| 1 | STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) IN CIRCUIT COURT :SS | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | COUNTY OF DEUEL) THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT | | | | | |
| 3 | 19CIV18-000019 | | | | | |
| 4 | GEORGE HOLBORN, RUBY HOLBORN,) JOHN HOMAN, TERESA HOMAN, VICKI) | | | | | |
| 5 | HINDERS, STACEY HINDERS, RICK) KOLBECK, JENNIFER KOLBECK,) | | | | | |
| 6 | WILLIAM STONE, FAY STONE, HEATH) STONE, KATIE STONE, and STEVEN) OVERBY, | | | | | |
| 7 | Petitioners,) | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | VS.) | | | | | |
| 10 | DEUEL COUNTY BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT, DEUEL HARVEST WIND | | | | | |
| 11 | ENERGY LLC, and DEUEL HARVEST) WIND ENERGY SOUTH LLC, | | | | | |
| 12 | Respondents.) | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL DAHL, | | | | | |
| 15 | taken before NANCY McCLANAHAN, court reporter and notary public within and for the County of Codington, | | | | | |
| 16 | State of South Dakota. | | | | | |
| 17 | DEUEL COUNTY COURTHOUSE | | | | | |
| 18 | Jury Room 408 Fourth Street West | | | | | |
| 19 | Clear Lake, South Dakota | | | | | |
| 20 | September 21, 2018 | | | | | |
| 21 | 10:49 A.M. | | | | | |
| 22 | Nancy McClanahan Reporter/RPR,RMR | | | | | |
| 23 | EXHIBIT McCLANAHAN REPORTING | | | | | |
| 24 | 1-800-813-0936 605-882-0936 | | | | | |
| 25 | P.O. Box 342 Watertown, SD 57201 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

APPEARANCES

1.3

Reece Almond, DAVENPORT, EVANS, HURWITZ & SMITH, L.L.P., P. O. Box 1030, 206 W. 14th St., Sioux Falls, SD 57101-1030, ralmond@dehs.com, appearing on behalf of Plaintiffs. George Holborn, Ruby Holborn, John Homan appearing personally at the deposition.

Christina L. Kilby, KILBY LAW, PLLC, 112 Geneva Boulevard, Burnsville, MN 55306, ckilby@kilbylawmn.com, appearing on behalf of Plaintiffs.

Zachary W. Peterson, RICHARDSON, WYLY, WISE, SAUCK & HIEB, LLP, P.O. Box 1030, 1 Court Street, Aberdeen, SD 57402, zpeterson@rwwsh.com, appearing on behalf of Defendant Deuel County Board of Adjustment.

Lisa M. Agrimonti, FREDRIKSON & BYRON, P.A., 200 South Sixth Street, Suite 4000, Minneapolis, MN 55402-1425, lagrimonti@fredlaw.com, appearing on behalf of Defendants, Deuel Harvest Wind Energy LLC and Deuel Harvest Wind Energy South LLC. Michael Svedeman appearing personally at the deposition.

STIPULATION

IT IS STIPULATED by and between counsel for the parties hereto that the DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL DAHL, may be taken at this time and place before NANCY McCLANAHAN, a court reporter and a notary public in and for the County of Codington, State of South Dakota, for the purpose of discovery or for use at trial or for each of said purposes; that said deposition is taken in accordance with the applicable statutes and rules of civil procedure and taken pursuant to written notice; and that counsel waive reading and signature of the transcript by the deponent. It is further noted that the deponent has waived reading and signature.

| 1 | | | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|--|----------------|------------|--|
| 1 | INDEX | | | | |
| 2 | TESTIMON | Y OF MICHAEL DAHL: | PAGI | 2 | |
| 3 | | tion By Mr. Almond: tion By Ms. Agrimon | +i• | 5 60 | |
| 4 | Further Examination By Mr. Almond: | | | 63 | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: MARK | | | KED | |
| 7 | 14 | | | 46 | |
| 8 | 15 | | | 54 | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | DEPOSITIO | ON EXHIBITS | PAGE INITIALLY | REFERENCED | |
| 11 | 9. | Wind Lease and Eas | | 9 | |
| 12 | | Agreements, DCBA 1 | | | |
| 13 | 4. | Submissions receiv Board, together wi correspondence | _ | 19 | |
| 14 15 | 10. | Invenergy letters, November 30, 2016; December 22, 2017; | DH 37, | 22 | |
| 16 | | 23, 2017; DH 52, J 2017; DH 53, Janua | | | |
| 17 | 2. | Applications of Ha | rvest Wind | 24 | |
| 18 | | Energy, LLC ("Nort Harvest Wind Energ | | | |
| 19 | | ("South") for a Co Permit, each dated | | | |
| 20 | | 2017, together wit correspondence bet | | | |
| 21 | | representatives an | | | |
| 22 | 5. | Minutes of and mat to the Board's Jan | | 26 | |
| 23 | | hearing, together correspondence bet | with | | |
| 24 | | Couty, its State's | Attorney, and | | |
| 25 | | First District Ass Local Governments | OCIACION OI | | |

| 1 | | | | | | |
|----------|-------------------|---|-----|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | (Index continued) | | | | | |
| 2 | 4. | Submissions received by the Board, together with related correspondence | 29 | | | |
| 4 5 | 11. | Deuel County Zoning Board meeting minutes, Monday, April 10, 2017 | 39 | | | |
| 6 | 14. | Deuel County Zoning Board Minutes, Monday, June 12, 2017 | 46 | | | |
| 7 | 1. | Deuel County Zoning Ordinances B2004-01 | 50 | | | |
| 9 | 15. | Deuel County Zoning Board meeting minutes of September 11, 2017 | 5 4 | | | |
| 11 | 9. | Wind Lease and Easement Agreement, DCBA 1-80 | 59 | | | |
| 12 | 13 | E-mail from Lisa Agrimonti to | 63 | | | |
| 13 14 | | John Knight, January 18, 2018, DH19, 119, 120 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 MICHAEL DAHL, 3 being first duly sworn, testified as follows: **EXAMINATION** 4 5 By MR. ALMOND: 6 Please state and spell your name for the court Q. 7 reporter, please. Middle name too? Michael Dahl. 8 9 THE REPORTER: D-A-H-L? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 We're just going to dive right into it, Q. Mr. Dahl. What were your parents' names? 12 1.3 Α. Vernon and Ione. And do you have siblings? 14 0. 15 Α. Yes, I do. 16 What are your siblings' first and last names? Q. Do you want both the live and deceased or --17 Α. 18 Q. Yes. 19 Julie Squires. Janice Selken, who is deceased. Α. David Dahl. Jeffrey Dahl, who is deceased. 20 2.1 Christy Dahl. And children? 22 Q. We have a son Aaron. 2.3 Α. 24 Dahl? Ο. Dahl. A-A-R-O-N. 25 Α.

- 1 Q. And does your son have a spouse?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Your other board members, Kevin DeBoer,

4 Dennis Kanengieter, Paul Brandt, and Steve Rhody. I'm

5 going to say some names and let me know whether they're

6 related to any of those people. James and

7 Jerome DeBoer. I believe those are Kevin DeBoer's

8 brothers. Do you know that?

- A. Am I related to them?
- 10 Q. No. No. Do you know James and Jerome DeBoer?
- 11 A. No, I don't.
- 12 Q. Do you know that Kevin DeBoer has brothers
- 13 | named James and Jerome DeBoer?
- A. Yeah, I've heard their names but I don't know
- 15 them.

- 16 Q. Okay. Do you know who Justin and
- 17 | Kristen Brandt are?
- 18 A. No, I don't.
- 19 Q. What about Jason and Teresa Kanengieter?
- 20 A. No, I don't.
- Q. Diane and Joel Brandt?
- 22 A. Yes, I know them.
- Q. Are they any relation to Paul Brandt?
- A. I believe they're brothers, Joel and Paul.
- 25 Q. And Dennis Kanengieter, do you know who his

```
1
   employer is?
 2
       Α.
             Yes, I do.
 3
             Who is that?
       Ο.
 4
       Α.
            Phil Rogness.
 5
       Q.
            Is that Rogness Trucking?
            I believe so.
 6
       Α.
 7
            Aside from Phil Rogness, are there any other
       Q.
   Rognesses that work in the Rogness Trucking business
 9
   that you know of?
       Α.
10
             I don't know.
11
             Do you know Phil's wife's name?
       Q.
12
       Α.
             Hmm, I don't recall it, no.
1.3
            All right. Now I want a list of every or any
       Q.
14
   agreement that you've entered into with a wind
15
   investment company.
16
       Α.
             Okay. It was Enxco.
17
       Q.
            How do you spell that?
            E-N-N-X-C-O? I'm not sure. And Iberdrola.
       Α.
18
19
            Iberdrola?
       Ο.
             (Nods affirmatively.) And it would have been
20
       Α.
2.1
   Invenergy.
22
             Enxco, what type of agreement was that?
       Q.
                                                      Was it
   a wind lease?
2.3
24
             It was a wind lease.
       Α.
25
        Q. A lease agreement or easement or --
```

```
Yeah, it was an easement.
 1
        Α.
 2
             When did you enter into that agreement?
        Q.
 3
             I don't recall what the dates or years were.
 4
   long time ago.
 5
        Q.
             Is that agreement --
             I think in the '80s maybe.
 6
 7
             Is that agreement still in place or still
        Q.
   effective?
 9
        Α.
             No.
10
        Q.
             And when did that agreement terminate?
             Long -- I don't recall.
11
        Α.
12
        Q.
             Several years ago?
1.3
        Α.
             Several years ago.
14
             Tell me about the Iberdrola. Was that also a
        Ο.
   wind lease?
15
16
             Yes, it was.
        Α.
17
        Q.
             Is that agreement still in place?
        Α.
             No.
18
19
             When did you enter into the Iberdrola
        0.
20
   agreement?
2.1
        Α.
             I don't recall the date. Probably --
22
        Q.
             Just give me a year, if you can.
        Α.
             I would be guessing.
2.3
24
             That's fine.
        Ο.
25
        Α.
             2006-ish.
```

- Q. And when did that agreement terminate?
- A. Five years later.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. When you say it was five years later, explain why you know it was five years later.
- A. Because they never did progress with their wind farm. So it expires after five years.
- Q. So it was set to expire after five years if there was no progress.
 - A. No progress.
- Q. And then you mentioned Invenergy. Do you have a lease agreement, or did you have a lease agreement with Invenergy?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And you gave that agreement to your attorney; 15 right?
- 16 A. Uh-huh (Yes).
- 17 Q. Yes?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Sorry. You've got to say yes or no because the 20 court reporter is taking down what we're saying.
- 21 **A. Yes.**
- Q. And that's been produced in discovery so I've seen it and read through it.
- A. (Nods affirmatively.)
- Q. And I can give it to you. That agreement is in

Exhibit 9, would have been marked as Exhibit 9 for purposes of this deposition, and looks like you signed and dated it and I'm looking at page 66. Is that your signature there, Michael Dahl?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.3

- Q. It looks like you would have signed this January 13 of 2016?
 - A. Yeah, that would, I assume, be right.
 - Q. Sound about right to you?
- A. Yes.
- Q. January of 2016 is when you entered into your wind lease with Invenergy. Tell me about how that came to be.
 - A. Well, they were asking for interested people to come to their office if interested in signing up for wind energy. Well, so I went down and they said, well, we don't have a lot in that area but you can sign up and we will go from there. Well, come to be, when they decided where they were going to build their towers, they said, we did not get enough area in your area, land signed up, so they terminated it.
 - Q. So --
 - A. And I don't know if it was just me. I do know we had other neighbors that had signed up with them, and they said they would be terminated also.

- Q. So you actually went into Invenergy's office because you wanted to sign up?
 - A. I wanted to find out more about their --
- Q. So no one from Invenergy actually approached you individually --
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. -- and asked you to sign up?
- A. After that they did, after they knew that I was interested in signing up.
- Q. How did you know they were looking for people to sign up?
 - A. Just newspaper, their ads and word of mouth.
 - Q. Where was their office at?
- 14 A. On Highway 15 right in Clear Lake here.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall approximately when you went into the office to find out more information?
 - A. Probably, maybe six months before the signing of a contract, I would say. I'm just guessing. I don't remember. I didn't think those dates would be important down the road.
- Q. I totally understand that. I'm not going to try to hold you to any specific date, but. So you went in to get more information from Invenergy. You didn't sign anything that day?
- 25 A. No, sir.

- Q. Then you left, and then did someone from

 Invenergy reach out to you? Explain to me how you went

 from going there to signing the agreement.
- A. I received a phone call wanting to know if I would meet with them for signing the -- you know, they would consider my land for an easement, and just wondered if I could meet at somewhere with them to sign a contract, or an agreement, an easement.
- Q. And did you end up meeting with someone to sign it?
 - A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

17

20

2.1

- Q. Who did you meet with?
- 13 A. I don't recall the name. I don't.
- Q. Who from Invenergy have you had discussions with outside of hearings?
- 16 A. Outside of hearings?
 - Q. Board hearings, board meetings.
- 18 A. Board hearings.
- 19 Q. Meetings or hearings.
 - A. I would have to read through there to -- I don't remember names. I don't remember a name.
- Q. And looking at Exhibit 9, page 73, looks like a letter from Invenergy to you dated -- What's the date on there?
- 25 A. March 15, 2016.

```
Enclosing you will find your $3,095 development
 1
        Q.
 2
   term fees. Do you see that?
 3
       Α.
             Yes.
             So did you get a payment for $3,095 from
 4
 5
   Invenergy?
 6
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
 7
        Q.
             Did you cash that payment?
             Yes, sir.
 8
       Α.
 9
        Q.
             Did you ever pay it back?
10
       Α.
             No, sir.
11
             Have you received any other money from
        Q.
12
   Invenergy or anyone affiliated with Invenergy?
1.3
       Α.
             No, sir.
14
             How about any gifts?
        0.
15
       Α.
             I don't recall any.
16
             No tickets to any games?
        Q.
             No, sir. No.
17
       Α.
             No dinners?
18
        Q.
             No, sir.
19
       Α.
20
        Q.
             Okay. And then at some point, your wind lease
21
   was terminated; right?
       Α.
             Correct.
22
2.3
             Tell me how that happened.
        Q.
24
             Oh, it was an afternoon I received a call from
       Α.
25
   one of their representatives asking if they could meet
```

with me. So we met at our house here in town, and he stated he wouldn't elaborate over the phone, but when he came to the house, he said we're going to terminate your contract, because we do not have enough interest in your area to extend the farm that far that direction.

- Q. And I've seen the Notice of Release of Easement and it's dated November of 2016. Does that sound about right in terms of timing --
 - A. I think so, yeah.
 - Q. -- when the guy stopped over?
 - A. Yeah, I'd say so. Yeah.
- Q. And one other thing, let's try not to talk over one another, because it makes her job really hard when we do that.
 - A. Okay.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

- Q. It's unusual because in normal conversation people like to do that, because they anticipate what we're going to be asking, but it's important that we try not to do that, otherwise she's going to get mad at us.
- Who is the individual, do you know the name of the individual that you talked to about the Notice of Easement Release?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Okay. When did you first get on the Board of Adjustment?

- A. I don't recall that date, sir.
- Q. Was it before -- Were you on the Board at the time you entered into your wind lease with Invenergy?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone from Invenergy about the fact that you were on the Board?
- A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

- Q. Have you ever had any conversations with anyone from Invenergy about you being on the Board?
- A. I don't remember.
- 12 I'm asking, of course, outside of official Q. 13 meetings. If you're sitting on the Board and someone 14 from Invenergy is there, obviously, they know you're on 15 the Board. But outside of board meetings or hearings, 16 do you remember if you've had any conversations with 17 anyone from Invenergy that related to you and your 18 position on the Board?
 - A. No, sir. Not that I recall.
- Q. Did you ever discuss with your fellow board members that you had entered into a wind lease with Invenergy?
- 23 A. Yes, I would have.
- Q. And when did -- How many times did you do it?
- 25 A. I have no -- I don't recollect that amount. I

mean, I --

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. You said -- I guess, give me a general flair of what those discussions would have looked like. Why would you have brought it up? When would you have brought it up?
- A. Well, I think as I recall, the first time that it was probably brought up was during the Flying Cow application in the Lake Cochrane area.
- Q. Is Flying Cow another wind farm that was being proposed --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. -- or developed in Deuel County?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Let's wait, if you could just wait for me to finish my question. So this Flying Cow project was being proposed, and at that time you believe you told your fellow board members about your wind lease with Invenergy?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And why did you do that?
 - A. I, if I may go back, I believe I told

 John Knight that, that I had a contract with Invenergy.

 And then at his -- He instructed us or informed us that it would probably be best if I abstain from the voting on that wind project.

- Q. So you talked to your board members. You let them know that you --
- A. Yep. Let them know that I had a possible conflict of interest with having a contract not with Flying Cow, but with another company at that time.
- Q. Was that in 2017 when the Board considered the Flying Cow?
 - A. You'd have to look that up. I don't remember.
- Q. So because you had an agreement with Invenergy, you thought it was appropriate to recuse from considering the Flying Cow application; is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- MS. AGRIMONTI: Could we just take a minute.
- 14 I would like to talk with Zach.

15 (Brief recess.)

MS. AGRIMONTI: Thank you.

17 By MR. ALMOND:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

- Q. Aside from recusing yourself with respect to the Flying Cow matter, have you ever recused yourself from any other wind-related issues that were being decided by the Board?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Are you aware of any other of your fellow board members who have recused themselves from voting or deciding on wind-related issues?

No, not until last night. 1 Α. 2 And who recused himself last night? Q. Paul Brandt. 3 Α. And what was your understanding of the reason 4 5 why he recused himself? He had a contract with NextEra for a met tower. 6 Α. 7 That's what he said. And is NextEra another wind developer? 8 Ο. 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 So that's Paul Brandt; right? Paul Brandt had Q. 11 an agreement with another wind developer; right? 12 Α. Right. At which that, I might add, the met 1.3 tower was never erected, so. 14 But because of that agreement that Paul Brandt Ο. 15 had with another wind company, Paul Brandt recused himself from a proceeding last night? 16 Α. 17 Correct. 18 What was the proceeding last night for? 19 for another wind farm; is that right? 20 Α. It was to listen to the presentations of both. 2.1 We did not vote on it, though, last night. 22 Q. Okay. And was that the Crowned Ridge project? Correct. 2.3 24 And the public hearing was held last night; Ο.

25

right?

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

2.3

- Q. You said you didn't vote on it. Tell me what happened.
- A. Um, well, it became 10:00 o'clock, 10:30, and all of the opponents of wind energy had brought a lot of information to us we thought we should take a look at it and read through, and so we just decided to postpone or table the vote, for the time, for until October 11.
- Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 4, and these are documents that were provided by the county in this litigation. Many of which were the public comments that were submitted during the Deuel Harvest or Invenergy project. Do you recall receiving public comments during the Deuel Harvest project?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would you say the quantity of information that you received -- I guess compare, let us know in terms of comparison in terms of the quantity of information you received as it relates to the Crowned Ridge project and the Deuel Harvest project.
 - A. I don't think I've got time to compare that.
- Q. Okay.
- A. You know, they were both you got information and --

Were they pretty comparable in terms of the 1 Q. 2 quantity of information? In terms of the quantity? Probably more last 3 Α. night. 4 5 Q. Probably more last night? Α. Yeah. (Nods affirmatively.) 6 7 And the county has all the written submissions Q. that were submitted for the Crowned Ridge project; 9 right? 10 Last night, yeah. Α. Yes. 11 What about the type of information and Q. 12 arguments that were made last night? Can you give me 1.3 any arguments or information that was provided to you 14 last night that wasn't provided for the Invenergy 15 projects? I wouldn't -- I don't recall right now 16 without --17 18 So nothing pops in your head about, oh, that 19 was something that I didn't hear in the Invenergy 20 project? 2.1 Α. Probably ice throws. 22 Q. Ice throws? I guess, yeah. I don't recall too much being 2.3

And I understand your testimony earlier was

24

25

said about that in past.

Q.

- that you got, you know, information from the public that
 you wanted to consider. Was there any specific
 information that the public provided that the Board
 thought it needed to really look at, or was it just all
 - A. I believe there was some that stuck out more than others. You know, some, a couple of things had been brought up that we hadn't discussed in prior.
 - O. And what were those?

the information together?

- A. The ice throw, I believe it was, that we had discussed, and I don't know what the other board members had. That was the one that I had.
 - Q. Any other example that you had?
- 14 A. Who was liable for ice throws.
- Q. Okay. Anything else for you?
 - A. Oh, I had four or five questions. Basically, I just wanted the NextEra people to explain to people there what these answers were.
- 19 Q. Tell me about, have you ever gone to any events 20 or meetings that Invenergy put on?
 - A. No.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

16

17

18

2.1

Q. So I understand it, Invenergy held events for landowners or potential landowners who were entering into the easement agreements. You never went to any of those events?

A. No, sir, not that I recall.

1

9

10

11

14

- Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as
 Exhibit 10, and I'll represent to you that those are an
 assortment of letters that Invenergy sent out to, it
 appears, people in Deuel County. If you flip through
 those, can you tell me if you received any of those
 letters?
 - A. Oh, I might have. I don't recall, though.
 - Q. And there is more than one so just flip through; and if you do recall actually receiving one, let me know.
- A. (Complies.) No, I don't recall receiving these.
 - Q. You may have; you may not have.
- 15 A. I may have; I may not have. I don't remember.
- Q. Your testimony is you never attended any events hosted or sponsored by Invenergy?
 - A. Not as I recall.
- Q. I want to talk a little bit more about the
 Notice Release of Easement. What can I refer to that
 as? Your release or termination --
- A. Termination.
- Q. Termination of your easement. I'm sorry if I
 already asked you this, but do you remember who you
 talked with about the termination of easement?

```
No, I don't.
 1
       Α.
 2
             And the actual notice of the termination, how
        Q.
 3
   did you receive that?
 4
       Α.
             You mean written or verbal or -- I mean, it was
 5
   handed to me and said, This is the --
 6
        Ο.
             I'm showing you Exhibit 9, starting on page 76.
 7
   My question is, how did you receive that document?
             It was handed to me across the table.
 8
       Α.
 9
        Q.
             Okay. So it wasn't mailed to you or e-mailed
10
   to you?
11
       Α.
             No.
12
             So is your understanding that your wind lease
        Q.
   with Invenergy is terminated?
13
14
             Is it my understanding?
       Α.
15
       Q.
             Yes.
16
             Yeah.
       Α.
17
        Q.
             And given that, do you believe you owe any
18
   obligations to Invenergy?
19
       Α.
             No, sir.
20
        Q.
             None whatsoever?
2.1
       Α.
             No.
22
             So the Invenergy project, I understand it was
        Q.
23
   two different special exception permits for two
24
   different projects. Is that your understanding?
25
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
```

- And there was a "North" project and a "South" 1 Ο. 2 project is what Mr. DeBoer referred to them as. Is that how you view the projects? Α. 4 Yep. How do you receive the applications? 5 Q. 6 Α. Application for the permit? 7 Yes. Q. I would have met and it came in an envelope. 8 don't recall exactly. All our stuff usually comes 9 10 mailed. 11 So you believe you received the two 12 applications for the two separate permits probably 1.3 through the mail? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. In the four binders that I've just put in front 16 of you are the two applications that were submitted or 17 have been purported to be submitted to the county. It's 18 Deposition Exhibit 2. 19 Okay. Α. 20 Do you remember when you received the Q. 2.1 applications for the permit?
- Q. But it would have been after this December 20 letter; correct?
- A. I would assume, yes.

Α.

No, I don't.

Q. So I got the two applications here for the "North" project and the "South" project. Did you review both of those applications in their entirety?

A. Yes, sir. I looked through them.

Q. Did you look at each page? I'm not asking you if you read every word on each page, but did you flip through each page and kind of get a flair of what was on that page?

A. Yes.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. And how much time did you spend going through the application?
- A. I have no idea. You look at it here for a while and then you'd come back to it and look some more.

 I don't recall how much time I spent on it. Do you need to have this open anymore?
- Q. Why don't you turn to page 201 in there for me, please.

A. 201? Okay.

Q. Actually, if you go to page 200, looks like this is Appendix F, copies of Memorandum of Easements with Landowners. Then if you go to page 201, I interpret this to be a list of all the landowners signed up for the project. Do you remember reviewing this document here or these pages of the application?

A. Reviewing them?

- 1 Q. Reviewing them.
 - A. Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

22

2.3

- Q. You reviewed the landowners of the project?
- A. I looked through a lot them. I don't know if I actually looked at every single one, like you said.
- Q. Okay. Tell me about -- We can be done with this now. Tell me about First District's involvement. Generally, what does First District do for the county?
- A. Well, as I understand it, they're kind of a guideline for us. That's kind of been my interpretation through the years I've served.
- Q. How did they guide the Board with respect to the Invenergy project?
- A. Hmm? I got to think back what they -- I do recall after the permit was passed that they came through with the findings and read what the, you know, our list of stuff that we have to go through for special exceptions.
- 19 Q. What about before you guys voted on the 20 project?
 - A. I don't recall that they were involved at all in anything that I did or we did as a board. They might have but I don't recall.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 5. I'm going turn to page 26 here. That's an

```
1
   e-mail from Todd Kays to dczoning. Is it your
 2
   understanding dczoning is Jodi? That's her e-mail?
             Yeah.
 3
       Α.
             And Todd Kays is with First District; correct?
 4
       Q.
 5
       Α.
            Uh-huh (Yes). I believe so.
 6
            Did you ever get this e-mail or the attachments
       Q.
 7
   referenced in the e-mail, which are the documents that
   follow the document you're looking at?
             I don't remember getting it, no. I might have,
 9
       Α.
10
   but --
11
            Flip to the next page. On the top there it
       Ο.
12
   says it's a Staff Report. Do you remember getting that
1.3
   Staff Report or reviewing that Staff Report at any time?
             I don't recall, but. No, I don't recall.
14
       Α.
15
       Q.
             So you have no memory of Jodi Theisen providing
16
   you with that Staff Report?
            No, I don't recall.
17
       Α.
18
       Q.
            Feel free to flip through it. I just want
19
   to --
             I don't remember. I don't.
20
       Α.
2.1
            Maybe I'll ask a better question. Did you rely
       Q.
22
   on First District in any way to assist you in deciding
23
   on the two applications submitted by Invenergy?
24
       Α.
            No.
25
           But you did say after you guys voted, there was
       Q.
```

```
some involvement of First District; correct?
 2
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
            And that was -- Tell me about what
 3
 4
   First District was doing there.
 5
       Α.
             They were going through the findings of our
 6
   reasons of passing the permit.
 7
       Q.
            Did they assist you with preparing those
   findings?
             They didn't assist us in preparing it, no.
 9
       Α.
10
   They just read the question, you know.
11
            So at some point the Board put together written
       Ο.
12
   findings; right?
1.3
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
14
             Saying, you know, we found this, we found that
15
   and we're granting a permit.
             Yes, sir.
16
       Α.
17
       Q.
            Who actually put that document together?
             I would assume Jodi, when it was all done.
18
       Α.
19
            Were you involved at all in putting the
       Ο.
20
   document together?
2.1
       Α.
             No.
22
             I know at some point it was presented to you as
       Q.
23
   a board member --
24
       Α.
             Right.
25
        Q. -- and you ultimately voted on it. Right?
```

- A. I got to think back on how that all transpired, but we went through it with, I believe it was Todd, with the findings, and then how it was all compiled and we okayed it and I would assume approved the minutes or --
- Q. When you went through it with Todd, was that during a public meeting?

A. Yes.

1.3

2.1

2.3

Q. Did you ever review the findings or go over the findings with Todd or anyone else outside of a public meeting or hearing?

A. No, sir.

Q. Have you ever had any conversations with any of your board members about this project at all outside of a public meeting?

A. No.

Q. I'm going to show you now what has been marked as Exhibit 4. Contained in Exhibit 4 are various public comments, is what it's commonly referred to, things that the public submitted for the Invenergy project. Why don't you look at page 2 there, in particular. It's an e-mail from Joe Blastick to Jodi with a bunch of attachments saying, essentially, Jodi, I would like this to be considered, you know, have the Board consider this information. Do you see that?

A. Do you want me to read the whole --

1 0. Let me ask you just more generally before I ask 2 you about those in particular. Did you receive written submissions from the public for the Invenergy project? I don't understand the question. 4 5 Q. Did people of the public submit documents, what 6 have you, to the Board prior to the hearing? 7 Α. Yes. As I understand it, they e-mailed Jodi --8 Q. What do you mean by "documents"? 9 Α. 10 A letter. Q. 11 A letter, yes. Α. 12 A report. A website. Q. 1.3 Copies of websites, yes, sir. Α. 14 Right. And there is an assortment of 15 information that was shared to Jodi who then forwarded it to the Board. Is that how it worked? 16 17 Α. Yes. 18 0. The information that you received -- Well, 19 first of all, did you receive written documents from the 20 public before the night of the hearing? 2.1 Α. Oh, yes. 22 And how would you have received those Q. 2.3 documents? 24 Either through e-mail, letters through the Α.

mail. Probably it. I had a couple phone calls.

mean, that's not documents but it's --

- Q. In all the documents that you received, were they provided to the attorneys here in this case in the litigation?
 - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. So that's what all, they should all be in here somewhere?
- A. Yes

2

4

5

6

7

16

20

2.1

- 9 Q. I just want to make sure I have everything that 10 at least you received.
- 11 A. Yep.
- 12 Q. Then what about when you had got to the
 13 hearing? The hearing was on January 22, 2018. When you
 14 showed up to the hearing, was there a stack of documents
 15 for your review?
 - A. I don't remember that.
- 17 Q. Then people submitted documents during the 18 hearing; correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
 - Q. Did you read all the documents that were submitted during the hearing?
- A. I looked through, I think, most of them.
- Q. What about all the documents that were submitted before the hearing? Did you read through everything that came into your possession?

- A. I think I did. I can't -- I might have missed something, you know, through the e-mail. I ain't saying that. But I tried to read everything that come before me.
- Q. Let's go back now to Exhibit 4. There is this letter starting on page 3. Do you recall reading this letter from Joe Blastick?
- A. I don't remember for sure if I read it. I
 might have. You've got to remember, we looked
 through -- But it seems to me like I did. A lot of this
 is coming back, but, or something close to it that I
 read.
- Q. What are your e-mail addresses?
- 14 A. Mine?

2

4

5

6

7

- 15 Q. Yes.
 - A. Mdahl@itctel.com.
- 17 Q. Do you use any other e-mail addresses?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Do you save all your e-mails? Do you delete 20 e-mails? Do you save important e-mails?
- A. Yeah, I saved a lot of them. I, you know, sometimes delete some.
- Q. Did you save all your e-mails related to this project?
- A. Yeah, because they're either in the e-mail or

in the deleted items, you know. They are there.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. And were you asked to go into your e-mails and collect all of the e-mails that you had related to this project for purposes of this litigation?
- A. Ah, yeah. Well, everything that came through Jodi's that came to us was submitted, as I understand.
- Q. Were you asked, yourself, though, to go through your e-mails, and do you collect all the e-mail that you had related to this project?
 - A. I don't recall if I was asked that or not, but.
- Q. I'm going to ask you to do that. I want you to go home today and search through all your e-mails and to find all the e-mails that are related to this project and to give them to your attorney. Okay? Can you do that?
- A. Yeah, I've done that, because there was nothing that I had that wasn't forwarded from Jodi, that would have been in her, in her e-mails.
- Q. So the only e-mails you would have received related to the project would have came from Jodi, is what you're saying?
 - A. I -- Yes. That's what we were told, anyway.
- Q. Well, I'm curious about you and what e-mails you received.
- 25 A. Yes. I don't --

Q. I'm just going to ask if you can go back and look through them and you can work with Zach. I just want to make sure I have all the e-mails that you have. Sounds like you have all of them that you received. They're either deleted or their in your inbox currently. So I'm going to ask you to do that, and you can work with your attorney to go through that process.

A. Okay.

- Q. Can you turn to page 305 for me of Exhibit 4.
- 10 A. Page 305.
 - Q. Just read through quickly. Do you remember receiving and reviewing that letter prior to voting at the January 22, 2018 meeting?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You do remember receiving that letter?
 - A. Yeah, it was -- Yeah, I remember receiving it.
 - Q. Did you ever send or forward any, you know, documents like this or public submissions to anyone else?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Prior to the January 22, 2018 hearing, did the Board have any discussions about this project outside of public meetings?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Tell me about how the process was decided, the

process that was going to be used, how that was decided by the Board. In other words, if Invenergy was going to go first and give its presentation and then the public would be allowed to speak, etc., how was that process determined?

- A. I am not sure. I don't think that we were, as the whole board. I think the chairman and I don't know if John and them set up the agenda -- Is that what you're kind of saying, the agenda part of it?
- 10 Q. Yeah. You weren't involved in that, though, is
 11 what --
- A. No. Not in how what order of things would be going in, no, sir.
 - Q. One thing I'm particularly curious about is the three-minute time limit that was implemented on people of the public.
 - A. Uh-huh (Yes).

2

5

7

14

15

16

17

- Q. Were you involved at all in deciding that three-minute time limit?
 - A. I wasn't involved in it but I agreed with it.
- 21 Q. Who came up with the idea of three minutes?
- 22 A. I don't know.
- Q. When was the three minutes first -- Who
 communicated that we should maybe do a three-minute time
 limit to you? When was the first time that you heard

about this three-minute time limit?

- A. It was Chairman Kanengieter. And what was the second part?
- Q. I was just kind of saying, I'm just kind of curious who told you maybe we should do a three-minute limit. The chairman?
 - A. Ah, he didn't say maybe.
- Q. But it was his idea to use a three-minute limit?
- A. I don't know if it was his idea.
- Q. But he's the one who told you that you were going to be using a three-minute limit.
- 13 A. Uh-huh (Yes).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

2.1

22

23

- Q. Did he provide any explanation for why three minutes?
 - A. I don't recall he did.
- Q. Do you remember if people in the public voiced opposition to that three-minute time limit during the hearing?
- 20 A. Oh, a couple of them did.
 - Q. And do you recall whether or not certain people in the public who were speaking were actually cut off by the Board because they had reached their three-minute time limit?
- 25 A. Oh, yeah.

- Q. Were several people cut off? Just a few? Car you give me any sort of way to quantify?
- A. I don't recall. I guess one thing that I would like to say is that I do know that the three-minute is used in a lot of public hearings.
 - Q. How do you know that?

1.3

- A. From the news. (Chuckles.) That's why I was not against it.
- Q. When did you -- In the night of the hearing, how early did you get to the place where the hearing was taking place?
- A. I don't recall. I assume 15 minutes, half hour before.
- Q. When you got there, I already asked you this, but was there a pile of documents there waiting for you to review? Do you have any recollection of anything like that?
- A. I wouldn't say a pile, but I don't remember just what was there when I got there.
- Q. Do you remember going through documents as soon you got there, or did you get there and just kind of small chat with your other board members and people of the public?
- A. Yeah, we just small chat. I mean, don't do it until the meeting starts.

- Q. So you didn't review anything before the meeting?
 - A. I don't remember doing so, sir. If anything, it might have been the agenda, you know.
 - Q. I want to talk with you a little bit about Mr. Homan's runway application for a special exception permit. Do you recall Mr. Homan submitting an application for a special exception permit for a runway?
 - A. Yes, sir.

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

17

- Q. Before Mr. Homan submitted his application, had you ever considered an application for a runway before that?
- A. Not while I had been on the Board, no.
- Q. And did John Knight recuse himself from advising the Board on the runway application?
- 16 A. Yes. I believe he did, yes.
 - Q. And what was your understanding as to why he recused himself?
- A. As I recall, he represents -- And I'm not a hundred percent sure on this, but he represented one of the neighbors or something to this. That was my understanding. I don't remember exactly what it was, but I do know he represents one of the other neighbors or something along this.
- Q. We're going to take a look at Exhibit 4,

```
page 310. Does that look like the runway application
 2
   Mr. Homan submitted to the Board?
 3
       Α.
             Yes.
             Going down to the bottom of the page there, it
 4
 5
   indicates it was filed on March 15, 2017. Do you see
   that?
 6
 7
       Α.
            Uh-huh (Yes).
            Yes?
 8
       Q.
 9
       Α.
            Yes.
                   Sorry.
10
            So March 15, 2017, there weren't any wind
       Q.
11
   towers around Mr. Homan's property at that time;
12
   correct?
1.3
       Α.
             No.
             And there weren't any applications pending
14
15
   before the Board for any wind towers around Mr. Homan's
16
   property at that time, were there?
            No, sir.
17
       Α.
             I'm going to hand you now what is marked as
18
19
   Exhibit 11. What is Exhibit 11?
       Α.
            What now?
20
2.1
       Ο.
            What is Exhibit 11?
            What is Exhibit 11? It looks like the minutes
22
       Α.
   from our April 10 meeting.
2.3
24
             Okay. Then I think you already flipped to it,
       Ο.
25
   but I want you to look at paragraph 10 there. This is
```

the Board meeting that was considering Mr. Homan's application for a runway; right?

- A. Okay, yes. Was this the first meeting, I guess, or --
 - Q. Well, it's dated April 10.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- A. I guess I don't recall when the process started.
- Q. But if you look back at Exhibit 4, page 310, we see that he filed his application March 15, 2017. And this was the April meeting the following month. It was shortly after he filed his application. Whether it was the first meeting I don't know. But go to the last page of Exhibit 11. And continuing on with paragraph 10, it's the very top paragraph there, the last sentence reads that the motion by Rhody, seconded by Dahl to table the special exception. And it goes on to say, until the Board can get more information about the setbacks for wind towers from an airstrip. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Tell me why the Board was tabling it to get information about setbacks from wind turbines.
- A. Well, as I recall the discussion, it was on -trying to think how this all transpired again now.

 There was talk of the wind towers being in the area

signing up easements. And I guess, you know, rather than handcuffing one person's land over another, we wanted to find out more information as to what setbacks are, if any.

- Q. So you had known from your own personal experience that Invenergy was considering development in the area; right? Because you had signed an agreement before this?
- A. I did sign that, but I didn't know they were in that, up in that, in what parts of the county else they were in.
- Q. At that time did you know what parts of the county wind development was looking at?
 - A. Probably.

2.1

2.3

- Q. Okay. So you thought it was appropriate to look into setbacks for wind towers at that time because -- I guess explain to me again. I don't want to put words in your mouth, but. As of April 10, 2017, why were you concerned about wind towers?
- A. As I recall, I think we didn't want to handcuff other landowners in the area. And like I said, we hadn't -- I had never permitted a landing strip before in the county. Kind of caught us offguard and, you know, we didn't know what was coming for sure before that meeting. You know, other than a landing strip.

Yes, it was on the agenda, but we didn't know where -We knew where. But I think to answer your question the
biggest thing was not to handcuff other landowners' land
rights for a runway, as I recall. I don't remember the
total discussion.

Q. Aside from this special exception permit -
I'll start over. This special exception permit was for a runway; right?

A. Yes.

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

Q. And the application didn't mention wind towers, did it?

A. No.

Q. And there weren't any wind towers around the property at the time; right?

A. No.

Q. There was no application that was in front of the Board to put any wind towers around the property; right?

A. No.

Q. So the application didn't have anything to do with wind towers; right?

A. No. Not --

Q. So I'm curious if there are any other special exception permit applications that don't deal with wind in which you or members of the Board have thought it

- appropriate to consider how wind development might affect -- or how the application might affect wind development.
 - A. I don't know if there is any -- Well, I've been on the Board. I know that they have asked for letters of assurances on other special exceptions.
 - Q. Because of wind rights or --
 - A. No, just various things.
 - Q. Okay. And my question was --
 - A. I know that drifts off from what you answered, but I mean, it was part of the discussion that night of letters of assurance, you know, that this --
- Q. So on April 10, 2017, the Board discussed letters of assurance at that first meeting?
- A. I don't know if that was discussed at that meeting, but it was discussed further down the road.
- 17 Q. I know there were additional meetings --
- 18 A. Yeah.

7

8

9

10

11

12

2.1

- 19 Q. -- about the runway application.
- 20 **A. Yep.**
 - Q. But you don't remember when the idea of letter of assurance first --
- A. No, I don't recall for sure if it was during
 this one or the next meeting or what. I just know that
 we had -- (Nods affirmatively.)

- Q. So, again, going back to my initial or earlier question, can you give me any other examples in which you or the Board had been considering a special exception permit unrelated to wind where you thought it appropriate to consider how that permit might affect wind development?
 - A. I think we look at all things when we consider it, you know, no matter what the special exception.
 - Q. Give me an example then.

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

- A. Oh, we look at height of a -- We look at, you know, traffic. We look at height of things. You know, is it going to obstruct vision?
- Q. My question is, specifically, about when the Board has considered how a permit might affect wind development.
- 16 A. Again, I don't understand your question.
 - Q. Well, you wanted to table Mr. Homan's runway application because you wanted to learn how it was going to impact wind development; right?
 - A. Well, I believe I stated we wanted to find out more of setbacks for --
 - Q. For wind towers.
 - A. For wind towers.
- Q. I guess my question is, can you give me an example of any other special exception permits where you

considered how the permit was going to affect wind?

- A. I can't recall one, no. Not lately. Because it hasn't been --
- Q. It says, Give more information about the setbacks for wind towers. What's the setbacks you're looking for more information for?
 - A. Excuse me?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

1.3

14

15

16

- Q. What was the source of the setbacks?
- A. Paul Brandt at that time called FAA to find out what their restrictions were in that.
- 11 Q. Was it after this April meeting?
 - A. I believe that was after this April meeting.

 When you asked about any other one, I don't remember if

 Will Stone's application for his runway, I believe we

 pretty much did the same. We had letters of assurance.
 - Q. Let's look at page 331 of Exhibit 4. Before today, have you reviewed that document?
- 18 A. Yes, I remember this one.
- Q. And you think you would have received this document shortly after June 9, 2017, or maybe on June 9, 2017?
- 22 A. Yeah, I don't recall, yes.
- Q. And if you go to the conclusion paragraph on the second page of this letter, it says, "Should the Board grant Mr. Homan's application, Invenergy

```
respectfully requests that such approval specifically
   state that it does not grant Mr. Homan any right to
 2
   limit the uses on neighboring properties." Do you see
 4
   where it says that?
 5
       Α.
             Just a minute. Let me read through that again.
 6
   Should the Board grant Mr. Homan's application,
 7
   Invenergy respectfully requests that such approval
   specifically state that it does not grant Mr. Homan any
   right to limit the uses on neighboring properties.
10
   Should you have any -- Yeah.
11
             So that's where the Board got the idea to ask
        Ο.
12
   for a letter of assurance, isn't it?
1.3
       Α.
             I don't think so.
14
             Was it your idea to have them ask for a letter
        Ο.
   of assurance?
15
             No, sir.
16
       Α.
17
       Q.
             Do you know whose idea it was?
             Ah, I believe it was Paul Brandt's.
18
19
             You believe it was Paul Brandt's idea to ask
       0.
20
   for a letter of assurance?
2.1
       Α.
             Uh-huh (Yes). And we all agreed.
   we're all --
22
             (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 14 MARKED.)
2.3
24
   By MR. ALMOND:
25
             I'm handing you what has been marked as
        Q.
```

```
Exhibit 14, which appears to be the Deuel County Zoning
 2
   Board minutes from June 12, 2017. Do you see that?
            Uh-huh (Yes).
 3
       Α.
 4
       Q.
            Yes?
 5
       Α.
            Yes.
            For the court reporter again.
 6
       Q.
 7
       Α.
            (Chuckles.) I'm too used to talking to my
   wife.
 8
 9
       Q.
            If you can flip to paragraph 9. Have you got
10
   it?
11
       Α.
            Yes.
12
            Paragraph 9 is where the minutes reflect the
       Q.
13
   discussion related to Mr. Homan's runway application;
14
   right?
15
       Α.
            (Pauses.)
16
             Just a general question, if paragraph 9 relates
       Q.
17
   to Mr. Homan's runway application.
18
       Α.
             Yes.
19
            And if you go to the next page there on the
20
   very bottom of the page, last paragraph, starts with
2.1
   "Dahl stated." Do you see that?
            Uh-huh (Yes).
22
       Α.
2.3
            "Dahl stated to Homan, if you can't get the
       Ο.
24
   wind rights, then maybe he should wait to see where the
25
   towers would be sited." Do you remember saying that?
```

- A. No, I don't remember saying it, but it's --
 - Q. You don't dispute that you said it?
- A. I don't dispute. It's in the minutes so I obviously did.
- Q. Why would you have stated to Mr. Homan that if he can't get the wind rights, then maybe he should wait to see where the towers would be sited?
- MS. AGRIMONTI: Mr. Almond, I believe you meant air rights rather than wind rights.
 - MR. ALMOND: Yes, it says --
- 11 MR. PETERSON: It reads wind rights.
- MS. AGRIMONTI: Oh, okay.

13 By MR. ALMOND:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

- Q. I'm sorry. It says, "Dahl stated to Homan, if you can't get wind rights, then maybe you should wait to see where the towers would be sited." My question is, why would you have said that?
 - A. Why would I say air space or wind right?
- Q. Well, it says, "Dahl stated to Homan that if you can't get the wind rights, then maybe he should wait to see where the towers would be sited."
 - A. That's why it don't seem like a correct statement that I would say wind rights. I mean, a correct writing of what I said.
- Q. Why were you concerned about the siting of

towers?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

16

- A. As I said, it was before that, like I said before, we were not wanting to tie up anybody's hands with having wind easements by an airstrip, you know.
- Q. Just as a general process, does Jodi prepare these minutes?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Then at the next board meeting are the minutes reviewed by the Board and approved?
- A. Yes. To me, that don't even make sense to say something like that, because wind rights got no dealings with that. It's more we were worried about towers on the adjoining properties.
- Q. Well, at the time the adjoining property had no right to put towers on their property, did they?
 - A. No, but they were signing easements.
- Q. Okay. But they had no right to put towers on their properties?
 - A. No, they didn't have no rights.
- Q. But you were trying to protect that right in case they wanted to?
- A. Well, we try to protect the rights of all of our citizens of Deuel County, not just a few.
- Q. But on June 12, 2017, the neighbors had no right whatsoever to put a turbine on their property, did

1 they? Α. No. There was no permitted. 2 3 But you still thought it was necessary to 0. 4 protect non-permitted rights? 5 Α. Well, that's anything. You try to protect property rights of everybody for whatever. 6 7 So when building permits are issued, do you Q. know if you consider the neighbors' rights to build a 9 concentrated animal feeding operation? 10 Α. Yes, we do. You do? 11 Ο. 12 Α. Yes. 1.3 But the Board doesn't consider building Ο. 14 permits, do they? 15 Α. No, that goes through state permits. 16 I'm handing you what has been marked as Ο. 17 Exhibit 1, which is the Deuel County Zoning Ordinances. 18 Can you point to me where in those ordinances it 19 instructs the Board to consider non-permitted uses when 20 considering whether to grant permits? 2.1 Α. Excuse me? Say that again.

Q. Well, for Mr. Homan's runway application, you were worried about his neighbor being able to put up a wind turbine; right? You didn't want to interfere with his neighbor's right to put a wind turbine up; right?

22

2.3

24

- A. I would assume that would be it, correct.
- Q. But at the time the neighbor didn't have the right to put up a wind turbine. He needed to go get permission from the Board to do so; right?
 - A. Well, his neighbor didn't, but --
- Q. Someone needed to get permission from the Board to allow them to put up $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$
 - A. Mm-hmm (Yes).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

2.3

- Q. So my question is, why do you think the Board needs to consider special exception uses when considering a special exception permit, a special exception use that has nothing to do with the use the permit is being applied for?
- MR. PETERSON: I'm going to object to the form. Answer if you can.
- A. I guess I can't answer that. I don't -
 What --
- Q. So for Mr. Homan's runway application, did you consider and want to protect his neighbor's right to build a CAFO?
- A. Well, probably, because having a CAFO is not going to affect an airplane, you know.
 - Q. My question is, did you consider that?
- A. Not directly, but I suppose indirectly we would have, we did.

- 1 Q. Did the Board discuss --
- 2 A. No.

4

5

6

- Q. -- CAFOs and neighbor's ability to build a CAFO?
 - A. Not on that one, no.
- Q. What any other special exception uses that are listed in the ordinances? Did the Board discuss or consider any other special exception uses aside from a wind development?
- 10 A. I don't recall.
- 11 Q. I'm still just struggling to understand the
 12 logic here. So the neighbor didn't have any right to
 13 put up a wind tower at the time; right?
- MR. PETERSON: Objection. Asked and answered

 15 at least five times. Go ahead.
- 16 A. Restate the question.
- Q. The neighbor didn't have any right to put up a wind turbine at the time that you were considering the Homan application.
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Just like he didn't have a right to build a CAFO at the time?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Or a right to do any special exception use on his property at the time?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

16

17

18

19

- Q. But you're telling me that every special exception permit that the Board considers it tries to protect the neighboring property's right to apply for a special exception use?
 - A. I would say that comes into the consideration.
- Q. Can you point to me in the ordinances of where that authority lies for you to take that into consideration?
- 10 MR. PETERSON: Objection. It calls for a legal conclusion.
- 12 Q. Are you able to do it?
- A. No, I can't do that right now. I don't know.

 14 I'd probably be here a long time. It would be under the

 15 special exceptions or --
 - Q. So ultimately you approved the Invenergy wind system; right? The permits, excuse me, the two special exception permits that Invenergy applied for they were ultimately granted; right?
 - A. Right.
- 21 Q. And they were issued by the Board?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Tell me about the consideration that went into those people living around that project and their rights to build runways.

A. Tell you about the people that live around there?

Q. Yeah, when you guys approved that project, what consideration went into the people who lived around the

project's ability to build runways there?

- A. I would say anybody can do it as long as they're not interfering with someone else's land rights.
- Q. The Board didn't consider people's abilities to build runways when they were considering the wind application, did they?
 - A. Well, indirectly. Probably not. I don't know.
- 12 Q. So you weren't --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- A. Directly and indirectly we do. We always, you know, in the future here now if there is something going on and somebody else then wants to do something, like, okay, wait a minute here now. Let's look at this. Let's look at the whole picture.
- Q. I just want to be totally clear here. You're telling me today under oath that you considered the people living around the projects abilities to build runways when you're considering the Invenergy wind project?
 - A. I would say no. Not directly.

 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT 15 MARKED.)
- Q. I'll hand you what has been marked as

Exhibit 15, which are the Board minutes of the zoning board from its September 11, 2017 meeting. If you could flip to paragraph 12 for me, please.

A. (Complies.)

- Q. If you go down to about right in the middle of that paragraph, it says, "The Board stated that they are looking at all of the property owners' rights. The Board stated that the runway and the future wind towers or any improvements to the land surrounding the runway could be compatible." And you would have reviewed these minutes; right?
- A. Uh-huh (Yes).
- Q. Yep?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

2.2

2.3

- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you would have approved these minutes at the following meeting?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. It says the future wind towers. I mean, at this time it sounds like the Board had already made up its mind that there were going to be future wind towers.

 Is that fair?
 - A. I don't know if that would be fair, but there would probably be wind tower permits coming before us.
- Q. Was there a specific project that you had in mind when you made that statement?

- A. I wouldn't -- I couldn't name a project, because we didn't know what it was called yet.
- Q. Did you have an understanding that it was Invenergy company that was developing the project in that area?
- A. I don't know if I did or not. I didn't know which company. I don't recall if I knew at that time.
- Q. Let's go back to Exhibit 4, which is the binder right in front of you. Can you turn to page 346 for me, please.
 - A. (Complies.)

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. If you go to page 3 of that letter, you can see its written by John Homan. And if you go back to the beginning of the letter then, it's the August 12, 2017 letter from John Homan. If you go to the third paragraph there, John writes, "I attended the April zoning board meeting to apply for the permit in person. The very first question I received from the Board before any question about airports or landing strips was from Mr. Kanengieter and it was, How is this going to affect wind towers?" Do you disagree with Mr. Homan's summary of what happened at that April zoning board meeting?
- A. I don't disagree and I don't agree. I don't remember exactly what was said there.
- Q. Do you recall Mr. Kanengieter making that

statement, How is this going to affect wind towers?

A. I don't recall that.

1.3

2.1

- Q. And, again, go to the next paragraph. "The conversation continued for over a half hour and the Board's questions and comments were only concerning wind towers. It went so far as the Board telling me that they would not grant me the permit because in the future some surrounding landowner may want to put up a wind tower on the adjacent property." Do you disagree with the summary there in terms of what transpired at that meeting?
- A. I don't recall that and I don't remember. I won't agree or disagree.
- Q. Two more paragraphs down starting with Also
 "... the Board gave more time to the other parties
 opposing my land strip, including representatives for
 the wind tower company than they gave me to defend my
 request." Do you disagree or agree with that?
- A. I would almost have to disagree. I think we gave equal time or approximately.
- Q. And then go to the next page, please, page 2, or page 347 of Exhibit 4. First full paragraph there. Talking about the June meeting was handled the same as the April meeting. Every question was about how the landing strip would affect surrounding wind towers.

Agree or disagree with that summarization?

- A. I don't know if it was that the whole meeting was handled the same. There, again, I wouldn't disagrees or agree with it.
- Q. If you go down to the middle of the page, the largest paragraph there, second to last sentence. There is a question posed by you. "Would you want to spend all that money to put in a landing strip and then have someone build a wind tower at the end of it so you wouldn't be able to use the runway?" Do you remember asking that question?
 - A. I do not remember asking that question.
- Q. Okay. Do you dispute that you did ask the question?
 - A. I just don't remember asking it. I just don't.
- Q. A couple years ago the Deuel County Zoning
 Ordinances were changed as it related to wind energy;
 correct?
 - A. A couple years ago?
- Q. Few years.
- 21 A. Whatever it's been.
- Q. Right.

1

2

3

4

12

15

- 23 A. Just recently here.
- 24 O. Yeah.
- 25 A. Okay.

```
1
       Q. And then after the county commission decided to
2
   change the ordinances, do you recall there being a
3
   petition for referendum that was circulating?
            Yes, I did hear about it. I never seen it.
4
5
       Q. And was it your understanding of the reason for
   the petition for referendum was to change the ordinances
7
   to allow to make it easier for wind development in
   Deuel County?
            Yes, I believe that was, the intent of it was
9
       Α.
10
   to refer back to what we've always had.
11
           And did you ever sign a petition for
       Ο.
12
   referendum?
1.3
       Α.
            No.
            MR. ALMOND: Let's take five.
14
            (RECESS TAKEN AT 12:20 TO 12:23 P.M.)
15
   By MR. ALMOND:
16
17
       Q.
            I'm handing you what has been marked already as
18
   Exhibit 9. If you would turn to page 75 for me.
19
   is a letter that was addressed to you; correct?
20
       Α.
            Uh-huh (Yes). I haven't read it, but it looks
   like it's directed to me.
2.1
22
            Well, I received this document through
       Q.
23
   discovery. Your attorney provided this document.
24
   you recall receiving this letter?
25
       Α.
            What was the date? No, I don't recall it, but.
```

- Q. The gist of it was, it looks like they were inviting people to come to the Deuel County zoning board meeting on November 21 to oppose an amendment that was being considered. Is that right?
 - A. Ah, yeah, I would say, the way I'm reading it.
 - Q. Do you recall that November 21 meeting?
 - A. I never went.
- MR. ALMOND: Okay. I don't have any more questions for you, Mr. Dahl.
- 10 MS. AGRIMONTI: Mr. Dahl, I do have a couple 11 of questions for you.

12 **THE WITNESS:** All right.

EXAMINATION

By MS. AGRIMONTI:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. There have been a series of questions from Mr. Almond regarding the Homan airstrip approval. I wanted to clarify what the issue was before the zoning board, what you were trying to resolve. Was there a concern about the scope of the air rights that Mr. Homan would have if he had an airstrip on his property with respect to neighboring properties?
 - A. Repeat that again, please.
- Q. Was there an issue about what air rights

 Mr. Homan would have on the neighboring properties if he

 had a special exception permit for an airstrip?

A. I would believe that was taken into consideration, yes.

1.3

2.1

- Q. And is that why there was a contact to the FAA to better understand what it would mean to neighbors if Mr. Homan had a strip on his property?
- A. Yes, I believe that was, and what the contact was is what the amount of space you need for the up, you know, so many feet for every foot high or something, you know, to find out more about that, and if they had restrictions on that.
- Q. And did the zoning board come to understand that if Mr. Homan wanted to use the air space on his neighbor's property that he would have to get navigational rights to do so in an uninterrupted fashion, unrestricted fashion?
 - A. Say that again.
- Q. Yeah. Did the board come to understand that if Mr. Homan wanted unrestricted use of his neighbor's air space, that he would have to get an agreement with his neighbors to do so?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And last I would like to turn your attention to Exhibit 15. It was the last exhibit put before you, and specifically paragraph -- Actually, it's Exhibit 14. I apologize. Do you have that in front of you, No. 14?

```
No, I don't. I don't.
 1
       Α.
 2
            Can you put that Exhibit 14 before the witness?
       Q.
 3
       Α.
            Okay.
 4
       Q.
            Please direct your attention to paragraph 12.
 5
       Α.
            Okay.
            All right. The minutes reflect here that John
 6
       Q.
 7
   Homan and his lawyer --
             Hold up. I'm not on the right one here.
 8
       Α.
 9
            Paragraph 12, and it would be Exhibit 14.
       Q.
10
            MR. ALMOND: I think you've got the wrong
11
   exhibit.
12
            MS. AGRIMONTI: I'm looking at the
13
   September 11, '17, minutes.
14
            MR. ALMOND: It's 15.
15
            MS. AGRIMONTI: All right. Said it right and
16
   marked it wrong. So let's start again.
17
       Q.
             Paragraph 12, the minutes reflect that
18
   John Homan and his lawyer, Christina Kilby, appeared at
19
   the zoning board. Do you see that?
       Α.
20
            Yes.
2.1
            And was it your understanding that Ms. Kilby
```

I don't recollect if it was this meeting, but

it was at one of the first ones. I don't know if we

22

2.3

24

25

was acting as an attorney?

knew who you were exactly.

1 Q. Thank you. Other than just --2 Α. Go ahead. I didn't mean to interrupt you. 3 Q. Other than just someone with John. We didn't 4 5 know your position. Did you come to understand her position at a 6 7 different meeting? Α. Yes. 9 And what was your understanding? 10 That she was John's daughter and she was an Α. 11 attorney. 12 MS. AGRIMONTI: Thank you. 1.3 MR. ALMOND: Is that all you have, Lisa? 14 MS. AGRIMONTI: That's all I have, yes. FURTHER EXAMINATION 15 By MR. ALMOND: 16 17 Q. One question I forgot to cover with you. I'm 18 handing you what has been marked as Exhibit 13. Have 19 you ever seen that e-mail before? 20 Α. Yeah, let me read it here. (Pauses.) I don't 2.1 recall seeing it. Not saying that I didn't get it, but I don't recall. 2.2 2.3 Okay. Ms. Agrimonti was just asking you about 24 the Homan runway application and looking into laws, etc. 25 Did the Board ever find a law that required an easement

on neighbors' air rights in order to get a runway?

- A. I can't answer that question. I don't -Paul Brandt is the one who looked into that and I don't
 recall just what the, what the -- Say that question
 again to me.
- Q. Yeah. Did the Board ever find a law that required an easement of your neighbor's property in order to use the air rights and get a runway?
 - A. I guess I can't answer that question right now.
- Q. So you don't know I guess is probably the question.
 - A. I don't know, right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- MR. ALMOND: I don't have any other questions, but I might want to keep this open because if he goes back and finds e-mails that weren't produced, I think I have the right to ask him questions about e-mails that weren't produced to the extent they are responsive to discovery that was sent out.
- THE WITNESS: I think the only e-mails that would be because I don't -- Okay.
 - MR. ALMOND: I want you to go look.
- THE WITNESS: Yep.
- 24 MR. ALMOND: And there may not be nothing.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah.

```
1
            MR. ALMOND: But if there is something, I'm
 2
   protecting my right to ask you more questions about
 3
   it.
 4
             THE WITNESS: Yeah.
 5
            MR. ALMOND: I don't have any other
 6
   questions.
 7
            MR. PETERSON: Mike, you have a right to read
   the transcript and correct any errors you may
   perceive, or you can rely upon the court reporter and
10
   waive that right. It's your preference. I don't care
11
   which one you do, but you need to indicate on the
12
   record what you prefer.
1.3
             THE WITNESS: I'll waive it.
14
            MR. PETERSON: Okay.
             (DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 12:31 P.M.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

```
1
   STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
                                     CERTIFICATE
                            :SS
2
   COUNTY OF CODINGTON
3
             BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing deposition was
   taken before me, NANCY McCLANAHAN, a court reporter and
   a notary public in and for the County of Codington,
   State of South Dakota; that the deponent, MICHAEL DAHL,
   before testifying was duly sworn by me to testify to the
   whole truth; that the questions propounded to the
   witness, the answers of the witness thereto, and any
   objections or statements of counsel were taken down by
   me stenographically and thereafter typewritten through
   computerized transcription under my direction; that the
   witness has waived reading and signature of the
   deposition; and that the foregoing 5 - 65 pages are a
   true and correct transcript of all the proceedings had
   upon the taking of said deposition, all done to the best
   of my skill and ability.
10
11
              I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
   employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
12
   or am I a relative or employee of such attorney or
   counsel, or financially interested in the action.
1.3
              DATED at Watertown, South Dakota, Codington
14
   County, on this 25th day of October, 2018.
15
16
                            /s/ Nancy McClanahan
17
                           Nancy McClanahan, RPR/RMR/NP
18
   Expires: 4/13/2023.
19
20
2.1
22
2.3
24
25
```