

**From:** Garry Ehlebracht [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 29, 2019 3:10 PM  
**To:** PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>  
**Subject:** [EXT] EL18-53

Commissioners

I'm writing to express my concerns with all WES projects proposed to come to northeastern South Dakota. You and everybody else knows that the health, safety, welfare and property rights have to be at the utmost importance as you proceed forward with this or any of the up coming project. I realize that setbacks are done at the commissioners level of the county, but proper setbacks have to be considered so it does not inflict the taking of ones property, weather it be by Shadow flicker, or a 45DbA noise level. Also, studies have shown that property values will be greatly reduced when Turbines are sited to close to a residents. Banks are putting homes, in the vicinity of turbines, in a high risk category meaning they will not want to borrow money to someone even wanting to purchase a home were turbines are built (although why would anyone want to buy a home in turbineville unless you were almost giving it way) I once read that things should be uniformed, why does one city get a 2 mile setback and another only get a mile. Why does a city get a mile setback and a non participators only get 4 1/2 times the tower height, why does one county get a 40dba and another get 45 to 50dba or shadow flicker at 15hrs a year versus 30hrs a year. Nothing I've read in any EL docket seems uniform. Please look at all the facts that were presented at the January 24th meeting in Clear Lake for the Docket number EL18-53 and make the right decision to protect the Health, Safety, well being and the property rights of hundred of non-participators in northeastern SD

Sincerely  
Garry Ehlebracht  
[REDACTED]  
Goodwin, SD  
[REDACTED]