	·····	
No. 7113	EXHIBIT	
www.ingelstore.com	OTP-2	

Before the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission State of South Dakota

In the Matter of the Application of Otter Tail Power Company For Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Utility Service in South Dakota

> Case No. PU-18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2)

RETURN ON EQUITY

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY AND SCHEDULES OF ROBERT B. HEVERT

March 15, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	WITN	ESS IDENTIFICATION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
II.	SUMM	IARY OF TESTIMONY	2
III.	RESPO	DNSE TO MR. COPELAND	13
	1.	Unreasonableness of Mr. Copeland's ROE Results and Recommendation	15
	2.	Capital Market Conditions	18
	3.	Business Risks and Other Considerations	31
		Implications of the Phase-In Plan	32
	4.	Relevance of Market-to-Book Ratios	36
	5.	Constant Growth DCF Model	54
	6.	Non-Constant Growth DCF Model	57
	7.	Capital Asset Pricing Model	61
	8.	Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium Approach	73
IV.	SUMM	IARY OF UPDATED RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	75

Schedules

Constant Growth DCF Results	Schedule 1
Multi-Stage DCF Results	Schedule 2
Market Risk Premium Results	Schedule 3
Beta Coefficient Estimates	Schedule 4
Capital Asset Pricing Model Results	Schedule 5
Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium	Schedule 6
Recently Authorized ROEs	Schedule 7
"Excess Returns" Analysis	Schedule 8
Growth Rate Regressions Analysis	Schedule 9
Retention Growth Regression Analysis	Schedule 10
Copeland DDM – Adjusted Terminal Value	Schedule 11
Historical Market Risk Premium and Market Return	Schedule 12

I. WITNESS IDENTIFICATION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, AFFILIATION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	А.	My name is Robert B. Hevert. I am a Partner of ScottMadden, Inc. ("ScottMadden"). My
3		business address is 1900 West Park Drive, Suite 250, Westborough, MA 01581.
4	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU SUBMITTING THIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
5	А.	I am submitting this rebuttal testimony ("Rebuttal Testimony") before the South Dakota
6		Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") on behalf of Otter Tail Power Company
7		("OTP" or the "Company"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Otter Tail Corporation
8		("OTTR").
9	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
10	А.	Yes, I have.
11	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
12	А.	The purpose of my Rebuttal Testimony is to:
13		1. Respond to the Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr. on behalf of the Staff of
14		the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, regarding the appropriate Return on
15		Equity ("ROE") for OTP ¹ ; and
16		2. Present updated calculations and analytical results regarding OTP's Cost of Equity,
17		and my 10.30 percent ROE recommendation.
18	Q.	HOW IS THE BALANCE OF YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?
19	A.	The balance of my Rebuttal Testimony is organized as follows:
20		• <u>Section II</u> – Contains a summary of my Rebuttal Testimony;
21		• <u>Section III</u> – Contains my response to Mr. Copeland; and

¹ Please note that a lack on response to Mr. Copeland on a given issue should not necessarily be interpreted as an acceptance of his position.

1

Section IV – Summarizes my updated analyses, conclusions, and recommendations.

II. SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

2 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY.

3 4 A. My Rebuttal Testimony discusses several issues relating to OTP's Cost of Equity. The following provides a summary of the principal points:

5

17

6 Mr. Copeland's ROE Recommendation: My Rebuttal Testimony explains that Mr. 7 Copeland's 8.25 percent recommendation and 8.00 percent to 8.50 percent ROE range are 8 far outside the range of returns available to other vertically integrated electric utilities, and 9 far below any reasonable measure of OTP's Cost of Equity. Mr. Copeland's ROE results 10 reflect the combination of: (1) his position that Market-to-Book ("M/B") ratios above 1.00 11 indicate that authorized returns exceed investors' return requirements; (2) his focus on a 12 single method (the Discounted Cash Flow model) in developing his ROE recommendation; 13 and (3) his views regarding what investors *should do*, rather than what investors *actually* 14 do. Mr. Copeland's position is that, for decades, regulatory commissions have authorized 15 returns far greater than the actual Cost of Equity, which he now judges to be about 7.00 16 percent.

18 Regardless of how Mr. Copeland arrives at or supports his recommendation, it is so far 19 below the ROEs authorized for other utilities nationally and in South Dakota that it cannot 20 be reconciled by the reasonable application of methods, or by reference to market, 21 economic, or industry data. Simply, Mr. Copeland's testimony provides no reasonable 22 basis to conclude equity investors see OTP as so less risky than its peers that they would 23 accept an ROE 275 basis points below those available to other electric utilities.² Those 24 issues aside, Mr. Copeland's 8.25 percent ROE recommendation does not meet the long-

²

Based on the median result in Chart 1, below.

standing regulatory standard under which the authorized ROE should be comparable to returns investors expect to earn on other investments of similar risk.³

Mr. Copeland's Position that Regulatory Commissions and Investors Have Been Consistently and Significantly Wrong: Although there are many methodological points on which I disagree with Mr. Copeland, a fundamental point of departure between us is how we each see the purpose of our testimony. I have developed my analyses to understand how investors make decisions, and to estimate the Cost of Equity based on investor behavior. Mr. Copeland's approach is distinctly different. His arguments and analyses center around not what he believes *investors actually do*, but what he believes they *should do*.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13 Mr. Copeland's belief that investors should not place a market value of utility equity greater 14 than the book value of utility equity leads him to the conclusion that "utility regulation is not doing a very good job in balancing consumer and investor interests."⁴ Again, Mr. 15 16 Copeland is relying on his own judgement regarding how investors should act, rather than estimating the Cost of Equity based on the methods used and factors considered by 17 investors.⁵ Mr. Copeland recognizes that market values have long exceeded book values, 18 19 and regulators continue to authorize ROEs far above his 7.00 percent estimate of the true 20 Cost of Equity, and his 8.25 percent recommendation. But in his view, it is the many 21 regulatory commissions that have reviewed market data and models, and the investors that 22 have committed their capital to utility stocks that have been consistently and significantly 23 wrong.

- 24
- .
- 25
- 26

Market-to-Book Ratios as Indicators of Excess Returns: Mr. Copeland's argument that Market/Book ratios measure "excess returns" to investors, and his suggestion that the

³ <u>Federal Power Comm'n v. Hope Natural Gas Co.</u>, 320 U.S. 591 (1944) ("<u>Hope</u>") <u>Bluefield Water Works</u> and Improvement Co. v. Public Service Comm'n. 262 U.S. 679, 692 (1923) ("<u>Bluefield</u>").

⁴ Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, Jr., at 23.

⁵ As noted on pages 11-12 of my Direct Testimony, "The key consideration in determining the ROE is to ensure that the overall analysis reasonably reflects investors' view of the financial markets in general, and the subject company (in the context of the proxy companies) in particular."

1 Commission can and should manage market prices toward his view of the optimal 2 Market/Book ratio are misplaced and at odds with the regulatory requirement of a 3 comparable return. Although regulatory decisions may influence market prices, they 4 cannot control them, as Mr. Copeland's construct incorrectly assumes. As a practical matter, market prices are affected by multiple variables and relationships, many of which 5 cannot be precisely quantified in a single model, as Mr. Copeland's approach requires. 6 7 Simply, Mr. Copeland suggests the Commission should adopt an objective it cannot 8 achieve, based on a method other regulatory commissions have found to have provided 9 unreliable results.

10

11 Update of Data and Use of Multiple Analytical Methods: In my Direct Testimony, I concluded a ROE of 10.30 percent represents the Cost of Equity for OTP, within a range 12 of 10.00 percent to 10.60 percent.⁶ My recommendation considered a variety of factors, 13 including capital market conditions and Company-specific risks, and is based on the 14 15 reasonable application of multiple methods. I have updated many of the analyses contained 16 in my Direct Testimony, and I have provided several new analyses in response to issues raised by Mr. Copeland; those analyses continue to support my ROE range of 10.00 percent 17 18 to 10.60 percent and my recommended ROE of 10.30 percent. Those analyses are provided 19 in Exhibit (RBH-2), Schedules 1 through 6.

20

21 Selection of Otter Tail Power's ROE Within the Reasonable Range of Results: As explained 22 in my Direct Testimony, several factors demonstrate that OTP's ROE should be set at the 23 upper end of the range of reasonable results. As discussed later in my Rebuttal Testimony, 24 there are many reasons why I disagree with Mr. Copeland's analyses and conclusions regarding OTP's relative risk. Although Mr. Copeland has not raised the issue, the "Phase-25 26 In Recovery of Capital Projects" contained in the February 13, 2019 Settlement Stipulation 27 requires the ROE on phased-in projects to remain constant at the level determined by the 28 Commission in this proceeding. My Rebuttal Testimony explains that the fixed ROE

Direct Testimony of Robert B. Hevert, at 68-69.

represents a risk and a cost to investors. In my view, a reasonable premium to compensate
 for that additional risk would be up to 50 basis points.

- 4 Lastly, I appreciate that the Commission has the difficult task of setting just and reasonable rates. Doing so requires balancing the interests of ratepayers, who seek safe and reliable 5 6 utility service at reasonable rates, with those of investors, who seek a return comparable to 7 investments of corresponding risk. Setting just and reasonable rates, however, does not 8 mean the Commission should, or even can, set utility stock prices. It also is true that we 9 cannot separate just and reasonable rates from the public's interest in a financially healthy 10 utility. Mr. Copeland's recommendation to set the ROE at 8.25 percent, and his views that 11 the range for OTP's ROE is 8.00 percent to 8.50 percent and the Cost of Equity is closer to 12 7.00 percent, do not satisfy that interest.
- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW MR. COPELAND'S RECOMMENDED ROE COMPARES
 TO ROES AUTHORIZED FOR VERTICALLY INTEGRATED ELECTRIC UTILITIES
 IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS.

16 A. Mr. Copeland's 8.25 percent ROE recommendation falls far below every ROE authorized 17 for vertically integrated electric utilities since at least 1980.⁷ From the beginning of 2014 through mid-February 2019, none of the 107 ROEs authorized for vertically integrated 18 electric utilities were nearly as low as 8.25 percent.⁸ His current estimate of OTP's ROE 19 20 is 125 basis points lower still. The median authorized ROE over the 2014-2019 period, 9.75 percent, is 125 basis points above the high end of Mr. Copeland's range (8.50 percent), 21 150 basis points above his recommendation (8.25 percent), and 275 basis points above 7.00 22 23 percent, Mr. Copeland's estimate of OTP's true Cost of Equity.⁹ My recommended range 24 (10.00 percent to 10.60 percent), on the other hand, is well within the range of ROEs 25 authorized for other vertically integrated electric utilities (see Chart 1, below).

⁷ Mr. Copeland's 8.25 percent recommendation is also below all authorized ROEs for natural gas utilities since at least 1980.

⁸ Source: Regulatory Research Associates.

⁹ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copland, Jr., at 43.

Chart 1: ROE Witnesses' Recommendation vs.



Vertically Integrated Electric Utility Authorized ROEs (2014-2019)¹⁰

3

1

2

4 Q. DO INVESTORS CONSIDER AUTHORIZED ROES IN MAKING THEIR 5 INVESTMENT DECISIONS?

A. Yes, they do. In my experience, authorized ROEs reflect the same type of market-based data at issue in this proceeding. Further, because authorized ROEs are publicly available,¹¹ it is difficult to imagine they are not reflected, at least to some degree, in investors' return expectations and requirements. It therefore is reasonable to assume that over time, authorized ROEs are a relevant measure of investor-required returns.

11 Q. WHAT ARE THE PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS FOR OTP OF AN ROE THAT IS FAR

12 BELOW THOSE AUTHORIZED FOR OTHER UTILITIES?

A. The significant difference between Mr. Copeland's recommendation and ROEs authorized
 for other utilities raises very practical concerns. First, OTP must compete with other
 companies, including utilities, for the long-term capital needed to provide utility service.
 Given the choice between two similarly situated utilities, one with an authorized ROE that

¹⁰ Source: Regulatory Research Associates. Excludes Limited Issue rate proceedings.

¹¹ See e.g., ALLETE, Inc., SEC Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018, at 16.

falls far below industry levels, and another whose authorized ROE more closely aligns with those available to other utilities, investors will choose the latter.

1

2

3

Second, because authorized ROEs are relevant to them, investors would react very
negatively if Mr. Copeland's 8.25 percent recommendation (not to mention his 7.00 percent
estimate) were to be adopted by the Commission. Such a decision likely would be viewed
by investors as a significant departure from the Commission's prior decisions and practice,
increasing their views of OTP's regulatory risk. In that case, a likely outcome would be
increasing reluctance on the part of investors to provide capital to OTP, thereby decreasing
the availability, and increasing the cost, of that capital.

11 Q. ARE YOU RECOMMENDING THAT THE COMMISSION DETERMINE THE
 12 COMPANY'S ROE BASED SOLELY ON DECISIONS IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS?

13 No, nor am I aware of any regulatory commission that does so. Nonetheless, authorized A. 14 ROEs do provide observable and verifiable benchmarks against which recommendations 15 may be assessed. In my experience, regulatory commissions generally consider the same type of market, methodological, and risk factors at issue in this proceeding. They recognize 16 17 that financial models are important tools in determining returns, but appreciate that because 18 all models are subject to assumptions, no one method is most reliable at all times, and under 19 all conditions. That holds true in this case. Even if we focus on a single method, it remains 20 critically important to apply reasoned judgment to determine where the Cost of Equity falls 21 within that method's range of results. Just as investors consider multiple analytical 22 methods, and both company-specific and general market risk factors, we should do the 23 same. Those considerations, and that judgment, tell us that Mr. Copeland's 7.00 percent 24 estimate of OTP's true Cost of Equity is far afield from any practical measure, and his 25 recommended 8.25 percent ROE would create considerable adverse consequences for 26 investors and ratepayers.

27 Q. DO YOU CONTINUE TO RECOMMEND THE COMMISSION CONSIDER28 MULTIPLE METHODS IN SETTING THE ROE?

A. Yes. As Chart 2 (below) demonstrates, since 2014 the Constant Growth DCF model has
produced ROE estimates considerably below the ROEs authorized by regulatory
commissions. The difference between the two widened from 2016 – 2018, when (on
average) DCF results fell 121 basis points below authorized ROEs. That data suggests
state regulatory commissions have recognized the DCF model's results are not necessarily
reliable estimates of the Cost of Equity, and that other methods should be given meaningful
weight.

8





9

10 Q. HAVE OTHER REGULATORY COMMISSIONS EXPLAINED WHY IT IS

11 NECESSARY TO CONSIDER MORE THAN ONE MODEL?

A. Yes. For example, in Baltimore Gas and Electric Company's 2016 rate case, the Maryland
 Public Service Commission discussed the importance of considering multiple analytical
 methods, given the complexity of determining the investor-required ROE:

15

The ROE witnesses used various analyses to estimate the appropriate return

¹² DCF results based on quarterly average stock prices, Earnings Per Share growth rates from Value Line, Zacks, and First Call; assumes a group of seven vertically integrated electric utilities. Authorized ROEs are quarterly averages for vertically integrated electric utilities; source: S&P Global Market Intelligence. Please note that Q3 2015 included only two ROE decisions.

on equity [...] including the DCF model, the IRR/DCF, the traditional CAPM, the ECAPM, and risk premium methodologies. Although the witnesses argued strongly over the correctness of their competing analyses, we are not willing to rule that there can be only one correct method for calculating an ROE. Neither will we eliminate any particular methodology as unworthy of basing a decision. The subject is far too complex to reduce to a single mathematical formula. That conclusion is made apparent, in practice, by the fact that the expert witnesses used discretion to eliminate outlier returns that they testified were too high or too low to be considered reasonable, even when using their own preferred methodologies.¹³

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Similarly, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") recently addressed its 12 13 longstanding focus on the DCF method. In its November 15, 2018 Order Directing Briefs, 14 FERC found that "in light of current investor behavior and capital market conditions, relying on the DCF methodology alone will not produce a just and reasonable ROE."¹⁴ In 15 its October 16, 2018 Order Directing Briefs, FERC found that although it "previously 16 relied solely on the DCF model to produce the evidentiary zone of reasonableness...", it is 17 "...concerned that relying on that methodology alone will not produce just and reasonable 18 results."¹⁵ As FERC explained, it is important to understand "how investors analyze and 19 compare their investment opportunities."¹⁶ FERC also explained that, although certain 20 investors may give some weight to the DCF approach, other investors "place greater weight 21 on one or more of the other methods..."¹⁷ Those methods include the CAPM and the Risk 22 23 Premium method, which I have applied in this proceeding.

24

25 Since the FERC issued these orders, the South Carolina Public Service Commission came 26 to a similar finding, explaining that "it is appropriate and reasonable to consider a range of 27 estimates under various methodologies in order to more accurately estimate [South

¹³ In the matter of the application of Baltimore Gas and Electric Company for adjustments to its electric and gas base rates, Public Service Commission of Maryland, Case No. 9406, Order No. 87591, at 153. Citations omitted. [Emphasis added]

¹⁴ Docket Nos. EL14-12-003 and EL15-45-000, *Order Directing Briefs*, 165 FERC ¶ 61,118 (November 15, 2018) at para. 34.

¹⁵ Docket No. EL11-66-001, *et al.*, *Order Directing Briefs* 165 FERC ¶ 61,030 (October 16, 2018) at para. 30.

¹⁶ *Ibid.*, at para. 33.

¹⁷ *Ibid.*, at para. 35.

1		Carolina Electric & Gas's] cost of equity", and that relying on a single analytical method
2		is "inconsistent with decisions reached by regulatory commissions over the past several
3		years and departs from the normal practice of estimating the Cost of Equity for utilities."18
4		
5		The North Carolina Utilities Commission also noted it "carefully evaluated the DCF
6		analysis recommendations" of the ROE witnesses (which ranged from 8.45 percent to 8.80
7		percent) and determined that "all of these DCF analyses in the current market produce
8		unrealistically low results." ¹⁹
9	Q.	ARE THERE ASPECTS OF THE CONSTANT GROWTH DCF MODEL THAT MAY
10		EXPLAIN WHY REGULATORY COMMISSIONS CURRENTLY DO NOT RELY
11		PRINCIPALLY ON IT WHEN DETERMINING THE COST OF EQUITY?
12	A.	Yes. Quite simply, the DCF model's underlying structure and assumptions are not
13		compatible with the recent capital market and economic environment. That can most easily
14		be seen by recognizing that the DCF model's fundamental structure requires the
15		assumption of constancy in perpetuity. It assumes there will be no change in growth rates,
16		dividend payout ratios, Price/Earnings ratios, M/B ratios, or in the economic and market
17		conditions that support those variables. Equally important, the model assumes the Cost of
18		Equity estimated today will remain unchanged, also in perpetuity. That is, the model
19		requires that the Cost of Equity estimate produced today will be the same forward-looking
20		return equity investors will require every day in the future, in perpetuity.
21		
22		A concern, of course, is that federal monetary policy has had a significant, intentional effect

23 on capital markets, depressing interest rates and dampening volatility. At issue is whether

¹⁸ Public Service Commission of South Carolina, Docket Nos. 2017-207-E, 2017-305-E, and 2017-370-E, Order No. 2018-804, Order Addressing South Carolina Electric & Gas Nuclear Dockets, at 88-89. [clarification added]

¹⁹ State of North Carolina Utilities Commission, Docket No. E-7, Sub 1146, *In the Matter of Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric Utility Service in North Carolina*, Order Accepting Stipulation, Deciding Contested Issues, and Requiring Revenue Reduction, July 25, 2017.

1 we reasonably can assume the market conditions created by those policies will stay in place 2 over the long run. As discussed in more detail in Section III.2, we know the Federal 3 Reserve has begun to "normalize" its monetary policy, even though the pace and structure of that normalization process is not yet fully defined.²⁰ Regardless of its eventual 4 disposition, neither the Federal Reserve's unconventional monetary policy initiatives, nor 5 6 the capital market conditions they supported will remain in place in perpetuity, as the Constant Growth DCF model requires. On that basis alone we should be cautious about 7 8 the weight given the DCF method.

9

10 The DCF model also assumes investors use its fundamental structure to find the "intrinsic" 11 value of stock, that is, the price they are willing to pay. In practice, investors also consider 12 relative valuation multiples – Price/Earnings, M/B, Enterprise Value/EBITDA²¹ – in their 13 buying and selling decisions. They do so because no single financial model produces the 14 most accurate measure of fundamental value, or the most reliable estimate of the Cost of 15 Equity, at all times.

16 Q. IS THE USE OF MULTIPLE METHODS CONSISTENT WITH FINANCIAL THEORY

17 AND PRACTICE?

A. Yes, it is. It is important to keep in mind that financial models are approximations of
 investor behavior, not precise descriptions of it. Financial theory therefore recognizes that
 informed judgment is needed in the use of any model, and that no single model is
 appropriate under all market conditions. As Dr. Roger A. Morin notes:

22

Each methodology requires the exercise of considerable judgment on the

As the Federal Reserve explains: "The global financial crisis that began in 2007 had profound effects on the U.S. economy and other economies around the world. To support a return to the Federal Reserve's statutory goals of maximum employment and price stability, the Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") reduced short-term interest rates to nearly zero and held them at that exceptionally low level for seven years. The FOMC also undertook large-scale open-market purchases of longer-term U.S. Treasury securities and mortgage-backed securities to put downward pressure on longer-term interest rates. The term "normalization of monetary policy" refers to plans for returning both short-term interest rates and the Federal Reserve's securities holdings to more normal levels." *See* https://www.federalreserve.gov/faqs/what-does-federal-reserve-mean-when-it-talks-about-normalization-of-monetary-policy.htm.

²¹ Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	reasonableness of the assumptions underlying the methodology and on the reasonableness of the proxies used to validate the theory. The inability of the DCF model to account for changes in relative market valuation, discussed below, is a vivid example of the potential shortcomings of the DCF model when applied to a given company. Similarly, the inability of the CAPM to account for variables that affect security returns other than beta tarnishes its use.
8	
9 10	No one individual method provides the necessary level of precision for
10	facilitate the evercise of an informed judgment. Reliance on any single
12	method or preset formula is inappropriate when dealing with investor
12	expectations because of possible measurement difficulties and vagaries in
14	individual companies' market data. ²²
15	Professor Eugene Brigham, a widely respected finance scholar, recommends the CAPM,
16	DCF, and Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium approaches:
17	Three methods trainedly are used; (1) the Conital Assot Driving Model
17	(CAPM) (2) the discounted cash flow (DCE) method, and (3) the bond-
10	vield-plus-risk-premium approach These methods are not mutually
20	exclusive – no method dominates the others and all are subject to error
21	when used in practice. Therefore, when faced with the task of estimating a
22	company's cost of equity, we generally use all three methods and then
23	choose among them on the basis of our confidence in the data used for each
24	in the specific case at hand. ²³
25	Similarly, Dr. Morin (quoting, in part, Professor Stewart Myers), stated:
26	Use more than one model when you can. Because estimating the
27	opportunity cost of capital is difficult, only a fool throws away useful
28	information. That means you should not use any one model or measure
29	mechanically and exclusively. Beta is helpful as one tool in a kit, to be used
30	in parallel with DCF models or other techniques for interpreting capital
31	market data.
32	***
33	While it is certainly appropriate to use the DCF methodology to estimate
34	the cost of equity, there is no proof that the DCF produces a more accurate
35	estimate of the cost of equity than other methodologies. Sole reliance on
36	the DCF model ignores the capital market evidence and financial theory

 ²² Roger A. Morin, <u>New Regulatory Finance</u>, Public Utility Reports, Inc., 2006, at 428. [Emphasis added]
 ²³ *Ibid.*, at 430-431, citing Eugene Brigham, Louis Gapenski, <u>Financial Management: Theory and Practice</u>, 7th

Ed., 1994, at 341. [Emphasis added]

- 1 formalized in the CAPM and other risk premium methods. The DCF model 2 is one of many tools to be employed in conjunction with other methods to 3 estimate the cost of equity. It is not a superior methodology that supplants other financial theory and market evidence. The broad usage of the DCF 4 methodology in regulatory proceedings in contrast to its virtual 5 disappearance in academic textbooks does not make it superior to other 6 7 The same is true of the Risk Premium and CAPM methods. methodologies.²⁴ 8
- 9 The point simply is that the use of multiple methods is consistent with finance theory and 10 regulatory practice. Mr. Copeland's approach of relying only on the DCF method, on the 11 other hand, is atypical among investors and in my experience, among regulatory 12 commissions.²⁵
- 13 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION REGARDING OTP'S COST OF EQUITY.
- A. I continue to believe OTP's Cost of Equity falls in the range of 10.00 percent to 10.60
 percent, with 10.30 percent as a reasonable point estimate. My position is based on the full
 range of DCF and CAPM estimates, and considers other model results and data available
 to investors updated through February 15, 2019.

III. RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND

18 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF MR. COPELAND'S DIRECT TESTIMONY

- 19 AND RECOMMENDATIONS.
- A. Mr. Copeland recommends an ROE of 8.25 percent (although he notes that his application of the models suggests an ROE of 7.00 percent) based on his applications of the Constant
 and Non-Constant forms of the DCF model, his "Excess Returns" approach, and his adjustments to my CAPM and Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium approaches, all of which he applied to the Proxy Group presented in my Direct Testimony.²⁶

Roger A. Morin, <u>New Regulatory Finance</u>, Public Utility Reports, Inc., 2006, at 430-431.

As discussed earlier, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission recently has found that relying on multiple methods, including those I have applied in this proceeding, is consistent with investor practice.
 Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland. In at 4

Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, Jr., at 4.

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PRINCIPAL AREAS IN WHICH YOU DISAGREE WITH MR. COPELAND'S ANALYSES AND CONCLUSIONS.

3 A. The principal areas in which I disagree with Mr. Copeland's analyses and conclusions 4 relate to: (1) the reasonableness of his ROE estimate and recommendation; (2) Mr. 5 Copeland's interpretation of current capital market conditions and their effect on OTP's 6 Cost of Equity; (3) his assessment of business risks and other factors; (4) the relevance of 7 M/B ratios in estimating the Cost of Equity; (5) the application of the Constant Growth 8 DCF model; (6) Mr. Copeland's application of the "Non-Constant Growth DCF" model; 9 (7) the CAPM approach; and (8) the relevance of the Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium 10 approach.

11 Q. AS A PRELIMINARY MATTER, DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS WITH THE
12 DATA SUPPORTING THE CHARTS IN MR. COPELAND'S DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, I do. Certain of the data underlying the charts presented on pages 20, 21, 26, 72, and
76 of Mr. Copeland's Direct Testimony are derived from Value Line for the period 1999
through 2018, which Mr. Copeland provided as a workpaper. Those charts include: (1)
electric utility M/B ratios; (2) electric utility "excess returns"; (3) electric utility Cost of
Equity; and (4) electric utility Cost of Equity – Baa spread. There are certain
inconsistencies in the data and assumptions Mr. Copeland presents.

19

First, companies that were acquired or merged in the past five years were excluded from the last five years of data, regardless of when the acquisition or merger occurred. For example, TECO Energy, Inc. ("TECO") was acquired by Emera Incorporated on July 1, 2016. The referenced charts include data from TECO for the years 1999 through 2013, but did not include any data from 2014 or 2015, prior to the company's acquisition. The same approach was used for seven companies in Mr. Copeland's dataset. It is unclear why Mr. Copeland chose to remove such data from his analysis.

In addition, one of the seven companies removed by Mr. Copeland in the last five years
 was UIL Holdings Corporation ("UIL"), which was acquired by Iberdrola USA, Inc. on
 December 16, 2015, becoming Avangrid, Inc. ("Avangrid"). Although Mr. Copeland
 removed UIL in his last five years of data, he did not include Avangrid in his analysis, even
 though it is part of Value Line's electric universe.

6

Lastly, Mr. Copeland's analysis is based on Value Line data that appears to be from
February, March, and April 2018. It is unclear why he did not update his analysis to reflect
more recent data. Although he provided 2018 values in the referenced charts, those values
are forecasted based on data from early in the year. Further, because he did not update his
analysis, he included Great Plains Energy Incorporated and Westar Energy, Inc. in his most
recent data, which would have been excluded from the past five years, because they merged
on June 4, 2018.

14 1. Unreasonableness of Mr. Copeland's ROE Results and Recommendation

15 Q. AS A GENERAL MATTER, DO MR. COPELAND'S ROE ANALYSES PROVIDE A

16 REASONABLE ESTIMATE OF OTP'S COST OF EQUITY?

A. No, they do not. Mr. Copeland's ROE analyses cannot be corroborated by, or reconciled
with observable, relevant, and verifiable data. Quite visibly (as shown in Chart 1, above),
Mr. Copeland's ROE range and recommendation are far below the prevailing ROEs
authorized by this Commission and all other regulatory commissions.

21 Q. HAVE YOU COMPARED MR. COPELAND'S ROE ANALYSES AND 22 RECOMMENDATIONS TO DECISIONS OF REGULATORY COMMISSIONS

A. Yes, I have compared Mr. Copeland's ROE analysis and recommendations to decisions of
 other regulatory commissions that are considered comparable to the Commission. To do
 so, I used information provided by Regulatory Research Associates ("RRA"). RRA
 provides an assessment of the extent to which regulatory jurisdictions are constructive, or

not from an investor perspective.²⁷ I applied RRA's rankings to the jurisdictions reported
in Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 7 for all vertically integrated electric utility rate cases
reported since 2016. The median ROE for companies operating in jurisdictions that are
considered "Above Average," was 10.00 percent; the upper end of the range for those
ROEs was 10.55 percent.²⁸ The median ROE for companies operating in jurisdictions that
are considered "Average," was 9.60 percent and the median authorized ROE for companies
considered "Below Average" was 9.48 percent.

8 Q. HOW DO MR. COPELAND'S ROE RECOMMENDATION, ROE RANGE, AND 9 ESTIMATED COST OF EQUITY COMPARE TO RESULTS FROM AVERAGE

11 A. By comparison to regulatory jurisdictions considered "average" by RRA:

REGULATORY JURISDICTIONS?

- Mr. Copeland's 8.25 percent ROE recommendation is nearly 135 basis points below the median authorized ROE;
- Mr. Copeland's 7.00 percent estimate of OTP's ROE is 260 basis points below the
 median authorized ROE; and
- The top of Mr. Copeland's range (8.50 percent) is 110 basis points below the
 median authorized ROE.
- 18

10

12

As RRA explains, less constructive environments are associated with higher levels of risk: RRA maintains three principal rating categories, Above Average, Average, and Below Average, with Above Average indicating a relatively more constructive, lower-risk regulatory environment from an investor viewpoint, and Below Average indicating a less constructive, higher-risk regulatory climate. Within the three principal rating categories, the numbers 1, 2, and 3 indicate relative position. The designation 1 indicates a stronger or more constructive rating from an investor viewpoint; 2, a mid-range rating; and, 3, a less constructive rating within each higher-level category. Hence, if you were to assign numeric values to each of the nine resulting categories, with a "1" being the most constructive from an investor viewpoint and a "9" being the least constructive from an investor viewpoint, then Above Average/1 would be a "1" and Below Average/3 would be a "9."

²⁸ Of the 21 authorized ROEs in jurisdictions considered "Above Average," 16 were 10.00 percent or higher.

1	Q.	HOW DO MR. COPELAND'S ROE RECOMMENDATION, ROE RANGE, AND
2		ESTIMATED COST OF EQUITY COMPARE TO RESULTS FROM BELOW
3		AVERAGE REGULATORY JURISDICTIONS?
4	A.	All are far below the returns authorized by jurisdictions RRA considers "below average":
5		• Mr. Copeland's 8.25 percent ROE recommendation is nearly 125 basis points
6		below the median authorized ROE;
7		• Mr. Copeland's 7.00 percent estimate of OTP's ROE is 250 basis points below the
8		median authorized ROE; and
9		• The top of Mr. Copeland's ROE range (8.50 percent) is 100 basis points below the
10		median authorized ROE.
11	Q.	DID MR. COPELAND PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF THOSE SIGNIFICANT
12		DIFFERENCES?
13	A.	No, Mr. Copeland has not explained why investors should, or would make investments
14		limited to returns far below those available in other jurisdictions.
15	Q.	IS THERE ANY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT AN INVESTMENT IN OTP, OR AN
16		INVESTMENT IN SOUTH DAKOTA, HAS LESS BUSINESS OR FINANCIAL RISK
17		THAN AN INVESTMENT IN ANY OTHER UTILITY OR JURISDICTION?
18	A.	No. Mr. Copeland provides no explanation of why OTP has so much less business or
19		financial risk than its peers that investors would require a return so far below those
20		available to other utility companies or why an investment in South Dakota has so much
21		less business or financial risk that investors would require a return so far below those
22		available to other utility companies. A simple comparison of Otter Tail Corporation's Beta
23		coefficient (an objective measure of risk) relative to the proxy companies demonstrates
24		OTP is riskier than its peers. ²⁹

²⁹ As of February 15, 2019, Value Line reports a Beta coefficient for Otter Tail Corporation of 0.75 relative to the Proxy Group average of about 0.66.

Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CONCERNS WITH MR. COPELAND'S RECOMMENDATION?

A. Yes, I do. As noted in my Direct Testimony, the United States Supreme Court (the "Court") established guiding principles for establishing a fair return on capital in the *Hope* and *Bluefield* cases. In *Hope*, the Court stated that "the return to the equity owner should be commensurate with returns on investments in other enterprises having corresponding risks."³⁰ Mr. Copeland's ROE 7.00 percent ROE estimate, and his 8.25 percent ROE recommendation fail to meet that standard.

9 2. Capital Market Conditions

10 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE MR. COPELAND'S POSITION WITH RESPECT TO THE
11 EFFECT OF CAPITAL MARKET CONDITIONS ON OTP'S COST OF EQUITY.

A. Mr. Copeland notes that the last adjudicated Return on Equity in South Dakota was the
9.25 percent Return on Equity allowed in Docket EL11-019, in an order issued July 2,
2012. Mr. Copeland believes capital market conditions since then indicate that Cost of
Equity has declined. He observes that the Chicago Board of Options Exchange ("Cboe")
Volatility Index ("VIX") has generally declined since 2012, which matches the general
trend in the Moody's Corporate Baa bond yield. Lastly, citing data from <u>www.market-</u>
<u>risk-premia.com</u> he argues that the market required return has declined.

19 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND'S ARGUMENT THAT20 CORPORATE INTEREST RATES AND COST OF CAPITAL HAVE DECLINED

21 SINCE THE COMMISSION'S DECISION IN DOCKET NO. EL11-019?

A. Mr. Copeland states "the trend in Moody's Seasoned Corporate Baa Bond Yield generally
 matches the pattern of decline in VIX since 2011, with upward ticks in yields in 2014,
 2016, and early 2018, but with the overall trend remaining downward."³¹ It is not
 surprising that the Moody's Corporate Baa Bond Yield has declined since 2011. As I

³⁰ <u>Hope</u>, 320 U.S. at 603.

³¹ See Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 8-9.

described above, the Federal Reserve's adopted several policy initiatives to lower interest
 rates and market volatility after the 2008/2009 financial crisis.

Mr. Copeland also fails to acknowledge utility valuation levels after the financial crisis were related to the low interest rates, and the resulting "reach for yield" on the part of some investors. During those periods, when interest rates were intentionally subdued, some investors may turn to dividend-paying sectors such as utilities as an alternative source of income (that is, for the dividend yield).³²

8 Mr. Copeland's position also fails to acknowledge that the low interest rate environment 9 was the intended result of the Federal Reserve's Quantitative Easing policy. That policy now is being unwound, as the Federal Reserve begins its process of policy normalization 10 11 as described above. Because the unconventional monetary policy that led investors to seek 12 income from dividend-paying sectors (such as utilities) now is in the process of being 13 normalized, we cannot say the same type of yield-seeking behavior observed over the recent past will persist in the future. In that regard, it is telling that recently authorized 14 ROEs in other jurisdictions are well above the results indicated by the DCF methods on 15 which Mr. Copeland's recommendation relies.³³ 16

17

In addition, over time credit spreads, which are a component of bond yields, tend to be inversely related to Treasury yields. To test that relationship, I performed a regression analysis between the 30-year Treasury yield and Moody's Baa Utility bond yield. Based on that analysis, credit spreads were negatively and significantly related to Treasury yields.

³² The relationship between utility prices and utility dividend yields is given in Equation [2], page 16 of my Direct Testimony.

³³ See, for example, Chart 1, above.

R Squared	0.24	
F Stat	530.75	T Stat
Intercept	3.16	50.62
Treasury Yield	-0.47	-23.04

Table 1: Regression Statistics³⁴

For example, in the second half of 2012,³⁵ the average Treasury yield and credit spreads 3 4 were 2.80 percent and 1.90 percent, respectively. By 2018, the average Treasury yield increased to 3.11 percent, and the credit spread fell to 1.56 percent. In January 2018 the 5 average Treasury yield and credit spread was 2.88 percent and 1.30 percent, respectively, 6 7 increasing to 3.04 percent and 1.88 percent, respectively, in January 2019. Simply based 8 on the movement of Treasury yields and credit spreads since 2016, there is no reason to 9 conclude utility bond yields indicate a lower Cost of Equity. If anything, we may conclude 10 that because both Treasury yields and credit spreads increased during 2018, investors' 11 perceptions of utility risk also have increased.

12 Q. ARE THERE OTHER IMPLICATIONS OF EXPECTED INCREASES IN INTEREST

13 RATES FOR THE COST OF EQUITY?

1

2

A. Yes, there are. As discussed in my Direct Testimony, the Cost of Equity is a forward-looking concept,³⁶ and it is important that inputs used in Cost of Equity models reflect market expectations. As the Federal Reserve increased the Federal Funds target rate eight times between December 2016 and December 19, 2018 to 2.25 percent - 2.50 percent, short-term and long-term interest rates also increased (see Chart 3 below).

 ³⁴ Source: Bloomberg Professional, using daily data between June 20, 2012 and February 15, 2019. The final order in Docket No. EL11-019 was issued on June 19, 2012. The relationship is negative and statistically significant even when we control for serial correlation using the Prais-Winsten routine.
 ³⁵ A gradient of the serial correlation using the Prais-Winsten routine.

³⁵ After June 19, 2012.

³⁶ Direct Testimony of Robert B. Hevert, at 52.



2

1

Currently, consensus near-term forecasts of the 30-year Treasury yield reported by Blue Chip Financial Forecast indicate the market expects long-term rates to reach 3.50 percent by the second quarter of 2020.³⁸ Importantly, the potential for rising rates represents risk for utility investors.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND'S ARGUMENT THAT THE
DECLINE IN THE VIX INDICATES THAT MARKET PERCEPTIONS OF EQUITY
RISK ARE BELOW THE LEVELS THAT EXISTED DURING DOCKET EL11-019.

A. Overall, Mr. Copeland's assessment of the VIX does not consider the underlying Federal
 Reserve monetary policy, which has dampened market volatility. As the Federal Reserve
 continues its normalization policy, market volatility should continue to increase. Mr.
 Copeland also fails to take into consideration the market expectation of increases in the
 VIX.

³⁷ Federal Reserve Board Schedule H.15; <u>Blue Chip Financial Forecast</u>, Vol. 38, No. 2, February 1, 2019, at 2. Three-year, Seven-year and 20-year projected Treasury yields interpolated.

³⁸ <u>Blue Chip Financial Forecast</u>, Vol. 38, No. 2, February 1, 2019, at 2.

By way of background, the VIX is a visible and widely reported measure of expected volatility. As the Cboe explains, the VIX "is a calculation designed to produce a measure of constant, 30-day expected volatility of the U.S. stock market, derived from real-time, mid-quote prices of S&P 500® Index call and put options."³⁹ Because volatility is a measure of risk, increases in the VIX, or in its volatility, are a broad indicator of expected near-term increases in market risk. In his assessment that the VIX has declined since 2012, Mr. Copeland fails to acknowledge market intervention by the Federal Reserve.

8

9 Since the Federal Reserve began the process of rate normalization in December 2015⁴⁰, it 10 has increased the Federal Funds target rate eight times between December 2016 and 11 December 2018. Aside from increases in the Federal Funds rate, in October 2017, the 12 Federal Reserve initiated its balance sheet normalization program that includes gradual 13 reductions to its security holdings by decreasing its reinvestment activities.⁴¹ In its 14 January 2019 meeting, the Federal Reserve decided to continue with the balance sheet 15 wind-down.⁴²

16

The VIX has gradually increased in connection with the Federal Reserve's tightening monetary policy. As Chart 4 (below) demonstrates, in 2017 market volatility was well below its long-term average and moved within a somewhat narrow range; the VIX averaged about 11.09, with a standard deviation of 1.36. Throughout 2018 and into 2019, the VIX average increased to 16.82 with a standard deviation of 4.89. That is, from 2017 to 2018 both the level and the volatility of market volatility increased.

³⁹ Source: <u>http://www.cboe.com/vix</u>.

⁴⁰ Federal Reserve Press Release dated December 16, 2015.

⁴¹ See: <u>https://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/policy-normalization.htm</u> and Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC") Press Release, June 14, 2017. In its January 30, 2019 press release the FOMC noted that although it continues to view changes in the federal funds target rate as the "primary means of adjusting monetary policy", it also would adjust the details of its balance sheet normalization based on economic and financial developments.

⁴² *Federal Reserve Press Release* dated January 30, 2019.

		- ~	
		g i foressional. Data unough i vortany 19, 2 73	
	019. 019.	g Professional. Data through February 15, 2 g Professional. Data through February 15, 2	rce: Bloomber rce: Bloomber
uing monetary policy.	ward tighten	ight of the Federal Reserve's shift to	rnatives in l
oants reassess investment	rket particip.	n volatility is not surprising as ma	increase i
	1.36	2017 Standard Deviation	
	9.14	2017 Minimum	
	16.04	2017 Maximum	
	11.09	2017 Average	
	4.89	2018-2019 Standard Deviation	
	9.15	2018-2019 Minimum	
	37.32	2018-2019 Maximum	
		2010-201/ 11/1020	
	16.82	2018-2019 A verage	
	latility ⁴⁴ 19.69 16.82	Table 2: VIX Levels and Vo LONG-TERM AVERAGE 2018_2019 Average	
	latility ⁴⁴ 19.69 16.82	Table 2: VIX Level (1.36) Table 2: VIX Levels and Vo LONG-TERM AVERAGE	bout 3.60 th
	latility ⁴⁴ 19.69 16.82	mes higher than its 2017 level (1.36) Table 2: VIX Levels and Vo LONG-TERM AVERAGE 2018_2019 Average	bout 3.60 tii
rtainty from 2017 to 2019.	market uncer e volatility o latility⁴⁴ 19.69 16.82) further demonstrates the increase in otes, the standard deviation (that is, the mes higher than its 2017 level (1.36) Table 2: VIX Levels and Vo LONG-TERM AVERAGE	ole 2 (below) that table no bout 3.60 tii
rtainty from 2017 to 2019. of volatility) in 2018-2019	g-Term Average market uncer ie volatility o la tility ⁴⁴ 19.69 16.82) further demonstrates the increase in otes, the standard deviation (that is, the mes higher than its 2017 level (1.36) Table 2: VIX Levels and Vo LONG-TERM AVERAGE	ole 2 (below) that table no bout 3.60 tii
of volatility) in 2018-2019.	market uncer e volatility o 19.69 16.82	Mar-14 Mar-12 Inther demonstrates the increase in Mar-12 Mar-14 Mare 21-00 Mar-15 Mare 21-00 Mar-16 Mare 21-00 Mar-17 Mare 21-00 Mar-18 Mare 12 Mar-17 Mare 12 Mar-18 Mare 12 Mar-17 Mare 12 Mar-18 Mare 12 Mar-17 Mare 12 Mare 12 VIX Levels and Vo Maraoe Mareace	ocoo ble 2 (below) that table no bout 3.60 tii
of volatility) in 2018-2019.	market uncer market uncer le volatility o 19.69 16.82) further demonstrates the increase in vix hdex for vix hdex	ooo ole 2 (below) that table no bout 3.60 tii
rtainty from 2017 to 2019. f volatility) in 2018-2019.	market uncer le volatility o 19.69 16.82	Mar-14 Mar-14 Intrher demonstrates the increase in Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-15 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-18 Mar-17 Mar-18 Mar-17 Mar-18 Mar-17 Mar-17 Mar-18 Mar-17 Mar-18 Mar-17	ble 2 (below) that table no bout 3.60 tin
of volatility) in 2018-2019.	Imarket uncer 81-6ny - Imarket uncer 81-6ny - Imarket uncer 19.69 Imarket uncer 19.69	Mar.13 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.16 Mar.17	15.00 5.00 5.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
of volatility) in 2018-2019.	er-fem Average 81-inf 19.69 16.82 16.82	Mar-12 Mar-14	20.00 15.00 5.00 5.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Per 18 Per 19 Per 19	at 19.69 10.82 10.82	Marala Marala	25.00 15.00 15.00 5.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0
$f_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-$	market uncer 19.69 16.82 16.82	Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-17 Mar-17 Mar-17 Mar-17 Mar-17 Mar-17 Mar-17 Mar-13 Mar-13 Mar-13 Mar-13 Mar-13 Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-15 Mar-15 Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-14 Mar-15 Mar-15 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-17 Mar-17 Mar-16 Mar-17	25.00 25.00 15.00 15.00 15.00 10.00 15.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 11.00 10.00 11.00 10.00 11.00 10.000
$f_{1} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2$	Bt-free Bt-fre	Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.14 Mar.12 Mar.14 Mar.12 Mar.14 Mar.12 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.15 Mar.16 Mar.17 Mar.16 Mar.17 Mar.16 Mar.16 Mar.17 Mar.16 Mar.17 Mar.16 Mar.17 Mar.16 Mar.17 Mar.16 Mar.17 Mar.16 Mar.17	35.00 30.00 15.00 15.00 10.00 5.000 5.000 10.00 10

43 44

Р 8 6

6 v 4 v 0

Γ

Q. IS MARKET VOLATILITY EXPECTED TO INCREASE FROM ITS CURRENT LEVELS?

- A. Yes, it is. One means of assessing market expectations regarding the future level of
 volatility is to review Cboe's "Term Structure of Volatility." As Cboe points out:
- 5 The implied volatility term structure observed in SPX options markets is 6 analogous to the term structure of interest rates observed in fixed income 7 markets. Similar to the calculation of forward rates of interest, it is possible 8 to observe the option market's expectation of future market volatility 9 through use of the SPX implied volatility term structure. ⁴⁵
- 10 Cboe's term structure data is upward sloping, indicating market expectations of increasing volatility. The expected VIX value in December 2020 is 19.75, suggesting investors see a 11 reversion toward the long-term average volatility over the coming months.⁴⁶ That increase 12 13 in expected volatility makes intuitive sense, given the Federal Reserve's movement toward 14 normalizing monetary policy. That policy change includes reducing the liquidity provided to the financial markets during the Federal Reserve's Quantitative Easing initiatives. 15 Because that liquidity had the effect of dampening volatility as it was added to the markets, 16 17 it stands to reason that volatility will increase as liquidity is diminished.
- 18 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COPELAND'S ASSERTION THAT THE MARKET
 19 REQUIRED COST OF EQUITY HAS DECLINED FROM 8.83 PERCENT IN
- 20 OCTOBER 2011 TO 6.79 PERCENT IN JULY 2018?⁴⁷
- A. No. Mr. Copeland presents a chart from <u>www.market-risk-premia.com</u>, including risk free
 rate, implied Market Risk Premium, and implied market return estimates (as of July 2018)
- of 2.96 percent, 3.83 percent and 6.79 percent, respectively.⁴⁸ Putting aside the rather low

⁴⁵ Source: <u>http://www.cboe.com/trading-tools/strategy-planning-tools/term-structure-data</u>.

⁴⁶ Source: <u>http://www.cboe.com/trading-tools/strategy-planning-tools/term-structure-data</u>, accessed February 15, 2019.

⁴⁷ See Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 9-10 citing data from <u>www.market-risk-premia.com</u>.

⁴⁸ *See Ibid.*, at 9.

expected market return, I cannot verify the website's underlying assumptions and inputs, and am reluctant to rely on it as Mr. Copeland has.

3 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCERNS WITH MR. COPELAND'S RELIANCE ON THAT4 INFORMATION?

5 A. I reviewed the website's model assumptions and parameters for its estimated market return 6 for the United States. The website calculates an implied market return of 7.40 percent as of December 31, 2018⁴⁹ based on a risk-free rate of 2.70 percent, and Market Risk Premium 7 of 4.70 percent. However, the website does not provide verifiable sources for its forecasted 8 9 information. On the other hand, I calculate clearly my estimated Market Risk Premia based on data from Bloomberg and Value Line, both of which (in my experience) are widely 10 11 referenced. In any case, a Market Risk Premium of 4.70 percent renders implausibly low estimates of the Cost of Equity.⁵⁰ 12

13

1

2

Perhaps more important is the difficulty of reconciling Mr. Copeland's reference to an 14 15 expected market return slightly less than 7.00 percent with his position that the Cost of Equity for an electric utility such as Otter Tail Power is about 7.00 percent. As Mr. 16 17 Copeland points out at page 54 of his testimony, utilities generally have Beta coefficients less than non-regulated firms. Because the utility sector is only about 3.00 percent of the 18 19 S&P 500 index, it follows that utilities will have Beta coefficients less than the market Beta 20 coefficient (i.e., 1.00). That is, utilities are less risky than the overall market. If Mr. 21 Copeland believes 7.00 percent is a reasonable estimate of the market return, the Cost of 22 Equity for utilities must be lower, still.

⁴⁹ Accessed <u>http://www.market-risk-premia.com/us.html</u> on March 4, 2019. At the time of my review, the website only allowed access to model parameters as of October, November and December 2018, so I selected December 31, 2018 for this analysis. Certain sections of the website were "under construction" at the time I accessed it.

⁵⁰ Assuming Mr. Copeland's "de-adjusted" Beta coefficient of .52, the CAPM estimate based on those parameters would be: $2.70\% + (.52 \times 4.70\%) = 5.14\%$. At page 71 of his testimony, Mr. Copeland suggests 5.94% may seem an implausibly low estimate of the Cost of Equity.

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS REGARDING MR. COPELAND'S ASSESSMENT OF CAPITAL MARKET CONDITIONS.

A. Mr. Copeland describes declines in the VIX and bond yields since Docket EL11-019 and
suggests those changes support his rather low ROE recommendation. However, Mr.
Copeland fails to consider the unique monetary policies adopted by the Federal Reserve as
a result of the 2008 financial crisis that had the intended effect of lower interest rates, and
dampened volatility. Forward-look estimates of the VIX and interest call for increases in
both, supporting my recommended Return on Equity.

9 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE MR. COPELAND'S POSITION REGARDING THE

10 TAX CUTS AND JOBS ACT'S ("TCJA") EFFECT ON OTP'S COST OF EQUITY.

A. Mr. Copeland raises two arguments. First, he suggests that if the TCJA had an effect on
 the market, it "has since shrugged off a concern about the TCJA."⁵¹ He also believes that
 the outperformance of the proxy companies relative to the market between February 1,
 2018 and September 21, 2018 is evidence that the TCJA did not have an effect on utilities.

15 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND ON THOSE POINTS?

A. As to Mr. Copeland's first argument, it is important to recall that all models produce ranges
 of results.⁵² The important analytical consideration is whether there are factors that may
 help determine where the Cost of Equity likely falls within those ranges. As discussed
 below, the TCJA is one such factor. As to Mr. Copeland's second argument, the TCJA has
 had a strong negative effect on the proxy group; that effect continued over time.

⁵¹ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, at 80.

⁵² For example, Mr. Copeland's Constant Growth DCF results produce a range of 6.88 percent to 8.48 percent. *See*, Exhibit___(BLC-1), Schedule 1.

Q. ARE THERE EMPIRICAL METHODS THAT CAN BE USED TO ASSESS THE EFFECT OF AN EVENT SUCH AS THE TCJA ON UTILITY STOCK PERFORMANCE?

A. Yes, a method frequently used is an "event study", or a "cumulative abnormal return"
analysis.⁵³ To understand whether a specific event affected stock prices, it is important to
control for factors beyond the event under consideration. The portion of the stock's return
that is not attributable to those other factors is considered the "abnormal" or "excess"
return; the sum of those excess returns is the "cumulative" abnormal return. To apply that
approach, I defined the abnormal return on a given day as:

10 $A_t = R_{i,t} - R_{m,t}$ Equation [1]

11 where A_t is the Abnormal Return on day t, $R_{i,t}$ is the actual return for the proxy group⁵⁴ on 12 day t, and $R_{m,t}$ is the expected return for the proxy group defined in Equation [2] below.

 $R_{m,t} = \alpha_t + \beta_{m,t}$ Equation [2]

13

14 The expected return, $R_{m,t}$, (sometimes referred to as the "market-adjusted return") is based on a regression equation in which Mr. Copeland's proxy group's daily returns⁵⁵ are the 15 dependent variable, and the market's daily return (measured by the Dow Jones Industrial 16 17 Average) is the explanatory variable. Because it relies on market-adjusted returns, the 18 approach controls for factors that, like the TCJA, affect companies across market sectors. 19 Consistent with Value Line's approach for calculating Beta coefficients, I applied the regression (*i.e.*, Equation [2]) over five years, using daily (rather than weekly) returns. The 20 21 equation and slope coefficient both were statistically significant (see Table 3, below).

⁵³ See, for example, Robert Schweitzer, *How Do Stock Returns React to Special Events?* Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Business Review, July/August 1989.

⁵⁴ Calculated as an index. Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

⁵⁵ Calculated as an index. Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence.

	SLOPE	INTERCEPT
Coefficient	0.4656	0.0002
Std. Err.	0.0301	0.0003
R-Square	0.1595	
F-Stat	238.9944	
t-Stat	15.4594	0.7030

Table 3: Market Model Regression Statistics

3 To determine whether the TCJA likely affected the proxy companies' stock valuations, I considered the "event date" to be December 1, 2017. Because it pre-dates the TCJA's 4 5 enactment, the event date provides for the likelihood that equity investors were aware of, 6 and began to consider how the TCJA may affect utility risks before the TCJA became law. 7 I then calculated the cumulative abnormal return for each day over a window that spanned 8 from September 1, 2017 to March 1, 2018 (that is, approximately three months before and 9 after December 1, 2017). Chart 5 (below) provides the cumulative abnormal return over 10 that period (*i.e.*, negative 21.27 percent).



1

2

Chart 5: S&P 500 Average Cumulative Abnormal Return⁵⁶



12 13

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence. Based on a t-test, the cumulative abnormal returns are significant.

1 To consider Mr. Copeland's view that the TCJA's effect over time is minimal, I extended 2 the post-event window to September 21, 2018, consistent with Mr. Copeland's analysis. 3 Even in those cases, with the effect of intervening events, the abnormal return remained 4 well below zero (*see* Chart 6, below).





Chart 6: Cumulative Abnormal Return Extended⁵⁷

6

7

57

8 Q. WHAT CONCLUSIONS DO YOU DRAW FROM THOSE ANALYSES?

9 A. Controlling for market-wide events, the TCJA has had a strong negative effect on the proxy
group; that effect has continued over time. We therefore reasonably can conclude that
aside from actions taken by rating agencies, the TCJA meaningfully – and negatively –
affected utility stock prices, and should be considered in determining OTP's ROE.

Q. HAVE RATING AGENCIES RAISED ANY FURTHER CONCERNS RELATED TO
THE TCJA'S EFFECT ON THE UTILITY SECTOR SINCE THE FILING OF YOUR
DIRECT TESTIMONY?

Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence. Based on a t-test, the cumulative abnormal returns are significant.

1 Yes. On June 18, 2018 Moody's changed its outlook on the U.S. regulated utility sector to A. 2 "negative" from "stable". Moody's explained that its change in outlook "...primarily 3 reflects a degradation in key financial credit ratios, specifically the ratio of cash flow from 4 operations to debt, funds from operations (FFO) to debt and retained cash flow to debt, as well as certain book leverage ratios."⁵⁸ The sector's outlook could remain "negative" if 5 cash flow-based metrics continue to decline, or if there emerge signs of a more 6 7 "contentious" regulatory environment (which, Moody's notes, is not fully reflected in 8 lower authorized returns). Those factors, and their uncertainty, weigh against utility stock 9 valuations, even if we cannot precisely measure the incremental effect of each.

10 Q. LASTLY, IS IT IMPORTANT TO APPLY JUDGMENT IN CONSIDERING THE11 EFFECTS OF EVENTS SUCH AS THE TCJA?

12 Yes, it is. As with the Constant Growth DCF model, it is important to understand the A. 13 CAPM's inputs, assumptions, and results in the context of observable market data. As 14 explained in my Direct Testimony at page 31 (including Equation [4]) Beta coefficients 15 reflect two aspects of stock price movements: (1) the variability of the subject company's returns relative to the market; and (2) the correlation of the subject company's returns to 16 17 the market's returns. Both are important factors. Charts 5 and 6, above, illustrate the TCJA's effect on utility stock prices relative to the overall market. When utility stock 18 19 prices fall relative to the overall market, the correlation will fall. When that happens (all else remaining equal), Beta coefficients also will fall. That is especially the case when they 20 are calculated over relatively short periods, as Bloomberg does.⁵⁹ The question then 21 22 becomes whether those Beta coefficients are likely to reflect investors' views of utility risk 23 going forward. Here again, a certain amount of judgment must be applied.

⁵⁸ Moody's Investors Service, *Announcement: Moody's changes the US regulated utility sector outlook to negative from stable*, June 18, 2018.

⁵⁹ An alternative CAPM approach is the Empirical CAPM ("ECAPM") approach. The ECAPM adjusts for the CAPM's tendency to under-estimate returns for companies that (like utilities) have Beta coefficients less than one, and over-estimate returns for relatively high-Beta coefficient stocks. Although I have not included the Empirical ECAPM in my updated analyses, it is my view that its application under the current circumstances is reasonable.

1

3. Business Risks and Other Considerations

2 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND'S CRITICISMS REGARDING
3 YOUR SMALL SIZE ANALYSIS?

A. Mr. Copeland argues the existence of a small size premium is questionable and does not believe it applies to utilities.⁶⁰ In my Direct Testimony, I cited to long-standing research supporting both the small size premium in general, and as it applies to public utilities.⁶¹
Mr. Copeland's arguments do little to refute that research. Based on the analysis described in my Direct Testimony, as well as the academic and financial research, I continue to believe it is reasonable to consider OTP's relatively small size in determining the appropriate ROE for OTP.

11 Q. DOES MR. COPELAND HAVE ANY CONCERNS WITH YOUR FLOTATION COST

12 ADJUSTMENT?

A. Mr. Copeland believes that a flotation cost adjustment is reasonable. However, he develops an alternative calculation based on the compound annual growth rate in the number of shares of OTTR. Based on his alternative formula, Mr. Copeland suggests that a flotation cost adjustment of seven to nine basis points is reasonable. Mr. Copeland does not incorporate this adjustment into his analysis or recommendation, because he believes his recommended ROE is "substantially greater than the cost of equity."⁶²

19 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND ON THOSE POINTS?

A. I continue to believe my calculation of the flotation cost adjustment and the result are reasonable, appropriate, and consistent with the methods used in practice. The flotation cost adjustment provided in Exhibit__(RBH-1), Schedule 2 is consistent with the approach presented by Dr. Roger Morin, which he describes as "The conventional

⁶⁰ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, at 76-79.

⁶¹ Direct Testimony of Robert B. Hevert, at 40, 42.

⁶² Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, at 80.

approach to flotation cost adjustment...³⁶³ Dr. Morin further notes that approach's "use in
 regulatory proceedings by cost of capital witnesses is widespread. The formula is
 discussed in several college-level corporate finance textbooks, such as Brigham and
 Ehrhardt (2005).³⁶⁴

Consequently, I disagree with Mr. Copeland's alternative method, and continue to believe my estimate of the flotation cost adjustment is reasonable. That said, the difference in our approaches does not have a material effect on the difference between our recommendations, as Mr. Copeland's 7.00 percent estimate of OTP's ROE is significantly below my analytical results and other reasonable benchmarks, such as authorized returns.

10 11

5

6

7

8

9

12 Implications of the Stipulation

Q. DO THE RATE CASE MORATORIUM AND PHASE-IN INCLUDED IN THE
FEBRUARY 15, 2019 SETTLEMENT STIPULATION (THE "STIPULATION")
AFFECT OTP'S COST OF EQUITY?

16 Yes, they do. I understand that under the Stipulation, OTP will not file a rate case before A. 17 April 1, 2022 if the Merricourt Wind Project and Astoria Station are in service by 18 December 31, 2020. OTP also is allowed to file for approval of a Phase-In Plan, which 19 would provide cost recovery for certain capital projects during the rate case moratorium. 20 Among the Phase-In Plan's provisions is an agreement that the ROE set in this proceeding 21 would apply to the projects during their construction, and once they are put in service, until 22 OTP files its next base rate case, which would not occur until on or after April 1, 2022. 23 Other parameters of the overall Rate of Return, including the cost of debt and capital 24 structure, would be set at year-end levels during construction, and at the time the projects 25 are place in service.

⁶³ Morin, Roger A., <u>New Regulatory Finance</u>, Public Utilities Report, Inc., 2006, at 328.

⁶⁴ *Ibid.*, at 329.

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR INITIAL THOUGHTS REGARDING THESE ASPECTS OF THE STIPULATION?

A. It is important to consider the potential effect increases in the general level of interest rates
would have on OTP's Cost of Equity. As a general proposition, electric utility companies
are long duration investments whose valuations are highly sensitive to changes in the
required rate of return. Consequently, the interest rate risk to which equity holders are
exposed relate to the long end of the yield curve, *i.e.*, the 30-year Treasury yield. To the
extent long-term rates are more likely to increase than decrease over the moratorium
period, investors are at risk.

10

11 Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY REGULATORY COMMISSION THAT HAS APPLIED

12 A PREMIUM TO THE ROE IN SIMILAR CIRCUMSTANCES?

A. Yes. In proceedings involving a three-year stay-out period, Staff of the New York Public
Utilities Commission ("NY PUC") calculated a "stay-out premium" by taking one-half of
the difference between the five-year average yields on three and one-year Treasury Notes.
Staff noted that such a calculation is meant to give guidance to the Commission in arriving
at an appropriate premium.⁶⁵

- 18
- 19

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS REGARDING THAT APPROACH?

A. Although I appreciate that New York Staff has recognized the applicability of a stay-out premium, the method used appears to not fully reflect the underlying risks it is intended to mitigate. As noted above, utility stocks are relatively long duration investments, whose market valuations tend to be sensitive to changes in long-term interest rates. Second, the shape and slope of the yield curve is not constant over time, such that a relatively flat slope at the short-end of the curve may produce an inadequate premium relative to that which would be derived from the long-end of the curve. Lastly, it is unclear how the 50.00 percent

See, Case 09-E-0428, Prepared Testimony of Staff Finance Panel, at 106-107.
adjustment factor relates to the mitigation of company-specific risks over the term of the
 stay-out period.

For much the same reason that the Market Risk Premium component of the CAPM is a forward-looking (*ex-ante*) measure, the stay-out premium also should at least consider forward-looking data. Moreover, if the risk associated with the Phase-in Plan is that OTP's Cost of Equity will increase as a result of changes in the level of interest rates, the relevant security is the 30-year Treasury security. And, the risk of increasing rates over the life of assets in the Phase-In Plan may be particularly high, given the expected "normalization" of Federal monetary policy.

11

3

12 Q. THOSE CONCERNS ASIDE, DID YOU CALCULATE THE STAY-OUT PREMIUM

13 USING THE NEW YORK STAFF'S APPROACH?

A. Yes, I did. Over the five-year period ended February 2019, the average yield on the three-year Treasury Note was 1.50 percent, and the average yield on the one-year Treasury Note was 1.01 percent.⁶⁶ The difference between those two average yields is 0.49 percent; one-half of that amount equals approximately 0.25 percent, or 25 basis points. Although not used by New York Staff, the difference between the seven and one-year Treasury yields was 113 basis points, one-half of which is approximately 56 basis points.

20

21Q.DID YOU ALSO CALCULATE THE STAY-OUT PREMIUM BASED ON THE22DIFFERENCE IN CURRENT AND PROJECTED LONG-TERM TREASURY YIELDS?

- A. Yes, I analyzed the difference between current and projected yields on 30-year Treasury
 bonds. As shown in Exhibit_(RBH-2), Schedule 6, the current yield on the 30-year
 Treasury bond is about 3.03 percent, the projected long-term yield is 4.05 percent. The
 - 66

Source: Federal Reserve Schedule H.15.

1 2 difference between the current and projected yields is 82 basis points. One-half of 85 basis points is about 41 basis points.⁶⁷ Based on the Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium approach, the difference in the implied ROE is about 24 basis points.

3 4

5

Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL THOUGHTS ON THIS ISSUE?

6 A. Yes, I do. Aside from the effect of changes in long-term interest rates, equity valuations 7 remain at risk to: (1) increases in broad market instability; (2) rotation out of the utility 8 sector on the part of institutional investors; (3) unexpected credit contractions; and (4) other 9 factors that affect both fundamental equity valuations and investor trading patterns. If OTP 10 is foreclosed from adjusting the market-required ROE during a period of higher price 11 instability, investors necessarily will incorporate a larger risk premium than in periods of greater equity stability. To the extent that, on balance, those factors represent greater 12 13 downside risk during the moratorium period, the stay-out premium should recognize that 14 uncertainty. In that regard, because OTP forgoes the ability to recover the costs associated 15 with increases in the Cost of Equity during the moratorium period, the premium may be 16 considered the cost associated with insuring against such adverse market movements.

17 Q. IN YOUR VIEW, EVEN WITHOUT A MORATORIUM, IS THE PHASE-IN LIKELY

18 TO REDUCE OTP'S COST OF EQUITY?

A. No, I do not believe so for two reasons. First, the Phase-In Plan is more likely to have the effect of simply preventing dilution of future cash flow rather than improving current cash flow. The Phase-In Plan is more likely to mitigate the negative cash flow implications the capital projects otherwise would create in the future rather than improving current cash flow. That is, the Phase-In Plan would not reduce OTP's cash flow risk, it would simply mitigate incremental risks. That is the typical effect of plans such as this.

25

In addition, there is no indication that OTP has more risk-mitigating recovery mechanisms (with or without the Phase-In Plan) than the proxy group companies. In other words, even if the Phase-In Plan reduced OTP's current level of risk (which it does not), the question is whether OTP has more total risk-mitigating recover mechanisms than the proxy group companies. There is no indication that OTP has more risk-reducing mechanisms than the proxy group companies and thus not indication that the Phase-In Plan reduces OTP's cost of equity.

8

9 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING AN APPROPRIATE
10 PREMIUM IN CONNECTION WITH THE PHASE-IN PLAN?

11 A. As noted earlier, the approach taken by Staff in New York is meant to provide guidance to 12 the NY PUC. In my view, changes along the yield curve may not be the best measure of 13 the incremental cost to investors. Nonetheless, based on the New York method, and 14 considering the Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium method discussed above, I believe a 15 reasonable premium is in the range of 25 to 50 basis points. Because interest rates are more 16 likely to increase than decrease during the Phase-In Plan, the premium is more likely to be 17 toward the upper end of that range.

18

19 4. Relevance of Market-to-Book Ratios

- 20 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE MR. COPELAND'S TESTIMONY AS IT RELATES TO
- 21 UTILITY EARNINGS WHEN M/B RATIOS ARE ABOVE 1.00.

A. Mr. Copeland argues a M/B ratio above 1.00 demonstrates the expected ROE exceeds the Cost of Equity. In the context of rate regulation, his argument is that because utility M/B ratios have long exceeded 1.00, utility commissions have consistently authorized ROEs well above the returns investors actually require.⁶⁸ In Mr. Copeland's view, regulators

Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 34, 75.

have long provided investors "excess returns", which he defines as the difference between
 the dividend-to-book ratio and the dividend yield.⁶⁹ Mr. Copeland applies his estimate of
 "excess returns" to his estimate of the expected Return on Common Equity, which suggests
 an ROE of 7.03 percent when the M/B ratio equals 1.00.⁷⁰

5 Q. WHAT IS YOUR GENERAL RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND'S 7.03 PERCENT ROE

6 ESTIMATE?

A. Putting aside the issues discussed below, Mr. Copeland's position is far out of step with virtually every utility commission in the United States, and leads to results that are similarly removed from every utility commission and with the returns that are available to investors in any other utility.

11 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF DEFINITION OF THE M/B RATIO.

12 A. The M/B ratio equals the market value (or stock price) per share, divided by the total 13 common equity (or the book equity) per share. Book value per share is an accounting construct reflecting historical costs. In contrast, market value per share (i.e., the stock 14 15 price) is forward-looking, and is a function of many variables, including (but not limited 16 to) expected earnings and cash flow growth, expected payout ratios, measures of "earnings quality," the regulatory climate, the equity ratio, expected capital expenditures, and the 17 expected return on book equity.⁷¹ It follows, therefore, that the M/B ratio likewise is a 18 19 function of numerous variables.

20 Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY PUBLISHED RESEARCH THAT ADDRESSES M/B

21

RATIOS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE CONSTANT GROWTH DCF MODEL?

⁶⁹ *Ibid.*, at 19.

⁷⁰ *Ibid.*, at 29.

⁷¹ *See, e.g.*, Roger A. Morin, <u>New Regulatory Finance</u>, Public Utility Reports, Inc., 2006 at 366. Dr. Morin cites several academic articles that address the various factors that affect the Market-to-Book ratio for utilities.

1 A. Yes. As noted below, if we accept all the assumptions that underlie the Constant Growth 2 DCF model, if M/B exceeds 1.00, then ROE exceeds k. Branch et al. point out that M/B 3 is generally greater than or equal to one because the value of the firm as a going concern (price per share) generally exceeds the liquidation value (book value per share) and 4 "...firms having going concern values greater than their liquidation values (most firms) 5 and firms having finite prices (all firms) should have ROE > R > G."⁷² Taken from that 6 7 perspective, M/B ratios greater than 1.00 should not be surprising: if the liquidation value 8 exceeds the market value, the company would be liquidated. Further, as explained below, both M/B ratios for the broad equity market and for utilities exceed 1.00. 9

10 Q. HAVE M/B VALUES GENERALLY EXCEEDED 1.00 FOR THE BROAD EQUITY11 MARKET?

A. Yes, they have. As Chart 7 (below) demonstrates, since 1990 the average M/B ratio for
the S&P 500 Index has been 2.87; it has never reached 1.00.

14

Chart 7: S&P 500 Market/Book Ratio Over Time⁷³



⁷² Branch et al. (2014), at 78 (clarifications added). Here, R = the Cost of Equity, and G = growth.

⁷³ Source: Bloomberg Professional.

1 If investors, over many years and across many companies, felt that the returns they 2 expected had so significantly exceeded the returns they required, they would adjust their 3 requirements. Under Mr. Copeland's construct, the disequilibrium between expected and 4 required returns should dissipate, and take with it the difference between market and book 5 values. But that has not occurred.

7 That finding also is consistent with the position that M/B ratios greater than 1.00 simply 8 mean that firms are worth more as a going concern than the book value of their assets. This 9 is consistent with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") and 10 International Financial Reporting Standards, which require firms to carry the value of 11 assets on their books at the historical cost of those assets; only under specific circumstances 12 may the value of certain financial investments be carried at market value.⁷⁴ As a result:

13 ... given market efficiency, the [M/B] ratio is intrinsically an accounting 14 phenomenon; that is, on first order, [M/B] is determined by how 15 accountants measure book value... If all assets and liabilities were 16 accounted for using unbiased mark-to-market or "fair value" accounting, 17 [M/B] would be equal to unity for all levels of risk....A good example is a pure investment fund where "net asset value" typically equals market value, 18 19 since accountants apply mark-to-market accounting to these funds....For 20 most other firms, accountants do not mark the net assets involved with 21 operations to market. The application of historical cost accounting, exacerbated by the application of conservative accounting, introduces a 22 difference between price and book value.⁷⁵ 23

24 Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF RESEARCH THAT HAS FOCUSED ON THE M/B RATIOS

25 OF REGULATED UTILITIES?

6

A. Yes, I am. Research focusing on utilities has long concluded that regulation may not
necessarily result in M/B ratios approaching 1.00. As noted by Phillips in 1993:

⁷⁴ Financial Accounting Standards Board Rule 157.

⁷⁵ S. H. Penman, S.A. Richardson, and I. Tuna, "*The Book-to-Price Effect in Stock Returns: Accounting for Leverage*", Journal of Accounting Research, 45:2, May 2007. The authors use the reciprocal of the M/B and different notation. In the quote above, I have replaced B/P (where P denotes price per share) with M/B for ease of exposition.

1 2 3 4		Many question the assumption that market price should equal book value, believing that 'the earnings of utilities should be sufficiently high to achieve market-to-book ratios which are consistent with those prevailing for stocks of unregulated companies.' ⁷⁶
5		In 1988 Bonbright stated:
6		In the first place, commissions cannot forecast, except within wide limits,
7		the effect their rate orders will have on the market prices of the stocks of
8		the companies they regulate. In the second place, whatever the initial
9		prospects for earnings, but with the changing outlook of an inherently
11		volatile stock market. In short, market prices are beyond the control, though
12		not beyond the influence, of rate regulation. Moreover, even if a
13		commission did possess the power of control, any attempt to exercise it
14		would result in harmful, uneconomic shifts in public utility rate levels. ⁷⁷
15		And, in 1972 Stewart Myers came to the following conclusion:
16		In short, a straightforward application of the cost of capital to a book value
17		rate base does not automatically imply that the market and book values will
18		be equal. This is an obvious but important point. If straightforward
19		approaches did imply equality of market and book values, then there would be no need to estimate the cost of emital. It would suffice to lower (roise)
20		allowed earnings whenever markets were above (below) book. ⁷⁸
22		The point is that for many years, published authors have questioned the notion that any
23		deviation of market prices from book value is dispositive of a deviation between earned
24		and required returns.
25	Q.	HAVE UTILITY M/B RATIOS GENERALLY EXCEEDED 1.00?
26	A.	Yes, they have. Chart 8 (below) demonstrates that since 2010, Mr. Copeland's comparison
27		group's M/B ratio has exceeded 1.00, and generally has moved with the S&P 500 M/B
28		ratio. The same is true for the Dow Jones Utility Index, which has been highly correlated
28		ratio. The same is true for the Dow Jones Utility Index, which has been highly correlated

 ⁷⁶ Charles F. Phillips, <u>The Regulation of Public Utilities – Theory and Practice</u> (Public Utility Reports, Inc., 1993) at 395.

⁷⁷ James C. Bonbright, Albert L. Danielsen and David R. Kamerschen, <u>Principles of Public Utility Rates</u> (Public Utilities Reports, Inc., 1988), at 334.

⁷⁸ Stewart C. Myers, *The Application of Finance Theory to Public Utility Rate Cases*, <u>The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science</u>, Vol. 3, No. 1 (Spring 1972), at 58-97.

with the comparison group. Although the broad market represents a cross section of risk and return profiles, of which the utility sector is just one, the observed variation in marketlevel M/B ratios speaks to the time-varying influence of general macroeconomic factors, not to any failure of regulation.

5

7

1

2

3

4

6





8 An interesting observation is that the relationship between the comparison group M/B ratio 9 and the S&P 500 M/B ratio is positive and statistically significant. That is the case even 10 when we control for serial correlation.⁸⁰ We therefore reasonably can conclude that broad 11 macroeconomic and capital market factors affect both utilities and non-regulated entities. 12 Those factors, which extend beyond the expected Return on Common Equity that is central 13 to Mr. Copeland's thesis, also extend beyond the control of utility regulatory commissions.

14 Q. IS IT MR. COPELAND'S POSITION THAT THE MARKET/BOOK RATIO SHOULD15 BE FORCED TO 1.00?

⁷⁹ Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, Bloomberg Professional.

⁸⁰ Using the Prais-Winsten routine.

1 A. Not to 1.00. He does believe, however that a "market-to-book ratio of 1.25 should be a stated goal to strive for at the present time."81 In his view, that would be "more than fair to 2 investors."⁸² Mr. Copeland's long-term view seems to be that a ratio of 1.10 is better, inasmuch 3 4 as it would provide "an ample cushion for recovery of flotation costs and to prevent stock 5 prices from falling below book value per share from "market pressure" when new shares are issued."83 6

7

8 It is true that, all else remaining equal, when shares trade at a higher price, fewer shares 9 need to be issued to accomplish funding objectives, and ownership dilution is lessened. 10 The important point, however, is the absolute market value of the shares, not their market 11 value relative to book value. In that respect, there is no basis to target a specific 12 Market/Book ratio to lessen dilution, or for any other reason.

13

14 As to the proposition that a Market/Book ratio of 1.25 is "more than fair", I again observe that: (1) as a practical matter, the Market/Book ratio is not solely a function of the 15 16 relationship between earned and authorized returns; (2) there are many macroeconomic and capital market-related variables that affect the Market/Book ratio, for regulated and 17 18 non-regulated enterprises alike; and (3) the Market/Book ratio reflects the value of the firm 19 as a going concern (market price) relative to the value of its assets at historical costs (Book Value).⁸⁴ So, even if Mr. Copeland deems it proper for utility commissions to target 20 specific Market/Book ratios, they cannot manage utility stock prices to do so; the price 21 22 formation process is far too complex and involves far too many variables.

23 THOSE POINTS ASIDE, WHAT WOULD BE THE RESULT IF REGULATORY Q. COMMISSIONS DID FORCE M/B RATIOS TOWARD 1.00? 24

⁸¹ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, Jr., at 42. [emphasis in original]

⁸² Ihid.

⁸³ Ibid. See, also, footnote 18.

⁸⁴ Branch et al. (2014).

A. Looking at Mr. Copeland's comparison group, the capital loss for equity investors would
be approximately 45.95 percent.⁸⁵ A Market/Book ratio of 1.25 would result in a loss of
32.43 percent.⁸⁶ Therefore, if investors believed the extent to which M/B ratios exceed
1.00 is a measure of the difference between their expected and required returns, and
regulatory commissions would authorize returns that would set the market value equal to
the book value of utility stocks, there would be a significant loss of value.

7

8 Mr. Copeland rationalizes that loss of value by arguing that investors have been earning 9 more than they require, so a significant loss of value is fair to adequately compensate 10 customers.⁸⁷ Utilities, however, are generally viewed as lower risk investments (utility 11 Beta coefficients tend to be below 1.00) and have a high level of institutional ownership, 12 including pension funds. Mr. Copeland does not explain why relatively conservative, 13 highly informed utility investors knowingly willing would take the risk of such a material 14 loss.

15

16 From the perspective of institutional investors, such as pension asset managers, investment decisions are made based on expected risks and returns for various asset classes, and are 17 18 subject to investment objectives and guidelines, and to the expected timing and nature of 19 the liabilities being funded by those investments. In doing so, they must consider: (1) the 20 diversification of the portfolio; (2) the liquidity and current return of the portfolio relative 21 to the expected cash flow requirements under the plan; (3) the portfolio's projected return 22 relative to the plan's funding objective; and (4) the return expected on alternative investments with similar risks.⁸⁸ Putting aside the obvious and negative implications for 23 24 benefit plan beneficiaries, such a significant capital loss, or even the prospect of it, would

⁸⁵ Mr. Copeland's reports a M/B ratio of 1.85 for his proxy group. See, Exhibit (BLC-1), Schedule 5. 45.95% = 1.00 / 1.85 - 1.

⁸⁶ Mr. Copeland's reports a M/B ratio of 1.85 percent for his proxy group. *See*, Exhibit___(BLC-1), Schedule 5. 32.43% = 1.25 / 1.85 - 1.

⁸⁷ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 41.

⁸⁸ 29 CFR 2509.908-1, Interpretive bulletin relating to the fiduciary standard under ERISA in consider economically targeted investments, October 17, 2008.

cause institutional investors (and other risk averse investors) to reevaluate their investment decisions and positions, including their allocations to the utility sector.

3

1

2

4 That loss would not just affect investors, it also would substantially diminish the ability of utilities to attract external capital. Moreover, such a significant departure from regulatory 5 6 practice would introduce a degree of regulatory risk that would pressure credit ratings; that 7 pressure would be exacerbated by the diluted cash flow resulting from the significantly lower authorized equity return. Because they are so dependent on external capital to fund 8 9 the long-term investments needed to provide safe and reliable service, utilities' diminished 10 access and increased cost would be to the detriment of customers, as well as investors. 11 Simply put, if regulatory commissions were to set rates with the explicit objective of 12 moving the M/B ratio toward 1.00, that practice certainly would impede utilities' ability to attract the capital required to support safe and reliable operations.⁸⁹ 13

Q. DOES MR. COPELAND ADDRESS THE POTENTIAL EFFECT ON INVESTORS IF
 HIS POLICY WAS ADOPTED, AND IF MARKETS REACTED IN ACCORDANCE

16 WITH HIS MODEL?

A. Yes, he puts the issue in terms of the repayment of "an interest free loan", reasoning that engineering a reduction in the M/B ratio for that purpose is altogether proper:

19 At the most, it merely offsets the excess returns that were earned from prices 20 rising to such a high market-to-book ratio leaving investors as a class having still earned an adequate rate of return on balance. The "as a class" 21 22 qualifier simply acknowledges that it is impossible to determine how such 23 a change would impact any given individual investor. In any case, any 24 profit from excess returns investors earned in the past came with no 25 guarantee. So, the mere existence of capital losses for investors does not 26 impact the balancing of competing interests calculus at all. But for investors 27 as a class, losses as market-to-book ratios get pushed back down to where

⁸⁹ That would be especially the case in markets during which the M/B ratio for the overall market is significantly greater than 1.00; utilities would have to issue relatively more shares for the same amount of equity, diluting existing shareholders. The possibility of future dilution would raise concerns among potential investors, potentially causing them to consider other industry sectors.

they ought to be are simply a "refund" of the excess returns which they should not have received in the first place. And unless this "refund" is accompanied with interest, investors still come out ahead on a net present value basis. We should consider the excess returns that utility shares have earned as an interest free loan that it is now time to repay.⁹⁰

6 Here, Mr. Copeland once again substitutes his judgment for that of the market. 7 Notwithstanding the broad-based and longstanding experience of investors transacting at 8 prices above book value (see Chart 8, above), Mr. Copeland would have regulatory 9 commissions set rates with the explicit objective of manipulating market prices toward 10 book value. As noted above, not only have published authors questioned whether it is 11 possible to do so, they have warned against such positions.

12

1

2

3

4

5

It also is notable that nowhere does Mr. Copeland consider the very practical implications 13 of his intended policy for utilities' ability to maintain their credit profiles, or to efficiently 14 raise long- and short-term capital. In my experience, equity investors consider the types of 15 business risks discussed in my Direct Testimony.⁹¹ Also in my experience, debt and equity 16 investors look to the consistency and predictability of regulatory practice in allocating their 17 finite capital.⁹² If that were not the case, it is hard to imagine how utilities would be able 18 to finance the large, long-lived, essentially irreversible investments that provide utility 19 20 service, and that often are recovered over decades. Mr. Copeland's view that regulatory 21 commissions can and should manipulate market prices with the explicit objective of 22 reducing market value to book value undoubtedly would constrain utilities' ability to 23 efficiently raise the capital needed to fund those assets.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND'S VIEW THAT THE MARKETTO-BOOK RATIO IS AN INDICATION OF EARNED RETURNS IN EXCESS OF REQUIRED RETURNS?

⁹⁰ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 41.

⁹¹ See Direct Testimony Robert B. Hevert, at 36 - 60.

⁹² By way of example, over 50.00 percent of the factors Moody's considers in their credit rating determinations rate to the nature of regulation. *See*, Moody's Investors Service, *Rating Methodology, Regulated Electric and Gas Utilities*, June 23, 2017, at 4.

1 A. The position that the M/B ratio can be used as a measure of excess earnings or an "Excess 2 ROE" runs counter to the use of the M/B ratio in practice. Like the Price/Earnings ("P/E") 3 ratio, the M/B ratio is used by investors as a measure of relative, not absolute valuation. 4 That is, it typically is used by investors to assess the value of an asset or enterprise relative to the prevailing M/B ratios of comparable assets or enterprises. Investors would be more 5 6 likely to assess the M/B ratio of an electric utility relative to the Updated Proxy Group 7 median of 1.87 rather than, for example, an M/B of 1.00. That is the case because, as noted 8 earlier, no single model provides the best approximation of investor behavior at all times, 9 and under all market conditions.

10

In the context of rate setting, the M/B ratio sometimes is discussed relative to the Constant Growth DCF model. As discussed in more detail below, Mr. Copeland's assumed relationship between the accounting Return on Common Equity and the Cost of Equity simply falls from the Constant Growth DCF model, itself; one cannot be assumed without the other. Taken together, those assumptions are quite restrictive, and call into question the definitive linkage between M/B, Return on Common Equity, and the Cost of Equity that Mr. Copeland assumes.

Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND'S CLAIM THAT IN A NEW HAMPSHIRE RATE PROCEEDING YOU "LAUNCHED AN ALL-OUT ASSAULT" ON THE CONSTANT GROWTH DCF MODEL?⁹³

21 It is not my practice to "launch assaults", "all-out" or otherwise. Rather, it is my practice A. 22 to respond to arguments and positions with which I may disagree, with evidence I find 23 relevant to the issue at hand. My testimony in that proceeding is a good case-in-point, and 24 as Mr. Copeland supposed, is relevant to his position here. At issue in the New 25 Hampshire proceeding was the opposing witnesses' position that M/B ratios greater than 26 1.00 indicate the earned Return on Equity exceeds the investor-required Cost of Equity.

Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 33.

That also is the essence of Mr. Copeland's thesis, and the foundation of his conclusion that 2 the Cost of Equity is about 7.00 percent.

In my New Hampshire testimony, I observed that under the Constant Growth DCF model's construction and assumptions, the relationship among the M/B ratio, the earned Return on Common Equity, the investor-required Cost of Equity, and "sustainable" growth is given as follows:

$$\frac{M}{B} = \frac{ROE - g}{k - g}$$
 Equation [3]

9 The opposing witnesses in the New Hampshire proceeding argued that under Equation [3], 10 the M/B ratio would exceed 1.00 only when the earned Return on Common Equity (ROE) 11 was greater than the Cost of Equity (k).

12

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

13 Although he uses a slightly different expression of the Constant Growth DCF model, Mr. Copeland's position is the same. As Mr. Copeland points out, under the DCF model, 14 growth (g) is the "retention growth rate", or "br", where "b" is the retention rate (the 15 percentage of earnings not paid in dividends), and "r" is the earned Return on Common 16 Equity. Mr. Copeland's Exhibit (BLC-1), Schedule 3 begins with the "basic Gordon 17 model" (substituting "br" for "g")⁹⁴: 18

 $M = \frac{(1-b)rB}{k-hr}$ Equation [4]

Rearranging Equation [4] we come to the form presented by the opposing witnesses in the 20 21 New Hampshire proceeding:

22

$$\frac{M}{B} = \frac{(1-b)r}{k-br}$$
 Equation [5]

 $\frac{M}{B} = \frac{r - br}{k - br}$ Equation [6]

23 which simplifies to:

24

19

Mr. Copeland's position here is the same as the opposing witnesses in New Hampshire: 25 26 Under the Constant Growth DCF model, any excess of the M/B ratio over 1.00 indicates investors are earning more than their required return. As Mr. Copeland puts it, "...at a 27

94 Exhibit (BLC-1), Schedule 3.

1		glance we can tell from the market-to-book ratio whether a firm is earning (or is expected
2		to earn) a return on book equity above, below, or equal to its cost of equity."95
3		
4		Mr. Copeland extends that position, arguing that the relationship between M/B ratios, the
5		expected return on book value, and the Cost of Equity is strictly governed by the Constant
6		Growth DCF model, so much so that "[i]t is also possible to precisely quantify the level of
7		excess return (or deficit) investors are expecting when the market-to-book ratio is above
8		(or below) 1.0."96 As in my New Hampshire testimony, I do not believe we can do so.
9	Q.	MR. COPELAND SUGGESTS THAT BY "REBUTTING" THE CONSTANT GROWTH
10		DCF MODEL, YOU HOPED TO "DENY ANY MEANINGFUL IMPLICATIONS
11		REGARDING MARKET-TO-BOOK RATIOS."97 IS HE CORRECT?
12	A.	No, he is not. I do not disagree that expected earned returns are a factor considered by
13		investors in determining market prices, but they are not the only factor. As I explained in
14		my New Hampshire testimony:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		In very general terms, the M/B ratio equals the market value (or stock price) per share, divided by the total common equity (or the book equity) per share. Book value is an accounting construct, which reflects historical costs. In contrast, market value per share, <i>i.e.</i> , the stock price, is forward-looking, and is a function of many variables, including (but not limited to) expected earnings and cash flow growth, expected payout ratios, measures of "earnings quality," the regulatory climate, the equity ratio, expected capital expenditures, <i>and the expected return on book equity</i> . It follows, therefore, that the M/B ratio likewise is a function of numerous variables in addition to the historical or expected Return on Common Equity. ⁹⁸
25		The point simply is that although the expected Return on Common Equity matters, so do
26		other variables. And while the Constant Growth DCF model is one method of estimating
27		the Cost of Equity, it is not the only one. As discussed elsewhere in my Rebuttal
28		Testimony, other approaches commonly are used in practice, and in regulatory

⁹⁵ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, Jr., at 19.

⁹⁷ *Ibid.*, at 33.

 $^{^{96}}$ *Ibid.* Mr. Copeland makes a related argument at pages 84 - 85 of his Direct Testimony.

 ⁹⁸ Docket No. DG17-048, Rebuttal Testimony of Robert B. Hevert, at 28. [emphasis added]

proceedings. Simply, I do not believe we can distill the many factors investors weigh in
 determining market prices to the few variables and strict relationships assumed by the
 Constant Growth DCF model for a single firm.

4 Q. IN YOUR PRACTICAL EXPERIENCE, ARE MARKET PRICES SET BY PRECISE 5 AND FULLY QUANTIFIABLE MATHEMATICAL FORMULAE?

6 A. Having raised hundreds of millions of dollars in capital for a publicly traded utility, and 7 having advised multiple clients on transactions worth billions of dollars, I can say that is 8 not the case. Rather, practitioners recognize that models are approximations of investor 9 behavior, not precise descriptions of it. The market prices applied in Mr. Copeland's DCF analyses are set by the buying and selling behavior of numerous market participants, whose 10 11 investing decisions are motivated by any number of factors. Those factors may be related 12 to broad market conditions, investor-specific liquidity positions, asset/liability matching 13 strategies, or near-term trading strategies, and may change over time and across market conditions. No single model can precisely quantify all those factors, all the time, for all 14 15 investors.

16

17 That is why, in my practical experience, investors use multiple methods to determine return 18 requirements. That also is why relative valuation multiples – such as the Market/Book 19 ratio – are used in practice to value assets and securities. No one method can "precisely 20 quantify" return expectations, or the relationship between those expectations and the 21 Market/Book ratio.

Q. DOES MR. COPELAND BELIEVE THE FACTORS AND RELATIONSHIPS THAT DETERMINE UTILITY STOCK PRICES AND INVESTOR RETURN EXPECTATIONS MAY BE PRECISELY QUANTIFIED?

- 25 A. Yes, that appears to be the case. As Mr. Copeland states at page 19 of his testimony:
- 26It is also possible to precisely quantify the level of excess return (or deficit)27investors are expecting when the market-to-book ratio is above (or below)

1 2 3 4		1.0. It can be demonstrated mathematically (as shown in Exhibit (BLC- 1), Schedule 3) that the excess return – which I designate "XROE" – is equal to the difference between the dividend-to-book ratio and the dividend-to- price ratio (i.e., "dividend vield").
5		And at page 42, in considering what might be the proper Market/Book ratio objective given
6		investor return expectations, Mr. Copeland suggests:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13		A target of 1.25 provides for that and even more. But I recognize that it is a road too far to travel all at once. A return on equity of 8.25 percent would imply a reduction in market-to-book ratio from 1.85 to 1.37, bringing it closer to where it should be (which would give fuller effect to the consumer interest) but still giving greater weight to the investor interest by leaving the market-to-book ratio higher than investors really have any right to expect.
14		Taken together, Mr. Copeland's points appear to be that we can precisely quantify "excess
15		returns" by reference to Market/Book ratios, and we use that precise quantification to move
16		Market/Book ratios from 1.85 to 1.37, higher than where Mr. Copeland believes they
17		should be, but closer to what he deems appropriate.
18	Q.	DOES MR. COPELAND'S "EXCESS ROE" METHOD ALSO DEPEND DIRECTLY
19		ON THE CONSTANT GROWTH DCF MODEL'S ASSUMPTIONS?
20	A.	Yes, it does. In his Exhibit_(BLC-1), Schedule 3, Mr. Copeland provides the derivation
21		of his Equation [3], which expresses the difference between the expected earned return on
22		book value (common equity) and the Cost of Equity as:
23		$r-k = \frac{D}{B} - \frac{D}{M}$ Equation [7]
24		As Mr. Copeland explains, the "Excess ROE" $(r - k)$ is the difference between the "book
25		yield" and the dividend yield. Although Mr. Copeland did not present the relationship, the
26		"book yield" may be expressed as the product of the dividend yield and the M/B ratio:
27		$\frac{D}{B} = \frac{D}{M} \times \frac{M}{B}$ Equation [8]
28		Substituting Equation [8] in Equation [7], we get:
29		$r - k = \left(\frac{D}{M} \times \frac{M}{B}\right) - \frac{D}{M}$ Equation [9]
		50

Docket No. EL18-021 Hevert Rebuttal 1 which simplifies to:

2

$$r - k = \frac{D}{M} x \left(\frac{M}{B} - 1\right)$$
 Equation [10]

3 Equation [10] is the heart of the matter; it assumes if the M/B ratio equals 1.00, the Excess ROE (r-k) is zero.⁹⁹ That Equation, however, is simply a restatement of Mr. Copeland's 4 original premise. Any deviation from 1.00 assumes excess or deficient returns, which is 5 his premise.¹⁰⁰ It is this construct Mr. Copeland believes can be used to "precisely 6 7 quantify" the return (excess or deficit) investors are expecting, and that forms the basis of 8 his view that "electric regulation is not doing a very good job in balancing consumer and investor interests."101 9

10 Q. WHAT CONCLUSIONS CAN BE DRAWN FROM THAT DISCUSSION?

11 The conclusion is straightforward. Mr. Copeland's position that M/B ratios in excess of A. 12 1.00 are dispositive of excess earnings fully depends on the complete acceptance of the 13 Constant Growth DCF model -- and its underlying assumptions -- as the only method used by investors to set the market price of equity. To be clear, that conclusion is not an assault 14 on the model. My concern is not with the model itself, but with Mr. Copeland's reliance 15 on it in concluding that the relationship between authorized returns and M/B ratios can be 16 17 precisely quantified, and that the ROE should be set on that basis.

18 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY OBSERVATIONS REGARDING MR. COPELAND'S "LEAST ABSOLUTE DEVIATION" ("LAD") ANALYSIS AND THE CONCLUSIONS HE 19 20 **DRAWS FROM IT?**

21

A. Yes, I do. As Mr. Copeland explains, his LAD model is a means of quantifying the 22 relationship between the Excess ROE and M/B ratios. Referring again to my New

That can be seen as follows. If $\frac{M}{B} = 1$, the quantity $\left(\frac{M}{B} - 1\right)$ will equal 0. The product of $\left(\frac{M}{M} \times 0\right) = 0$. 99 100 Mr. Copeland further commits to that position in footnote 1 to his Exhibit (BLC-1), Schedule 3, in which he explains that the "sv" term to the retention growth model, which is meant to capture growth from sales of stock above book value is inapplicable for utilities where "there should not be any expectation of excess returns".

¹⁰¹ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, Jr., at 23.

1 Hampshire testimony, Mr. Copeland argues that neither Dr. Woolridge, Dr. Chattopadhyay, nor I "really understood what [we] were doing" when we examined the 2 relationship between earned returns on common equity and M/B ratios.¹⁰² He believes the 3 proper specification considers M/B ratios as a function of the Excess ROE¹⁰³ and applies 4 the LAD approach to quantify that relationship. As explained below, however, Mr. 5 6 Copeland's model results tell us little beyond what we readily can observe, i.e., that utilities 7 tend to have M/B ratios greater than 1.00. Mr. Copeland's approach simply is a different 8 representation of his thesis that M/B ratios should not exceed 1.00; it provides no 9 "corroboration" of his position.

10 Q. WHY DO YOU SAY THE LEAST ABSOLUTE DEVIATION ANALYSIS PROVIDES

11

NO FURTHER CORROBORATION OF MR. COPELAND'S POSITION?

12 A. My position goes back to Equation [10], discussed earlier:

13 $r-k = \frac{D}{M} x \left(\frac{M}{B} - 1\right)$

Mr. Copeland's analysis simply shows that as the M/B ratio increases, his "Excess Return" also will increase. Any variation in the data causing a less-than-perfect statistical fit goes to the variation in Dividend Yields, which did not change much across the companies included in Mr. Copeland's analyses.¹⁰⁴ As Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 8 demonstrates, if we assume constant dividend yields, there is a perfect statistical fit, even using Ordinary Least Squares regression.¹⁰⁵

20 Q. DOES THE "LAD" APPROACH PRODUCE MEANINGFULLY DIFFERENT

21 RESULTS THAN ORDINARY LEAST SQUARES REGRESSION?

A. No, it does not. Chart 9 (below) is a simple linear regression based on data included in Mr.
 Copeland's workpapers. Here, I calculated the "Excess ROE" consistent with Equation

¹⁰² *Ibid.*, at 31.

¹⁰³ I discuss the Least Absolute Deviation approach later in my Rebuttal Testimony.

¹⁰⁴ See, Exhibit (BLC-1), Schedule 1.

¹⁰⁵ And if we assume that constant dividend yield is 3.55 percent, we get the same slope coefficient.

[7]. As Chart 9 demonstrates, the intercept term is 0.9985, and the slope coefficient is 24.73 (Mr. Copeland's LAD coefficients are 1.00 and 28.17, respectively).



Chart 9: OLS Regression of Mr. Copeland's M/B and XROE Data¹⁰⁶

4

1

2

3

5 Q. WHAT DO YOU CONCLUDE FROM THOSE ANALYSES?

A. My conclusions are threefold. First, Mr. Copeland's construction of the "Excess ROE",
by definition, will equal zero when the M/B ratio equals 1.00. The fact that his (and my)
analyses confirm that finding tells us nothing beyond what we already know: Utility stocks
tend to trade at M/B ratios greater than 1.00.

10

Second, Mr. Copeland's construction of the "Excess Return" requires the full acceptance of the Constant Growth DCF method. That acceptance goes beyond the assumptions on which that model relies; it requires us to assume it is the only model investors use to price assets, or to develop their return requirements. As discussed throughout my Rebuttal Testimony, that assumption is incorrect.

16

17Third, Mr. Copeland's view of the "LAD" approach's methodological benefits is18overstated. Because utilities tend to have M/B ratios no less than 1.00, the intercept term

¹⁰⁶ Source: Market to Book, XROE.2018UPDATE

- will approach 1.00 when the "Excess Return" is defined as Mr. Copeland has defined it.
 The statistical relationship Mr. Copeland wishes to estimate therefore will tend toward the
 intercept at 1.00, under either the "LAD" or Ordinary Least Squares methods.
- 4

5

6

7

8

The analysis therefore boils down to the simple observation that utilities generally have, and have had M/B ratios greater than 1.00. I understand Mr. Copeland finds that marketbased result unacceptable, but his LAD analysis does little to support his position that it is improper.

9

5. Constant Growth DCF Model

10 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE MR. COPELAND'S APPLICATION OF THE11 CONSTANT GROWTH DCF MODEL.

A. Although we use the same general DCF approach, there are important differences in our applications. Specifically, Mr. Copeland averages the Yahoo! Financial and Zacks consensus estimates of projected earnings per share growth with Value Line estimates of dividend per share growth, book value per share growth, and the "percent retained to common equity" rate (sometimes referred to as the "sustainable growth rate") to arrive at his growth rate for each proxy company. My approach relies on projected Earnings Per Share growth rates from Zacks, First Call,¹⁰⁷ and Value Line.

19 Q. ARE DIVIDEND AND BOOK VALUE GROWTH RATES APPROPRIATE
20 MEASURES OF EXPECTED GROWTH IN THE CONSTANT GROWTH DCF
21 MODEL?

A. No, both may be altered by decisions that do not reflect the earnings of the company, and only earnings growth rates are statistically related to stock prices. Earnings are the fundamental driver of both book value and dividend growth. Book value increases with the amount of earnings not distributed as dividends (that is, retained earnings), and the

As noted in my Direct Testimony, Zacks and First Call provide consensus forecasts.

1 price at which new equity is issued is a function of the Earnings Per Share and the thencurrent Price/Earnings ratio.¹⁰⁸ Similarly, the ability to pay dividends depends 2 3 fundamentally on expected earnings. Because dividend policy contemplates additional factors, including the disproportionately negative effect on prices resulting from dividend 4 cuts, as opposed to dividend increases,¹⁰⁹ in the short-run dividend growth may be 5 disconnected from earnings growth. In the long-run, however, dividends cannot be 6 increased without earnings growth. Lastly, because investors often assess stock values 7 8 based on Price/Earnings ratios, it is important to consider whether the growth rates used in 9 the DCF model are related to those valuations measures.

10 Q. DO BOOK VALUE, DIVIDEND, OR SUSTAINABLE GROWTH RATES EXPLAIN 11 UTILITY P/E RATIOS BETTER THAN EARNINGS GROWTH RATES?

A. No, they do not. To assess that question, I performed regression analyses of growth rates
 and utility P/E ratios and found projected earnings growth to be the only growth rate with
 a statistically strong and theoretically sound ability to explain changes in utility stock
 valuations.

16 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR ANALYSES AND RESULTS.

A. My analyses are based on the approach developed by Professors Carleton and Vander Weide, who performed a comparison of the predictive capability of historical growth estimates and analysts' consensus forecasts of five-year earnings growth for the stock prices of sixty-five utility companies.¹¹⁰ I structured the analysis to determine whether investors use earnings, dividend, book value, or sustainable growth rate when valuing utility stocks. My analyses examined the statistical relationship between the P/E ratios of the universe of Value Line utility companies, and the projected EPS, dividend per share,

 ¹⁰⁸ Mr. Copeland apparently does not believe stock should be issued at prices meaningfully above Book Value.
 ¹⁰⁹ See Servaes and Tufano, Corporate Dividend Policy: The Theory and Practice of Corporate Dividend and Share Repurchase Policy. Deutsche Bank, February 2006.

¹¹⁰ Vander Weide and Carleton, *Investor Growth Expectations: Analysts vs. History*, <u>The Journal of Portfolio</u> <u>Management</u>, Spring 1988 at 81. Please note that while the original study was published in 1988, it was updated in 2004 under the direction of Dr. Vander Weide. The results of this updated study are consistent with Vander Weide and Carlton's original conclusions.

book value per share reported by Value Line, and br + sv sustainable growth rate calculated using Value Line data. To determine which, if any, of those growth rates are statistically related to utility stock valuations, I performed a series of regression analyses in which the projected growth rates were explanatory variables and the P/E ratio was the dependent variable. The results of those analyses are presented in Exhibit_(RBH-2), Schedule 9.

6

In the first set of analyses I considered each growth rate separately (*i.e.*, I performed four
separate regressions with P/E as the dependent variable and projected EPS, DPS, BVPS,
and sustainable growth, respectively, as the independent variable). To ensure those
individual analyses did not bias my results, I also performed a single regression analysis
that included all four variables as potential explanatory variables. I then reviewed the T
and F-Statistics to determine whether the variables and equations were statistically
significant.¹¹¹

14 Q. WHAT DID YOUR ANALYSES REVEAL?

A. As shown in Exhibit_(RBH-2), Schedule 9, the results demonstrate that the only positive,
statistically significant growth rate was projected EPS growth. That is, none of DPS,
BVPS, or sustainable growth rates were meaningfully related to valuation levels.
Consequently, projected EPS growth rates are the appropriate measure of growth for the
purpose of the DCF models.

20 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE USE OF BOOK VALUE,

- 21 DIVIDEND, AND SUSTAINABLE GROWTH RATES IN THE CONSTANT GROWTH
- 22 DCF MODEL?

A. My analyses demonstrate that Mr. Copeland's position is not supported by data from Value
 Line, a source on which he relies in this proceeding. Because projected EPS growth is the
 only variable that has meaningful explanatory value, projected earnings growth should be

¹¹¹ In general, a T-Statistic of 2.00 or greater indicates that the variable is likely to be different than zero, or "statistically significant" (at the 95.00% confidence level, i.e., a p-value less than 0.05). The F-Statistic is used to determine whether the model as a whole has statistically significant predictive capability.

the only variable used in the DCF analyses. That said, the use of the additional growth rates in this instance does not have a material effect on the difference between our DCF results. As discussed in Section II and in my Direct Testimony, the results of the DCF model should be viewed with caution and are not necessarily reliable estimates under current market conditions. As such, meaningful weight should be given to other methods in determining the appropriate ROE for OTP.

7

6. Non-Constant Growth DCF Model

8 Q. SHOULD MR. COPELAND'S APPLICATION OF THE NON-CONSTANT GROWTH
9 DIVIDEND DISCOUNT MODEL ("DDM") BE RELIED ON TO DETERMINE THE

- 10 ROE IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- A. No. As explained below, I do not believe Mr. Copeland's application of the Non-Constant
 DDM provides a reliable basis for determining the ROE in this proceeding.

13 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE MR. COPELAND'S NON-CONSTANT GROWTH DDM.

14 A. Mr. Copeland's model assumes non-constant growth rates to develop projected the 15 projected EPS, along with projected dividend payout ratios (based on projected earnings 16 retention rates) to calculate annual Dividends Per Share. For the period 2018 - 2022, Mr. Copeland applies the average analyst forecast earnings growth rate estimates from Yahoo! 17 18 Finance and Zacks; for 2023 – 2037, he assumes a long-term earnings growth rate of 3.50 percent, which is the median Value Line earnings retention rate from his proxy group. Mr. 19 20 Copeland calculates the expected annual dividend for 2018 and 2022 based on Value Line 21 retention rate estimates, and for 2037 based on the median retention rate from his proxy 22 group in 2022. Mr. Copeland calculates a terminal value, and the Internal Rate of Return 23 ("IRR"), which sets the current average stock price for the Proxy Group equal to the present 24 value of projected dividends and the terminal value. With those assumptions, Mr. Copeland derives a median proxy group result of 7.05 percent.¹¹² 25

¹¹² Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 16 – 17.

1 Q. DO YOU HAVE CONCERNS WITH MR. COPELAND'S APPLICATION OF THE 2 NON-CONSTANT GROWTH DDM?

Yes. Putting aside the unreasonably low estimate his analysis produces, I view Mr. 3 A. 4 Copeland's use of average analyst growth estimates (for the period 2018 - 2022) as a 5 narrow approach. Whereas Mr. Copeland's approach produces a single point estimate, my method provides the range of DCF results reflecting low, mean and high analyst growth 6 7 rates. In addition, Mr. Copeland's terminal value assumes a growth factor of 0.50x for the 8 projected dividend in the following year, although the Gordon Growth model assumes a full year of annual dividend growth.¹¹³ Mr. Copeland's DDM also assumes end-of-year 9 10 discounting because of the use of his IRR Excel function which further emphasizes that the 11 use of a 0.50x growth factor for the final year of dividends is incorrect.

12

13 I also believe Mr. Copeland's 3.50 percent terminal growth rate is understated. Adjusting the actual real GDP growth rate of 3.21 percent from 1929 through 2017¹¹⁴ from Mr. 14 15 Copeland's long-term growth rate would leave an estimate for inflation of only 28 basis points. Even if we assume a long-term inflation rate of 2.00 percent, the implied perpetual 16 real growth rate is about 1.47 percent.¹¹⁵ In my experience, it is not reasonable to assume 17 18 investors would accept equity risk, in perpetuity, for a 1.47 percent real growth rate. Given 19 the volatility associated with equity investments, an investor may be better served buying and holding utility debt to maturity.¹¹⁶ 20

21 DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CONCERNS WITH THE USE OF RETENTION RATE Q.

22 AS THE MEASURE OF LONG-TERM GROWTH?

23 A. Yes. The retention rate assumes increasing retention ratios necessarily are associated with 24 increasing future growth. The underlying premise is that future earnings will increase as

 $Terminal Value = \frac{Dividend in the Following Year}{ROE-Growth Rate}$ 113

¹¹⁴ Direct Testimony of Robert B. Hevert at 28.

 $^{1.47\% = \}frac{1.035}{1.020} - 1$ 115

¹¹⁶ Even increasing the terminal growth rate to 5.45% does not produce a reliable ROE estimate.

the retention ratio increases. That is, if future growth is modeled as "b x r" (where "b" is the retention ratio and "r" is the earned return on book equity), growth will increase as "b" increases. There are several reasons, however, why that may not be the case. Consequently, it is appropriate to determine whether the data supports the assumption that higher earnings retention ratios necessarily are associated with higher future earnings growth rates.

7

Q. DID YOU PERFORM ANY ANALYSES TO TEST THAT ASSUMPTION?

A. Yes, I did. Using EPS and DPS data from Value Line, I calculated the historical dividend
payout ratio, retention ratio, and subsequent five-year average earnings growth rate for
each company used in Mr. Copeland's proxy group. I then performed a regression analysis
in which the dependent variable was the five-year earnings growth rate, and the explanatory
variable was the earnings retention ratio. The purpose of that analysis was to determine
whether the data empirically supports the assumption that higher retention ratios
necessarily produce higher earnings growth rates.

15 Q. WHAT DID THAT ANALYSIS REVEAL?

A. As shown in Table 4 below, there was a statistically significant negative relationship
between the five-year average earnings growth rate and the earnings retention ratio. That
is, based on Value Line data, earnings growth actually decreased as the retention ratio
increased. Those findings clearly call into question Mr. Copeland's use of the retention
ratio as a proxy for the long-term growth rate in his analysis.

21

Table 4: Regression Results – Retention Ratio / Earnings Growth¹¹⁷

	Coefficient	Standard Error	t-Statistic
Intercept	0.233	0.039	5.969
Retention Ratio	-0.253	0.046	-5.470

22 Q. DO THOSE RESULTS MAKE PRACTICAL SENSE?

¹¹⁷ See Exhibit (RBH-2), Schedule 10.

1 A. Yes, they do. As a practical matter, dividend-paying companies (such as utilities) are 2 reluctant to reduce dividends, given the often-disproportionate stock price reaction. 3 Consequently, a higher than expected dividend increase may signal management's confidence in higher future earnings and cash flow. That is, a near-term reduction in the 4 retention ratio supporting a higher dividend increase may provide information or 5 "signaling" content regarding future growth prospects.¹¹⁸ The principle that relatively high 6 7 near-term dividend payout ratios (i.e., relatively low retention ratios) may be seen by 8 investors as having important information content is consistent with my experience 9 advising Boards of Directors regarding dividend policy.

10 Q. LASTLY, HAVE YOU CONSIDERED HOW REDUCED VALUATION MULTIPLES
11 WOULD AFFECT MR. COPELAND'S DIVIDEND DISCOUNT MODEL RESULTS?

A. Generally, yes. If market prices are to be managed downward (toward book value, as Mr.
Copeland recommends), those lower prices would produce DDM estimates even lower
than the approximately 7.00 percent estimate Mr. Copeland presents, and on which he
relies for his view regarding the Company's true Cost of Equity.

16

As Mr. Copeland explains, his analysis allows the determination of a terminal value, or the price at which the subject company's stock would be sold in the year 2037¹¹⁹ (which I refer to below as the "terminal year"). Mr. Copeland's Exhibit__(BLC-1), Schedule 2 also provides data that provides a measure of the Price/Earnings ratio both initially, and in the terminal year. Although Mr. Copeland calculates the terminal value by applying the "Gordon" model, it also can be calculated as the product of the terminal year's Earnings Per Share and an assumed Price/Earnings ratio.

¹¹⁸ See Eugene F. Brigham, Louis C. Gapenski, <u>Financial Management, Theory and Practice</u>, Seventh Ed., 1994, at 618.

¹¹⁹ Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 17.

Based on Mr. Copeland's data, the initial and terminal Price/Earnings ratio is about 19.00 (mean and median). If we assume the P/E ratio falls in proportion to the change in Market/Book ratios Mr. Copeland recommends (that is, from about 1.85 to 1.25, then to 1.10), we can calculate a revised terminal P/E ratio corresponding to those changes.¹²⁰ Using that adjusted P/E ratio, we then can calculate the changed terminal value, and the adjusted DDM results.

7

As shown in Exhibit_(RBH-2), Schedule 11, the reduced terminal value has a significant effect on the DDM results; reducing the M/B ratio to 1.25 and 1.10 indicate ROEs of about 5.60 percent and 5.20 percent, respectively. Relative to the 4.80 percent BAA bond yields Mr. Copeland discusses at page 73 of his testimony, those results suggest equity premia of about 80 and 40 basis points, well below the 214 basis points he finds reasonable, and somewhat below the 5.94 percent return he suggests (at page 71) may seem "implausibly low".

15 Q.

WHAT CONCLUSIONS DO YOU HAVE REGARDING MR. COPELAND'S DDM

16 ANALYSIS?

A. Although I do not agree with many of the assumptions underlying Mr. Copeland's analysis,
those differences do not reconcile our recommendations. Perhaps more important, if we
carry Mr. Copeland's recommendation to reduce Market/Book ratios to his DDM analysis,
the ROE estimate (leaving all else unchanged) would be considerably lower than the
approximately 7.00 percent estimate he reports.

- 22 7. Capital Asset Pricing Model
- 23 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE MR. COPELAND'S CAPM ANALYSIS.
- A. Mr. Copeland presents a "back of the napkin" CAPM analysis,¹²¹ which results in a 6.74
 percent estimate, as support for his ROE estimate of 7.00 percent. Mr. Copeland assumes

¹²⁰ I appreciate that the change in the P/E ratio will not necessarily equal the change in the M/B ratio.

¹²¹ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 62.

	122	Ibid
23		estimate is based on a market return of 10.00 percent and a risk-free rate of 3.00 percent.
22	А.	In my view, Mr. Copeland's 7.00 percent MRP essentially is arbitrary. The 7.00 percent
21	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR CONCERNS WITH MR. COPELAND'S MRP ESTIMATE?
20		CAPM result to 6.64 percent.
19		which relies on an MRP of 7.00 percent. ¹²⁴ Correcting the MRP would further lower his
18		based on the "back of the napkin" analysis presented on page 62 of his Direct Testimony,
17		his CAPM results. However, Mr. Copeland states that his CAPM result of 6.74 percent is
16	A.	Yes. Mr. Copeland relies on a Market Risk Premium ("MRP") of 7.20 percent to calculate
15		COPELAND'S CAPM ANALYSIS?
14	Q.	AS A PRELIMINARY MATTER, DO YOU HAVE ANY CORRECTIONS TO MR.
13		equity estimate for electric utilities at the present time, 6.74 percent certainly is not." ¹²³
12		merit consideration, he reasons that "[i]f 5.94 percent seems implausibly low for a cost of
11	А.	It appears he does. Although it is unclear what Mr. Copeland may believe is too low to
10	Q.	DID MR. COPELAND REJECT ANY OF HIS CAPM RESULTS?
9		Beta coefficients.
8		assumption regarding the expected market return, and his use of "de-adjusted", or "raw"
7		of equity is presently about 7 percent" His 6.74 percent result, however, is based on his
6		it as one of the five analyses on page 4 of his Direct Testimony suggesting that "the cost
5	A.	Yes, I do. Mr. Copeland appears to give some weight to his CAPM analysis, as he presents
4		ANALYSIS?
3	Q.	DO YOU HAVE SPECIFIC CONCERNS WITH MR. COPELAND'S CAPM
2		Line Beta coefficients, and a Market Risk Premium of 7.20 percent. ¹²²
1		a risk-free rate of 3.00 percent, a Beta coefficient of 0.52 based on "de-adjusted" Value

Ibid. Ibid., at 71.

¹²⁴ *Ibid*.

1 Mr. Copeland's basis for his 10.00 percent market return appears to be his position that, 2 "[s]uppose we accept the upper limit of what 1,200 analysts think and presume that the 3 expected total market return is 10.0 percent."¹²⁵ That is, Mr. Copeland reviewed the results of the Fernandez survey and assumed the appropriate market return is 10.00 percent. Mr. 4 Copeland does not provide any support for why that is an appropriate estimate. He could 5 have selected the mean result of 8.20 percent, which would have produced an ROE estimate 6 of 5.70 percent.¹²⁶ That result is below the 5.94 percent result Mr. Copeland appears to 7 8 believe is "implausibly low", and only 90 basis points above the 4.80 percent corporate 9 Baa yield presented by Mr. Copeland on page 73 of his testimony.

10 Q. HAVE YOU UPDATED MR. COPELAND'S ANALYSIS BASED ON MORE RECENT

11 DATA?

A. Yes, I did. I reviewed the Value Line Beta coefficients for the proxy companies presented in Exhibit___(BLC-1), Schedule 7 as of February 15, 2019 and calculated the "deadjusted" Beta coefficients. The proxy group average based on current data is 0.45. Replacing the Beta coefficient in Mr. Copeland's CAPM analysis with 0.45 results in an estimate of 6.15 percent.¹²⁷ Updating the Beta coefficients and using the average MRP noted above results in a CAPM estimate of 5.34 percent.¹²⁸ Those results are even lower than Mr. Copeland's estimate, and only somewhat above the corporate Baa yield.

19 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CONCLUSIONS RELATED TO MR. COPELAND'S CAPM20 ANALYSIS?

A. Mr. Copeland's "back of the napkin" CAPM leads to results that are unrealistically low.
Consequently, I do not agree with Mr. Copeland that the analysis provides support for his
view that the Company's Cost of Equity currently is 7.00 percent.

¹²⁵ *Ibid.*, at 62.

^{126 5.70% = 3.00% + 0.52 (8.20% - 3.00%)}

 $^{127 \}qquad 6.15\% = 3.00\% + 0.45 (10.00\% - 3.00\%)$

^{128 5.34% = 3.00% + 0.45 (8.20% - 3.00%)}

Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE MR. COPELAND'S CONCERNS REGARDING YOUR USE OF EXPECTED MARKET RETURNS.

A. Mr. Copeland states that the result "overestimate[s] what could be considered a *reasonable* estimate."¹²⁹ To support his position, Mr. Copeland cites various surveys including the
 Duke Chief Financial Officers ("CFO") survey and the Philadelphia Federal Reserve
 Survey of Professional Forecasters.¹³⁰

7 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND ON THOSE POINTS?

8 By referring to the survey by the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Mr. Copeland A. 9 suggests my estimated market return is inconsistent with those used by professional forecasters.¹³¹ On reviewing that survey, I note fewer than half of the survey participants 10 11 (16 of 36) responded to the question regarding the expected return for the S&P 500 over the next ten years.¹³² Similarly, 21 of 36 responded to the question regarding expected 12 13 return on ten-year Treasury bonds. Because a considerable portion of the survey 14 respondents did not answer those questions, we cannot confidently say the estimates 15 represent the market's expected total return.

- 16
- 17 As for the Duke CFO survey, over time the survey results have rather significantly 18 underestimated actual market performance (*see*, Table 5, below).

¹²⁹ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr, at 58. [emphasis in original]

¹³⁰ *Ibid.*, at 66, 68.

¹³¹ *Ibid.*, at 68.

¹³² See, Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia, Survey of Professional Forecasters, First Quarter of 2018 at 19.

	Actual	Survey Estimate
2017	21 83%	5 00%
2016	11.05%	4 32%
2010	1 2 9 0/	4.3270 6.07%
2013	1.3070	5.00%
2014	13.09%	3.00%
2013	32.39% 16.000/	3.40%
2012	2 1 1 0/	4.00%
2011	2.1170	5.30% 6.28%
2010	12.00%	0.28%
Average	13.23%	4.92%

3 The Duke CFO Survey authors also have noted a distinction between the expected market 4 return on one hand, and the "hurdle rate" on the other. In the Third Quarter 2017, survey, 5 the authors reported an average hurdle rate, which is the return required for capital investments, of 13.50 percent. The authors further reported that the average Weighted 6 Average Cost of Capital ("WACC"), which includes the cost of debt, was 9.20 percent 7 even though the expected market return was 6.50 percent.¹³⁴ Those points aside, the 6.50 8 9 percent expected market return is lower than Mr. Copeland's 7.00 percent estimate of the 10 Company's Cost of Equity,¹³⁵ a condition that cannot hold if utilities are less risky than the 11 overall market.

12 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR OBSERVATIONS RELATED TO THE MARKET RISK PREMIA

13 PRESENTED ON PAGES 49 AND 59 OF MR. COPELAND'S DIRECT TESTIMONY?

A. Using Mr. Copeland's market risk premia lead to estimates of the Cost of Equity that are
comparable to the 4.80 percent corporate Baa yield, an implausibly low result. Market
Risk Premia presented in the chart provided at page 59 of Mr. Copeland's testimony range
from 4.00 percent to 5.50 percent. As Mr. Copeland notes, assuming his 3.00 percent riskfree rate, the return for the market as a whole would be in the 7.00 percent to 8.50 percent

¹³³ Source: Duff and Phelps, 2018 SBBI Yearbook Appendix A-1; http://www.cfosurvey.org (1-year return estimates as of fourth quarter of the previous year).

¹³⁴ Duke/CFO Magazine Global Business Outlook survey – U.S., Third Quarter 2017.

¹³⁵ Because utilities generally are considered less risky than the overall market, based on the Duke CFO survey, the Company's authorized ROE should be less than 6.50 percent, a highly impractical conclusion.

1 range. He also notes, utilities are generally "below average market risk," evidenced by 2 Beta coefficients lower than 1.00. Again, Mr. Copeland suggests that the use of a raw Beta 3 coefficient of 0.52 is reasonable (0.45 based on current data). Assuming Mr. Copeland's 4 risk-free rate, Beta coefficient and Market Risk Premia between 4.00 and 5.50 percent suggests a CAPM result of 5.08 percent to 5.86 percent (or 4.80 percent to 5.48 percent 5 6 based on current data). As noted above, those results are at, or only somewhat above, the 7 4.80 percent corporate Baa yield Mr. Copeland presents at page 73. That implausibly low 8 result calls into question the analysis' usefulness.

9 Q. DO ANY OF THE AUTHORS CITED IN MR. COPELAND'S EQUITY RISK
10 PREMIUM SURVEY PROVIDE SUPPORT FOR YOUR APPROACH TO
11 ESTIMATING THE MRP?

A. Yes. Mr. Copeland cites to a 2018 survey by Pablo Fernandez, *et al.* The study by Pablo
 Fernandez published in 2018 discusses how the required Equity Risk Premium is
 commonly calculated using the Constant Growth DCF approach. That study states:

15[t]he [implied equity premium] is the implicit [required equity premium]16used in the valuation of a stock (or market index) that matches the current17market price. The most widely used model to calculate the [implied equity18premium] is the dividend discount model: the current price per share (P0) is19the present value of expected dividends discounted at the required rate of20return (Ke). If d1 is the dividend per share expected to be received in year211, and g the expected long term growth rate in dividends per share:

- 22 $P_0 = d_1 / (Ke g)$, which implies:
- 23 [implied equity premium] = d1/P0 + g Rf
- As discussed in my Direct Testimony, I calculated the ex-ante MRP in a similar manner,
- 25 using a market capitalization weighted Constant Growth DCF calculation on the individual
- companies in the S&P 500 Index.

Q. HAVE YOU CONSIDERED HOW YOUR MARKET RETURN AND MARKET RISK PREMIUM ESTIMATES COMPARE TO HISTORICAL OBSERVATIONS?

1 Yes, I have. I compared my DCF-based market return results to actual observed returns A. 2 from 1926 to 2017 and found that the 14.84 percent and 15.54 percent estimates presented 3 in my Direct Testimony, which Mr. Copeland asserts are "out of the norm", represent approximately the 52nd percentile of the actual returns. In other words, of the 92 annual 4 observations, 44 were 15.54 percent or higher (see Chart 10, below). By that measure, my 5 6 estimate is not too high; it is entirely consistent with the historical experience. Moreover, 7 given the historical volatility in market returns (as noted by Duff & Phelps, the long-term standard deviation of returns is 19.80 percent¹³⁶), my total return estimates of 14.84 percent 8 and 15.54 percent are not unreasonable.¹³⁷ 9



11





12

13

14 Mr. Copeland also asserts the Market Risk Premia estimated from my projected market 15 returns are overstated.¹³⁹ I therefore gathered the annual Market Risk Premia reported by

¹³⁶ The standard deviation on the rate of return on an investment is a measure of the volatility of the investment. For large company stocks, the average variation in the annual market return around the long-term average return of 12.06 percent is 19.80 percent, indicating a high level of volatility.

¹³⁷ See Duff & Phelps, <u>2018 SBBI Yearbook</u> at Appendix A-1, A-7, Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 11.

¹³⁸ See Duff & Phelps, <u>2018 SBBI Yearbook</u> at Appendix A-1, Exhibit (RBH-2), Schedule 11.

¹³⁹ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, at 58.

1 Duff and Phelps and produced a histogram of the observations. The results of that analysis, 2 which are presented in Chart 11, demonstrate MRPs of at least 12.49 percent (the high end 3 of the range of the MRP estimates in my Direct Testimony) occur approximately half of 4 the time.



Chart 11: Frequency Distribution of Observed Market Risk Premia, 1926 - 2017¹⁴⁰



8 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COPELAND'S USE OF "DE-ADJUSTED" BETA

9 COEFFICIENTS?

A. No, I do not. Beta coefficients are measured using an Ordinary Least Squares regression,
in which the dependent variable is the return of the subject security, and the independent
variable is the return on the market as measured by a given index (Value Line, for example,
uses the New York Stock Exchange Index). The Beta coefficient is represented by the
slope term of the regression estimates (*see*, Equation [4] on page 31 of my Direct
Testimony). Intuitively, the Beta coefficient measures the change in the subject company's
returns relative to the change in the market return.

17

¹⁴⁰ Exhibit (RBH-2), Schedule 11.

1 The resulting Beta coefficient is considered "raw", or unadjusted. Blume studied the 2 stability of Beta coefficients over time, and found that "[n]o economic variable including 3 the beta coefficient is constant over time."¹⁴¹ Consistent with that finding, Blume observed 4 a tendency of raw Beta coefficients to change gradually over time. Blume further stated:

5 ...there is obviously some tendency for the estimated values of the risk 6 parameter [beta] to change gradually over time. This tendency is most 7 pronounced in the lowest risk portfolios, for which the estimated risk in the 8 second period is invariably higher than that estimated in the first period. 9 There is some tendency for the high risk portfolios to have lower estimated 10 risk coefficients in the second period than in those estimated in the first. 11 Therefore, the estimated values of the risk coefficients in one period are 12 biased assessments of the future values, and furthermore the values of the risk coefficients as measured by the estimates of β_1 tend to regress towards 13 14 the means with this tendency stronger for the lower risk portfolios than the higher risk portfolios. (emphasis added) 15

- 16 Blume proposed a correction for this tendency, also known as "regression bias", which is
- 17 inherent in the calculation of all Beta coefficients. He stated:
- 18In so far as the rate of regression towards the mean is stationary over time,19one can in principle correct for this tendency in forming one's assessments.

* * 20 21 For individual securities as well as portfolios of two or more securities, the 22 assessments adjusted for the historical rate of regression are more accurate than the unadjusted or naïve assessments. Thus, an improvement in the 23 accuracy of one's assessments of risk can be obtained by adjusting for the 24 historical rate of regression even though the rate of regression over time is 25 not strictly stationary.¹⁴² 26 27

- 28 Based on Blume's results, the typical adjustment is calculated based upon an approximate
- 29 of the following formula:

$$\beta_{adjusted} = 0.35 + 0.67 \text{ x} \beta_{raw (unadjusted)}$$
 Equation [12]

32 As noted by Dr. Morin: 143

¹⁴² *Ibid*.

30

31

¹⁴³ Roger A. Morin, <u>New Regulatory Finance</u> (Public Utility Reports, Inc., 2006), at 73.

¹⁴¹ Marshal E. Blume, *On the Assessment of Risk*, <u>The Journal of Finance</u>, Vol. XXVI, No. 1, March 1971.
1 2 3 4 5 6	Several authors have investigated the regression tendency of beta and generally reached similar conclusions [as Blume]. High-beta portfolios have tended to decline over time toward unity, while low-beta portfolios have tended to increase over time toward unityHe demonstrates that the Value Line adjustment procedure anticipates differences between past and future betas.
7	Dr. Morin further notes: ¹⁴⁴
8 9 10	A comprehensive study of beta measurement methodology by Kryzanowski and Jalilvand (1983) concludes that raw unadjusted beta (OLS beta) is one of the poorest beta predictors, and is outperformed by the Merrill Lynch-
11 12 13 14	style Bayesian beta approach. Gombola and Kanl (1990) examine the time- series properties of utility betas and find strong support for the application of adjustment procedures such as the Value Line and Merrill Lynch procedures.
15 16 17 18 19	Because of this observed regressive tendency, a company's raw unadjusted beta is not the appropriate measure of market risk to use. Current stock prices reflect expected risk, that is, expected beta, rather than historical risk or historical beta. Historical betas, whether raw or adjusted, are only surrogates for expected beta. The best of the two surrogates is adjusted beta
20	Dr. Morin also provides economic and statistical justification for using adjusted betas to estimate the Cost of Equity for utilities. Relative to economic justification, he states: ¹⁴⁵
21	estimate the cost of Equity for utilities. Relative to economic justification, he states.
22	Adjusted betas compensate for the tendency of regulated utilities to be extra
23	interest-sensitive relative to industrials. ^(tootnote omitted) In the same way that
24	bondholders get compensated for inflation through an inflation premium in
25	the interest rate, utility shareholders receive compensation for inflation
20 27	through an inflation premium in the allowed rate of return. Thus, utility
27 28	betas do not conture this extra sensitivity to interest rates. This is because
20 29	the market index typically used in estimating betas is a stock-only index
30	such as the S&P 500 A focus on stocks alone distorts the betas of regulated
31	companies. The true risk of regulated utilities relative to other companies
32	is understated because when interest rates change, the stocks of regulated
33	companies react in the same way as bonds do. A nominal interest rate on
34	the face value of a bond offers the same pattern of future cash flows as a
35	nominal return applied on a book value rate base. Empirical studies of
36	utility returns confirm that betas are higher when calculated in a way that
37	captures interest rate sensitivity. The use of adjusted betas compensates for

¹⁴⁵ *Ibid.*, at 73-74.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

21

22

Relative to statistical justification, Dr. Morin states:¹⁴⁶

Statistically, betas are estimated with error. High-estimated betas will tend to have positive error (overestimated) and low-estimated betas will tend to have negative error (underestimated). Therefore, it is necessary to squash the estimated betas in toward 1.00. One way to accomplish this is by measuring the extent to which estimated betas tend to regress toward the mean over time. As a result of this beta drift, several commercial beta producers adjust their forecasted betas toward 1.00 in an effort to improve their forecasts. This adjustment, which is commonly performed by investment services such as Value Line, Bloomberg, and Merrill Lynch, uses the formula:

13 $\beta_{adjusted} = 1.0 + a (\beta_{raw} - 1.0)$ (3-4)

14where "a" is an estimate of the extent to which estimated betas regress15toward the mean based on past data. Value Line, Bloomberg, and Merrill16Lynch betas are adjusted for their long-term tendency to regress toward 1.017by giving approximately 66% weight to the measured beta and18approximately 34% weight to the prior value of 1.0 for each stock, that is, a19= 0.66 in the above equation:

 $\beta_{\text{adjusted}} = 1.0 + 0.66 (\beta_{\text{raw}} - 1.0)$ = 0.33 + 0.66 \beta_{\text{raw}} (3-5)

Based on Blume's results, Value Line adjusts its "raw" Beta coefficients according to the following formula: $\beta_{adjusted} = 0.35 + (0.67 \times \beta_{raw})$. Bloomberg's adjustment is similar: $\beta_{adjusted} = 0.33333 + (0.666666 \times \beta_{raw})$. Because we are determining the investor-required Cost of Equity in this proceeding, it is appropriate to rely on methods used by investors. Given the commercial use and acceptance of adjusted Beta coefficients, it is my view that they are the proper measure of systematic risk in the CAPM.

29 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH MR. COPELAND'S USE OF UNADJUSTED BETAS BASED

- 30 ON A CITATION TO A DISSERTATION RELATED TO THE EMPIRICAL CAPITAL
- 31 ASSET PRICING MODEL'S ABILITY TO ESTIMATE THE ROE FOR UTILITIES?

¹⁴⁶ *Ibid.*, at 74.

1 No, I do not. As explained below, because the ECAPM and adjusted Beta coefficients A. 2 address different aspects of security pricing, their combined use is not redundant. The 3 Empirical CAPM reflects published research finding companies with lower Beta coefficient tend to have higher returns than those predicted by the CAPM, and higher Beta 4 coefficient companies tend to have lower returns than expected.¹⁴⁷ Beta coefficient 5 adjustments, on the other hand, address the tendency of "raw" Beta coefficients to regress 6 7 toward the market mean of 1.00 over time. The two are different issues and are 8 appropriately addressed with different methods.

9

Although Mr. Copeland cites to a single doctoral dissertation from 1998 regarding the 10 relationship between the ECAPM and Beta coefficients,¹⁴⁸ Fama and French described the 11 empirical issue addressed by the ECAPM when they noted that "[t]he returns on the low 12 beta portfolios are too high, and the returns on the high beta portfolios are too low."¹⁴⁹ 13 14 Similarly, Dr. Morin observed that "[w]ith few exceptions, the empirical studies agree that ... low-beta securities earn returns somewhat higher than the CAPM would predict, and 15 high-beta securities earn less than predicted."¹⁵⁰ As Dr. Morin also explained, the ECAPM 16 "makes use" of those findings, and estimates the Cost of Equity based on the following 17 equation:¹⁵¹ 18

19

$k_e = R_f + \alpha + \beta(MRP - \alpha)$ Equation [13]

20 where α , or "alpha", is an adjustment to the risk/return line, and "MRP" is the Market Risk 21 Premium (defined above). Summarizing empirical evidence regarding the range of 22 estimates for alpha, Dr. Morin explained that the model "reduces to the following more 23 pragmatic form"¹⁵²:

¹⁴⁷ Direct Testimony of Robert B. Hevert, at 32. See, also, Roger A. Morin, <u>New Regulatory Finance</u> (Public Utility Reports, Inc., 2006), at 175-176.

¹⁴⁸ See Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, Jr., at 69.

¹⁴⁹ Eugene F. Fama and Kenneth R. French, *The Capital Asset Pricing Model: Theory and Evidence*, <u>Journal of</u> <u>Economic Perspectives</u>, Vol. 18, No. 3, Summer 2004, at 33.

¹⁵⁰ Roger A. Morin, <u>New Regulatory Finance</u> (Public Utility Reports, Inc., 2006), at 175.

¹⁵¹ *Ibid.*, at 189.

¹⁵² *Ibid.*, at 190. Equations [13] and [14] tend to produce similar results when "alpha" is in the range of 1.00 percent to 2.00 percent. As Dr. Morin explains, alpha coefficients in that range are highly consistent with those identified in prior published research.

1 2		$k_e = R_f + 0.25(R_m - R_f) + 0.75\beta(R_m - R_f)$ Equation [14]
2		k = the investor required BOE:
3		$\kappa_e = \text{the investor-required ROE};$
4		R_f = the risk-free rate of return;
5		β = Adjusted Beta coefficient of an individual security; and
6		R_m = the required return on the market.
7		
8		Further, as Dr. Morin observed:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Fundamentally, the ECAPM is not an adjustment, increase or decrease, in beta. This is obvious from the fact that the expected return on high beta securities is actually lower than that produced by the CAPM estimate. The ECAPM is a formal recognition that the observed risk-return tradeoff is flatter than predicted by the CAPM based on myriad empirical evidence. <i>The ECAPM and the use of adjusted betas comprised two separate features of asset pricingBoth adjustments are necessary</i> . ¹⁵³
16	8.	Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium Approach
17	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE MR. COPELAND'S CONCERNS WITH YOUR BOND YIELD
18		PLUS RISK PREMIUM APPROACH.
19	А.	Mr. Copeland does not believe authorized returns are an appropriate benchmark for the
20		purposes of the Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium approach. In this view, authorized returns
21		consistently overstate the Cost of Equity:
22 23 24 25 26 27		First and most important is the proxy that Mr. Hevert uses for the risk premium: allowed returns on equity. This lands us squarely back with the issue already discussed at length about the abundant evidence of excess returns from historical earned rates of return on equity reflected in market-to-book ratios Now we have Mr. Hevert using allowed rates of return to calculate an implied risk premium. <i>That just makes matters worse</i> . ¹⁵⁴
28	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND ON THOSE POINTS?
29	А.	As noted in Section III.1 and in my Direct Testimony, the Hope and Bluefield guidelines
30		establish that the fair rate of return on equity should be comparable to returns investors

¹⁵³ Roger A. Morin, <u>New Regulatory Finance</u> (Public Utility Reports, Inc., 2006), at 191. Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland Jr., at 75. [emphasis in original]

¹⁵⁴

expect to earn on other investments of similar risk. In my experience, regulatory commissions fully weigh the results of various models, analyses and expert testimony presented before them, and use that information to determine a fair ROE that meets the *Hope* and *Bluefield* standards. Further, and as noted earlier, because utilities often disclose authorized returns it is difficult to imagine they are not considered by investors in selecting among investment alternatives.

8 Moreover, and as explained in Section III.2, the M/B ratio is affected by numerous factors 9 other than the authorized ROE, and as such, the fact that market-to-book ratios exceed 1.00 10 does not provide conclusive evidence that authorized returns are overstated. If that were 11 the case, all regulatory commissions with jurisdiction over the operating companies owned 12 by Mr. Copeland's proxy companies (including the Commission, which has jurisdiction 13 over OTP) would have consistently and systematically overstated ROEs. That is, they all 14 would have been consistently and significantly wrong for decades. As such, I continue to 15 believe that my Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium approach is reasonable and appropriate 16 estimate of OTP's ROE.

17 Q. WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO MR. COPELAND'S EXCESS ALLOWED ROE 18 ANALYSIS?¹⁵⁵

19 A. I disagree with his approach and conclusions. As to his approach, Mr. Copeland calculates 20 the median required rate of return by reference to his "Excess ROE" analysis for each 21 company in the Value Line electric universe. Mr. Copeland then calculates the average 22 authorized return for electric utilities in the prior year. His estimate of the excess allowed ROE is the difference between those two numbers, on average about 2.20 percent.¹⁵⁶ Mr. 23 24 Copeland subtracts that 2.20 percent "spread" from the average 6.20 percent Equity Risk 25 Premium contained in my Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium analysis (since 1999), and 26 reasons the implied Equity Risk Premium is 400 basis points.

27

7

¹⁵⁵ Direct Testimony of Basil L. Copeland, at 76.

¹⁵⁶ See Ibid., Mr. Copeland's workpapers, Market to Book, XROE.2018UPDATE.xlsx, at rows 668 – 681.

1 My first concern with Mr. Copeland's approach is his calculation of the required return, 2 which I address in Section III.2. Because that approach produces unduly low estimates of 3 the required return, his "Excess Allowed Return" analysis will produce unduly low results. 4 Beyond that, Mr. Copeland's analysis compares the required return at the parent company level to authorized returns which are at the operating company level. In addition, Mr. 5 6 Copeland does not compare the authorized ROE of a specific company to its required 7 return. He simply considers the difference between the median required return in one year and the average authorized return in the year prior, with no consideration of the companies 8 9 which were authorized return in any given year. For example, in 2000 there were only 14 10 electric rate cases for which an authorized ROE was disclosed. Mr. Copeland includes 28 11 parent companies in his calculation of the required return in 2001.

12

Lastly, Mr. Copeland's approach assumes the spread is static and does not vary with the level of interest rates. His own data, however, indicates that is not that case - the two are strongly negatively correlated (the correlation between the BAA yield and his "spread" is negative 74.48 percent). In that respect, his "spread" parameter shares a common trait with the Equity Risk Premium; it is inversely related to changes in interest rates.

IV. SUMMARY OF UPDATED RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

18 Q. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY CHANGES TO YOUR PROXY GROUP SINCE YOU

19 FILED YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

20 A. Yes. Based on current information I have removed two proxy companies (Alliant Energy 21 Corporation and IDACORP, Inc.) and added one proxy company (Pinnacle West Capital 22 Corporation). Alliant Energy Corporation currently has a market capitalization above \$10 23 billion, and as such, no longer meets the screening criteria described on pages 13 through 24 14 of my Direct Testimony. The July 27, 2018 Value Line report for IDACORP, Inc. states its recent high stock price reflects takeover speculation. Consequently, I have removed 25 26 IDACORP from my proxy group. Lastly, based on updated data Pinnacle West Capital 27 Corporation now meets all my screening criteria. As such, I have added it to my proxy group. Table 6 summarizes the companies in my "Updated Proxy Group". 28

Table 6: Updated Proxy Group

Company	Ticker
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE
Black Hills Corporation	BKH
El Paso Electric Company	EE
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM

2

3 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE ANALYTICAL UPDATES CONTAINED IN YOUR 4 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY.

5 Tables 7a and 7b (below) summarizes my updated analytical results (see also A. 6 Exhibit (RBH-2), Schedules 1 through 6). As discussed in my Direct Testimony, all 7 models used to estimate the Cost of Equity are subject to limiting assumptions or other As also noted in my Direct Testimony, because adherence to any single 8 constraints. 9 approach, or the results of any one approach, can result in misleading conclusions, a 10 reasonable ROE estimate weighs the individual and collective results of multiple methods. 11 Because the capital markets have become increasingly unsettled, with several measures 12 indicating increasing capital costs, it is especially important to consider the breadth of 13 quantitative and qualitative information contained in my Rebuttal Testimony.

1

	Mean Low	Mean	Mean High
Constant Growt	h DCF – Including H	Flotation Costs ¹⁵⁸	
30-Day Constant Growth DCF	7.75%	8.90%	10.26%
90-Day Constant Growth DCF	7.79%	8.94%	10.31%
180-Day Constant Growth DCF	7.92%	9.07%	10.44%
Multi-Stage	DCF – Including Fl	otation Costs	
30-Day Multi-Stage DCF	8.67%	8.92%	9.22%
90-Day Multi-Stage DCF	8.68%	8.93%	9.22%
180-Day Multi-Stage DCF	8.76%	9.01%	9.31%

Table 7a: Summary of Updated DCF Results¹⁵⁷

Table 7b: Summary of Risk Premium Results¹⁵⁹

	Bloomberg Derived Market Risk Premium	Value Line Derived Market Risk Premium
Average Bloomberg Be	eta Coefficient	
Current 30-Year Treasury (3.03%)	8.40%	10.09%
Near Term Projected 30-Year Treasury (3.33%)	8.70%	10.39%
Average Value Line Be	eta Coefficient	
Current 30-Year Treasury (3.03%)	10.07%	12.29%
Near Term Projected 30-Year Treasury (3.33%)	10.37%	12.59%
Bond Yield Plus Risk Pre	mium Approach	
Current 30-Year Treasury (3.03%)	9.9.	3%
Near Term Projected 30-Year Treasury (3.33%)	9.98	8%
Long Term Projected 30-Year Treasury (4.05%)	10.1	7%

Developing and establishing a Cost of Equity recommendation requires an element of judgment. That judgment should consider the reasonableness of model results and the economic environment in which the analyses were undertaken.¹⁶⁰ As discussed earlier in my Rebuttal Testimony, recent trends in the Constant Growth DCF results are difficult to

¹⁵⁷ See also Exhibit __(RBH-2), Schedules 1 and 2.

¹⁵⁸ Constant Growth DCF results exclude ALLETE, Inc., El Paso Electric Company, Northwestern Corporation.

¹⁵⁹ See also Exhibit __(RBH-2), Schedule 5 and Schedule 6.

¹⁶⁰ See Direct Testimony of Robert B. Hevert, at 8.

1 reconcile with other measures of market conditions, included ROEs authorized by other 2 regulatory commissions. In addition, as discussed in my Direct Testimony, there are 3 certain additional factors which should be considered when determining a recommendation, such as OTP's relatively high level of capital expenditures, the relatively 4 small size of OTP, OTTR's relatively low level of institutional ownership, low trading 5 volume, and low liquidity, and OTTR's relative Beta coefficient. OTP also achieved 6 7 substantial customer savings, while maintaining the highest levels of customer service and 8 satisfaction.

9

10 My recommendations therefore take into consideration the results of my Cost of Equity 11 analyses in the context of current and expected capital market conditions, the factors noted above, and the need for utilities such as OTP to maintain the financial integrity required to 12 13 access capital at reasonable costs even in constrained capital markets. It is further supported 14 by the premium required to compensate investors for the risks associated with a constant ROE for "Phase-In" capital projects. With such considerations in mind, the analyses and 15 16 data discussed throughout my Rebuttal Testimony continue to support my recommended Cost of Equity of 10.30 percent, within a range of 10.00 percent to 10.60 percent. 17

18 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

19 A. Yes, it does.

Docket No. EL18-021 Hevert Rebuttal

Constant Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 30 Day Average Stock Price

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]
			Average		Expected	Zacks	First Call	Value Line	Average			
		Annualized	Stock	Dividend	Dividend	Earnings	Earnings	Earnings	Earnings	Low	Mean	High
Company	Ticker	Dividend	Price	Yield	Yield	Growth	Growth	Growth	Growth	ROE	ROE	ROE
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$2.35	\$75.79	3.10%	3.17%	NA	6.00%	3.50%	4.75%	6.66%	7.92%	9.19%
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$2.02	\$66.05	3.06%	3.14%	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	5.22%	7.59%	8.36%	9.66%
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$1.44	\$51.25	2.81%	2.87%	4.40%	5.10%	3.00%	4.17%	5.85%	7.04%	7.98%
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.28	\$36.69	3.49%	3.59%	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	5.90%	7.05%	9.49%	11.42%
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$2.30	\$62.50	3.68%	3.73%	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.56%	6.23%	6.29%	6.33%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.46	\$40.48	3.61%	3.71%	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.60%	8.90%	9.31%	9.71%
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.16	\$41.99	2.76%	2.84%	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	5.43%	6.92%	8.27%	10.37%
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$2.95	\$86.95	3.39%	3.48%	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.92%	7.62%	8.40%	9.49%
Proxy Group Mean				3.24%	3.32%	4.66%	4.89%	4.81%	4.82%	7.10%	8.13%	9.27%
Proxy Group Median				3.25%	3.33%	4.70%	4.46%	4.75%	5.07%	6.98%	8.31%	9.58%
Proxy Group Mean - Including Flotation Costs										7.23%	8.26%	9.40%
Proxy Group Median - Including Flotation Costs										7.11%	8.45%	9.71%
Proxy Group Mean Excl. ALE, EE, NWE				3.26%	3.35%	5.12%	5.13%	5.90%	5.41%	7.62%	8.76%	10.13%
Proxy Group Median Excl. ALE, EE, NWE				3.39%	3.48%	4.70%	4.31%	6.00%	5.43%	7.59%	8.40%	9.71%
Proxy Group Mean Excl. ALE, EE, NWE - Including	ng Flotation (Costs								7.75%	8.90%	10.26%
Proxy Group Median Excl. ALE, EE, NWE - Inclue	ding Flotation	Costs								7.72%	8.53%	9.85%
Flotation Costs										0.13%	0.13%	0.13%

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional, equals indicated number of trading day average as of February 15, 2019
[3] Equals [1] / [2]
[4] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.5 x [8])
[5] Source: Zacks

[6] Source: Yahoo! Finance

[7] Source: Value Line

[8] Equals Average([5], [6], [7]) [9] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.5 x Minimum([5], [6], [7])) + Minimum([5], [6], [7])

[10] Equals [4] + [8]

[11] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.5 x Maximum([5], [6], [7])) + Maximum([5], [6], [7])

Constant Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 90 Day Average Stock Price

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]
			Average		Expected	Zacks	First Call	Value Line	Average			
		Annualized	Stock	Dividend	Dividend	Earnings	Earnings	Earnings	Earnings	Low	Mean	High
Company	Ticker	Dividend	Price	Yield	Yield	Growth	Growth	Growth	Growth	ROE	ROE	ROE
ALLETE. Inc.	ALE	\$2.35	\$76.90	3.06%	3.13%	NA	6.00%	3.50%	4.75%	6.61%	7.88%	9.15%
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$2.02	\$64.12	3.15%	3.23%	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	5.22%	7.68%	8.45%	9.75%
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$1.44	\$54.34	2.65%	2.71%	4.40%	5.10%	3.00%	4.17%	5.69%	6.87%	7.82%
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.28	\$37.01	3.46%	3.56%	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	5.90%	7.02%	9.46%	11.39%
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$2.30	\$61.78	3.72%	3.77%	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.56%	6.27%	6.33%	6.37%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.46	\$39.18	3.73%	3.83%	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.60%	9.02%	9.43%	9.84%
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.16	\$41.40	2.80%	2.88%	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	5.43%	6.96%	8.31%	10.41%
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$2.95	\$86.69	3.40%	3.49%	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.92%	7.63%	8.41%	9.50%
Proxy Group Mean				3.25%	3.32%	4.66%	4.89%	4.81%	4.82%	7.11%	8.14%	9.28%
Proxy Group Median				3.28%	3.36%	4.70%	4.46%	4.75%	5.07%	6.99%	8.36%	9.63%
Proxy Group Mean - Including Flotation Costs										7.24%	8.27%	9.41%
Proxy Group Median - Including Flotation Costs										7.12%	8.49%	9.76%
Proxy Group Mean Excl. ALE, EE, NWE				3.31%	3.40%	5.12%	5.13%	5.90%	5.41%	7.66%	8.81%	10.18%
Proxy Group Median Excl. ALE, EE, NWE				3.40%	3.49%	4.70%	4.31%	6.00%	5.43%	7.63%	8.45%	9.84%
Proxy Group Mean Excl. ALE, EE, NWE - Includi	ng Flotation (Costs								7.79%	8.94%	10.31%
Proxy Group Median Excl. ALE, EE, NWE - Inclu-	ding Flotation	Costs								7.76%	8.58%	9.97%
Flotation Costs										0.13%	0.13%	0.13%

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional, equals indicated number of trading day average as of February 15, 2019
[3] Equals [1] / [2]
[4] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.5 x [8])
[5] Source: Zacks

[6] Source: Yahoo! Finance

[7] Source: Value Line

[8] Equals Average([5], [6], [7]) [9] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.5 x Minimum([5], [6], [7])) + Minimum([5], [6], [7])

[10] Equals [4] + [8]

[11] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.5 x Maximum([5], [6], [7])) + Maximum([5], [6], [7])

Constant Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 180 Day Average Stock Price

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]
			Average		Expected	Zacks	First Call	Value Line	Average			
		Annualized	Stock	Dividend	Dividend	Earnings	Earnings	Earnings	Earnings	Low	Mean	High
Company	Ticker	Dividend	Price	Yield	Yield	Growth	Growth	Growth	Growth	ROE	ROE	ROE
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$2.35	\$76.55	3.07%	3.14%	NA	6.00%	3.50%	4.75%	6.62%	7.89%	9.16%
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$2.02	\$61.83	3.27%	3.35%	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	5.22%	7.80%	8.57%	9.87%
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$1.44	\$57.24	2.52%	2.57%	4.40%	5.10%	3.00%	4.17%	5.55%	6.73%	7.68%
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.28	\$35.90	3.57%	3.67%	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	5.90%	7.13%	9.57%	11.50%
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$2.30	\$59.97	3.84%	3.88%	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.56%	6.38%	6.45%	6.49%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.46	\$37.55	3.89%	4.00%	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.60%	9.19%	9.60%	10.00%
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.16	\$40.10	2.89%	2.97%	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	5.43%	7.05%	8.40%	10.50%
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$2.95	\$83.10	3.55%	3.64%	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.92%	7.78%	8.56%	9.66%
Proxy Group Mean				3.32%	3.40%	4.66%	4.89%	4.81%	4.82%	7.19%	8.22%	9.36%
Proxy Group Median				3.41%	3.49%	4.70%	4.46%	4.75%	5.07%	7.09%	8.48%	9.76%
Proxy Group Mean - Including Flotation Costs										7.32%	8.35%	9.49%
Proxy Group Median - Including Flotation Costs										7.22%	8.61%	9.90%
Proxy Group Mean Excl. ALE, EE, NWE				3.43%	3.53%	5.12%	5.13%	5.90%	5.41%	7.79%	8.94%	10.31%
Proxy Group Median Excl. ALE, EE, NWE				3.55%	3.64%	4.70%	4.31%	6.00%	5.43%	7.78%	8.57%	10.00%
Proxy Group Mean Excl. ALE, EE, NWE - Including	ng Flotation (Costs								7.92%	9.07%	10.44%
Proxy Group Median Excl. ALE, EE, NWE - Inclue	ding Flotatior	Costs								7.91%	8.70%	10.14%
Flotation Costs										0.13%	0.13%	0.13%

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional, equals indicated number of trading day average as of February 15, 2019
[3] Equals [1] / [2]
[4] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.5 x [8])
[5] Source: Zacks

[6] Source: Yahoo! Finance

[7] Source: Value Line

[8] Equals Average([5], [6], [7]) [9] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.5 x Minimum([5], [6], [7])) + Minimum([5], [6], [7])

[10] Equals [4] + [8]

[11] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.5 x Maximum([5], [6], [7])) + Maximum([5], [6], [7])

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 30 Day Average Stock Price Average EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		Stook		Crowth F	lata Estim	ataa	Long-		Dourout Dati		Itorotivo	Colution	Terminal	Terminal					
		SLUCK	EF	-3 GIOWUI P	Value	ales	Term	r	ayout Rati	0	iterative	Solution	remina	PEG					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Average	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	Ratio	_				
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$75.79	NA	6.00%	3.50%	4.75%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.33%	22.91	4.31					
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$66.05	4.70%	4.46%	6.50% 2.00%	5.22%	5.32%	59.00% 61.00%	57.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.09%	18.28	3.44					
Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE	\$36.69	4.40% 6.40%	7.80%	3.00%	4.17% 5.90%	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	65 57%	\$0.00 \$0.00	8.83%	21.04	3.90					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$62.50	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.56%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.65%	20.74	3.90					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$40.48	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.60%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.07%	18.38	3.46					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$41.99	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	5.43%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.67%	20.59	3.87					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.95	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.92%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.05%	18.51	3.48	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs	_	
											Mean	8.79%	20.02			8.92%			
											Max	9.09%				9.23%			
Projected Annual											IVIIII	0.3370				0.4070			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
-																			•
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, INC. Black Hills Corporation	ALE	\$3.13 ¢3.39	\$3.28 \$3.56	\$3.43 \$3.74	\$3.0U \$3.04	\$3.77 \$4.14	\$3.95 ¢4.36	\$4.13 \$4.50	\$4.34 ¢4.93	\$4.55 \$5.09	\$4.78 \$5.35	\$5.02 \$5.63	\$5.29 \$5.03	\$0.07 \$6.24	\$0.00 \$6.59	\$0.17 \$6.03	\$0.00 \$7.20	30.00 \$7.69	
El Paso Electric Company	FF	\$2.30	\$2.50	\$2.63	\$2.54	\$2.85	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.53	\$3.03	\$3.90	\$4.10	\$4.32	\$4.55	\$4.80	\$5.05	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.74	\$1.84	\$1.95	\$2.06	\$2.18	\$2.31	\$2.45	\$2.59	\$2.73	\$2.88	\$3.04	\$3.20	\$3.37	\$3.55	\$3.74	\$3.94	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.43	\$3.51	\$3.60	\$3.70	\$3.79	\$3.89	\$4.01	\$4.14	\$4.31	\$4.50	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$5.23	\$5.51	\$5.80	\$6.11	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.03	\$2.14	\$2.26	\$2.39	\$2.52	\$2.66	\$2.81	\$2.97	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$4.27	\$4.50	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.13	\$2.25	\$2.37	\$2.50	\$2.64	\$2.78	\$2.93	\$3.09	\$3.25	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.00	\$4.22	\$4.44	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.65	\$4.88	\$5.12	\$5.37	\$5.63	\$5.91	\$6.20	\$6.52	\$6.85	\$7.21	\$7.59	\$7.99	\$8.41	\$8.86	\$9.33	\$9.83	
Projected Annual																			
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	
Company	Ticker			2010	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2020	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	•
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
DGE Energy Corp.	DNM			50.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00% 50.00%	70.22% 52.22%	69.45% 54.45%	00.07% 56.67%	59 00%	61 12%	63 35%	65 57%	65.57%	65 57%	65 57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
																			•
Designed a descent																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
					. /						. /				. /		. /		Terminal
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.27	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$4.49	\$156.93
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.04	\$140.48
Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE			\$1.00	\$1.70 \$1.10	\$1.01 \$1.27	\$1.95 \$1.35	\$2.01 \$1.45	\$2.10 \$1.54	\$2.20 \$1.64	\$2.31 \$1.75	φ2.42 \$1.86	\$2.00 \$1.08	\$2.09 \$2.10	\$2.03 \$2.21	\$2.99 \$2.33	\$2.14	\$2.51 \$2.58	\$77.41
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.01	\$126.76
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			\$1.52	\$1.61	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$1.87	\$1.95	\$2.04	\$2.12	\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.40	\$2.53	\$2.66	\$2.80	\$2.95	\$82.72
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			\$1.07	\$1.13	\$1.19	\$1.25	\$1.38	\$1.51	\$1.66	\$1.82	\$1.99	\$2.17	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.62	\$2.76	\$2.91	\$91.44
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$3.02	\$3.17	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.52	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$6.44	\$181.94
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
		Initial																	•
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	
ALLE I E, Inc.	ALE	(\$75.79)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.32	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$161.42	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$66.04)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.27	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54 \$2.00	\$4.78 \$3.14	\$145.51 \$100.59	
Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE	(\$36.69)	\$0.00	\$0.98	\$1.04 \$1.16	\$1.01	\$1.35	\$1.45	\$1.54	φ2.20 \$1.64	\$1.75	φ <u>2.</u> 42 \$1.86	\$1.98	\$2.05 \$2.10	\$2.03	\$2.33 \$2.33	\$2.14	\$79.90	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$62.50)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.31	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$130.77	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$40.48)	\$0.00	\$1.33	\$1.56	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$1.87	\$1.95	\$2.04	\$2.12	\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.40	\$2.53	\$2.66	\$2.80	\$85.67	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$41.99)	\$0.00	\$0.93	\$1.10	\$1.19	\$1.25	\$1.38	\$1.51	\$1.66	\$1.82	\$1.99	\$2.17	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.62	\$2.76	\$94.35	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.95)	\$0.00	\$2.64	\$3.10	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.52	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$188.38	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 30 Day Average Stock Price High EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		Otra als		0.0			Long-			-	14 4 ¹	Ochitica	Terminal	Toursinal					
		Stock	EF	'S Growth F	Value	ates High	. Term	,	ayout Rat	0	Iterative	Solution	Terminal	PEG					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Growth	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	Ratio	_				
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$75.79	NA	6.00%	3.50%	6.00%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.63%	20.86	3.92					
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$66.05	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	6.50%	5.32%	59.00% 61.00%	57.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.46%	16.67	3.13					
El Paso Electric Company		\$36.60	6.40%	7 80%	3.00%	7 90%	5 32%	61.00%	62.00%	65 57%	\$0.00 ¢0.00	0.04 %	17.12	3.09					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$62.50	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.60%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.66%	20.68	3.89					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$40.48	5.20%	NA	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.19%	17.85	3.36					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$41.99	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	7.50%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.21%	17.76	3.34					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.95	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.36%	17.10	3.22	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs	-	
											Mean	9.09%	18.46			9.22%			
											Max	9.46%				9.59% 8.76%			
Projected Annual												0.0070				0.7070			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
Company	Ticker	2017	2019	2010	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	20.28	2020	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE. Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.32	\$3.52	\$3.73	\$3.95	\$4.19	\$4.44	\$4.70	\$4.97	\$5.25	\$5.55	\$5.85	\$6.16	\$6.49	\$6.83	\$7.19	\$7.58	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.60	\$3.83	\$4.08	\$4.35	\$4.63	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.56	\$5.89	\$6.23	\$6.57	\$6.92	\$7.29	\$7.68	\$8.08	\$8.51	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.54	\$2.67	\$2.81	\$2.95	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.79	\$3.99	\$4.20	\$4.43	\$4.66	\$4.91	\$5.17	\$5.45	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.77	\$1.91	\$2.05	\$2.21	\$2.39	\$2.57	\$2.76	\$2.96	\$3.15	\$3.34	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.13	\$4.35	\$4.58	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.43	\$3.52	\$3.61	\$3.70	\$3.80	\$3.90	\$4.02	\$4.16	\$4.32	\$4.51	\$4.73	\$4.98	\$5.25	\$5.53	\$5.82	\$6.13	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.04	\$2.16	\$2.29	\$2.42	\$2.57	\$2.72	\$2.88	\$3.05	\$3.22	\$3.40	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$3.98	\$4.19	\$4.41	\$4.65	
PINM Resources, Inc. Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$1.92	\$2.00	\$2.22 \$4.98	\$2.39 \$5.28	\$2.50 \$5.50	\$2.70 \$5.93	\$2.90 \$6.28	\$3.17 \$6.65	\$3.39 \$7.04	\$3.01 \$7.44	\$3.82 \$7.85	\$4.04 \$8.27	\$4.20 \$8.72	\$4.48 \$0.18	\$4.72 \$9.67	\$4.97 \$10.18	\$0.24 \$10.72	
	1100	ψτ.τυ	ψ4.10	ψ 1 .50	ψ0.20	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.20	ψ0.00	ψ1.04	τ ι .τψ	ψ1.00	ψ0.21	ψ0.12	ψ 3 .10	ψ 0 .01	φ10.10	ψ10.72	•
Projected Annual Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[30]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[4.4]	[45]	
Dindona i djodi nato				[01]	[02]	[00]	[01]	[00]	[00]	[01]	[00]	[00]	[10]	[]	[]	[10]	[11]	[10]	•
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	-
ALLETE, INC. Block Hills Corporation	ALE			50.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	64.25%	65.57%	65.57% 65.57%	65.57% 65.57%	65.57% 65.57%	65.57% 65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	FF			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65 16%	65 24%	65.33%	65.41%	65 49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Projected Annual Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[49]	[40]	[50]	[51]	15:21	(53)	1541	[66]	1561	[57]	(59)	1501	1601	[61]
00311110#3				[40]	[+1]	[+0]	[43]	[00]	[01]	[02]	[00]	[04]	[00]	[00]	[07]	[00]	[00]	[00]	Terminal
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.32	\$2.46	\$2.61	\$2.76	\$2.93	\$3.10	\$3.27	\$3.45	\$3.64	\$3.84	\$4.04	\$4.25	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$158.03
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.26	\$2.38	\$2.51	\$2.64	\$2.87	\$3.12	\$3.38	\$3.65	\$3.93	\$4.23	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.03	\$5.30	\$5.58	\$141.93
El Paso Electric Company Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE			\$1.03	\$1.75 \$1.26	\$1.88 \$1.37	\$2.02 \$1.48	\$2.12 \$1.61	\$2.23 \$1.74	\$2.35 \$1.88	\$2.48 \$2.02	\$2.01 \$2.16	\$2.75 \$2.30	\$2.90 \$2.44	\$3.00 \$2.57	\$3.22 \$2.71	\$3.39 \$2.85	\$3.57 \$3.00	\$100.87 \$78.49
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.29	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.64	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.88	\$2.99	\$3.12	\$3.27	\$3.44	\$3.62	\$3.82	\$4.02	\$126.78
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			\$1.53	\$1.62	\$1.72	\$1.82	\$1.91	\$2.00	\$2.09	\$2.19	\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.89	\$3.05	\$82.93
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			\$1.11	\$1.19	\$1.28	\$1.38	\$1.55	\$1.73	\$1.92	\$2.12	\$2.34	\$2.56	\$2.79	\$2.94	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$93.03
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$3.09	\$3.27	\$3.47	\$3.68	\$3.93	\$4.19	\$4.47	\$4.76	\$5.07	\$5.38	\$5.71	\$6.02	\$6.34	\$6.68	\$7.03	\$183.37
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	_
Company	Tieksa	Initial	0/15/40	10/01/40	6/20/20	6/20/24	6/20/22	6/20/22	6/20/24	6/20/25	6/20/20	6/20/07	6/20/20	6/20/20	6/20/20	6/20/24	6/20/20	6/20/22	-
ALLETE Inc	ALE	(\$75.79)	2/ 15/ 19	\$2.03	\$2.39	\$2.61	\$2.76	\$2.93	\$3.10	\$3.27	\$3.45	\$3.64	\$3.84	\$4.04	\$4.25	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$163.00	•
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$66.04)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.34	\$2.51	\$2,64	\$2.87	\$3,12	\$3,38	\$3,65	\$3,93	\$4.23	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.03	\$5.30	\$147.51	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$51.24)	\$0.00	\$1.43	\$1.67	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.23	\$2.35	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.90	\$3.06	\$3.22	\$3.39	\$110.44	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	(\$36.69)	\$0.00	\$1.02	\$1.21	\$1.37	\$1.48	\$1.61	\$1.74	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.16	\$2.30	\$2.44	\$2.57	\$2.71	\$2.85	\$81.50	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$62.50)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.32	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.64	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.88	\$2.99	\$3.12	\$3.27	\$3.44	\$3.62	\$3.82	\$130.80	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$40.48)	\$0.00	\$1.34	\$1.58	\$1.72	\$1.82	\$1.91	\$2.00	\$2.09	\$2.19	\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.89	\$85.97	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$41.98)	\$0.00	\$0.97	\$1.15	\$1.28	\$1.38	\$1.55	\$1.73	\$1.92	\$2.12	\$2.34	\$2.56	\$2.79	\$2.94	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$96.46	
Pinnacie West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.95)	\$0.00	\$2.70	\$3.18	\$3.47	\$3.68	\$3.93	\$4.19	\$4.47	\$4.76	\$5.07	\$5.38	\$5.71	\$6.02	\$6.34	\$6.68	ə190.40	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 30 Day Average Stock Price Low EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		Otra als		0.0			Long-			-	14 4 ¹	Ochitica	Toursiant	Toursiant					
		SLOCK	EF	'S Growin F	Value	Low	·	1	Payout Rat	10	Iterative	Solution	Terminal	PEG					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Growth	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	Ratio	_				
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$75.79	NA	6.00%	3.50%	3.50%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.06%	25.22	4.74	-				
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$66.05	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	4.46%	5.32%	59.00% 61.00%	57.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.89%	19.34	3.64					
El Paso Electric Company		\$36.60	6.40%	7 90%	3.00%	3.00%	5 32%	61.00%	62.00%	65 57%	\$0.00 ¢0.00	0.32% 9.25%	23.01	4.33					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$62.50	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.50%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.63%	20.85	3.92					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$40.48	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.20%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.96%	18.94	3.56					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$41.99	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	4.10%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.36%	22.72	4.27					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.95	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.16%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.84%	19.59	3.68	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs	_	
											Mean	8.54%	21.65			8.67%			
											Max	8.96%				9.09%			
Projected Annual												0.0070				0.1070			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
Company	Ticker	2017	2019	2010	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	20.28	2020	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE. Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.24	\$3,35	\$3,47	\$3,59	\$3,72	\$3,85	\$3,99	\$4,16	\$4,34	\$4,55	\$4,77	\$5,03	\$5,29	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.19	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.53	\$3.69	\$3.85	\$4.02	\$4.20	\$4.39	\$4.59	\$4.81	\$5.05	\$5.30	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.18	\$6.51	\$6.86	\$7.22	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.49	\$2.57	\$2.64	\$2.72	\$2.81	\$2.89	\$2.99	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.38	\$3.54	\$3.73	\$3.93	\$4.14	\$4.36	\$4.59	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.70	\$1.76	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.09	\$2.18	\$2.27	\$2.38	\$2.50	\$2.63	\$2.77	\$2.92	\$3.08	\$3.24	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.42	\$3.51	\$3.60	\$3.69	\$3.78	\$3.87	\$3.99	\$4.13	\$4.29	\$4.47	\$4.69	\$4.94	\$5.20	\$5.48	\$5.77	\$6.08	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.35	\$2.47	\$2.60	\$2.74	\$2.88	\$3.03	\$3.19	\$3.36	\$3.54	\$3.73	\$3.93	\$4.14	\$4.36	
Pinim Resources, Inc. Pinpacle West Capital Corporation		\$1.92	\$2.00 \$4.61	\$2.08 ¢4.91	\$2.17 \$5.01	\$Z.20 \$5.21	\$2.30 \$5.43	\$2.44 \$5.66	\$2.55 ¢5.00	\$2.00 \$6.17	\$2.79 \$6.46	\$2.93 ¢6.79	\$3.08 \$7.13	\$3.24 \$7.51	\$3.41 \$7.01	\$3.09 ¢9.33	\$3.78 \$9.77	\$3.98 ¢0.24	
	FINW	φ 4 .40	φ 4 .01	φ 4 .01	φ 3 .01	ψJ.2 Ι	<i>4</i> 0.40	φ 3.00	<i>4</i> 0.90	φ0.17	φ0. 4 0	φ0.70	φ1.15	φ <i>1</i> .01	φ1.51	φ0.00	φ0.77	φ 3 .24	-
Projected Annual Dividend Revent Ratio				[24]	1201	1001	[2.4]	1951	[26]	1271	12.01	[20]	[40]	[44]	[40]	[42]	[4.4]	[45]	
Dividend Payout Ratio				្រា	[32]	[33]	[34]	[30]	[30]	[37]	ျ၁၀၂	[29]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[40]	•
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE			61.00%	61 33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64 04%	64 55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65 57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Projected Annual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.21	\$2.29	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$155.97
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.18	\$2.25	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$4.74	\$139.69
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.57	\$1.65	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.11	\$2.21	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$3.01	\$105.60
Hawalian Electric Industries, Inc.				\$1.07 ¢2.29	\$1.12 ¢2.37	\$1.10 \$2.47	\$1.21 ¢2.57	\$1.20 \$2.62	\$1.32 \$2.69	\$1.38 \$2.76	\$1.40 \$2.96	\$1.54 \$2.07	\$1.03	\$1.73 \$3.24	\$1.82 \$3.41	\$1.92 \$3.50	\$2.02 \$3.79	\$2.13 \$3.00	\$70.30 \$126.72
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			\$1.51	\$1.59	\$1.67	\$1.76	\$1.83	\$1.90	\$1.98	\$2.00	\$2.14	\$2.23	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$82.53
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			\$1.04	\$1.08	\$1.13	\$1.17	\$1.28	\$1.39	\$1.51	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1.95	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.36	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$90.55
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$2.98	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$6.06	\$181.02
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
-		Initial																	•
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	-
ALLE I E, INC.	ALE	(\$75.79)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.25	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$160.03	
El Paso Electric Company	FF	(\$00.04) (\$51.24)	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$1.90 \$1.37	φ2.22 \$1.59	\$2.32 \$1.73	\$2.40 \$1.82	\$2.00 \$1.88	\$2.73 \$1.95	\$2.92 \$2.02	\$3.1∠ \$2.11	\$3.30 \$2.21	\$3.59 \$2.32	\$3.80 \$2.45	\$4.00 \$2.58	\$4.27 \$2.71	\$4.0U \$2.86	\$108.61	
Hawaiian Electric Industries. Inc.	HE	(\$36.69)	\$0.00	\$0.94	\$1.09	\$1,16	\$1,21	\$1.26	\$1.32	\$1.38	\$1.46	\$1.54	\$1.63	\$1,73	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$78.43	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$62.50)	\$0.00	\$1.99	\$2.31	\$2.47	\$2.57	\$2.62	\$2.68	\$2.76	\$2.86	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$130.70	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$40.48)	\$0.00	\$1.32	\$1.55	\$1.67	\$1.76	\$1.83	\$1.90	\$1.98	\$2.06	\$2.14	\$2.23	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$85.39	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$41.99)	\$0.00	\$0.91	\$1.06	\$1.13	\$1.17	\$1.28	\$1.39	\$1.51	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1.95	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.36	\$2.48	\$93.16	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.95)	\$0.00	\$2.60	\$3.04	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$187.08	-

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 90 Day Average Stock Price Average EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		<u>.</u>					Long-					o:	- · ·	- · ·					
		STOCK .	E۲	'S Growth F	Value	ates	Term	1	ayout Rati	10	Iterative	Solution	Terminal	PEG					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Average	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	Ratio					
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$76.90	NA	6.00%	3.50%	4.75%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.29%	23.25	4.37					
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$64.12	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	5.22%	5.32%	59.00%	57.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.21%	17.76	3.34					
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$54.34	4.40%	5.10%	3.00%	4.17%	5.32%	61.00%	65.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.41%	22.33	4.20					
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$37.01	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	5.90%	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.80%	19.83	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$61.78	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.56%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.69%	20.49	3.85					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$39.18	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.60%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.20%	17.78	3.34					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$41.40	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	5.43%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.72%	20.32	3.82					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.69	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.92%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.06%	18.46	3.47	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs	-	
											Mean	8.80%	20.03			8.93%			
											Max	9.21%				9.34%			
Projected Appual											IVIIII	0.29%				0.4270			
Farnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
Earningo por oriaro		[]	[10]	[10]	[]	[10]	[10]	[20]	[= -]	[==]	[20]	[2]]	[20]	[20]	[27]	[20]	[20]	[00]	-
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.28	\$3.43	\$3.60	\$3.77	\$3.95	\$4.13	\$4.34	\$4.55	\$4.78	\$5.02	\$5.29	\$5.57	\$5.86	\$6.17	\$6.50	\$6.85	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.56	\$3.74	\$3.94	\$4.14	\$4.36	\$4.59	\$4.83	\$5.08	\$5.35	\$5.63	\$5.93	\$6.24	\$6.58	\$6.93	\$7.29	\$7.68	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.52	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.53	\$3.71	\$3.90	\$4.10	\$4.32	\$4.55	\$4.80	\$5.05	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.74	\$1.84	\$1.95	\$2.06	\$2.18	\$2.31	\$2.45	\$2.59	\$2.73	\$2.88	\$3.04	\$3.20	\$3.37	\$3.55	\$3.74	\$3.94	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.43	\$3.51	\$3.60	\$3.70	\$3.79	\$3.89	\$4.01	\$4.14	\$4.31	\$4.50	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$5.23	\$5.51	\$5.80	\$6.11	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.03	\$2.14	\$2.26	\$2.39	\$2.52	\$2.66	\$2.81	\$2.97	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$4.27	\$4.50	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.13	\$2.25	\$2.37	\$2.50	\$2.64	\$2.78	\$2.93	\$3.09	\$3.25	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.00	\$4.22	\$4.44	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.65	\$4.88	\$5.12	\$5.37	\$5.63	\$5.91	\$6.20	\$6.52	\$6.85	\$7.21	\$7.59	\$7.99	\$8.41	\$8.86	\$9.33	\$9.83	-
Projected Associat																			
Projected Annual Dividend Payout Patio				[24]	[30]	[33]	[24]	[25]	[36]	[27]	[29]	[20]	[40]	[4 1]	[42]	[43]	[4.4]	[45]	
Dividend Payou Natio				[31]	[52]	[55]	[54]	[55]	[30]	[37]	[30]	[33]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[43]	
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Projected Annual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
								1			1				1.1		1		Terminal
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.27	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$4.49	\$159.27
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.04	\$136.41
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.60	\$1.70	\$1.81	\$1.93	\$2.01	\$2.10	\$2.20	\$2.31	\$2.42	\$2.55	\$2.69	\$2.83	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.31	\$112.78
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			\$1.12	\$1.19	\$1.27	\$1.35	\$1.45	\$1.54	\$1.64	\$1.75	\$1.86	\$1.98	\$2.10	\$2.21	\$2.33	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$78.08
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.01	\$125.24
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			\$1.52	\$1.61	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$1.87	\$1.95	\$2.04	\$2.12	\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.40	\$2.53	\$2.66	\$2.80	\$2.95	\$80.00
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			\$1.07	\$1.13	\$1.19	\$1.25	\$1.38	\$1.51	\$1.66	\$1.82	\$1.99	\$2.17	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.62	\$2.76	\$2.91	\$90.20
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PINW			\$3.02	\$3.17	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$ 5.24	\$0.5Z	\$0.8T	\$0.1Z	\$0.44	\$181.40
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
		Initial									. /	• •					• •		
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	(\$76.90)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.32	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$163.76	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$64.12)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.27	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$141.45	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$54.34)	\$0.00	\$1.40	\$1.64	\$1.81	\$1.93	\$2.01	\$2.10	\$2.20	\$2.31	\$2.42	\$2.55	\$2.69	\$2.83	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$116.10	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	(\$37.01)	\$0.00	\$0.98	\$1.16	\$1.27	\$1.35	\$1.45	\$1.54	\$1.64	\$1.75	\$1.86	\$1.98	\$2.10	\$2.21	\$2.33	\$2.45	\$80.66	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$61.78)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.31	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$129.24	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$39.18)	\$0.00	\$1.33	\$1.56	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$1.87	\$1.95	\$2.04	\$2.12	\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.40	\$2.53	\$2.66	\$2.80	\$82.95	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$41.40)	\$0.00	\$0.93	\$1.10	\$1.19	\$1.25	\$1.38	\$1.51	\$1.66	\$1.82	\$1.99	\$2.17	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.62	\$2.76	\$93.11	
Pinnacie west Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.69)	\$0.00	\$2.64	\$3.10	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.52	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$187.85	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 90 Day Average Stock Price High EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		Oto als		0.0			Long-			-	14 4 ¹	Ochitica	Tomainal	Toursiant					
		STOCK	EP	'S Growth H	Value	ates Hiah	Term	,	ayout Rat	10	Iterative	Solution	Terminal	PEG					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Growth	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	Ratio					
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$76.90	NA	6.00%	3.50%	6.00%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.58%	21.17	3.98	-				
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$64.12	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	6.50%	5.32%	59.00%	57.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.58%	16.19	3.04					
El Paso Electric Company	LE	\$54.34	4.40%	5.10%	3.00%	5.10%	5.32%	61.00%	65.00%	65.57% 65.57%	\$0.00	8.64%	20.81	3.91					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$61.78	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.60%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.31% 8.70%	20.44	3.20					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$39.18	5.20%	NA	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.32%	17.26	3.25					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$41.40	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	7.50%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.26%	17.52	3.30					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.69	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.37%	17.05	3.21	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs		
											Mean	9.09%	18.47			9.22%			
											Max	9.58%				9.71%			
Projected Annual											IVIIII	0.00%				0.7170			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
0	Tislas	0047	0040	0040	0000	0004	0000	0000	2024	0005	0000	0007	0000	2022	0000	0004	0000	0000	
		\$3.13	\$3.32	\$3.52	\$3.73	\$3.95	\$4.19	\$4.44	\$4.70	\$4.97	\$5.25	\$5.55	\$5.85	\$6.16	\$6.49	\$6.83	\$7.10	\$7.58	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.60	\$3.83	\$4.08	\$4.35	\$4.63	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.56	\$5.89	\$6.23	\$6.57	\$6.92	\$7.29	\$7.68	\$8.08	\$8.51	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.54	\$2.67	\$2.81	\$2.95	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.79	\$3.99	\$4.20	\$4.43	\$4.66	\$4.91	\$5.17	\$5.45	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.77	\$1.91	\$2.05	\$2.21	\$2.39	\$2.57	\$2.76	\$2.96	\$3.15	\$3.34	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.13	\$4.35	\$4.58	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.43	\$3.52	\$3.61	\$3.70	\$3.80	\$3.90	\$4.02	\$4.16	\$4.32	\$4.51	\$4.73	\$4.98	\$5.25	\$5.53	\$5.82	\$6.13	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.04	\$2.16	\$2.29	\$2.42	\$2.57	\$2.72	\$2.88	\$3.05	\$3.22	\$3.40	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$3.98	\$4.19	\$4.41	\$4.65	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.06	\$2.22	\$2.39	\$2.56	\$2.76	\$2.96	\$3.17	\$3.39	\$3.61	\$3.82	\$4.04	\$4.26	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$5.24	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.70	\$4.98	\$5.28	\$5.59	\$5.93	\$6.28	\$6.65	\$7.04	\$7.44	\$7.85	\$8.27	\$8.72	\$9.18	\$9.67	\$10.18	\$10.72	
Projected Annual																			
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation				65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67 65%	67 31%	66.06%	66 61%	66 26%	65.00%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Projected Annual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.32	\$2.46	\$2.61	\$2.76	\$2.93	\$3.10	\$3.27	\$3.45	\$3.64	\$3.84	\$4.04	\$4.25	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$160.37
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.26	\$2.38	\$2.51	\$2.64	\$2.87	\$3.12	\$3.38	\$3.65	\$3.93	\$4.23	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.03	\$5.30	\$5.58	\$137.85
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.63	\$1.75	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.23	\$2.35	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.90	\$3.06	\$3.22	\$3.39	\$3.57	\$113.39
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			\$1.16	\$1.26	\$1.37	\$1.48	\$1.61	\$1.74	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.16	\$2.30	\$2.44	\$2.57	\$2.71	\$2.85	\$3.00	\$79.16
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.29	\$2.38 \$1.62	\$2.48 ¢1.72	\$2.58	\$2.64 \$1.01	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.88	\$2.99	\$3.12	\$3.27	\$3.44	\$3.62	\$3.82	\$4.02	\$125.26
PNM Resources Inc	PNM			\$1.00	\$1.02	\$1.72	\$1.02	\$1.51	\$2.00	\$1.92	\$2.15	\$2.20	\$2.50	\$2.40	\$2.01	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$91.78
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$3.09	\$3.27	\$3.47	\$3.68	\$3.93	\$4.19	\$4.47	\$4.76	\$5.07	\$5.38	\$5.71	\$6.02	\$6.34	\$6.68	\$7.03	\$182.83
Designate of Assessed Desta																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
		Initial	[00]	[0.1]	[00]	[00]	[0,1	[00]	[00]	[, 0]	L]	(· ~)	[, 0]	1. 1	[, 0]	[, 0]	[1.1]	[, 0]	
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	
ALLE I E, Inc.	ALE	(\$76.90)	\$0.00	\$2.03	\$2.39	\$2.61	\$2.76	\$2.93	\$3.10	\$3.27	\$3.45	\$3.64	\$3.84	\$4.04	\$4.25	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$165.34	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$64.12) (\$54.34)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.34	\$2.51 ¢1.99	\$2.64 \$2.02	\$2.87	\$3.12	\$3.38 \$2.35	\$3.65	\$3.93	\$4.23	\$4.54 \$2.00	\$4.78 \$3.06	\$5.03	\$5.30	\$143.44 \$116.09	
Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE	(\$37.01) (\$37.01)	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$1.43 \$1.02	\$1.07 \$1.21	\$1.00 \$1.37	\$2.02 \$1.48	92.12 \$1.61	\$2.23 \$1.74	ຈ∠.ວວ \$1 RR	₹2.40 \$2.02	φ∠.01 \$2.16	\$2.70 \$2.30	\$2.90 \$2.44	\$3.00 \$2.57	33.22 \$2.71	30.09 \$2.85	\$82.17	
Northwestern Corporation	NWF	(\$61.78)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.32	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.64	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.88	\$2.99	\$3.12	\$3.27	\$3.44	\$3.62	\$3.82	\$129.28	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$39.18)	\$0.00	\$1.34	\$1.58	\$1.72	\$1.82	\$1.91	\$2.00	\$2.09	\$2.19	\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.89	\$83.25	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$41.40)	\$0.00	\$0.97	\$1.15	\$1.28	\$1.38	\$1.55	\$1.73	\$1.92	\$2.12	\$2.34	\$2.56	\$2.79	\$2.94	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$95.22	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.69)	\$0.00	\$2.70	\$3.18	\$3.47	\$3.68	\$3.93	\$4.19	\$4.47	\$4.76	\$5.07	\$5.38	\$5.71	\$6.02	\$6.34	\$6.68	\$189.86	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 90 Day Average Stock Price Low EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		Otra als		0.0			Long-			-	14 4 ¹	Ochitica	Tomainal	Toursiant					
		Stock	EP	'S Growth F	Value	ates Low	. Term	,	ayout Rat	10	Iterative	Solution	I erminal	PEG					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Growth	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	Ratio	_				
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$76.90	NA	6.00%	3.50%	3.50%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.02%	25.59	4.81	-				
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$64.12	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	4.46%	5.32%	59.00% 61.00%	57.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.00%	18.78	3.53					
El Paso Electric Company		\$04.04 \$37.01	6.40%	7 80%	3.00%	3.00%	5 32%	61.00%	62.00%	65 57%	\$0.00 ¢0.00	9.13%	24.43	4.59					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$61.78	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.50%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.67%	20.60	3.87					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$39.18	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.20%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.09%	18.32	3.44					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$41.40	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	4.10%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.40%	22.41	4.21					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.69	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.16%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.85%	19.53	3.67	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs	_	
											Mean	8.55%	21.68			8.68%			
											Max	9.09%				9.22%			
Projected Annual												0.0270				0.1070			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2010	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	20.28	2020	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE. Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.24	\$3.35	\$3.47	\$3.59	\$3.72	\$3.85	\$3.99	\$4.16	\$4.34	\$4.55	\$4.77	\$5.03	\$5.29	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.19	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.53	\$3.69	\$3.85	\$4.02	\$4.20	\$4.39	\$4.59	\$4.81	\$5.05	\$5.30	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.18	\$6.51	\$6.86	\$7.22	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.49	\$2.57	\$2.64	\$2.72	\$2.81	\$2.89	\$2.99	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.38	\$3.54	\$3.73	\$3.93	\$4.14	\$4.36	\$4.59	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.70	\$1.76	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.09	\$2.18	\$2.27	\$2.38	\$2.50	\$2.63	\$2.77	\$2.92	\$3.08	\$3.24	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.42	\$3.51	\$3.60	\$3.69	\$3.78	\$3.87	\$3.99	\$4.13	\$4.29	\$4.47	\$4.69	\$4.94	\$5.20	\$5.48	\$5.77	\$6.08	
OGE Energy Corp. RNM Resources Inc.	DNM	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.35	\$2.47	\$2.60	\$2.74	\$2.88	\$3.03	\$3.19	\$3.36	\$3.54	\$3.73	\$3.93	\$4.14	\$4.36	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.61	\$2.00	\$5.01	\$5.21	\$5.43	\$5.66	\$5.90	\$6.17	\$6.46	\$6.78	\$7.13	\$7.51	\$7.91	\$8.33	\$8.77	\$9.24	
- Innado Hot Capital Colporation		ψ1.10	φ1.01	<i>ф</i>	\$0.01	ψ0.21	<i>Q</i> 0.10	<i>Q0.00</i>	<i>Q0.00</i>	\$ 0.11	<i>Q</i> 0.10	φ0.7 U	<i></i>		¢1.01		Q 0.111	ψ0.2 T	-
Designed a design																			
Dividend Pavout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	
				1. 1	1.1	11		1.1			1.1	1.1		. ,	. ,			1.4	-
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57 67%	57.00%	58 22%	59.45%	60.67%	61 90%	63 12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	55.57%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35% 65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57% 65.57%	65.57%	65.57% 65.57%	
Pinnacie West Capital Corporation	FINW			02.00%	02.00%	02.00%	02.00%	02.31%	03.0276	03.33%	04.04%	04.55%	05.00%	03.37 %	05.57 %	05.57 %	05.57 %	05.57 %	-
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
				[10]	[11]	[10]	[10]	[00]	[01]	[02]	[00]	[01]	[00]	[00]	[01]	[00]	[00]	[00]	Terminal
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.21	\$2.29	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$158.31
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.18	\$2.25	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$4.74	\$135.63
El Paso Electric Company Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE			\$1.57	\$1.05 \$1.12	\$1.73 \$1.16	\$1.82 \$1.21	\$1.88 \$1.26	\$1.95 \$1.32	\$2.02 \$1.38	\$2.11 \$1.46	\$2.21 \$1.54	\$2.32 \$1.63	\$2.45 \$1.73	\$2.58 \$1.82	\$2.71 \$1.02	\$2.80 \$2.02	\$3.01 \$2.13	\$112.11
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.28	\$2.37	\$2.47	\$2.57	\$2.62	\$2.68	\$2.76	\$2.86	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$3.99	\$125.19
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			\$1.51	\$1.59	\$1.67	\$1.76	\$1.83	\$1.90	\$1.98	\$2.06	\$2.14	\$2.23	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$79.81
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			\$1.04	\$1.08	\$1.13	\$1.17	\$1.28	\$1.39	\$1.51	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1.95	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.36	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$89.31
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$2.98	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$6.06	\$180.48
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
Company	Tieker	Initial	0/15/10	10/01/40	6/20/20	6/20/24	6/20/22	6/20/22	6/20/24	6/20/25	6/20/20	6/20/07	6/20/22	6/20/20	6/20/20	6/20/24	6/20/20	6/20/22	
	ALE	(\$76.90)	2/15/19	\$1.93	\$2.25	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$162.36	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$64.12)	\$0.00	\$1.90	\$2.22	\$2.32	\$2,40	\$2.56	\$2,73	\$2,92	\$3,12	\$3,35	\$3,59	\$3,85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$140.37	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$54.34)	\$0.00	\$1.37	\$1.59	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.11	\$2.21	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$115.12	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	(\$37.01)	\$0.00	\$0.94	\$1.09	\$1.16	\$1.21	\$1.26	\$1.32	\$1.38	\$1.46	\$1.54	\$1.63	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$79.09	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$61.78)	\$0.00	\$1.99	\$2.31	\$2.47	\$2.57	\$2.62	\$2.68	\$2.76	\$2.86	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$129.18	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$39.18)	\$0.00	\$1.32	\$1.55	\$1.67	\$1.76	\$1.83	\$1.90	\$1.98	\$2.06	\$2.14	\$2.23	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$82.66	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$41.40)	\$0.00	\$0.91	\$1.06	\$1.13	\$1.17	\$1.28	\$1.39	\$1.51	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1.95	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.36	\$2.48	\$91.93	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.69)	\$0.00	\$2.60	\$3.04	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$186.54	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 180 Day Average Stock Price Average EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	-				
		Stock		Crowth F	lata Estim	ataa	Long-		Dourout Dati	i.e	Itorativa	Colution	Terminal	Terminal					
		SLUCK	Er	-3 GIOWUI P	Value	ales	Term	r	ayout Rati	10	Iterative	Solution	remina	PEG					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Average	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	Ratio					
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$76.55	NA	6.00%	3.50%	4.75%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.30%	23.15	4.35					
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$61.83	4.70%	4.46%	6.50% 2.00%	5.22%	5.32%	59.00% 61.00%	57.00%	65.57% 65.57%	\$0.00	9.35%	17.12	3.22					
Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE	\$35.00	6.40%	7.80%	3.00%	4.17 % 5.90%	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.01%	10.24	4.43					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$59.97	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.56%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.79%	19.87	3.74					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$37.55	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.60%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.38%	17.02	3.20					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$40.10	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	5.43%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.82%	19.69	3.70					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$83.10	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.92%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.22%	17.69	3.33	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs		
											Mean	8.88%	19.66			9.01%			
											Max	9.38%				9.51%			
Projected Annual											IVIIII	0.2370				0.30%			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, INC. Block Hills Corneration	ALE	\$3.13 ¢2.20	\$3.28 \$3.56	\$3.43 ¢2.74	\$3.0U	\$3.77 ¢4.14	\$3.95 ¢4.26	\$4.13 ¢4.50	\$4.34	\$4.55 ¢E.09	\$4.78 ¢E 2E	\$5.02 ¢5.62	\$5.29 ¢5.00	\$0.07 ¢6.04	\$0.00 ¢¢ E0	\$0.17 ¢6.02	\$0.50 ¢7.20	30.00 ¢7.00	
El Paso Electric Company	FE	\$3.30 \$2.42	\$2.50	\$2.74 \$2.63	\$3.94 \$2.74	\$94.14 \$2.85	\$4.30 \$2.97	\$4.09 \$3.00	\$4.00 \$3.23	\$3.00 \$3.37	\$3.50 \$3.53	\$3.03 \$3.71	\$3.93 \$3.90	\$0.24 \$4.10	\$0.00	\$0.93 \$4.55	\$4.80	\$7.00	
Hawaiian Electric Industries. Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.74	\$1.84	\$1.95	\$2.06	\$2.18	\$2.31	\$2.45	\$2.59	\$2.73	\$2.88	\$3.04	\$3.20	\$3.37	\$3.55	\$3.74	\$3.94	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.43	\$3.51	\$3.60	\$3.70	\$3.79	\$3.89	\$4.01	\$4.14	\$4.31	\$4.50	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$5.23	\$5.51	\$5.80	\$6.11	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.03	\$2.14	\$2.26	\$2.39	\$2.52	\$2.66	\$2.81	\$2.97	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$4.27	\$4.50	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.13	\$2.25	\$2.37	\$2.50	\$2.64	\$2.78	\$2.93	\$3.09	\$3.25	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.00	\$4.22	\$4.44	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.65	\$4.88	\$5.12	\$5.37	\$5.63	\$5.91	\$6.20	\$6.52	\$6.85	\$7.21	\$7.59	\$7.99	\$8.41	\$8.86	\$9.33	\$9.83	
Projected Annual																			
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	
-																			
Company ALLETE Inc	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57 67%	57.00%	58 22%	59.45%	60.67%	61 90%	63 12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Projected Annual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.27	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$4.49	\$158.54
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.04	\$131.56
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.60	\$1.70	\$1.81	\$1.93	\$2.01	\$2.10	\$2.20	\$2.31	\$2.42	\$2.55	\$2.69	\$2.83	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.31	\$118.89
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			\$1.12	\$1.19	\$1.27	\$1.35	\$1.45	\$1.54	\$1.64	\$1.75	\$1.86	\$1.98	\$2.10	\$2.21	\$2.33	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$75.75
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.01	\$121.43
PNM Resources Inc	PNM			\$1.02	\$1.01 \$1.13	\$1.70 \$1.10	\$1.79 \$1.25	\$1.07 \$1.38	\$1.90 \$1.51	φ2.04 \$1.66	\$2.12 \$1.82	φ2.21 \$1.00	\$2.30 \$2.17	\$2.40 \$2.37	\$2.00 \$2.40	\$2.00 \$2.62	\$2.00 \$2.76	\$2.90 \$2.91	\$70.57 \$87.44
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$3.02	\$3.17	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.52	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$6.44	\$173.82
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				****	+ • · · · ·					+		1		++			****=		+
Projected Annual Data		1001	1001	10.41	1051	1001	1071	1001	1001	1701	(74)	1701	1701	17.41	(70)	1701	(77)	1701	
Investor Cash Flows		[02]	[03]	[64]	[60]	[00]	[07]	[66]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[/4]	[/5]	[76]	[[1]]	[/8]	
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	(\$76.55)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.32	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$163.03	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$61.83)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.27	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$136.60	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$57.24)	\$0.00	\$1.40	\$1.64	\$1.81	\$1.93	\$2.01	\$2.10	\$2.20	\$2.31	\$2.42	\$2.55	\$2.69	\$2.83	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$122.20	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	(\$35.90)	\$0.00	\$0.98	\$1.16	\$1.27	\$1.35	\$1.45	\$1.54	\$1.64	\$1.75	\$1.86	\$1.98	\$2.10	\$2.21	\$2.33	\$2.45	\$78.33	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$59.97)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.31	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$125.44	
DGE Energy Corp.	DNM	(\$37.55) (\$40.10)	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$1.33 \$0.03	\$1.55 \$1.10	ຈາ./U ¢1.10	\$1.79 \$1.25	⇒1.8/ ¢1.39	31.95 \$1.51	ֆ∠.U4 \$1.66	\$∠.12 ¢1.92	\$∠.21 ¢1.00	\$∠.30 ¢2.17	ຈ∠.40 ¢2.37	\$∠.53 \$2.40	\$∠.00 ¢2.62	⇒∠.80 ¢2.76	\$19.52 \$00.36	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$40.10)	φ0.00 \$0.00	\$0.93 \$2.64	\$3.10	\$3.33	\$1.20 \$3.49	\$3.69	\$1.01 \$3.91	\$4.14	\$1.02 \$4.39	91.99 \$4.65	92.17 \$4.93	φ∠.37 \$5.24	φ2.49 \$5.52	⇒∠.0∠ \$5.81	φ2.70 \$6.12	\$180.30 \$180.26	
		(+-0.10)	+	+=	+	+00	+	+=.00	÷ = . • ·	Ŧ :: ! !	÷	+	+		+vL	÷ = . • .		,	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 180 Day Average Stock Price High EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Long-	
Stock LDS Crowth Pate Letimates LATM Dayout Patio Iterative Solution Larminal Larminal	
Sidox <u>EPS Glowin Kate Eminiantess</u> remining Payou Kato iterative Solution remininanterimina	
Company Ticker Price Zacks First Call Line Growth Growth 2019 2022 2029 Proof IRR P/E Ratio Ratio	
ALLE \$76.55 NA 6.00% 3.50% 6.00% 5.52% 66.00% 66.07% 65.57% \$0.00 8.59% 21.07 3.96	
Diadx finits Culporation Dr. 401.05 4.70% 4.40% 5.00% 5.00% 5.52% 59.00% 57.00% 50.57% \$0.00 9.74% 15.02 2.94	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. HE \$35,90 6.40% 7.80% 3.50% 7.80% 5.32% 61.00% 62.00% 65.57% \$0.00 9.44% 16.77 3.15	
Northwestern Corporation NWE \$59.97 2.60% 2.59% 2.50% 2.60% 5.32% 65.00% 68.00% 65.57% \$0.00 8.80% 19.82 3.73	
OGE Energy Corp. OGE \$37.55 5.20% NA 6.00% 6.00% 5.32% 71.00% 65.57% \$0.00 9.50% 16.52 3.11	
PNM Resources, Inc. PNM \$40.10 4.70% 4.10% 7.50% 7.50% 5.32% 50.00% 50.00% 65.57% \$0.00 9.38% 17.00 3.20	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation PNW \$83.10 4.60% 4.16% 6.00% 6.00% 5.32% 62.00% 65.57% \$0.00 9.54% 16.34 3.07 Including Flotation Costs	
Mean 9.16% 18.13 9.31%	
Min 8/47% 8.60%	
Projected Annual	
Earnings per Share [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [29] [30]	_
Company. Ticker 2017 2018 2010 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033	
ALLETE, Inc. ALE \$3.13 \$3.52 \$3.73 \$3.95 \$4.19 \$4.44 \$4.70 \$4.70 \$5.25 \$5.55 \$5.85 \$6.85 \$6.83 \$7.19 \$7.58	-
Black Hills Corporation BKH \$3.38 \$3.60 \$3.83 \$4.08 \$4.35 \$4.63 \$4.93 \$5.24 \$5.56 \$5.89 \$6.23 \$6.57 \$6.92 \$7.29 \$7.68 \$8.08 \$8.51	
El Paso Electric Company EE \$2.42 \$2.54 \$2.67 \$2.81 \$2.95 \$3.10 \$3.26 \$3.43 \$3.61 \$3.79 \$3.99 \$4.20 \$4.43 \$4.66 \$4.91 \$5.17 \$5.45	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. HE \$1.64 \$1.77 \$1.91 \$2.05 \$2.21 \$2.39 \$2.57 \$2.76 \$2.96 \$3.15 \$3.34 \$3.54 \$3.72 \$3.92 \$4.13 \$4.35 \$4.58	
Northwestern Corporation NWE \$3.34 \$3.43 \$3.52 \$3.61 \$3.70 \$3.80 \$4.02 \$4.16 \$4.32 \$4.51 \$4.73 \$4.98 \$5.25 \$5.53 \$5.53 \$5.82 \$6.13	
UGE Energy COPD. UGE \$1.92 \$2.04 \$2.16 \$2.29 \$2.42 \$2.57 \$2.72 \$2.88 \$3.05 \$3.22 \$3.40 \$3.59 \$3.76 \$3.98 \$4.19 \$4.19 \$4.14 \$4.05 DNM Description Inc. DNM \$1.09 \$2.06 \$2.22 \$2.42 \$2.57 \$2.06 \$2.17 \$2.30 \$2.16 \$2.39 \$4.07 \$4.26 \$4.48 \$4.19 \$4.19 \$4.19 \$4.10 \$	
From resolutes, inc. From 41.52 92.00 92.22 92.30 92.00 92.10 93.17 93.59 93.01 93.02 94.04 94.20 94.40 94.2 94.51 93.24	
	-
Projected Annual	
r ruje uda znima Dividend Payout Ratio [31] [32] [33] [34] [35] [36] [37] [38] [39] [40] [41] [42] [43] [44] [45]	
	-
Company Ticker 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2031 2032 2033 JLIETE DR 64.00% 66.00%	_
Black Hills Corporation BKH 59,00% 58,33% 57,67% 57,00% 58,27% 59,45% 60,67% 61,90% 63,12% 64,35% 65,57% 65,57% 65,57% 65,57%	
El Paso Electric Company EE 61.00% 62.33% 63.67% 65.00% 65.08% 65.16% 65.24% 65.33% 65.41% 65.49% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57%	3
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. HE 61.00% 61.33% 61.67% 62.00% 62.51% 63.02% 63.53% 64.04% 64.55% 65.06% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57%	,
Northwestern Corporation NWE 65.00% 66.00% 67.00% 68.00% 67.65% 67.31% 66.96% 66.61% 66.26% 65.92% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57% 65.57%	
OGE 71.00% 71.00% 71.00% 70.22% 69.45% 68.67% 65.57% <td>)</td>)
Prival Resources, Inc. Prival 30.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 50.00% 52.42% 54.43% 50.61% 50.31% 60.31%	-
	_
Performed Associated	
r ruje uda Animua Cash Flows (46) (47) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (53) (54) (55) (56) (57) (58) (59) (60)	[61]
	Terminal
Company Ticker 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033	Value
ALLE LE, INC. ALE \$2.32 \$2.46 \$2.61 \$2.76 \$2.93 \$3.10 \$3.27 \$3.45 \$3.64 \$3.84 \$4.04 \$4.25 \$4.48 \$4.72 \$4.9 \$1.25 \$4.48 \$4.26 \$4.26 \$4.28 \$4.26 \$4.26 \$4.28 \$	\$159.64
Diack fulls (Offportation) DKT 3∠.20 3∠.21 3∠.04 3∠.51 3∠.04 33.12 33.30 30.05 30.05 34.23 34.23 34.04 34.76 30.03 30.03 30.30 30.50 30.05 30.0	\$133.00
Line addition planty Let \$1.0 \$1.0 \$1.0 \$1.0 \$2.0 \$2.0 \$2.0 \$2.0 \$2.0 \$2.0 \$2.0 \$2	\$76.83
Northwestern Corporation NWE \$2.29 \$2.38 \$2.48 \$2.58 \$2.64 \$2.70 \$2.78 \$2.88 \$2.99 \$3.12 \$3.27 \$3.44 \$3.62 \$3.82 \$4.02	\$121.45
OGE Energy Corp. OGE \$1.53 \$1.62 \$1.72 \$1.82 \$1.91 \$2.00 \$2.09 \$2.19 \$2.28 \$2.38 \$2.48 \$2.61 \$2.75 \$2.89 \$3.05	\$76.77
PNM Resources, Inc. PNM \$1.11 \$1.19 \$1.28 \$1.38 \$1.55 \$1.73 \$1.92 \$2.12 \$2.34 \$2.56 \$2.79 \$2.94 \$3.10 \$3.26 \$3.43	\$89.02
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation PNW \$3.09 \$3.27 \$3.47 \$3.68 \$3.93 \$4.19 \$4.47 \$4.76 \$5.07 \$5.38 \$5.71 \$6.02 \$6.34 \$6.68 \$7.03	\$175.24
Projected Annual Data	
Investor Cash Flows [62] [63] [64] [65] [66] [67] [68] [69] [70] [71] [72] [73] [74] [75] [76] [77] [78]	
Initial Company Ticker Outflow 2/15/19 12/31/19 6/30/20 6/30/21 6/30/22 6/30/23 6/30/24 6/30/26 6/30/26 6/30/26 6/30/26	3
ALLETE, Inc. ALE (\$76,55) \$0,00 \$2,03 \$2,39 \$2,61 \$2,76 \$2,93 \$2,310 \$3,27 \$3,45 \$3,64 \$3,64 \$4,34 \$4,72 \$164,6	
Black Hills Corporation BKH (\$61.83) \$0.00 \$1.98 \$2.34 \$2.51 \$2.64 \$2.87 \$3.12 \$3.38 \$3.65 \$3.93 \$4.23 \$4.54 \$4.78 \$5.03 \$5.30 \$138.5	5
El Paso Electric Company EE (\$57.24) \$0.00 \$1.43 \$1.67 \$1.88 \$2.02 \$2.12 \$2.23 \$2.35 \$2.48 \$2.61 \$2.75 \$2.90 \$3.06 \$3.22 \$3.39 \$123.0	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. HE (\$35.90) \$0.00 \$1.02 \$1.21 \$1.37 \$1.48 \$1.61 \$1.74 \$1.88 \$2.02 \$2.16 \$2.30 \$2.44 \$2.57 \$2.71 \$2.85 \$79.82	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. HE \$3.590 \$0.00 \$1.22 \$1.21 \$1.37 \$1.48 \$1.61 \$1.74 \$1.88 \$2.02 \$2.16 \$2.30 \$2.44 \$2.57 \$2.71 \$2.85 \$79.85 Northwestern Corporation NWE (\$59.97) \$0.00 \$2.00 \$2.28 \$2.64 \$2.70 \$2.88 \$2.99 \$3.12 \$3.27 \$3.44 \$3.62 \$1.82 \$1.20 \$1.91 \$1.92 \$1.91 \$1.91 \$1.91 \$1.91 \$1.74 \$1.88 \$2.02 \$2.16 \$2.30 \$2.44 \$2.57 \$2.71 \$2.85 \$79.85 Northwestern Corporation NWE (\$59.97) \$0.00 \$2.00 \$2.70 \$2.78 \$2.88 \$2.99 \$3.12 \$3.27 \$3.44 \$3.62 \$2.82 \$12.94	7
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc. HE \$3.590 \$0.00 \$1.02 \$1.12 \$1.37 \$1.48 \$1.61 \$1.74 \$1.88 \$2.02 \$2.16 \$2.30 \$2.44 \$2.57 \$2.71 \$2.85 \$79.85 Northwestern Corporation NWE \$59.97) \$0.00 \$2.00 \$2.28 \$2.64 \$2.70 \$2.88 \$2.99 \$3.12 \$3.27 \$3.44 \$3.62 \$3.82 \$155 OGE Energy Corp. OGE (\$37.55) \$0.00 \$1.34 \$1.58 \$1.72 \$1.82 \$1.91 \$2.00 \$2.99 \$2.28 \$2.88 \$2.98 \$2.61 \$2.75 \$2.08 \$2.99 \$3.12 \$3.27 \$3.44 \$3.62 \$3.82 \$155 \$1.91 \$2.00 \$2.99 \$2.18 \$2.38 \$2.48 \$2.97 \$2.88 \$2.99 \$3.12 \$3.27 \$3.44 \$3.62 \$3.28 \$155 \$1.91 \$2.00 \$2.19 \$2.28 \$2.38 \$2.48 \$2.61 \$2.76 \$2.70 \$2.41 \$2.61 \$2.76 \$2.70 \$2.41 \$2.32 \$2.34 \$2.41 \$2.49 \$2.4	7

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model 180 Day Average Stock Price Low EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		Oto all		0.0			Long-			-	14 45	Ochitica	Terminel	Terreireel					
		SLOCK	Er	S Growin P	Value	Low	Tenn	1	ayout Rati	0	Iterative	Solution	rminai	PEG					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Growth	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	Ratio					
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$76.55	NA	6.00%	3.50%	3.50%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.03%	25.48	4.79					
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$61.83	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	4.46%	5.32%	59.00%	57.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.13%	18.10	3.40					
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$57.24	4.40%	5.10%	3.00%	3.00%	5.32%	61.00%	65.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.00%	25.75	4.84					
Hawalian Electric Industries, Inc.		\$35.90	0.40% 2.60%	7.80%	3.50%	3.50%	5.32%	65.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.32%	23.03	4.33					
OGE Energy Corp	OGE	\$37.55	5.20%	2.3370 NA	6.00%	5 20%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.26%	17.53	3.30					
PNM Resources. Inc.	PNM	\$40.10	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	4.10%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.50%	21.72	4.08					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$83.10	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.16%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.01%	18.71	3.52	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs		
											Mean	8.63%	21.29			8.76%			
											Max	9.26%				9.39%			
Projected Appual											Min	8.00%				8.13%			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
51								1 1		. ,	1 1		1.1					1.1	
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.24	\$3.35	\$3.47	\$3.59	\$3.72	\$3.85	\$3.99	\$4.16	\$4.34	\$4.55	\$4.77	\$5.03	\$5.29	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.19	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.53	\$3.69	\$3.85	\$4.02	\$4.20	\$4.39	\$4.59	\$4.81	\$5.05	\$5.30	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.18	\$6.51	\$6.86	\$7.22	
El Paso Electric Company Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE	\$2.42 \$1.64	\$2.49 \$1.70	\$2.57 \$1.76	\$2.04 \$1.82	\$2.72 \$1.88	\$2.81 \$1.95	\$2.89 \$2.02	\$2.99 \$2.00	\$3.10 \$2.18	\$3.23 \$2.27	\$3.38 \$2.38	\$3.54 \$2.50	\$3.73 \$2.63	\$3.93 \$2.77	\$4.14 \$2.02	\$4.30 \$3.08	\$4.59 \$3.24	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.42	\$3.51	\$3.60	\$3.69	\$3.78	\$3.87	\$3.99	\$4.13	\$4.29	\$2.30 \$4.47	\$4.69	\$2.03 \$4.94	\$5.20	\$5.48	\$5.00	\$6.08	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.35	\$2.47	\$2.60	\$2.74	\$2.88	\$3.03	\$3.19	\$3.36	\$3.54	\$3.73	\$3.93	\$4.14	\$4.36	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.00	\$2.08	\$2.17	\$2.25	\$2.35	\$2.44	\$2.55	\$2.66	\$2.79	\$2.93	\$3.08	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$3.98	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.61	\$4.81	\$5.01	\$5.21	\$5.43	\$5.66	\$5.90	\$6.17	\$6.46	\$6.78	\$7.13	\$7.51	\$7.91	\$8.33	\$8.77	\$9.24	
Projected Annual																			
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	
-																			
Company ALLETE Inc	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PINW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	05.57%	65.57%	05.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Projected Annual				1401	[47]	[40]	[40]	1501	1541	15.01	1501	15.41	10.01	15.01	(67)	1501	15.01	1001	1041
Casil Flows				[40]	[47]	[40]	[49]	[50]	[31]	[32]	[00]	[94]	[55]	[30]	[57]	[00]	[59]	[00]	Terminal
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.21	\$2.29	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$157.58
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.18	\$2.25	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$4.74	\$130.79
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.57	\$1.65	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.11	\$2.21	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$3.01	\$118.21
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			\$1.07	\$1.12	\$1.16	\$1.21	\$1.26	\$1.32	\$1.38	\$1.46	\$1.54	\$1.63	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.13	\$74.64
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.28 \$1.51	\$2.37 \$1.50	\$2.47 \$1.67	\$2.57 \$1.76	\$2.62	\$2.68	\$2.76	\$2.86	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78 \$2.71	\$3.99	\$121.39
PNM Resources Inc	PNM			\$1.04	\$1.08	\$1.07	\$1.70	\$1.00	\$1.30	\$1.50 \$1.51	\$1.64	φ2.14 \$1.70	\$1.05	\$2.52	\$2.40	\$2.30	\$2.71	\$2.00	\$86.56
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$2.98	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$6.06	\$172.90
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					*****					+	•	1		+					+
Projected Annual Data		1621	[63]	16/1	[65]	1661	[67]	1691	1601	1701	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[79]	
		Initial	[03]	[04]	[03]	[00]	[07]	lool	[03]	[/0]	[/ 1]	[14]	[13]	[/*]	[13]	[/0]	['']	[10]	
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	(\$76.55)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.25	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$161.64	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$61.83)	\$0.00	\$1.90	\$2.22	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$135.52	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$57.24)	\$0.00	\$1.37	\$1.59	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.11	\$2.21	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$121.22	
nawalian Electric Industries, Inc.		(\$35.90) (\$50.07)	\$U.UU \$0.00	\$U.94 \$1.00	\$1.09	\$1.16 ¢2.47	\$1.21 ¢2.57	\$1.26	\$1.32	\$1.38	\$1.40	\$1.54	\$1.63	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$/0./0 \$125.20	
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	(\$37.55)	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$1.99 \$1.32	φ∠.01 \$1.55	φ∠.47 \$1.67	φ2.07 \$1.76	\$2.02 \$1.83	φ∠.00 \$1.90	φ2.70 \$1.98	φ∠.00 \$2.06	φ2.97 \$2.14	\$3.09 \$2.23	φ3.24 \$2.32	\$2.41 \$2.45	\$2.09 \$2.58	\$3.70 \$2.71	\$79.23 \$79.23	
PNM Resources. Inc.	PNM	(\$40.10)	\$0.00	\$0,91	\$1.06	\$1.13	\$1.17	\$1,28	\$1.39	\$1.50	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1,95	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.36	\$2,48	\$89.18	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$83.10)	\$0.00	\$2.60	\$3.04	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$178.96	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model - Terminal P/E Ratio Equals 19.82 30 Day Average Stock Price Average EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]					
		Stock	FF	PS Growth F	Rate Estima	ates	Long- Term	F	Pavout Rati	0	Iterative	Solution	Terminal	Terminal					
		otock		0 Olowall	Value	105			ayour ruu	0	neranve	Colution	rennina	rennina					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Average	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	PEG Ratio					
ALLE I E, Inc.	ALE	\$75.79	NA 4 70%	6.00%	3.50%	4.75%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	7.47%	19.82	3.73					
El Dese Electric Company	BKH	\$00.05 ¢E1.0E	4.70%	4.40%	0.00%	5.22% 4 170/	5.32% 5.32%	59.00% 61.00%	57.00% 65.00%	00.07%	\$0.00	9.56%	19.82	3.73					
Lawaiian Electric Company		\$01.20 ¢26.60	4.40% 6.40%	7 000/	3.00%	4.17 %	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	00.07 % CE E 70/	\$0.00 ¢0.00	0.23%	19.02	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation		\$62.50	2.60%	2.50%	2.50%	2.56%	5 32%	65.00%	68.00%	65 57%	\$0.00	8 38%	19.02	3.73					
OGE Energy Corp	OGE	\$40.48	5 20%	NA	6.00%	5.60%	5 32%	71 00%	71 00%	65 57%	\$0.00	9.51%	10.02	3 73					
PNM Resources Inc	PNM	\$41.40	4 70%	4 10%	7 50%	5.43%	5 32%	50.00%	50.00%	65 57%	\$0.00	8 44%	10.02	3 73					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.95	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.92%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.44%	19.82	3.73	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs		
		+									Mean	8.74%	19.82			8.87%		•	
											Max	9.56%				9.69%			
Projected Appual											Min	7.47%				7.60%			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	-
ALLE I E, Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.28	\$3.43	\$3.60	\$3.77	\$3.95	\$4.13	\$4.34	\$4.55	\$4.78	\$5.02	\$5.29	\$5.57	\$5.86	\$6.17	\$6.50	\$6.85	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.00 ¢0.50	\$3.74	\$3.94 ¢0.74	\$4.14	\$4.30	\$4.59	\$4.83	\$0.08 ¢0.07	\$0.30 ¢0.50	\$0.03 ¢0.74	\$5.93	\$0.24 ¢4.40	\$0.58 ©4.00	\$0.93 ¢4.55	\$7.29	\$7.00	
El Paso Electric Company		\$2.42 \$1.64	\$2.52 ¢1.74	\$2.03 ¢1.04	\$2.74 ¢1.05	\$2.80 \$2.06	\$2.97 ¢0.10	\$3.09 ¢0.01	\$3.23 \$3.45	\$3.37 ¢3.50	\$3.53 ¢0.70	\$3./I	\$3.90	\$4.10 ¢2.20	\$4.32 ¢2.27	\$4.00 ¢2.55	\$4.80 ¢2.74	\$0.00 \$2.04	
Northwestern Corporation		\$1.04 \$3.34	φ1./4 ¢3./3	ວງ1.04 ¢3.51	\$1.90 \$3.60	\$2.00 \$3.70	\$2.10 \$3.70	\$2.31 \$3.90	\$2.40 ¢4.01	\$2.09 ¢4.14	\$Z.73 \$4.31	\$2.00 \$4.50	\$3.04 ¢4.72	\$3.20 ¢4.07	\$3.37 \$5.23	\$3.00 ¢5.51	\$3.74 \$5.80	\$0.94 ¢6.11	
OGE Epergy Corp.	OGE	\$3.34 ¢1.02	\$3.43 \$2.03	\$3.01 \$2.14	\$3.00 \$2.26	\$3.70 \$2.20	\$3.79 \$2.52	\$3.09 \$2.66	04.01 ¢2.91	φ4.14 ¢2.07	04.01 ¢3.13	\$4.00 \$3.30	94.7Z \$3.47	\$4.97 \$3.66	\$0.20 \$3.95	\$0.01 \$4.06	\$0.00 ¢4.27	\$0.11 \$4.50	
PNM Resources Inc	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.03	\$2.14	\$2.20	\$2.35	\$2.52	\$2.00	\$2.01	\$2.57	\$3.10	\$3.25	\$3.47	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.00	\$4.27	\$4.30	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.65	\$4.88	\$5.12	\$5.37	\$5.63	\$5.91	\$6.20	\$6.52	\$6.85	\$7.21	\$7.59	\$7.99	\$8.41	\$8.86	\$9.33	\$9.83	
- milder voor oapital oorporation		\$ 1.10	ψ1.00	÷ 1.00	φ0.12	<i>Q</i> 0.01	<i>Q0.00</i>	\$0.01	φ0.20	\$0.02	0 0.00	ψ1. <u></u>	¢1.00	φr.00	<i>Q</i> 0 .11		<i>Q0.00</i>	<i>\</i> 0.00	-
Designate of Augustal																			
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			/1.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PINM Resources, Inc. Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PINIM			50.00% 62.00%	50.00% 62.00%	50.00% 62.00%	50.00% 62.00%	52.22% 62.51%	54.45% 63.02%	50.07% 63.53%	58.90% 64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65 57%	
	FINW			02.0078	02.0070	02.00 /0	02.0070	02.0170	03.02 /0	03.3370	04.0470	04.3370	03.00%	00.0770	05.57 /0	05.57 /0	03.37 /0	03.37 /0	-
Projected Annual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.27	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$4.49	\$135.75
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.04	\$152.27
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.60	\$1.70	\$1.81	\$1.93	\$2.01	\$2.10	\$2.20	\$2.31	\$2.42	\$2.55	\$2.69	\$2.83	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.31	\$100.09
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			\$1.12	\$1.19	\$1.27	\$1.35	\$1.45	\$1.54	\$1.64	\$1.75	\$1.86	\$1.98	\$2.10	\$2.21	\$2.33	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$78.04
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.01	\$121.11
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			\$1.52	\$1.61	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$1.87	\$1.95	\$2.04	\$2.12	\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.40	\$2.53	\$2.66	\$2.80	\$2.95	\$89.19
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			\$1.07	\$1.13	\$1.19	\$1.25	\$1.38	\$1.51	\$1.66	\$1.82	\$1.99	\$2.17	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.62	\$2.76	\$2.91	\$88.00
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$3.02	\$3.17	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.52	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$6.44	\$194.79
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
		Initial	[00]	[01]	[00]	[00]	[01]	[00]	[00]	[10]	[]	[, 2]	[, 0]	[]	[10]	[10]	[]	[10]	•
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	-
ALLE IE, Inc.	ALE	(\$75.79)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.32	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$140.24	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$66.04)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.27	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$157.31	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$51.24)	\$0.00	\$1.40	\$1.64	\$1.81	\$1.93	\$2.01	\$2.10	\$2.20	\$2.31	\$2.42	\$2.55	\$2.69	\$2.83	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$103.40 \$00.00	
nawaiian Electric industries, Inc.	HE	(\$36.69)	\$U.00	\$0.98	\$1.16	\$1.27	\$1.35	\$1.45	\$1.54	\$1.64	\$1.75	\$1.86	\$1.98	\$2.10	\$2.21	\$2.33	\$2.45	\$80.63	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$62.50) (\$40.49)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.31	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63 ¢1.97	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.20	\$3.43 ¢2 = 2	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$125.12 \$02.14	
PNM Resources Inc	DNIM	(\$40.40) (\$41.00)	φ0.00 ¢0.00	\$0.03	\$1.00 \$1.10	\$1.70 \$1.10	\$1.79 \$1.25	\$1.07 \$1.29	31.90 \$1.51	φ2.04 \$1.66	φ∠.1∠ \$1.92	φ2.21 \$1.00	⇒∠.3U \$2.17	φ2.40 \$2.27	\$2.00 \$2.40	\$2.00 \$2.60	⇒2.00 \$2.76	492.14 \$00.01	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86,95)	\$0.00	\$2.64	\$3.10	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.52	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$201.24	
		V																	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model - Terminal P/E Ratio Equals 19.82 30 Day Average Stock Price High EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		Stock	EF	PS Growth F	Rate Estima	ates	Long- Term	F	Payout Rati	io	Iterative	e Solution	Terminal	Terminal					
Company	Tieker	Drice	Zacks	First Call	Value	High	Crouth	2010		2020	Droof		D/E Botio	DEC Batia					
	ALE	¢75.70	NA	6.00%	3 50%	6.00%	5 32%	66.00%	66.00%	65 57%	\$0.00	9.33%	10.92	3 73	-				
ALLE I E, IIIC. Black Hills Corporation	ALE	\$70.79 \$66.05	/ 70%	4.46%	6.50%	6.50%	5 3 2 %	50.00%	57.00%	65 57%	\$0.00 ¢0.00	0.00%	10.02	3.73					
El Base Electric Compony		\$00.00 ¢E1.0E	4.70%	4.40% E 10%	2.00%	0.00% E 10%	5.32%	61.00%	S7.00%	05.57 % CE E70/	\$0.00 ¢0.00	0.00%	19.02	3.73					
Lawaiiaa Elastaia ladustaisa Jaa		\$01.20 \$00.00	4.40%	3.10%	3.00%	7.000/	5.32%	01.00%	00.00%	05.57%	\$0.00 ¢0.00	0.90%	19.02	3.73					
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$36.69	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	7.80%	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10.19%	19.82	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$62.50	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.60%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.41%	19.82	3.73					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$40.48	5.20%	NA	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.79%	19.82	3.73					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$41.99	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	7.50%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.85%	19.82	3.73					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.95	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10.20%	19.82	3.73	Includ	ing Flotatio	on Costs	_	
											Mean	9.52%	19.82			9.65%			
											Max	(10.46%) 8.33%				10.59% 8.46%			
Projected Annual												0.0070				0.1070			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	-
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.32	\$3.52	\$3.73	\$3.95	\$4.19	\$4.44	\$4.70	\$4.97	\$5.25	\$5.55	\$5.85	\$6.16	\$6.49	\$6.83	\$7.19	\$7.58	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.60	\$3.83	\$4.08	\$4.35	\$4.63	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.56	\$5.89	\$6.23	\$6.57	\$6.92	\$7.29	\$7.68	\$8.08	\$8.51	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.54	\$2.67	\$2.81	\$2.95	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.79	\$3.99	\$4.20	\$4.43	\$4.66	\$4.91	\$5.17	\$5.45	
Hawaijan Electric Industries. Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.77	\$1.91	\$2,05	\$2,21	\$2.39	\$2.57	\$2.76	\$2,96	\$3.15	\$3.34	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.13	\$4.35	\$4.58	
Northwestern Corporation	NW/E	\$3.34	\$3.43	\$3.52	\$3.61	\$3.70	\$3.80	\$3.00	\$4.02	\$4.16	\$4.32	\$4.51	\$4.73	\$4.98	\$5.25	\$5.53	\$5.82	\$6.13	
OGE Energy Corp	OGE	\$1.02	\$2.04	\$2.16	\$2.20	\$2.42	\$2.57	\$2.72	\$2.88	\$3.05	\$3.22	\$3.40	\$3.50	\$3.78	\$3.02	\$4.10	\$4.41	\$4.65	
PNM Resources Inc	PNM	\$1.02	\$2.04	\$2.10	\$2.20	\$2.42	\$2.37 \$2.76	\$2.06	\$3.17	\$3.00	\$3.61	\$3.82	\$4.04	\$4.26	\$4.42	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$5.24	
Pinnacle West Canital Corporation		\$4.43	\$4.70	\$4 09	ψ∠.39 \$5.29	\$5.50	\$5.02	¢∠.90 \$6.2₽	90.17 \$6.65	\$7.09 \$7.04	\$3.01 \$7.44	\$7.95	94.04 \$8.07	φ+.20 \$8.70	\$94.40 \$0.1Ω	\$0.67	ምዓ.ህ/ \$10 19	φJ.24 \$10.72	
	(* 1NVV	φ 4 .40	φ 4 ./U	φ 4 .90	ψJ.20	φJ.U9	<i>40.9</i> 0	φυ.20	φ0.00	ψ1.04	44 .19	ψι.00	φ0.21	φυ.1Ζ	ψ υ .10	49.01	φ10.10	φ10.72	-
Projected Appual																			
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	-
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	FF			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70 22%	69.45%	68 67%	67.90%	67 12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources Inc	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52 22%	54 45%	56 67%	58 90%	61 12%	63.35%	65.57%	65 57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Projected Annual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.32	\$2.46	\$2.61	\$2.76	\$2.93	\$3.10	\$3.27	\$3.45	\$3.64	\$3.84	\$4.04	\$4.25	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$150.15
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.26	\$2.38	\$2.51	\$2.64	\$2.87	\$3.12	\$3.38	\$3.65	\$3.93	\$4.23	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.03	\$5.30	\$5.58	\$168.77
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.63	\$1.75	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.23	\$2.35	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.90	\$3.06	\$3.22	\$3.39	\$3.57	\$107.97
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			\$1.16	\$1.26	\$1.37	\$1.48	\$1.61	\$1.74	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.16	\$2.30	\$2.44	\$2.57	\$2.71	\$2.85	\$3.00	\$90.80
Northwestern Corporation	NWF			\$2.29	\$2,38	\$2,48	\$2.58	\$2,64	\$2.70	\$2,78	\$2.88	\$2.99	\$3.12	\$3.27	\$3.44	\$3.62	\$3.82	\$4.02	\$121.48
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			\$1.53	\$1.62	\$1.72	\$1.82	\$1.91	\$2.00	\$2.09	\$2.19	\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.89	\$3.05	\$92.10
PNM Resources. Inc.	PNM			\$1.11	\$1.19	\$1.28	\$1.38	\$1.55	\$1.73	\$1.92	\$2.12	\$2.34	\$2.56	\$2.79	\$2.94	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$103.81
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PN\//			\$3.00	\$3.27	\$3.47	\$3.69	\$3.03	\$4.10	\$4.47	\$4.76	\$5.07	\$5.38	\$5.71	\$6.02	\$6.34	\$6.62	\$7.02	\$212.51
	FINW			φ 3. 09	ψ3.21	φ3.4 <i>1</i>	φ3.00	φ 3. 90	φ4.15	ψ 4 .47	φ 4 .70	φ 3. 07	φ0.00	φ3.71	ψ0.02	ψ0.04	φ0.00	φr.05	ΨΖ ΙΖ.Ο Ι
Projected Annual Data		1001	1001	10.41	1051	1001	1071	1001	1001	1701	[74]	1701	1701	[7.4]	1701	1701	(77)	(70)	
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[/1]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	-
Company	Ticker	Initiai Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	(\$75.79)	\$0.00	\$2.03	\$2.39	\$2.61	\$2.76	\$2.93	\$3.10	\$3.27	\$3.45	\$3.64	\$3.84	\$4.04	\$4.25	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$155.12	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$66.04)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.34	\$2.51	\$2.64	\$2.87	\$3.12	\$3.38	\$3.65	\$3.93	\$4.23	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.03	\$5.30	\$174.35	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$51.24)	\$0.00	\$1.43	\$1.67	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.23	\$2.35	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.90	\$3.06	\$3.22	\$3.39	\$111.54	
Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE	(\$36.69)	\$0.00	\$1.02	\$1.21	\$1.37	\$1.48	\$1.61	\$1 74	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.16	\$2.30	\$2.44	\$2.57	\$2 71	\$2.85	\$93.80	
Northwestern Corporation		(\$62.50)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.32	\$2.48	\$2.59	\$2.64	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.82	\$2.00	\$3.12	\$3.27	\$3.44	\$3.62	\$3.82	\$125.50	
OGE Energy Corporation	OCE	(\$40.49)	\$0.00	\$1.24	φ2.32 \$1.59	\$1.70	\$1 92.00	\$1 01	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.00	\$2.55 \$2.29	\$2.12	\$2.49	\$2.44	\$2.02	\$2.02	\$QF 1F	
	DNIM	(\$40.46) (\$41.00)	\$0.00 ¢0.00	\$1.34 \$0.07	01.00	φ1.7∠ ¢1.00	01.02 01.00	01.91 01.55	⇒2.00 ¢1.70	φ2.09 ¢1.00	92.19 ¢0.10	\$2.20 \$2.20	¢2.30	φ2.40 ¢0.70	⇒∠.01 ¢2.04	\$2.10 \$2.10	ຈ∠.ດອ ¢ລ.ວດ	490.10 ¢107.04	
PINIVI Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$41.99)	\$0.00	\$0.97	\$1.15	\$1.28	\$1.38	\$1.55	\$1.73	\$1.92	\$2.12	\$2.34	\$2.56	\$2.79	\$2.94	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$107.24	
Pinnacle west Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.95)	\$U.00	\$2.70	\$3.18	\$3.47	\$3.68	\$3.93	\$4.19	\$4.47	\$4.76	\$5.07	\$5.38	\$5.71	\$b.02	ზხ.34	\$b.68	\$219.54	-

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model - Terminal P/E Ratio Equals 19.82 30 Day Average Stock Price Low EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		Stock	FF	PS Growth F	Rate Estima	ates	Long- Term		Pavout Rati	io	Iterative	Solution	Terminal	Terminal					
		Otook		0 Olowall	Value	Low	-		ayout tut	0	norativo	001011011	renninai	rennina					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Growth	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	PEG Ratio	-				
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$75.79	NA	6.00%	3.50%	3.50%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	6.62%	19.82	3.73					
El Paso Electric Company	BKH	\$00.00 ¢51.25	4.70%	4.40%	3.00%	4.40%	5.32%	59.00% 61.00%	57.00% 65.00%	65 57%	\$0.00 \$0.00	9.03%	19.82	3.73					
Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE	\$36.69	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	3.50%	5 32%	61.00%	62.00%	65 57%	\$0.00	7 23%	19.02	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$62.50	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.50%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.34%	19.82	3.73					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$40.48	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.20%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.22%	19.82	3.73					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$41.99	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	4.10%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	7.54%	19.82	3.73					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.95	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.16%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.91%	19.82	3.73	Includ	ing Flotatio	on Costs		
											Mean	8.04%	19.82			8.17%		-	
											Max	9.22%				9.36%			
Projected Annual											IVIIII	0.02%				0.75%			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	-
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE. Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.24	\$3.35	\$3.47	\$3.59	\$3.72	\$3.85	\$3.99	\$4.16	\$4.34	\$4.55	\$4.77	\$5.03	\$5.29	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.19	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.53	\$3.69	\$3.85	\$4.02	\$4.20	\$4.39	\$4.59	\$4.81	\$5.05	\$5.30	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.18	\$6.51	\$6.86	\$7.22	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.49	\$2.57	\$2.64	\$2.72	\$2.81	\$2.89	\$2.99	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.38	\$3.54	\$3.73	\$3.93	\$4.14	\$4.36	\$4.59	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.70	\$1.76	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.09	\$2.18	\$2.27	\$2.38	\$2.50	\$2.63	\$2.77	\$2.92	\$3.08	\$3.24	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.42	\$3.51	\$3.60	\$3.69	\$3.78	\$3.87	\$3.99	\$4.13	\$4.29	\$4.47	\$4.69	\$4.94	\$5.20	\$5.48	\$5.77	\$6.08	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.35	\$2.47	\$2.60	\$2.74	\$2.88	\$3.03	\$3.19	\$3.36	\$3.54	\$3.73	\$3.93	\$4.14	\$4.36	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.00	\$2.08	\$2.17	\$2.25	\$2.35	\$2.44	\$2.55	\$2.66	\$2.79	\$2.93	\$3.08	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$3.98	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.61	\$4.81	\$5.01	\$5.21	\$5.43	\$5.66	\$5.90	\$6.17	\$6.46	\$6.78	\$7.13	\$7.51	\$7.91	\$8.33	\$8.77	\$9.24	-
Projected Annual Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[30]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[4.4]	[45]	
Divident Layour Natio				[01]	[02]	[00]	[04]	[00]	[00]	[07]	[00]	[00]	[+0]	[+1]	[72]	[40]	[++]	[+0]	-
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	-
ALLETE, INC. Black Hills Corporation				50.00%	59.33%	57.67%	57.00%	59 22%	50.68%	60.67%	61 00%	63 12%	64 35%	65.57%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	
El Paso Electric Company	FE			61.00%	62 33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65 16%	65 24%	65 33%	65.41%	65.49%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Projected Annual								(50)	15.43	(50)	(50)		(5.5)	(50)		(50)	(50)	1003	10.43
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	Terminal
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.21	\$2.29	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$122.59
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.18	\$2.25	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$4.74	\$143.18
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.57	\$1.65	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.11	\$2.21	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$3.01	\$90.97
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			\$1.07	\$1.12	\$1.16	\$1.21	\$1.26	\$1.32	\$1.38	\$1.46	\$1.54	\$1.63	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.13	\$64.23
OGE Epergy Corp	NWE			\$2.28	\$2.37 \$1.50	\$2.47	\$2.57 \$1.76	\$2.62	\$2.68	\$2.76	\$2.86	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$3.99	\$120.48
PNM Resources Inc	PNM			\$1.04	\$1.05	\$1.07 \$1.13	\$1.70	\$1.00	\$1.30	\$1.50 \$1.51	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1.25 \$1.95	\$2.52	\$2.40	\$2.30	\$2.71	\$2.00	\$78.98
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$2.98	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$6.06	\$183.13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					40.10	10.20			*****					+ ···•=					
Projected Annual Data		1601	1601	IC 41	1051	1661	1671	10.01	1601	1701	(74)	[70]	1721	17.41	[75]	[76]	[77]	1701	
Investor Cash Flows		[02]	[63]	[64]	[60]	[00]	[67]	[66]	[69]	[70]	[/]	[/2]	[73]	[/4]	[/5]	[/6]	[//]	[78]	-
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	(\$75.79)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.25	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$126.65	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$66.04)	\$0.00	\$1.90	\$2.22	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$147.91	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$51.24)	\$0.00	\$1.37	\$1.59	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.11	\$2.21	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$93.98	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	(\$36.69)	\$0.00	\$0.94	\$1.09	\$1.16	\$1.21	\$1.26	\$1.32	\$1.38	\$1.46	\$1.54	\$1.63	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$66.36	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$62.50)	\$0.00	\$1.99	\$2.31	\$2.47	\$2.57	\$2.62	\$2.68	\$2.76	\$2.86	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$124.47	
PNM Resources Inc	PNM	(\$40.48) (\$41.99)	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.02	\$1.00 \$1.06	ວາ.ບາ \$1.13	\$1.70 \$1.17	\$1.00 \$1.28	\$1.90 \$1.90	φ1.90 \$1.51	\$2.00 \$1.64	φ2.14 \$1.70	92.23 \$1.95	φ2.32 \$2.12	\$2.40 \$2.24	⇒∠.00 \$2.36	92.71 \$2.48	909.22 \$81.60	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.95)	\$0.00	\$2.60	\$3.04	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$189.19	
																			-

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model - Terminal P/E Ratio Equals 19.82 90 Day Average Stock Price Average EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]					
		Stock	FF	PS Growth F	ate Estima	ates	Long- Term	F	Pavout Rati	0	Iterative	Solution	Terminal	Terminal					
		otock .		0 Olowall	Value	103			ayour ruu	0	neranve	Colution	rennina	renninai					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Average	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	PEG Ratio					
ALLE I E, Inc.	ALE	\$76.90	NA 4 70%	6.00%	3.50%	4.75%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	7.34%	19.82	3.73					
El Dese Electric Compony	BKH	\$04.12 ¢E4.24	4.70%	4.40%	0.00%	5.22% 4 170/	5.32% 5.32%	59.00% 61.00%	57.00% 65.00%	00.07% CE E70/	\$0.00	9.85%	19.82	3.73					
El Paso Electric Company		\$04.04 ¢27.04	4.40% 6.40%	7 000/	3.00%	4.17 70	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	00.07 % CE E 70/	\$0.00 ¢0.00	0.000/	19.02	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation	NW/E	\$61.78	2.60%	2.50%	2.50%	2.56%	5 32%	65.00%	68.00%	65 57%	\$0.00	8.49%	19.02	3.73					
OGE Energy Corp	OGE	\$30.18	5 20%	NA	6.00%	5.60%	5 32%	71 00%	71 00%	65 57%	\$0.00	0.40%	10.02	3 73					
PNM Resources Inc	PNM	\$41.40	4 70%	4 10%	7.50%	5.43%	5 32%	50.00%	50.00%	65 57%	\$0.00	8 57%	10.02	3 73					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.69	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.92%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.47%	19.82	3.73	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs		
		+									Mean	8.76%	19.82			8.89%		•	
											Max	9.85%				9.98%			
Projected Appual											Min	7.34%				7.47%			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
		. /							. /										•
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	_
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.28	\$3.43	\$3.60	\$3.77	\$3.95	\$4.13	\$4.34	\$4.55	\$4.78	\$5.02	\$5.29	\$5.57	\$5.86	\$6.17	\$6.50	\$6.85	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.56	\$3.74	\$3.94	\$4.14	\$4.36	\$4.59	\$4.83	\$5.08	\$5.35	\$5.63	\$5.93	\$6.24	\$6.58	\$6.93	\$7.29	\$7.68	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.52	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.53	\$3.71	\$3.90	\$4.10	\$4.32	\$4.55	\$4.80	\$5.05	
Hawalian Electric Industries, Inc.		\$1.04	\$1.74 ¢2.42	\$1.84 ¢2.51	\$1.95	\$2.00 ¢2.70	\$2.18 ¢2.70	\$2.31 ¢2.90	\$2.45 ¢4.01	\$2.59 ¢4.14	\$2.73	\$2.88 ¢4.50	\$3.04 ¢4.70	\$3.2U	\$3.37 ¢E 00	\$3.00 ¢E E1	\$3.74 ¢5.90	\$3.94 ¢c 11	
ACE Energy Com	NVVE	\$3.34 ¢1.02	\$3.43 ¢2.02	\$3.51 ¢0.14	\$3.00 ¢3.36	\$3.70	\$3.79 ¢0.50	\$3.89 \$3.66	\$4.01	\$4.14	\$4.31 ¢2.12	\$4.50 ¢2.20	\$4.72 \$2.47	\$4.97	\$0.23 ¢0.05	\$0.01 ¢4.06	\$0.80 ¢4.07	\$0.11 ¢4.50	
Dide Ellergy Colp.	DNM	\$1.92 \$1.02	\$2.03 \$2.03	92.14 ¢2.13	\$2.20 \$2.25	\$2.39 \$2.37	\$2.02 \$2.50	\$2.00	\$2.01 \$2.79	\$2.97 \$2.03	\$3.13 \$3.00	\$3.30 \$3.25	\$3.47 \$3.43	\$3.00 \$3.61	\$3.00 \$3.90	\$4.00 ¢4.00	\$4.27 \$4.22	\$4.50 ¢4.44	
Pinnacle West Canital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.65	\$4.88	\$5.12	\$5.37	\$5.63	\$5.01	\$6.20	\$6.52	\$6.85	\$7.21	\$7.50	\$7.00	\$8.41	\$8.86	\$0.33	\$9.93	
	1100	ψτ.τυ	ψ+.00	ψ 1 .00	ψ0.12	ψ0.01	ψ0.00	ψ0.01	ψ0.20	ψ0.02	ψ0.00	ψ7.21	ψ1.00	ψ1.55	ψ0.+1	φ0.00	ψ0.00	φ3.00	-
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	_
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	-
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
				[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[00]	[]	[++]	[]	[]	[++]	(++)	(++)	Terminal
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.27	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$4.49	\$135.75
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.04	\$152.27
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.60	\$1.70	\$1.81	\$1.93	\$2.01	\$2.10	\$2.20	\$2.31	\$2.42	\$2.55	\$2.69	\$2.83	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.31	\$100.09
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			\$1.12	\$1.19	\$1.27	\$1.35	\$1.45	\$1.54	\$1.64	\$1.75	\$1.86	\$1.98	\$2.10	\$2.21	\$2.33	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$78.04
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$4.01	\$121.11
DGE Energy Corp.	DUGE			\$1.52	\$1.01	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$1.87	\$1.95 ¢4.54	\$2.04	\$Z.1Z	\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.40	\$2.53 ©2.40	\$2.00	\$2.80	\$2.95	\$89.19
Pinin Resources, Inc.	PINIVI			\$1.07	\$1.13	\$1.19	\$1.25	\$1.38	\$1.51 ¢2.04	\$1.00	\$1.82	\$1.99	\$2.17	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.02 ¢5.04	\$2.70	\$2.91	\$88.00
Pinnacie West Capital Corporation	FINV			\$3.UZ	φ3.1 <i>1</i>	\$3.33	\$ 3.49	ą <u>3.09</u>	\$3.91	φ4.14	\$4.39	φ4.0 <u>0</u>	\$4.93	φ <u></u> υ.24	\$0.0Z	\$0.01	φ0.1Z	30.44	\$194.79
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
-		Initial																	-
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	-
ALLE I E, INC.	ALE	(\$76.90)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.32	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$140.24	
El Paso Electric Compony	BKH	(\$64.12) (\$54.24)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.27	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78 \$3.14	\$157.31 \$103.40	
Li Faso Electric Longany		(\$04.34) (\$37.01)	φ0.00 ¢0.00	ອ 1.40 ¢ 0.09	φ1.04 ¢1.16	01.01 ¢1.07	0)1.93 © 1.95	φ∠.UI ¢1.45	φ∠.IU ¢1.54	φ2.20 \$1.64	φ∠.31 ¢1.75	φ2.42 ¢1.96	⊅∠.ວວ ¢1.09	φ∠.09 ¢2.10	⊕∠.03 ¢2.21	ຈ∠.99 ¢2.33	ອວ.14 ¢2.45	\$103.40 \$90.62	
Northwestern Corporation		(\$61.78)	φ0.00 \$0.00	\$0.90 \$2.00	\$7.10 \$7.31	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.04 \$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.00	ອ 1.90 \$3.11	φ2.10 \$3.26	92.21 \$3.43	⇒∠.33 \$3.61	⇒∠.40 \$3.80	φου.03 \$125.12	
OGE Energy Corp	OGE	(\$39.18)	\$0.00	\$1.33	φ2.31 \$1.56	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$1.87	\$1.95	\$2.70 \$2.04	φ∠.07 \$2.12	φ∠.90 \$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.20	\$2.53	\$2.66	\$2.80	\$92.12	
PNM Resources. Inc.	PNM	(\$41.40)	\$0.00	\$0.93	\$1.10	\$1.19	\$1.25	\$1.38	\$1.51	\$1.66	\$1.82	\$1.99	\$2.17	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.62	\$2.76	\$90.91	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.69)	\$0.00	\$2.64	\$3.10	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.52	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$201.24	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model - Terminal P/E Ratio Equals 19.82 90 Day Average Stock Price High EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	-				
		Stock	EF	PS Growth F	Rate Estima	ates	Term	i	Payout Rati	io	Iterative	e Solution	Terminal	Terminal					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Hign Growth	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/F Ratio	PEG Ratio					
ALLETE. Inc.	ALE	\$76.90	NA	6.00%	3.50%	6.00%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.19%	19.82	3.73					
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$64.12	4.70%	4.46%	6.50%	6.50%	5.32%	59.00%	57.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10.74%	19.82	3.73					
El Paso Electric Company	FF	\$54.34	4.40%	5.10%	3.00%	5.10%	5.32%	61.00%	65.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.35%	19.82	3.73					
Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE	\$37.01	6 40%	7.80%	3 50%	7.80%	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10 11%	19.82	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$61.78	2 60%	2.59%	2 50%	2.60%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.52%	19.82	3 73					
OGE Energy Corp	OGE	\$39.18	5 20%	NA	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10 11%	19.82	3.73					
PNM Resources Inc	PNM	\$41.40	4 70%	4 10%	7 50%	7 50%	5 32%	50.00%	50.00%	65 57%	\$0.00	9.98%	10.82	3 73					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.69	4 60%	4 16%	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10 23%	19.82	3 73	Includ	ing Flotatic	n Costs		
		400.00	1.0070	1.1070	0.0070	0.0070	0.0270	02.0070	02.0070	00.0170	Mean	9.53%	19.82	0.10	molara	9.66%		-	
											Max	10.74%				10.87%			
Projected Annual											IVIII	1 8.19%				8.32%			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	-
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.32	\$3.52	\$3.73	\$3.95	\$4.19	\$4.44	\$4.70	\$4.97	\$5.25	\$5.55	\$5.85	\$6.16	\$6.49	\$6.83	\$7.19	\$7.58	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.60	\$3.83	\$4.08	\$4.35	\$4.63	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.56	\$5.89	\$6.23	\$6.57	\$6.92	\$7.29	\$7.68	\$8.08	\$8.51	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.54	\$2.67	\$2.81	\$2.95	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.79	\$3.99	\$4.20	\$4.43	\$4.66	\$4.91	\$5.17	\$5.45	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.77	\$1.91	\$2.05	\$2.21	\$2.39	\$2.57	\$2.76	\$2.96	\$3.15	\$3.34	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.13	\$4.35	\$4.58	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.43	\$3.52	\$3.61	\$3.70	\$3.80	\$3.90	\$4.02	\$4.16	\$4.32	\$4.51	\$4.73	\$4.98	\$5.25	\$5.53	\$5.82	\$6.13	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.04	\$2.16	\$2.29	\$2.42	\$2.57	\$2.72	\$2.88	\$3.05	\$3.22	\$3.40	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$3.98	\$4.19	\$4.41	\$4.65	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.06	\$2.22	\$2.39	\$2.56	\$2.76	\$2.96	\$3.17	\$3.39	\$3.61	\$3.82	\$4.04	\$4.26	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$5.24	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.70	\$4.98	\$5.28	\$5.59	\$5.93	\$6.28	\$6.65	\$7.04	\$7.44	\$7.85	\$8.27	\$8.72	\$9.18	\$9.67	\$10.18	\$10.72	-
Projected Annual																			
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	-
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	_
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	-
Projected Appual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
Company	Ticker			2010	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2020	2030	2031	2032	2033	Terminal
				\$2.32	\$2.46	\$2.61	\$2.76	\$2.023	\$3.10	\$3.27	\$3.45	\$3.64	\$3.84	\$4.04	\$4.25	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$150.15
Black Hills Corporation	RKU			\$2.02	¢2.40	¢2.01	\$2.70	¢2.00	¢3.10	¢3.20	\$3.65	¢3.03	¢4.03	\$4.54	¢4.20	¢5.03	¢5.30	¢5.59	¢169.77
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.63	φ2.30 ¢1.75	¢1.01	\$2.04 \$2.02	\$2.07 \$2.12	¢0.12	¢0.00	\$3.05	¢0.55 ¢0.61	\$94.23 \$2.75	\$2.00	\$3.06	\$3.00	\$3.30	\$3.50	\$100.77
Heweijen Electric Industries, Inc.				\$1.00	¢1.70	¢1.00	\$2.02 \$1.40	φ2.12 ¢1.61	\$2.20 \$1.74	¢1.00	\$2.40 \$2.00	¢2.01	¢2.70	\$2.30	\$3.00 ¢3.57	\$0.22	\$0.05 ¢0.05	\$3.57	\$107.57
Northweatern Corneration				\$1.10	\$1.20 \$2.20	\$1.37 ¢0.40	31.40 ¢0.50	\$1.01 ¢0.64	\$1.74 ¢2.70	φ1.00 ¢0.70	\$2.02 ¢0.00	\$2.10 \$2.00	\$2.30 ¢2.40	φ2.44 ¢2.07	\$2.07 ¢2.44	\$2.71 ¢2.60	\$2.00 ¢2.00	\$3.00 ¢4.00	\$90.00 ¢101.49
OCE Energy Corp	OGE			\$2.29 \$1.53	φ2.30 ¢1.62	φ2.40 ¢1.70	\$2.00 ¢1.92	\$2.04 ¢1.01	\$2.70	\$2.70 \$2.00	¢2.00 ¢2.10	\$2.99 ¢2.29	\$0.1Z \$0.29	Φ3.27 ¢2.49	\$0.44 \$2.61	\$3.0Z \$2.75	\$3.02 \$2.90	\$4.0Z \$3.05	\$121.40 ¢02.10
PNM Resources Inc.	DNM			\$1.33 \$1.11	¢1.02 ¢1.10	¢1.72 ¢1.29	¢1.02	\$1.51 \$1.55	\$2.00 \$1.73	¢2.05	¢2.13 ¢2.12	¢2.20	\$2.50	¢2.40	\$2.01	\$2.70 \$3.10	\$2.03 \$3.26	\$3.00	¢103.81
Pinior Resources, Inc.				\$1.11	\$1.19 ¢2.07	\$1.20 \$2.47	\$1.30 ¢2.60	\$1.00 ¢2.02	\$1.73 ¢4.40	Φ1.9Z	\$2.12 ¢4.76	φ2.34 ¢E 07	\$Z.00	φ2.79 ¢E 74	\$2.94 ¢c.00	\$3.10 ¢6.24	\$3.20 ¢6.69	\$3.43 ¢7.02	\$103.01 ¢010.E1
Finacie West Capital Corporation	FINW			\$3.09	φ3.2 <i>1</i>	φ3.4 <i>1</i>	\$3.00	\$3.93	φ4.19	φ4.4 7	\$4.70	\$0.07	\$ 0.36	\$0.71	\$0.0Z	\$0.34	\$0.00	\$7.03	φ212.01
Projected Accurate Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
Company	Ticker	Initial Outflow	2/15/10	12/31/10	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/29	6/30/20	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/32	6/30/33	-
	AI E	(\$76.00)	\$0.00	\$2.01/19	\$2.30	\$2.61	\$2.76	\$2.02	\$3.10	\$3.07	\$3.45	\$3.64	\$3.94	\$4.04	\$4.25	\$4.49	\$4 70	\$155.10	-
Riack Hills Corporation	ALE	(\$67.40) (\$67.40)	φυ.υυ ¢0.00	\$2.03 \$1.09	⊅∠.39 ¢2.34	⇒∠.01 ¢2 ⊑1	92.10 ¢2.64	ຈ∠.ອວ ¢ງດາ	00.10 00.10	\$3.21 \$3.20	ອວ.40 ¢ຈ.cc	\$3.04 \$3.03	⊅ວ.04 ¢∕າງ	Φ4.04 ¢1 ⊑1	04.20 ¢4.70	94.40 ¢5.00	94.72 ¢5.20	@100.12 @174.9/	
El Paso Electric Compony	BKH	(\$04.12) (\$54.34)	\$U.UU ¢0.00	\$1.90 \$1.49	\$∠.34 ¢1.67	\$∠.51 ¢100	\$∠.04 ¢2.02	ቅ∠.0/ ¢2.10	ຈຽ.1∠ ເລີດລ	ຽວ.ວຽ ¢ວຼວ⊑	00.05¢	ბე.ყე დე.ღქ	ຈ4.∠3 ¢ງ7⊏	\$4.54 \$2.00	\$4./0 \$3.00	\$0.U3 ¢3.00	\$0.3U \$3.30	φ1/4.35 ¢114 ⊑4	
Li Faso Electric Company		(\$04.34) (\$27.04)	φυ.00 ¢0.00	01.43 ©1.00	φ1.0/ ¢1.01	01.00 ¢1.07	φ2.UZ ¢1.40	φ2.12 ©1.61	Φ∠.∠3 €1 74	Φ∠.30 ¢1.00	φ∠.40 ¢2.00	φ∠.01 ¢0.16	φ∠./ວ ¢0.00	φ∠.90 ¢0.44	φ3.00 ¢3.57	⊅3.∠∠ €0.71	00.39 00.05	¢111.04	
nawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	(\$37.01) (\$04.70)	\$U.UU ¢0.00	\$1.02 \$2.00	\$1.21 ¢0.00	\$1.37 ©0.40	ຈາ.48 ¢0.56	\$1.61 \$0.04	\$1./4	\$1.88 \$0.70	\$2.02 ¢2.02	¢2.16	\$∠.3U	\$∠.44	\$∠.57	\$∠./1	\$∠.85	393.8U	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$01.78)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.32	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.64	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.88	\$2.99	\$3.12	\$3.27	\$3.44	\$3.62	\$3.82	\$125.50 for 45	
DUGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$39.18) (\$44.40)	\$U.UU ¢0.00	\$1.34 ©0.07	\$1.50 ¢4.45	\$1.7∠ ¢4.00	\$1.8Z	\$1.91 ¢4.55	\$2.00 ¢4.70	\$∠.U9	\$Z.19	\$∠.∠ď	\$∠.30 ©0.50	¢2.40 ¢0.70	\$∠.01	\$2.75 \$2.40	\$∠.89 ©2.00	990.10	
PINIVI Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$41.40)	\$0.00	\$0.97	\$1.15	\$1.28	\$1.38	\$1.55	\$1.73	\$1.92	\$2.12	\$2.34	\$2.56	\$2.79	\$2.94	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$107.24	
Pinnacle west Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$86.69)	\$0.00	\$2.70	\$3.18	\$3.47	\$3.68	\$3.93	\$4.19	\$4.47	\$4.76	\$5.07	\$5.38	\$5.71	\$b.02	ზხ.34	\$b.68	\$219.54	-

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model - Terminal P/E Ratio Equals 19.82 90 Day Average Stock Price Low EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]					
		Stock	EP	S Growth I	Rate Estim	ates	Long- Term	I	Payout Rati	0	Iterative	Solution	Terminal	Terminal					
0	Tislas	Deine	Zacks	Firet Call	Value	Low	- 0th	0040	,	2020	Deset	100							
	LE	£76.00	NIA	6 000/	2 500/	2 500/	610WII1	2019	2022	2029	¢0.00	6.40%	10.92	2 72					
ALLE I E, INC. Block Hills Corporation	ALE	\$70.90 ¢64.10	NA 4 70%	0.00%	3.50%	3.50%	5.32%	50.00%	60.00% 57.00%	00.07%	\$0.00 ¢0.00	0.49%	19.82	3.73					
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$04.12 ¢54.04	4.70%	4.40%	0.50%	4.40%	5.32%	59.00%	57.00%	05.57%	\$0.00	9.31%	19.82	3.73					
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$54.34	4.40%	5.10%	3.00%	3.00%	5.32%	61.00%	65.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	6.90%	19.82	3.73					
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$37.01	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	3.50%	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	7.15%	19.82	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$61.78	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.50%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.45%	19.82	3.73					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$39.18	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.20%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.54%	19.82	3.73					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$41.40	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	4.10%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	7.66%	19.82	3.73					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$86.69	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.16%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.94%	19.82	3.73	Includ	ing Flotatio	on Costs		
											Mean	8.06%	19.82			8.19%		-	
											Max	9.54%				9.67%			
Projected Appual											Min	6.49%				6.62%			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
										. ,									•
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.24	\$3.35	\$3.47	\$3.59	\$3.72	\$3.85	\$3.99	\$4.16	\$4.34	\$4.55	\$4.77	\$5.03	\$5.29	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.19	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.53	\$3.69	\$3.85	\$4.02	\$4.20	\$4.39	\$4.59	\$4.81	\$5.05	\$5.30	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.18	\$6.51	\$6.86	\$7.22	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.49	\$2.57	\$2.64	\$2.72	\$2.81	\$2.89	\$2.99	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.38	\$3.54	\$3.73	\$3.93	\$4.14	\$4.36	\$4.59	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.70	\$1.76	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.09	\$2.18	\$2.27	\$2.38	\$2.50	\$2.63	\$2.77	\$2.92	\$3.08	\$3.24	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.42	\$3.51	\$3.60	\$3.69	\$3.78	\$3.87	\$3.99	\$4.13	\$4.29	\$4.47	\$4.69	\$4.94	\$5.20	\$5.48	\$5.77	\$6.08	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.35	\$2.47	\$2.60	\$2.74	\$2.88	\$3.03	\$3.19	\$3.36	\$3.54	\$3.73	\$3.93	\$4 14	\$4.36	
PNM Resources. Inc	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.00	\$2.08	\$2.17	\$2.25	\$2.35	\$2.44	\$2.55	\$2.66	\$2.79	\$2.93	\$3.08	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$3.98	
Pinnacle West Canital Corporation	PNIM	\$4.43	\$4.61	\$4.81	\$5.01	\$5.21	\$5.42	\$5.66	\$5.90	\$6.17	\$6.46	\$6.78	\$7.12	\$7.51	\$7.01	\$8.33	\$8.77	\$9.24	
· ····································	FINV	ψτ.40	ψ-τ.01	ψ τ .01	ψ0.01	ψυ.21	ψ0. 4 0	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.17	ψ0.40	ψ0.70	ψr.10	ψι.υΙ	ψι.σι	ψ0.00	ψ0.11	ψυ.24	
Desis shad Assured																			
Projected Annual Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[30]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	
Strading r ayout rand				[91]	[02]	[00]	[04]	[00]	[00]	[01]	[00]	[00]	[07]	[1 +]	[72]	[-0]	[++]	[]	•
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Projected Annual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.21	\$2.29	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$122.59
Black Hills Corporation	вкн			\$2.18	\$2.25	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$4.74	\$143.18
El Paso Electric Company	FF			\$1.57	\$1.65	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.11	\$2.21	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$3.01	\$90.97
Hawaijan Electric Industries Inc	HE			\$1.07	\$1.00	\$1.16	\$1.02	\$1.00	\$1.32	\$1.38	\$1.46	\$1.54	\$1.62	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.02	\$2.00	\$2.01	\$64.22
Northwestern Corneration				\$2.00	¢0.12	¢1.10 ¢2.47	¢1.∠1 ¢2.⊑7	\$1.20 \$2.60	¢1.02	¢1.00	¢2.40	¢1.04	\$1.0J	¢2.74	¢2.44	\$1.52 \$3.50	\$2.02 \$3.70	¢2.10	\$120 40
OCE Energy Com	INVVE			Φ∠.20 €1 E1	Φ∠.3/ ¢1.50	φ∠.47 ¢1.67	φ∠.0/ ¢1.76	φ∠.0∠ ¢1.02	φ∠.00 ¢1.00	φ∠./0 ¢1.09	¢2.00	φ2.97 ¢0.17	00.09 00.00	φ3.24 ¢0.20	ອວ.41 ຄວ.45	30.09 ¢0.50	φ3./Ö ¢3.71	30.99 ¢0.06	φ1∠U.40 ¢oc oc
OGE Energy Corp.	UGE			\$1.51 ¢4.04	\$1.59 ¢4.00	\$1.0/	\$1.70 ¢4.47	\$1.03 ¢4.00	\$1.9U	\$1.90 ¢4.54	\$2.00 ©4.04	¢∠.14	⇒∠.∠3	\$Z.3Z	\$∠.45 ¢0.04	\$∠.00	\$2.71 ©0.40	\$∠.80	\$80.30 \$70.00
PININI Resources, Inc.	PNM			\$1.04	\$1.08	\$1.13	\$1.17	\$1.28	\$1.39	\$1.51	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1.95	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.36	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$78.98
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$2.98	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$6.06	\$183.13
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
		Initial	()	10.1	()	()	(**)	()	()	(· •)	11	11	t1	6.4	()	11	1 1	11	•
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	-
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	(\$76.90)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.25	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$126.65	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$64.12)	\$0.00	\$1.90	\$2.22	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$147.91	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$54.34)	\$0.00	\$1.37	\$1.59	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.11	\$2.21	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$93.98	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	(\$37.01)	\$0.00	\$0.94	\$1.09	\$1.16	\$1.21	\$1.26	\$1.32	\$1.38	\$1.46	\$1.54	\$1.63	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$66.36	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$61.78)	\$0.00	\$1.99	\$2.31	\$2.47	\$2.57	\$2.62	\$2.68	\$2.76	\$2.86	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$124.47	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$39.18)	\$0.00	\$1.32	\$1.55	\$1.67	\$1.76	\$1.83	\$1.90	\$1.98	\$2.06	\$2.14	\$2.23	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$89.22	
PNM Resources. Inc.	PNM	(\$41.40)	\$0.00	\$0.91	\$1.06	\$1.13	\$1.17	\$1.28	\$1.39	\$1.51	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1.95	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.36	\$2.48	\$81.60	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PN\//	(\$86.60)	\$0.00	\$2.60	\$3.04	\$3.22	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.02	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.10	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$180.10	
r innadio mesi Gapital Golporalloll	FINVV	(00.09)	φυ.υυ	φ2.00	φJ.04	φυ.20	40.01	φJ.J4	90.12	φ0.52	φ 4 .14	φ 4 .00	φ 4 .04	ψ4.32	φJ.13	φJ.40	φυ.ιυ	ψ105.19	•

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model - Terminal P/E Ratio Equals 19.82 180 Day Average Stock Price Average EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]					
		Stook		Crowth F	lata Estim	ataa	Long-	,	Dovout Dot		Itorativa	Colution	Terminel	Terminal					
		SLOCK	Er	'S Growin F	Value	ates	Term	1	Payout Rat	0	iterative	Solution	rerminal	rerminal					
Company	Ticker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Average	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	PEG Ratio					
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$76.55	NA	6.00%	3.50%	4.75%	5.32%	66.00%	66.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	7.38%	19.82	3.73					
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$01.83 \$57.24	4.70%	4.40%	3.00%	5.22% 4 17%	5.32%	59.00% 61.00%	57.00% 65.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	7 23%	19.82	3.73					
Hawaiian Electric Industries. Inc.	HE	\$35.90	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	5.90%	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.08%	19.82	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$59.97	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.56%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.78%	19.82	3.73					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$37.55	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.60%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10.24%	19.82	3.73					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$40.10	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	5.43%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.86%	19.82	3.73					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$83.10	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.92%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.88%	19.82	3.73	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs	-	
											Mean	8.96%	19.82			9.09%			
											Min	7.23%				7.36%			
Projected Annual																			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2010	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	20.28	2020	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.28	\$3.43	\$3.60	\$3.77	\$3.95	\$4.13	\$4.34	\$4.55	\$4.78	\$5.02	\$5.29	\$5.57	\$5.86	\$6.17	\$6.50	\$6.85	•
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.56	\$3.74	\$3.94	\$4.14	\$4.36	\$4.59	\$4.83	\$5.08	\$5.35	\$5.63	\$5.93	\$6.24	\$6.58	\$6.93	\$7.29	\$7.68	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$2.42	\$2.52	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.53	\$3.71	\$3.90	\$4.10	\$4.32	\$4.55	\$4.80	\$5.05	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.74	\$1.84	\$1.95	\$2.06	\$2.18	\$2.31	\$2.45	\$2.59	\$2.73	\$2.88	\$3.04	\$3.20	\$3.37	\$3.55	\$3.74	\$3.94	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.43	\$3.51	\$3.60	\$3.70	\$3.79	\$3.89	\$4.01	\$4.14	\$4.31	\$4.50	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$5.23	\$5.51	\$5.80	\$6.11	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$1.92	\$2.03	\$2.14	\$2.26	\$2.39	\$2.52	\$2.66	\$2.81	\$2.97	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$4.27	\$4.50	
Pinim Resources, Inc. Pinpacle West Capital Corporation		\$1.92 \$4.43	\$2.02 \$4.65	\$2.13 ¢4.99	\$2.20 \$5.10	\$2.37 ¢5.37	\$2.00 \$5.63	\$2.04 \$5.01	\$2.78 \$6.20	\$2.93 ¢6.52	\$3.09 ¢6.95	\$3.20 \$7.21	\$3.43 \$7.50	\$3.01 \$7.00	\$3.80 \$9.41	\$4.00 \$9.96	\$4.22 ¢0.33	\$4.44 ¢0.93	
		ψτ.τυ	ψ+.00	ψ4.00	ψ0.12	ψ0.01	ψ0.00	ψ0.01	ψ0.20	ψ0.02	ψ0.00	ψ1.21	ψ1.00	ψ1.00	ψ0. 1 1	φ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	•
Projected Annual Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[30]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[4.4]	[45]	
Dividend Fayour Natio				[01]	[02]	[00]	[04]	[00]	[00]	[07]	[00]	[00]	[+0]	[++]	[72]	[40]	[++]	[40]	
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	BKH			59.00% 61.00%	55.33% 62.33%	57.67%	57.00% 65.00%	55.22%	59.45% 65.16%	65 24%	65 33%	65.12%	65.40%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	65.57%	65 57%	
Hawaiian Electric Industries. Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70.22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67.12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52.22%	54.45%	56.67%	58.90%	61.12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	•
Projected Annual								1501		15.01	1501	15.43		15.01		1501	1503	1001	10.43
Cash Flows				[40]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[ວບ]	[51]	[52]	[၁၁]	[54]	[၁၁]	[96]	[57]	[98]	[29]	[60]	Terminal
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.27	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05	\$4.26	\$4.49	\$135.75
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.39	\$2.48	\$2.67	\$2.87	\$3.08	\$3.31	\$3.55	\$3.82	\$4.09	\$4.31	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.04	\$152.27
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.60	\$1.70	\$1.81	\$1.93	\$2.01	\$2.10	\$2.20	\$2.31	\$2.42	\$2.55	\$2.69	\$2.83	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.31	\$100.09
Hawalian Electric Industries, Inc.				\$1.12 \$2.29	\$1.19 ¢2.39	\$1.27 \$2.49	\$1.30 \$2.59	\$1.45 \$2.63	\$1.54 \$2.70	\$1.04 \$2.79	\$1./5 ¢2.97	\$1.00 \$2.09	\$1.98 \$3.11	\$2.10 \$3.26	\$2.21 \$3.43	\$2.33 \$3.61	\$2.45 \$3.80	\$2.58 \$4.01	\$78.04 ¢121.11
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE			\$1.52	\$2.50 \$1.61	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$1.87	\$1.95	\$2.04	\$2.07	\$2.30	\$2.30	\$2.40	\$2.53	\$2.66	\$2.80	\$2.95	\$89.19
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM			\$1.07	\$1.13	\$1.19	\$1.25	\$1.38	\$1.51	\$1.66	\$1.82	\$1.99	\$2.17	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.62	\$2.76	\$2.91	\$88.00
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$3.02	\$3.17	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.52	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$6.44	\$194.79
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
		Initial					. ,												-
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	
ALLETE, INC. Black Hills Corporation		(\$/6.55) (\$61.93)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.32	\$2.49	\$2.61	\$2.73	\$2.86	\$2.99	\$3.14	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.65	\$3.84	\$4.05 ¢1 = 1	\$4.26 ¢4.70	\$140.24 \$157.24	
El Paso Electric Company	FF	(\$57.24)	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$1.95 \$1.40	φ2.27 \$1.64	φ∠.39 \$1,81	φ2.40 \$1.93	\$2.07 \$2.01	⇒∠.07 \$2.10	\$2.00	\$2.31 \$2.31	\$2.00 \$2.42	φ3.02 \$2.55	\$2.69	\$2.83	\$4.04 \$2.99	94.70 \$3.14	\$103.40	
Hawaiian Electric Industries. Inc.	HE	(\$35,90)	\$0.00	\$0.98	\$1.16	\$1.27	\$1.35	\$1.45	\$1.54	\$1.64	\$1.75	\$1.86	\$1.98	\$2.10	\$2.21	\$2.33	\$2.45	\$80.63	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$59.97)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.31	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.63	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.87	\$2.98	\$3.11	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.80	\$125.12	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$37.55)	\$0.00	\$1.33	\$1.56	\$1.70	\$1.79	\$1.87	\$1.95	\$2.04	\$2.12	\$2.21	\$2.30	\$2.40	\$2.53	\$2.66	\$2.80	\$92.14	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$40.10)	\$0.00	\$0.93	\$1.10	\$1.19	\$1.25	\$1.38	\$1.51	\$1.66	\$1.82	\$1.99	\$2.17	\$2.37	\$2.49	\$2.62	\$2.76	\$90.91	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$83.10)	\$0.00	\$2.64	\$3.10	\$3.33	\$3.49	\$3.69	\$3.91	\$4.14	\$4.39	\$4.65	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.52	\$5.81	\$6.12	\$201.24	

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model - Terminal P/E Ratio Equals 19.82 180 Day Average Stock Price High EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	_				
		Stock	EF	PS Growth F	Rate Estim	ates	Long- Term	1	Payout Rati	io	Iterative	e Solution	Terminal	Terminal					
Compony	Tieker	Driac	Zacks	First Call	Value	High	Crouth	2010		2020	Droof		D/E Datia	DEC Datia					
	LICKEI	#76 EE	NIA	6 000/	2 600/	6.00%	610WU1	2019	2022	2029	¢0.00	0.020/	10.92	2 72	_				
ALLETE, IIIC. Black Hills Corporation		\$70.00 ¢61.93	/ 70%	4.46%	6.50%	6.50%	5 3 2 %	50.00%	57.00%	65 57%	\$0.00 ¢0.00	0.23%	10.02	3.73					
El Base Electric Company		\$01.00 ¢E7.04	4.70%	4.40% E 10%	2.00%	0.00% E 10%	5.32%	61.00%	S7.00%	05.57 % CE E70/	\$0.00 ¢0.00	7 070/	19.02	3.73					
Lawaiina Electric Company		\$07.24 ¢05.00	4.40%	3.10%	3.00%	3.10%	5.32%	01.00%	00.00%	05.57%	\$0.00 ¢0.00	1.01 70	19.02	0.70					
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	\$35.90	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	7.80%	5.32%	61.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10.40%	19.82	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$59.97	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.60%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.80%	19.82	3.73					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$37.55	5.20%	NA	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10.53%	19.82	3.73					
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	\$40.10	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	7.50%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10.28%	19.82	3.73					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$83.10	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	6.00%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	10.64%	19.82	3.73	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs	-	
											Mean	9.73%	19.82			9.86%			
											Max	7 87%				11.23% 8.00%			
Projected Annual												1.01.70				0.0070			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.32	\$3.52	\$3.73	\$3.95	\$4.19	\$4.44	\$4.70	\$4.97	\$5.25	\$5.55	\$5.85	\$6.16	\$6.49	\$6.83	\$7.19	\$7.58	•
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.60	\$3.83	\$4.08	\$4.35	\$4.63	\$4.93	\$5.24	\$5.56	\$5.89	\$6.23	\$6.57	\$6.92	\$7.29	\$7.68	\$8.08	\$8.51	
El Paso Electric Company	EF	\$2.42	\$2.54	\$2.67	\$2.81	\$2.95	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$3.61	\$3.79	\$3.99	\$4.20	\$4.43	\$4.66	\$4.91	\$5.17	\$5.45	
Hawaiian Electric Industries. Inc.	HE	\$1.64	\$1.77	\$1.91	\$2.05	\$2.21	\$2.39	\$2.57	\$2.76	\$2,96	\$3.15	\$3.34	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.13	\$4.35	\$4.58	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$3.34	\$3.43	\$3.52	\$3.61	\$3.70	\$3.80	\$3.90	\$4.02	\$4.16	\$4.32	\$4.51	\$4.73	\$4.98	\$5.25	\$5.53	\$5.82	\$6.13	
OGE Energy Corp	OGE	\$1.02	\$2.04	\$2.16	\$2.20	\$2.42	\$2.57	\$2.72	\$2.88	\$3.05	\$3.22	\$3.40	\$3.50	\$3.78	\$3.02	\$4.10	\$4.41	\$4.65	
DIM Resources Inc	DNM	¢1.02	\$2.04	\$2.10	¢2.25	\$2.42 \$2.56	\$2.57	\$2.72	\$2.00 \$3.17	\$3.00	\$3.61	¢3.40	\$3.35 \$4.04	\$4.26	\$3.50 \$4.49	\$4.15 \$4.70	\$4.41	\$4.00 \$5.24	
Pinnacle West Canital Corporation		\$4.42	\$4.70	\$4 09	ψ∠.39 \$5.09	\$5.50	\$5.02	¢∠.90 \$6.29	90.17 \$6.65	\$7.09 \$7.04	\$3.01 \$7.44	φ0.0∠ \$7.95	\$94.04 \$2.07	\$9.70 \$8.70	\$94.40 \$0.1Ω	\$0.67	ው ። .ህ/ \$10 19	φJ.24 \$10.79	
minasie west Capital Corporation	FINV	φ 4 .43	φ 4 ./U	φ 4 .90	φυ.20	φJ.J9	<i>40.9</i> 0	φυ.20	φ0.00	ψ1.04	44 .19	ψι.00	φυ.21	ψ0.1Z	ψ υ .10	49.01	φ10.10	ψ10.72	
Projected Annual																			
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	FF			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Hawaijan Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			61.00%	61.33%	61.67%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			65.00%	66.00%	67.00%	68.00%	67.65%	67.31%	66.96%	66.61%	66.26%	65.92%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp	OGE			71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	71.00%	70 22%	69.45%	68 67%	67 90%	67 12%	66.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
PNM Resources Inc	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52 22%	54 45%	56 67%	58 90%	61 12%	63 35%	65.57%	65 57%	65.57%	65.57%	65 57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
																			_
Projected Annual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.32	\$2.46	\$2.61	\$2.76	\$2.93	\$3.10	\$3.27	\$3.45	\$3.64	\$3.84	\$4.04	\$4.25	\$4.48	\$4.72	\$4.97	\$150.15
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.26	\$2.38	\$2.51	\$2.64	\$2.87	\$3.12	\$3.38	\$3.65	\$3.93	\$4.23	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.03	\$5.30	\$5.58	\$168.77
El Paso Electric Company	EE			\$1.63	\$1.75	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.23	\$2.35	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.90	\$3.06	\$3.22	\$3.39	\$3.57	\$107.97
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE			\$1.16	\$1.26	\$1.37	\$1.48	\$1.61	\$1.74	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.16	\$2.30	\$2.44	\$2.57	\$2.71	\$2.85	\$3.00	\$90.80
Northwestern Corporation	NWE			\$2.29	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.64	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.88	\$2.99	\$3.12	\$3.27	\$3.44	\$3.62	\$3.82	\$4.02	\$121.48
OGE Energy Corp.	OGF			\$1.53	\$1.62	\$1.72	\$1.82	\$1.91	\$2.00	\$2.09	\$2.19	\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.89	\$3.05	\$92.10
PNM Resources. Inc	PNM			\$1.11	\$1.19	\$1,28	\$1.38	\$1.55	\$1.73	\$1,92	\$2.12	\$2.34	\$2.56	\$2.79	\$2.94	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$3.43	\$103.81
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$3.09	\$3.27	\$3.47	\$3.68	\$3.03	\$4.10	\$4.47	\$4.76	\$5.07	\$5.38	\$5.71	\$6.02	\$6.34	\$6.68	\$7.03	\$212.51
	1100			ψ0.00	ψ0.21	ψ0.41	φ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ4.10	ψ4.47	ψ4.70	ψ0.01	ψ0.00	ψ0.11	ψ0.02	ψ0.04	ψ0.00	φ1.00	ψ212.01
Designate of Argencel Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
	T . 1	Initial	04540	10/01/10	0/00/00	0/00/04	0/00/00	0/00/00	0/00/04	0/00/05	0/00/00	0/00/07	0/00/00	0/00/00	0/00/00	0/00/04	0/00/00	0.000.000	
	LICKEL	(COLLION	2/10/19	12/31/19	0/30/20	0/30/21	0/30/22	0/30/23	¢2.10	0/30/20 ¢2.07	0/30/20	0/30/2/	0/30/20	0/30/29	0/30/30	0/30/31	0/30/32 @4 70	0/30/33	
ALLE I E, INC.	ALE	(\$70.55)	Φ 0.00	¢∠.03	¢∠.39	\$∠.61	\$Z./6	¢∠.93	\$3.1U	\$3.27 \$2.20	ა კ.45	ა კ.64	¢3.84	φ 4.04	\$4.25 ¢4.70	\$4.48 \$5.00	\$4.72 ¢5.00	\$105.12	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$61.83)	\$0.00	\$1.98	\$2.34	\$2.51	\$2.64	\$2.87	\$3.12	\$3.38	\$3.65	\$3.93	\$4.23	\$4.54	\$4.78	\$5.03	\$5.30	\$174.35	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$57.24)	\$0.00	\$1.43	\$1.67	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.23	\$2.35	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.90	\$3.06	\$3.22	\$3.39	\$111.54	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	(\$35.90)	\$0.00	\$1.02	\$1.21	\$1.37	\$1.48	\$1.61	\$1.74	\$1.88	\$2.02	\$2.16	\$2.30	\$2.44	\$2.57	\$2.71	\$2.85	\$93.80	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$59.97)	\$0.00	\$2.00	\$2.32	\$2.48	\$2.58	\$2.64	\$2.70	\$2.78	\$2.88	\$2.99	\$3.12	\$3.27	\$3.44	\$3.62	\$3.82	\$125.50	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$37.55)	\$0.00	\$1.34	\$1.58	\$1.72	\$1.82	\$1.91	\$2.00	\$2.09	\$2.19	\$2.28	\$2.38	\$2.48	\$2.61	\$2.75	\$2.89	\$95.15	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$40.10)	\$0.00	\$0.97	\$1.15	\$1.28	\$1.38	\$1.55	\$1.73	\$1.92	\$2.12	\$2.34	\$2.56	\$2.79	\$2.94	\$3.10	\$3.26	\$107.24	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$83.10)	\$0.00	\$2.70	\$3.18	\$3.47	\$3.68	\$3.93	\$4.19	\$4.47	\$4.76	\$5.07	\$5.38	\$5.71	\$6.02	\$6.34	\$6.68	\$219.54	_

Multi-Stage Growth Discounted Cash Flow Model - Terminal P/E Ratio Equals 19.82 180 Day Average Stock Price Low EPS Growth Rate Estimate in First Stage

Inputs		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]	[12]	[13]	-				
		Stock	FF	PS Growth F	Rate Estima	ates	Long- Term	F	Pavout Rati	io	Iterative	Solution	Terminal	Terminal					
					Value	Low			-,										
Company	licker	Price	Zacks	First Call	Line	Growin	Growth	2019	2022	2029	Proof	IRR	P/E Ratio	PEG Ratio	-				
ALLETE, INC. Black Hills Corporation	ALE	\$/0.00 ¢61.93	NA 4 70%	0.00%	3.50%	3.50%	5.32%	50.00%	57.00%	65 57%	\$0.00	0.53%	19.82	3.73					
El Paso Electric Company	EE	\$57.24	4.70%	5 10%	3.00%	3.00%	5 3 2 %	61.00%	65.00%	65 57%	\$0.00 ¢0.00	6 43%	10.92	3.73					
Hawaiian Electric Industries Inc	HE	\$35.90	6.40%	7.80%	3.50%	3.50%	5 32%	61.00%	62.00%	65 57%	\$0.00	7 43%	10.82	3.73					
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	\$59.97	2.60%	2.59%	2.50%	2.50%	5.32%	65.00%	68.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	8.73%	19.82	3.73					
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	\$37.55	5.20%	NA	6.00%	5.20%	5.32%	71.00%	71.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.96%	19.82	3.73					
PNM Resources. Inc.	PNM	\$40.10	4.70%	4.10%	7.50%	4.10%	5.32%	50.00%	50.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	7.95%	19.82	3.73					
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$83.10	4.60%	4.16%	6.00%	4.16%	5.32%	62.00%	62.00%	65.57%	\$0.00	9.34%	19.82	3.73	Includ	ing Flotatio	n Costs		
											Mean	8.25%	19.82			8.38%		-	
											Max	9.96%				10.09%			
											Min	6.43%				6.56%			
Projected Annual																			
Earnings per Share		[14]	[15]	[16]	[17]	[18]	[19]	[20]	[21]	[22]	[23]	[24]	[25]	[26]	[27]	[28]	[29]	[30]	
Company	Ticker	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	-
ALLETE, INC.	ALE	\$3.13	\$3.24	\$3.35	\$3.47	\$3.59	\$3.72	\$3.85	\$3.99	\$4.16	\$4.34	\$4.55	\$4.77	\$5.03	\$5.29	\$5.58	\$5.87	\$6.19	
El Rese Electric Company	BKH	\$3.38	\$3.53	\$3.09 ¢0.57	\$3.80 ¢3.64	\$4.02	\$4.20	\$4.39	\$4.59	\$4.81 ¢2.10	\$0.00 ¢0.00	\$5.30	\$0.00 ¢0.54	\$0.87 ¢0.70	\$0.18 ¢2.02	\$0.01 ¢4.14	\$0.00 ¢4.26	\$7.22 ¢4.50	
El Paso Electric Company		\$2.42 \$1.64	\$2.49 \$1.70	\$2.57 \$1.76	\$2.04 ¢1.92	\$2.72 ¢1.99	\$2.81 ¢1.05	\$2.89 \$2.02	\$2.99	\$3.10 ¢2.19	\$3.23 \$2.27	\$3.38 \$2.38	\$3.54 \$2.50	\$3.73 \$2.63	\$3.93 ¢2.77	\$4.14 \$2.02	\$4.30 \$3.09	\$4.59 \$3.24	
Northwestern Corporation		\$1.04	\$1.70	\$1.70 \$3.51	\$1.02 \$3.60	\$1.00 \$3.60	\$1.90 \$3.79	\$2.02 \$3.97	\$2.09 \$3.00	φ2.10 ¢4.13	\$2.27 \$4.20	\$2.30 \$1.17	\$2.00 \$4.60	\$2.03 \$4.04	\$Z.77 \$5.20	\$Z.9Z \$5.49	\$3.00 \$5.77	\$3.24 \$6.09	
OGE Energy Corp	OGE	\$1.02	\$2.02	\$2.51	\$2.00	\$2.35	\$2.70	\$2.60	\$2.55	\$2.88	\$3.03	\$3.10	\$3.36	\$3.54	\$3.20	\$3.40	\$4.14	\$4.36	
PNM Resources Inc	PNM	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$2.12	\$2.24 \$2.17	\$2.00	\$2.35	\$2.00	\$2.55	\$2.66	\$2.03	\$2.13	\$3.08	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$3.98	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$4.43	\$4.61	\$4.81	\$5.01	\$5.21	\$5.43	\$5.66	\$5.90	\$6.17	\$6.46	\$6.78	\$7.13	\$7.51	\$7.91	\$8.33	\$8.77	\$9.24	
		+	÷		****	* **= :						+++	**	* ··•	* ··•		*****	** .= :	-
Projected Annual																			
Dividend Payout Ratio				[31]	[32]	[33]	[34]	[35]	[36]	[37]	[38]	[39]	[40]	[41]	[42]	[43]	[44]	[45]	
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	-
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	66.00%	65.94%	65.88%	65.82%	65.75%	65.69%	65.63%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			59.00%	58.33%	57.67%	57.00%	58.22%	59.45%	60.67%	61.90%	63.12%	64.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
El Paso Electric Company	EE			61.00%	62.33%	63.67%	65.00%	65.08%	65.16%	65.24%	65.33%	65.41%	65.49%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Northwestern Corneration				6F 00%	66.00%	67.00%	69.00%	02.31% 67.6E%	67 240/	66.06%	66 610/	66.06%	65.00%	65.57% 65.57%	05.57% CE E70/	00.07 % CE E70/	00.07 % CE E 70/	65.57% 65.57%	
OGE Energy Corp	OGE			71.00%	71 00%	71 00%	71 00%	70 22%	69.45%	68.67%	67.90%	67 12%	66 35%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	65 57%	
PNM Resources Inc	PNM			50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	52 22%	54 45%	56 67%	58.90%	61 12%	63.35%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.00%	62.51%	63.02%	63.53%	64.04%	64.55%	65.06%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	65.57%	
																			-
Projected Annual																			
Cash Flows				[46]	[47]	[48]	[49]	[50]	[51]	[52]	[53]	[54]	[55]	[56]	[57]	[58]	[59]	[60]	[61]
																			Terminal
Company	Ticker			2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	Value
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE			\$2.21	\$2.29	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$4.06	\$122.59
Black Hills Corporation	BKH			\$2.18	\$2.25	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$4.74	\$143.18
Li Faso Electric Company				φ1.0/ ¢1.07	φ1.00 ¢1.10	φ1./3 ¢1.16	⇒1.0∠ ¢1.21	φ1.00 ¢1.26	01.90 ¢1.30	Φ∠.U∠ ¢1.39	φ∠.11 ¢1.46	Φ∠.∠Ι ¢1.5/	φ∠.3∠ ¢1.62	φ∠.40 ¢1.72	⇒∠.00 ¢1.90	φ∠./I ¢1.02	φ∠.00 ¢2.02	φο.UI ¢0.10	\$90.97 \$64.22
Northwestern Corporation				\$1.07 \$2.29	φ1.1Z ¢2.27	\$1.10	¢1.∠1 ¢2.57	\$1.20 \$2.62	\$1.32 \$2.69	\$1.30 \$2.76	\$1.40 \$2.96	\$1.04 \$2.07	\$1.03	\$1.73 \$3.24	\$1.02 \$3.41	\$1.9Z \$3.50	\$2.02 \$3.79	\$2.13 \$3.00	\$04.23 \$120.49
OGE Energy Corp	OGE			\$1.51	\$1.59	\$1.67	\$1.76	\$1.83	\$1.90	\$1.98	\$2.00	\$2.57	\$2.03	\$2.32	\$2.41	\$2.58	\$2.70	\$2.86	\$86.36
PNM Resources Inc	PNM			\$1.04	\$1.08	\$1.13	\$1.17	\$1.00	\$1.39	\$1.50	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1.95	\$2.12	\$2.10	\$2.36	\$2.48	\$2.60	\$78.98
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW			\$2.98	\$3.10	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$6.06	\$183.13
				+=		*****			****=	+	*	+		+		*****			+
Projected Annual Data																			
Investor Cash Flows		[62]	[63]	[64]	[65]	[66]	[67]	[68]	[69]	[70]	[71]	[72]	[73]	[74]	[75]	[76]	[77]	[78]	
		Initial																	
Company	Ticker	Outflow	2/15/19	12/31/19	6/30/20	6/30/21	6/30/22	6/30/23	6/30/24	6/30/25	6/30/26	6/30/27	6/30/28	6/30/29	6/30/30	6/30/31	6/30/32	6/30/33	_
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	(\$76.55)	\$0.00	\$1.93	\$2.25	\$2.37	\$2.45	\$2.54	\$2.63	\$2.74	\$2.85	\$2.99	\$3.13	\$3.30	\$3.47	\$3.66	\$3.85	\$126.65	-
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	(\$61.83)	\$0.00	\$1.90	\$2.22	\$2.32	\$2.40	\$2.56	\$2.73	\$2.92	\$3.12	\$3.35	\$3.59	\$3.85	\$4.05	\$4.27	\$4.50	\$147.91	
El Paso Electric Company	EE	(\$57.24)	\$0.00	\$1.37	\$1.59	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.88	\$1.95	\$2.02	\$2.11	\$2.21	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$2.86	\$93.98	
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	(\$35.90)	\$0.00	\$0.94	\$1.09	\$1.16	\$1.21	\$1.26	\$1.32	\$1.38	\$1.46	\$1.54	\$1.63	\$1.73	\$1.82	\$1.92	\$2.02	\$66.36	
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	(\$59.97)	\$0.00	\$1.99	\$2.31	\$2.47	\$2.57	\$2.62	\$2.68	\$2.76	\$2.86	\$2.97	\$3.09	\$3.24	\$3.41	\$3.59	\$3.78	\$124.47	
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	(\$37.55)	\$0.00	\$1.32	\$1.55	\$1.67	\$1.76	\$1.83	\$1.90	\$1.98	\$2.06	\$2.14	\$2.23	\$2.32	\$2.45	\$2.58	\$2.71	\$89.22	
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	(\$40.10)	\$0.00	\$0.91	\$1.06	\$1.13	\$1.17	\$1.28	\$1.39	\$1.51	\$1.64	\$1.79	\$1.95	\$2.12	\$2.24	\$2.36	\$2.48	\$81.60	
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	(\$83.10)	\$0.00	\$2.60	\$3.04	\$3.23	\$3.37	\$3.54	\$3.72	\$3.92	\$4.14	\$4.38	\$4.64	\$4.92	\$5.19	\$5.46	\$5.75	\$189.19	-

Multi-Stage DCF Notes: [1] Source: Bloomberg; based on 30-, 90-, and 180-day historical average as of February 15, 2019 [2] Source: Zacks [3] Source: Yahoo! Finance [4] Source: Value Line [5] Equals indicated value (average, minimum, maximum) of Columns [2], [3], [4] [6] Source: Federal Reserve, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Blue Chip Financial Forecast [7] Source: Value Line [8] Source: Value Line [9] Source: Bloomberg Professional [10] Equals Column [1] + Column [62] [11] Equals result of Excel Solver function; goal: Column [10] equals \$0.00 [12] Equals Column [61] / Column [30] or Proxy Group 30-day average PE ratio. Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence [13] Equals Column [12] / (Column [6] x 100) [14] Source: Value Line [15] Equals Column [14] x (1 + Column [5]) [16] Equals Column [15] x (1 + Column [5]) [17] Equals Column [16] x (1 + Column [5]) [18] Equals Column [17] x (1 + Column [5]) [19] Equals Column [18] x (1 + Column [5]) [20] Equals Column [19] x (1 + Column [5]) [21] Equals (1 + (Column [5] + (((Column [6] - Column [5]) / (2029 - 2024 + 1)) x (2024 - 2023)))) x Column [20] [22] Equals (1 + (Column [5] + (((Column [6] - Column [5]) / (2029 - 2024 + 1)) x (2025 - 2023)))) x Column [21] [23] Equals (1 + (Column [5] + (((Column [6] - Column [5]) / (2029 - 2024 + 1)) x (2026 - 2023)))) x Column [22] [24] Equals (1 + (Column [5] + (((Column [6] - Column [5]) / (2029 - 2024 + 1)) x (2027 - 2023)))) x Column [23] [25] Equals (1 + (Column [5] + (((Column [6] - Column [5]) / (2029 - 2024 + 1)) x (2028 - 2023)))) x Column [24] [26] Equals Column [25] x (1 + Column [6]) [27] Equals Column [26] x (1 + Column [6]) [28] Equals Column [27] x (1 + Column [6]) [29] Equals Column [28] x (1 + Column [6]) [30] Equals Column [29] x (1 + Column [6]) [31] Equals Column [7] [32] Equals Column [31] + ((Column [34] - Column [31]) / 3) [33] Equals Column [32] + ((Column [34] - Column [31]) / 3) [34] Equals Column [8] [35] Equals Column [34] + ((Column [41] - Column [34]) / 7) [36] Equals Column [35] + ((Column [41] - Column [34]) / 7) [37] Equals Column [36] + ((Column [41] - Column [34]) / 7) [38] Equals Column [37] + ((Column [41] - Column [34]) / 7) [39] Equals Column [38] + ((Column [41] - Column [34]) / 7) [40] Equals Column [39] + ((Column [41] - Column [34]) / 7) [41] Equals Column [9] [42] Equals Column [9] [43] Equals Column [9] [44] Equals Column [9] [45] Equals Column [9] [46] Equals Column [16] x Column [31] [47] Equals Column [17] x Column [32] [48] Equals Column [18] x Column [33] [49] Equals Column [19] x Column [34] [50] Equals Column [20] x Column [35] [51] Equals Column [21] x Column [36] [52] Equals Column [22] x Column [37] [53] Equals Column [23] x Column [38] [54] Equals Column [24] x Column [39] [55] Equals Column [25] x Column [40] [56] Equals Column [26] x Column [41] [57] Equals Column [27] x Column [42] [58] Equals Column [28] x Column [43] [59] Equals Column [29] x Column [44] [60] Equals Column [30] x Column [45] [61] Equals (Column [60] x (1 + Column [6])) / (Column [11] - Column [6]), or Column [12] x Column [30] [62] Equals negative net present value; discount rate equals Column [11], cash flows equal Column [63] through Column [78] [63] Equals \$0.00 [64] Equals Column [46] x (12/31/2019 - 2/15/2019) / 365 [65] Equals Column [46] x (1 + (0.5 x Column [5])) [66] Equals Column [48] [67] Equals Column [49] [68] Equals Column [50] [69] Equals Column [51] [70] Equals Column [52] [71] Equals Column [53] [72] Equals Column [54] [73] Equals Column [55] [74] Equals Column [56] [75] Equals Column [57]

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 2 Page 19 of 19

[76] Equals Column [58] [77] Equals Column [59] [78] Equals Column [60] + [61]

Ex-Ante Market Risk Premium Market DCF Method Based - Bloomberg

[1]	[2]	[3]
S&P 500	Current 30-Year	
Est. Required	Treasury (30-day	Implied Market
Market Return	average)	Risk Premium
13.75%	3.03%	10.72%

		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
2	-	Market		Estimated	Long-Term	B05 B #	Weighted
Company Agilent Technologies Inc		24 860 20	0 10%		Growth Est.	10 40%	0.0105%
American Airlines Group Inc	AAL	16.143.38	0.07%	1.16%	15.20%	16.45%	0.0108%
Advance Auto Parts Inc	AAP	12,391.20	0.05%	0.14%	17.37%	17.52%	0.0088%
Apple Inc	AAPL	803,578.02	3.27%	1.72%	9.40%	11.20%	0.3660%
AbbVie Inc	ABBV	121,615.89	0.49%	5.34%	8.81%	14.39%	0.0712%
AmerisourceBergen Corp	ABC	18,293.05	0.07%	1.85%	8.42%	10.36%	0.0077%
Abbott Laboratories	ABIVID	132 164 06	0.07%	1.63%	29.00%	29.00%	0.0192%
Accenture PLC	ACN	101.653.74	0.41%	1.84%	10.27%	12.20%	0.0504%
Adobe Inc	ADBE	126,540.49	0.51%	0.00%	16.75%	16.75%	0.0862%
Analog Devices Inc	ADI	39,049.76	0.16%	1.86%	8.43%	10.37%	0.0165%
Archer-Daniels-Midland Co	ADM	23,535.85	0.10%	3.38%	1.40%	4.80%	0.0046%
Automatic Data Processing Inc	ADP	65,200.69	0.27%	1.93%	14.00%	16.07%	0.0426%
Autodesk Inc	ADSK	9,435.30 35 118 07	0.04%	0.00%	4.43% 54.78%	54 78%	0.0023%
Ameren Corp	AEE	17,004.71	0.07%	2.79%	6.70%	9.59%	0.0066%
American Electric Power Co Inc	AEP	39,088.74	0.16%	3.39%	5.96%	9.45%	0.0150%
AES Corp/VA	AES	11,206.07	0.05%	3.07%	8.66%	11.86%	0.0054%
Aflac Inc	AFL	37,220.49	0.15%	2.22%	3.43%	5.69%	0.0086%
Allergan PLC	AGN	46,256.69	0.19%	2.14%	7.11%	9.32%	0.0175%
Anerican International Group Inc	AIG	7 366 79	0.15%	3.20%	-2 74%	0.49%	0.0215%
Assurant Inc	AIZ	6.094.32	N/A	2.54%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Arthur J Gallagher & Co	AJG	14,695.35	0.06%	2.15%	10.17%	12.43%	0.0074%
Akamai Technologies Inc	AKAM	11,415.28	0.05%	0.00%	16.57%	16.57%	0.0077%
Albemarle Corp	ALB	8,641.97	0.04%	1.65%	9.81%	11.54%	0.0041%
Align Technology Inc	ALGN	20,392.82	0.08%	0.00%	23.19%	23.19%	0.0192%
Alaska Air Group Inc	ALK	8,165.08	0.03%	2.04%	5.60%	7.69%	0.0026%
Allegion PLC	ALL	8 703 31	0.13%	0.92%	11.97%	12 95%	0.0046%
Alexion Pharmaceuticals Inc	ALXN	28,921.41	0.12%	0.00%	16.74%	16.74%	0.0197%
Applied Materials Inc	AMAT	37,295.79	0.15%	2.20%	9.23%	11.53%	0.0175%
Advanced Micro Devices Inc	AMD	23,805.48	0.10%	0.00%	15.67%	15.67%	0.0152%
AMETEK Inc	AME	18,339.48	0.07%	0.73%	8.84%	9.60%	0.0072%
Affiliated Managers Group Inc	AMG	5,739.24	0.02%	1.27%	4.37%	5.67%	0.0013%
Ameriprise Financial Inc	AMP	17,199.04	0.40%	2.91%	11 80%	14 88%	0.0442 %
American Tower Corp	AMT	78,327.55	0.32%	1.76%	15.19%	17.09%	0.0544%
Amazon.com Inc	AMZN	789,829.69	3.21%	0.00%	37.60%	37.60%	1.2075%
Arista Networks Inc	ANET	19,909.04	0.08%	0.00%	21.64%	21.64%	0.0175%
ANSYS Inc	ANSS	14,580.39	0.06%	0.00%	12.20%	12.20%	0.0072%
Anthem Inc		80,587.70	0.33%	0.99%	11.14%	12.18%	0.0399%
AO Smith Corp	AOS	8.671.01	0.04%	1.67%	9.33%	11.08%	0.0039%
Apache Corp	APA	12,638.80	0.05%	5.50%	-5.19%	0.17%	0.0001%
Anadarko Petroleum Corp	APC	22,595.82	0.09%	2.60%	23.31%	26.21%	0.0241%
Air Products & Chemicals Inc	APD	38,233.39	0.16%	2.64%	12.30%	15.10%	0.0235%
Amphenol Corp	APH	27,629.70	0.11%	0.96%	10.64%	11.65%	0.0131%
Apuv PLC Alexandria Real Estate Equities Inc	APTV	21,049.80	0.09%	1.14%	4 80%	7 78%	0.0101%
Arconic Inc	ARNC	8.480.90	0.03%	0.57%	14.40%	15.01%	0.0052%
Activision Blizzard Inc	ATVI	11,344.89	0.05%	2.16%	6.50%	8.73%	0.0040%
AvalonBay Communities Inc	AVB	34,032.06	0.14%	0.83%	6.65%	7.51%	0.0104%
Broadcom Inc	AVGO	27,101.30	0.11%	3.11%	5.38%	8.58%	0.0095%
Avery Dennison Corp	AVY	114,821.89	0.47%	3.47%	13.15%	16.85%	0.0787%
American Express Co		9,095.91	0.04%	2.01%	5.75% 8.45%	10.31%	0.0029%
AutoZone Inc	AZO	90,560.93	0.37%	1.50%	14.99%	16.60%	0.0611%
Boeing Co/The	BA	23,182.41	0.09%	0.00%	12.80%	12.80%	0.0121%
Bank of America Corp	BAC	236,146.83	0.96%	1.90%	16.70%	18.76%	0.1801%
Baxter International Inc	BAX	281,472.93	1.14%	2.36%	9.70%	12.17%	0.1393%
BB&I Corp Bost Buy Collec	BBI	39,075.11	0.16%	1.14%	12.20%	13.41%	0.0213%
Becton Dickinson and Co	BDX	16 194 53	0.10%	2.99%	11 10%	14 26%	0.0211%
Franklin Resources Inc	BEN	66,819.20	0.27%	1.27%	12.41%	13.76%	0.0374%
Brown-Forman Corp	BF/B	16,533.61	0.07%	3.15%	10.00%	13.31%	0.0089%
Brighthouse Financial Inc	BHF	23,403.56	0.10%	1.34%	9.86%	11.27%	0.0107%
Baker Hughes a GE Co	BHGE	4,930.48	0.02%	0.00%	11.14%	11.14%	0.0022%
Biogen Inc Bank of New York Meller, Corry/The	BIIB	26,732.47	0.11%	2.62%	40.82%	43.97%	0.0478%
Booking Holdings Inc		00,470.45 50 202 07	0.∠/% 0.21%	2.00%	0.00% 7.33%	0.00% 9.69%	0.0135%
BlackRock Inc	BLK	89.581.50	0.36%	0.00%	15.78%	15.78%	0.0575%
Ball Corp	BLL	68,541.37	0.28%	3.07%	9.69%	12.91%	0.0360%
Bristol-Myers Squibb Co	BMY	18,360.49	0.07%	0.74%	6.50%	7.26%	0.0054%
Broadridge Financial Solutions Inc	BR	84,349.45	0.34%	3.19%	12.78%	16.17%	0.0555%
Berksnire Hathaway Inc	BRK/B	11,608.57	0.05%	1.91%	10.00%	12.00%	0.0057%
Doston Scientific Colp	027	505,060.48	2.00%	0.00%	-0.00%	-0.00%	-0.1151%

Docket No. EL18-021

Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 3

						Page 2 of 13			
		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]		
Company	Ticker	Capitalization	Weight in Index	Estimated Dividend Yield	Growth Est	DCF Result	DCF Result		
BorgWarner Inc	BWA	55,365.87	0.23%	0.00%	33.46%	33.46%	0.0753%		
Boston Properties Inc	BXP	8,730.79	0.04%	1.65%	5.84%	7.53%	0.0027%		
Citigroup Inc	С	20,638.74	0.08%	2.91%	6.46%	9.46%	0.0079%		
Conagra Brands Inc	CAG	156,956.13	0.64%	3.03%	11.07%	14.26%	0.0910%		
Caterpillar Inc	CAT	16.533.96	0.07%	3.51%	15.67%	19.46%	0.0131%		
Chubb Ltd	CB	78,388.92	0.32%	2.58%	13.35%	16.10%	0.0513%		
Cboe Global Markets Inc	CBOE	61,170.48	0.25%	2.28%	10.00%	12.39%	0.0308%		
CBRE Group Inc	CBRE	10,495.37	0.04%	1.40%	13.46%	14.95%	0.0064%		
CBS Corp	CBS	17,057.65	0.07%	0.00%	8.55%	8.55%	0.0059%		
Crown Castle International Corp		10,940.07	0.08%	1.00%	14.79%	10.45%	0.0127%		
Cadence Design Systems Inc	CDNS	39.358.41	0.16%	3.60%	10.93%	14.73%	0.0236%		
Celanese Corp	CE	14,835.03	0.06%	0.00%	10.90%	10.90%	0.0066%		
Celgene Corp	CELG	12,843.32	0.05%	2.35%	7.05%	9.48%	0.0050%		
Cerner Corp	CERN	63,504.65	0.26%	0.00%	20.70%	20.70%	0.0534%		
CF Industries Holdings Inc		18,783.74	0.08%	0.00%	12.93%	12.93%	0.0099%		
Church & Dwight Co. Inc.	CHD	9,040.10 17 102 49	0.04%	2.69%	16.69%	22.92%	0.0090%		
CH Robinson Worldwide Inc	CHRW	15,928.79	0.06%	1.42%	8.21%	9.69%	0.0063%		
Charter Communications Inc	CHTR	12,574.78	0.05%	2.21%	9.07%	11.38%	0.0058%		
Cigna Corp	CI	87,671.16	0.36%	0.00%	41.16%	41.16%	0.1467%		
Cincinnati Financial Corp	CINF	75,373.43	0.31%	0.01%	14.10%	14.12%	0.0433%		
Colgate-Palmolive Co		13,910.82	N/A 0.23%	2.72%	N/A 6.24%	N/A 8.02%	N/A		
Comerica Inc	CMA	20 029 52	0.23%	2.00%	0.24% 4.91%	7 46%	0.0209%		
Comcast Corp	CMCSA	13.732.87	0.06%	3.02%	16.41%	19.68%	0.0110%		
CME Group Inc	CME	170,945.60	0.70%	2.22%	11.03%	13.37%	0.0929%		
Chipotle Mexican Grill Inc	CMG	62,751.25	0.26%	2.99%	13.40%	16.59%	0.0423%		
Cummins Inc	CMI	16,758.48	0.07%	0.00%	20.31%	20.31%	0.0138%		
CMS Energy Corp	CMS	24,461.47	0.10%	2.98%	6.81%	9.89%	0.0098%		
CenterBoint Energy Inc.		14,955.02	0.06%	2.90%	0.01%	9.60%	0.0058%		
Capital One Financial Corp	COF	20,790.70	0.06%	3.63%	6.90%	10.66%	0.0149%		
Cabot Oil & Gas Corp	COG	38,150.29	0.16%	2.03%	4.54%	6.61%	0.0102%		
Cooper Cos Inc/The	COO	10,878.67	0.04%	1.01%	33.27%	34.44%	0.0152%		
ConocoPhillips	COP	13,769.08	0.06%	0.02%	10.50%	10.52%	0.0059%		
Costco Wholesale Corp	COST	80,794.16	0.33%	1.76%	6.00%	7.82%	0.0257%		
Coty Inc	COTY	95,352.97	0.39%	1.09%	10.58%	11.72%	0.0454%		
Campbell Soup Co	CPB	0,293.00 10.472.80	0.03%	4.53%	0.70% 3.16%	7 31%	0.0045%		
Copart Inc	CPRT	6.705.02	0.03%	0.00%	6.73%	6.73%	0.0018%		
salesforce.com Inc	CRM	12,643.86	0.05%	0.00%	10.00%	10.00%	0.0051%		
Cisco Systems Inc	CSCO	121,673.25	0.49%	0.00%	23.98%	23.98%	0.1186%		
CSX Corp	CSX	222,235.39	0.90%	2.76%	6.84%	9.70%	0.0876%		
Cintas Corp	CIAS	59,165.83	0.24%	1.26%	10.47%	11.80%	0.0284%		
ConjuryLink Inc	CTSH	21,305.00	0.09%	0.99%	-15 21%	-1 94%	-0.0030%		
Citrix Systems Inc	CTXS	42.444.12	0.17%	1.02%	11.40%	12.47%	0.0215%		
CVS Health Corp	CVS	14,027.48	0.06%	0.00%	11.85%	11.85%	0.0068%		
Chevron Corp	CVX	89,908.88	0.37%	2.89%	11.30%	14.36%	0.0525%		
Concho Resources Inc	cxo	228,050.92	0.93%	3.95%	6.36%	10.43%	0.0967%		
Dominion Energy Inc	D	24,624.77	0.10%	0.00%	31.00%	31.00%	0.0310%		
Deere & Co	DAL	30,374.14 34,680,77	0.24%	5.00% 2.86%	5.72% 13.07%	10.87%	0.0258%		
Discover Financial Services	DFS	50.857.82	0.21%	1.83%	10.39%	12.32%	0.0255%		
Dollar General Corp	DG	23,596.99	0.10%	2.31%	8.80%	11.21%	0.0108%		
Quest Diagnostics Inc	DGX	31,283.24	0.13%	0.97%	15.00%	16.04%	0.0204%		
DR Horton Inc	DHI	11,854.35	0.05%	2.38%	7.88%	10.35%	0.0050%		
Danaher Corp	DHR	14,925.72	0.06%	1.51%	11.80%	13.40%	0.0081%		
Discovery Inc	DISCA	167 846 56	0.32%	0.60%	3.76%	5 37%	0.0335%		
DISH Network Corp	DISH	20.510.35	0.08%	0.00%	12.30%	12.30%	0.0103%		
Digital Realty Trust Inc	DLR	14,457.62	0.06%	0.00%	-20.68%	-20.68%	-0.0122%		
Dollar Tree Inc	DLTR	24,962.26	0.10%	3.72%	18.00%	22.06%	0.0224%		
Dover Corp	DOV	23,523.33	0.10%	0.00%	9.96%	9.96%	0.0095%		
Duke Realty Corp	DRE	13,345.54	0.05%	2.18%	10.97%	13.27%	0.0072%		
Darden Restaurants Inc		10,070.75	0.04%	2.90%	4.50%	7.40%	0.0032%		
Duke Energy Corp	DUK	21,796,44	0.09%	3.17%	5.87%	9.13%	0.0081%		
DaVita Inc	DVA	63,619.77	0.26%	4.32%	5.00%	9.43%	0.0244%		
Devon Energy Corp	DVN	9,833.49	0.04%	0.00%	16.56%	16.56%	0.0066%		
DowDuPont Inc	DWDP	13,306.24	0.05%	1.06%	10.92%	12.03%	0.0065%		
DAG Technology Co	DXC	122,298.29	0.50%	2.90%	6.17% 6.50%	9.15%	0.0455%		
eBay Inc		32 008 70	0.07%	3 10%	0.00%	15 15%	0.0000%		
Ecolab Inc	ECL	33,466.33	0.14%	0.70%	10.67%	11.41%	0.0155%		
Consolidated Edison Inc	ED	47,224.97	0.19%	1.00%	13.20%	14.27%	0.0274%		
Equifax Inc	EFX	25,524.60	0.10%	3.65%	3.73%	7.44%	0.0077%		
Edison International	EIX	13,180.06	0.05%	1.43%	6.60%	8.08%	0.0043%		
Estee Lauder Cos Inc/The	EL	19,711.58	0.08%	4.01%	5.34%	9.46%	0.0076%		
Eastman Unemical U0		55,983.80	0.23%	1.U/% 2.97%	6 73%	12.93%	0.0294%		
EOG Resources Inc	FOG	41 984 68	0.17%	2.88%	8.95%	9.70% 11.95%	0.0204%		
Equinix Inc	EQIX	58,135.28	0.24%	0.80%	11.06%	11.90%	0.0281%		
Equity Residential	EQR	33,875.75	0.14%	2.37%	19.40%	22.00%	0.0303%		
Eversource Energy	ES	27,044.15	0.11%	3.05%	6.31%	9.46%	0.0104%		
Essex Property Trust Inc	ESS	22,245.38	0.09%	2.89%	5.62%	8.59%	0.0078%		

Docket No. EL18-021

Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 3

						Page 3 of 13				
		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]			
Company	Ticker	Capitalization	Weight in Index	Estimated Dividend Yield	Growth Est	DCF Result	DCF Result			
E*TRADE Financial Corp	ETFC	18,420.27	0.07%	2.79%	6.02%	8.89%	0.0067%			
Eaton Corp PLC	ETN	11,698.66	0.05%	1.05%	12.08%	13.19%	0.0063%			
Entergy Corp	ETR	34,121.58	0.14%	3.64%	9.23%	13.03%	0.0181%			
Evergy Inc	EVRG	16,277.44	0.07%	4.00%	-0.69%	3.29%	0.0022%			
Evelop Corp	EVV	10,463.20	0.06%	2.79%	0.00% 14.00%	11.58%	0.0073%			
Expeditors International of Washington I	EXPD	46.614.66	0.19%	3.00%	4.12%	7.18%	0.0136%			
Expedia Group Inc	EXPE	12,709.53	0.05%	1.20%	9.60%	10.86%	0.0056%			
Extra Space Storage Inc	EXR	18,706.42	0.08%	1.03%	17.20%	18.32%	0.0139%			
Ford Motor Co	F	12,616.11	0.05%	3.35%	5.34%	8.78%	0.0045%			
Diamondback Energy Inc	FANG	33,971.29	0.14%	6.84%	-5.41%	1.25%	0.0017%			
Facebook Inc	FAST	18 005 11	0.07%	2 70%	13.20%	17.76%	0.0111%			
Fortune Brands Home & Security Inc	FBHS	463,773.34	1.89%	0.00%	21.88%	21.88%	0.4126%			
Freeport-McMoRan Inc	FCX	6,702.59	0.03%	1.77%	9.97%	11.82%	0.0032%			
FedEx Corp	FDX	17,794.44	0.07%	1.83%	-12.55%	-10.83%	-0.0078%			
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	46,805.12	0.19%	1.43%	14.30%	15.84%	0.0301%			
F5 Networks Inc Fidelity National Information Services I	FFIV	20,248.12	0.08%	3.64%	-0.02%	3.62%	0.0030%			
Fisery Inc.	FISV	35 673 30	0.04%	1 29%	12 00%	9.39% 13.36%	0.0039%			
Fifth Third Bancorp	FITB	34,180.82	0.14%	0.00%	7.40%	7.40%	0.0103%			
Foot Locker Inc	FL	17,568.96	0.07%	3.57%	3.95%	7.59%	0.0054%			
FLIR Systems Inc	FLIR	6,693.26	0.03%	2.30%	6.24%	8.61%	0.0023%			
Fluor Corp	FLR	7,250.82	N/A	1.30%	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Flowserve Corp	FLS	5,107.67	0.02%	2.31%	19.23%	21.77%	0.0045%			
FleetCor Technologies Inc	FLI	0,252.35	0.03%	1.61%	20.07%	21.84%	0.0056%			
Twenty-First Century Fox Inc	FINIC	19,233.05	0.08%	0.00%	10.50%	10.50%	0.0129%			
First Republic Bank/CA	FRC	93.285.81	0.38%	0.79%	2.66%	3.46%	0.0131%			
Federal Realty Investment Trust	FRT	16,936.76	0.07%	0.75%	12.39%	13.18%	0.0091%			
TechnipFMC PLC	FTI	10,075.08	0.04%	3.08%	4.25%	7.39%	0.0030%			
Fortinet Inc	FTNT	10,624.12	0.04%	2.26%	5.58%	7.90%	0.0034%			
Fortive Corp	FTV	14,159.47	0.06%	0.00%	22.10%	22.10%	0.0127%			
General Dynamics Corp	GD	27,362.10	0.11%	0.37%	12.93%	13.32%	0.0148%			
Gilead Sciences Inc	GLD	87 763 98	0.21%	0.40%	1 60%	2 00%	0.0230%			
General Mills Inc	GIS	86.650.38	0.35%	3.71%	-1.48%	2.20%	0.0078%			
Corning Inc	GLW	26,877.57	0.11%	4.38%	6.43%	10.95%	0.0120%			
General Motors Co	GM	27,151.12	0.11%	2.31%	10.39%	12.82%	0.0141%			
Alphabet Inc	GOOGL	55,096.53	0.22%	3.91%	11.77%	15.91%	0.0356%			
Genuine Parts Co	GPC	776,123.64	3.16%	0.00%	15.22%	15.22%	0.4804%			
Global Payments Inc	GPN	15,789.83	0.06%	2.64%	5.62%	8.34%	0.0054%			
Garmin Ltd	GRMN	9 562 55	0.08%	3.87%	8.63%	12.66%	0.0110%			
Goldman Sachs Group Inc/The	GS	13.522.50	0.05%	2.93%	6.03%	9.05%	0.0050%			
Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co/The	GT	76,735.17	0.31%	1.71%	7.27%	9.04%	0.0282%			
WW Grainger Inc	GWW	4,362.99	N/A	3.44%	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Halliburton Co	HAL	17,832.18	0.07%	1.80%	12.47%	14.38%	0.0104%			
Hasbro Inc		27,790.49	0.11%	2.19%	30.08%	32.60%	0.0368%			
Hanesbrands Inc	HBI	10,930.25	0.04%	3.15% 4.23%	8.96%	12.20%	0.0054%			
HCA Healthcare Inc	HCA	6,783.93	0.03%	3.34%	3.72%	7.11%	0.0020%			
HCP Inc	HCP	49,648.59	0.20%	0.94%	11.56%	12.56%	0.0253%			
Home Depot Inc/The	HD	14,734.48	0.06%	4.82%	2.47%	7.35%	0.0044%			
Hess Corp	HES	217,309.84	0.88%	2.14%	13.01%	15.29%	0.1351%			
HollyFrontier Corp	HFC	17,058.26	0.07%	1.76%	-9.49%	-7.81%	-0.0054%			
Huntington Ingalls Industries Inc	HI	17 503 82	0.04%	2.50%	9.50%	9.45%	0.0039%			
Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc	HLT	9,124.12	0.04%	1.55%	40.00%	41.86%	0.0155%			
Harley-Davidson Inc	HOG	23,940.27	0.10%	0.81%	10.74%	11.59%	0.0113%			
Hologic Inc	HOLX	6,073.66	0.02%	4.20%	8.97%	13.35%	0.0033%			
Honeywell International Inc	HON	13,484.96	0.05%	0.00%	3.10%	3.10%	0.0017%			
Heimerich & Payne Inc	HP	111,783.45	0.45%	2.17%	13.06%	15.37%	0.0699%			
HP Inc	HPQ	22.155.32	0.09%	2.90%	4.86%	7.83%	0.0071%			
H&R Block Inc	HRB	36,165.35	0.15%	2.73%	6.06%	8.87%	0.0130%			
Hormel Foods Corp	HRL	4,953.22	0.02%	4.16%	10.00%	14.37%	0.0029%			
Harris Corp	HRS	23,094.53	0.09%	1.93%	5.80%	7.78%	0.0073%			
Henry Schein Inc	HSIC	19,418.35	0.08%	1.64%	7.00%	8.69%	0.0069%			
Host Hotels & Resorts Inc	HST	9,510.59	0.04%	0.00%	7.53%	7.53%	0.0029%			
Humana Inc	HUM	13,032.04	0.06%	4.40%	4.57%	9.13%	0.0051%			
International Business Machines Corp	IBM	42.066.34	0.17%	0.63%	14.11%	14.79%	0.0253%			
Intercontinental Exchange Inc	ICE	125,440.81	0.51%	4.71%	3.59%	8.38%	0.0428%			
IDEXX Laboratories Inc	IDXX	42,921.60	0.17%	1.42%	8.02%	9.50%	0.0166%			
International Flavors & Fragrances Inc	IFF	18,083.73	0.07%	0.00%	18.21%	18.21%	0.0134%			
Illumina Inc	ILMN	14,074.80	0.06%	2.15%	9.00%	11.24%	0.0064%			
Incyte Corp	INCY	44,073.54	0.18%	0.00%	25.16%	25.16%	0.0451%			
Intel Corp		10,012.17	0.07%	0.00%	47.00% 11.01%	47.03% 11.01%	0.0348%			
Intuit Inc	INTU	232.315.02	0.94%	2.44%	8.54%	11.09%	0.1047%			
International Paper Co	IP	60,554.77	0.25%	0.79%	15.87%	16.72%	0.0412%			
Interpublic Group of Cos Inc/The	IPG	19,097.09	0.08%	4.28%	6.08%	10.48%	0.0081%			
IPG Photonics Corp	IPGP	8,986.14	0.04%	3.98%	8.53%	12.67%	0.0046%			
IQVIA Holdings Inc	IQV	8,199.60	0.03%	0.00%	9.24%	9.24%	0.0031%			
Ingersoll-Kand PLC	IR	28,015.38	0.11%	0.00%	15.23%	15.23%	0.0173%			
Intuitive Surgical Inc	ISPC	20,002.02	0.10%	∠.∪ö% 7.02%	9.92% 7.16%	12.11% 14.43%	0.0123%			
	10110	10,007.10	0.0770	1.02/0	1.10/0	17.70/0	0.0000/0			

Docket No. EL18-021

		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	Page 4 01 13
		Market	[5]	Estimated	Long-Term	ĮOJ	Weighted
Company	Ticker	Capitalization	Weight in Index	Dividend Yield	Growth Est.	DCF Result	DCF Result
Gartner Inc	IT	62,797.00	0.26%	0.00%	12.95%	12.95%	0.0331%
Illinois I ool Works Inc	11 W	13,018.77	0.05%	0.00%	14.02%	14.02%	0.0074%
JB Hunt Transport Services Inc	JBHT	7.757.79	0.03%	6.58%	4.30%	11.02%	0.0035%
Johnson Controls International plc	JCI	12,401.34	0.05%	0.89%	18.78%	19.75%	0.0100%
Jacobs Engineering Group Inc	JEC	32,036.23	0.13%	3.07%	7.63%	10.82%	0.0141%
Jefferies Financial Group Inc	JEF	9,587.60	0.04%	0.84%	13.57%	14.46%	0.0056%
Jack Henry & Associates Inc	JKHY	6,790.99	N/A	2.38%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Johnson & Johnson Juniper Networks Inc		10,323.40	0.04%	1.10%	7.26%	12.21%	0.0051%
JPMorgan Chase & Co	JPM	9.360.59	0.04%	2.78%	8.63%	11.53%	0.0044%
Nordstrom Inc	JWN	350,997.10	1.43%	3.20%	9.33%	12.68%	0.1810%
Kellogg Co	К	7,435.87	0.03%	3.38%	10.55%	14.10%	0.0043%
KeyCorp	KEY	19,707.33	0.08%	4.15%	3.68%	7.91%	0.0063%
Keysight Technologies Inc	KEYS	17,851.50	0.07%	4.23%	13.17%	17.68%	0.0128%
Kraft Heinz Co/The	KHC	15,086.18	0.06%	0.00%	17.00%	17.00%	0.0104%
KI A-Tencor Corp	KLAC	7 483 81	0.03%	6.36%	3.86%	10.34%	0.0200%
Kimberly-Clark Corp	KMB	16,376.07	0.07%	2.76%	7.15%	10.00%	0.0067%
Kinder Morgan Inc/DE	KMI	40,794.48	0.17%	3.47%	6.09%	9.66%	0.0160%
CarMax Inc	KMX	42,556.74	0.17%	5.29%	10.00%	15.56%	0.0269%
Coca-Cola Co/The	KO	10,555.61	0.04%	0.00%	12.92%	12.92%	0.0055%
Kroger Co/The	KR	192,564.69	0.78%	3.64%	6.72%	10.48%	0.0821%
Konis Corp Kansas City Southern	KSU	23,533.42	0.10%	1.64%	0.43%	0.34% 14.50%	0.0080%
Loews Corp	L	10,855,25	0.04%	1.42%	8.97%	10.45%	0.0046%
L Brands Inc	LB	14,537.68	N/A	0.60%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Leggett & Platt Inc	LEG	7,521.92	0.03%	8.80%	10.72%	19.99%	0.0061%
Lennar Corp	LEN	5,862.28	0.02%	3.43%	10.00%	13.60%	0.0032%
Laboratory Corp of America Holdings	LH	15,491.69	0.06%	0.33%	12.74%	13.09%	0.0082%
		15,152.15	0.06%	0.00%	7.01%	7.61%	0.0047%
1.3 Technologies Inc		8 639 20	0.04%	0.00%	13.85%	13 85%	0.0049%
Eli Lilly & Co	LLY	16,702.77	0.07%	1.56%	10.49%	12.13%	0.0082%
Lockheed Martin Corp	LMT	129,745.79	0.53%	2.03%	10.72%	12.86%	0.0678%
Lincoln National Corp	LNC	86,811.69	0.35%	2.91%	7.61%	10.63%	0.0375%
Alliant Energy Corp	LNT	13,160.19	0.05%	2.43%	9.00%	11.54%	0.0062%
Lowe's Cos Inc	LOW	10,993.85	0.04%	2.98%	6.49%	9.57%	0.0043%
Southwest Airlines Co		27 633 15	0.34%	2 24%	-0.42%	1 82%	0.0380%
Lamb Weston Holdings Inc	LW	31,890.15	0.13%	1.20%	10.73%	12.00%	0.0156%
LyondellBasell Industries NV	LYB	10,182.66	0.04%	1.14%	11.02%	12.22%	0.0051%
Macy's Inc	Μ	32,952.64	0.13%	4.64%	6.80%	11.60%	0.0155%
Mastercard Inc	MA	7,649.78	0.03%	6.16%	-0.17%	5.99%	0.0019%
Mid-America Apartment Communities Inc	MAA	227,864.66	0.93%	0.52%	16.68%	17.24%	0.1598%
Marriott International Inc/MD	MAC	6 162 51	0.05%	3.70% 6.94%	2.39%	9.41%	0.0052%
Masco Corp	MAS	41.293.82	0.17%	1.29%	12.10%	13.47%	0.0226%
Mattel Inc	MAT	11,031.69	0.04%	1.27%	12.50%	13.85%	0.0062%
McDonald's Corp	MCD	4,769.98	0.02%	0.00%	10.00%	10.00%	0.0019%
Microchip Technology Inc	MCHP	138,740.72	0.56%	2.60%	8.74%	11.45%	0.0646%
McKesson Corp	MCK	21,587.87	0.09%	1.60%	12.39%	14.09%	0.0124%
Mondelez International Inc	MDL Z	20,003.83	0.11%	1.09%	12 80%	9.09% 14.02%	0.0090%
Medtronic PLC	MDT	69,551.20	0.28%	2.17%	7.33%	9.58%	0.0271%
MetLife Inc	MET	123,922.80	0.50%	2.15%	7.94%	10.18%	0.0513%
MGM Resorts International	MGM	43,002.80	0.17%	3.90%	8.46%	12.53%	0.0219%
Mohawk Industries Inc	MHK	15,145.09	0.06%	1.79%	3.32%	5.15%	0.0032%
Martin Mariatta Materials Inc.	MIKC	10,329.24	0.04%	0.00%	7.59%	7.59%	0.0032%
Marsh & McLennan Cos Inc	MMC	11,905.21	0.07%	1.78%	13 29%	14.39%	0.0033%
3M Co	MMM	45,918.03	0.19%	1.92%	11.80%	13.83%	0.0258%
Monster Beverage Corp	MNST	120,261.59	0.49%	2.75%	7.70%	10.55%	0.0516%
Altria Group Inc	MO	32,470.02	0.13%	0.00%	15.40%	15.40%	0.0203%
Mosaic Co/The	MOS	91,341.57	0.37%	6.73%	8.50%	15.52%	0.0576%
Marathon Petroleum Corp	MPC	12,377.44	0.05%	0.31%	7.00%	1.32%	0.0037%
Marathon Oil Corp	MRO	207.536.05	0.84%	2.76%	8.33%	11.20%	0.0945%
Morgan Stanley	MS	14,198.19	0.06%	1.17%	0.45%	1.62%	0.0009%
MSCI Inc	MSCI	71,383.00	0.29%	3.12%	13.50%	16.83%	0.0488%
Microsoft Corp	MSFT	14,749.31	0.06%	1.28%	13.10%	14.46%	0.0087%
Motorola Solutions Inc	MSI	830,286.94	3.38%	1.65%	11.68%	13.43%	0.4533%
Mettler-Toledo International Inc	MTD	22,717.40	0.09%	2.49%	4.10%	5.60% 13.07%	0.0054%
Micron Technology Inc	MU	16.584.54	0.07%	0.00%	12.66%	12.66%	0.0085%
Maxim Integrated Products Inc	MXIM	47,072.76	0.19%	0.34%	-3.30%	-2.97%	-0.0057%
Mylan NV	MYL	15,269.30	0.06%	3.29%	8.93%	12.37%	0.0077%
Noble Energy Inc	NBL	16,417.71	0.07%	0.00%	6.01%	6.01%	0.0040%
Norwegian Cruise Line Holdings Ltd	NCLH	10,862.65	0.04%	1.88%	25.48%	27.59%	0.0122%
NextEra Energy Inc	NEE	14 388 87	0.05%	2 11%	12.02% 8.16%	12.02%	0.0001%
Newmont Mining Corp	NEM	87,961.05	0.36%	2.71%	7.90%	10.72%	0.0383%
Netflix Inc	NFLX	17,770.60	0.07%	1.67%	-3.00%	-1.36%	-0.0010%
Newfield Exploration Co	NFX	155,808.94	0.63%	0.00%	36.80%	36.80%	0.2331%
NiSource Inc	NI	10,086.21	0.04%	2.87%	5.54%	8.49%	0.0035%
NINE INC Nektar Therapeutics		134,370.83	0.55%	0.99%	18.34% N/A	19.42%	U.1061%
Nielsen Holdings PLC	NLSN	9,341.96	N/A	5.27%	N/A	N/A	N/A
		3,341.00		0.2.73			
Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 3

							Page 5 of 13	
		[4] Market	[5]	[6] Estimated	[7] Long-Term	[8]	[9] Weighted	
Company	Ticker	Capitalization	Weight in Index	Dividend Yield	Growth Est.	DCF Result	DCF Result	
Northrop Grumman Corp	NOC	48,711.27	0.20%	1.81%	8.89%	10.78%	0.0214%	
NRG Energy Inc	NRG	12 310 43	0.05%	0.73%	46.03%	46.38%	0.0366%	
Norfolk Southern Corp	NSC	48,909.56	0.20%	1.86%	9.13%	11.07%	0.0220%	
NetApp Inc	NTAP	16,128.26	0.07%	2.44%	13.23%	15.83%	0.0104%	
Northern Trust Corp	NTRS	20,609.69	0.08%	2.63%	12.53%	15.32%	0.0128%	
Nucor Corp		18,678.99	0.08%	2.67%	0.85%	3.53%	0.0027%	
Newell Brands Inc	NWL	8.010.29	0.03%	5.10%	1.98%	7.13%	0.0023%	
News Corp	NWSA	7,494.12	0.03%	1.68%	-9.13%	-7.52%	-0.0023%	
Realty Income Corp	0	20,684.71	0.08%	3.75%	6.59%	10.47%	0.0088%	
	OKE	27,853.29	0.11%	4.84%	23.23%	28.63%	0.0324%	
Omnicom Group Inc	OMC	16,776.81	0.07%	3.45%	5.22%	8.76%	0.0060%	
O'Reilly Automotive Inc	ORLY	30.669.04	0.12%	0.00%	15.58%	15.58%	0.0194%	
Occidental Petroleum Corp	OXY	50,730.19	0.21%	4.66%	-0.50%	4.15%	0.0086%	
Paychex Inc	PAYX	27,211.93	0.11%	3.01%	9.25%	12.40%	0.0137%	
People's United Financial Inc	PBCT	6,546.11	0.03%	4.12%	2.00%	6.16%	0.0016%	
	PCAR	23,603.46	0.10%	3.98%	6.10%	10.21%	0.0098%	
Public Service Enterprise Group Inc	PEG	162,817,17	0.66%	3.36%	6.68%	10.15%	0.0672%	
PepsiCo Inc	PEP	245,092.12	1.00%	3.40%	5.45%	8.94%	0.0891%	
Pfizer Inc	PFE	14,135.55	0.06%	4.39%	4.16%	8.64%	0.0050%	
Principal Financial Group Inc	PFG	246,355.57	1.00%	2.95%	6.60%	9.64%	0.0966%	
Procter & Gamble Co/The	PG	41,608.71	0.17%	1.99%	8.00%	10.07%	0.0170%	
Progressive Corp/The Parker-Hannifin Corp	PGR	7 516 09	0.03%	1.73%	9.52%	8 84%	0.0104%	
PulteGroup Inc	PHM	9,305.15	0.04%	3.12%	8.25%	11.50%	0.0044%	
Packaging Corp of America	PKG	10,455.37	0.04%	0.31%	15.49%	15.82%	0.0067%	
PerkinElmer Inc	PKI	44,815.14	0.18%	2.82%	6.87%	9.78%	0.0178%	
Prologis Inc Rhilin Morria International Inc	PLD	129,745.61	0.53%	5.61%	9.06%	14.93%	0.0787%	
PNC Financial Services Group Inc/The	PNC	7 416 24	0.23%	1.86%	10.22%	12 17%	0.0249%	
Pentair PLC	PNR	10,082.69	0.04%	3.16%	4.99%	8.22%	0.0034%	
Pinnacle West Capital Corp	PNW	26,173.83	0.11%	1.77%	7.49%	9.33%	0.0099%	
PPG Industries Inc	PPG	21,941.04	0.09%	5.53%	6.17%	11.87%	0.0106%	
PPL Corp	PPL	6,650.18	0.03%	1.51%	1.17%	2.68%	0.0007%	
Peringo Co PLC Prudential Financial Inc	PRU	36,507.35	0.16%	4.24%	9.00%	13.43%	0.0210%	
Public Storage	PSA	44,263.42	0.18%	3.58%	5.70%	9.39%	0.0169%	
Phillips 66	PSX	8,550.51	0.03%	0.14%	11.03%	11.17%	0.0039%	
PVH Corp	PVH	5,239.44	0.02%	0.00%	25.00%	25.00%	0.0053%	
Quanta Services Inc	PWR	24,776.66	0.10%	0.29%	26.85%	27.18%	0.0274%	
Pioneer Natural Resources Co PayPal Holdings Inc	PXD	62 911 69	0.45%	4.86%	22.12%	22.12%	0.1002%	
QUALCOMM Inc	QCOM	8.000.90	0.03%	0.00%	11.83%	11.83%	0.0038%	
Qorvo Inc	QRVO	24,657.73	0.10%	2.37%	11.72%	14.22%	0.0143%	
Royal Caribbean Cruises Ltd	RCL	8,818.42	0.04%	2.55%	10.00%	12.67%	0.0045%	
Everest Re Group Ltd	RE	11,248.46	0.05%	3.45%	6.04%	9.59%	0.0044%	
Regency Centers Corp Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc	REGN	40,330.25	0.19%	0.00%	13.90%	13.90%	0.0262%	
Regions Financial Corp	RF	7.975.88	0.03%	1.82%	13.20%	15.13%	0.0049%	
Robert Half International Inc	RHI	31,990.11	0.13%	0.00%	18.40%	18.40%	0.0239%	
Red Hat Inc	RHT	11,611.66	0.05%	1.57%	12.30%	13.97%	0.0066%	
Raymond James Financial Inc	RJF	9,834.82	0.04%	1.95%	6.84%	8.86%	0.0035%	
ResMed Inc		21 205 90	0.00%	2 19%	8 44%	14.09%	0.0082%	
Rockwell Automation Inc	ROK	13,128.74	0.05%	1.96%	10.00%	12.05%	0.0064%	
Rollins Inc	ROL	32,266.29	0.13%	0.58%	11.13%	11.75%	0.0154%	
Roper Technologies Inc	ROP	34,839.05	0.14%	0.96%	10.50%	11.51%	0.0163%	
Ross Stores Inc	RUSI	24,967.01	0.10%	1.94%	9.98%	12.02%	0.0122%	
Raytheon Co	RTN	21.021.13	0.09%	0.00%	45.90%	45.90%	0.0392%	
SBA Communications Corp	SBAC	87,934.96	0.36%	2.11%	13.22%	15.47%	0.0553%	
Starbucks Corp	SBUX	62,606.99	0.25%	1.32%	19.78%	21.23%	0.0540%	
Charles Schwab Corp/The	SCHW	6,614.39	0.03%	1.57%	6.04%	7.66%	0.0021%	
Sealed Air Corp Shorwin Williams Co/Tho	SEE	41,118.81	0.17%	0.97%	10.74%	11.76%	0.0197%	
SVB Financial Group	SIVB	11,760.32	0.05%	3.24%	2.99%	6.27%	0.0030%	
JM Smucker Co/The	SJM	62,164.25	0.25%	4.47%	33.69%	38.92%	0.0984%	
Schlumberger Ltd	SLB	7,759.15	0.03%	3.72%	-0.59%	3.13%	0.0010%	
SL Green Realty Corp	SLG	8,775.11	0.04%	2.39%	7.93%	10.41%	0.0037%	
Snap-on Inc Sypopsys Inc	SNA	15,198.20	0.06%	0.00%	15.20%	15.20%	0.0094%	
Southern Co/The	SO	56.663.01	0.23%	4.51%	4.70%	9.32%	0.0215%	
Simon Property Group Inc	SPG	49,302.35	0.20%	1.13%	11.05%	12.25%	0.0245%	
S&P Global Inc	SPGI	31,542.08	0.13%	3.11%	9.96%	13.22%	0.0170%	
Sempra Energy	SRE	28,805.28	0.12%	3.27%	9.18%	12.60%	0.0148%	
Sun i rust Banks Inc State Street Corp	SI	26,847.04	0.11%	2.86%	8.69%	11.68%	0.0127%	
Seagate Technology PLC	STX	12,070.34 33 211 33	0.05%	1 69%	∠.00% 8.64%	0.47% 10.40%	0.0044%	
Constellation Brands Inc	STZ	20,603.09	0.08%	1.96%	10.50%	12.57%	0.0105%	
Stanley Black & Decker Inc	SWK	14,513.51	0.06%	1.87%	8.87%	10.82%	0.0064%	
Skyworks Solutions Inc	SWKS	22,538.13	0.09%	2.84%	6.20%	9.13%	0.0084%	
Synchrony Financial	SYF	69,624.93	0.28%	1.20%	8.64%	9.90%	0.0280%	
Suryker Corp Symantec Corp	SYK	14,797.88	0.06%	1.32%	7.50% 12.53%	0.87% 14 01%	0.0053%	
Sysco Corp	SYY	221,882.54	0.90%	6.71%	4.99%	11.87%	0.1070%	

Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 3

						F	Page 6 of 13
		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
		Market		Estimated	Long-Term		Weighted
Company	Ticker	Capitalization	Weight in Index	Dividend Yield	Growth Est.	DCF Result	DCF Result
AT&T Inc	Т	13,190.49	0.05%	3.36%	0.26%	3.62%	0.0019%
Molson Coors Brewing Co	TAP	23,279.51	0.09%	0.00%	11.79%	11.79%	0.0112%
TransDigm Group Inc	IDG	27,833.50	0.11%	2.16%	11.18%	13.46%	0.0152%
TE Connectivity Ltd	IEL	13,145.83	0.05%	0.48%	12.95%	13.46%	0.0072%
Target Corp	IGI	38,010.36	0.15%	3.54%	6.44%	10.09%	0.0156%
Liffany & Co		11,071.92	0.05%	2.36%	10.53%	13.01%	0.0059%
TJX Cos Inc/The	IJX	61,940.89	0.25%	1.52%	11.18%	12.77%	0.0322%
Thormo Eisbor Scientific Inc.		9,296.01	0.04%	0.01%	1.00%	0.37%	0.0032%
	TPR	10 / 13 11	0.41%	3.78%	10.33%	1/ 31%	0.0407 %
TripAdvisor Inc	TRIP	7 834 17	0.04%	0.00%	10.35%	10.16%	0.0032%
T Rowe Price Group Inc	TROW	23 054 60	0.09%	3.09%	4 27%	7 43%	0.0070%
Travelers Cos Inc/The	TRV	33,908,96	0.14%	2.50%	17.69%	20.41%	0.0281%
Tractor Supply Co	TSCO	11.911.40	0.05%	1.37%	12.09%	13.53%	0.0066%
Tyson Foods Inc	TSN	22,869.39	N/A	2.52%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total System Services Inc	TSS	16,926.92	0.07%	0.57%	12.14%	12.75%	0.0088%
Take-Two Interactive Software Inc	TTWO	10,558.01	0.04%	3.32%	10.30%	13.79%	0.0059%
Twitter Inc	TWTR	23,773.85	0.10%	0.00%	37.35%	37.35%	0.0361%
Texas Instruments Inc	TXN	103,325.64	0.42%	2.92%	10.48%	13.54%	0.0569%
Textron Inc	TXT	12,865.11	0.05%	0.16%	12.56%	12.72%	0.0067%
Under Armour Inc	UAA	8,971.16	0.04%	0.00%	34.93%	34.93%	0.0127%
United Continental Holdings Inc	UAL	24,061.33	0.10%	0.00%	14.17%	14.17%	0.0139%
UDR Inc	UDR	12,286.59	0.05%	3.03%	5.60%	8.71%	0.0044%
Universal Health Services Inc	UHS	12,538.20	0.05%	0.28%	9.82%	10.12%	0.0052%
Ulta Beauty Inc	ULTA	18,170.87	0.07%	0.00%	19.00%	19.00%	0.0140%
UnitedHealth Group Inc	UNH	260,159.68	1.06%	1.33%	13.73%	15.15%	0.1602%
Unum Group	UNM	7,792.56	0.03%	2.95%	9.00%	12.08%	0.0038%
Union Pacific Corp	UNP	123,062.72	0.50%	2.06%	13.86%	10.07%	0.0804%
United Parcel Service Inc		95,120.40	0.39%	3.43%	9.71%	13.31%	0.0515%
		10,733.03	0.04%	2.00%	7 020/	11.70%	0.0076%
United Technologies Corn		110 007 03	0.34 %	2 33%	9.80%	12 25%	0.0548%
Visa Inc	V	200 888 08	1 18%	2.33%	15 59%	16.34%	0.0340 %
Varian Medical Systems Inc.	VAR	12 049 81	0.05%	0.00%	16 10%	16 10%	0.0079%
VF Corp	VEC	34,378,11	0.14%	2.08%	-16.64%	-14.74%	-0.0206%
Viacom Inc	VIAB	12.016.92	0.05%	2.77%	3.67%	6.48%	0.0032%
Valero Energy Corp	VLO	35,811.62	0.15%	4.24%	19.17%	23.82%	0.0347%
Vulcan Materials Co	VMC	14,939.89	0.06%	1.09%	15.34%	16.52%	0.0100%
Vornado Realty Trust	VNO	13,108.84	0.05%	3.77%	2.95%	6.77%	0.0036%
Verisk Analytics Inc	VRSK	20,640.07	0.08%	0.00%	12.91%	12.91%	0.0108%
VeriSign Inc	VRSN	20,998.00	0.09%	0.00%	8.80%	8.80%	0.0075%
Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc	VRTX	48,081.39	0.20%	0.00%	49.41%	49.41%	0.0966%
Ventas Inc	VTR	23,256.97	0.09%	4.92%	2.29%	7.27%	0.0069%
Verizon Communications Inc	VZ	227,923.65	0.93%	4.42%	2.30%	6.77%	0.0627%
Waters Corp	WAT	17,776.76	0.07%	0.00%	11.10%	11.10%	0.0080%
Walgreens Boots Alliance Inc	WBA	69,277.15	0.28%	2.43%	9.77%	12.32%	0.0347%
WellCare Health Plans Inc	WCG	13,956.03	0.06%	0.00%	17.08%	17.08%	0.0097%
Western Digital Corp	WDC	13,859.05	0.06%	4.20%	2.72%	6.97%	0.0039%
Welltewar Inc	WEC	23,497.01	0.10%	3.15%	4.89%	8.12%	0.0078%
	WEC	29,103.70	0.12%	3 70%	0.09%	15 16%	0.013376
	WFC W/HD	223,491.39	0.92%	3.70%	5 75%	0.26%	0.1390%
Willis Towers Watson PLC	WITW	22 032 38	0.09%	1.52%	10.00%	11 59%	0.0104%
Waste Management Inc	WM	41,979.60	0.17%	2.03%	9.73%	11.86%	0.0202%
Williams Cos Inc/The	WMB	33.012.08	0.13%	5.60%	3.90%	9.61%	0.0129%
Walmart Inc	WMT	290,496,95	1.18%	2.08%	5.01%	7.14%	0.0843%
Westrock Co	WRK	9.972.31	0.04%	4.64%	4.73%	9.47%	0.0038%
Western Union Co/The	WU	7,853.36	0.03%	4.37%	3.64%	8.09%	0.0026%
Weyerhaeuser Co	WY	19,062.83	0.08%	5.30%	8.70%	14.23%	0.0110%
Wynn Resorts Ltd	WYNN	13,539.03	0.06%	2.43%	31.10%	33.91%	0.0187%
Cimarex Energy Co	XEC	7,266.07	0.03%	0.76%	63.18%	64.19%	0.0190%
Xcel Energy Inc	XEL	27,980.03	0.11%	3.00%	5.98%	9.07%	0.0103%
Xilinx Inc	XLNX	30,204.98	0.12%	1.21%	9.33%	10.59%	0.0130%
Exxon Mobil Corp	XOM	329,009.16	1.34%	4.35%	11.51%	16.11%	0.2155%
DENTSPLY SIRONA Inc	XRAY	9,698.63	0.04%	0.80%	6.90%	7.72%	0.0030%
Xerox Corp	XRX	6,994.32	0.03%	3.37%	-0.10%	3.26%	0.0009%
Xylem Inc/NY	XYL	13,286.41	0.05%	1.30%	13.90%	15.29%	0.0083%
Yum! Brands Inc	YUM	28,800.72	0.12%	1.75%	12.97%	14.83%	0.0174%
∠Immer Biomet Holdings Inc	ZBH	25,221.67	0.10%	0.81%	4.74%	5.58%	0.0057%
∠ions Bancorp NA	ZION	9,398.33	0.04%	2.63%	6.78%	9.49%	0.0036%
∠oetis Inc	ZIS	45,660.48	0.19%	0.62%	16.50%	17.17%	0.0319%
	i otal Market Capitalization:	24,595,841					13.75%

Notes:

Notes: [1] Equals sum of Col. [9] [2] Source: Bloomberg Professional [3] Equals [1] – [2] [4] Source: Bloomberg Professional [5] Equals weight in S&P 500 based on market capitalization [6] Source: Bloomberg Professional [7] Source: Bloomberg Professional [8] Equals ([6] x (1 + (0.5 x [7]))) + [7] [9] Equals Col. [5] x Col. [8]

Ex-Ante Market Risk Premium Market DCF Method Based - Value Line

[1]	[2]	[3]
S&P 500	Current 30-Year	
Est. Required	Treasury (30-day	Implied Market
Market Return	average)	Risk Premium
17.14%	3.03%	14.10%

		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
2		Market		Estimated	Long-Term	B05 B #	Weighted
Company	I icker	Capitalization	0.11%		Growth Est.	10 42%	DCF Result
Agriefic Technologies Inc American Airlines Group Inc		23,954.94	0.08%	1 11%	-0.50%	0.61%	0.0005%
Advance Auto Parts Inc	AAP	11,891.20	0.05%	0.15%	13.00%	13.16%	0.0072%
Apple Inc	AAPL	808,512.60	3.72%	1.88%	17.50%	19.54%	0.7273%
AbbVie Inc	ABBV	119,084.30	0.55%	5.41%	14.50%	20.30%	0.1113%
AmerisourceBergen Corp	ABC	18,105.95	0.08%	1.87%	8.00%	9.94%	0.0083%
ABIOMED Inc	ABMD	15,392.26	0.07%	0.00%	24.50%	24.50%	0.0174%
Apport Laboratories	ABT	127,755.70	0.59%	1.76%	10.00%	11.85%	0.0697%
Adobe Inc	ADRE	123 739 60	0.40%	0.00%	22.00%	22.00%	0.0527%
Analog Devices Inc	ADI	37,249.20	0.17%	1.91%	10.50%	12.51%	0.0214%
Archer-Daniels-Midland Co	ADM	23,184.00	0.11%	3.38%	9.50%	13.04%	0.0139%
Automatic Data Processing Inc	ADP	63,545.61	0.29%	2.31%	15.00%	17.48%	0.0511%
Alliance Data Systems Corp	ADS	8,855.57	0.04%	1.41%	14.50%	16.01%	0.0065%
Autodesk Inc	ADSK	32,974.00	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ameren Corp	AEE	17,025.62	0.08%	2.77%	7.50%	10.37%	0.0081%
American Electric Power Co Inc	AEP	39,170.33	U.18%	3.42%	4.50%	8.00% N/A	0.0144%
Allac Inc	AES	36 972 82	0.17%	2.31%	8.50%	10.91%	0.0186%
Allergan PLC	AGN	44.658.77	0.21%	2.24%	4.50%	6.79%	0.0140%
American International Group Inc	AIG	37,889.48	0.17%	2.99%	52.00%	55.77%	0.0973%
Apartment Investment & Management Co	AIV	8,119.78	0.04%	3.09%	5.50%	8.67%	0.0032%
Assurant Inc	AIZ	6,069.81	0.03%	2.47%	7.50%	10.06%	0.0028%
Arthur J Gallagher & Co	AJG	14,326.76	0.07%	2.21%	17.00%	19.40%	0.0128%
Akamai Technologies Inc	AKAM	11,313.17	0.05%	0.00%	17.50%	17.50%	0.0091%
Albemarle Corp	ALB	8,562.92	0.04%	1.66%	8.50%	10.23%	0.0040%
Align Technology Inc	ALGN	19,481.41	0.09%	0.00%	28.50%	28.50%	0.0256%
Alaska Air Group Inc Allstate Corp/The		0,040.04 31 853 85	0.04%	2.15%	1.50%	3.07%	0.0014%
Allegion PLC		8 625 02	0.13%	1.33%	10.50%	11 75%	0.0207%
Alexion Pharmaceuticals Inc	ALXN	26,502.05	0.12%	0.00%	24.50%	24.50%	0.0299%
Applied Materials Inc	AMAT	38,602.64	0.18%	2.00%	19.00%	21.19%	0.0376%
Advanced Micro Devices Inc	AMD	22,647.33	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
AMETEK Inc	AME	17,434.23	0.08%	0.75%	10.50%	11.29%	0.0091%
Affiliated Managers Group Inc	AMG	6,353.10	0.03%	1.47%	6.50%	8.02%	0.0023%
Amgen Inc	AMGN	117,999.30	0.54%	3.15%	8.50%	11.78%	0.0640%
Ameriprise Financial Inc		17,508.26	0.08%	2.88%	10.00%	19.11%	0.0154%
Amazon com Inc	AMZN	789 427 00	3.63%	0.00%	57.00%	57.00%	2 0711%
Arista Networks Inc	ANET	16.607.57	0.08%	0.00%	19.00%	19.00%	0.0145%
ANSYS Inc	ANSS	14,245.93	0.07%	0.00%	13.00%	13.00%	0.0085%
Anthem Inc	ANTM	79,764.00	0.37%	1.04%	18.00%	19.13%	0.0702%
Aon PLC	AON	40,251.46	0.19%	0.96%	9.50%	10.51%	0.0195%
AO Smith Corp	AOS	8,380.28	0.04%	1.79%	12.50%	14.40%	0.0056%
Apache Corp	APA	11,649.27	N/A	3.28%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Anadarko Petroleum Corp		21,040.14	N/A 0.17%	2.82%	N/A 0.50%	IN/A 12.42%	N/A
Amphenol Corp	APH	27 074 82	0.17%	1.02%	10.00%	11.42%	0.0209%
Aptiv PLC	APTV	20,129,47	0.09%	1.16%	10.00%	11.22%	0.0104%
Alexandria Real Estate Equities Inc	ARE	13,243.33	N/A	2.92%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Arconic Inc	ARNC	8,543.72	N/A	1.36%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Activision Blizzard Inc	ATVI	10,796.82	0.05%	2.20%	7.50%	9.78%	0.0049%
AvalonBay Communities Inc	AVB	33,541.00	0.15%	0.86%	14.50%	15.42%	0.0238%
Broadcom Inc	AVGO	26,966.99	0.12%	3.12%	5.50%	8.71%	0.0108%
Avery Dennison Corp American Water Works Co. Inc.		8 964 95	0.01%	3.94% 2.14%	47.50%	02.38% 13.76%	0.2079%
American Express Co	AXP	17 494 33	0.04%	2.00%	10.00%	12 10%	0.0097%
AutoZone Inc	AZO	89,149.06	0.41%	1.58%	9.00%	10.65%	0.0437%
Boeing Co/The	BA	22,159.06	0.10%	0.00%	12.50%	12.50%	0.0127%
Bank of America Corp	BAC	230,541.30	1.06%	2.03%	18.00%	20.21%	0.2145%
Baxter International Inc	BAX	278,397.10	1.28%	2.13%	13.00%	15.27%	0.1956%
BB&T Corp	BBT	37,921.61	0.17%	1.07%	12.50%	13.64%	0.0238%
Best Buy Co Inc	BBY	38,885.48	0.18%	3.21%	10.00%	13.37%	0.0239%
Eranklin Resources Inc	BDX	10,009.92	0.07%	3./4% 1.20%	12.00%	10.90%	0.0118%
Brown-Forman Corp	BE/R	15 523 48	0.07%	3.53%	9.00%	12 69%	0.0091%
Brighthouse Financial Inc	BHF	23.025.45	0.11%	1.37%	15.50%	16.98%	0.0180%
Baker Hughes a GE Co	BHGE	N/A	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Biogen Inc	BIIB	10,048.68	N/A	2.95%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bank of New York Mellon Corp/The	BK	62,203.84	0.29%	0.00%	6.50%	6.50%	0.0186%
Booking Holdings Inc	BKNG	51,525.17	0.24%	2.15%	9.00%	11.25%	0.0267%
BlackRock Inc	BLK	87,519.80	0.40%	0.00%	14.00%	14.00%	0.0564%
Ball Corp	BLL	65,919.36	0.30%	3.18%	9.00%	12.32%	0.0374%
DIISIOI-IVIYERS SQUIDD CO	BMX	18,144.72	0.08%	0.75%	22.00%	22.83%	0.0191%

		[4]	[6]	101	[7]	[0]	rayeoui	5
		[4] Market	[၁]	[0] Estimated	[/]	[0]	[9] Weighted	
Company	Ticker	Capitalization	Weight in Index	Dividend Yield	Growth Est	DCE Result	DCF Result	
Broadridge Financial Solutions Inc	BR	81.691.56	0.38%	3.28%	13.50%	17.00%	0.0639%	
Berkshire Hathaway Inc	BRK/B	11.316.75	0.05%	2.00%	12.00%	14.12%	0.0074%	
Boston Scientific Corp	BSX	-	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
BorgWarner Inc	BWA	53,756.94	0.25%	0.00%	17.00%	17.00%	0.0421%	
Boston Properties Inc	BXP	8,168.47	0.04%	1.73%	8.50%	10.30%	0.0039%	
Citigroup Inc	С	20,465.04	0.09%	2.94%	3.50%	6.49%	0.0061%	
Conagra Brands Inc	CAG	153,390.60	0.71%	3.15%	8.50%	11.78%	0.0832%	
Cardinal Health Inc	CAH	10,790.97	0.05%	3.83%	4.50%	8.42%	0.0042%	
Caterpillar Inc	CAT	16,166.50	0.07%	3.59%	10.00%	13.77%	0.0102%	
Chubb Ltd	CB	75,993.98	0.35%	2.67%	17.00%	19.90%	0.0696%	
Cboe Global Markets Inc	CBOE	60,229.01	0.28%	2.24%	9.50%	11.85%	0.0328%	
CBRE Group Inc	CBRE	10,604.47	0.05%	1.31%	17.00%	18.42%	0.0090%	
CBS Corp	CBS	15,735.02	0.07%	0.00%	11.50%	11.50%	0.0083%	
Crown Castle International Corp		18,431.25	0.08%	1.47%	10.50%	12.05%	0.0102%	
Cadapas Design Systems Inc.	CONS	49,066.20	0.23%	3.69%	12.00%	17.12%	0.0304%	
Calapasa Corp	CDNS	39,072.00	0.10%	0.00%	12.50%	12 50%	0.0317%	
		14,270.74	0.07 %	2.50%	10.00%	12.50%	0.0032 %	
Cerper Corp	CERN	61 132 79	0.28%	0.00%	14 50%	14 50%	0.0070%	
CE Industries Holdings Inc	CF	18 316 31	0.08%	0.00%	9.00%	9.00%	0.0076%	
Citizens Einancial Group Inc	CEG	9 577 39	0.04%	3 15%	48.50%	52 41%	0.0231%	
Church & Dwight Co Inc	CHD	16.869.23	0.08%	3.60%	12.50%	16.33%	0.0127%	
CH Robinson Worldwide Inc	CHRW	15.395.93	0.07%	1.45%	10.00%	11.52%	0.0082%	
Charter Communications Inc	CHTR	12,469.68	0.06%	2.21%	10.00%	12.32%	0.0071%	
Cigna Corp	CI	82,484.66	0.38%	0.00%	19.50%	19.50%	0.0740%	
Cincinnati Financial Corp	CINF	45,287.58	0.21%	0.02%	13.00%	13.02%	0.0271%	
Colgate-Palmolive Co	CL	13,715.61	0.06%	2.66%	7.00%	9.75%	0.0062%	
Clorox Co/The	CLX	56,419.17	0.26%	2.58%	10.50%	13.22%	0.0343%	
Comerica Inc	CMA	19,622.11	0.09%	2.51%	7.50%	10.10%	0.0091%	
Comcast Corp	CMCSA	13,847.78	0.06%	3.21%	18.00%	21.50%	0.0137%	
CME Group Inc	CME	171,425.30	0.79%	2.23%	13.00%	15.37%	0.1213%	
Chipotle Mexican Grill Inc	CMG	60,233.92	0.28%	1.69%	4.50%	6.23%	0.0173%	
Cummins Inc	CMI	16,280.00	0.07%	0.00%	18.50%	18.50%	0.0139%	
CMS Energy Corp	CMS	24,014.01	0.11%	3.05%	8.00%	11.17%	0.0123%	
Centene Corp	CNC	14,901.58	0.07%	2.91%	7.00%	10.01%	0.0069%	
CenterPoint Energy Inc	CNP	26,248.35	0.12%	0.00%	17.00%	17.00%	0.0205%	
Capital One Financial Corp	COF	13,296.21	0.06%	3.73%	3.50%	7.30%	0.0045%	
	COG	37,433.11	0.17%	2.03%	10.00%	12.13%	0.0209%	
Cooper Cos Inc/ I ne	COD	10,294.69	N/A	1.18%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Control Wholesale Corp	COF	77 685 80	0.00%	1.81%	14.30%	14.3270 N/A	0.0090%	
Coty Inc	COTY	92 096 13	0.42%	1.01%	8 50%	9.71%	0.0412%	
Campbell Soun Co	CPB	5 300 65	0.02%	7.08%	11 00%	18 47%	0.0412%	
Capri Holdings I td	CPRI	11 046 60	N/A	4 09%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Copart Inc	CPRT	7,078.07	0.03%	0.00%	7.50%	7.50%	0.0024%	
salesforce.com Inc	CRM	12,002.27	0.06%	0.00%	13.00%	13.00%	0.0072%	
Cisco Systems Inc	CSCO	118,521.40	0.55%	0.00%	65.00%	65.00%	0.3546%	
CSX Corp	CSX	210,943.90	0.97%	3.02%	8.00%	11.14%	0.1082%	
Cintas Corp	CTAS	57,597.89	0.27%	1.41%	18.50%	20.04%	0.0531%	
CenturyLink Inc	CTL	20,157.53	0.09%	1.07%	15.00%	16.15%	0.0150%	
Cognizant Technology Solutions Corp	CTSH	15,257.69	0.07%	15.30%	2.50%	17.99%	0.0126%	
Citrix Systems Inc	CTXS	42,334.20	0.19%	1.10%	10.00%	11.16%	0.0217%	
CVS Health Corp	CVS	14,155.35	0.07%	1.33%	7.50%	8.88%	0.0058%	
Chevron Corp	CVX	66,999.25	0.31%	3.04%	8.50%	11.67%	0.0360%	
Concho Resources Inc	CXO	225,910.80	1.04%	4.03%	31.00%	35.65%	0.3707%	
Dominion Energy Inc	D	22,577.06	0.10%	0.00%	34.50%	34.50%	0.0359%	
Delta Air Lines Inc	DAL	47,598.85	0.22%	5.05%	6.50%	11.71%	0.0257%	
Deere & Co	DE	34,562.05	0.16%	2.78%	10.50%	13.43%	0.0214%	
Discover Financial Services	DES	51,526.02	0.24%	1.88%	14.00%	16.01%	0.0380%	
Dollar General Corp	DG	23,289.43	0.11%	2.32%	0.00% 12.00%	10.41%	0.0112%	
DR Horton Inc	DGA	12 204 64	0.14%	0.99%	0.500%	14.03%	0.0200%	
Danaber Corp	DHR	12,204.04	0.00%	1.56%	8.00%	9.62%	0.0007 %	
Walt Disney Co/The	DIS	76 099 87	0.35%	0.59%	10 50%	11 12%	0.0390%	
Discovery Inc	DISCA	166 425 00	0.77%	1.59%	7.00%	8.65%	0.0662%	
DISH Network Corp	DISH	14,993.04	0.07%	0.00%	17.00%	17.00%	0.0117%	
Digital Realty Trust Inc	DLR	14.530.57	0.07%	0.00%	1.00%	1.00%	0.0007%	
Dollar Tree Inc	DLTR	22,611.97	0.10%	3.91%	6.50%	10.54%	0.0110%	
Dover Corp	DOV	23,390.07	0.11%	0.00%	17.50%	17.50%	0.0188%	
Duke Realty Corp	DRE	12,718.39	0.06%	2.21%	13.00%	15.35%	0.0090%	
Darden Restaurants Inc	DRI	10,705.08	0.05%	2.93%	7.00%	10.03%	0.0049%	
DTE Energy Co	DTE	13,535.35	0.06%	2.83%	12.50%	15.51%	0.0097%	
Duke Energy Corp	DUK	21,521.73	0.10%	3.25%	7.50%	10.87%	0.0108%	
DaVita Inc	DVA	63,799.24	0.29%	4.27%	5.50%	9.89%	0.0290%	
Devon Energy Corp	DVN	9,466.07	0.04%	0.00%	10.00%	10.00%	0.0044%	
DowDuPont Inc	DWDP	11,969.43	0.06%	1.27%	23.50%	24.92%	0.0137%	
DXC Technology Co	DXC	119,703.10	N/A	3.22%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Electronic Arts Inc	EA	18,245.73	0.08%	1.18%	14.00%	15.26%	0.0128%	
eBay Inc	EBAY	25,314.10	0.12%	0.00%	11.50%	11.50%	0.0134%	
	ECL	33,945.75	0.16%	1.59%	14.50%	16.21%	0.0253%	
Consolidated Edison Inc	ED	45,818.14	0.21%	1.16%	9.50%	10.72%	0.0226%	
Equilax Inc	EFX	24,324.94	0.11%	3.83%	3.00%	0.89%	0.0077%	
Euron International		12,855.96	0.00%	1.40%	7.50%	9.01%	0.0053%	
Ester Lauder Cos Iric/The		10,790.04	0.09%	4.∠0% 4.400/	4.50%	0.00%	0.0077%	
Easunan Chemical CO	EIVIN	55,114.89	0.25%	1.13%	12.00%	13.70%	0.0348%	

		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[9]	rayeguis
		 Market	[5]	Estimated	Long-Term	Įoj	Weighted
Company	Ticker	Capitalization	Weight in Index	Dividend Yield	Growth Est.	DCF Result	DCF Result
Emerson Electric Co	EMR	11.035.49	0.05%	3.15%	9.50%	12.80%	0.0065%
EOG Resources Inc	EOG	40,852.17	0.19%	2.97%	14.00%	17.18%	0.0323%
Equinix Inc	EQIX	53,918.49	N/A	0.99%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Equity Residential	EQR	31,180.47	0.14%	2.67%	25.50%	28.51%	0.0409%
Eversource Energy	ES	27,064.04	0.12%	2.94%	-15.00%	-12.28%	-0.0153%
Essex Property Trust Inc	ESS	22,188.36	0.10%	3.06%	5.50%	8.64%	0.0088%
E*TRADE Financial Corp	ETFC	18,327.35	0.08%	2.75%	0.50%	3.26%	0.0027%
Eaton Corp PLC	ETN	11,975.52	0.06%	1.20%	26.00%	27.36%	0.0151%
Entergy Corp	ETR	33,094.43	0.15%	3.46%	10.00%	13.63%	0.0208%
Evergy Inc	EVRG	16,350.70	0.08%	4.06%	1.00%	5.08%	0.0038%
Edwards Lifesciences Corp	EW	-	N/A	3.37%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Exelon Corp	EXC	35,599.27	0.16%	0.00%	15.00%	15.00%	0.0246%
Expeditors international of Washington i	EXPD	40,041.71	0.21%	1.26%	0.50%	10.02%	0.0226%
Extra Space Storage Inc	EXR	19,080,76	0.00%	1.20%	20.00%	21 10%	0.0001%
Ford Motor Co	F	12 550 30	0.06%	3.57%	5.00%	8.66%	0.0050%
Diamondback Energy Inc	FANG	33,056.37	0.15%	7.22%	0.50%	7.74%	0.0118%
Fastenal Co	FAST	9,666.11	N/A	0.77%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Facebook Inc	FB	17,438.71	0.08%	2.63%	11.50%	14.28%	0.0115%
Fortune Brands Home & Security Inc	FBHS	478,009.80	2.20%	0.00%	26.00%	26.00%	0.5720%
Freeport-McMoRan Inc	FCX	6,327.87	0.03%	1.97%	13.50%	15.60%	0.0045%
FedEx Corp	FDX	17,185.14	N/A	2.02%	N/A	N/A	N/A
FirstEnergy Corp	FE	47,345.55	0.22%	1.43%	9.50%	11.00%	0.0240%
F5 Networks Inc	FFIV	20,104.90	0.09%	3.92%	6.50%	10.55%	0.0098%
Fidelity National Information Services I	FIS	9,591.86	0.04%	0.00%	10.50%	10.50%	0.0046%
Fiserv Inc	FISV	35,643.76	0.16%	1.29%	15.50%	16.89%	0.0277%
Fitth Third Bancorp	FITB	33,688.01	0.16%	0.00%	13.50%	13.50%	0.0209%
Foot Locker Inc	FL	17,557.40	0.08%	3.29%	7.00%	10.41%	0.0084%
FLIR Systems Inc		6,414.53	0.03%	2.44%	8.00%	10.54%	0.0031%
Flaveance Com	FLR	0,001.27	0.03%	1.37 %	0 500%	14.90%	0.0047 %
FleetCor Technologies Inc	FLT	4,092.44	0.02%	2.42/0	7 50%	9.31%	0.0023%
EMC Corp	FMC	19 331 47	0.09%	0.00%	14 50%	14 50%	0.0024%
Twenty-First Century Fox Inc	FOXA	11.342.24	0.05%	1.90%	22.50%	24.61%	0.0128%
First Republic Bank/CA	FRC	91,917,98	0.42%	0.73%	12.50%	13.28%	0.0562%
Federal Realty Investment Trust	FRT	16,584.84	0.08%	0.72%	11.50%	12.26%	0.0094%
TechnipFMC PLC	FTI	9,910.41	0.05%	3.02%	3.50%	6.57%	0.0030%
Fortinet Inc	FTNT	N/A	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fortive Corp	FTV	13,515.01	0.06%	0.00%	39.50%	39.50%	0.0246%
General Dynamics Corp	GD	26,032.12	N/A	0.38%	N/A	N/A	N/A
General Electric Co	GE	51,408.50	0.24%	2.14%	9.00%	11.24%	0.0266%
Gilead Sciences Inc	GILD	87,503.03	N/A	0.40%	N/A	N/A	N/A
General Mills Inc	GIS	87,060.32	0.40%	3.75%	-6.50%	-2.87%	-0.0115%
Corning Inc	GLW	26,171.26	0.12%	4.51%	3.00%	7.58%	0.0091%
	GM	26,581.25	0.12%	2.41%	15.50%	18.10%	0.0221%
Alphabet Inc	GOOGL	54,110.00	0.25%	4.04%	3.00%	7.10%	0.0177%
Global Baymonts Inc	GPU	15 007 10	0.07%	2.80%	0.00%	11 03%	0.0083%
Gan Inc/The	GPS	17 875 02	0.08%	0.04%	20.00%	20.04%	0.0003 %
Garmin I td	GRMN	9 523 26	0.04%	3.89%	7.00%	11 03%	0.0048%
Goldman Sachs Group Inc/The	GS	13.137.33	0.06%	3.05%	10.50%	13.71%	0.0083%
Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co/The	GT	71,964.72	0.33%	1.66%	9.50%	11.24%	0.0372%
WW Grainger Inc	GWW	4,788.15	0.02%	3.21%	12.50%	15.91%	0.0035%
Halliburton Co	HAL	17,068.34	0.08%	1.80%	9.50%	11.39%	0.0089%
Hasbro Inc	HAS	26,718.00	N/A	2.36%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Huntington Bancshares Inc/OH	HBAN	11,436.12	0.05%	2.79%	8.00%	10.90%	0.0057%
Hanesbrands Inc	HBI	14,818.94	0.07%	4.01%	13.00%	17.27%	0.0118%
HCA Healthcare Inc	HCA	6,747.97	0.03%	3.21%	4.00%	7.27%	0.0023%
HCP Inc	HCP	48,525.99	0.22%	1.14%	15.00%	16.23%	0.0362%
Home Depot Inc/The	HD	14,726.21	0.07%	4.85%	35.50%	41.21%	0.0279%
	HES	208,183.20	0.96%	2.50%	12.50%	15.16%	0.1452%
Hartford Einancial Services Group Inc/Th	HIG	0 553 27	0.04%	2.48%	25.00%	27 70%	0.0122%
Huntington Ingells Industries Inc	н	17 288 18	0.04%	2.40%	13.00%	15 65%	0.0122%
Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc.	нт	9 040 32	0.04%	1.40%	12.50%	14 24%	0.0059%
Harley-Davidson Inc	HOG	22.177.12	0.10%	0.80%	9.00%	9.84%	0.0100%
Hologic Inc	HOLX	5,796.86	0.03%	4.16%	9.00%	13.35%	0.0036%
Honeywell International Inc	HON	11,615.23	0.05%	0.00%	18.50%	18.50%	0.0099%
Helmerich & Payne Inc	HP	109,673.70	0.50%	2.21%	9.00%	11.31%	0.0571%
Hewlett Packard Enterprise Co	HPE	6,099.33	0.03%	5.09%	56.50%	63.03%	0.0177%
HP Inc	HPQ	22,554.55	0.10%	2.84%	7.50%	10.45%	0.0108%
H&R Block Inc	HRB	35,661.60	0.16%	2.80%	9.50%	12.43%	0.0204%
Hormel Foods Corp	HRL	4,928.54	0.02%	4.30%	8.50%	12.98%	0.0029%
Harris Corp	HRS	22,690.06	0.10%	1.98%	9.00%	11.07%	0.0116%
Henry Schein Inc	HSIC	18,541.16	0.09%	1.74%	13.50%	15.36%	0.0131%
Host Hotels & Resorts Inc	HST	12,147.79	0.06%	0.00%	8.50%	8.50%	0.0048%
Hersney Co/The	HSY	13,444.23	N/A	4.56%	N/A	N/A	N/A
numana mo		22,431.76	0.10%	2.70%	0.00%	9.29%	0.0090%
Intercontinental Exchange Inc		41,700.43	U.19%	U.12% 1 00%	14.00% N/A	14.77% N/A	0.0203% N/A
		12 1,042.30 13 130 OF	0.20%	4.50%	12 50%	13 85%	0.0275%
International Flavors & Fragrances Inc.	IFF	17 898 58	0.08%	0.00%	15 00%	15 00%	0.0124%
Illumina Inc	ILMN	13.049.50	0.06%	2.09%	9.50%	11.69%	0.0070%
Incyte Corp	INCY	40,648.44	0.19%	0.00%	15.50%	15.50%	0.0290%
IHS Markit Ltd	INFO	16,984.15	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
	-						

Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 3

						F	Page 10 of 13
		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Company	Tickor	Market	Woight in Index	Estimated Dividend Vield	Long-Term Growth Est		Weighted
Intel Corp	INTC	20 746 11	0 10%		20.00%	20.00%	0.0191%
Intuit Inc	INTU	224.685.70	1.03%	2.56%	12.50%	15.22%	0.1574%
International Paper Co	IP	57,357.40	0.26%	0.85%	14.50%	15.41%	0.0407%
Interpublic Group of Cos Inc/The	IPG	18,755.55	0.09%	4.32%	15.50%	20.15%	0.0174%
IPG Photonics Corp	IPGP	8,561.18	0.04%	3.84%	11.50%	15.56%	0.0061%
IQVIA Holdings Inc	IQV	7,435.23	0.03%	0.00%	10.50%	10.50%	0.0036%
Ingersoll-Rand PLC	IR	26,228.19	0.12%	0.00%	14.50%	14.50%	0.0175%
Iron Mountain Inc	IRM	24,865.30	0.11%	2.09%	13.50%	15.73%	0.0180%
Gartaer Inc	ISRG	10,408.02	0.05%	0.00%	0.00% 15.00%	15.40%	0.0074%
Illinois Tool Works Inc	ITW	12 647 76	0.27%	0.00%	15.00%	15.00%	0.0402 %
Invesco Ltd	IVZ	44,799.63	0.21%	2.96%	11.00%	14.12%	0.0291%
JB Hunt Transport Services Inc	JBHT	7,555.58	0.03%	6.53%	4.00%	10.66%	0.0037%
Johnson Controls International plc	JCI	11,885.99	0.05%	0.96%	13.50%	14.52%	0.0079%
Jacobs Engineering Group Inc	JEC	30,931.84	0.14%	3.07%	6.00%	9.16%	0.0130%
Jefferies Financial Group Inc	JEF	9,158.29	0.04%	1.04%	13.00%	14.11%	0.0059%
Jack Henry & Associates Inc	JKHY	6,651.50	0.03%	2.49%	20.50%	23.25%	0.0071%
Johnson & Johnson	JNJ	10,185.41	0.05%	1.12%	11.50%	12.68%	0.0059%
Juniper Networks Inc	JNPR	354,310.60	1.63%	2.84%	10.50%	13.49%	0.2200%
JPMorgan Chase & Co	JPM	8,962.25	0.04%	2.93%	4.50%	7.50%	0.0031%
Kellogg Co	JVVIN	7 838 65	0.04%	3.17%	9.50%	12.02%	0.2009%
KeyCorp	KEY	19 376 48	0.09%	4 05%	5.50%	9.66%	0.0086%
Kevsight Technologies Inc	KEYS	17.655.28	0.08%	3.98%	13.00%	17.24%	0.0140%
Kraft Heinz Co/The	KHC	14,290.99	0.07%	0.00%	16.00%	16.00%	0.0105%
Kimco Realty Corp	KIM	57,573.38	0.26%	5.29%	9.50%	15.04%	0.0399%
KLA-Tencor Corp	KLAC	7,499.88	0.03%	6.47%	-0.50%	5.95%	0.0021%
Kimberly-Clark Corp	KMB	15,965.87	0.07%	2.84%	10.50%	13.49%	0.0099%
Kinder Morgan Inc/DE	KMI	39,256.68	0.18%	3.63%	10.50%	14.32%	0.0259%
CarMax Inc	KMX	39,610.72	0.18%	4.45%	57.00%	62.72%	0.1143%
Coca-Cola Co/The	KO	10,327.71	0.05%	0.00%	11.50%	11.50%	0.0055%
Kroger Co/The	KK	210,331.50	0.97%	3.36%	6.50% E.00%	9.97%	0.0965%
Kansas City Southern	KSU	22,407.04	0.10%	2.20%	11 00%	15 16%	0.0075%
Loews Corp	1	10,375.10	0.05%	1 40%	12.50%	13.99%	0.0067%
L Brands Inc	LB	15.066.87	0.07%	0.52%	16.50%	17.06%	0.0118%
Leggett & Platt Inc	LEG	7,403.00	0.03%	4.46%	-4.50%	-0.14%	0.0000%
Lennar Corp	LEN	5,709.70	0.03%	3.47%	9.00%	12.63%	0.0033%
Laboratory Corp of America Holdings	LH	15,255.87	0.07%	0.35%	12.00%	12.37%	0.0087%
Linde PLC	LIN	14,952.44	0.07%	0.00%	9.50%	9.50%	0.0065%
LKQ Corp	LKQ	N/A	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
L3 Technologies Inc	LLL	8,352.67	0.04%	0.00%	12.00%	12.00%	0.0046%
Eli Lilly & Co	LLY	15,923.96	0.07%	1.58%	11.00%	12.67%	0.0093%
Lincoln National Corp		85 364 77	0.37%	2.20%	12.00%	14.33%	0.0621%
Alliant Energy Corp	LNC	12 719 09	0.06%	2 57%	10.50%	13 20%	0.0039%
Lowe's Cos Inc	LOW	10.555.78	0.05%	3.17%	6.50%	9.77%	0.0047%
Lam Research Corp	LRCX	78,085.28	0.36%	2.17%	13.00%	15.31%	0.0550%
Southwest Airlines Co	LUV	27,054.03	0.12%	2.50%	13.00%	15.66%	0.0195%
Lamb Weston Holdings Inc	LW	32,321.41	0.15%	1.11%	9.50%	10.66%	0.0159%
LyondellBasell Industries NV	LYB	10,343.80	N/A	1.13%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Macy's Inc	М	32,751.39	0.15%	4.73%	6.50%	11.38%	0.0172%
Mastercard Inc	MA	7,889.60	0.04%	5.89%	5.00%	11.04%	0.0040%
Mid-America Apartment Communities Inc	MAA	221,459.00	1.02%	0.62%	16.00%	16.67%	0.1699%
Macerich Co/The Marriett International Ina/MD	MAC	6 029 77	0.05%	3.71%	-4.50%	-0.87%	-0.0005%
Marrioti International Inc/MD	MAR	39 407 34	0.03%	1 42%	12 50%	14.01%	0.0043%
Mattel Inc	MAT	10 766 11	0.05%	1.35%	14.50%	15.95%	0.0079%
McDonald's Corp	MCD	4,248.13	0.02%	0.00%	22.00%	22.00%	0.0043%
Microchip Technology Inc	MCHP	135,123.30	0.62%	2.65%	10.00%	12.78%	0.0795%
McKesson Corp	MCK	20,869.33	0.10%	1.70%	15.00%	16.83%	0.0162%
Moody's Corp	MCO	25,075.20	0.12%	1.19%	9.00%	10.24%	0.0118%
Mondelez International Inc	MDLZ	30,803.06	0.14%	1.10%	13.00%	14.17%	0.0201%
Medtronic PLC	MDT	67,754.45	0.31%	2.32%	9.50%	11.93%	0.0372%
MCM Reports International		119,075.30	0.00%	2.39%	7.50%	9.98%	0.0000%
Mohawk Industries Inc	MHK	42,930.74	0.20%	4.00%	7.00%	32 02%	0.0220%
McCormick & Co Inc/MD	MKC	9.563.98	0.04%	0.00%	4.50%	4.50%	0.0020%
Martin Marietta Materials Inc	MLM	16,438.38	0.08%	1.83%	10.00%	11.92%	0.0090%
Marsh & McLennan Cos Inc	MMC	11,429.89	0.05%	1.06%	13.00%	14.13%	0.0074%
3M Co	MMM	45,071.41	0.21%	1.86%	9.00%	10.94%	0.0227%
Monster Beverage Corp	MNST	116,364.20	0.54%	2.88%	9.00%	12.01%	0.0643%
Altria Group Inc	MO	31,756.03	0.15%	0.00%	15.00%	15.00%	0.0219%
Mosaic Co/The	MOS	91,596.91	0.42%	6.57%	10.50%	17.41%	0.0734%
Marathon Petroleum Corp	MPC	12,103.76	0.06%	0.64%	12.00%	12.68%	0.00/1%
Marathon Oil Corp		20,728.70	0.13%	3.33% 2 86%	14.30%	10.U/% 9.1.10/	0.0239%
Maration Oil Corp Morgan Stanley	MS	204,244.30 12 796 26	0.94 % N/A	2.00%	0.00% N/A	0.44% N/∆	0.0793% N/A
MSCI Inc	MSCI	71,585.86	0.33%	2.89%	11.00%	14.05%	0.0463%
Microsoft Corp	MSFT	15,277.86	0.07%	1.46%	22.00%	23.62%	0.0166%
Motorola Solutions Inc	MSI	808,789.40	3.72%	1.75%	15.00%	16.88%	0.6284%
M&T Bank Corp	MTB	19,394.37	0.09%	1.92%	13.00%	15.04%	0.0134%
Mettler-Toledo International Inc	MTD	23,578.97	0.11%	2.40%	13.00%	15.56%	0.0169%
Micron Technology Inc	MU	16,232.42	0.07%	0.00%	11.00%	11.00%	0.0082%
Maxim Integrated Products Inc	MXIM	44,105.60	0.20%	0.00%	7.50%	7.50%	0.0152%

						Г	ageii	0113
		[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Company	Tieleen	Market	Mainht in Inday	Estimated	Long-Term		Vveignte	20
Company Mulan NV	I ICKEI				GIOWIN ESI.	15 OF	DCF Res	
Noble Epergy Inc.		14,995.66	0.07%	3.30%	11.50%	10.00%	0.01045	/0 3/
Noble Energy Inc		10,046.60	0.07%	0.00%	14.00%	14.00%	0.01015	/0
Norwegian Cruise Line Holdings Ltd	NDAO	11 390 34	0.05%	2.09%	16 50%	16 50%	0.00869	0/_
Nasuay IIIC NextEra Energy Inc	NDAQ	14 234 05	0.03%	2.03%	0.50%	11 63%	0.0000	/0
Newmont Mining Corp	NEM	86 675 33	0.07%	2.03%	9.00%	11.88%	0.00702	×
Netflix Inc	NELX	17 966 62	0.08%	1.66%	5.00%	6 70%	0.04742	%
Newfield Exploration Co	NEY	150 322 90	0.69%	0.00%	47.00%	47.00%	0.32529	26
NiSource Inc	NI	9 663 87	0.03%	3.01%	18.00%	21.28%	0.0202	%
NIKE Inc		120 020 00	0.60%	1 07%	16.00%	17 16%	0.00353	3/
Nektar Therapeutics	NKTR	7 583 36	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	0.10201 N/A	10
Nielsen Holdings PLC	NISN	9,060,82	0.04%	5.48%	3.00%	8 56%	0.00369	2/2
Northron Grumman Corp	NOC	48 388 19	0.22%	1 72%	13.00%	14 83%	0.0000	%
National Oilwell Varco Inc	NOV	10 979 00	0.05%	0.70%	41 50%	42 35%	0.00001	%
NRG Energy Inc	NRG	12 052 39	N/A	0.70%	N/A	42.55 /0 N/Δ	0.02 14 J	10
Norfolk Southern Corp	NSC	46 426 99	0.21%	2.02%	13 50%	15.66%	0.03359	%
NetApp Inc	NTAP	17.015.46	0.08%	2.39%	20.50%	23.13%	0.01819	%
Northern Trust Corp	NTRS	19 887 91	0.09%	2.67%	11.00%	13.82%	0.01269	%
Nucor Corp	NUE	18.628.78	0.09%	2.70%	20.50%	23.48%	0.02019	%
NVIDIA Corp	NVDA	89.926.21	0.41%	0.43%	23.00%	23.48%	0.09729	%
Newell Brands Inc	NWL	10.154.87	0.05%	4.44%	9.50%	14.15%	0.00669	%
News Corp	NWSA	7,433,23	N/A	1.57%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Realty Income Corp	0	19,923,40	0.09%	3.87%	4.50%	8.46%	0.00789	%
ONEOK Inc	OKE	26,795.93	0.12%	5.53%	20.50%	26.60%	0.03289	%
Omnicom Group Inc	OMC	16,713.75	0.08%	3.22%	7.00%	10.33%	0.00799	%
Oracle Corp	ORCL	182,399.00	0.84%	1.51%	9.50%	11.08%	0.09309	%
O'Reilly Automotive Inc	ORLY	29,363.25	0.14%	0.00%	13.00%	13.00%	0.01769	%
Occidental Petroleum Corp	OXY	48,948.90	N/A	4.84%	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Paychex Inc	PAYX	26,487.21	0.12%	3.36%	11.00%	14.54%	0.01779	%
People's United Financial Inc	PBCT	5,816.70	0.03%	4.18%	11.00%	15.41%	0.00419	%
PACCAR Inc	PCAR	23,051.82	0.11%	5.01%	7.00%	12.19%	0.01299	%
PG&E Corp	PCG	28,067.76	0.13%	3.41%	4.50%	7.99%	0.01039	%
Public Service Enterprise Group Inc	PEG	159,683.10	0.73%	3.28%	7.50%	10.90%	0.08019	%
PepsiCo Inc	PEP	241,045.80	1.11%	3.45%	14.00%	17.69%	0.19639	%
Pfizer Inc	PFE	13,601.46	0.06%	4.50%	6.50%	11.15%	0.00709	%
Principal Financial Group Inc	PFG	243,003.50	1.12%	2.97%	10.50%	13.63%	0.15249	%
Procter & Gamble Co/The	PG	39,487.53	0.18%	1.70%	15.50%	17.33%	0.03159	%
Progressive Corp/The	PGR	20,997.23	0.10%	1.87%	14.00%	16.00%	0.0155%	%
Parker-Hannifin Corp	PH	7,584.78	0.03%	1.64%	15.50%	17.27%	0.00609	%
PulteGroup Inc	PHM	8,962.38	0.04%	3.33%	9.50%	12.99%	0.00549	%
Packaging Corp of America	PKG	10,176.68	0.05%	0.31%	11.50%	11.83%	0.00559	%
PerkinElmer Inc	PKI	37,481.86	0.17%	2.84%	9.00%	11.97%	0.02069	%
Prologis Inc	PLD	119,278.30	0.55%	5.94%	7.50%	13.66%	0.07509	%
Philip Morris International Inc	PM	56,752.08	0.26%	3.09%	9.50%	12.74%	0.03339	%
PNC Financial Services Group Inc/The	PNC	7,107.23	0.03%	1.76%	5.50%	7.31%	0.00249	%
Pentair PLC	PNR	9,988.07	0.05%	3.41%	6.00%	9.51%	0.00449	%
Pinnacle West Capital Corp	PNW	25,151.94	0.12%	1.83%	4.50%	6.37%	0.00749	%
PPG Industries Inc	PPG	22,346.75	0.10%	5.41%	3.00%	8.49%	0.00879	%
PPL Corp	PPL	6,322.79	0.03%	1.81%	0.50%	2.31%	0.00079	%
Perrigo Co PLC	PRGO	37,825.61	0.17%	3.94%	6.50%	10.57%	0.01849	%
Prudential Financial Inc	PRU	36,481.31	0.17%	4.15%	7.00%	11.30%	0.01909	%
Public Storage	PSA	42,303.61	0.19%	3.72%	8.00%	11.87%	0.02319	%
Phillips 66	PSX	8,441.30	0.04%	0.14%	11.00%	11.15%	0.00439	%
PVH Corp	PVH	5,101.10	0.02%	0.47%	19.50%	20.02%	0.00479	%
Quanta Services Inc	PWR	23,317.50	0.11%	0.37%	75.00%	/5.51%	0.08109	<i>%</i>
Pioneer Natural Resources Co	PXD	107,021.30	0.49%	0.00%	18.50%	18.50%	0.09119	%
PayPai Holdings Inc	PYPL	61,165.50	0.28%	5.20%	10.50%	15.97%	0.0450%	/o
	QCUM	0,319.31	N/A	0.00%	IN/A	IN/A	N/A	n/
QOIVO INC	QRVU	24,174.57	0.11%	2.42%	11.00%	13.33%	0.0151	70 n/
Everant Ro Croup Ltd	RGL	9,043.90	0.04%	2.00%	14.00%	12.09%	0.0053	/o a/
Baganay Contern Corn	REC	11,220.29	0.03%	0.00%	16 50%	17.02 /0	0.0091	/0 0/
Regeneron Pharmaceuticals Inc	REGN	43,200.29	0.20%	3.74%	13 50%	17 /0%	0.0320	/0 %
Regions Financial Corp	RE	7 653 91	0.00%	1 90%	9.00%	10.99%	0.0137	×
Robert Half International Inc	RHI	31 661 25	0.15%	0.00%	17 50%	17 50%	0.00000	%
Red Hat Inc	RHT	11 756 22	0.05%	1 73%	12.00%	13.83%	0.0235	×
Raymond James Financial Inc	RIF	10 102 75	0.05%	1.99%	7.00%	9.06%	0.00101	%
Ralph Lauren Corp	RI	13 714 67	0.06%	1.55%	14 50%	16 16%	0.0042	%
ResMed Inc.	RMD	20,328,41	0.09%	2.32%	10.50%	12.94%	0.01219	%
Rockwell Automation Inc	ROK	12.310.43	0.06%	1.12%	15.50%	16.71%	0.00959	%
Rollins Inc	ROL	30,783,13	0.14%	0.62%	14.50%	15.16%	0.0215	%
Roper Technologies Inc	ROP	34,356.26	0.16%	1.04%	11.50%	12.60%	0.01999	%
Ross Stores Inc	ROST	25,231.16	0.12%	1.97%	13.50%	15.60%	0.01819	%
Republic Services Inc	RSG	50,182.80	0.23%	1.97%	11.00%	13.08%	0.0302	%
Raytheon Co	RTN	21,385.31	0.10%	0.00%	45.50%	45.50%	0.04489	%
SBA Communications Corp	SBAC	85,961.76	0.40%	2.20%	15.00%	17.37%	0.06879	%
Starbucks Corp	SBUX	61,071.48	0.28%	1.51%	16.00%	17.63%	0.0496	%
Charles Schwab Corp/The	SCHW	6,947.56	0.03%	1.45%	19.00%	20.59%	0.00669	%
Sealed Air Corp	SEE	39,145.96	0.18%	0.83%	13.00%	13.88%	0.02509	%
Sherwin-Williams Co/The	SHW	12,573.92	0.06%	0.00%	21.50%	21.50%	0.01249	%
SVB Financial Group	SIVB	11,815.85	0.05%	3.33%	4.50%	7.90%	0.00439	%
JM Smucker Co/The	SJM	60,183.49	0.28%	4.60%	26.00%	31.20%	0.08649	%
Schlumberger Ltd	SLB	8,771.03	0.04%	3.69%	6.50%	10.31%	0.00429	%
SL Green Realty Corp	SLG	8,522.87	0.04%	2.51%	8.00%	10.61%	0.00429	%
Snap-on Inc	SNA	13,769.02	0.06%	0.00%	10.50%	10.50%	0.00679	%

Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 3 Page 12 of 13

Ca	Tielee	Market		Estimated	Long-Term		Weighted
Company	Licker	Capitalization	Weight in Index	Dividend Yield	Growth Est.	DCF Result	DCF Result
Synopsys Inc	SNPS	49,110.84	0.23%	5.05%	3.50%	8.64%	0.0195%
Southern Co/The	50	07,020.90 40,025,50	0.27%	4.37%	3.00%	7.04%	0.0203%
	SPG	40,920.00	0.23%	1.17%	13.50%	14.75%	0.0332%
	SPGI	32,337.48	0.15%	3.29%	9.50%	12.95%	0.0193%
Sempra Energy	SRE	29,077.73	0.13%	3.32%	13.50%	17.04%	0.0228%
Sun I rust Banks Inc	SII	26,473.22	0.12%	2.70%	9.00%	11.82%	0.0144%
State Street Corp	SII	12,587.96	0.06%	5.67%	9.00%	14.93%	0.0086%
Seagate Technology PLC	STX	33,045.13	0.15%	1.84%	11.00%	12.94%	0.0197%
Constellation Brands Inc	STZ	19,343.23	0.09%	2.11%	10.00%	12.22%	0.0109%
Stanley Black & Decker Inc	SWK	14,199.60	0.07%	1.86%	11.00%	12.96%	0.0085%
Skyworks Solutions Inc	SWKS	21,640.36	0.10%	2.79%	11.00%	13.94%	0.0139%
Synchrony Financial	SYF	67,634.30	0.31%	1.15%	15.00%	16.24%	0.0505%
Stryker Corp	SYK	14,415.84	0.07%	1.33%	9.50%	10.89%	0.0072%
Symantec Corp	SYMC	33,435.03	0.15%	2.40%	13.00%	15.56%	0.0239%
Sysco Corp	SYY	214,109.80	0.99%	6.93%	7.00%	14.17%	0.1397%
AT&T Inc	Т	14,150.01	0.07%	2.50%	11.00%	13.64%	0.0089%
Molson Coors Brewing Co	TAP	22,246.27	0.10%	0.00%	8.00%	8.00%	0.0082%
TransDigm Group Inc	TDG	28,474.77	0.13%	2.17%	9.50%	11.77%	0.0154%
TE Connectivity Ltd	TEL	12,456,07	0.06%	0.50%	12.00%	12.53%	0.0072%
Target Corp	TGT	37 497 34	0.17%	3 56%	7.00%	10.68%	0.0184%
Fiffany & Co	TIF	10 796 68	0.05%	2.65%	12.00%	14.81%	0.0074%
		50 832 10	0.03%	2.0370	12.00%	14.01%	0.0074%
Torobmody Corn		09,002.19	0.2070	0.700/	10.00%	14.70%	0.0400%
Therma Fisher Origination In		9,143.94	0.04%	0.79%	10.00%	10.83%	0.0046%
nermo Fisher Scientific Inc	IMO	97,789.73	0.45%	0.28%	10.50%	10.79%	0.0486%
apestry Inc	TPR	9,702.51	0.04%	4.03%	13.00%	17.29%	0.0077%
I ripAdvisor Inc	TRIP	8,073.75	0.04%	0.00%	10.50%	10.50%	0.0039%
T Rowe Price Group Inc	TROW	22,741.23	0.10%	3.12%	11.50%	14.80%	0.0155%
Travelers Cos Inc/The	TRV	33,566.05	0.15%	2.43%	5.50%	8.00%	0.0124%
Tractor Supply Co	TSCO	11,082.39	0.05%	1.50%	10.50%	12.08%	0.0062%
Tyson Foods Inc	TSN	22,003.92	0.10%	2.50%	7.00%	9.59%	0.0097%
Total System Services Inc	TSS	16.572.94	0.08%	0.57%	11.50%	12,10%	0.0092%
Take-Two Interactive Software Inc	TTWO	10 901 13	0.05%	0.00%	29.50%	29.50%	0.0148%
Twitter Inc	TWTP	23 420 41	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Twitter Inc		23,420.41	N/A	0.00%	IN/A 10.50%	1N/A	IN/A
	IXN	100,210.70	0.46%	2.97%	12.50%	15.66%	0.0722%
l extron Inc	IXI	12,890.28	0.06%	0.15%	15.00%	15.16%	0.0090%
Under Armour Inc	UAA	9,132.38	0.04%	0.00%	11.50%	11.50%	0.0048%
United Continental Holdings Inc	UAL	23,938.69	0.11%	0.00%	6.00%	6.00%	0.0066%
UDR Inc	UDR	11,910.05	0.05%	2.90%	-2.50%	0.36%	0.0002%
Universal Health Services Inc	UHS	12,298.55	0.06%	0.30%	11.00%	11.32%	0.0064%
Ulta Beauty Inc	ULTA	17,460.13	0.08%	0.00%	20.00%	20.00%	0.0161%
UnitedHealth Group Inc	UNH	258,778.00	1.19%	1.34%	15.00%	16.44%	0.1958%
Unum Group	UNM	7,718.74	0.04%	2.95%	9.50%	12.59%	0.0045%
Union Pacific Corp	UNP	119,160,80	0.55%	1.98%	13.50%	15.61%	0.0856%
Inited Parcel Service Inc	UPS	92 720 46	0.43%	3.60%	8 50%	12 25%	0.0523%
Inited Rentals Inc	LIRI	10 272 03	0.05%	0.00%	17.00%	17.00%	0.0020%
IS Bancorp	LISB	82 604 55	0.38%	3.02%	7 50%	10.63%	0.0405%
United Technologies Corp		02,094.00	0.30%	3.02 /0	0.50%	10.03%	0.0403/6
		90,094.79	0.45%	2.44%	9.50%	12.00%	0.0537%
Visa Inc	V	285,246.00	1.31%	0.77%	14.50%	15.33%	0.2012%
Varian Medical Systems Inc	VAR	11,895.21	0.05%	0.00%	8.00%	8.00%	0.0044%
VF Corp	VFC	34,026.41	0.16%	2.37%	12.00%	14.51%	0.0227%
Viacom Inc	VIAB	11,843.08	0.05%	2.72%	4.00%	6.77%	0.0037%
√alero Energy Corp	VLO	35,632.05	0.16%	4.29%	10.00%	14.50%	0.0238%
∕ulcan Materials Co	VMC	13,450.10	0.06%	1.10%	18.00%	19.20%	0.0119%
Vornado Realty Trust	VNO	13,291.48	0.06%	3.78%	-5.50%	-1.82%	-0.0011%
Verisk Analytics Inc	VRSK	19,818.52	0.09%	0.00%	9.00%	9.00%	0.0082%
VeriSian Inc	VRSN	20,966,39	0.10%	0.00%	12.00%	12.00%	0.0116%
Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc	VRTX	46 055 00	N/A	0.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ventas Inc	\/TD	22 801 80	0 110/	5.03%	3 50%	8 620/	0.00010/
Verizon Communications Inc	\/7	22,031.00	1 02%	1 50%	1 50%	0.02 /0 0 10%	0.0091/0
	VZ \\/\-	47 447 00	0.02/0	+.00 /0	4.00 /0	3.1070	0.032170
Walcroops Boots Alliance Int		17,417.90	0.00%	0.00%	11.00%	11.00%	0.0000%
waigreens boots Alliance Inc	WBA	0/,1/3.28	0.31%	2.4/%	11.00%	13.01%	0.0421%
wellCare Health Plans Inc	WCG	13,990.90	0.06%	0.00%	22.50%	22.50%	0.0145%
Western Digital Corp	WDC	13,345.26	0.06%	4.36%	1.50%	5.89%	0.0036%
WEC Energy Group Inc	WEC	23,345.77	0.11%	3.19%	7.00%	10.30%	0.0111%
Welltower Inc	WELL	28,641.80	0.13%	4.62%	8.50%	13.32%	0.0176%
Wells Fargo & Co	WFC	226,531.90	1.04%	3.81%	6.00%	9.92%	0.1035%
Whirlpool Corp	WHR	8,581.76	0.04%	3.43%	8.00%	11.57%	0.0046%
Willis Towers Watson PLC	WLTW	21.136.50	N/A	1.47%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Waste Management Inc	WM	41.384 14	0.19%	1.92%	10.50%	12.52%	0.0238%
Williams Cos Inc/The	W/MR	32 207 26	0 15%	5 10%	22 50%	28 17%	0.020070
Walmart Inc		22,231.20	1 20%	0.10%	7 000/	0.070/	0.0410/0
Westrook Co		201,023.00	1.2970	2.1370	1.00%	J.∠/ %	0.1199%
	WKK	9,607.65	0.04%	4.00%	14.50%	19.05%	0.0087%
vvestern Union Co/The	WU	8,128.58	0.04%	4.15%	7.00%	11.30%	0.0042%
weyerhaeuser Co	WY	19,411.75	0.09%	5.25%	17.50%	23.21%	0.0207%
Wynn Resorts Ltd	WYNN	13,795.79	0.06%	2.36%	20.00%	22.60%	0.0143%
Cimarex Energy Co	XEC	6,841.35	0.03%	1.01%	39.00%	40.21%	0.0127%
Xcel Energy Inc	XEL	27,271.57	0.13%	3.01%	5.50%	8.59%	0.0108%
Xilinx Inc	XLNX	28,539.18	0.13%	1.28%	11.00%	12.35%	0.0162%
Exxon Mobil Corp	XOM	316,195.10	1.46%	4.47%	18.00%	22.87%	0.3329%
DENTSPLY SIRONA Inc	XRAY	9,536,35	0.04%	0.82%	3.00%	3.83%	0.0017%
Xerox Corp	YRY	7 10/ 20	0.03%	3 45%	2 50%	5 00%	0.0000%
		1,104.00	0.03 %	1 260/	2.50 /0	16 070/	0.0020%
Ayient IIIG/INT	AYL .	12,045.49	0.00%	1.30%	10.00%	10.9/%	0.0099%
Versel Bases de la s	VIIM	29 612 93	0.14%	1.78%	10.00%	11.87%	0.0162%
Yum! Brands Inc	TOW	20,012.00	-				
Yum! Brands Inc Zimmer Biomet Holdings Inc	ZBH	24,131.16	0.11%	0.81%	4.50%	5.33%	0.0059%

							•	ugo io oi i
			[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
			Market		Estimated	Long-Term		Weighted
	Company	Ticker	Capitalization	Weight in Index	Dividend Yield	Growth Est.	DCF Result	DCF Result
Zoetis Inc		ZTS	41,465.29	0.19%	0.77%	13.50%	14.32%	0.0273%
	Total Market Capitalization:		21,726,647.16					17.14%
Notes:								

Notes: [1] Equals sum of Col. [9] [2] Source: Value Line [3] Equals [1] – [2] [4] Source: Value Line [5] Equals weight in S&P 500 based on market capitalization [6] Source: Value Line [7] Source: Value Line [8] Equals ([6] \times (1 + (0.5 \times [7]))) + [7] [9] Equals Col. [5] \times Col. [8]

Bloomberg and Value Line Beta Coefficients

		[1]	[2]
Company	Ticker	Bloomberg	Value Line
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	0.458	0.650
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	0.544	0.750
El Paso Electric Company	EE	0.524	0.650
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	0.487	0.600
Northwestern Corporation	NWE	0.491	0.550
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	0.562	0.850
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	0.513	0.650
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	0.424	0.550
Mean		0.500	0.656

Notes:

[1] Source: Bloomberg Professional

[2] Source: Value Line

Capital Asset Pricing Model Results Bloomberg, and Value Line Derived Market Risk Premium

	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]
					CA	PM
		Average	Bloomberg	Value Line		
	Risk-Free	Beta	Market DCF	Market DCF	Bloomberg	Value Line
	Rate	Coefficient	Derived	Derived	MRP	MRP
PROXY GROUP AVERAGE BLOOMBERG BETA COE	FFICIENT					
Current 30-Year Treasury [7]	3.03%	0.500	10.72%	14.10%	8.40%	10.09%
Near-Term Projected 30-Year Treasury [8]	3.33%	0.500	10.72%	14.10%	8.70%	10.39%
Mean					8.55%	10.24%

					CA	PM
	Risk-Free Rate	Average Beta Coefficient	Bloomberg Market DCF Derived	Value Line Market DCF Derived	Bloomberg MRP	Value Line MRP
PROXY GROUP AVERAGE VALUE LINE AVERAGE	BETA COEFF	CIENT				
Current 30-Year Treasury [7]	3.03%	0.656	10.72%	14.10%	10.07%	12.29%
Near-Term Projected 30-Year Treasury [8]	3.33%	0.656	10.72%	14.10%	10.37%	12.59%
Mean					10.22%	12.44%

Notes:

[1] See Notes [7] and [8]

[2] Source: Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 4

[3] Source: Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 3

[4] Source: Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 3

[5] Equals Col. [1] + (Col. [2] x Col. [3])

[6] Equals Col. [1] + (Col. [2] x Col. [4])

[7] Source: Bloomberg Professional

[8] Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 38, No. 2, February 1, 2019, at 2.

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium

	[1]	[2]	[3] 30-Year	[4]	[5]
	Constant	Slope	Treasury Yield	Risk Premium	Return on Equity
	-2.49%	-2.69%			
	Current 30-Y	ear Treasury	3.03%	6.90%	9.93%
Near-Term	Projected 30-Y	ear Treasury	3.33%	6.65%	9.98%
Long-Term	Projected 30-Y	ear Treasury	4.05%	6.12%	10.17%



Notes:

- [1] Constant of regression equation
- [2] Slope of regression equation
- [3] Source: Current = Bloomberg Professional,

Near Term Projected = Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 38, No. 2, February 1, 2019, at 2, Long Term Projected = Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, Vol. 37, No. 12, December 1, 2018, at 14.

- [4] Equals [1] + ln([3]) x [2]
- [5] Equals [3] + [4]
- [6] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence
- [7] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence
- [8] Source: Bloomberg Professional, equals 200-trading day average (i.e. lag period)
- [9] Equals [7] [8]

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 2 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of		30-Year		
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk	
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium	
1/1/1980	14.50%	9.36%	5.14%	
1/7/1980	14.39%	9.38%	5.01%	
1/9/1980	15.00%	9.40%	5.60%	
1/14/1980	13.17%	9.42%	5.75%	
1/17/1900	15.93%	9.44 %	4.49%	
1/20/1980	13.86%	9.47%	1 34%	
1/31/1980	12 61%	9.53%	3.08%	
2/6/1980	13.71%	9.58%	4.13%	
2/13/1980	12.80%	9.63%	3.17%	
2/14/1980	13.00%	9.65%	3.35%	
2/19/1980	13.50%	9.68%	3.82%	
2/27/1980	13.75%	9.78%	3.97%	
2/29/1980	13.75%	9.81%	3.94%	
2/29/1980	14.00%	9.81%	4.19%	
2/29/1980	14.77%	9.81%	4.96%	
3/7/1980	12.70%	9.89%	2.81%	
3/14/1980	13.50%	9.97%	3.53%	
3/26/1980	14.16%	10.10%	4.06%	
3/27/1980	14.24%	10.12%	4.12%	
3/28/1980	14.50%	10.13%	4.37%	
4/11/1980	12.75%	10.27%	2.48%	
4/14/1900	15.65%	10.29%	5.00%	
4/10/1980	13.25%	10.31%	2 90%	
4/22/1980	13.90%	10.35%	3 55%	
4/24/1980	16.80%	10.38%	6 43%	
4/29/1980	15.50%	10.41%	5.09%	
5/6/1980	13.70%	10.45%	3.25%	
5/7/1980	15.00%	10.45%	4.55%	
5/8/1980	13.75%	10.46%	3.29%	
5/9/1980	14.35%	10.47%	3.88%	
5/13/1980	13.60%	10.48%	3.12%	
5/15/1980	13.25%	10.49%	2.76%	
5/19/1980	13.75%	10.51%	3.24%	
5/27/1980	13.62%	10.54%	3.08%	
5/27/1980	14.60%	10.54%	4.06%	
5/29/1980	16.00%	10.56%	5.44%	
5/30/1980	13.80%	10.56%	3.24%	
6/0/1080	15.03%	10.57 %	5.00%	
6/10/1980	13.78%	10.00%	3 18%	
6/12/1980	14 25%	10.61%	3.64%	
6/19/1980	13 40%	10.62%	2 78%	
6/30/1980	13.00%	10.65%	2.35%	
6/30/1980	13.40%	10.65%	2.75%	
7/9/1980	14.75%	10.67%	4.08%	
7/10/1980	15.00%	10.68%	4.32%	
7/15/1980	15.80%	10.70%	5.10%	
7/18/1980	13.80%	10.71%	3.09%	
7/22/1980	14.10%	10.72%	3.38%	
7/24/1980	15.00%	10.73%	4.27%	
7/25/1980	13.48%	10.73%	2.75%	
7/31/1980	14.58%	10.75%	3.83%	
8/8/1980	13.50%	10.78%	2.72%	
8/8/1980	14.00%	10.78%	3.22% 1.67%	
0/0/1980 8/11/1090	10.40%	10.78%	4.07%	
8/11/1900 8/11/1020	14.00%	10.70%	3 21%	
8/14/1980	16 25%	10.79%	5.46%	
8/25/1980	13.75%	10.82%	2.93%	
8/27/1980	13.80%	10.83%	2.97%	
8/29/1980	12.50%	10.84%	1.66%	
9/15/1980	13.50%	10.88%	2.62%	
9/15/1980	13.93%	10.88%	3.05%	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 3 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of		30-Year		
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk	
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium	
9/15/1980	15.80%	10.88%	4.92%	
9/24/1980	12.50%	10.93%	1.57%	
9/24/1980	15.00%	10.93%	4.07%	
9/26/1980	13.75%	10.94%	2.81%	
9/30/1980	14.10%	10.96%	3.14%	
9/30/1980	14.20%	10.96%	3.24%	
10/1/1980	13.90%	10.97%	2.93%	
10/3/1980	15.50%	10.98%	4.52%	
10/7/1900	12.50%	10.99%	1.01%	
10/9/1980	14 50%	11.00%	2.25%	
10/9/1980	14.50%	11.00%	3 50%	
10/16/1980	16 10%	11.00%	5.08%	
10/17/1980	14 50%	11.03%	3 47%	
10/31/1980	13.75%	11.11%	2.64%	
10/31/1980	14.25%	11.11%	3.14%	
11/4/1980	15.00%	11.12%	3.88%	
11/5/1980	13.75%	11.12%	2.63%	
11/5/1980	14.00%	11.12%	2.88%	
11/8/1980	13.75%	11.14%	2.61%	
11/10/1980	14.85%	11.15%	3.70%	
11/17/1980	14.00%	11.18%	2.82%	
11/18/1980	14.00%	11.19%	2.81%	
11/19/1980	13.00%	11.19%	1.81%	
11/24/1980	14.00%	11.21%	2.79%	
11/26/1980	14.00%	11.21%	2.79%	
12/8/1980	14.15%	11.22%	2.93%	
12/8/1980	15.10%	11.22%	3.88%	
12/9/1980	15.35%	11.22%	4.13%	
12/12/1980	15.45%	11.23%	4.22%	
12/17/1980	13.25%	11.23%	2.02%	
12/18/1980	15.80%	11.23%	4.57%	
12/19/1980	14.50%	11.23%	3.27%	
12/19/1980	14.64%	11.23%	3.41%	
12/22/1980	13.45%	11.23%	2.22%	
12/22/1900	13.00%	11.23%	3.7770	
12/30/1980	14.50%	11.22 /0	3.20%	
12/31/1980	13 39%	11.22%	2 17%	
1/2/1981	15.25%	11.22%	4.03%	
1/7/1981	14.30%	11 21%	3.09%	
1/19/1981	15 25%	11 20%	4 05%	
1/23/1981	13.10%	11.20%	1.90%	
1/23/1981	14.40%	11.20%	3.20%	
1/26/1981	15.25%	11.20%	4.05%	
1/27/1981	15.00%	11.21%	3.79%	
1/31/1981	13.47%	11.22%	2.25%	
2/3/1981	15.25%	11.23%	4.02%	
2/5/1981	15.75%	11.25%	4.50%	
2/11/1981	15.60%	11.28%	4.32%	
2/20/1981	15.25%	11.33%	3.92%	
3/11/1981	15.40%	11.49%	3.91%	
3/12/1981	14.51%	11.50%	3.01%	
3/12/1981	16.00%	11.50%	4.50%	
3/13/1981	13.02%	11.52%	1.50%	
3/18/1981	16.19%	11.55%	4.64%	
3/19/1981	13.75%	11.56%	2.19%	
3/23/1981	14.30%	11.58%	2.72%	
3/25/1981	15.30%	11.60%	3.70%	
4/1/1981	14.53%	11.68%	2.85%	
4/3/1981	19.10%	11.71%	7.39%	
4/9/1981	15.00%	11.70%	3.22% 3.50%	
4/9/1901 1/0/1001	10.30%	11./0% 11 70%	3.32% 1 720∕-	
4/9/1901 <u>1</u> /9/1021	17.00%	11 78%	+.1∠% 5.22%	
1001	17.0070	11.7070	0.22/0	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 4 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	Risk Premiun	n
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
4/10/1981	13.75%	11.80%	1.95%
4/13/1981	13.57%	11.82%	1.75%
4/15/1981	15.30%	11.85%	3.45%
4/16/1981	13.50%	11.87%	1.63%
4/17/1981	14.10%	11.87%	2.23%
4/21/1981	14.00%	11.90%	2.10%
4/21/1981	16.80%	11.90%	4.90%
4/24/1901	10.00%	11.95%	4.05%
4/27/1981	13.61%	11.97%	1.64%
4/29/1981	13.65%	12 00%	1.65%
4/30/1981	13 50%	12.00%	1 48%
5/4/1981	16.22%	12.05%	4 17%
5/5/1981	14.40%	12.07%	2.33%
5/7/1981	16.25%	12.11%	4.14%
5/7/1981	16.27%	12.11%	4.16%
5/8/1981	13.00%	12.13%	0.87%
5/8/1981	16.00%	12.13%	3.87%
5/12/1981	13.50%	12.16%	1.34%
5/15/1981	15.75%	12.22%	3.53%
5/18/1981	14.88%	12.23%	2.65%
5/20/1981	16.00%	12.26%	3.74%
5/21/1981	14.00%	12.27%	1.73%
5/26/1981	14.90%	12.30%	2.60%
5/27/1981	15.00%	12.31%	2.69%
5/29/1981	15.50%	12.34%	3.16%
6/1/1981	16.50%	12.35%	4.15%
6/3/1981	14.67%	12.37%	2.30%
6/5/1981	13.00%	12.39%	0.61%
6/10/1981	16.75%	12.42%	4.33%
6/17/1981	14.40%	12.46%	1.94%
6/18/1981	16.33%	12.47%	3.86%
6/25/1981	14.75%	12.51%	2.24%
6/20/1901	15.00%	12.3270	3.40% 2.71%
7/1/1081	15.25%	12.54%	2.71%
7/1/1981	17 50%	12.50%	2.9470 1 Q1%
7/10/1981	16.00%	12.00%	3.38%
7/14/1981	16.90%	12.64%	4 26%
7/15/1981	16.00%	12.65%	3 35%
7/17/1981	15.00%	12.67%	2.33%
7/20/1981	15.00%	12.68%	2.32%
7/21/1981	14.00%	12.69%	1.31%
7/28/1981	13.48%	12.74%	0.74%
7/31/1981	13.50%	12.78%	0.72%
7/31/1981	15.00%	12.78%	2.22%
7/31/1981	16.00%	12.78%	3.22%
8/5/1981	15.71%	12.83%	2.88%
8/10/1981	14.50%	12.87%	1.63%
8/11/1981	15.00%	12.88%	2.12%
8/20/1981	13.50%	12.95%	0.55%
8/20/1981	16.50%	12.95%	3.55%
8/24/1981	15.00%	12.97%	2.03%
8/28/1981	15.00%	13.01%	1.99%
9/3/1981	14.50%	13.05%	1.45%
9/10/1981	14.50%	13.11%	1.39%
9/11/1981	16.00%	13.12%	2.88%
9/16/1981	16.00%	13.15%	2.85%
9/17/1981	10.50%	13.16%	3.34%
9/23/1981	10.00%	13.2U%	∠.05% 2.07%
9/20/1901 10/0/1091	10.00%	13.∠3% 12.220/	∠.∠1% 2 100/
10/9/1901	10.75%	13.33%	∠.4∠% 2.800⁄-
10/16/1001	15.23%	13.37 70	∠.0070 2.120%
10/16/1981	16.50%	13.38%	3 12%
10,10,1001	10.0070	10.0070	0.12/0

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 5 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of	. .	30-Year	D . 1	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk Premium	
10/19/1981	14.25%	13.39%	0.86%	
10/20/1981	15.25%	13.41%	1.84%	
10/20/1981	17.00%	13.41%	3.59%	
10/23/1981	16.00%	13.45%	2.55%	
10/27/1981	10.00%	13.48%	-3.48%	
10/29/1981	14.75%	13.51%	1.24%	
10/29/1981	10.50%	13.51%	2.99%	
11/5/1981	16.60%	13.55%	3.05%	
11/6/1981	15.17%	13.56%	1.61%	
11/24/1981	15.50%	13.61%	1.89%	
11/25/1981	15.25%	13.61%	1.64%	
11/25/1981	15.35%	13.61%	1.74%	
11/25/1981	16.10%	13.61%	2.49%	
12/1/1081	15.10%	13.01%	2.49%	
12/1/1981	16.00%	13.61%	2.00%	
12/1/1981	16.49%	13.61%	2.88%	
12/1/1981	16.50%	13.61%	2.89%	
12/4/1981	16.00%	13.61%	2.39%	
12/11/1981	16.25%	13.63%	2.62%	
12/14/1981	14.00%	13.63%	0.37%	
12/15/1981	15.81%	13.63%	2.18%	
12/15/1901	15.00%	13.63%	2.37%	
12/17/1981	16.50%	13.63%	2.87%	
12/18/1981	15.45%	13.63%	1.82%	
12/30/1981	14.25%	13.67%	0.58%	
12/30/1981	16.00%	13.67%	2.33%	
12/30/1981	16.25%	13.67%	2.58%	
12/31/1981	16.15%	13.67%	2.48%	
1/4/1982	15.50%	13.67%	1.83%	
1/11/1982	17.00%	13.72%	3 28%	
1/13/1982	14.75%	13.74%	1.01%	
1/14/1982	15.75%	13.75%	2.00%	
1/15/1982	15.00%	13.76%	1.24%	
1/15/1982	16.50%	13.76%	2.74%	
1/22/1982	16.25%	13.79%	2.46%	
1/27/1982	16.84%	13.81%	3.03%	
1/20/1902	15.00%	13.01%	-0.01%	
2/1/1982	15.85%	13.82%	2.03%	
2/3/1982	16.44%	13.84%	2.60%	
2/8/1982	15.50%	13.86%	1.64%	
2/11/1982	16.00%	13.88%	2.12%	
2/11/1982	16.20%	13.88%	2.32%	
2/17/1982	15.00%	13.89%	1.11%	
2/19/1982	15.17%	13.89%	1.28%	
3/1/1982	15.23%	13.89%	1 14%	
3/1/1982	16.00%	13.89%	2.11%	
3/3/1982	15.00%	13.88%	1.12%	
3/8/1982	17.10%	13.88%	3.22%	
3/12/1982	16.25%	13.88%	2.37%	
3/17/1982	17.30%	13.88%	3.42%	
3/22/1982	15.10%	13.89%	1.21%	
3/30/1982	15.40%	13.09%	1.60%	
3/31/1982	17.00%	13.91%	3.09%	
4/1/1982	14.70%	13.91%	0.79%	
4/1/1982	16.50%	13.91%	2.59%	
4/2/1982	15.50%	13.91%	1.59%	
4/5/1982	15.50%	13.92%	1.58%	
4/8/1982	16.40%	13.93%	2.47%	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 6 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of		30-Year		
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk	
Lase	Equity	12 04%	Premium	
4/23/1982	15 75%	13.94%	1.81%	
4/27/1982	15.00%	13.94%	1.06%	
4/28/1982	15.75%	13.94%	1.81%	
4/30/1982	14.70%	13.94%	0.76%	
4/30/1982	15.50%	13.94%	1.56%	
5/3/1982	16.60%	13.94%	2.66%	
5/4/1982	16.00%	13.94%	2.06%	
5/14/1982	15.50%	13.92%	1.58%	
5/18/1982	15.42%	13.92%	1.50%	
5/19/1982	14.09%	13.92%	1.09%	
5/20/1982	15 10%	13.91%	1 19%	
5/20/1982	15.50%	13.91%	1.59%	
5/20/1982	16.30%	13.91%	2.39%	
5/21/1982	17.75%	13.91%	3.84%	
5/27/1982	15.00%	13.89%	1.11%	
5/28/1982	15.50%	13.89%	1.61%	
5/28/1982	17.00%	13.89%	3.11%	
6/1/1982	13.75%	13.89%	-0.14%	
6/1/1982	16.60%	13.89%	2.71%	
6/11/1082	17.00%	13.00%	3.90% 1.87%	
6/15/1982	14 85%	13.88%	0.97%	
6/18/1982	15 50%	13.87%	1 63%	
6/21/1982	14.90%	13.87%	1.03%	
6/23/1982	16.00%	13.86%	2.14%	
6/23/1982	16.17%	13.86%	2.31%	
6/24/1982	14.85%	13.86%	0.99%	
6/25/1982	14.70%	13.86%	0.84%	
7/1/1982	16.00%	13.84%	2.16%	
7/2/1982	15.62%	13.84%	1.78%	
7/2/1982	17.00%	13.84%	3.16%	
7/13/1982	14.00%	13.82%	0.18%	
7/14/1982	15 76%	13.82%	1 94%	
7/14/1982	16.02%	13.82%	2.20%	
7/19/1982	16.50%	13.80%	2.70%	
7/22/1982	14.50%	13.77%	0.73%	
7/22/1982	17.00%	13.77%	3.23%	
7/27/1982	16.75%	13.75%	3.00%	
7/29/1982	16.50%	13.74%	2.76%	
8/11/1982	17.50%	13.68%	3.82%	
8/18/1982	17.07%	13.63%	3.44%	
8/20/1982	15.73%	13.00%	2.13%	
8/26/1982	15.50%	13.56%	1 94%	
8/30/1982	15.00%	13.55%	1.45%	
9/3/1982	16.20%	13.53%	2.67%	
9/8/1982	15.00%	13.52%	1.48%	
9/15/1982	13.08%	13.50%	-0.42%	
9/15/1982	16.25%	13.50%	2.75%	
9/16/1982	16.00%	13.50%	2.50%	
9/17/1982	15.25%	13.50%	1.75%	
9/23/1982	11.11%	13.47%	3.70% 1.04%	
9/24/ 1902 0/27/1022	14.00%	13.40%	1.04%	
10/1/1982	15.50%	13 42%	2 08%	
10/15/1982	15.90%	13.32%	2.58%	
10/22/1982	15.75%	13.24%	2.51%	
10/22/1982	17.15%	13.24%	3.91%	
10/29/1982	15.54%	13.16%	2.38%	
11/1/1982	15.50%	13.15%	2.35%	
11/3/1982	17.20%	13.13%	4.07%	
11/4/1982	16.25%	13.11%	3.14%	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 7 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of		30-Year		
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk	
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium	
11/5/1982	16.20%	13.09%	3.11%	
11/9/1982	16.00%	13.05%	2.95%	
11/23/1982	15.50%	12.89%	2.61%	
11/23/1982	15.85%	12.89%	2.96%	
11/30/1982	16.50%	12.81%	3.69%	
12/1/1982	17.04%	12.79%	4.23%	
12/0/1902	16.35%	12.73%	2.27%	
12/10/1982	15.50%	12.66%	2 84%	
12/13/1982	16.00%	12.65%	3 35%	
12/14/1982	15.30%	12.63%	2.67%	
12/14/1982	16.40%	12.63%	3.77%	
12/20/1982	16.00%	12.57%	3.43%	
12/21/1982	14.75%	12.56%	2.19%	
12/21/1982	15.85%	12.56%	3.29%	
12/22/1982	16.25%	12.54%	3.71%	
12/22/1982	16.58%	12.54%	4.04%	
12/22/1982	16.75%	12.54%	4.21%	
12/29/1982	14.90%	12.48%	2.42%	
12/29/1982	16.25%	12.48%	3.77%	
12/30/1982	16.00%	12.47%	3.53%	
12/30/1982	16.35%	12.47%	3.88%	
12/30/1982	10.77%	12.47%	4.30%	
1/3/1983	17.33%	12.40%	4.93%	
1/17/1083	14.63%	12.34 /0	2.30%	
1/12/1983	15 50%	12.33%	3 17%	
1/20/1983	17 75%	12.00%	5.51%	
1/21/1983	15.00%	12.22%	2.78%	
1/24/1983	14.50%	12.21%	2.29%	
1/24/1983	15.50%	12.21%	3.29%	
1/25/1983	15.85%	12.19%	3.66%	
1/27/1983	16.14%	12.17%	3.97%	
2/1/1983	18.50%	12.13%	6.37%	
2/4/1983	14.00%	12.10%	1.90%	
2/10/1983	15.00%	12.06%	2.94%	
2/21/1983	15.50%	11.98%	3.52%	
2/22/1983	15.50%	11.97%	3.53%	
2/23/1983	15.10%	11.96%	3.14%	
2/23/1983	16.00%	11.96%	4.04%	
3/2/1983	15.25%	11.89%	3.30%	
3/9/1903	13.20%	11.0270	3.30% 1.23%	
3/18/1983	15.00%	11.77%	3 52%	
3/23/1983	15 40%	11.69%	3 71%	
3/24/1983	15.00%	11.67%	3.33%	
3/29/1983	15.50%	11.63%	3.87%	
3/30/1983	16.71%	11.61%	5.10%	
3/31/1983	15.00%	11.59%	3.41%	
4/4/1983	15.20%	11.58%	3.62%	
4/8/1983	15.50%	11.51%	3.99%	
4/11/1983	14.81%	11.49%	3.32%	
4/19/1983	14.50%	11.38%	3.12%	
4/20/1983	16.00%	11.36%	4.64%	
4/29/1983	16.00%	11.24%	4.76%	
5/1/1983	14.50%	11.24%	3.26%	
5/9/1983	15.50%	11.15%	4.35%	
5/17/1983	10.40%	11.12% 11.110/	0.34% 3.02%	
5/12/1903	14.1470	11.1170	3.03%	
5/23/1083	14 90%	11 01%	3.80%	
5/23/1983	15.50%	11 01%	4 49%	
5/25/1983	15 50%	10.98%	4.52%	
5/27/1983	15.00%	10.96%	4.04%	
5/31/1983	14.00%	10.95%	3.05%	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 8 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of	_	30-Year		
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk	
5/31/1083	Equily	10.05%	A 55%	
6/2/1983	14 50%	10.93%	4.55%	
6/17/1983	15.03%	10.84%	4 19%	
7/1/1983	14.80%	10.78%	4.02%	
7/1/1983	14.90%	10.78%	4.12%	
7/8/1983	16.25%	10.76%	5.49%	
7/13/1983	13.20%	10.75%	2.45%	
7/19/1983	15.00%	10.74%	4.26%	
7/19/1983	15.10%	10.74%	4.36%	
7/25/1983	16.25%	10.73%	5.52%	
8/3/1083	15.90%	10.74%	5.10% 5.50%	
8/3/1903	16.54%	10.75%	5.59%	
8/19/1983	15.00%	10.80%	4 20%	
8/22/1983	15.50%	10.80%	4.70%	
8/22/1983	16.40%	10.80%	5.60%	
8/31/1983	14.75%	10.84%	3.91%	
9/7/1983	15.00%	10.86%	4.14%	
9/14/1983	15.78%	10.89%	4.89%	
9/16/1983	15.00%	10.90%	4.10%	
9/19/1983	14.50%	10.91%	3.59%	
9/20/1983	16.50%	10.91%	5.59%	
9/28/1983	14.50%	10.94%	3.56%	
9/29/1903	15.50%	10.95%	4.55%	
9/30/1983	16 15%	10.95%	5 20%	
10/4/1983	14.80%	10.96%	3.84%	
10/7/1983	16.00%	10.97%	5.03%	
10/13/1983	15.52%	10.99%	4.53%	
10/17/1983	15.50%	11.00%	4.50%	
10/18/1983	14.50%	11.00%	3.50%	
10/19/1983	16.25%	11.01%	5.24%	
10/19/1983	16.50%	11.01%	5.49%	
10/26/1983	15.00%	11.04%	3.96%	
11/1/1083	16.00%	11.04 %	4.10%	
11/9/1983	14 90%	11.00%	3 81%	
11/10/1983	14.35%	11.10%	3.25%	
11/23/1983	16.00%	11.13%	4.87%	
11/23/1983	16.15%	11.13%	5.02%	
11/30/1983	15.00%	11.14%	3.86%	
12/5/1983	15.25%	11.15%	4.10%	
12/6/1983	15.07%	11.15%	3.92%	
12/8/1983	15.90%	11.16%	4.74%	
12/9/1983	14.75%	11.17%	3.58%	
12/12/1903	14.50%	11.17 %	3.33 % 1 37%	
12/19/1983	14 80%	11 21%	3 59%	
12/20/1983	14.69%	11.22%	3.47%	
12/20/1983	16.00%	11.22%	4.78%	
12/20/1983	16.25%	11.22%	5.03%	
12/22/1983	14.75%	11.23%	3.52%	
12/22/1983	15.75%	11.23%	4.52%	
1/3/1984	14.75%	11.27%	3.48%	
1/10/1984	15.90%	11.30%	4.60%	
1/12/1984	13.00%	11.31%	4.29% 2.40%	
1/10/1984 1/10/109/	15.75% 15.00%	11.33%	2.42% 1.57%	
1/30/1984	16.10%	11.37%	4.73%	
1/31/1984	15.25%	11.37%	3.88%	
2/1/1984	14.80%	11.38%	3.42%	
2/6/1984	13.75%	11.40%	2.35%	
2/6/1984	14.75%	11.40%	3.35%	
2/9/1984	15.25%	11.42%	3.83%	
2/15/1984	15.70%	11.44%	4.26%	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 9 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	Risk Premiur	n
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
2/20/1984	15.00%	11.46%	3.54%
2/20/1984	15.00%	11.46%	3.54%
2/22/1984	14.75%	11.47%	3.28%
2/28/1984	14.50%	11.51%	2.99%
3/2/1984	14.25%	11.54%	2.71%
3/20/1984	16.00%	11.64%	4.36%
3/23/1984	15.50%	11.67%	3.83%
3/26/1984	14.71%	11.68%	3.03%
4/2/1904	13.50%	11.7170	3.79%
4/0/1904	14.74 /0	11.75%	2.99%
4/17/1984	15.00%	11.70%	3 19%
4/18/1984	16.00%	11.82%	4.38%
4/25/1984	14 64%	11.85%	2 79%
4/30/1984	14.40%	11.87%	2.53%
5/16/1984	14.69%	11.98%	2.71%
5/16/1984	15.00%	11.98%	3.02%
5/22/1984	14.40%	12.02%	2.38%
5/29/1984	15.10%	12.06%	3.04%
6/13/1984	15.25%	12.15%	3.10%
6/15/1984	15.60%	12.17%	3.43%
6/22/1984	16.25%	12.21%	4.04%
6/29/1984	15.25%	12.26%	2.99%
7/2/1984	13.35%	12.27%	1.08%
7/10/1984	16.00%	12.31%	3.69%
7/12/1984	16.50%	12.32%	4.18%
7/13/1984	16.25%	12.33%	3.92%
7/17/1984	14.14%	12.35%	1.79%
7/18/1984	15.30%	12.36%	2.94%
7/18/1984	15.50%	12.36%	3.14%
7/19/1984	14.30%	12.37%	1.93%
7/24/1984	16.79%	12.39%	4.40%
7/31/1984	16.00%	12.43%	3.57%
8/3/1984	14.25%	12.44%	1.81%
8/17/1984	14.30%	12.49%	1.81%
8/20/1984	15.00%	12.49%	2.51%
8/27/1984	16.30%	12.51%	3.79%
0/6/1094	15.55%	12.52%	3.03%
9/0/1904	10.00%	12.55%	3.4770 2.210/
9/10/1904	14.75%	12.0470	2.2170
9/13/1904	17.38%	12.55%	2.45%
9/16/108/	14.50%	12.50%	1 03%
9/28/1984	15.00%	12.57%	2 43%
9/28/1984	16.00%	12.57%	3.68%
10/9/1984	14 75%	12.58%	2 17%
10/12/1984	15.60%	12.59%	3.01%
10/22/1984	15.00%	12.59%	2.41%
10/26/1984	16.40%	12.58%	3.82%
10/31/1984	16.25%	12.58%	3.67%
11/7/1984	15.60%	12.58%	3.02%
11/9/1984	16.00%	12.58%	3.42%
11/14/1984	15.75%	12.58%	3.17%
11/20/1984	15.25%	12.58%	2.67%
11/20/1984	15.92%	12.58%	3.34%
11/23/1984	15.00%	12.58%	2.42%
11/28/1984	16.15%	12.57%	3.58%
12/3/1984	15.80%	12.56%	3.24%
12/4/1984	16.50%	12.56%	3.94%
12/18/1984	16.40%	12.53%	3.87%
12/19/1984	14.75%	12.53%	2.22%
12/19/1984	15.00%	12.53%	2.47%
12/20/1984	16.00%	12.53%	3.47%
12/28/1984	16.00%	12.50%	3.50%
1/3/1985	14.75%	12.49%	2.26%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 10 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of		30-Year		
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk	
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium	
1/10/1985	15.75%	12.47%	3.28%	
1/11/1985	16.30%	12.46%	3.84%	
1/23/1985	15.80%	12.43%	3.37%	
1/24/1985	15.82%	12.43%	3.39%	
1/25/1985	16.75%	12.42%	4.33%	
1/30/1985	14.90%	12.40%	2.50%	
1/31/1985	14.75%	12.39%	2.36%	
2/8/1985	14.47%	12.35%	2.12%	
3/1/1900	15.04%	12.3170	1.55%	
3/1//1085	15.50%	12.20%	4.07%	
3/15/1985	15.62%	12.25%	3 37%	
3/29/1985	15.62%	12.20%	3 45%	
4/3/1985	14 60%	12 14%	2 46%	
4/9/1985	15.50%	12.11%	3.39%	
4/16/1985	15.70%	12.06%	3.64%	
4/22/1985	14.00%	12.02%	1.98%	
4/26/1985	15.50%	11.98%	3.52%	
4/29/1985	15.00%	11.97%	3.03%	
5/2/1985	14.68%	11.94%	2.74%	
5/8/1985	15.62%	11.89%	3.73%	
5/10/1985	16.50%	11.87%	4.63%	
5/29/1985	14.61%	11.73%	2.88%	
5/31/1985	16.00%	11.71%	4.29%	
6/14/1985	15.50%	11.61%	3.89%	
7/9/1985	15.00%	11.45%	3.55%	
7/16/1985	14.50%	11.39%	3.11%	
7/26/1985	14.50%	11.33%	3.17%	
8/2/1985	14.80%	11.29%	3.51%	
8/7/1985	15.00%	11.27%	3.73%	
8/28/1985	14.25%	11.15%	3.10%	
8/28/1985	15.50%	11.15%	4.35%	
8/29/1985	14.50%	11.15%	3.35%	
9/9/1985	14.60%	11.11%	3.49%	
9/9/1985	14.90%	11.11%	3.79%	
9/17/1985	14.90%	11.08%	3.82%	
9/23/1903	15.00%	11.00%	3.94% 1 15%	
9/27/1985	15.50%	11.05%	4.45%	
10/2/1085	14.00%	11.03%	2 97%	
10/2/1985	14.00%	11.03%	3 72%	
10/3/1985	15 25%	11.03%	4 22%	
10/24/1985	15 40%	10.96%	4 44%	
10/24/1985	15.82%	10.96%	4.86%	
10/24/1985	15.85%	10.96%	4.89%	
10/28/1985	16.00%	10.95%	5.05%	
10/29/1985	16.65%	10.94%	5.71%	
10/31/1985	15.06%	10.93%	4.13%	
11/4/1985	14.50%	10.92%	3.58%	
11/7/1985	15.50%	10.90%	4.60%	
11/8/1985	14.30%	10.89%	3.41%	
12/12/1985	14.75%	10.73%	4.02%	
12/18/1985	15.00%	10.69%	4.31%	
12/20/1985	14.50%	10.67%	3.83%	
12/20/1985	14.50%	10.67%	3.83%	
12/20/1985	15.00%	10.67%	4.33%	
1/24/1986	15.40%	10.41%	4.99%	
1/31/1986	15.00%	10.35%	4.65%	
2/5/1986	15.00%	10.32%	4.68%	
2/5/1986	15.75%	10.32%	5.43%	
2/10/1986	13.30%	10.29%	3.01%	
2/11/1986	12.50%	10.28%	Z.ZZ%	
2/14/1980	14.40%	10.24%	4.10% 5.77%	
2/10/1900 2/21/1026	10.00%	10.23%	0.11%	
212711300	17.0070	10.1070	7.02/0	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 11 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of		30-Year		
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk	
	Equity	10 15%	2 95%	
3/5/1986	14.00%	10.13%	3.85 <i>%</i> 4.82%	
3/11/1986	14.50%	10.02%	4.48%	
3/12/1986	13.50%	10.00%	3.50%	
3/27/1986	14.10%	9.86%	4.24%	
3/31/1986	13.50%	9.84%	3.66%	
4/1/1986	14.00%	9.83%	4.17%	
4/2/1986	15.50%	9.81%	5.69%	
4/4/1986	15.00%	9.78%	5.22%	
4/14/1986	13.40%	9.69%	3.71%	
4/23/1900 5/16/1986	14.50%	9.57%	5.43%	
5/16/1986	14.50%	9.32%	5 18%	
5/29/1986	13.90%	9.19%	4.71%	
5/30/1986	15.10%	9.18%	5.92%	
6/2/1986	12.81%	9.17%	3.64%	
6/11/1986	14.00%	9.07%	4.93%	
6/24/1986	16.63%	8.94%	7.69%	
6/26/1986	12.00%	8.91%	3.09%	
6/26/1986	14.75%	8.91%	5.84%	
6/30/1986	13.00%	8.87%	4.13%	
7/10/1900	14.34%	0.75% 8.73%	5.59% 4.02%	
7/14/1986	12.75%	8 71%	3 89%	
7/17/1986	12.40%	8.66%	3.74%	
7/25/1986	14.25%	8.57%	5.68%	
8/6/1986	13.50%	8.44%	5.06%	
8/14/1986	13.50%	8.35%	5.15%	
9/16/1986	12.75%	8.06%	4.69%	
9/19/1986	13.25%	8.03%	5.22%	
10/1/1986	14.00%	7.95%	6.05%	
10/3/1986	13.40%	7.93%	5.47%	
11/5/1986	13.50%	7.77%	5.75%	
12/3/1986	12.00%	7.58%	5 32%	
12/4/1986	14.44%	7.58%	6.86%	
12/16/1986	13.60%	7.52%	6.08%	
12/22/1986	13.80%	7.51%	6.29%	
12/30/1986	13.00%	7.49%	5.51%	
1/2/1987	13.00%	7.49%	5.51%	
1/12/1987	12.40%	7.47%	4.93%	
1/27/1987	12.71%	7.46%	5.25%	
3/2/1987	12.47%	7.47%	5.00%	
3/3/1907	12 38%	7.47%	0.13% 1 Q1%	
3/10/1987	13.50%	7.47%	6.03%	
3/13/1987	13.00%	7.47%	5.53%	
3/31/1987	13.00%	7.46%	5.54%	
4/6/1987	13.00%	7.47%	5.53%	
4/14/1987	12.50%	7.49%	5.01%	
4/16/1987	14.50%	7.50%	7.00%	
4/27/1987	12.00%	7.54%	4.46%	
5/5/1987	12.85%	7.58%	5.27%	
5/12/1987	12.65%	7.62%	5.03%	
6/15/1027	13.20%	7 78%	5.00%	
6/29/1987	15.00%	7.83%	7.17%	
6/30/1987	12.50%	7.84%	4.66%	
7/8/1987	12.00%	7.86%	4.14%	
7/10/1987	12.90%	7.86%	5.04%	
7/15/1987	13.50%	7.88%	5.62%	
7/16/1987	13.50%	7.88%	5.62%	
7/16/1987	15.00%	7.88%	7.12%	
1/2//1987	13.00%	7.92%	5.08%	
1121/1901	13.40%	1.92%	J.40%	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 12 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	Risk Premiun	n
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
7/27/1987	13.50%	7.92%	5.58%
7/31/1987	12.98%	7.95%	5.03%
8/26/1987	12.63%	8.06%	4.57%
8/26/1987	12.75%	8.06%	4.69%
8/27/1987	13.25%	8.06%	5.19%
9/9/1987	13.00%	8.14%	4.86%
9/30/1987	12.75%	8.31%	4.44%
9/30/1987	13.00%	8.31%	4.69%
10/2/1907	12.00%	0.33%	3.17%
11/2/1087	13.00%	0.43 % 8 55%	4.57%
11/19/1987	13.00%	8.64%	4 36%
11/30/1987	12.00%	8.68%	3.32%
12/3/1987	14 20%	8 70%	5 50%
12/15/1987	13.25%	8.77%	4.48%
12/16/1987	13.50%	8.78%	4.72%
12/16/1987	13.72%	8.78%	4.94%
12/17/1987	11.75%	8.79%	2.96%
12/18/1987	13.50%	8.80%	4.70%
12/21/1987	12.01%	8.81%	3.20%
12/22/1987	12.00%	8.81%	3.19%
12/22/1987	12.00%	8.81%	3.19%
12/22/1987	12.75%	8.81%	3.94%
12/22/1987	13.00%	8.81%	4.19%
1/20/1988	13.80%	8.94%	4.86%
1/26/1988	13.90%	8.95%	4.95%
1/29/1988	13.20%	8.96%	4.24%
2/4/1988	12.60%	8.96%	3.64%
3/1/1988	11.56%	8.94%	2.62%
3/23/1988	12.87%	8.92%	3.95%
3/24/1988	11.24%	8.92%	2.32%
3/30/1988	12.72%	8.92%	3.80%
4/1/1988	12.50%	8.92%	3.58%
4/7/1988	13.25%	8.93%	4.32%
4/25/1988	10.96%	8.96%	2.00%
5/3/1988	12.91%	8.97%	3.94%
5/11/1988	13.50%	8.99%	4.51%
5/10/1988 6/20/1088	13.00%	8.99%	4.01%
7/1/1099	12.73%	9.00%	3.73%
7/1/1900	12.73%	0.99%	5.70%
8/5/1088	13.40 %	8.90%	4.44 //
8/23/1088	12.75%	8 93%	2 77%
8/29/1988	12 75%	8 94%	3.81%
8/30/1988	13 50%	8 94%	4 56%
9/8/1988	12 60%	8 95%	3 65%
10/13/1988	13.10%	8.93%	4.17%
12/19/1988	13.00%	9.02%	3.98%
12/20/1988	12.25%	9.02%	3.23%
12/20/1988	13.00%	9.02%	3.98%
12/21/1988	12.90%	9.02%	3.88%
12/27/1988	13.00%	9.03%	3.97%
12/28/1988	13.10%	9.03%	4.07%
12/30/1988	13.40%	9.04%	4.36%
1/27/1989	13.00%	9.05%	3.95%
1/31/1989	13.00%	9.05%	3.95%
2/17/1989	13.00%	9.05%	3.95%
2/20/1989	12.40%	9.05%	3.35%
3/1/1989	12.76%	9.05%	3.71%
3/8/1989	13.00%	9.05%	3.95%
3/30/1989	14.00%	9.05%	4.95%
4/5/1989	14.20%	9.05%	5.15%
4/18/1989	13.00%	9.05%	3.95%
5/5/1989	12.40%	9.05%	3.35%
6/2/1989	13.20%	9.00%	4.20%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 13 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium			
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
6/8/1989	13.50%	8.98%	4.52%
6/27/1989	13.25%	8.91%	4.34%
6/30/1989	13.00%	8.90%	4.10%
8/14/1989	12.50%	8.77%	3.73%
9/28/1989	12.25%	8.63%	3.62%
10/24/1989	12.50%	8.04%	3.90%
12/15/1909	13.00%	0.49%	4.51%
12/13/1989	12 90%	8 32%	4.00%
12/20/1909	12.00%	8 31%	4.59%
12/27/1989	12.50%	8 29%	4 21%
12/27/1989	13.00%	8.29%	4.71%
1/10/1990	12.80%	8.24%	4.56%
1/11/1990	12.90%	8.24%	4.66%
1/17/1990	12.80%	8.22%	4.58%
1/26/1990	12.00%	8.20%	3.80%
2/9/1990	12.10%	8.17%	3.93%
2/24/1990	12.86%	8.15%	4.71%
3/30/1990	12.90%	8.16%	4.74%
4/4/1990	15.76%	8.17%	7.59%
4/12/1990	12.52%	8.18%	4.34%
4/19/1990	12.75%	8.20%	4.55%
5/21/1990	12.10%	8.28%	3.82%
5/29/1990	12.40%	8.30%	4.10%
5/31/1990	12.00%	8.30%	3.70%
6/4/1990	12.90%	8.30%	4.60%
6/6/1990	12.25%	8.31%	3.94%
6/15/1990	13.20%	8.32%	4.88%
6/20/1990	12.92%	8.32%	4.60%
6/27/1990	12.90%	8.33%	4.57%
6/29/1990	12.50%	8.33%	4.17%
7/6/1990	12.10%	8.34%	3.76%
7/6/1990	12.35%	8.34%	4.01%
8/10/1990	12.00%	8.41%	4.14%
8/22/1000	13.21%	0.43 % 8 45%	4.70%
8/24/1990	13.00%	8.46%	4.03%
9/26/1990	11 45%	8.59%	2 86%
10/2/1990	13 00%	8 61%	4 39%
10/5/1990	12.84%	8.62%	4.22%
10/19/1990	13.00%	8.67%	4.33%
10/25/1990	12.30%	8.68%	3.62%
11/21/1990	12.70%	8.69%	4.01%
12/13/1990	12.30%	8.67%	3.63%
12/17/1990	12.87%	8.67%	4.20%
12/18/1990	13.10%	8.67%	4.43%
12/19/1990	12.00%	8.66%	3.34%
12/20/1990	12.75%	8.66%	4.09%
12/21/1990	12.50%	8.66%	3.84%
12/27/1990	12.79%	8.66%	4.13%
1/2/1991	13.10%	8.65%	4.45%
1/4/1991	12.50%	8.65%	3.85%
1/15/1991	12.75%	8.64%	4.11%
1/25/1991	11.70%	8.63%	3.07%
2/4/1991	12.50%	8.60%	3.90%
2/7/1991	12.50%	8.59%	3.91%
2/12/1991	13.00%	8.58%	4.43%
2/14/1991	12.72%	8.51% 9.55%	4.15%
2/6/1001	12.00% 13 10%	0.00%	4.20%
3/8/1001	12 30%	8 52%	4.07 % 3 78%
3/8/1991	13 00%	8.52%	4 48%
4/22/1991	13 00%	8 49%	4 51%
5/7/1991	13 50%	8 47%	5.03%
5/13/1991	13.25%	8.47%	4.78%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 14 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	Risk Premiur	n
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
5/30/1991	12.75%	8.44%	4.31%
6/12/1991	12.00%	8.41%	3.59%
6/25/1991	11.70%	8.39%	3.31%
6/28/1991	12.50%	8.38%	4.12%
7/1/1991	12.00%	8.38%	3.62%
7/3/1991	12.50%	8.37%	4.13%
7/19/1991	12.10%	8.34%	3.76%
8/1/1991	12.90%	8.32%	4.58%
0/10/1991	13.20%	0.29%	4.91%
9/27/1991	12.30%	8 23%	4.27 %
10/17/1001	13.00%	8 20%	4.0270
10/23/1991	12 50%	8 20%	4.30%
10/23/1991	12.55%	8 20%	4 35%
10/31/1991	11.80%	8.19%	3.61%
11/1/1991	12.00%	8.19%	3.81%
11/5/1991	12.25%	8.19%	4.06%
11/12/1991	12.50%	8.18%	4.32%
11/12/1991	13.25%	8.18%	5.07%
11/25/1991	12.40%	8.18%	4.22%
11/26/1991	11.60%	8.18%	3.42%
11/26/1991	12.50%	8.18%	4.32%
11/27/1991	12.10%	8.18%	3.92%
12/18/1991	12.25%	8.15%	4.10%
12/19/1991	12.60%	8.15%	4.45%
12/19/1991	12.80%	8.15%	4.65%
12/20/1991	12.65%	8.14%	4.51%
1/9/1992	12.80%	8.09%	4.71%
1/16/1992	12.75%	8.07%	4.68%
1/21/1992	12.00%	8.06%	3.94%
1/22/1992	13.00%	8.06%	4.94%
1/27/1992	12.65%	8.05%	4.60%
1/31/1992	12.00%	8.04%	3.96%
2/11/1992	12.40%	8.03%	4.37%
2/23/1992	12.30%	8.01%	4.49%
3/10/1992	11.43%	7.90%	3.43%
J/2/1002	12.20%	7.90%	4.50%
4/2/1992	11 45%	7.95%	3 51%
4/10/1992	11.40%	7.94%	3.57%
4/14/1992	11.50%	7 93%	3 57%
5/5/1992	11.50%	7.89%	3.61%
5/12/1992	11.87%	7.88%	3.99%
5/12/1992	12.46%	7.88%	4.58%
6/1/1992	12.30%	7.87%	4.43%
6/12/1992	10.90%	7.86%	3.04%
6/26/1992	12.35%	7.85%	4.50%
6/29/1992	11.00%	7.85%	3.15%
6/30/1992	13.00%	7.85%	5.15%
7/13/1992	11.90%	7.84%	4.06%
7/13/1992	13.50%	7.84%	5.66%
7/22/1992	11.20%	7.83%	3.37%
8/3/1992	12.00%	7.81%	4.19%
8/6/1992	12.50%	7.80%	4.70%
9/22/1992	12.00%	7.71%	4.29%
9/28/1992	11.40%	7.71%	3.69%
9/30/1992	11.75%	1.70%	4.05%
10/2/1992	13.00%	7.70%	5.30%
10/12/1992	12.20%	7.70%	4.50%
10/16/1992	13.10%	1.1U% 7.740/	0.40%
10/30/1992	11./0%	1.11% 7710/	4.04% 1 000/
12/3/1992	12.00%	7 690/	4.29% 1 17%
12/3/1992	11.00%	7.00%	4.1/70
12/16/1002	11 90%	7.66%	4 24%
L, 10/1002	11.0070	1.0070	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 15 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium			
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
12/16/1992	12.40%	7.66%	4.74%
12/17/1992	12.00%	7.66%	4.34%
12/22/1992	12.30%	7.65%	4.65%
12/22/1992	12.40%	7.65%	4.75%
12/29/1992	12.25%	7.63%	4.62%
12/30/1992	12.00%	7.03%	4.37%
1/12/1003	12.00%	7.03%	4.27 %
1/21/1993	11 25%	7.59%	3 66%
2/2/1993	11 40%	7 56%	3 84%
2/15/1993	12.30%	7.52%	4.78%
2/24/1993	11.90%	7.49%	4.41%
2/26/1993	11.80%	7.48%	4.32%
2/26/1993	12.20%	7.48%	4.72%
4/23/1993	11.75%	7.29%	4.46%
5/11/1993	11.75%	7.25%	4.50%
5/14/1993	11.50%	7.24%	4.26%
5/25/1993	11.50%	7.23%	4.27%
5/28/1993	11.00%	7.22%	3.78%
6/3/1993	12.00%	7.21%	4.79%
6/16/1993	11.50%	7.19%	4.31%
6/18/1993	12.10%	7.18%	4.92%
6/25/1993	11.67%	7.17%	4.50%
7/22/1002	11.30%	7.10%	4.20%
8/2//1993	10.40%	6.96%	5.57 % 1 51%
9/21/1993	10.50%	6.81%	3 69%
9/29/1993	11 47%	6 77%	4 70%
9/30/1993	11.60%	6.76%	4.84%
11/2/1993	10.80%	6.60%	4.20%
11/12/1993	12.00%	6.57%	5.43%
11/26/1993	11.00%	6.52%	4.48%
12/14/1993	10.55%	6.48%	4.07%
12/16/1993	10.60%	6.48%	4.12%
12/21/1993	11.30%	6.47%	4.83%
1/4/1994	10.07%	6.44%	3.63%
1/13/1994	11.00%	6.42%	4.58%
1/21/1994	11.00%	6.40%	4.60%
1/28/1994	11.35%	6.39%	4.96%
2/3/1994	11.40%	0.38%	5.02%
2/17/1994	11.00%	6 35%	4.24%
2/25/1994	12.00%	6 35%	4.90% 5.65%
3/1/1994	11.00%	6.35%	4 65%
3/4/1994	11.00%	6.35%	4.65%
4/25/1994	11.00%	6.41%	4.59%
5/10/1994	11.75%	6.45%	5.30%
5/13/1994	10.50%	6.46%	4.04%
6/3/1994	11.00%	6.54%	4.46%
6/27/1994	11.40%	6.65%	4.75%
8/5/1994	12.75%	6.88%	5.87%
10/31/1994	10.00%	7.33%	2.67%
11/9/1994	10.85%	7.39%	3.46%
11/9/1994	10.85%	7.39%	3.46%
11/18/1994	11.20%	7.45%	3.75%
11/22/1994	11.00%	1.41%	4.13%
17/20/1994	11.00%	1.49% 7 510/	3.01%
12/8/1994	11 70%	7.54%	4 16%
12/14/1994	10.95%	7.56%	3.39%
12/15/1994	11.50%	7.57%	3.93%
12/19/1994	11.50%	7.58%	3.92%
12/28/1994	12.15%	7.61%	4.54%
1/9/1995	12.28%	7.64%	4.64%
1/31/1995	11.00%	7.69%	3.31%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 16 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	Risk Premiun	n
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
2/10/1995	12.60%	7.70%	4.90%
2/17/1995	11.90%	7.70%	4.20%
3/9/1995	11.50%	7.71%	3.79%
3/20/1995	12.00%	7.72%	4.28%
3/23/1995	12.81%	7.72%	5.09%
3/29/1995	11.00%	7 7 1 0/2	3.00%
4/0/1995	11.10%	7.71%	3 29%
4/19/1995	11.00%	7.70%	3.30%
5/12/1995	11.63%	7.68%	3.95%
5/25/1995	11.20%	7.65%	3.55%
6/9/1995	11.25%	7.60%	3.65%
6/21/1995	12.25%	7.56%	4.69%
6/30/1995	11.10%	7.52%	3.58%
9/11/1995	11.30%	7.20%	4.10%
9/27/1995	11.30%	7.12%	4.18%
9/27/1995	11.50%	7.12%	4.38%
9/27/1995	11.75%	7.12%	4.63%
9/29/1995	11.00%	7.11%	3.89%
11/9/1995	11.38%	6.90%	4.48%
11/9/1995	12.36%	6.90%	5.46%
12/4/1005	11.00%	0.80%	4.14%
12/4/1995	11.33%	6.74%	4.57 %
12/11/1995	11.40%	6.70%	4.00%
12/27/1995	12 00%	6.66%	5 34%
2/5/1996	12.25%	6.48%	5.77%
3/29/1996	10.67%	6.42%	4.25%
4/8/1996	11.00%	6.42%	4.58%
4/11/1996	12.59%	6.43%	6.16%
4/11/1996	12.59%	6.43%	6.16%
4/24/1996	11.25%	6.43%	4.82%
4/30/1996	11.00%	6.43%	4.57%
5/13/1996	11.00%	6.44%	4.56%
5/23/1996	11.25%	6.43%	4.82%
6/25/1996	11.25%	6.48%	4.77%
6/27/1996	11.20%	6.48%	4.72%
8/12/1996	10.40%	6.57% 6.71%	3.83%
9/2//1990	12.00%	6 76%	4.29%
11/5/1006	12.23%	6.81%	5.49% 1 10%
11/26/1996	11.30%	6.83%	4.13%
12/18/1996	11.75%	6.83%	4 92%
12/31/1996	11.50%	6.83%	4.67%
1/3/1997	10.70%	6.83%	3.87%
2/13/1997	11.80%	6.82%	4.98%
2/20/1997	11.80%	6.82%	4.98%
3/31/1997	10.02%	6.80%	3.22%
4/2/1997	11.65%	6.80%	4.85%
4/28/1997	11.50%	6.81%	4.69%
4/29/1997	11.70%	6.81%	4.89%
7/17/1997	12.00%	6.77%	5.23%
12/12/1997	11.00%	6.60%	4.40%
12/23/1997	11.12%	6.57%	4.55%
2/2/1990	12.75%	0.39% 6.20%	0.30%
3/6/1002	10.75%	6 27%	4.90 % 1 18%
3/20/1998	10.50%	6 22%	4 28%
4/30/1998	12.20%	6.12%	6.08%
7/10/1998	11.40%	5.94%	5.46%
9/15/1998	11.90%	5.78%	6.12%
11/30/1998	12.60%	5.58%	7.02%
12/10/1998	12.20%	5.54%	6.66%
12/17/1998	12.10%	5.52%	6.58%
2/5/1999	10.30%	5.38%	4.92%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 17 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	Risk Premiun	n
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
3/4/1999	10.50%	5.34%	5.16%
4/6/1999	10.94%	5.32%	5.62%
7/29/1999	10.75%	5.52%	5.23%
9/23/1999	10.75%	5.70%	5.05%
11/17/1999	11.10%	5.90%	5.20%
1/7/2000	11.50%	6.05%	5.45%
1/1/2000	11.50%	0.05%	5.45% 4.42%
2/17/2000	10.00%	0.17% 6.20%	4.43%
5/20/2000	11.25%	6 19%	5.05%
7/18/2000	12 20%	6 16%	6.04%
9/29/2000	11 16%	6.03%	5 13%
11/28/2000	12 90%	5 89%	7 01%
11/30/2000	12.00%	5.88%	6 22%
1/23/2001	11.25%	5.79%	5.46%
2/8/2001	11.50%	5.77%	5.73%
5/8/2001	10.75%	5.62%	5.13%
6/26/2001	11.00%	5.62%	5.38%
7/25/2001	11.02%	5.60%	5.42%
7/25/2001	11.02%	5.60%	5.42%
7/31/2001	11.00%	5.59%	5.41%
8/31/2001	10.50%	5.56%	4.94%
9/7/2001	10.75%	5.55%	5.20%
9/10/2001	11.00%	5.55%	5.45%
9/20/2001	10.00%	5.55%	4.45%
10/24/2001	10.30%	5.54%	4.76%
11/28/2001	10.60%	5.49%	5.11%
12/3/2001	12.88%	5.49%	7.39%
12/20/2001	12.50%	5.50%	7.00%
1/22/2002	10.00%	5.50%	4.50%
3/27/2002	10.10%	5.45%	4.65%
4/22/2002	11.80%	5.45%	6.35%
5/28/2002	10.17%	5.46%	4.71%
6/10/2002	12.00%	5.47%	6.53%
6/18/2002	11.16%	5.48%	5.68%
6/20/2002	11.00%	5.48%	5.52%
0/20/2002	12.30%	5.48% 5.48%	0.82%
0/12/2002	12.20%	5.48% 5.46%	5.52% 6.95%
9/12/2002	12.30%	5.45%	0.03% 5.04%
9/20/2002	10.45%	5.41%	5.04 % 6.26%
12/13/2002	11.55%	5.25%	6.48%
12/13/2002	11.75%	5.27%	6 15%
1/8/2002	11.40%	5 19%	5 91%
1/31/2003	12 45%	5 13%	7.32%
2/28/2003	12.30%	5.05%	7 25%
3/6/2003	10.75%	5.03%	5.72%
3/7/2003	9.96%	5.02%	4.94%
3/20/2003	12.00%	4.98%	7.02%
4/3/2003	12.00%	4.96%	7.04%
4/15/2003	11.15%	4.94%	6.21%
6/25/2003	10.75%	4.79%	5.96%
6/26/2003	10.75%	4.79%	5.96%
7/9/2003	9.75%	4.79%	4.96%
7/16/2003	9.75%	4.79%	4.96%
7/25/2003	9.50%	4.80%	4.70%
8/26/2003	10.50%	4.83%	5.67%
12/17/2003	9.85%	4.94%	4.91%
12/17/2003	10.70%	4.94%	5.76%
12/18/2003	11.50%	4.94%	6.56%
12/19/2003	12.00%	4.94%	7.06%
12/19/2003	12.00%	4.94%	7.06%
12/23/2003	10.50%	4.94%	5.56%
1/13/2004	12.00%	4.95%	7.05%
3/2/2004	10.75%	4.99%	5.76%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 18 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	Risk Premiun	n
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
3/26/2004	10.25%	5.02%	5.23%
4/5/2004	11.25%	5.03%	6.22%
5/18/2004	10.50%	5.07%	5.43%
5/25/2004	10.25%	5.08%	5.17%
5/27/2004	10.25%	5.08%	5.17%
6/2/2004	11.22%	5.08%	6.14%
6/30/2004	10.50%	5.10%	5.40%
6/30/2004	10.50%	5.10%	5.40%
2/25/2004	10.25%	5.11%	0.49% 5 15%
0/23/2004	10.23%	5.10%	5.15%
11/0/2004	10.40%	5.07%	5 / 3%
11/23/2004	11.00%	5.06%	5 94%
12/14/2004	10.97%	5.07%	5.90%
12/21/2004	11 25%	5.07%	6 18%
12/21/2004	11.50%	5.07%	6.43%
12/22/2004	10.70%	5.07%	5.63%
12/22/2004	11.50%	5.07%	6.43%
12/29/2004	9.85%	5.07%	4.78%
1/6/2005	10.70%	5.08%	5.62%
2/18/2005	10.30%	4.98%	5.32%
2/25/2005	10.50%	4.96%	5.54%
3/10/2005	11.00%	4.93%	6.07%
3/24/2005	10.30%	4.90%	5.40%
4/4/2005	10.00%	4.88%	5.12%
4/7/2005	10.25%	4.87%	5.38%
5/18/2005	10.25%	4.78%	5.47%
5/25/2005	10.75%	4.76%	5.99%
5/26/2005	9.75%	4.76%	4.99%
6/1/2005	9.75%	4.75%	5.00%
7/19/2005	11.50%	4.64%	6.86%
8/5/2005	11.75%	4.62%	7.13%
8/15/2005	10.13%	4.61%	5.52%
9/28/2005	10.00%	4.54%	5.46%
10/4/2005	10.75%	4.54%	6.21%
12/12/2005	10.75%	4.55%	6 20%
12/13/2005	10.75%	4.55%	0.20% 5.75%
12/21/2005	10.29%	4.54%	5.86%
12/22/2005	11.00%	4.54%	6.46%
12/22/2005	11.00%	4 54%	6.61%
12/28/2005	10.00%	4 54%	5 46%
12/28/2005	10.00%	4.54%	5.46%
1/5/2006	11.00%	4.53%	6.47%
1/27/2006	9.75%	4.52%	5.23%
3/3/2006	10.39%	4.53%	5.86%
4/17/2006	10.20%	4.61%	5.59%
4/26/2006	10.60%	4.64%	5.96%
5/17/2006	11.60%	4.69%	6.91%
6/6/2006	10.00%	4.74%	5.26%
6/27/2006	10.75%	4.80%	5.95%
7/6/2006	10.20%	4.83%	5.37%
7/24/2006	9.60%	4.86%	4.74%
7/26/2006	10.50%	4.86%	5.64%
7/28/2006	10.05%	4.86%	5.19%
8/23/2006	9.55%	4.89%	4.66%
9/1/2006	10.54%	4.90%	5.64%
9/14/2006	10.00%	4.91%	5.09%
10/6/2006	9.67%	4.92%	4.75%
11/21/2006	10.08%	4.95%	5.13%
11/21/2006	10.08%	4.95%	5.13%
12/1/2006	10.12%	4.95%	0.1/%
12/1/2006	10.25%	4.95%	5.30% 5.55%
12/1/2000	10.00%	4.90% 1 05%	5 80%
12/1/2000	10.7070	T.3370	0.0070

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 19 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium			
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
12/21/2006	10.90%	4.95%	5.95%
12/21/2006	11.25%	4.95%	6.30%
12/22/2006	10.25%	4.95%	5.30%
1/5/2007	10.00%	4.95%	5.05%
1/11/2007	10.10%	4.95%	5.15%
1/11/2007	10.10%	4.95%	5.15%
1/11/2007	10.90%	4.95%	5.95%
1/12/2007	10.10%	4.95%	5.15%
1/13/2007	10.40%	4.95%	5.45%
3/21/2007	11.35%	4.94 /0	5.00% 6.48%
3/22/2007	9 75%	4.07 %	1 89%
5/15/2007	10.00%	4.81%	5 19%
5/17/2007	10.00%	4.81%	5 44%
5/17/2007	10.25%	4 81%	5 44%
5/22/2007	10.20%	4.80%	5.40%
5/22/2007	10.50%	4.80%	5.70%
5/23/2007	10.70%	4.80%	5.90%
5/25/2007	9.67%	4.80%	4.87%
6/15/2007	9.90%	4.82%	5.08%
6/21/2007	10.20%	4.83%	5.37%
6/22/2007	10.50%	4.83%	5.67%
6/28/2007	10.75%	4.84%	5.91%
7/12/2007	9.67%	4.86%	4.81%
7/19/2007	10.00%	4.87%	5.13%
7/19/2007	10.00%	4.87%	5.13%
8/15/2007	10.40%	4.88%	5.52%
10/9/2007	10.00%	4.91%	5.09%
10/17/2007	9.10%	4.91%	4.19%
10/31/2007	9.96%	4.90%	5.06%
11/29/2007	10.90%	4.87%	6.03%
12/6/2007	10.75%	4.86%	5.89%
12/13/2007	9.96%	4.86%	5.10%
12/14/2007	10.70%	4.86%	5.84%
12/14/2007	10.80%	4.86%	5.94%
12/19/2007	10.20%	4.86%	5.34%
12/20/2007	10.20%	4.83%	0.30% 6.15%
12/20/2007	10.25%	4.05%	5.40%
12/20/2007	10.25%	4.05%	5.40%
1/8/2008	10.75%	4.83%	5 92%
1/17/2008	10.75%	4.81%	5 94%
1/28/2008	9 40%	4.80%	4 60%
1/30/2008	10.00%	4.79%	5.21%
1/31/2008	10.71%	4.79%	5.92%
2/29/2008	10.25%	4.75%	5.50%
3/12/2008	10.25%	4.73%	5.52%
3/25/2008	9.10%	4.68%	4.42%
4/22/2008	10.25%	4.60%	5.65%
4/24/2008	10.10%	4.60%	5.50%
5/1/2008	10.70%	4.59%	6.11%
5/19/2008	11.00%	4.56%	6.44%
5/27/2008	10.00%	4.55%	5.45%
6/10/2008	10.70%	4.54%	6.16%
6/27/2008	10.50%	4.54%	5.96%
6/27/2008	11.04%	4.54%	6.50%
7/10/2008	10.43%	4.52%	5.91%
7/16/2008	9.40%	4.52%	4.88%
7/30/2008	10.80%	4.51%	6.29%
//31/2008	10.70%	4.51%	6.19%
8/11/2008	10.25%	4.51%	5.74%
8/26/2008	10.18%	4.50%	5.68%
9/10/2008	10.30%	4.50%	5.80%
9/24/2008		4.48%	0.1/%
9/24/2008	10.65%	4.48%	0.17%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 20 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium			
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
9/24/2008	10.65%	4.48%	6.17%
9/30/2008	10.20%	4.48%	5.72%
10/8/2008	10.15%	4.46%	5.69%
11/13/2008	10.55%	4.45%	6.10%
11/17/2008	10.20%	4.44%	5.76%
12/1/2008	10.25%	4.40%	5.85%
12/23/2008	11.00%	4.27%	6.73% 5.76%
12/29/2008	10.00%	4.24%	5.76%
12/29/2000	10.20%	4.24%	5.90%
1/1//2000	10.75%	4.2270	6.35%
1/21/2009	10.50%	4.13%	6 38%
1/21/2009	10.50%	4.12%	6.38%
1/21/2009	10.50%	4.12%	6.38%
1/27/2009	10.00%	4 09%	6.67%
1/30/2009	10.50%	4.08%	6.42%
2/4/2009	8.75%	4.06%	4.69%
3/4/2009	10.50%	3.96%	6.54%
3/12/2009	11.50%	3.93%	7.57%
4/2/2009	11.10%	3.85%	7.25%
4/21/2009	10.61%	3.80%	6.81%
4/24/2009	10.00%	3.79%	6.21%
4/30/2009	11.25%	3.78%	7.47%
5/4/2009	10.74%	3.77%	6.97%
5/20/2009	10.25%	3.74%	6.51%
5/28/2009	10.50%	3.74%	6.76%
6/22/2009	10.00%	3.76%	6.24%
6/24/2009	10.80%	3.77%	7.03%
7/8/2009	10.63%	3.77%	6.86%
7/17/2009	10.50%	3.78%	6.72%
8/31/2009	10.25%	3.82%	6.43%
10/14/2009	10.70%	4.01%	6.69%
10/23/2009	10.88%	4.06%	6.82%
11/2/2009	10.70%	4.09%	6.61%
11/3/2009	10.70%	4.10%	6.60%
11/24/2009	10.25%	4.15%	6.10%
11/25/2009	10.75%	4.10%	0.09%
12/2/2009	10.33%	4.1770	0.10%
12/3/2009	10.50%	4.10%	0.32%
12/16/2009	10.70%	4.10%	6.60%
12/16/2009	11.00%	4.21%	6 79%
12/18/2009	10.40%	4.21%	6 18%
12/18/2009	10.40%	4.22%	6 18%
12/22/2009	10.40%	4 23%	5.97%
12/22/2009	10.40%	4.23%	6.17%
12/22/2009	10.40%	4.23%	6.17%
12/30/2009	10.00%	4.26%	5.74%
1/4/2010	10.80%	4.28%	6.52%
1/11/2010	11.00%	4.30%	6.70%
1/26/2010	10.13%	4.35%	5.78%
1/27/2010	10.40%	4.35%	6.05%
1/27/2010	10.40%	4.35%	6.05%
1/27/2010	10.70%	4.35%	6.35%
2/9/2010	9.80%	4.38%	5.42%
2/18/2010	10.60%	4.40%	6.20%
2/24/2010	10.18%	4.41%	5.77%
3/2/2010	9.63%	4.41%	5.22%
3/4/2010	10.50%	4.41%	6.09%
3/5/2010	10.50%	4.41%	6.09%
3/11/2010	11.90%	4.42%	7.48%
3/17/2010	10.00%	4.41%	5.59%
3/25/2010	10.15%	4.42%	5.73%
4/2/2010	10.10%	4.43%	5.67%
4/27/2010	10.00%	4.46%	5.54%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 21 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	Risk Premiur	n
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
4/29/2010	9.90%	4.46%	5.44%
4/29/2010	10.06%	4.46%	5.60%
4/29/2010	10.26%	4.46%	5.80%
5/12/2010	10.30%	4.45%	5.85%
5/12/2010	10.30%	4.45%	5.85%
5/28/2010	10.10%	4.44%	5.66%
5/28/2010	10.20%	4.44%	5.76%
6/7/2010	10.30%	4.44%	5.86%
6/29/2010	0.67%	4.44 %	5.30%
6/28/2010	9.07 %	4.43%	5.24 % 6.07%
6/30/2010	9.40%	4.43%	4 97%
7/1/2010	10 25%	4.43%	5.82%
7/15/2010	10.23%	4.43%	6 10%
7/15/2010	10.00%	4 43%	6.27%
7/30/2010	10.70%	4 41%	6 29%
8/4/2010	10.50%	4 41%	6.09%
8/6/2010	9.83%	4.41%	5.42%
8/25/2010	9.90%	4.37%	5.53%
9/3/2010	10.60%	4.35%	6.25%
9/14/2010	10.70%	4.33%	6.37%
9/16/2010	10.00%	4.33%	5.67%
9/16/2010	10.00%	4.33%	5.67%
9/30/2010	9.75%	4.29%	5.46%
10/14/2010	10.35%	4.24%	6.11%
10/28/2010	10.70%	4.21%	6.49%
11/2/2010	10.38%	4.20%	6.18%
11/4/2010	10.70%	4.20%	6.50%
11/19/2010	10.20%	4.18%	6.02%
11/22/2010	10.00%	4.18%	5.82%
12/1/2010	10.13%	4.16%	5.97%
12/6/2010	9.86%	4.15%	5.71%
12/9/2010	10.25%	4.15%	6.10%
12/13/2010	10.70%	4.15%	6.55%
12/14/2010	10.13%	4.15%	5.98%
12/15/2010	10.44%	4.15%	6.29%
12/17/2010	10.00%	4.15%	5.85%
12/20/2010	10.60%	4.15%	6.45%
12/21/2010	10.30%	4.14%	6.16% 5.76%
12/27/2010	9.90%	4.14%	5.70% 7.01%
1/5/2010	10.15%	4.1470	7.01% 6.02%
1/5/2011	10.15%	4.13%	6 19%
1/12/2011	10.30%	4.12%	6 18%
1/18/2011	10.00%	4.12%	5.88%
1/20/2011	9 30%	4.12%	5 18%
1/20/2011	10 13%	4.12%	6.01%
1/31/2011	9.60%	4 12%	5 48%
2/3/2011	10.00%	4.12%	5.88%
2/25/2011	10.00%	4 14%	5 86%
3/25/2011	9.80%	4.18%	5.62%
3/30/2011	10.00%	4.18%	5.82%
4/12/2011	10.00%	4.21%	5.79%
4/25/2011	10.74%	4.23%	6.51%
4/26/2011	9.67%	4.23%	5.44%
4/27/2011	10.40%	4.24%	6.16%
5/4/2011	10.00%	4.24%	5.76%
5/4/2011	10.00%	4.24%	5.76%
5/24/2011	10.50%	4.27%	6.23%
6/8/2011	10.75%	4.30%	6.45%
6/16/2011	9.20%	4.32%	4.88%
6/17/2011	9.95%	4.32%	5.63%
7/13/2011	10.20%	4.36%	5.84%
8/1/2011	9.20%	4.39%	4.81%
8/8/2011	10.00%	4.38%	5.62%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 22 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	Risk Premiur	n
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
8/11/2011	10.00%	4.38%	5.62%
8/12/2011	10.35%	4.37%	5.98%
8/19/2011	10.25%	4.36%	5.89%
9/2/2011	12.88%	4.32%	8.56%
9/22/2011	10.00%	4.24%	5.76%
10/12/2011	10.30%	4.14%	6.16%
10/20/2011	10.50%	4.10%	6.40%
11/30/2011	10.90%	3.87%	7.03%
11/30/2011	10.90%	3.87%	7.03%
12/14/2011	10.00%	3.80%	6.20%
12/14/2011	10.30%	3.80%	6.50%
12/20/2011	10.20%	3.76%	6.44%
12/21/2011	10.20%	3.76%	6.44%
12/22/2011	9.90%	3.75%	6.15%
12/22/2011	10 40%	3 75%	6 65%
12/23/2011	10 19%	3 74%	6 45%
1/25/2012	10.50%	3 57%	6.93%
1/27/2012	10.50%	3.56%	6.94%
2/15/2012	10.30%	3.00%	6 73%
2/13/2012	0.20%	3.47 /0	6.46%
2/23/2012	9.90%	3.44 /0	6 92%
2/21/2012	10.23%	3.4370	0.02 /0
2/29/2012	10.40%	3.41%	0.99%
3/29/2012	10.37%	3.32%	7.05%
4/4/2012	10.00%	3.30%	6.70%
4/26/2012	10.00%	3.21%	6.79%
5/2/2012	10.00%	3.18%	6.82%
5/7/2012	9.80%	3.17%	6.63%
5/15/2012	10.00%	3.14%	6.86%
5/29/2012	10.05%	3.11%	6.94%
6/7/2012	10.30%	3.08%	7.22%
6/14/2012	9.40%	3.06%	6.34%
6/15/2012	10.40%	3.06%	7.34%
6/18/2012	9.60%	3.06%	6.54%
6/19/2012	9.25%	3.05%	6.20%
6/26/2012	10.10%	3.04%	7.06%
6/29/2012	10.00%	3.04%	6.96%
7/9/2012	10.20%	3.03%	7.17%
7/16/2012	9.80%	3.02%	6.78%
7/20/2012	9.31%	3.01%	6.30%
7/20/2012	9.81%	3.01%	6.80%
9/13/2012	9.80%	2.94%	6.86%
9/19/2012	9.80%	2.94%	6.86%
9/19/2012	10.05%	2.94%	7.11%
9/26/2012	9.50%	2.94%	6.56%
10/12/2012	9.60%	2.93%	6.67%
10/23/2012	9.75%	2.93%	6.82%
10/24/2012	10.30%	2.93%	7.37%
11/9/2012	10.30%	2.92%	7.38%
11/28/2012	10.40%	2.90%	7.50%
11/29/2012	9.75%	2.89%	6.86%
11/29/2012	9.88%	2.89%	6.99%
12/5/2012	9.71%	2.89%	6.82%
12/5/2012	10.40%	2.89%	7.51%
12/12/2012	9.80%	2.88%	6.92%
12/13/2012	9.50%	2.88%	6.62%
12/13/2012	10.50%	2.88%	7.62%
12/14/2012	10 40%	2 88%	7.52%
12/19/2012	9 71%	2 87%	6 84%
12/19/2012	10 25%	2.87%	7 38%
12/20/2012	9.50%	2.87%	6.63%
12/20/2012	9.80%	2.87%	6.93%
12/20/2012	10 25%	2 87%	7.38%
0, _0, L			

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 23 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium			
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
12/20/2012	10.25%	2.87%	7.38%
12/20/2012	10.30%	2.87%	7.43%
12/20/2012	10.40%	2.87%	7.53%
12/20/2012	10.45%	2.87%	7.58%
12/21/2012	10.20%	2.87%	7.33%
12/26/2012	9.80%	2.86%	6.94%
1/9/2013	9.70%	2.85%	6.85%
1/9/2013	9.70%	2.85%	6.85%
1/9/2013	9.70%	2.85%	6.85%
1/16/2013	9.60%	2.84%	6.76%
1/16/2013	9.60%	2.84%	6.76%
2/13/2013	10.20%	2.84%	7.36%
2/22/2013	9.75%	2.85%	6.90%
2/27/2013	10.00%	2.86%	7.14%
3/14/2013	9.30%	2.88%	6.42%
3/27/2013	9.80%	2.90%	6.90%
5/1/2013	9.84%	2.94%	6.90%
5/15/2013	10.30%	2.96%	7.34%
5/30/2013	10.20%	2.98%	7.22%
5/31/2013	9.00%	2.98%	6.02%
6/11/2013	10.00%	3.00%	7.00%
6/21/2013	9.75%	3.02%	6.73%
6/25/2013	9.80%	3.03%	6.77%
7/12/2013	9.36%	3.07%	6.29%
8/8/2013	9.83%	3.14%	6.69%
8/14/2013	9.15%	3.16%	5.99%
9/11/2013	10.20%	3.26%	6.94%
9/11/2013	10.25%	3.26%	6.99%
9/24/2013	10.20%	3.31%	6.89%
10/3/2013	9.65%	3.33%	6.32%
11/6/2013	10.20%	3.41%	6.79%
11/21/2013	10.00%	3.44%	6.56%
11/26/2013	10.00%	3.45%	6.55%
12/3/2013	10.25%	3.47%	6.78%
12/4/2013	9.50%	3.47%	6.03%
12/5/2013	10.20%	3.48%	6.72%
12/9/2013	8.72%	3.48%	5.24%
12/9/2013	9.75%	3.48%	6.27%
12/13/2013	9.75%	3.50%	6.25%
12/16/2013	9.95%	3.50%	6.45%
12/16/2013	9.95%	3.50%	6.45%
12/16/2013	10.12%	3.50%	6.62%
12/17/2013	9.50%	3.51%	5.99%
12/17/2013	10.95%	3.51%	7.44%
12/18/2013	8.72%	3.51%	5.21%
12/18/2013	9.80%	3.51%	6.29%
12/19/2013	10.15%	3.51%	6.64%
12/30/2013	9.50%	3.54%	5.96%
2/20/2014	9.20%	3.68%	5.52%
2/26/2014	9.75%	3.69%	6.06%
3/17/2014	9.55%	3.72%	5.83%
3/26/2014	9.40%	3.73%	5.67%
3/26/2014	9.96%	3.73%	6.23%
4/2/2014	9.70%	3.73%	5.97%
5/16/2014	9.80%	3.70%	6.10%
5/30/2014	9.70%	3.68%	6.02%
6/6/2014	10.40%	3.67%	6.73%
6/30/2014	9.55%	3.64%	5.91%
7/2/2014	9.62%	3.64%	5.98%
7/10/2014	9.95%	3.63%	6.32%
7/23/2014	9.75%	3.61%	6.14%
7/29/2014	9 45%	3 60%	5 85%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 24 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of		30-Year		
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk	
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium	
7/31/2014	9.90%	3.60%	6.30%	
8/20/2014	9.75%	3.57%	6.18%	
8/25/2014	9.60%	3.56%	6.04%	
8/29/2014	9.80%	3.54%	6.26%	
9/11/2014	9 60%	3 51%	6 09%	
9/15/2014	10 25%	3 51%	6 74%	
10/9/2014	9.80%	3 4 5 %	6 35%	
11/6/2014	9.56%	3 37%	6 19%	
11/6/2014	10.20%	3 37%	6.83%	
11/0/2014	10.20%	2.57 /0	0.05%	
11/14/2014	0.20%	3.33 /0	0.03%	
11/20/2014	9.70%	3.33%	0.37%	
11/26/2014	10.20%	3.33%	6.87%	
12/4/2014	9.68%	3.31%	6.37%	
12/10/2014	9.25%	3.29%	5.96%	
12/10/2014	9.25%	3.29%	5.96%	
12/11/2014	10.07%	3.29%	6.78%	
12/12/2014	10.20%	3.28%	6.92%	
12/17/2014	9.17%	3.27%	5.90%	
12/18/2014	9.83%	3.26%	6.57%	
1/23/2015	9.50%	3.14%	6.36%	
2/24/2015	9.83%	3.04%	6.79%	
3/18/2015	9.75%	2.98%	6.77%	
3/25/2015	9.50%	2.96%	6.54%	
3/26/2015	9.72%	2.95%	6.77%	
4/23/2015	10 20%	2 87%	7 33%	
4/29/2015	9.53%	2.86%	6.67%	
5/1/2015	9.60%	2.85%	6 75%	
5/26/2015	0.75%	2.03%	6.02%	
5/20/2015 6/17/2015	9.75%	2.03 /0	0.92 /0	
0/17/2015	9.00%	2.02%	0.10%	
0/17/2015	9.00%	2.82%	0.18%	
9/2/2015	9.50%	2.79%	6.71%	
9/10/2015	9.30%	2.79%	6.51%	
10/15/2015	9.00%	2.81%	6.19%	
11/19/2015	10.00%	2.88%	7.12%	
11/19/2015	10.30%	2.88%	7.42%	
12/3/2015	10.00%	2.90%	7.10%	
12/9/2015	9.14%	2.90%	6.24%	
12/9/2015	9.14%	2.90%	6.24%	
12/11/2015	10.30%	2.90%	7.40%	
12/15/2015	9.60%	2.91%	6.69%	
12/17/2015	9.70%	2.91%	6.79%	
12/18/2015	9.50%	2.91%	6.59%	
12/30/2015	9.50%	2.93%	6.57%	
1/6/2016	9.50%	2.94%	6.56%	
2/23/2016	9.75%	2.94%	6.81%	
3/16/2016	9.85%	2.91%	6.94%	
4/29/2016	9.80%	2.83%	6.97%	
6/3/2016	9 75%	2 80%	6.95%	
6/8/2016	9 48%	2.80%	6.68%	
6/15/2016	9.00%	2 78%	6.22%	
6/15/2016	9.00%	2.78%	6.22%	
7/19/2016	0.08%	2.70%	7.27%	
8/0/2016	0.85%	2.11/0	7 100/	
0/10/2010	9.00%	2.00%	6 970/	
0/10/2010	9.00%	2.0370	0.0770 7 4 20/	
0/24/2010	9.10%	2.02%	1.13%	
9/1/2016	9.50%	2.59%	0.91%	
9/8/2016	10.00%	2.58%	1.42%	
9/28/2016	9.58%	2.54%	7.04%	
9/30/2016	9.90%	2.53%	7.37%	
11/9/2016	9.80%	2.48%	7.32%	
11/10/2016	9.50%	2.48%	7.02%	
11/15/2016	9.55%	2.49%	7.06%	

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 25 of 26

Bond Yield Plus Risk Premium				
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	
Date of		30-Year		
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk	
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium	
11/18/2016	10.00%	2.50%	7.50%	
11/29/2016	10.55%	2.51%	8.04%	
12/1/2016	10.00%	2.51%	7.49%	
12/6/2016	8.64%	2.52%	6.12%	
12/6/2016	8.64%	2.52%	6.12%	
12/7/2016	10.10%	2.52%	7.58%	
12/12/2016	9.60%	2.53%	7.07%	
12/14/2016	9.10%	2.53%	6.57%	
12/19/2016	9.00%	2.54%	6.46%	
12/19/2016	9.37%	2.54%	6.83%	
12/22/2016	9.60%	2.55%	7.05%	
12/22/2016	9.90%	2.55%	7.35%	
12/28/2016	9.50%	2.55%	6.95%	
1/18/2017	9.45%	2.58%	6.87%	
1/24/2017	9.00%	2.59%	6.41%	
1/31/2017	10.10%	2.60%	7.50%	
2/15/2017	9.60%	2.62%	6.98%	
2/22/2017	9.60%	2.64%	6.96%	
2/24/2017	9.75%	2.64%	7.11%	
2/28/2017	10.10%	2.64%	7.46%	
3/2/2017	9.41%	2.65%	6.76%	
3/20/2017	9.50%	2.68%	6.82%	
4/4/2017	10.25%	2.71%	7.54%	
4/12/2017	9.40%	2.74%	6.66%	
4/20/2017	9.50%	2.76%	6.74%	
5/3/2017	9.50%	2.79%	6.71%	
5/11/2017	9.20%	2.81%	0.39% 6.67%	
5/23/2017	9.50%	2.05%	6.86%	
6/16/2017	9.65%	2.04%	6 76%	
6/22/2017	9 70%	2 90%	6 80%	
6/22/2017	9.70%	2.90%	6.80%	
7/24/2017	9.50%	2.95%	6.55%	
8/15/2017	10.00%	2.97%	7.03%	
9/22/2017	9.60%	2.93%	6.67%	
9/28/2017	9.80%	2.92%	6.88%	
10/20/2017	9.50%	2.91%	6.59%	
10/26/2017	10.20%	2.91%	7.29%	
10/26/2017	10.25%	2.91%	7.34%	
10/26/2017	10.30%	2.91%	7.39%	
11/6/2017	10.25%	2.90%	7.35%	
11/10/2017	11.95%	2.89%	9.00%	
11/30/2017	10.00%	2.00%	7.12%	
12/5/2017	9 50%	2.00%	6.62%	
12/6/2017	8 40%	2.87%	5 53%	
12/6/2017	8.40%	2.87%	5.53%	
12/7/2017	9.80%	2.87%	6.93%	
12/14/2017	9.60%	2.86%	6.74%	
12/14/2017	9.65%	2.86%	6.79%	
12/18/2017	9.50%	2.86%	6.64%	
12/20/2017	9.58%	2.86%	6.72%	
12/21/2017	9.10%	2.85%	6.25%	
12/28/2017	9.50%	2.85%	6.65%	
12/29/2017	9.51%	2.85%	6.66%	
1/18/2018	9.70%	2.84%	6.86%	
1/31/2018	9.30%	2.84%	6.46%	
2/2/2018	9.98%	2.84%	1.14%	
2/23/2010 3/12/2010	9.90% 0.25%	2.00% 2.86%	1.00%	
3/12/2010 3/15/2018	9.20% 0.00%	∠.00% 2.87%	0.39%	
3/20/2010	9.00 % 10.00%	2.0170	7 12%	
4/12/2018	9.90%	2.00%	7 01%	
4/13/2018	9.73%	2.89%	6.84%	
Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 6 Page 26 of 26

Во	nd Yield Plus	s Risk Premiun	า
[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
4/18/2018	9.25%	2.89%	6.36%
4/18/2018	10.00%	2.89%	7.11%
4/26/2018	9.50%	2.90%	6.60%
5/30/2018	9.95%	2.94%	7.01%
5/31/2018	9.50%	2.94%	6.56%
6/14/2018	8.80%	2.96%	5.84%
6/22/2018	9.50%	2.97%	6.53%
6/22/2018	9.90%	2.97%	6.93%
6/28/2018	9.35%	2.97%	6.38%
6/29/2018	9.50%	2.97%	6.53%
8/8/2018	9.53%	2.99%	6.54%
8/21/2018	9.70%	3.00%	6.70%
8/24/2018	9.28%	3.01%	6.27%
9/5/2018	9.10%	3.02%	6.08%
9/14/2018	10.00%	3.03%	6.97%
9/20/2018	9.80%	3.04%	6.76%
9/26/2018	9.77%	3.05%	6.72%
9/26/2018	10.00%	3.05%	6.95%
9/27/2018	9.30%	3.05%	6.25%
10/4/2018	9.85%	3.06%	6.79%
10/29/2018	9.60%	3.10%	6.50%
10/31/2018	9.99%	3.11%	6.88%
11/1/2018	8.69%	3.11%	5.58%
12/4/2018	8.69%	3.14%	5.55%
12/13/2018	9.30%	3.14%	6.16%
12/14/2018	9.50%	3.14%	6.36%
12/19/2018	9.84%	3.14%	6.70%
12/20/2018	9.65%	3.14%	6.51%
12/21/2018	9.30%	3.14%	6.16%
1/9/2019	10.00%	3.14%	6.86%
		# of Conner	4500
		# of Cases:	1580
		Average:	4.66%

Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit___(RBH-2), Schedule 7 Page 1 of 1

Summary of Recently Authorized ROEs for Vertically Integrated Electric Utilities

State	Company	Docket	Date	Authorized ROE R	RA Ranking
Washington	Avista Corp.	D-UE-150204	1/6/2016	9.50% A	verage / 3
Arkansas	Entergy Arkansas Inc.	D-15-015-U	2/23/2016	9.75% A	verage / 1
Indiana New Mexico	Indianapolis Power & Light Co.	Ca-44576	3/16/2016	9.85% A	verage / 1
Indiana	Northern IN Public Svc Co	C-15-00127-01 Ca-44688	7/18/2016	9.40% D 9.98% A	verage / 1
Tennessee	Kingsport Power Company	D-16-00001	8/9/2016	9.85% A	bove Average / 3
Arizona	UNS Electric Inc.	D-E-04204A-15-0142	8/18/2016	9.50% A	verage / 3
Washington	PacifiCorp	D-UE-152253	9/1/2016	9.50% A	verage / 3
Michigan	Upper Peninsula Power Co.	C-U-17895	9/8/2016	10.00% A	bove Average / 3
New Mexico	Public Service Co. of NM	C-15-00261-UT	9/28/2016	9.58% B	Below Average / 2
Oklahoma	Public Service Co. of OK	Ca-PLID201500208	11/9/2016	9.00% A 9.50% A	werage / 3
Wisconsin	Wisconsin Power and Light Co	D-6680-UR-120 (Elec)	11/18/2016	10.00% A	bove Average / 2
Florida	Florida Power & Light Co.	D-160021-EI	11/29/2016	10.55% A	bove Average / 2
California	Liberty Utilities CalPeco Ele	A-15-05-008	12/1/2016	10.00% A	verage / 1
South Carolina	Duke Energy Progress LLC	D-2016-227-E	12/7/2016	10.10% A	verage / 3
Colorado	Black Hills Colorado Electric	D-16AL-0326E	12/19/2016	9.37% A	verage / 2
Nevada North Carolina	Sierra Pacific Power Co.	D-10-00000 D-E-22 Sub 532	12/22/2016	9.00% A 9.00% A	werage / 2
Idaho	Avista Corp.	C-AVU-E-16-03	12/28/2016	9.50% A	verage / 2
Wyoming	MDU Resources Group Inc.	D-20004-117-ER-16	1/18/2017	9.45% A	verage / 3
Michigan	DTE Electric Co.	C-U-18014	1/31/2017	10.10% A	bove Average / 3
Arizona	Tucson Electric Power Co.	D-E-01933A-15-0322	2/24/2017	9.75% A	verage / 3
Michigan	Consumers Energy Co.	C-U-17990	2/28/2017	10.10% A	bove Average / 3
Minnesota	Otter Tail Power Co.	D-E-017/GR-15-1033	3/2/2017	9.41% A	verage / 2
Oklanoma	Oklanoma Gas and Electric Co.	Ca-PUD201500273	3/20/2017	9.50% A 10.25% A	werage / 3
Missouri	Kansas City Power & Light	C-ER-2016-0285	5/3/2017	9.50% A	verage / 3
Minnesota	Northern States Power Co MN	D-E-002/GR-15-826	5/11/2017	9.20% A	verage / 2
Arkansas	Oklahoma Gas and Electric Co.	D-16-052-U	5/18/2017	9.50% A	verage / 1
North Dakota	MDU Resources Group Inc.	C-PU-16-666	6/16/2017	9.65% A	verage / 1
Kentucky	Kentucky Utilities Co.	C-2016-00370	6/22/2017	9.70% A	verage / 1
Arizono	Louisville Gas & Electric Co.	C-2016-00371 (elec.)	6/22/2017	9.70% A	verage / 1
California	San Diego Gas & Electric Co	Advice No. 3120-F	10/26/2017	10.00% A	verage / 1
California	Pacific Gas and Electric Co.	Advise No. 3887-G/5148-E	10/26/2017	10.25% A	verage / 1
California	Southern California Edison Co.	Advice No. 3665-E	10/26/2017	10.30% A	verage / 1
Florida	Tampa Electric Co.	D-20170210-EI	11/6/2017	10.25% A	bove Average / 2
Alaska	Alaska Electric Light Power	D-U-16-086	11/15/2017	11.95% B	Below Average / 1
Washington	Puget Sound Energy Inc.	D-UE-170033	12/5/2017	9.50% A	verage / 3
Texas	Southwestern Electric Power Co	D-4220-0R-123 (Elec)	12/1/2017	9.00% A 9.60% A	werage / 3
Texas	El Paso Electric Co.	D-46831	12/14/2017	9.65% A	verage / 3
Oregon	Portland General Electric Co.	D-UE-319	12/18/2017	9.50% A	verage / 2
New Mexico	Public Service Co. of NM	C-16-00276-UT	12/20/2017	9.58% B	8elow Average / 2
Vermont	Green Mountain Power Corp.	C-17-3112-INV	12/21/2017	9.10% A	verage / 3
Idaho	Avista Corp.	D-AVU-E-17-01	12/28/2017	9.50% A	verage / 2
Kentucky	Kentucky Power Co	C-2017-00179	1/18/2018	9.51% A 9.70% A	werage / 2
Oklahoma	Public Service Co. of OK	Ca-PUD201700151	1/31/2018	9.30% A	verage / 3
lowa	Interstate Power & Light Co.	D-RPU-2017-0001	2/2/2018	9.98% A	verage / 1
North Carolina	Duke Energy Progress LLC	D-E-2, Sub 1142	2/23/2018	9.90% A	verage / 1
Minnesota	ALLETE (Minnesota Power)	D-E-015/GR-16-664	3/12/2018	9.25% A	verage / 2
Michigan	Consumers Energy Co.	C-U-18322	3/29/2018	10.00% A	bove Average / 3
Michigan	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	C-U-18370 C 2017 00221	4/12/2018	9.90% A	Nove Average / 3
Michigan	DTE Electric Co	C-U-18255	4/18/2018	10 00% A	bove Average / 3
Washington	Avista Corp.	D-UE-170485	4/26/2018	9.50% A	verage / 3
Indiana	Indiana Michigan Power Co.	Ca-44967	5/30/2018	9.95% A	verage / 1
Hawaii	Hawaiian Electric Co.	D-2016-0328	6/22/2018	9.50% A	verage / 2
North Carolina	Duke Energy Carolinas LLC	D-E-7, Sub 1146	6/22/2018	9.90% A	verage / 1
Hawaii	Hawaii Electric Light Co	D-2015-0170	6/29/2018	9.50% A	verage / 2
Wisconsin	Wisconsin Power and Light Co	D-6680-UR-121 (Elec)	9/5/2016 9/14/2018	9.10% D 10.00% A	below Average / 2
Wisconsin	Madison Gas and Electric Co.	D-3270-UR-122 (Elec)	9/20/2018	9.80% A	bove Average / 2
North Dakota	Otter Tail Power Co.	C-PU-17-398	9/26/2018	9.77% A	verage / 1
Kansas	Westar Energy Inc.	D-18-WSEE-328-RTS	9/27/2018	9.30% B	elow Average / 1
Indiana	Indianapolis Power & Light Co.	Ca-45029	10/31/2018	9.99% A	verage / 1
Kansas	Kansas City Power & Light	D-18-KCPE-480-RTS	12/13/2018	9.30% B	Below Average / 1
Oregon	Portiand General Electric Co.	D-UE-335	12/14/2018	9.50% A	verage / 2
mongan	Consumers Ellergy CO.	0-0-20134	1/5/2019	10.00% A	wove Average / S
			Authorized ROE	RRA Ranking	Median
		Above Average	9.80%	10.55%	10.00%
		Average	9.10%	10.30%	9.60%
		Below Average	9.10%	11.95%	9.48%

Source: Regulatory Research Associates

"Excess Returns" Analysis

			BOOK				XROE (BY -
DIV/SHR	PRICE	M/B	VALUE	DIV YLD	BOOK YLD	XROE	DY)
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.00	\$49.30	3.55%	3.55%	0.00%	0.00%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.05	\$46.95	3.55%	3.73%	0.18%	0.18%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.10	\$44.81	3.55%	3.91%	0.36%	0.36%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.15	\$42.87	3.55%	4.08%	0.53%	0.53%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.20	\$41.08	3.55%	4.26%	0.71%	0.71%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.25	\$39.44	3.55%	4.44%	0.89%	0.89%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.30	\$37.92	3.55%	4.62%	1.07%	1.07%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.35	\$36.52	3.55%	4.79%	1.24%	1.24%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.40	\$35.21	3.55%	4.97%	1.42%	1.42%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.45	\$34.00	3.55%	5.15%	1.60%	1.60%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.50	\$32.86	3.55%	5.33%	1.78%	1.78%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.55	\$31.80	3.55%	5.50%	1.95%	1.95%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.60	\$30.81	3.55%	5.68%	2.13%	2.13%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.65	\$29.88	3.55%	5.86%	2.31%	2.31%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.70	\$29.00	3.55%	6.04%	2.49%	2.49%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.75	\$28.17	3.55%	6.21%	2.66%	2.66%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.80	\$27.39	3.55%	6.39%	2.84%	2.84%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.85	\$26.65	3.55%	6.57%	3.02%	3.02%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.90	\$25.95	3.55%	6.75%	3.20%	3.20%
\$1.75	\$49.30	1.95	\$25.28	3.55%	6.92%	3.37%	3.37%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.00	\$24.65	3.55%	7.10%	3.55%	3.55%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.05	\$24.05	3.55%	7.28%	3.73%	3.73%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.10	\$23.47	3.55%	7.46%	3.91%	3.91%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.15	\$22.93	3.55%	7.63%	4.08%	4.08%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.20	\$22.41	3.55%	7.81%	4.26%	4.26%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.25	\$21.91	3.55%	7.99%	4.44%	4.44%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.30	\$21.43	3.55%	8.17%	4.62%	4.62%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.35	\$20.98	3.55%	8.34%	4.79%	4.79%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.40	\$20.54	3.55%	8.52%	4.97%	4.97%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.45	\$20.12	3.55%	8.70%	5.15%	5.15%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.50	\$19.72	3.55%	8.88%	5.33%	5.33%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.55	\$19.33	3.55%	9.05%	5.50%	5.50%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.60	\$18.96	3.55%	9.23%	5.68%	5.68%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.65	\$18.60	3.55%	9.41%	5.86%	5.86%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.70	\$18.26	3.55%	9.58%	6.03%	6.04%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.75	\$17.93	3.55%	9.76%	6.21%	6.21%
\$1.75	\$49.30	2.80	\$17.61	3.55%	9.94%	6.39%	6.39%



Docket No. EL18-021 Exhibit____(RBH-2), Schedule 9 Page 1 of 3

Growth Rate Regression Analysis

			. .	Proj.		
			Proj.	Dividend/	Proj. Book	Proj. Retained
Compony	Tisless	Trailing D/C	Earnings	Distrib.	Value Growth	to Common
			Growin Rate	Growin Rale	2.50%	
ALLETE, IIIC.	ALE	29.10	3.50% 6.50%	4.50%	5.50%	3.00%
Amaran Corporation		20.70	0.50%	6.00%	5.00%	4.00%
American Electric Dewer Company, Inc.	AEE	20.40	7.50%	5.50%	4.50%	4.50%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	19.80	4.50%	6.00%	4.00%	4.00%
Avangrid, Inc.	AGR	29.00	12.00%	5.50%	1.50%	2.00%
Avista Corporation	AVA	20.90	5.50%	4.00%	3.00%	3.00%
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	18.10	6.50%	6.00%	6.00%	4.00%
CenterPoint Energy, Inc.	CNP	28.30	3.50%	2.00%	5.50%	2.00%
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	21.40	7.00%	7.00%	7.00%	5.50%
Consolidated Edison, Inc.	ED	18.10	3.00%	3.50%	3.50%	2.50%
Dominion Energy Inc.	D	21.70	6.50%	7.00%	8.00%	2.00%
DTE Energy Company	DTE	19.40	7.50%	6.50%	5.50%	4.50%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	20.20	5.50%	4.00%	2.00%	2.00%
Edison International	EIX	12.70	4.50%	5.50%	4.00%	6.50%
El Paso Electric Company	EE	18.70	3.00%	7.00%	3.50%	3.00%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	17.00	1.00%	2.00%	3.00%	4.50%
Exelon Corporation	EXC	22.70	7.50%	5.00%	5.50%	5.50%
FirstEnergy Corp.	FE	32.50	6.50%	4.00%	1.50%	8.00%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	NMF	NMF	NMF	NMF	3.50%
Hawaiian Electric Industries, Inc.	HE	19.70	3.50%	2.00%	4.00%	3.50%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	19.80	4.50%	6.50%	4.50%	4.00%
MGE Energy, Inc.	MGEE	27.20	7.50%	5.00%	9.50%	5.00%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	26.20	9.00%	10.50%	7.00%	5.00%
Eversource Energy	ES	21.20	5.50%	6.00%	4.00%	3.50%
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	18.30	2.50%	4.50%	3.50%	3.00%
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	18.70	6.00%	8.00%	4.00%	3.50%
Otter Tail Corporation	OTTR	21.70	9.00%	3.50%	7.50%	5.00%
PG&E Corporation	PCG	NMF	7.50%	2.00%	6.50%	4.50%
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	18.40	6.00%	6.00%	4.00%	4.00%
PNM Resources, Inc.	PNM	20.50	7.50%	7.00%	4.00%	4.50%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	18.10	4.00%	6.00%	3.50%	3.00%
PPL Corporation	PPL	12.00	3.00%	2.50%	5.50%	5.00%
Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated	PEG	19.20	4.50%	5.00%	4.50%	5.00%
Sempra Energy	SRE	20.80	9.50%	8.50%	5.00%	4.50%
Southern Company	SO	14.50	3.50%	3.00%	3.00%	3.50%
Vectren Corporation	VVC	27.30	5.50%	6.50%	5.50%	4.00%
WEC Energy Group, Inc.	WEC	21.50	7.00%	6.00%	3.50%	4.00%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	20.20	5.50%	5.50%	4.50%	4.00%

Notes:

Source: Value Line Reports as of February 15, 2019

Growth Rate Regression Analysis

_

SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.432927					
R Square	0 187426					
Adjusted R Square	0 163527					
Standard Error	4.075650					
Observations	4.075050					
Observations	30					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	1	130.268516	130.268516	7.842339	0.008357	
Residual	34	564.771484	16.610926			
Total	35	695.040000				
		<u></u>				
<u> </u>	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	16.164232	1.855603	8.711041	0.000000	12.393194	19.935270
Proj. Earnings Growth Rate	84.920806	30.324336	2.800418	0.008357	23.294341	146.547270
SUMMARY OUTPUT						
Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.110558					
R Square	0.012223					
Adjusted R Square	-0.016829					
Standard Error	4 493605					
Observations	36					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	1	8.495463	8.495463	0.420724	0.520933	
Residual	34	686.544537	20.192486			
Total	35	695.040000				
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	19.589934	2.299297	8.519966	0.000000	14.91/200	24.262669
Proj. Dividend Growth Rate	26.301742	40.549536	0.648632	0.520933	-56.104831	108.708314
Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.071194					
R Square	0.005069					
Adjusted R Square	-0.024194					
Standard Error	4.509849					
Observations	36					
R Square Adjusted R Square Standard Error Observations	0.005069 -0.024194 4.509849 36					

Α	N	റ	v	Ά
	1 1	\sim	v	~

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1	3.522876	3.522876	0.173210	0.679890
Residual	34	691.517124	20.338739		
Total	35	695.040000			

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	20.168845	2.133844	9.451885	0.000000	15.832353	24.505337
Proj. Book Value Growth Rate	18.300654	43.972377	0.416185	0.679890	-71.061968	107.663276

Growth Rate Regression Analysis

SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.025318					
R Square	0.000641					
Adjusted R Square	-0.028752					
Standard Error	4.519873					
Observations	36					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	1	0.445513	0.445513	0.021808	0.883472	
Residual	34	694.594487	20.429250			
Total	35	695.040000				
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	20.645893	2.513440	8.214199	0.000000	15.537969	25.753818
Proj. Retained to Common Equity	8.822031	59.739943	0.147674	0.883472	-112.584140	130.228202
SUMMARY OUTPUT						
Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.458287					
R Square	0.210027					
Adjusted R Square	0.108095					
Standard Error	4.208528					
Observations	36					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	4	145.977156	36.494289	2.060462	0.110137	
Residual	31	549.062844	17.711705			
Total	35	695.040000				
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	18.05290	3.17110	5.69295	0.00000	11.58540	24.52040
Proj. Earnings Growth Rate	104.44206	37.66839	2.77267	0.00932	27.61688	181.26724
Proj. Dividend Growth Rate	-38.04275	45.28721	-0.84003	0.40732	-130.40662	54.32112
Proj. Book Value Growth Rate	-5.46963	43.56109	-0.12556	0.90089	-94.31306	83.37380
Proj. Retained to Common Equity	-17.74757	57.08617	-0.31089	0.75796	-134.17559	98.68045

Retention Ratio Regression Analysis

SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics						
Multiple R	0.44825419					
R Square	0.20093182					
Adjusted R Square	0.19421696					
Standard Error	0.41731722					
Observations	121					

ANOVA

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	1	5.211280369	5.211280369	29.92346159	2.52462E-07	
Residual	119	20.72428559	0.17415366			
Total	120	25.93556596				
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%
Intercept	0.233	0.039	5.969	0.000	0.156	0.311
Retention Ratio	-0.253	0.046	-5.470	0.000	-0.344	-0.161

Date	Ticker	Payout Ratio	Retention Ratio	5-year Fwd EPS Growth
2004	ALE	22.22%	77.78%	13.03%
2005	ALE	50.40%	49.60%	-0.53%
2006	ALE	52.35%	47.65%	1.33%
2007	ALE	53.25%	46.75%	-1.44%
2008	ALE	60.99%	39.01%	0.64%
2009	ALE	93.12%	6.88%	9.29%
2010	ALE	80.37%	19.63%	9.42%
2011	ALE	67.17%	32.83%	3.80%
2012	ALE	71.32%	28.68%	4.27%
1996	LNT	86.78%	13.22%	6.92%
1997	LNT	105.26%	-5.26%	-0.07%
1998	LNT	158.73%	-58.73%	13.28%
1999	LNT	91.32%	8.68%	2.08%
2000	LNT	80.97%	19.03%	3.42%
2001	LNT	82.64%	17.36%	2.46%
2002	LNT	169.49%	-69.49%	18.83%
2003	LNT	63.69%	36.31%	11.10%
2004	LNT	55.14%	44.86%	2.50%
2005	LNT	47.51%	52.49%	7.55%
2006	LNT	55.83%	44.17%	8.91%
2007	LNT	47.21%	52.79%	4.97%
2008	LNT	55.12%	44.88%	7.73%
2009	LNT	78.95%	21.05%	13.86%
2010	LNT	57.45%	42.55%	4.34%
2011	LNT	61.82%	38.18%	3.86%
2012	LNT	59.02%	40.98%	5.80%
1996	BKH	65.71%	34.29%	20.76%
1997	BKH	63.76%	36.24%	13.10%
1998	BKH	62.50%	37.50%	7.41%
1999	BKH	61.18%	38.82%	5.08%
2000	BKH	45.57%	54.43%	1.45%
2001	BKH	32.75%	67.25%	-6.47%
2002	BKH	49.79%	50.21%	4.16%
2003	BKH	65.22%	34.78%	-10.29%

				5-year Fwd
Date	Ticker	Payout Ratio	Retention Ratio	EPS Growth
2004	BKH	71.26%	28.74%	228.58%
2005	BKH	60.66%	39.34%	218.63%
2006	BKH	59.73%	40.27%	209.85%
2007	BKH	51.12%	48.88%	224.61%
2008	BKH	777.78%	-677.78%	249.76%
2009	BKH	61.21%	38.79%	14.13%
2010	BKH	86.75%	13.25%	19.41%
2011	BKH	144.55%	-44.55%	25.82%
2012	BKH	75.13%	24.87%	12.52%
2011	EE	26.61%	73.39%	-0.24%
2012	EE	42.92%	57.08%	1.79%
1996	HE	93.08%	6.92%	4.99%
1997	HE	88.41%	11.59%	4.01%
1998	HF	83.78%	16.22%	2.06%
1999	HF	85.52%	14.48%	-0.31%
2000	HE	97 64%	2 36%	3 64%
2001	HE	77 50%	22 50%	-3.34%
2002	HE	76 54%	23 46%	-6.90%
2002	HE	78.48%	21 52%	-7 12%
2000	HE	01 18%	8 82%	-7 33%
2004	HE	8/ 93%	15.07%	-2.21%
2000	HE	03.23%	6 77%	3 38%
2000	HE	111 71%	-11 71%	0.88%
2007	HE	115.80%	-15.80%	10.00%
2000	HE	136.26%	-36.26%	13.24%
2005	HE	102.20%	-2 48%	10.24%
2010	HE	86 11%	13.80%	11 67%
2011	HE	74 25%	25 75%	2.80%
1996		84 16%	15.84%	9.88%
1007		80 17%	10.83%	-1 38%
1008		78 / 8%	21 52%	-10.03%
1000		76.54%	23.46%	9.04%
2000		53 14%	46 86%	-1 34%
2000		55 52%	40.00%	6 37%
2001		11/ 11%	-1/ 11%	12 47%
2002		177.08%	-77 08%	24 13%
2000		63 16%	36.84%	8 77%
2004		68 57%	31 43%	12 70%
2000		51 06%	18 94%	8.62%
2000		64 52%	35 48%	12 85%
2007		55 05%	44 95%	11.01%
2000		45 45%	54 55%	7 94%
2005		40.68%	59 32%	5 70%
2010		35 71%	64 20%	3.78%
2011		40.65%	50 35%	1 50%
2012		58 48%	<i>4</i> 1 52%	5 90%
2005		04 66%	5 34%	1/ 23%
2000		88 89%	11 11%	10 11%
2007		74 58%	25 42%	7 20%
2000		66 34%	23.4270	8 78%
2003		63 55%	36 45%	6 99%
2010		56 92%	<u>ፈ</u> з በ8%	6 72%
2011		65 40%	34 51%	8 56%
1006		82 72%	17 28%	-2 52%
1007	OGE	82 72%	17.20%	-2.32 /0
1008		65 60%	3/ 21%	-0.07 /0
1000		60.03%	30 03%	- 1.09 /0 0 05%
1999	UGE	05.07 /0	00.30 /0	0.0070

				5-year Fwd
Date	Ticker	Payout Ratio	Retention Ratio	EPS Growth
2000	OGE	70.53%	29.47%	1.14%
2001	OGE	103.08%	-3.08%	14.19%
2002	OGE	93.06%	6.94%	13.50%
2003	OGE	77.01%	22.99%	8.28%
2004	OGE	75.28%	24.72%	9.10%
2005	OGE	72.83%	27.17%	10.98%
2006	OGE	54.47%	45.53%	7.31%
2007	OGE	51.52%	48.48%	6.54%
2008	OGE	56.00%	44.00%	9.27%
2009	OGE	53.38%	46.62%	8.41%
2010	OGE	48.67%	51.33%	2.92%
2011	OGE	43.93%	56.07%	-0.15%
2012	OGE	44.69%	55.31%	1.88%
1996	PNM	20.87%	79.13%	20.65%
1997	PNM	33.60%	66.40%	7.11%
1998	PNM	34.00%	66.00%	4.60%
1999	PNM	41.09%	58.91%	12.27%
2000	PNM	34.19%	65.81%	10.06%
2001	PNM	20.31%	79.69%	-1.57%
2002	PNM	53.27%	46.73%	-0.93%
2003	PNM	53.04%	46.96%	-19.53%
2004	PNM	44.06%	55.94%	61.06%
2005	PNM	50.64%	49.36%	69.24%
2006	PNM	50.00%	50.00%	72.01%
2007	PNM	119.74%	-19.74%	87.44%
2008	PNM	554.55%	-454.55%	106.07%
2009	PNM	86.21%	13.79%	21.18%
2010	PNM	57.47%	42.53%	11.59%
2011	PNM	46.30%	53.70%	6.50%
2012	PNM	44.27%	55.73%	8.54%

Retention Ratio Regression Analysi:

Company	Ticker		1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
ALLETE, Inc.	ALE	Earnings Per Share	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.35	2.48	2.77	3.08	2.82	1.89	2.19	2.65	2.58	2.63	2.90	3.38	3.14	3.13
		Dividends Per Share	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.30	1.25	1.45	1.64	1.72	1.76	1.76	1.78	1.84	1.90	1.96	2.02	2.08	2.14
		Payout Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	22.22%	50.40%	52.35%	53.25%	60.99%	93.12%	80.37%	67.17%	71.32%	72.24%	67.59%	59.76%	66.24%	68.37%
		Annual Earnings Growth	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	83.70%	11.69%	11.19%	-8.44%	-32.98%	15.87%	21.00%	-2.64%	1.94%	10.27%	16.55%	-7.10%	-0.32%
		5yr Avg Fwd EPS Growth	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	13.03%	-0.53%	1.33%	-1.44%	0.64%	9.29%	9.42%	3.80%	4.27%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	Earnings Per Share	1.14	0.95	0.63	1.10	1.24	1.21	0.59	0.79	0.93	1.11	1.03	1.35	1.27	0.95	1.38	1.38	1.53	1.65	1.74	1.69	1.65	1.99
		Dividends Per Share	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.51	0.53	0.58	0.64	0.70	0.75	0.79	0.85	0.90	0.94	1.02	1.10	1.18	1.26
		Payout Ratio	86.78%	105.26%	158.73%	91.32%	80.97%	82.64%	169.49%	63.69%	55.14%	47.51%	56.31%	47.41%	55.12%	78.95%	57.25%	61.59%	58.82%	56.97%	58.62%	65.09%	71.52%	63.32%
		Annual Earnings Growth	N/A	-16.30%	-33.68%	73.81%	12.79%	-2.02%	-51.24%	33.05%	17.83%	19.46%	-6.79%	31.07%	-5.93%	-25.20%	45.26%	0.00%	10.87%	7.84%	5.45%	-2.87%	-2.37%	20.61%
		5yr Avg Fwd EPS Growth	6.92%	-0.07%	13.28%	2.08%	3.42%	2.46%	18.93%	11.13%	2.52%	7.68%	9.04%	5.00%	7.76%	13.89%	4.26%	3.79%	5.73%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black Hills Corporation	BKH	Earnings Per Share	1.40	1.49	1.60	1.70	2.37	3.42	2.33	1.84	1.74	2.11	2.21	2.68	0.18	2.32	1.66	1.01	1.97	2.61	2.89	2.83	2.63	3.38
		Dividends Per Share	0.92	0.95	1.00	1.04	1.08	1.12	1.16	1.20	1.24	1.28	1.32	1.37	1.40	1.42	1.44	1.46	1.48	1.52	1.56	1.62	1.68	1.81
		Payout Ratio	65.71%	63.76%	62.50%	61.18%	45.57%	32.75%	49.79%	65.22%	71.26%	60.66%	59.73%	51.12%	777.78%	61.21%	86.75%	144.55%	75.13%	58.24%	53.98%	57.24%	63.88%	53.55%
		Annual Earnings Growth	N/A	6.43%	7.38%	6.25%	39.41%	44.30%	-31.87%	-21.03%	-5.43%	21.26%	4.74%	21.27%	-93.28%	1188.89%	-28.45%	-39.16%	95.05%	32.49%	10.73%	-2.08%	-7.07%	28.52%
		5yr Avg Fwd EPS Growth	20.76%	13.10%	7.41%	5.08%	1.45%	-6.47%	4.16%	-10.29%	228.58%	218.63%	209.85%	224.61%	249.76%	14.13%	19.41%	25.82%	12.52%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
El Paso Electric	EE	Earnings Per Share	0.52	0.61	0.70	0.86	1.09	1.27	0.57	0.64	0.69	0.76	1.27	1.63	1.73	1.50	2.07	2.48	2.26	2.20	2.27	2.03	2.39	2.42
		Dividends Per Share	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.97	1.05	1.11	1.17	1.23	1.32
		Payout Ratio	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	26.61%	42.92%	47.73%	48.90%	57.64%	51.46%	54.55%
		Annual Earnings Growth	N/A	17.31%	14.75%	22.86%	26.74%	16.51%	-55.12%	12.28%	7.81%	10.14%	67.11%	28.35%	6.13%	-13.29%	38.00%	19.81%	-8.87%	-2.65%	3.18%	-10.57%	17.73%	1.26%
		5yr Avg Fwd EPS Growth	19.64%	5.15%	4.66%	1.65%	-1.67%	8.45%	25.14%	23.91%	19.69%	25.26%	15.80%	8.36%	6.60%	9.89%	0.18%	-0.24%	1.79%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian Electric Industries. Inc.	HE	Earnings Per Share	1.30	1.38	1.48	1.45	1.27	1.60	1.62	1.58	1.36	1.46	1.33	1.11	1.07	0.91	1.21	1.44	1.67	1.62	1.64	1.50	2.29	1.64
,		Dividends Per Share	1.21	1.22	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24	1.24
		Payout Ratio	93.08%	88.41%	83.78%	85.52%	97.64%	77.50%	76.54%	78.48%	91.18%	84.93%	93.23%	111.71%	115.89%	136.26%	102.48%	86.11%	74.25%	76.54%	75.61%	82.67%	54.15%	75.61%
		Annual Earnings Growth	N/A	6.15%	7.25%	-2.03%	-12.41%	25.98%	1.25%	-2.47%	-13.92%	7.35%	-8.90%	-16.54%	-3.60%	-14.95%	32.97%	19.01%	15.97%	-2.99%	1.23%	-8.54%	52.67%	-28.38%
		5yr Avg Fwd EPS Growth	4.99%	4.01%	2.06%	-0.31%	3.64%	-3.34%	-6.90%	-7.12%	-7.33%	-2.21%	3.38%	9.88%	10.00%	13.24%	4.94%	11.67%	2.80%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
IDACORP. Inc.	IDA	Earnings Per Share	2.21	2.32	2.37	2.43	3.50	3.35	1.63	0.96	1.90	1.75	2.35	1.86	2.18	2.64	2.95	3.36	3.37	3.64	3.85	3.87	3.94	4.21
		Dividends Per Share	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.86	1.70	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.37	1.57	1.76	1.92	2.08	2.24
		Payout Ratio	84.16%	80.17%	78.48%	76.54%	53.14%	55.52%	114.11%	177.08%	63.16%	68.57%	51.06%	64.52%	55.05%	45.45%	40.68%	35.71%	40.65%	43.13%	45.71%	49.61%	52.79%	53.21%
		Annual Earnings Growth	N/A	4.98%	2.16%	2.53%	44.03%	-4.29%	-51.34%	-41.10%	97.92%	-7.89%	34.29%	-20.85%	17.20%	21.10%	11.74%	13.90%	0.30%	8.01%	5.77%	0.52%	1.81%	6.85%
		5yr Avg Fwd EPS Growth	9.88%	-1.38%	-10.03%	9.04%	-1.34%	6.37%	12.47%	24.13%	8.77%	12.70%	8.62%	12.85%	11.01%	7.94%	5.70%	3.28%	4.59%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	Earnings Per Share	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.71	1.31	1.44	1.77	2.02	2.14	2.53	2.26	2.46	2.99	2.90	3.39	3.34
		Dividends Per Share	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.00	1.24	1.28	1.32	1.34	1.36	1.44	1.48	1.52	1.60	1.92	2.00	2.10
		Payout Ratio	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58.48%	94.66%	88.89%	74.58%	66.34%	63.55%	56.92%	65.49%	61.79%	53.51%	66.21%	59.00%	62.87%
		Annual Earnings Growth	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	-23.39%	9.92%	22.92%	14.12%	5.94%	18.22%	-10.67%	8.85%	21.54%	-3.01%	16.90%	-1.47%
		5yr Avg Fwd EPS Growth	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.90%	14.23%	10.11%	7.29%	8.78%	6.99%	6.72%	8.56%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
OGE Energy Corp.	OGE	Earnings Per Share	0.81	0.81	1.02	0.97	0.95	0.65	0.72	0.87	0.89	0.92	1.23	1.32	1.25	1.33	1.5	1.73	1.79	1.94	1.98	1.69	1.69	1.92
		Dividends Per Share	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.68	0.7	0.71	0.73	0.76	0.8	0.85	0.95	1.05	1.16	1.27
		Payout Ratio	82.72%	82.72%	65.69%	69.07%	70.53%	103.08%	93.06%	77.01%	75.28%	72.83%	54.47%	51.52%	56.00%	53.38%	48.67%	43.93%	44.69%	43.81%	47.98%	62.13%	68.64%	66.15%
		Annual Earnings Growth	N/A	0.00%	25.93%	-4.90%	-2.06%	-31.58%	10.77%	20.83%	2.30%	3.37%	33.70%	7.32%	-5.30%	6.40%	12.78%	15.33%	3.47%	8.38%	2.06%	-14.65%	0.00%	13.61%
		5yr Avg Fwd EPS Growth	-2.52%	-0.37%	-1.39%	0.05%	1.14%	14.19%	13.50%	8.28%	9.10%	10.98%	7.31%	6.54%	9.27%	8.41%	2.92%	-0.15%	1.88%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
PNM Resources	PNM	Earnings Per Share	1.15	1.25	1.50	1.29	1.55	2.61	1.07	1.15	1.43	1.56	1.72	0.76	0.11	0.58	0.87	1.08	1.31	1.41	1.45	1.48	1.46	1.92
1		Dividends Per Share	0.24	0.42	0.51	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.57	0.61	0.63	0.79	0.86	0.91	0.61	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.58	0.68	0.76	0.82	0.90	0.99
1		Payout Ratio	20.87%	33.60%	34.00%	41.09%	34.19%	20.31%	53.27%	53.04%	44.06%	50.64%	50.00%	119.74%	554.55%	86.21%	57.47%	46.30%	44.27%	48.23%	52.41%	55.41%	61.64%	51.56%
1		Annual Earnings Growth	N/A	8.70%	20.00%	-14.00%	20.16%	68.39%	-59.00%	7.48%	24.35%	9.09%	10.26%	-55.81%	-85.53%	427.27%	50.00%	24.14%	21.30%	7.63%	2.84%	2.07%	-1.35%	31.51%
		5yr Avg Fwd EPS Growth	20.65%	7.11%	4.60%	12.27%	10.06%	-1.57%	-0.93%	-19.53%	61.06%	69.24%	72.01%	87.44%	106.07%	21.18%	11.59%	6.50%	8.54%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Mr. Copeland Dividend Discount Model - Terminal Value Assuming M/B of 1.85

Company	Inputs:								Output:		Solver Cells	
	Dividend	2018	Analyst	Long-Term		Retention Rat	tios	Implied	DDM (k)	Adjusted		
	Yield	EPS	Growth	Growth	2018	2022	2037	P/E Ratio	Return	P/E Ratio	Delta	IRR
ALLETE	3.02%	3.35	6.00%	3.50%	0.33	0.25	0.36	22.15	7.15%	22.15	0.00%	7.15%
Alliant Energy	3.27%	2.15	6.61%	3.50%	0.38	0.36	0.36	19.04	7.50%	19.04	0.00%	7.50%
Black Hills Corp.	3.26%	3.40	4.56%	3.50%	0.43	0.42	0.36	17.43	7.06%	17.43	0.00%	7.06%
El Paso Electric	2.80%	2.30	4.75%	3.50%	0.38	0.33	0.36	22.07	6.63%	22.07	0.00%	6.63%
Hawaiian Electric	3.51%	1.90	7.10%	3.50%	0.35	0.38	0.36	18.58	7.69%	18.58	0.00%	7.69%
IDACORP, Inc.	2.62%	4.50	2.59%	3.50%	0.47	0.42	0.36	20.35	6.06%	20.35	0.00%	6.06%
NorthWestern Corp.	3.84%	3.40	2.49%	3.50%	0.35	0.31	0.36	16.83	7.07%	16.83	0.00%	7.07%
OGE Energy Corp	3.85%	2.10	1.46%	3.50%	0.33	0.26	0.36	17.31	6.84%	17.31	0.00%	6.84%
PNM Resources	2.85%	1.95	4.40%	3.50%	0.44	0.46	0.36	19.63	6.53%	19.63	0.00%	6.53%
Mea	an:		4.44%	3.50%	0.38	0.35		19.27	6.95%	19.27		6.95%
Media	an:		4.56%	3.50%	0.38	0.36		19.04	7.06%	19.04		7.06%

Current Market/Book Ratio 1.85 Target Market/Book Ratio 1.85 Difference -

Source: Exhibit___(BLC-1), Schedule 2

Mr. Copeland Dividend Discount Model - Terminal Value Assuming M/B of 1.25

Company	Inputs:								Output:		Solver Cells	
	Dividend	2018	Analyst	Long-Term		Retention Ratio	os	Implied	DDM (k)	Adjusted		
	Yield	EPS	Growth	Growth	2018	2022	2037	P/E Ratio	Return	P/E Ratio	Delta	IRR
ALLETE	3.02%	3.35	6.00%	3.50%	0.33	0.25	0.36	22.15	5.74%	14.97	0.00%	5.74%
Alliant Energy	3.27%	2.15	6.61%	3.50%	0.38	0.36	0.36	19.04	6.13%	12.86	0.00%	6.13%
Black Hills Corp.	3.26%	3.40	4.56%	3.50%	0.43	0.42	0.36	17.43	5.71%	11.77	0.00%	5.71%
El Paso Electric	2.80%	2.30	4.75%	3.50%	0.38	0.33	0.36	22.07	5.19%	14.92	0.00%	5.19%
Hawaiian Electric	3.51%	1.90	7.10%	3.50%	0.35	0.38	0.36	18.58	6.33%	12.56	0.00%	6.33%
IDACORP, Inc.	2.62%	4.50	2.59%	3.50%	0.47	0.42	0.36	20.35	4.61%	13.75	0.00%	4.61%
NorthWestern Corp.	3.84%	3.40	2.49%	3.50%	0.35	0.31	0.36	16.83	5.78%	11.37	0.00%	5.78%
OGE Energy Corp	3.85%	2.10	1.46%	3.50%	0.33	0.26	0.36	17.31	5.54%	11.69	0.00%	5.54%
PNM Resources	2.85%	1.95	4.40%	3.50%	0.44	0.46	0.36	19.63	5.10%	13.26	0.00%	5.10%
Mea	n:		4.44%	3.50%	0.38	0.35		19.27	5.57%	13.02		5.57%
Media	n:		4.56%	3.50%	0.38	0.36		19.04	5.71%	12.86		5.71%
				Cu Ta	rrent Marke arget Marke	et/Book Ratio et/Book Ratio	1.85 1.25					
						Difference	(0.32)					

Source: Exhibit___(BLC-1), Schedule 2

Mr. Copeland Dividend Discount Model - Terminal Value Assuming M/B of 1.10

Company	Inputs:								Output:		Solve	r Cells
	Dividend	2018	Analyst	Long-Term		Retention Rat	tios	Implied	DDM (k)	Adjusted		
	Yield	EPS	Growth	Growth	2018	2022	2037	P/E Ratio	Return	P/E Ratio	Delta	IRR
ALLETE	3.02%	3.35	6.00%	3.50%	0.33	0.25	0.36	22.15	5.31%	13.17	0.00%	5.31%
Alliant Energy	3.27%	2.15	6.61%	3.50%	0.38	0.36	0.36	19.04	5.71%	11.32	0.00%	5.71%
Black Hills Corp.	3.26%	3.40	4.56%	3.50%	0.43	0.42	0.36	17.43	5.30%	10.36	0.00%	5.30%
El Paso Electric	2.80%	2.30	4.75%	3.50%	0.38	0.33	0.36	22.07	4.75%	13.13	0.00%	4.75%
Hawaiian Electric	3.51%	1.90	7.10%	3.50%	0.35	0.38	0.36	18.58	5.92%	11.05	0.00%	5.92%
IDACORP, Inc.	2.62%	4.50	2.59%	3.50%	0.47	0.42	0.36	20.35	4.18%	12.10	0.00%	4.18%
NorthWestern Corp.	3.84%	3.40	2.49%	3.50%	0.35	0.31	0.36	16.83	5.39%	10.01	0.00%	5.39%
OGE Energy Corp	3.85%	2.10	1.46%	3.50%	0.33	0.26	0.36	17.31	5.15%	10.29	0.00%	5.15%
PNM Resources	2.85%	1.95	4.40%	3.50%	0.44	0.46	0.36	19.63	4.66%	11.67	0.00%	4.66%
Mea	an:		4.44%	3.50%	0.38	0.35		19.27	5.15%	11.46		-
Media	an:		4.56%	3.50%	0.38	0.36		19.04	5.30%	11.32		
Black Hills Corp. El Paso Electric Hawaiian Electric IDACORP, Inc. NorthWestern Corp. OGE Energy Corp PNM Resources Media	3.26% 2.80% 3.51% 2.62% 3.84% 3.85% 2.85% an: an:	3.40 2.30 1.90 4.50 3.40 2.10 1.95	4.56% 4.75% 7.10% 2.59% 2.49% 1.46% 4.40% 4.44% 4.56%	3.50% 3.50% 3.50% 3.50% 3.50% 3.50% 3.50% 3.50%	0.43 0.38 0.35 0.47 0.35 0.33 0.44 0.38 0.38	0.42 0.33 0.38 0.42 0.31 0.26 0.46 0.35 0.36	0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36	17.43 22.07 18.58 20.35 16.83 17.31 19.63 19.27 19.04	5.30% 4.75% 5.92% 4.18% 5.39% 5.15% 4.66% 5.15% 5.30%	10.36 13.13 11.05 12.10 10.01 10.29 11.67 11.46 11.32	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	5.3 4.7 5.9 4.1 5.3 5.1 4.6

Current Market/Book Ratio	1.85
Target Market/Book Ratio	1.10
Difference	(0.41)

Source: Exhibit___(BLC-1), Schedule 2

Docket No. EL18-021 (RBH-2), Schedule 12 Exhibit Page 1 of 1

Frequency Distribution of Market Risk Premium, 1926 - 2017



Source: Duff & Phelps, 2018 SBBI Yearbook at Appendix A-1, A-7



	Market Risk Premium					
MRP	Bin	Frequency	Cumulative %			
7.89%	-50.00%	0	0.00%			
34.08%	-47.50%	0	0.00%			
40.39%	-45.00%	1	1.09%			
-28 22%	-42.30%	1	2 17%			
-46 67%	-37.50%	1	3.26%			
-11.88%	-35.00%	o	3.26%			
50.87%	-32.50%	1	4.35%			
-4.62%	-30.00%	0	4.35%			
44.86%	-27.50%	2	6.52%			
31.15%	-25.00%	0	6.52%			
-37.69%	-22.50%	0	6.52%			
28.48%	-20.00%	1	7.61%			
-2.01%	-17.50%	0	10.87%			
-13.53%	-12.50%	6	17 39%			
17.88%	-10.00%	5	22.83%			
23.46%	-7.50%	0	22.83%			
17.29%	-5.00%	2	25.00%			
34.10%	-2.50%	6	31.52%			
-10.11%	0.00%	3	34.78%			
3.58%	2.50%	3	38.04%			
3.10%	5.00%	4	42.39%			
20.50%	10.00%	2	44.07% 54.35%			
21.64%	12.50%	5	59 78%			
15.71%	15.00%	2	61.96%			
-3.83%	17.50%	6	68.48%			
49.83%	20.00%	4	72.83%			
28.81%	22.50%	3	76.09%			
3.57%	25.00%	7	83.70%			
-14.22%	27.50%	1	84.78%			
40.09%	30.00%	6	91.30%			
-3 70%	35.00%	2	92.39%			
23.06%	37.50%	0	94.57%			
-12.73%	40.00%	ō	94.57%			
18.91%	42.50%	2	96.74%			
12.33%	45.00%	1	97.83%			
8.25%	47.50%	0	97.83%			
-14.55%	50.00%	1	98.91%			
19.39%	51.00%	1	100.00%			
5.56%	0t	00				
-14.40%	Count:	92				
-2.00%	Hevert Direct MPP	Pank				
13.12%	11.78%	57.60%				
-21.20%	12.49%	59.30%				
-33.74%						
29.24%						
16.04%						
-14.30%						
-1.33%						
22.53%						
-16.47%						
8.05%						
12.18%						
-5.47%						
20.48%						
9.69%						
-2.07%						
22.88%						
-11.29%						
22.25%						
0.36%						
2.91%						
-5.27%						
29.98%						
16.78%						
20.72%						
15 47%						
-15.60%						
-17.42%						
-27.69%						
23.88%						
5.86%						
0.22%						
11.11%						
0.63%						
22 99%						
10.81%						
-1.79%						
13.54%						
29.51%						
10.28%						
-1.09%						
9.66%						
7 07%						

Ν	larket Return	
Bin	Frequency	Cumulative %
-50.00%	0	0.00%
-47.50%	0	0.00%
-45.00%	0	0.00%
-42.50%	1	1.09%
-40.00%	0	1.09%
-37.50%	0	1.09%
-35.00%	2	3.26%
-32.50%	0	3.26%
-30.00%	0	3.26%
-27.50%	0	3.26%
-25.00%	1	4.35%
-22.50%	1	5.43%
-20.00%	1	6.52%
-17.50%	0	6.52%
-15.00%	0	6.52%
-12.50%	1	7.61%
-10.00%	4	11.96%
-7.50%	7	19.57%
-5.00%	1	20.65%
-2.50%	2	22.83%
0.00%	3	26.09%
2.50%	4	30.43%
5.00%	2	32.61%
7.50%	7	40.22%
10.00%	1	41.30%
12.50%	7	48.91%
15.00%	2	51.09%
17.50%	5	56.52%
20.00%	6	63.04%
22.50%	4	67.39%
25.00%	6	73.91%
27.50%	3	77.17%
30.00%	2	79.35%
32.50%	8	88.04%
35.00%	2	90.22%
37.50%	3	93.48%
40.00%	1	94.57%
42.50%	0	94.57%
45.00%	2	96,74%
47.50%	0	96,74%
50.00%	1	97 83%
52.50%	o	97.83%
55 00%	2	100.00%
57.50%	0	100.00%
-		
Count:	92	

Direct Market Return Hevert 14.84% 15.54% % Rank 51.30% 52.30% Occurrence 45 44

	Large Company Stocks Total Returns	Long-Term Government Bond Income Returns	
Year	Jan-Dec*	Jan-Dec*	
1926	0.1162	0.0373	
1927	0.3749	0.0341	
1928	0.4361	0.0322	
1929	-0.0842	0.0347	
1930	-0.2490	0.0332	
1931	-0.4334	0.0333	
1932	-0.0619	0.0369	
1933	0.5399	0.0312	
1035	-0.0144	0.0318	
1933	0.9202	0.0281	
1930	0.3392	0.0277	
1029	-0.3303	0.0200	
1930	0.0041	0.0264	
1939	-0.0041	0.0240	
10/1	-0.0370	0.0194	
1942	0.2034	0.0246	
1943	0.2590	0.0244	
1944	0 1975	0.0246	
1945	0.3644	0.0234	
1946	-0.0807	0.0204	
1947	0.0571	0.0213	
1948	0.0550	0.0240	
1949	0.1879	0.0225	
1950	0.3171	0.0212	
1951	0 2402	0.0238	
1952	0.1837	0.0266	
1953	-0.0099	0.0284	
1954	0.5262	0.0279	
1955	0.3156	0.0275	
1956	0.0656	0.0299	
1957	-0.1078	0.0344	
1958	0.4336	0.0327	
1959	0.1196	0.0401	
1960	0.0047	0.0426	
1961	0.2689	0.0383	
1962	-0.0873	0.0400	
1963	0.2280	0.0389	
1964	0.1648	0.0415	
1965	0.1245	0.0420	
1966	-0.1006	0.0449	
1967	0.2398	0.0459	
1968	0.1106	0.0550	
1969	-0.0850	0.0595	
1970	0.0386	0.0674	
1971	0.1430	0.0632	
1972	0 1899	0.0587	
1973	-0.1469	0.0651	
1974	-0.2647	0.0727	
1975	0.3723	0.0799	
1976	0.2393	0.0789	
1977	-0.0716	0.0714	
1978	0.0657	0.0790	
1979	0.1861	0.0886	
1980	0.3250	0.0997	
1981	-0.0492	0.1155	
1982	0.2155	0.1350	
1983	0.2256	0.1038	
1984	0.0627	0.1174	
1985	0.3173	0.1125	
1986	0.1867	0.0898	
1987	0.0525	0.0792	
1988	0.1661	0.0897	
1989	0.3169	0.0881	
1990	-0.0310	0.0819	
1991	0.3047	0.0822	
1992	0.0762	0.0726	
1993	0.1008	0.0717	
1994	0.0132	0.0659	
1995	0.3758	0.0760	
1996	0.2296	0.0618	
1997	0.3336	0.0664	
1998	0.2858	0.0583	
1999	0.2104	0.0557	
2000	-0.0910	0.0650	
2001	-0.1189	0.0553	
2002	-0.2210	0.0559	
2003	0.2868	0.0480	
2004	0.1088	0.0502	
2005	0.0491	0.0469	
2006	0.1579	0.0468	
2007	0.0549	0.0486	
2008	-0.3700	0.0445	
2009	0.2646	0.0347	
2010	0.1506	0.0425	
2011	0.0211	0.0390	
2012	0.1600	0.0246	
2013	0.3239	0.0288	
2014	0.1369	0.0341	
2015	0.0138	0.0247	
2016	0.1196	0.0230	
2017	0.2183	0.0267	
Average	0.1206	0.0499	
Std. Dev.	0.1980	0.0263	

Market Risk Premium, 1926 - 2017