

RECEIVED

FEB 04 2019

**SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION**

January 28, 2019

Dear PUC Commissioners:

My name is Judy Hunt, and I was in attendance at the PUC meeting on January 24 in Clear Lake, SD. Although I have never spoken at any of the public meetings, I definitely have concerns and strongly support those in opposition to the current wind farm proposal in Deuel County.

I own one quarter of ground in section 28 and have lived on my farm for forty-three years. The previous landowner placed a perpetual easement on the quarter to maintain the wetlands and grove for wildlife protection, something that I value greatly. My son and grandson are avid hunters, and although he and his family live in Rapid City, my son annually brings his friends home to hunt deer, geese, and pheasants on only this quarter. That means a lot to me!

My neighbor, [REDACTED], owns multiple quarters and will have seventeen wind turbines on these various quarters. Good for him and his family! However, he did NOT sign up the quarter [REDACTED] on which he lives, or the land on which he and his family hunt [REDACTED]. Instead, he makes sure the turbines are quite a distance from his own home and hunting grounds. With the numerous land that he owns, I'm sure he carried a lot of leverage in his negotiations with Invenergy. THIS IS SO UNFAIR!

Invenergy stated more than once at numerous public meetings that they have a "good neighbor policy." I'D LIKE THEM TO USE IT! Turbine A22 can easily be moved to the Toben property in [REDACTED], still far from his home. Turbine 23 can easily be moved to the [REDACTED] property in [REDACTED] because he is deceased and no one lives on the farm site. [REDACTED] children, who now own all of the property, live in Watertown, SD, which is in Codington County.

I am not asking for the turbines to be eliminated, I'm only asking for them to be repositioned closer to a minimum maintenance road along which no one lives. If this could happen, it would demonstrate the "good neighbor policy" that Invenergy CLAIMS they utilize with non-participating landowners. Both moves would not harm anyone. Believe me, there is not enough drop in elevation, if any, that a 500 foot wind turbine can't catch the wind in the two locations that I have pointed out.

Based upon our new governor's state-of-the-state address in which she proposed expanding pheasant habitat, I believe she would appreciate the value of the life-time easement on my quarter and understand my concern for loss of wildlife and the lifestyle my children and I grew up enjoying. I know you have listened to many concerns, but the Coteau is a beautiful and bountiful countryside that these turbines would greatly harm.

Thank-you for your time,

Judy Hunt

[REDACTED]
Reville, SD 57259
[REDACTED]