 |  |

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Witness Introduction .....1

III.

1

#### Q. Please state your name, employer and business address for the record.

A. My name is Casey Willis and I am a Senior Project Developer with Engie North America, the
owner of Triple H Wind Project, LLC. My office location is 3760 State Street, Suite 200, Santa
Barbara, CA 93105

- 5 Q. Briefly describe your educational background.
- 6 A. I have two Bachelor of the Arts degrees in Environmental Studies and Geography,
- 7 respectively, from the University of California, Santa Barbara, a Master's Degree in City and
- 8 Regional Planning (MCRP) from California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo and a
- 9 Master of Business Administration (MBA) from California State University, Channel Islands. 1
- 10 have 18 years of experience in renewable energy development, project entitlements/permitting,
- 11 and environmental review. I have been involved in the successful development of multiple
- 12 utility scale wind energy projects across the plains and Midwest. My work involves the
- 13 oversight and management of the development of the Triple H Wind Project.
- 14 Q. Briefly describe your professional experience.
- A. I have been employed in renewable energy development for the past 12 years. Prior tothe that time, I worked as an environmental consultant.
- 17 Q. Have you attached a resume or CV.
- 18 A. Yes, my resume is attached.
- 19 Q. Have you previously submitted or prepared testimony in this proceeding in South
- 20 Dakota?
- 21 A. No, I have not.
- 22 Q. What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
- 23 A. Provide clarification and confirmation of information related to the permit application and

24 the overall development of the Triple H Wind Project.

| 25                               | Q. Please give us an overview of your involvement in the proposed project?  |    |  |
|----------------------------------|---|----|--|
| 26                               | A. I am currently the lead developer on the Triple H Wind Project. The Project was originally   |    |  |
| 27                               | managed by another developer when it was in an earlier stage of development, but I took of the  |    |  |
| 28                               | responsibilities in the fall of 2017 when the prior developer went on maternity leave.  |    |  |
| 29                               | Q. Which sections of the application are you sponsoring with your testimony?  |    |  |
| 30                               | A. I'm responsible for all or portions of Sections 1-5, 15, 17-19, and 21-22.   |    |  |
| 31                               | Q. Please Provide an overview of the location of the project?   |    |  |
| 32                               | A. The Project is located entirely within Hyde County in the Townships of Eagle, Chapell  | e, |  |
| 33                               | Highmore and Holabird, approximately 3.2 miles southwest of Highmore. The Project will be   |    |  |
| 34                               | located on mostly privately held land within a 27,247.5-acre Project Area.  |    |  |
|                                  |   |    |  |
| 35                               | Q. What is covered by the application submitted for the Triple H Wind Project?  |    |  |
| 35<br>36                         | <ul><li>Q. What is covered by the application submitted for the Triple H Wind Project?</li><li>A. Triple H Wind Project, LLC respectfully requests the approval of an Energy Facility</li></ul>   |    |  |
|                                  |   | es |  |
| 36                               | A. Triple H Wind Project, LLC respectfully requests the approval of an Energy Facility  | es |  |
| 36<br>37                         | A. Triple H Wind Project, LLC respectfully requests the approval of an Energy Facility<br>Permit to construct and operate the Triple H Wind Project from the South Dakota Public Utiliti  | es |  |
| 36<br>37<br>38                   | A. Triple H Wind Project, LLC respectfully requests the approval of an Energy Facility<br>Permit to construct and operate the Triple H Wind Project from the South Dakota Public Utiliti<br>Commission. The total installed capacity of the Project is proposed to be 250.24 megawatts  |    |  |
| 36<br>37<br>38<br>39             | <ul> <li>A. Triple H Wind Project, LLC respectfully requests the approval of an Energy Facility</li> <li>Permit to construct and operate the Triple H Wind Project from the South Dakota Public Utiliti</li> <li>Commission. The total installed capacity of the Project is proposed to be 250.24 megawatts</li> <li>(MW). The application includes the requested approval of 103 locations, of which 92 will be</li> </ul>   |    |  |
| 36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40       | A. Triple H Wind Project, LLC respectfully requests the approval of an Energy Facility<br>Permit to construct and operate the Triple H Wind Project from the South Dakota Public Utiliti<br>Commission. The total installed capacity of the Project is proposed to be 250.24 megawatts<br>(MW). The application includes the requested approval of 103 locations, of which 92 will be<br>constructed. A single wind turbine model produced by General Electric is proposed. The extra   | a  |  |
| 36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41 | A. Triple H Wind Project, LLC respectfully requests the approval of an Energy Facility<br>Permit to construct and operate the Triple H Wind Project from the South Dakota Public Utiliti<br>Commission. The total installed capacity of the Project is proposed to be 250.24 megawatts<br>(MW). The application includes the requested approval of 103 locations, of which 92 will be<br>constructed. A single wind turbine model produced by General Electric is proposed. The extra<br>locations are needed at this time to account for the loss of some locations that may be deemed | a  |  |

Project components will include up to 92 GE 2.72 MW-116 wind turbine generators,
temporary/permanent accessing roads, 34.5 kilovolt (kV) underground electrical collector lines,
project substation, interconnection substation, permanent met tower, and an O&M facility.
Additional temporary construction areas, including crane paths, public road improvements,
laydown yard/staging area and concrete batch plant (s) (as needed). The Project will
interconnect to the high-voltage transmission grid via the Leland Olds to Fort Thompson 345 kV
transmission line, which crosses the Project Area.

#### 52 Q. What is the purpose of the facility and why is it needed?

53 Α. The purpose of the Project is to generate electricity via wind power to supply the needs 54 required for contracts with Triple H. Triple H has entered into two 30-year power purchase 55 agreements (PPA), one with Walmart for 150 MW and one for 48 MW with a confidential 56 institutional buyer. The remaining 52 MW will be sold on a merchant basis. Recent analysis has 57 consistently shown that wind energy is one of the most cost-effective electricity source for 58 customers, making it a desirable investment. New wind energy facilities are less expensive to 59 construct than new conventional energy sources, even without the existing production tax credit 60 program. This is demonstrated by the PPAs that have been executed for the Project.

61 Q. What are the beneficial attributes of the project?

A. From a purely economic standpoint, the approximately \$300 million-dollar investment
associated with the Triple H Wind Project would provide funding to the State of South Dakota,
Hyde County, local school district and to a revenue source to landowners participating in the
project. Rural landowners and farmers on whose land the Project is listed will receive annual
lease payments for each turbine sited or operational payments for land that does not receive a

turbine on their properties. It is estimated that the Project will generate a total of approximately
\$30 million dollars in property taxes over the 25-year life of the Project. Of this total, the Project
would generate approximately \$7.5 million dollars to the Highmore-Harrold School District in
the first nine years of operation as confirmed based on consultations with the South Dakota
Revenue Department and approximately \$300,000 annually to Hyde County. The Project will
provide numerous local and regional indirect economic benefits such as increased use of
services, suppliers and generation of additional sales taxes as well.

The project area is principally used for agricultural operations and cattle ranching. Given
the fairly small footprint required for the Project that would be proposed within the properties
under lease, the Project would be compatible with ongoing farming operations.

The Project is expected to employ approximately 200 temporary construction workers during an estimated peak construction period to support Project construction. It is likely that general skilled labor is available in the surrounding counties or the state to serve the basic infrastructure and site development needs of the Project. During operation the project would employ approximately 17-20 employees full time.

82 Q. Please provide a description of the proposed decommissioning plan for TripleH?

The anticipated Project life is approximately 25 years beyond the date of initiating commercial operation. At the end of commercial operation, Triple H will be responsible for removing wind facilities and the turbine foundations to a depth of four feet below grade. In this case, a decision may be made on whether to continue operation with existing equipment or to retrofit the turbines and power system with upgrades based on newer technologies.

88 Triple H will be responsible for all costs to decommission the Project and associated 89 facilities. The cost to decommission will depend upon the prevailing rates for salvage value of 90 the equipment and labor costs. Because of the uncertainties surrounding future decommissioning 91 costs and salvage values, Triple H will review and update the cost estimate of decommissioning 92 and restoration for the Project every five years after Project commissioning pursuant to State 93 Law Requirements.

94 The net decommissioning cost (in 2018 US dollars) is estimated to be approximately \$6.6
95 million dollars assuming salvage and no resale of Project components. This cost includes a
96 partial offset from the salvage value of the towers, turbine components and electrical equipment.
97 Triple H proposes to cover the cost of the decommissioning through a parent guarantee or letter
98 of credit.

In North America, Engie manages a range of energy business in the United States and
 Canada including retail energy sales and energy services to commercial industrial and residential
 customers, natural gas and liquefied natural gas distribution and sales, and electrical generation.
 The North America renewable portfolio of ENGIE consists of wind, solar, and biomass/bio gas
 assets. Engie North America is a subsidiary of the Engie Group.

The Engie Group is a whole is a multinational company that is invested in various forms of energy development, operation and various retail in over 70 countries worldwide. The Engie Group employs approximately 240,000 employees worldwide and completely \$73 billion dollars in sales in 2018. Given the size and scale of Engie, utilizing a parent guarantee or letter of credit would be sufficient to guarantee decommissioning costs over the life of the Triple H Wind Project.

| 110 | Q.   | Do your agreements with landowners speak to decommissioning? If so, what do they |
|-----|------|--|
| 111 | say? |  |

A. Our agreements detail at 17.3 the creation of a Restoration Fund for decommissioning.
Unless the Commission orders differently, TripleH is contractually committed to the creation of
that fund. The language is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

115 Q. Why was the Project location selected and what other alternative areas were116 considered?

A. Generally, a wind project location, or early prospect, is identified through a combination of identifying a high wind resource area based on publicly available data and access to transmission. From there, the land use considerations are factored in such as the environmental combability of a given area, current land uses, and land owner support. All of these factors were determined to be favorable based on the Triple H area and thus the Project was pursued for further development.

### 123 Did you work with the County Agencies in coordinate with the CUP process and what 124 efforts were made to address questions or concerns?

A. Yes. Hyde County had an existing wind energy development ordinance within the
existing zoning ordinance that was a bit dated. County officials elected to update this ordinance
in order to improve it and started the process in early 2018. I worked closely with the County to
provide information and participate through the public process while the zoning ordinance
update was being prepared. The County approved their zoning ordinance in October 2018.
While Engie's position was the some of the standard that were eventually adopted were quite a
bit more conservative than were necessary in terms of setbacks, we were able to work with them

and design the project so that it was consistent with the requirements in the zoning ordinance.
We have many points of contact with the public to convey informatin about the Project,
in particular during the public meetings to update the Hyde County Zoning Ordinance. In
addition, there were two open house meetings regarding the Triple H Wind Project. The first
occurred in the spring of 2018 while Hyde County was still updating their Zoning Ordinance.
The second open house meeting occurred in December following the submittal of the CUP
applications.

# Q. What is the proposed time schedule for the Project and what are the implications ofa delay?

141 A. The Project is scheduled to be operational by late fall of 2020. We are hopeful to receive 142 favorable determinations on the SDPUC facility permits by August of 2019. Current activities 143 through the spring and summer of 2019 will include completion of an ALTA survey, 144 supplemental environmental studies (as needed), geotechnical studies, and final engineering and 145 design. Our target is to break ground in the fall of 2019 to complete civil work prior to winter 146 setting in. The project area will be de-mobilized for the winter period through the spring of 147 2020. After the frost law limitations are removed, the site will be remobilized in roughly April 148 to May 2020. Turbine deliveries are targeted in commence based on the delivery scheduled that 149 has been negotiated with GE in June of 2020. Turbine deliveries will occur with lifting and 150 installation through the summer of 2020. The project substation would be energized by 151 September to October 2020, with a commercial operation date toward late fall 2020. 152 A delay in the Project would result in several consequences. First, the project has

existing PPAs that have been executed that have contractual commercial operation date

154 requirements in them. If we are not able to meet these deadlines, liquated damages would be 155 incurred. Second, there is a substantial demand on turbines in 2020. A delay in the project 156 would result in the loss of the turbine delivery slot that has been locked in with GE resulting in 157 significant uncertainty as to when additional turbine supply windows could be locked in. Third, 158 similar to turbine availability, the availability of construction firms with significant wind turbine 159 construction experience is limited in 2020. We have selected to use Wanzek to construct the 160 Triple H Wind Project. A delay in the project may result in the inability of Wanzek to support 161 the construction of the Project due to other contractional obligations that they have with other 162 projects throughout the country.

163

#### Q. Are you proposing to install an aircraft detection lighting system (ADLS)?

164 Α. Not at this time. Engie staff has been involved and investigated the use of ADLS systems 165 for several years. Up until recently, there had been four suppliers on the market that provided 166 some form of ADLS system. We had been engaged with Laufer Wind on their radar lighting 167 system as early as 2016. It is our understanding that Laufer is no longer in business. Vestas is a 168 turbine manufacturer and offers another system, but it's offered as an option on the turbines they 169 supply. We are proposing to using a turbine model from GE, one of their competitors. It is our 170 understanding that Vestas will not allow its system to be used in conjunction with a competitor's 171 turbine. Detect, Inc offers another system, but we have not evaluated this in great detail, nor are 172 we aware if this system has been approved for use by the FAA. Technostrobe offers a different 173 system in that it dims the lights when they are not needed. The technology is compelling, 174 however is presently being approved for use by the FAA.

These systems add considerable cost to wind projects. We recognize that there are
 circumstances where the systems could be used to mitigate the required lighting on wind

177 turbines. However, we are of the opinion that the Triple H Wind Project is not one of those for a 178 couple reasons. First, Hyde County has approximately 1,400 people that live in the County with 179 about half of those that live in Highmore, which is well over 3 miles away from the Project. 180 Second, the Project is fairly rural with few residents that live in close proximity to the turbines. 181 Third, the County has setback standards from non-participating landowners that are set at  $\frac{1}{2}$ 182 miles. There are 12 non-participating homes that are located between  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile to 4,000 feet from 183 the closest turbine. Of those, several are actually participating property owners, it's just the 184 particular location is not under easement. We believe the combination of the rural nature of the 185 County combined with the County's conservative siting practices adequately mitigate the 186 impacts caused by required FAA lighting, such that an ADLS is not warranted. 187 Q. Did you commission a poll to determine public opinion on the project and if so, what 188 were the results?

189 A. The results showed a clear public opinion in favor of the project. The poll questions and190 results are attached to my testimony as Exhibit B.

191 Dated this day of February, 2019.

192

193 Casey Willis