

Michael Svedeman Direct Testimony, Ex. A_____

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY DEUEL HARVEST WIND ENERGY LLC
FOR ENERGY FACILITY PERMITS OF A WIND ENERGY FACILITY AND A
345-KV TRANSMISSION LINE IN DEUEL COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA FOR THE
DEUEL HARVEST NORTH WIND FARM**

SD PUC DOCKET NO. _____

**PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL SVEDEMAN
ON BEHALF OF DEUEL HARVEST WIND ENERGY LLC**

November 30, 2018

1 **I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS**

2 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

3 A. My name is Michael Svedeman. I am a Manager, Project Development, at
4 Invenergy LLC (“Invenergy”). My business address is 1401 17th Street, Suite
5 1100, Denver, CO 80202.

6 **Q. Briefly describe your educational and professional background.**

7 A. I have a Bachelor of Business Administration in Energy Management, with a
8 Minor in Finance, from the University of Oklahoma. I have been employed with
9 Invenergy for more than four years in roles with increasing responsibility. In my
10 current position, I oversee development of multiple wind and solar projects
11 across the upper Midwest. A copy of my resume is attached as **Exhibit 1**.

12 **Q. What is the relationship between Deuel Harvest Wind Energy LLC (“Deuel
13 Harvest” or the “Applicant”) and Invenergy with respect to the Project?**

14 A. Deuel Harvest is an affiliate of Invenergy, and Invenergy is assisting with
15 development of the Deuel Harvest North Wind Farm (“Project”).

16 **Q. Please describe Invenergy’s experience in the renewable energy industry.**

17 A. Invenergy develops, builds, owns, and operates large-scale power plants across
18 four core technologies: wind (90 projects, 12,864 megawatts (“MW”)); natural gas
19 (11 projects, 5,642 MW); solar (25 projects, 2,150 MW); and battery storage (5
20 projects, 72 MW). Invenergy has a proven development track record of 131
21 large-scale projects and currently provides wind turbine operations and
22 maintenance services on more than 3,400 wind turbines currently in operation.
23 As part of Invenergy’s various generation projects, Invenergy has permitted and
24 built 414 miles of transmission lines greater than 69 kV and continues to operate
25 182 miles of those lines.

26 **Q. What is your role with respect to the Project?**

27 A. I am the Project manager, and in that role, I oversee development of the Project.

28 **II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

29 **Q. What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony?**

30 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide an overview of the Project's
31 development history, including: Project site selection, site analysis, and layout
32 and facility design. I also provide testimony regarding Project operational
33 considerations and analysis of the Project's potential effect on the physical
34 environment, hydrology, and cultural resources.

35 **Q. Please identify which sections of the Application to the South Dakota**
36 **Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") for Facility Permits**
37 **("Application") you are sponsoring for the record.**

38 A. I am sponsoring the following sections of the Application:

- 39 • Section 1.0: Introduction
- 40 • Section 2.0: Project Development Summary
- 41 • Section 3.0: Facility Permit Application
- 42 • Section 4.0: Names of Participants
- 43 • Section 5.0: Names of Owner and Manager
- 44 • Section 6.0: Purpose of, and Demand for, the Facilities
- 45 • Section 7.0: Estimated Cost of the Facilities
- 46 • Section 8.0: General Site and Project Component Description
- 47 • Section 9.0: Alternate Sites and Siting Criteria
- 48 • Section 11.0: Effect on Physical Environment
- 49 • Section 12.0: Effect on Hydrology
- 50 • Section 15.6: Electromagnetic Interference
- 51 • Section 16.0: Local Land Use Controls
- 52 • Section 19.0: Time Schedule
- 53 • Section 20.0: Community Impact
- 54 • Section 21.0: Employment Estimates
- 55 • Section 22.0: Future Additions and Modifications
- 56 • Section 23.0: Decommissioning of Wind Energy Facilities
- 57 • Section 24.0: Reliability and Safety
- 58 • Section 25.0: Information Concerning Wind Energy Facilities

- 59 • Section 26.0: Information Concerning Transmission Facilities
- 60 • Section 27.4: Applicant’s Burden of Proof
- 61 • Section 28.0: Testimony and Exhibits
- 62 • Section 29.0: References
- 63 • Appendix A: Figures
- 64 • Appendix C: WES Ordinance, SEP, and Findings
- 65 • Appendix E: Level III Intensive Cultural Resources Survey
- 66 • Appendix H: Interconnection Area Siting Study
- 67 • Appendix P: AM and FM Radio Report
- 68 • Appendix Q: Communication Tower Study
- 69 • Appendix R: Microwave Study
- 70 • Appendix S: Aviation Report
- 71 • Appendix T: Historical/Architectural Survey
- 72 • Appendix U: Decommissioning Cost Analysis
- 73 • Appendix V: General Electric’s Setback Considerations for Wind Turbine
- 74 Siting

75

76 **III. PROJECT OVERVIEW**

77 **Q. Who will own and operate the Project?**

78 A. Deuel Harvest will own, manage, and operate the Project.

79 **Q. Please provide a general description of the Project, including where it is**

80 **located.**

81 A. The Project includes a wind energy conversion facility (“Wind Farm”) and a 345-

82 kilovolt (kV) transmission line with associated 345-kV interconnection substation

83 (“Transmission Facility”). The Project will have a rated capacity of 310.1 MW of

84 electricity and will include construction of up to 112 turbines. The Project is

85 located entirely within Deuel County in the townships of Portland, Lowe,

86 Altamont, Glenwood, and Herrick (Project Area; see Figure A-1 in Appendix A).

87 The Project Area encompasses approximately 48,730 acres, 41,980 of which are

88 under lease for the Project. Project facilities will include the following:

- 89 • Up to 112 wind turbines;
- 90 • Access roads to turbines and associated facilities;
- 91 • Underground 34.5-kV electrical collector lines connecting the turbines to
- 92 the collection substation;
- 93 • Underground fiberoptic cable for turbine communications co-located with
- 94 the collector lines;
- 95 • An operations and maintenance (“O&M”) building;
- 96 • Up to four permanent meteorological (“MET”) towers;
- 97 • A 34.5 to 345-kV collection substation (“Project Substation”);
- 98 • A 345-kV interconnection substation (“Interconnection Substation”);
- 99 • An approximately 150-foot long 345-kV transmission line (“Transmission
- 100 Line”) connecting the Project Substation and the Interconnection
- 101 Substation; and
- 102 • Additional temporary construction areas, including crane paths, public
- 103 road improvements, a laydown yard, and a concrete batch plant(s) (as
- 104 needed).

105 **Q. Has Deuel Harvest secured all of the necessary private property rights for**
106 **the Project?**

107 A. Yes. Deuel Harvest has secured all of the private land rights necessary to
108 construct and operate the Project. Deuel Harvest will work with local units of
109 government to obtain the necessary road crossing and utility permits for the
110 Project.

111 **Q. How and where will the Project interconnect to the electric grid?**

112 A. Deuel Harvest is negotiating a Generator Interconnection Agreement (“GIA”) with
113 Otter Tail Power Company and the Midcontinent Independent System Operator,
114 Inc. (“MISO”). The Project will interconnect to the regional electric grid via a new
115 345-kV Interconnection Substation to be located in Glenwood Township. The

116 Interconnection Substation will be constructed by the Applicant or Otter Tail
117 Power Company and will be owned and operated by Otter Tail Power Company.

118 **Q. Has the Project identified an off-taker for the energy it will produce?**

119 A. No, not at this time. The Project is being actively marketed to potential offtakers,
120 including public utilities serving South Dakota customers and commercial
121 industrial companies.

122 **Q. What is the proposed development schedule for the Project?**

123 A. The Applicant expects to have the Project operational in the fourth quarter of
124 2020. A preliminary permitting and construction schedule is included as Table
125 19-1 in the Application and below:

Milestone	Start Date	Completion Date
Land acquisition	Q2 2015	Q4 2017
Environmental studies	Q1 2016	Q2 2018
Special Exception Permit / WES process with Deuel County	Q4 2017	Q1 2018
SDPUC Wind Energy Facility Permit process	Q4 2018	Q2 2019
Other federal, state, and local permits	Q2 2019	Q4 2019
Project construction	Q4 2019	Q4 2020
Commercial operations	N/A	Q4 2020

126

127 **IV. OVERVIEW OF SITE SELECTION**

128 **Q. Please provide an overview of the Project's development history.**

129 A. Deuel Harvest began developing the Project in mid-2015 with initial landowner
130 outreach, establishment of a local office on Main Street in Clear Lake, South
131 Dakota, and the construction of three MET towers to verify and quantify the
132 strong wind resource in the area. Leasing, stakeholder outreach, engineering,
133 and additional Project development activities have continued through 2018.
134 Deuel Harvest's outreach efforts have included: meeting with individual
135 landowners and landowner groups, regulatory agencies, local government units,
136 and the general public to discuss the Project; and gathering comments to

137 address in the Project's planning, design, permitting, construction, and operation
138 phases.

139 **Q. How was the location of the Project initially identified?**

140 A. Deuel Harvest conducted feasibility studies in 2015 to identify a wind farm
141 location in South Dakota within the MISO service territory. Initial studies included
142 a desktop review of environmental resources and any potentially sensitive areas,
143 looking for potential wind turbine locations in South Dakota that could connect to
144 the then-under-construction Big Stone to Brookings 345-kV transmission line,
145 and were within windy areas per proprietary wind resource screening tools. With
146 that initial information and given Deuel Harvest's knowledge of other wind
147 developments in the region, the Project Area was identified after working closely
148 and gauging interest with local landowners and stakeholders.

149 **V. LOCAL PERMITTING**

150 **Q. Has the Project obtained the land use approvals required for the Project**
151 **from Deuel County?**

152 A. Yes. Pursuant to the Wind Energy System ("WES") Ordinance, a WES in Deuel
153 County requires a Special Exception Permit ("SEP") for a Wind Energy System.
154 Deuel Harvest applied for an SEP for the Project (including both the Wind Farm
155 and Transmission Line) in December 2017, and the Project's SEP was issued in
156 March 2018. The WES Ordinance includes specific requirements concerning
157 setbacks, lighting, decommissioning, and multiple mitigation measures. Deuel
158 Harvest has designed the Project to meet the requirements contained in the
159 WES Ordinance.

160 **Q. Is the Project compatible with existing land uses and future development in**
161 **and around the Project Area?**

162 A. Yes. The Project is compatible with existing land uses, which are primarily
163 agricultural. Wind development is particularly compatible with agricultural land
164 because the existing uses can continue around the wind energy facility. As a
165 result, wind development allows landowners to diversify their operations with

166 minimal disruption to existing agricultural uses. As I previously stated, the Project
167 has also been designed to be compatible with local zoning requirements in Deuel
168 County.

169 **VI. TURBINE MODEL SELECTION**

170 **Q. Has Deuel Harvest made a final turbine model selection for the Project?**

171 A. The Applicant proposes to erect up to 112 wind turbines for the Project which will
172 be comprised of two turbine models. One of the turbine models will be the GE
173 2.3-116 turbine. Use of these turbines qualifies the Project for the Production
174 Tax Credit. The other turbine model is anticipated to be the GE 2.82-127.

175 **VII. PROJECT CONFIGURATION**

176 **Q. Is the Project's proposed configuration depicted in Figure A-4 of the**
177 **Application?**

178 A. Yes.

179 **Q. Is the same configuration proposed for any turbine model selected?**

180 A. Generally, yes. However, turbines 7, 16, A22, 78, 81, 82, 83, 98, A99, 111, 112,
181 122, and 124 can support a GE 2.3 -116 model only due to sound and shadow
182 flicker requirements. All other turbine locations within the layout can
183 accommodate either turbine model listed in Table 8-2.

184 **Q. Is the configuration sited so as to minimize potential environmental**
185 **impacts?**

186 A. Yes. As discussed in the Direct Testimony of Andrea Giampoli and Sections 10.0
187 through 18.0 and 20.5 of the Application, the Project was sited to minimize
188 potential environmental impacts. For example, no permanent Project facilities
189 will be placed on U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") Grassland
190 Easements or within wetland basins subject to USFWS Wetland Easements, no
191 sensitive species habitat will be impacted, and previously-recorded cultural
192 resources have been avoided.

193 **Q. Is the Project configuration designed to comply with all applicable county**
 194 **and state turbine setback requirements?**

195 A. Yes.

196 **Q. Please identify the applicable specific setbacks for the Project and other**
 197 **requirements and commitments that affect turbine setbacks.**

198 A. The applicable setbacks, requirements, and commitments are listed in Table 9-1
 199 in the Applicable and provided below:

Category	Requirements / Commitments
State Requirements	
Setbacks ¹	Turbines shall be set back at least 500 feet or 1.1 times the height of the tower, whichever is greater, from any surrounding property line, unless the owner of the wind turbine tower has a written agreement with an adjacent land owner allowing the placement of the tower closer to the property line.
Deuel County Requirements	
Setbacks ²	<p>Distances from existing Non-Participating residences and businesses shall be not less than four times the height of the wind turbine. Distance from existing Participating residences, business and public buildings shall be not less than 1,500 feet. Non-Participating property owners shall have the right to waive the respective setback requirements.</p> <p>Distance from public right-of-way shall be one hundred and ten percent (110%) the height of the wind turbines, measured from the ground surface to the tip of the blade when in a fully vertical position.</p> <p>Distance from any property line shall be one hundred and ten percent (110%) the height of the wind turbine, measured from the ground surface to the tip of the blade when in a fully vertical position unless wind easement has been obtained from adjoining property owner.</p> <p>Distance from the Lake Park District located at Lake Cochrane is at least 3 miles, from Lake Alice at least 2 miles and 1 mile from the Lake Park District at Bullhead</p>

¹ Per SDCL 43-13-24

² Per Deuel County Zoning Ordinance § 1215.03(2)

Category	Requirements / Commitments
	Lake. Distance from the municipalities of Altamont, Astoria, Brandt and Goodwin of 1 mile from the nearest residence and 1.5 miles from the city limits of the towns of Gary, Toronto and Clear Lake, except the area of Clear Lake located in sections 11, 12 and 14.
Noise ³	Noise level shall not exceed 45 dBA average A-weighted sound pressure at the perimeter of existing residences, for Non-Participating residences.
Shadow Flicker ⁴	Limit for allowable shadow flicker at existing residences to no more than 30 hours annually.

200

201 **VIII. FINAL MICRO-SITING**

202 **Q. Where is the Project at with respect to micrositing of the turbines?**

203 A. As discussed previously and in the Application, significant analysis has been
 204 completed to identify the Project configuration shown on Figure A-4 of the
 205 Application. Final micrositing will occur based on geotechnical analysis, final
 206 engineering design, and other site specific factors.

207 **Q. Could remaining work require changes to the turbine locations?**

208 A. Yes. The remaining work could necessitate minor shifts to the proposed turbine
 209 locations.

210 **Q. What is Deuel Harvest’s request with respect to flexibility for future minor
 211 shifts in the turbine locations presented in Figure A-4 of the Application?**

212 A. Consistent with prior Commission decisions, Deuel Harvest requests that the
 213 permit allow turbines to be shifted within 250 feet or less from the turbine location
 214 identified in the Application without prior Commission approval, so long as the
 215 turbine shifts comply with county and State setback requirements and specified
 216 noise and shadow flicker requirements; cultural resource impacts are avoided or
 217 mitigated in consultation with the South Dakota State Historic Preservation Office
 218 (“SHPO”); environmental setbacks are adhered to as agreed upon with the

³ Per Deuel County Zoning Ordinance § 1215.03(13)(a)

⁴ Per Deuel County Zoning Ordinance § 1215.03(13)(b)

219 USFWS and the South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks (“SDGFP”); and wetland
220 impacts are avoided. Prior to implementing the turbine adjustment, the Applicant
221 would file in the docket an affidavit demonstrating compliance with the limitations
222 set forth above. Any turbine adjustment that does not comply with the
223 aforementioned limitations would be considered a “material change,” and the
224 Applicant shall file a request for approval of the “material change’ prior to making
225 the 11 adjustment pursuant to the following approval process:

- 226 • Applicant will file with the Commission and serve on the official Service
227 List a request for approval of the adjustment that includes:
- 228 ○ An affidavit describing the proposed turbine adjustment, the reason for
229 the adjustment, the reason the adjustment does not comply with one or
230 more turbine flexibility limitations set forth above, and information
231 regarding compliance with all other applicable requirements; and
 - 232 ○ A map showing both the approved location and the proposed
233 adjustment (in different colors).
- 234 • Once received, the information would be reviewed by Commission staff,
235 and Commission staff will have 10 calendar days within which to request
236 further Commission review.
- 237 • If no further review is requested, Applicant may proceed with the
238 adjustment.
- 239 • If further review is requested, the Commission will issue a decision
240 regarding Applicant’s request at its next available regularly scheduled
241 Commission meeting, subject to notice requirements, after the request for
242 further review is made by Commission staff.

243

244 **Q. Why is the Project proposing alternate turbine locations?**

245 A. Alternate turbine locations are proposed to provide optionality during final micro-
246 siting or a change in the nameplate capacity of the turbine. Furthermore, these
247 additional locations provide layout flexibility to hedge against potential capacity
248 factor reductions in cases where a necessary turbine shift within 250 feet of its

249 original location lowers the capacity factor greater than activating an alternate
250 location. Alternate turbine locations also help prevent unforeseen findings from
251 reducing the size of the Project or from significantly injuring the productivity of the
252 Project. In all cases, the final turbine locations constructed will adhere to county
253 and State setback requirements and specified noise and shadow flicker
254 requirements; cultural resource impacts are avoided or minimized; environmental
255 setbacks are adhered to as agreed upon with the USFWS and the SDGFP; and
256 wetland impacts are avoided.

257 **Q. With respect to other facilities, what is Deuel Harvest's request with**
258 **respect to final micro-siting?**

259 A. As a result of final micrositing and the utility coordination needed to facilitate
260 Project interconnection, shifts in the access roads and collector system, as well
261 as changes in the locations of the O&M facility, Project Substation, MET towers,
262 concrete batch plant, Transmission Line, Interconnection Substation, and
263 laydown/staging areas, may be necessary. Therefore, Deuel Harvest requests
264 that the permit allow those facilities to be modified, as needed, so long as the
265 new locations are on land leased for the Project, cultural resource impacts are
266 avoided, environmental setbacks are retained, wetland impacts are avoided to
267 the extent practicable, and all other applicable regulations and requirements are
268 met.

269 **Q. Are any future modifications or expansions of the Project planned?**

270 A. With the exception of the final micro-siting flexibility requested in the Application,
271 the Applicant does not have any current plans for future additions to or
272 modifications of the Project.

273 **IX. SITE-SPECIFIC ANALYSIS**

274 **Q. Describe the existing geological and soil resources, seismic risks, and**
275 **subsidence potential in the Project Area.**

276 A. Discussions of existing geological and soil resources are provided in Sections
277 11.1 and 11.2 of the Application, respectively. The risk of seismic activity in the

278 vicinity of the Project Area is low, and the risk for subsidence within the Project
279 Area is considered negligible.

280 **Q. What steps will Deuel Harvest take to avoid or minimize potential impacts**
281 **to geologic and soil resources?**

282 A. In general, it is not anticipated that impacts to geologic resources will occur. With
283 respect to soil resources, the minimum amount of vegetation required to develop
284 the Project will be removed in the areas associated with proposed Project
285 components. The Project layout has been designed to limit construction cut and
286 fill work and limit construction in steep slope areas. During Project construction,
287 Deuel Harvest will also develop and implement a Storm Water Pollution
288 Prevention Plan (“SWPPP”) in accordance with South Dakota Department of
289 Environmental and Natural Resources storm water permitting requirements,
290 which will include the implementation of best management practices (“BMPs”) to
291 control storm water runoff and mitigate erosion and sedimentation.

292 **Q. Describe the hydrologic resources, including surface and underground**
293 **resources, present within the Project Area.**

294 A. A discussion of hydrologic resources within the Project Area is provided in
295 Section 12.0 of the Application. The following types of hydrologic resources were
296 analyzed with respect to the Project:

- 297 • Groundwater resources: Within the Project Area, the Altamont aquifer is
298 present at a depth of 755 feet below the surface near the city of Altamont and
299 177 feet below the surface in the northeast corner of the Project Area. Water
300 quality in the Altamont aquifer generally is not suitable to use for irrigation,
301 although it may be acceptable in some places. Elsewhere, the water ranges
302 from marginally acceptable to unsatisfactory for use as a domestic or public
303 supply. Although little used for livestock supplies, the water is acceptable for
304 such use and is a potential source of stock water.
- 305 • Surface water resources: Most of the Project Area is within the Lac qui Parle
306 Sub-basin. This sub-basin is characterized by dendritic parallel meltwater

307 channels with few lakes. Outflow from the Project Area within this basin is via
308 Lost Creek, Timber Creek, Crow Timber Creek, and Monighan Creek, as well
309 as their unnamed tributaries, which flow west to east to the West Branch Lac
310 qui Parle River. Approximately 28 percent of the Project Area is within the
311 Upper Minnesota Sub-basin. Drainage in this area generally flows west to
312 east via several unnamed tributaries to Caine Creek, which then flows north
313 to the South Fork Yellow Bank River. Lake Alice, which is the largest
314 waterbody within the sub-basin, is owned by the State of South Dakota and
315 supports a fishery managed by the SDGFP. The remaining portion of the
316 Project Area (less than one percent) lies within the Middle Big Sioux Sub-
317 basin.

318 • National Park Service Nationwide Rivers Inventory (“NRI”): No NRI-listed
319 rivers occur within the Project Area. The nearest NRI-listed river to the
320 Project Area is the South Fork Yellow Bank River located on the north
321 boundary of the Project Area paralleling the Deuel/Grant county line in Grant
322 County.

323 • Impaired waters: There are no impaired waters within the Project Area. The
324 nearest 303(d)-listed waterbody is the South Fork Yellow Bank River, located
325 on the north boundary of the Project Area paralleling the Deuel / Grant county
326 line in Grant County.

327 • Floodplains: The Federal Emergency Management Agency has not
328 completed a study to determine flood hazard for the Project Area; therefore, a
329 flood map has not been published at this time. The nearest mapped
330 floodplains to the Project Area are Zone A designations associated with the
331 South Fork Yellow Bank River on the northern boundary of the Project Area
332 and the West Fork of the Lac qui Parle River on the eastern Project Area
333 boundary.

334

335 **Q. Are significant impacts to hydrologic resources anticipated?**

336 A. No. Construction of Project facilities could require groundwater dewatering.
337 However, dewatering is not anticipated to be a major concern because wind

338 turbines are typically placed at higher elevations where the water table tends to
339 be deeper. Project facilities have been designed to avoid impacts on surface
340 water resources.

341 **Q. What measures will Deuel Harvest employ to avoid, minimize, and/or**
342 **mitigate potential impacts to hydrologic resources?**

343 A. As I previously noted, Deuel Harvest will develop and implement a SWPPP,
344 which will result in the implementation of BMPs to control storm water runoff and
345 mitigate erosion and sedimentation in connection with Project construction
346 activities.

347 **Q. What steps has Deuel Harvest taken to identify cultural resources within**
348 **the Project Area?**

349 A. Deuel Harvest retained Burns & McDonnell to conduct an evaluation of cultural
350 resources. A literature review was conducted for the Project in accordance with
351 SHPO guidelines to provide an inventory of previously recorded cultural
352 resources. In addition, a Level III cultural resource investigation was completed
353 for all areas that would be physically impacted by the Project Facilities in August
354 and September 2018. Results will be used avoid or minimize potential impacts to
355 cultural resources during micro-siting and construction of the Project.

356 A historic-age non-archaeological resource survey was completed for the Project
357 in August and September 2018. During the field survey effort, surveyors sought
358 to document all buildings, structures, objects, districts, etc. constructed prior to
359 1973 (45 years of age or older) within the Project Area and a one-mile buffer
360 (Area of Potential Effect (“APE”)). The survey was conducted solely from publicly
361 accessible roads unless permissible access was available to private property.
362 The historians recorded 338 historic-age non-archaeological resources on 123
363 properties in the APE. Eight resources that were identified as historic-age
364 according to maps and aerial photographs were not accessible from the public
365 ROW. Though some of these resources have unknown eligibility, none would be
366 subject to direct or otherwise adverse effects from the Project. Of the accessible

367 resources, one (the Hoffman Brothers Barn) is currently listed on the National
368 Register of Historic Places (“NRHP”), and four appear to meet NRHP eligibility
369 criteria. The remaining resources lack historical associations and architectural
370 integrity and are not recommended for NRHP inclusion. None of the NRHP-
371 listed or eligible resources would be adversely affected by the Project because
372 their settings do not contribute to their significance and because the Project will
373 not result in direct impacts.

374
375 These surveys are described in more detail in Section 20.5 and Appendices E
376 and T to the Application.

377 **Q. What steps will Deuel Harvest take to avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate**
378 **impacts to cultural resources?**

379 A. Known sites or historic architectural resources determined to be NRHP-eligible
380 are avoided by Project Facilities.

381 **X. PROJECT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION**

382 **Q. Please describe the foundations that will be constructed for the turbines.**

383 A. Foundations for the towers are anticipated to be a spread foundation design.
384 The foundation extends above ground by less than 1 foot and is approximately
385 13 feet in diameter when above ground.

386 **Q. Will the collection system be installed underground?**

387 A. Yes. Approximately 67.5 miles of underground collector lines will be installed,
388 depending on the turbine model selected, and final electrical design. The
389 collector lines are to be located primarily on privately-owned parcels but may also
390 include some installations in public right-of-way (“ROW”) subject to the permitting
391 requirements of the ROW authority. A fiber optic cable and an additional
392 separate ground wire would also be installed with the collector system. The fiber
393 optic cable would be used for telemetry, control, and communication purposes.
394 Aboveground junction boxes would be installed as required for connections or
395 splices. For purposes of calculating temporary impacts in this Application, the

396 Applicant anticipates approximately 114 acres of total temporary disturbance
397 from underground collector system construction. The Applicant assumes that
398 some of the construction disturbance for the underground collector system would
399 be shared with construction disturbance for access roads where these facilities
400 overlap.

401 **Q. Please describe the Project substation.**

402 A. The Project Substation will be approximately two acres in size, located generally
403 in the center of the Project Area, and will consist of two substation transformers,
404 circuit breakers, disconnect breakers, disconnect switches, bus conductors,
405 switching devices, auxiliary equipment, a control enclosure containing equipment
406 for proper control, protection, monitoring, and communications, and associated
407 equipment and facilities. The principal function of the substation is to increase
408 the voltage from the collector system (34.5 kV) to the voltage of the transmission
409 line (345 kV), which will transport the electricity of the entire Project to the MISO
410 grid via the Interconnection Substation. The Project Substation will be located
411 within a fenced area that is designed in accordance with industry standards to
412 provide safety and security.

413 **Q. Please describe the O&M building.**

414 A. An O&M building will be constructed adjacent to the Project Substation and
415 Interconnection Substation, or another suitable location within the Project Area,
416 and will provide access and storage for Project O&M equipment. The O&M
417 building will be an approximately 7,000 to 10,000 square-foot building, which
418 would house operating personnel, offices, operations and communication
419 equipment, parts storage and maintenance activities, and a vehicle parking area.
420 An area for outdoor storage of larger equipment and materials would also be
421 included within a fenced area for safety and security.

422 **Q. Please discuss the design and installation of the permanent MET towers.**

423 A. Up to four permanent MET towers may be installed as part of the Project. These
424 MET towers are used to acquire wind data to confirm turbine performance once

425 the Project is operational. The MET towers will be self-supporting with heights
426 not to exceed the hub height of the wind turbines. The permanent MET towers
427 will be marked and lighted as specified by the FAA. The proposed locations of
428 these MET towers are shown on Figure A-4. Final location may depend on the
429 final location of the turbines and specifications of the turbine manufacturer and
430 financing parties. Locations will be within the Project Area, on land that is under
431 lease with Deuel Harvest, and will meet all County setbacks and requirements.

432 **Q. Please discuss the design and installation of the Interconnection**
433 **Substation.**

434 A. The Interconnection Substation will be approximately two acres in size and will
435 serve as the electrical interconnection between the Project and the regional
436 transmission system. The Interconnection Substation will include, but is not
437 limited to, the following: 345 kV circuit breakers, disconnect breakers, disconnect
438 switches, bus conductors, auxiliary equipment, and a control enclosure
439 containing equipment for proper control, protection, monitoring, and
440 communications. The Interconnection Substation will be located within a fenced
441 area that will be designed in accordance with industry standards to provide safety
442 and security.

443 **Q. Please discuss the design and installation of the Transmission Line.**

444 A. The Project will include an overhead 345-kV Transmission Line connecting the
445 Project Substation and Interconnection Substation. The 345-kV Transmission
446 Line will be approximately 150 feet in length and span between the Project
447 Substation and Interconnection Substation. Due to the short span, the
448 Transmission Line will only require dead-end structures within the Project
449 Substation and Interconnection Substation. The Transmission Line will be
450 located on land under lease for the Project and wholly within the Project Area.
451 Deuel Harvest anticipates that construction of the Transmission Line will not
452 impact land outside of the Project Substation and Interconnection Substation.
453 The 660-foot Transmission Line corridor is identified on Figure A-4.

454 **Q. Discuss the personnel that that will be involved in construction of the**
455 **Project.**

456 A. The Project is expected to employ approximately 400 temporary workers over
457 approximately 12 months for approximately 820,000 to 840,000 worker-hours to
458 support Project construction. The construction crews would include skilled labor,
459 such as foremen, carpenters, iron workers, electricians, millwrights, and heavy
460 equipment operators, as well as unskilled laborers.

461 **XI. PROJECT OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE**

462 **Q. Discuss the personnel that will be involved in operation and maintenance**
463 **of the Project.**

464 A. Deuel Harvest anticipates that Project operation and maintenance will result in 15
465 full-time jobs. The Applicant will manage operations, maintenance, and service
466 of the Project and its related facilities. The Project will have a full-time staff of
467 technicians, a supervisor, and others as necessary to conduct scheduled and
468 non-scheduled maintenance activities. Onsite service and maintenance activities
469 include routine inspections, regular preventive maintenance on all turbines and
470 related facilities, and unscheduled maintenance and repair on the wind turbines,
471 electrical power systems, and communications systems.

472 **Q. How will the Project be monitored between inspections?**

473 A. The Project's design includes safety and control mechanisms. These
474 mechanisms are generally monitored using a SCADA system. Each turbine is
475 connected to the SCADA system via fiber optic cable, which allows the turbines
476 to be monitored in real time by the O&M staff. The SCADA system also allows
477 the Project to be remotely monitored, thus increasing Project oversight, as well
478 as the performance and reliability of the turbines. Not only would the local O&M
479 building have full control of the wind turbines, but a 24/7 remote operations
480 facility would also have control of the individual turbines. These two teams
481 coordinate to ensure that the wind turbines operate safely and efficiently.

482 A third mechanism for safety and control is within the turbines themselves. Each
483 turbine monitors the wind speed and direction to ensure its current position is
484 most efficient to produce electricity. These data are also used for feathering the
485 blades; applying the brakes in high wind speeds or if there is ice build-up on the
486 blades; and to tell the turbine when the wind is strong enough to begin turning
487 the generator and producing electricity at the “cut-in” wind speed.

488 **Q. How reliable will the wind turbines and associated infrastructure be?**

489 A. Invenergy’s fleet-wide availability wind portfolio was more than 97% for 2016 and
490 2017 – among the best in the industry in North America. Invenergy has a
491 department dedicated to monitoring and improving performance of its fleet.
492 Performance monitoring includes fault analysis, predictive analysis and condition
493 monitoring. Additional departments are dedicated for monitoring of blades,
494 gearboxes, generators and oils/greases, and monitoring the fleets centralized
495 SCADA system. Invenergy has won the American Wind Energy Association
496 Award for Achievement in Operations twice, most recently in 2017.

497 **XII. DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION, AND OPERATIONAL CONSIDERATIONS**

498 **Q. What safety features will be incorporated into the Project?**

499 A. Among other, the safety measures identified below will be used for the Project.
500 Additional detail regarding the Project’s safety measures is provided in the
501 Application – specifically in Section 24.0.

- 502 • Wind turbines will be sited 550 feet from existing roadways, 4 times the
503 turbine height from Non-Participating Residences (approximately 2,000 feet),
504 1,500 feet from Participating Residences, and 550 feet from Non-Participating
505 property lines per the applicable planned setback requirements described in
506 Section 9.2;
- 507 • Security measures will be implemented during the construction and operation
508 of the Project, including temporary (safety) and permanent fencing, warning
509 signs, and locks on equipment and wind power facilities;

- 510 • Prior to construction, the Project contractor will work with local and county
511 emergency management to develop procedures for response to emergencies
512 and potential incidents concerning Project construction;
- 513 • During Project operations, the Project will coordinate with local and county
514 emergency management to develop an emergency management plan to be
515 implemented in the event of an emergency at the Project site;
- 516 • The Project will use an ice detection system to detect icing conditions on
517 turbine blades that will result in turbines being shut down if icing conditions
518 are identified. Turbines will not return to normal operation until icing is no
519 longer a concern; and
- 520 • The Project design conforms with GE’s setback considerations for wind
521 turbine siting, as identified in Section 7 of Appendix V.

522

523 **Q. Has Deuel Harvest accounted for existing infrastructure (including existing**
524 **communications systems) in designing the Project?**

525 A. Yes. Electrical service in the Project Area is provided by H-D Electric
526 Cooperative. The Brookings-Deuel rural water system supplies rural water to the
527 Project Area and maintains a network of distribution lines within the Project Area.
528 Deuel Harvest is currently in discussions with Interstate Telecommunications
529 Cooperative, Inc. (“ITC”), an owner of existing telephone and telecommunication
530 lines in Deuel County, regarding the Project.

531 **Q. Will the Project participate in the South Dakota One-Call program?**

532 A. Yes.

533 **Q. With respect to use of existing local roads as haul roads, will Deuel Harvest**
534 **coordinate with local road authorities regarding the use and restoration of**
535 **those roads?**

536 A. Yes.

537 **Q. What steps will the Project take to prepare for a potential emergency**
538 **situation at the Project site during construction and when the Project is**
539 **operational?**

540 A. During Project construction, the Project contractor will work with local and county
541 emergency management to develop procedures for response to emergencies,
542 natural hazards, hazardous materials incidents, manmade problems, and
543 potential incidents concerning Project construction. The contractor will provide
544 site maps, haul routes, Project schedules, contact numbers, training, and other
545 requested Project information to local and county emergency management.

546 During Project operations, the Project operator will coordinate with local and
547 county emergency management to protect the public and the property related to
548 the Project during natural, manmade or other incidents. The Project will register
549 each turbine location and the O&M building with the rural
550 identification/addressing (fire number) system and 911 systems.

551 **Q. Has Deuel Harvest considered electromagnetic interference in connection**
552 **with the construction and operation of the Project?**

553 A. Yes. Deuel Harvest retained consultants to conduct the following studies for the
554 Project to analyze potential electromagnetic interference (including radar): AM
555 and FM Radio Report (Appendix P); Communication Tower Study (Appendix Q);
556 Microwave Study (Appendix R); and Aviation Report (Appendix S).

557 **Q. Will the Project be designed, constructed, and operated in compliance with**
558 **all applicable federal, state, and local regulations?**

559 A. Yes.

560 **XIII. DECOMMISSIONING**

561 **Q. What is the estimated life of the Project?**

562 A. Applicant anticipates that the life of the Project (including both the Wind Farm
563 and Transmission Line) would be approximately 30 years and reserves the right
564 to extend the life of the Project as well as explore alternatives regarding Project

565 decommissioning. One such option may be to retrofit the turbines and power
566 system with upgrades based on new technology, which may allow the wind farm
567 to produce efficiently and successfully for many more years.

568 **Q. Will the Project be decommissioned at the end of its useful life?**

569 A. Yes.

570 **Q. If the Project is decommissioned, will the Project comply with all applicable**
571 **state and local requirements for structure removal and site restoration?**

572 A. Yes. Decommissioning will comply with applicable state and local requirements,
573 including any Deuel County requirements.

574 **Q. Has Deuel Harvest analyzed the cost of decommissioning the Project?**

575 A. Yes. The Decommissioning Cost Analysis (Appendix U) analyzes the cost of
576 decommissioning the Project. The net decommissioning cost (in 2018 U.S.
577 dollars) is estimated to be \$3,256,300 assuming salvage and no resale of Project
578 components. The decommissioning cost per wind turbine with salvage and no
579 resale is estimated to be \$29,074.

580 **Q. Who will be responsible for covering all anticipated decommissioning**
581 **costs?**

582 A. Deuel Harvest will be responsible for covering all anticipated decommissioning
583 costs.

584 **XIV. PROJECT BENEFITS**

585 **Q. Please describe the local and state benefits the Project will provide.**

586 A. Apart from the employment benefits I discussed previously, the Project is
587 expected to create both short-term and long-term positive impacts to the local
588 economy. Over its estimated 30-year life, the Project is anticipated to directly
589 generate more than \$145 million in direct economic benefits, including property

590 taxes⁵, lease payments, and local staff salaries. Over the 30-year life of the
591 Project, direct payments will be:

- 592 • Approximately \$84 million to Deuel County landowners, an average of
593 approximately \$2.8 million every year;
- 594 • Approximately \$10.5 million to Deuel County, an average of approximately
595 \$350,000 every year;
- 596 • Approximately \$24.8 million to the State of South Dakota, an average of
597 approximately \$825,000 every year;
- 598 • Approximately \$3.5 million to the local school district in the first 10 years of
599 Project operations; and
- 600 • A \$30,000 annual scholarship provided to fund for Deuel school, funded by
601 Invenergy (in addition to annual generation tax payments made to the school);

602 **XV. CONCLUSION**

603 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

604 A. Yes.

605

⁵ To estimate the generation based property tax portion of payments that comprise the above property tax payments, Deuel Harvest utilized a net capacity factor of 47% that was calculated using the methodologies described in Chapter 6.1 of the Application.

606 Dated this 30th day of November, 2018.

607

608

Handwritten signature of Michael Svedeman in cursive script.

609

610

611 Michael Svedeman

612

613