Ex. A13

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY DEUEL HARVEST WIND ENERGY LLC FOR ENERGY FACILITY PERMITS OF A WIND ENERGY FACILITY AND A 345-KV TRANSMISSION LINE IN DEUEL COUNTY, SOUTH DAKOTA FOR THE DEUEL HARVEST NORTH WIND FARM

SD PUC DOCKET EL18-053

PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JACOB BAKER ON BEHALF OF DEUEL HARVEST WIND ENERGY LLC

February 14, 2019

- 1 I. INTRODUCTION
- 2

3 Q. Please state your name, employer, and business address.

A. My name is Jacob Baker. I am Director of Operations and Maintenance,
Renewables, at Invenergy LLC ("Invenergy"). My business address is 2192 East
25th Road, Marseilles, Illinois.

7

8 Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.

9 A. I have been with Invenergy since 2008 and have worked in renewable energy for 10 more than a decade. While my current role focuses on our solar and battery storage 11 operations and maintenance, I have more than 13 years of experience with site 12 operations and maintenance of wind energy facilities, 10 years of which are with 13 My areas of site operations responsibility for wind farms included Invenergy. 14 Wisconsin, Illinois, Michigan, Nebraska, Illinois, New York, Quebec, Canada and 15 Ontario, Canada. I have a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Engineering from Illinois 16 State University. My resume is attached as Exhibit 1.

17

18 Q. Did you provide Direct Testimony in this docket on October 26, 2018?

19 A. No.

- 20
- 21

II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

22

23 Q. What is the purpose of your Supplemental Direct Testimony?

A. The purpose of my Supplemental Direct Testimony is to provide additional
 information regarding the Project's design and Project operations as they relate to
 the risk of ice throw.

27

28 Q. What exhibits are attached to your Supplemental Direct Testimony?

- A. The following exhibit is attached to my Supplemental Direct Testimony:
- 30
- <u>Exhibit 1</u>: Resume.
- 31

- 32 III. ICE THROW
- 33

34 **Q.** Are you familiar with the issue of icing on wind turbine blades?

- A. Yes, I am aware that icing on wind turbine blades is sometimes raised as an issue
 with respect to wind projects. Specifically, concerns are raised regarding ice
 shedding, which is when ice that has built up on blades falls from the blades.
- 38

39 **Q. Is icing a common occurrence on wind turbines?**

- A. Icing can occur on blades. The concern that arises is ice shedding that can occur
 once ice accumulates. Ice shedding is not common and is generally controlled by
 ice detection systems on the turbines.
- 43

44 **Q.** What causes icing on wind turbine blades?

- A. Turbines experience icing during conditions of freezing rain this occurs as
 temperatures are dropping down to and below freezing, and moisture is falling.
- 47

48 Q. How will icing on the wind turbine blades be detected for the Deuel Harvest 49 North Wind Farm?

A. The Project has been designed to minimize the risk of ice throw. The turbines 50 51 utilized for the Project are equipped with software to monitor for situations when the 52 ambient temperatures are below 3° C (37.4°F) and when there are deviations in the 53 turbine's standard power curve greater than established thresholds. Wind turbines 54 function by having blades with airfoil cross-sections. This means that the wind 55 blowing across the blades generates lift, which causes rotation of the rotor and this 56 rotation is channeled into a generator to generate electricity. Each turbine model has 57 a power curve, or a rated amount of power production for a given wind speed. If ice 58 were to accumulate on blades, it would change the profile of the blades, potentially 59 decreasing the amount of lift they can generate for a given windspeed. This potential 60 mis-match is what would cause turbine shutdowns during icing conditions. In 61 addition to this software, Deuel Harvest will use meteorological data from onsite 62 permanent meteorological towers, on-site anemometers, and other relevant 63 meteorological sources to determine if ice accumulation is occurring. These control 64 systems would either automatically shut down the turbine(s) in icing conditions (per 65 the sensors), or Deuel Harvest would manually shut down turbine(s) if icing 66 conditions are identified (using meteorological data). Turbines would not return to 67 normal operation until the control systems indicate icing is no longer a concern.

68

These mechanical and software safeguards, in addition to turbine setbacks, mitigate the potential hazard associated with ice throw, and minimize the potential that ice shed from turbine blades could reach public roads and residences. Ice throw is not expected to be a hazard for the Project. The measures to be employed for the Project are consistent with measures approved by the Commission in prior wind project dockets.¹

75

76 **Q. Please discuss the risk of ice throw.**

A. It is very rare, and there are methods to minimize and prevent ice throw. Typically,
ice is shed from (i.e., falls in close proximity to) a turbine. The Project will be set
back at least 550 feet (1.1 times the tip height of the tallest proposed turbine)² from

¹ See In the Matter of the Application by Prevailing Wind Park, LLC for a Permit of a Wind Energy Facility in Bon Homme County, Charles Mix County and Hutchinson County, South Dakota, for the Prevailing Wind Park Project, Docket No. EL 18-026, Final Decision and Order Granting Permit to Construct Facilities and Notice of Entry, Condition No. 38 (Nov. 28, 2018); see also In the Matter of the Application of Dakota Range I, LLC and Dakota Range II, LLC for a Permit of a Wind Energy Facility in Grant County and Codington County, South Dakota, for the Dakota Range Wind Project, Docket No. EL 18-003, Final Decision and Order Granting Permit to Construct Wind Energy Facility; Notice of Entry, Condition No. 40 (July 23, 2018).

Manufacturer	Turbine Name	Hub Height	Rotor Diameter	Tip Height	MW Rating
General Electric (GE)	GE 2.3-116	80 m (263 ft)	116 m (381 ft)	138 m (452 ft)	2.3
General Electric	GE 2.82-127	88.6 m (291 ft)	127 m (417 ft)	152.1 m (499 ft)	2.82

² Following are the specifications for the two proposed turbines:

- 80
- 81 82

83 Q. Are you aware of public comments in this proceeding regarding ice throw?

standards and the manufacturer's recommendations (discussed below).

non-participating property lines, and roads. This distance is consistent with state

84 A. Yes. I am aware of comments made at the January 24, 2019 public input hearing 85 regarding an alleged ice throw incident in Minnesota. Specifically, I am aware that 86 there were allegations that ice flung from a turbine at the Bent Tree Wind Farm in Freeborn County, Minnesota, on February 22, 2018, dented a truck 300 feet away.³ 87 However, Bent Tree Wind Farm staff investigated the events of February 22, 2018. 88 and could not confirm that the damage to the truck resulted from an ice throw from 89 the Bent Tree Wind Farm.⁴ As described in a letter filed by the operator of the Bent 90 Tree Wind Farm following a voicemail alleging the incident, the technician 91 92 immediately dispatched to the site did not find any ice along the highway and did not witness any turbines shedding ice.⁵ 93

94

The Bent Tree Wind Farm wind turbines are setback at least 250 feet from the edge
 of the nearest public road right-of-way in accordance with the site permit for that
 project and standard Minnesota Public Utilities Commission ("MPUC") practice.⁶

98

³ See In the Matter of Freeborn Wind Energy, LLC for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System Site Permit for the 84 MW Freeborn Wind Farm in Freeborn County, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. IP-6946/WS-17-410, Order Issuing Site Permit and Taking Other Action at 17 (December 19, 2018), available at

https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentI d={A06BC867-0000-C813-98D1-BE9196003A96}&documentTitle=201812-148595-01

⁴ *Id.* at 18.

⁵ In the Matter of the Site Permit Issued to the Wisconsin Power and Light Company for the Bent Tree Project in Freeborn County, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. ET6657/WS-08-573, Letter by Wisconsin Power & Light (February 23, 2018), available at https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentI d={C0D9C461-0000-CC1B-9E19-36C0A1A7EA7D}&documentTitle=20182-140446-01

⁶ In the Matter of the Site Permit Issued to the Wisconsin Power and Light Company for the Bent Tree Project in Freeborn County, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. ET6657/WS-08-573, Site Permit for the Bent Tree Wind Project at Condition III(C)(3) (October 20, 2009), available at https://www.edockets.state.mn.us/EFiling/edockets/searchDocuments.do?method=showPoup&documentlde=11DA5766B-212D-4B4C-9408-CA7F776E4C6E}

99 In a wind permitting proceeding pending before it at the time of the alleged Bent 100 Tree Wind Farm ice throw, the MPUC considered these allegations and found them 101 "insufficient to justify the adoption of novel policies regarding turbine setbacks or the need to monitor turbine blades for ice accumulation."⁷ The MPUC concluded that a 102 250-foot setback from public road right-of-way and 1,000-foot setback from 103 residences provide an appropriate measure of safety.⁸ I also note that Minnesota's 104 105 250-foot setback from public roads, and 1,000-foot setback from residences are far 106 less than those imposed by Deuel County.

107

108 Q. Do Project setbacks provide adequate protection for ice throw?

109 A. Yes. Project setbacks are consistent with the setbacks recommended by General 110 Electric ("GE") in its Setback Considerations for Wind Turbine Siting (attached to the 111 Application as Appendix V). Specifically, when considering the risk of ice throw, GE 112 recommends a setback of 1.1 times the turbine tip height from public use areas, 113 residences, public buildings, and public roads. Deuel Harvest adhered to these 114 setbacks in developing the layout for the Project. Turbines will be sited at least 550 115 feet from existing roadways, at least 4 times the turbine height from non-participating 116 residences (approximately 2,000 feet), at least 1,500 feet from participating 117 residences, and at least 550 feet from non-participating property lines. As such, the 118 setbacks incorporated into the layout for the Project provide more than adequate 119 protection for ice throw.

120

121 IV. CONCLUSION

122

123 Q. Does this conclude your Supplemental Direct Testimony?

- 124 A. Yes.
- 125

⁷ In the Matter of Freeborn Wind Energy, LLC for a Large Wind Energy Conversion System Site Permit for the 84 MW Freeborn Wind Farm in Freeborn County, Minnesota Public Utilities Commission Docket No. IP-6946/WS-17-410, Order Issuing Site Permit and Taking Other Action at 18 (December 19, 2018). ⁸ *Id*.

- 126 Dated this 14th day of February, 2019.

Juben

- 131 Jacob Baker

- 65936224