OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY PREVAILING WIND PARK, LLC
FOR A PERMIT FOR A WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN BON HOMME, CHARLES MIX,
AND HUTCHINSON COUNTIES, SOUTH DAKOTA, FOR PREVAILING WIND
PARK ENERGY FACILITY

SD PUC DOCKET EL18-026

PRE-FILED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PETER PAWLOWSKI
ON BEHALF OF PREVAILING WIND PARK, LLC

August 10, 2018

l _s	INTRODUCTION	AND QUAL	IFICATIONS
	114111000011014	MIND WOME	

2

1

- 3 Q. Please state your name, employer, and business address.
- 4 A. My name is Peter Pawlowski. I am Vice President, Wind, at Sustainable Power
- 5 Group, LLC ("sPower"), 2180 South 1300 East, Suite 600, Salt Lake City, Utah
- 6 84106.

7

- 8 Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
- 9 A. In my current position, I am responsible for sPower's wind business plan and
- 10 implementation.. I have held this position since 2017. In 2016, I was a project
- 11 manager with sPower, where I oversaw the construction of the 80-megawatt Pioneer
- 12 Wind Park in Glen Rock Wyoming. Prior to that, I worked with two renewable
- energy companies developing wind projects. Overall, I have approximately 20 years
- 14 working in energy development. I have a Bachelor of Science in Aerospace
- 15 Engineering from the University of Maryland, College Park.

16

- 17 Q. What is your role with respect to the Prevailing Wind Energy Project 18 ("Project")?
- A. I supervise the sPower team working on the Project and am directly responsible for
 planning and implementation of all aspects of Project development.

21

- 22 Q. Did you previously provide prefiled testimony in this docket?
- A. No. However, as noted below, I am adopting James Damon's Direct Testimony as
 my own going forward, since Mr. Damon recently left sPower.

25

26 II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

- 28 Q. What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony?
- 29 A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide updates to certain information in the
- 30 Application. Specifically, I will:
- Discuss the current status of local permitting for the Project;

32		•	Provide an update on turbine model selection for the Project; and
33		•	Describe commitments the Applicant is making with respect to the Project.
34			
35		In ad	dition, I am adopting Mr. Damon's Direct Testimony and am sponsoring the
36		assoc	stated Application sections with the exception of Section 20.1.2.3, Property
37		Value	Impacts, which is being addressed by Michael MaRous in his supplemental
38		direct	testimony. Mr. MaRous is also supporting Appendix P (2009 Berkeley
39		Prope	erty Values Study) and Appendix Q (2013 Berkeley Property Values Study).
40			
41	Q.	What	exhibits are attached to your Supplemental Testimony?
42	A.	The fo	ollowing Exhibits are attached to my Supplemental Testimony:
43		•	Exhibit 1: Resume
44		•	Exhibit 2: Example of a Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") Determination
45			of No Hazard for a Project turbine location
46			
47	III.	LOCA	AL PERMITTING UPDATE
48			
49	Q.	Pleas	e provide an update regarding the status of the Project's local permitting.
50	A.	Since	submitting the Application in May 2018, Prevailing Wind Park has continued to
51		pursu	e the local permits that will be required for the Project. An update on the
52		permi	tting status in each county where Project facilities are proposed follows:
53		•	Bon Homme: Prevailing Wind Park submitted its application for a wind energy
54			system approval to the Bon Homme County Board of Commissioners on
55			August 2, 2018, and expects a decision on that application in August 2018.
56		•	<u>Hutchinson</u> : Prevailing Wind Park plans to submit applications for conditional
57			use permits for the Project to Hutchinson County in mid-August 2018.
58		•	Charles Mix: Charles Mix County does not currently have a zoning ordinance,
59			but does issue building permits. Prevailing Wind Park received building
60			permits for the Project in July 2018. Prevailing Wind Park submitted an
61			affidavit making setback and other commitments for the Project facilities
62			located in Charles Mix County The Charles Mix County Board of

Commissioners formally accepted the affidavit at its meeting on August 9, 2018 noting that the commitments were responsive to the county's concerns. In addition, Prevailing Wind Park will be seeking appropriate approvals this fall from Yankton County for a substation and portion of the 115 kV transmission line that will interconnect the Project with the transmission system.

IV. TURBINE MODELS

- 71 Q. Has Prevailing Wind Park selected the turbine model it will use for the Project?
- 72 A. Yes. Prevailing Wind Park has selected the GE 3.8-137 wind turbine model for the
 73 Project.

- Q. At the July 12, 2018 public input hearing, some members of the public expressed concern over the height of the proposed turbine models being considered for the Project. Do you have a response?
 - A. Yes. I understand that some commenters expressed concern regarding the height of the turbine models under consideration for the Project. However, it is important to understand that the Project has been designed to comply with all applicable requirements, including setbacks, noise, shadow flicker, and FAA requirements. Therefore, while the turbines may be taller than other turbines in the area, they must meet the same – or even more stringent – requirements.

- Q. Has Prevailing Wind Park sought FAA review and approval of the proposed turbine locations accounting for the height of the proposed turbine model?
- A. Yes. Prevailing Wind Park filed Notices of Proposed Construction (Form 7460-1) with the FAA for all wind turbine and permanent meteorological tower locations. In accordance with its requirements for structures of the height of the proposed turbine, on May 17, 2018, the FAA issued a public notice advising that it was undertaking an aeronautical study that includes all 63 proposed turbine sites. The notice provided a comment period through June 23, 2018, and stated:

94	Preliminary FAA s	udy indicates that the above-mentioned
95	structure would:	
96	 have no et 	fect on any existing or proposed arrival,
97	departure,	or en route instrument flight rules (IFR)
98	operations o	or procedures.
99	 not exceed 	traffic pattern airspace.
100	• have no p	hysical or electromagnetic effect on the
101	operation	of air navigation and communications
102	facilities.	
103	 have no eff 	ect on any airspace and routes used by
104	the military.	
105		
106	After its study and the cor	nment period, on June 28, 2018, the FAA issued a
107	Determination of No Hazard	to Air Navigation ("DNH") for each of the proposed
108	turbine sites. An example DI	NH is attached as <u>Exhibit 2</u> .
109		
110	V. AIRCRAFT DETECTION LIC	SHTING SYSTEM
111		
112	Q. What is ADLS?	
113	A. ADLS involves the installation	n of radar units around the perimeter of a wind project.
114	So long as the radar does no	ot detect an aircraft it sends a signal to the wind turbine
115	lighting telling them to stay of	ff. When the radar detects aircraft, it stops sending the
116	stay off signal and the win-	d turbine lighting activates. At other times, the wind
117	turbine lighting remains off.	ADLS continues to be a relatively new technology, and
118	use of ADLS at a wind proje	ct requires FAA approval. sPower, under my direction,
119	installed the first ADLS syste	m on the Pioneer Wind Park in Wyoming which became
120	operational in October 2016	As I noted during my presentation at the public input
121	hearing, Prevailing Wind Pa	k intends to install ADLS on the Project, provided that
122	the FAA approves the use of	this technology for the Project.
123		

125 VI. OTHER PROJECT COMMITMENTS

126

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

- 127 Q. Is Prevailing Wind Park willing to make other commitments related to the 128 design, construction, and operation of the Project?
- A. Yes. After reviewing the permit conditions the South Dakota Public Utilities
 Commission imposed on the Dakota Range Project in Docket No. EL 18-003,
 Prevailing Wind Park has determined that it is generally willing to accept the same
 permit conditions for this Project. We plan to coordinate with Commission Staff to
 develop a specific list of conditions to propose at the evidentiary hearing, but I will
 highlight a few specific commitments:
 - Prevailing Wind Park is committed to funding an escrow account to set aside funds for decommissioning that is based on the decommissioning cost estimate set forth the Decommissioning Cost Analysis provided with the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Daniel Pardo.
 - Prevailing Wind Park is committed to having a public liaison officer appointed for the Project.
 - Prevailing Wind Park is committed to addressing potential blade icing concerns via the same methods outlined in paragraph 40 of the Dakota Range Permit conditions.
 - As noted above, Prevailing Wind Park is committed to installing ADLS on the Project, provided that the FAA approves the use of this technology for the Project.

148	VII. CONCLUSION
149	
150	Q. Does this conclude your Supplemental Direct Testimony?
151	A. Yes.
152	
153 154	Dated this 10 th day of August, 2018.
155	Mentes
156	the fleth
157	Peter Pawlowski